### STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

Lecture 25

### Last time: Stationary distributions

• Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a CTMC on  $\Omega$ . A probability distribution  $\pi$  on  $\Omega$  is said to be a stationary distribution if

$$\pi P^t = \pi \quad \forall t > 0$$

• This is equivalent to the condition that

$$\pi Q = 0$$
.

 $\bullet$  In terms of the matrix Q, the detailed balance conditions are given by

$$\pi_i q_{ij} = \pi_j q_{ji} \quad \forall i, j \in \Omega$$

### Convergence theorem

Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be an irreducible CTMC on a finite state space  $\Omega$ . Then, there exists a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$ , and

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} \mathsf{TV}(P^t(x,\cdot),\pi) \to 0 \quad \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

### Convergence theorem

Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be an irreducible CTMC on a finite state space  $\Omega$ . Then, there exists a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$ , and

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} \mathsf{TV}(P^t(x,\underline{\cdot}),\pi) o 0 \quad \text{ as } t o \infty.$$

We have already done the work to prove this theorem.

3/11

• The first point is the existence of the stationary distribution.

$$\star$$
 assume that the chain  $(\star t)_{t>0}$  is described using  $Q$ .

- The first point is the existence of the stationary distribution.
- Recall the notation  $\lambda_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{j}_j$ ,  $\Lambda = \max_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i$ .
- Since  $\Omega$  is finite,  $\Lambda < \infty$ . In the case when  $\Lambda < \infty$ , we had a simpler way of simulating  $(X_t)_{t \gg 0}$  given  $\Theta$ .

in this case, we were able to show

that 
$$N(t)$$
 is a ppp

 $X_t = Y_N(t)$   $Y_N$  is a DTMC.

- The first point is the existence of the stationary distribution.
- Recall the notation  $\lambda_i = \sum_{i \neq i} q_i$ ,  $\Lambda = \max_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i$ .
- Since  $\Omega$  is finite,  $\Lambda < \infty$ . In this case, recall that we have the representation  $X_t = Y_{N(t)}$ , where N(t) is a PPP with rate  $\lambda$  and  $Y_n$  is a DTMC with the transition matrix

$$U_{ij} = \frac{q_{ij}}{\Lambda} \quad \forall i \neq j \qquad \qquad U_{ii} = 1 - \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda}$$

- The first point is the existence of the stationary distribution.
- Recall the notation  $\lambda_i = \sum_{i \neq i} q_i$ ,  $\Lambda = \max_{i \in \Omega} \lambda_i$ .
- Since  $\Omega$  is finite,  $\Lambda < \infty$ . In this case, recall that we have the representation  $X_t = Y_{N(t)}$ , where N(t) is a PPP with rate  $\lambda$  and  $Y_n$  is a DTMC with the transition matrix

$$U_{ij} = rac{q_{ij}}{\Lambda} \quad orall i 
eq j \qquad \qquad U_{ii} = 1 - rac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda}$$

• Since  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is irreducible, so is U, and hence, it has a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$ .

• We can check that  $\pi Q = 0$ .

• We can check that  $\pi Q = 0$ . Indeed,

$$(\pi \bigcirc \gamma)_{k} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \pi_{i} q_{ij} = \pi_{j} q_{jj} + \sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{i} q_{ij}$$

• We can check that  $\pi Q = 0$ . Indeed,

It 
$$\pi Q=0$$
. Indeed, 
$$\sum_{i\in\Omega}\pi_iq_{ij}=\pi_jq_{jj}+\sum_{j\neq i}\pi_iq_{ij}$$
 
$$=-\pi_j\lambda_j+\sum_{i\neq j}\pi_iU_{ij}\Lambda$$
 
$$\lambda_j=-q_{ij}$$
 
$$\lambda_j=-q_{ij}$$
 
$$\lambda_j=-q_{ij}$$

• We can check that  $\pi Q = 0$ . Indeed,

5/11

$$\sum_{i \in \Omega} \pi_i q_{ij} = \pi_j q_{jj} + \sum_{j \neq i} \pi_i q_{ij}$$

$$= -\pi_j \lambda_j + \sum_{i \neq j} \underline{\pi_i U_{ij}} \Lambda$$

$$= -\pi_j \lambda_j + \Lambda \sum_{i \in \Omega} \underline{\pi_i U_{ij}} - \Lambda \underline{\pi_j U_{jj}}$$

$$= -\pi_j \lambda_j + \Lambda \underline{\pi_j} - \pi_j (\Lambda - \lambda_j)$$

$$= 0.$$

Lecture 25 STATS 217

Note that

No know: ρ is an irr + aperiodic hansilion mam'x

on finite Ω, then

mox TV (ρη(x, ), π)

Yn w/ Wansilion momx P. (= p2)

We know that P1 is irr + aperiodic ( Levy's dichotomy)

$$=) \underset{r}{\text{max}} \text{TV} \left( 2^{n} (x_{1} \cdot ), \pi \right) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$$

Recall: that for DTMC:

we found \$ some 0,70 s.t.

