#### STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

Lecture 24

#### Last time: jump rates

ullet Consider a CTMC on  $\Omega$  with transition probabilities

$$p_{ij}^h = \mathbb{P}[X_{t+h} = j \mid X_t = i].$$

• The **jump rates** are defined by the matrix  $Q = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \Omega}$ , where

$$q_{ij} := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{p_{ij}^h}{h} \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

and

$$q_{ii} = -\sum_{i\neq i} q_{ij} =: -\lambda_i.$$

• Last time, we saw how to simulate a CTMC with given jump rates.

#### Last time: Embedded DTMC

 In doing so, we found it useful to look at the embedded DTMC, which has transition matrix

$$U_{ij} = \frac{q_{ij}}{\lambda_i} \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

and

$$U_{ii}=0.$$

- Given the embedded DTMC, we saw that the CTMC can be simulated by staying at each state with a suitable, exponentially distributed waiting time.
- Now, we will see how to recover the transition probabilities from the jump rates.

#### Example

- Recall the example of the continuization of a DTMC from last time. Namely,  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a DTMC on  $\Omega$  with transition matrix U, N(t) is an independent PPP with rate  $\lambda$ , and  $X_t = Y_{N(t)}$  is a CTMC.
- We saw that the jump rates of  $X_t$  are given by

$$Q = \lambda(U - I),$$

where I is the  $|\Omega| \times |\Omega|$  identity matrix.

• Also, the transition probabilities of  $X_t$  are given by

$$(p^t)_{ij}=(e^{tQ})_{ij}.$$

• We will see that this holds more generally.

## Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

Recall that for a DTMC, we have the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

$$p_{ij}^{n+m} = \sum_{k \in \Omega} p_{ik}^n p_{kj}^m.$$

The same argument also applies to a CTMC and shows that

$$p_{ij}^{s+t} = \sum_{k \in \Omega} p_{ik}^s p_{kj}^t.$$

## Kolmogorov's forward equation

In particular,

$$egin{aligned} 
ho_{ij}^{t+h} - 
ho_{ij}^t &= \left(\sum_{k \in \Omega} 
ho_{ik}^t 
ho_{kj}^h 
ight) - 
ho_{ij}^t \ &= \left(
ho_{ij}^t 
ho_{jj}^h + \sum_{j 
eq k} 
ho_{ik}^t 
ho_{kj}^h 
ight) - 
ho_{ij}^t \ &= 
ho_{ij}^t (
ho_{jj}^h - 1) + \sum_{j 
eq k} 
ho_{ik}^t 
ho_{kj}^h. \end{aligned}$$

# Kolmogorov's forward equation

So.

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} p_{ij}^t &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{p_{ij}^{t+h} - p_{ij}^t}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{p_{ij}^t (p_{jj}^h - 1) + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ik}^t p_{kj}^h}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{p_{ij}^t (-\sum_{k \neq j} p_{jk}^h) + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ik}^t p_{kj}^h}{h} \\ &= p_{ij}^t \left( -\sum_{j \neq k} q_{jk} \right) + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ik}^t q_{kj} \\ &= p_{ij}^t q_{jj} + \sum_{j \neq k} p_{ik}^t q_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \Omega} p_{ik}^t q_{kj}. \end{split}$$

#### Kolmogorov's backward equation

Written in matrix form, we have Kolmogorov's forward equation

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}P^t\right)(t_0)=P^{t_0}Q.$$

Similarly, by writing

$$ho_{ij}^{t+h}-
ho_{ij}^t=\left(\sum_{k\in\Omega}
ho_{ik}^h
ho_{kj}^t
ight)-
ho_{ij}^t,$$

and computing as before, we have Kolmogorov's backward equation

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}P^t\right)(t_0)=QP^{t_0}$$

# Computing transition probabilities from jump rates

We have shown that

$$P^{t_0}Q=\left(rac{d}{dt}P^t
ight)(t_0)=QP^{t_0}.$$

• The solution to this matrix ordinary differential equation with the initial condition  $P^0 = \text{Id}$  is given by

$$P^t = e^{tQ} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tQ)^n}{n!}.$$

# Irreducibility

- We say that a CTMC  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  on  $\Omega$  is **irreducible** if the embedded DTMC is irreducible.
- By definition of the embedded DTMC, this amounts to the following: for any  $i, j \in \Omega$ , there exists a finite sequence of states

$$k_0 = i, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, k_n = j$$

such that

$$q_{k_{m-1}k_m} > 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq m \leq n.$$

• Clearly,  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is irreducible if and only if for any pair of states  $i,j\in\Omega$ , there exists some t (possibly depending on i,j) such that

$$p_{i,i}^t > 0.$$

# Levy's dichotomy

• In fact, if P is irreducible, then for any pair of states  $i, j \in \Omega$  and for **every** t > 0,

$$p_{i,i}^t > 0.$$

- This is the consequence of **Levy's dichotomy**: for a CTMC and for any two states  $i, j \in \Omega$ , exactly one of the following holds:
  - $P_{i,j}^t > 0$  for all t > 0.
  - $P_{i,j}^{t} = 0$  for all t = 0.
- In particular, for CTMC, we don't have to worry about (a)periodicity.

# Levy's dichotomy

#### Here's the idea:

- If  $P_{i,j}^{t_0} > 0$  for some  $t_0 > 0$ , then there must exist some  $k \ge 0$  such that it is possible for the embedded chain to go from i to j in exactly k steps.
- However, for any t > 0, there is a positive probability that there are exactly k transitions in the time interval [0,t] (recall that each transition happens after an independent waiting time, which is exponentially distributed).

## Stationary distributions

• Recall that for a DTMC with transition matrix P, we defined a stationary distribution to be a probability distribution  $\pi$  satisfying

$$\pi P = \pi$$
.

A consequence of this is that

$$\pi P^t = \pi \quad \forall t > 0.$$

 For a CTMC, we will take this second statement to be the definition of a stationary distribution.

13 / 16

# Stationary distributions

- However, the condition  $\pi P^t = \pi$  for all t is typically hard to check in practice since it requires checking a condition for every  $t \ge 0$ .
- Therefore, it is typically more convenient to use the following characterization of the stationary distribution in terms of the jump rates:

$$\pi Q = 0$$
.

- Why are these two definitions equivalent?
- If  $\pi P^t = \pi$  for all t > 0, then

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \pi P^t |_{t=0} = \pi \frac{d}{dt} P^t |_{t=0}$$
$$= \pi Q P^0 = \pi Q.$$

# Stationary distributions

• Conversely, suppose that  $\pi Q = 0$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\pi P^t|_{t=t_0} &= \pi \frac{d}{dt} P^t|_{t=t_0} \\ &= \pi Q P^{t_0} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

• Therefore,  $\pi P^t$  is constant for  $t \ge 0$  so that

$$\pi P^t = \pi P^0 = \pi.$$

#### Detailed balance conditions

• The condition  $\pi Q=0$  may still be hard to verify in practice, and in many interesting examples, one finds a stationary distribution/verifies the stationarity condition using the **detailed balance condition**, which now takes the form

$$\pi_i q_{ij} = \pi_i q_{ji} \quad \forall i, j.$$

ullet This implies that  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution since

$$(\pi Q)_j = \sum_i \pi_i q_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_i \pi_j q_{ji}$$

$$= \pi_j \sum_i q_{ji}$$

$$= 0.$$