#### STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

Lecture 4

- Consider a single bacterium in an ideal environment. We call this the generation 0 bacterium.
- This bacterium gives birth to  $\xi$  bacteria, where  $\xi$  is a non-negative integer valued random variable. We call these the generation 1 bacteria.

- Consider a single bacterium in an ideal environment. We call this the generation 0 bacterium.
- This bacterium gives birth to  $\xi$  bacteria, where  $\xi$  is a non-negative integer valued random variable. We call these the generation 1 bacteria.
- Generally, let the generation k bacteria be  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ . Then,  $b_i$  gives birth to  $\xi_i$  bacteria where  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k$  are i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ .

- Consider a single bacterium in an ideal environment. We call this the generation 0 bacterium.
- This bacterium gives birth to  $\xi$  bacteria, where  $\xi$  is a non-negative integer valued random variable. We call these the generation 1 bacteria.
- Generally, let the generation k bacteria be  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ . Then,  $b_i$  gives birth to  $\xi_i$  bacteria where  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k$  are i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ .
- What is the probability that the bacteria population goes extinct?

- Consider a single bacterium in an ideal environment. We call this the generation 0 bacterium.
- This bacterium gives birth to  $\xi$  bacteria, where  $\xi$  is a non-negative integer valued random variable. We call these the generation 1 bacteria.
- Generally, let the generation k bacteria be  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ . Then,  $b_i$  gives birth to  $\xi_i$  bacteria where  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k$  are i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ .
- What is the probability that the bacteria population goes extinct?
- This problem was studied by Galton and Watson in relation to the propagation of last names in Victorian England.

Let  $Z_n$  denote the number of bacteria in generation n and let  $(\xi_{i,j})$  denote i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ . Then,

•  $Z_0 = 1$ ,

Let  $Z_n$  denote the number of bacteria in generation n and let  $(\xi_{i,j})$  denote i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ . Then,

- $Z_0 = 1$ ,
- $Z_1 = \xi_{0,1}$ ,

Let  $Z_n$  denote the number of bacteria in generation n and let  $(\xi_{i,j})$  denote i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ . Then,

- $Z_0 = 1$ ,
- $Z_1 = \xi_{0,1}$ ,
- $Z_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_1} \xi_{1,i}, \ldots$

Let  $Z_n$  denote the number of bacteria in generation n and let  $(\xi_{i,j})$  denote i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ . Then,

- $Z_0 = 1$ ,
- $Z_1 = \xi_{0,1}$ ,
- $Z_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_1} \xi_{1,i}, \ldots$
- $Z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{k-1}} \xi_{k-1,i}$ .

Note that if  $Z_i=0$  for some  $i\geq 1$ , then  $Z_j=0$  for all  $j\geq i$ . This corresponds to the extinction of the population.

3 / 15

Let  $Z_n$  denote the number of bacteria in generation n and let  $(\xi_{i,j})$  denote i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ . Then,

- $Z_0 = 1$ ,
- $Z_1 = \xi_{0,1}$ ,
- $Z_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_1} \xi_{1,i}, \ldots$
- $Z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{k-1}} \xi_{k-1,i}$ .

Note that if  $Z_i=0$  for some  $i\geq 1$ , then  $Z_j=0$  for all  $j\geq i$ . This corresponds to the extinction of the population.

Formally, we say that 0 is an **absorbing state** for the process  $(Z_n)_{n>0}$ .

3 / 15

- We have a branching process  $(Z_n)_{n\geq 0}$  with **offspring distribution**  $\xi$ .
- We are interested in the probability that the population survives i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_n \geq 1 \quad \forall n].$$

- We have a branching process  $(Z_n)_{n\geq 0}$  with **offspring distribution**  $\xi$ .
- We are interested in the probability that the population survives i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_n \geq 1 \quad \forall n].$$

ullet Trivial case: Suppose  $\mathbb{P}[\xi \geq 1] = 1$ . Then,  $\mathbb{P}[Z_n \geq 1 \quad \forall n] = 1$ .

4 / 15

- We have a branching process  $(Z_n)_{n\geq 0}$  with **offspring distribution**  $\xi$ .
- We are interested in the probability that the population survives i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_n \geq 1 \quad \forall n].$$

- Trivial case: Suppose  $\mathbb{P}[\xi \geq 1] = 1$ . Then,  $\mathbb{P}[Z_n \geq 1 \quad \forall n] = 1$ .
- Hence, we may assume that for all integers  $k \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[\xi=k]=:p_k$$

with  $0 < p_0 < 1$ .