Lecture 25

STATS 217

6/11

every entry of 1°0 > 0. then we showed

Note that

$$\mathsf{TV}(P^{t+s}(x,\cdot),\pi) = \mathsf{TV}(\underbrace{\overline{\delta_x P^t}}P^s,\underbrace{\pi P^s}) \\ \leq \mathsf{TV}(\delta_x P^t,\pi).$$

constant dishibution at x i.e. LOLI - dimensional row vector which is 1 at x

0 elsewhere

Lecture 25

STATS 217

6/11

Note that

$$TV(P^{t+s}(x,\cdot),\pi) = TV(\delta_x P^t P^s, \pi P^s)$$
  
$$\leq TV(\delta_x P^t, \pi).$$

• Hence,  $\mathsf{TV}(P^t(x,\cdot),\pi)$  is non-increasing in t, so it suffices to show that it converges to 0 along (say) the natural numbers.

(i) first gen. joint sample from  $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\chi}, \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{x} & \rho^{t}, & \sigma \\ \hat{\chi}, \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{x} & \rho^{t}, & \pi \\ \hat{\chi}, \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{x} & \rho^{t}, & \pi \\ \hat{\chi}, \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{x} & \rho^{t} & \rho^{s}, & \pi \\ \hat{\chi}, \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{x} & \rho^{t} & \rho^{s}, & \pi \\ \hat{\chi}, \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla (\delta_{x} & \rho^{t} & \rho^{s}, & \pi \\ \leq T \nabla (\delta_{x} & \rho^{t}, & \pi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left[ \hat{\chi}, \hat{\chi} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left[ \hat{\chi} \right]$ 

Note that

- Hence,  $\mathsf{TV}(P^t(x,\cdot),\pi)$  is non-increasing in t, so it suffices to show that it converges to 0 along (say) the natural numbers.
- But  $P^1$  is an irreducible and aperiodic transition matrix with unique stationary distribution  $\pi$ , so that by looking at the corresponding DTMC, we have

$$\mathsf{TV}(P^n(x,\cdot),\pi) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Lecture 25 STATS 217 6 / 11

# Example: MM 1 queues Servers

• This is a popular queuing model in which the arrival of customers is modelled by a Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$ . There is a single server, and service times are independent and exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ .

### Example: M/M/1 queues

- This is a popular queuing model in which the arrival of customers is modelled by a Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$ . There is a single server, and service times are independent and exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ .
- Due to the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution, this can be modelled as a continuous time birth and death chain with jump rates

### Example: M/M/1 queues

- This is a popular queuing model in which the arrival of customers is modelled by a Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$ . There is a single server, and service times are independent and exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ .
- Due to the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution, this can be modelled as a continuous time birth and death chain with jump rates

$$Q_{n,n+1} = \lambda, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$
  
$$Q_{n,n-1} = \mu, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

• Suppose instead that there are s servers, and customers are served if there is at least one server available. This is called the M/M/s queueing model,

### Example: M/M/1 queues

- This is a popular queuing model in which the arrival of customers is modelled by a Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$ . There is a single server, and service times are independent and exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ .
- Due to the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution, this can be modelled as a continuous time birth and death chain with jump rates

$$Q_{n,n+1} = \lambda, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$
  
 $Q_{n,n-1} = \mu, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

• Suppose instead that there are s servers, and customers are served if there is at least one server available. This is called the M/M/s queueing model, and the jump rates are now

# M/M/1 queues applical pate

• Suppose that  $\lambda < \mu$  i.e., the rate of arrivals is smaller than the rate of service. Otherwise, the size of the queue explodes.

- Suppose that  $\lambda < \mu$  i.e., the rate of arrivals is smaller than the rate of service. Otherwise, the size of the queue explodes.
- When  $\lambda < \mu$ , we can use the detailed balance conditions

$$\pi_i Q_{ij} = \pi_j Q_{ji}$$

- Suppose that  $\lambda < \mu$  i.e., the rate of arrivals is smaller than the rate of service. Otherwise, the size of the queue explodes.
- When  $\lambda < \mu$ , we can use the detailed balance conditions

$$\pi_i Q_{ij} = \pi_j Q_{ji}$$

to find the stationary distribution

$$\pi_n = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

- Suppose that  $\lambda < \mu$  i.e., the rate of arrivals is smaller than the rate of service. Otherwise, the size of the queue explodes.
- When  $\lambda < \mu$ , we can use the detailed balance conditions

$$\pi_i Q_{ij} = \pi_j Q_{ji}$$

to find the stationary distribution

$$\pi_n = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

 Given this stationary distribution, one can compute many quantities of interest.

- Suppose that  $\lambda < \mu$  i.e., the rate of arrivals is smaller than the rate of service. Otherwise, the size of the queue explodes.
- When  $\lambda < \mu$ , we can use the detailed balance conditions

$$\pi_i Q_{ij} = \pi_i Q_{ji}$$

to find the stationary distribution

$$\pi_n = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

• Given this stationary distribution, one can compute many quantities of interest. For instance, the long-run fraction of time that the server is busy is

$$1-\pi_0=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}.$$

(1) waiting time / time spent in the system.

Moreover, the expected length of the queue under the equilibrium distribution is

$$L = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\pi_n = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}. \qquad \lambda = 10$$

 Moreover, the expected length of the queue under the equilibrium distribution is

$$L = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \pi_n = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

• Another important quantity is the total time T (waiting time + time with the server) spent by a customer in the system.

 Moreover, the expected length of the queue under the equilibrium distribution is

$$L = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \pi_n = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

- Another important quantity is the total time T (waiting time + time with the server) spent by a customer in the system.
- If there are *n* customers already in the system when a new customer joins the queue,

 Moreover, the expected length of the queue under the equilibrium distribution is

$$L = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \pi_n = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

- Another important quantity is the total time T (waiting time + time with the server) spent by a customer in the system.
- If there are n customers already in the system when a new customer joins the queue, then since service times are i.i.d. exponentials with parameter  $\mu$ , the total time spent by the customer is distributed as a sum of n+1i.i.d. exponentials with parameter  $\mu$ .

• Then, using the law of total probability, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[T \leq t] = \mathbb{P}[T \leq t \mid n \text{ customers already in the system}] \cdot \pi_n$$

• Then, using the law of total probability, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[T \leq t] &= \mathbb{P}[T \leq t \mid n \text{ customers already in the system}] \cdot \pi_n \\ &= 1 - \exp(-t(\mu - \lambda)), \end{split}$$

• Then, using the law of total probability, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[T \le t] = \mathbb{P}[T \le t \mid n \text{ customers already in the system}] \cdot \pi_n$$
$$= 1 - \exp(-t(\mu - \lambda)),$$

i.e. T has exponential distribution with mean

10 / 11

$$W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} \qquad W \sim E \times \rho (5)$$

$$W \sim M \times \rho (5)$$

$$W \sim E \times \rho$$

• Then, using the law of total probability, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[T \leq t] &= \mathbb{P}[T \leq t \mid n \text{ customers already in the system}] \cdot \pi_n \\ &= 1 - \exp(-t(\mu - \lambda)), \end{split}$$

i.e. T has exponential distribution with mean

$$W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{L}{\lambda}.$$

• The relationship

$$L = \lambda W$$

is called Little's law

The relationship

$$L = \lambda W$$

is called **Little's law** and is true even without the specific distributional assumptions (i.e. Poisson arrivals and exponential waiting times).

The relationship

$$L = \lambda W$$

is called **Little's law** and is true even without the specific distributional assumptions (i.e. Poisson arrivals and exponential waiting times). Such queues are called GI/G/1 queues.

The relationship

$$L = \lambda W$$

- is called **Little's law** and is true even without the specific distributional assumptions (i.e. Poisson arrivals and exponential waiting times). Such queues are called GI/G/1 queues.
- Here's the intuition: Suppose each customer pays \$1 for each minute of time they spend in the system. When there are n customers in the system, the establishment is earning n per minute, and hence, the establishment is earning an average of L per minute.

The relationship

$$L = \lambda W$$

is called **Little's law** and is true even without the specific distributional assumptions (i.e. Poisson arrivals and exponential waiting times). Such queues are called GI/G/1 queues.

- Here's the intuition: Suppose each customer pays \$1 for each minute of time they spend in the system. When there are n customers in the system, the establishment is earning n per minute, and hence, the establishment is earning an average of L per minute.
- On the other hand, if each customer pays for their entire duration when they arrive, then the average rate of earning is  $\lambda \times W$  per minute.