Lecture 4 STATS 217 4 / 15

Suppose that  $\mu := \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ . What is the expectation of  $Z_n$ ?

Suppose that  $\mu := \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ . What is the expectation of  $Z_n$ ?

•  $\mathbb{E}[Z_0] = 1$ .

Suppose that  $\mu := \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ . What is the expectation of  $Z_n$ ?

- $\mathbb{E}[Z_0] = 1$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{0,1}] = \mu$ .

Suppose that  $\mu := \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ . What is the expectation of  $Z_n$ ?

- $\mathbb{E}[Z_0] = 1$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{0,1}] = \mu$ .

•

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{Z_1} \xi_{1,i}\right]$$

Suppose that  $\mu := \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ . What is the expectation of  $Z_n$ ?

- $\mathbb{E}[Z_0] = 1$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mathbb{E}[\xi_{0,1}] = \mu$ .

•

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{Z_1} \xi_{1,i}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{z \ge 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{z} \xi_{i,1}\right] \mathbb{P}[Z_1 = z]$$

$$= \sum_{z \ge 0} z \mu \mathbb{P}[Z_1 = z]$$

$$= \mu \sum_{z \ge 0} z \mathbb{P}[Z_1 = z]$$

$$= \mu \cdot \mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mu^2.$$

5 / 15

• Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}] = \mu^n$ .

- Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}] = \mu^n$ .
- $\bullet$  This shows that if  $\mu<$  1, then with probability 1, the population becomes extinct.

- Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}] = \mu^n$ .
- $\bullet$  This shows that if  $\mu <$  1, then with probability 1, the population becomes extinct.
- ullet Indeed, if  $\mu < 1$  (this is called the **subcritical case**), then

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_n \geq 1] \leq \mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu^n \to 0.$$

- Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}] = \mu^n$ .
- ullet This shows that if  $\mu <$  1, then with probability 1, the population becomes extinct.
- ullet Indeed, if  $\mu < 1$  (this is called the **subcritical case**), then

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_n \ge 1] \le \mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mu^n \to 0.$$

- What about the case when  $\mu > 1$ ?
- If  $\mu=1$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n]=1$  and if  $\mu>1$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n]\to\infty$ , but this doesn't say anything about the probability of survival.

ullet To analyse the case  $\mu \geq 1$ , we will use first step analysis.

- ullet To analyse the case  $\mu \geq 1$ , we will use first step analysis.
- ullet Let ho denote the probability that the population eventually dies out so that

$$\rho=\mathbb{P}[Z_n=0 \text{ for some } n\geq 1].$$

- To analyse the case  $\mu \geq 1$ , we will use first step analysis.
- ullet Let ho denote the probability that the population eventually dies out so that

$$\rho = \mathbb{P}[Z_n = 0 \text{ for some } n \ge 1].$$

• Suppose that the bacterium b in generation 0 has k children  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ . Then, the population dies out if and only if the subpopulations starting at  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$  die out. Moreover, the probability of each of these subpopulations dying out is also  $\rho$ .

Lecture 4 STATS 217 7 / 15

• Therefore,

$$\rho = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[\xi_{0,1} = k] \rho^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} \rho^{k} = \phi(\rho),$$

where

$$\phi(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k z^k$$

is the **generating function** of  $(p_k)_{k\geq 0}$ .

8 / 15

• Therefore,

$$\rho = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[\xi_{0,1} = k] \rho^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} \rho^{k} = \phi(\rho),$$

where

$$\phi(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k z^k$$

is the **generating function** of  $(p_k)_{k>0}$ .

 So, we see that the probability of extinction is a fixed point of the generating function i.e. a solution of

$$\rho = \phi(\rho)$$
.

We saw that the probability of extinction is a solution of

$$\rho = \phi(\rho) = \sum_{k>0} p_k \rho^k.$$

• We saw that the probability of extinction is a solution of

$$\rho = \phi(\rho) = \sum_{k>0} p_k \rho^k.$$

Since

$$\phi(1)=\sum_{k\geq 0}p_k=1,$$

we see that 1 is always a solution of  $\rho = \phi(\rho)$ .

We saw that the probability of extinction is a solution of

$$\rho = \phi(\rho) = \sum_{k>0} p_k \rho^k.$$

Since

$$\phi(1)=\sum_{k\geq 0}p_k=1,$$

we see that 1 is always a solution of  $\rho = \phi(\rho)$ .

• However, this does not mean that the extinction probability is 1, since there may be other solutions to  $\rho = \phi(\rho)$ .

9 / 15

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$$
.

ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$$
.

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].

Recall that  $\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$ .

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].
- $\phi(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ .

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$$
.

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].
- $\phi(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ .
- $\phi(1) = 1$ .

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} p_k z^k$$
.

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].
- $\phi(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ .
- $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- $\bullet \ \phi'(z) = \sum_{k \ge 1} k p_k z^{k-1}.$

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$$
.

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].
- $\phi(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ .
- $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- $\bullet \ \phi'(z) = \sum_{k>1} k p_k z^{k-1}.$
- Hence,  $\phi'(1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} k p_k = \mu$ .

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$$
.

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].
- $\phi(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ .
- $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- $\bullet \ \phi'(z) = \sum_{k>1} k p_k z^{k-1}.$
- Hence,  $\phi'(1) = \sum_{k > 1} k p_k = \mu$ .
- $\phi''(z) = \sum_{k \ge 2} k(k-1)p_k z^{k-2} > 0 \text{ for } z \in (0,1].$

Recall that 
$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k>0} p_k z^k$$
.

- ullet  $\phi$  is non-decreasing on [0,1].
- ullet  $\phi$  is continuous on [0,1].
- $\phi(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ .
- $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- $\bullet \ \phi'(z) = \sum_{k>1} k p_k z^{k-1}.$
- Hence,  $\phi'(1) = \sum_{k > 1} k p_k = \mu$ .
- $\phi''(z) = \sum_{k \ge 2} k(k-1)p_k z^{k-2} > 0$  for  $z \in (0,1]$ .
- ullet Hence,  $\phi$  is strictly convex on (0,1].

Let 
$$g(\rho) = \phi(\rho) - \rho$$
. We are interested in the solutions of  $g(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho = [0, 1]$ .

Let 
$$g(\rho) = \phi(\rho) - \rho$$
. We are interested in the solutions of  $g(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho = [0, 1]$ .

• We have  $g(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ , g(1) = 0.

Let  $g(\rho) = \phi(\rho) - \rho$ . We are interested in the solutions of  $g(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho = [0, 1]$ .

- We have  $g(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ , g(1) = 0.
- $g''(\rho) = \phi''(\rho) > 0$  for  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ .

Let  $g(\rho) = \phi(\rho) - \rho$ . We are interested in the solutions of  $g(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho = [0, 1]$ .

- We have  $g(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ , g(1) = 0.
- $g''(\rho) = \phi''(\rho) > 0$  for  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ .
- $g'(\rho) = \phi'(\rho) 1$ .

Let  $g(\rho) = \phi(\rho) - \rho$ . We are interested in the solutions of  $g(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho = [0, 1]$ .

- We have  $g(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ , g(1) = 0.
- $g''(\rho) = \phi''(\rho) > 0$  for  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ .
- $g'(\rho) = \phi'(\rho) 1$ .
- So, we have two cases:
  - If  $\phi'(1) \le 1$ , then  $g'(1) \le 0$  and  $g'(\rho) < 0$  for all  $\rho \in [0,1)$ . Hence, the only solution of  $g(\rho) = 0$  is at  $\rho = 1$ .

Lecture 4 STATS 217 11 / 15

Let  $g(\rho) = \phi(\rho) - \rho$ . We are interested in the solutions of  $g(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho = [0, 1]$ .

- We have  $g(0) = p_0 \in (0,1)$ , g(1) = 0.
- $g''(\rho) = \phi''(\rho) > 0$  for  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ .
- $g'(\rho) = \phi'(\rho) 1$ .
- So, we have two cases:
  - If  $\phi'(1) \le 1$ , then  $g'(1) \le 0$  and  $g'(\rho) < 0$  for all  $\rho \in [0,1)$ . Hence, the only solution of  $g(\rho) = 0$  is at  $\rho = 1$ .
  - If  $\phi'(1) > 1$ , then g'(1) > 0. So, there exists exactly one  $\rho \in (0,1)$  such that  $g(\rho) = 0$ .

#### Critical case

• We know that the extinction probability  $\rho$  is a solution of  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$ .

#### Critical case

- We know that the extinction probability  $\rho$  is a solution of  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$ .
- We also saw that when  $\mu = \phi'(1) = 1$ , this equation has only one solution:  $\rho = 1$ .

#### Critical case

- We know that the extinction probability  $\rho$  is a solution of  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$ .
- We also saw that when  $\mu = \phi'(1) = 1$ , this equation has only one solution:  $\rho = 1$ .
- Therefore, if  $\mu=1$  (this is called the **critical case**), we see that  $\rho=1$ .

• It remains to deal with the case when  $\mu>1$  (this is called the **supercritical** case).

- It remains to deal with the case when  $\mu>1$  (this is called the **supercritical** case).
- In this case,  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$  has two solutions:  $\rho^* < 1$  and 1.
- We claim that the extinction probability in this case is  $\rho^*$ .

- It remains to deal with the case when  $\mu > 1$  (this is called the **supercritical** case).
- In this case,  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$  has two solutions:  $\rho^* < 1$  and 1.
- We claim that the extinction probability in this case is  $\rho^*$ .
- To see this, let

$$\rho_n=\mathbb{P}[Z_n=0].$$

- It remains to deal with the case when  $\mu > 1$  (this is called the **supercritical** case).
- In this case,  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$  has two solutions:  $\rho^* < 1$  and 1.
- We claim that the extinction probability in this case is  $\rho^*$ .
- To see this, let

$$\rho_n = \mathbb{P}[Z_n = 0].$$

• Then, by first step analysis, we have

$$\rho_n = \sum_{k>0} p_k \rho_{n-1}^k = \phi(\rho_{n-1}).$$

- We have  $\rho_n = \phi(\rho_{n-1})$ .
- Since  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function,  $\rho_0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \dots$

- We have  $\rho_n = \phi(\rho_{n-1})$ .
- Since  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function,  $\rho_0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \dots$
- Since  $\rho_0 \leq \rho^*$ , it follows that

$$\rho_1 = \phi(\rho_0) \le \phi(\rho^*) = \rho^*.$$

- We have  $\rho_n = \phi(\rho_{n-1})$ .
- Since  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function,  $\rho_0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \dots$
- Since  $\rho_0 \leq \rho^*$ , it follows that

$$\rho_1 = \phi(\rho_0) \le \phi(\rho^*) = \rho^*.$$

• Iterating this shows that  $\rho_n \leq \rho^*$  for all n.

- We have  $\rho_n = \phi(\rho_{n-1})$ .
- Since  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function,  $\rho_0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \dots$
- Since  $\rho_0 \leq \rho^*$ , it follows that

$$\rho_1 = \phi(\rho_0) \le \phi(\rho^*) = \rho^*.$$

- Iterating this shows that  $\rho_n \leq \rho^*$  for all n.
- Therefore,

$$\rho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[Z_n = 0] = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n \le \rho^*.$$

- We have  $\rho_n = \phi(\rho_{n-1})$ .
- Since  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function,  $\rho_0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \dots$
- Since  $\rho_0 \leq \rho^*$ , it follows that

$$\rho_1 = \phi(\rho_0) \le \phi(\rho^*) = \rho^*.$$

- Iterating this shows that  $\rho_n \leq \rho^*$  for all n.
- Therefore,

$$\rho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[Z_n = 0] = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n \le \rho^*.$$

• Finally, since  $\rho = \phi(\rho)$ , it must be the case that  $\rho = \rho^*$ .

# Summary

Thus, we have established the following theorem.

- Let  $(Z_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a branching process with  $Z_0=1$  and common offspring distribution  $\xi$ .
- Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$  and let  $\phi(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{P}[\xi = k] z^k$ .
- Suppose that  $0 < p_0 = \mathbb{P}[\xi = 0] < 1$ .
- ullet Let ho be the probability of extinction.
- Then,  $\rho$  is the smallest solution of  $\phi(z) = z$ ,  $z \in [0,1]$ .
- If  $\mu \leq 1$ , then  $\rho = 1$ .
- If  $\mu > 1$ , then  $\rho < 1$ .