

2. Now, we know  $A = \{\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_K\}$ . This gives a

linear transformation of  $\bar{x}_n = A\bar{z}_n + \bar{z}_n$  ;  $\epsilon_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \psi)$   
with a prior of  $p(\bar{z}_n) = \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma^{-1} I_K)$ .

and likelihood  $p(\bar{x}_n | \bar{z}_n, A) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}_n | A\bar{z}_n + b, \psi)$

Using Bayes Theorem for Gaussians,

~~$p(\bar{z}_n)$~~   $p(\bar{z}_n) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{z}_n | 0, \Lambda^{-1})$  where  $\Lambda = \gamma I_K$

and  $p(\bar{x}_n | \bar{z}_n, A) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}_n | A\bar{z}_n, L^{-1})$  where  $L = \psi^{-1}$

we know that

$$p(\bar{z}_n | \bar{x}_n, A) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{z}_n | \Sigma \{A^T \bar{x}_n + \Lambda \cdot 0\}, \Sigma) ; \Sigma = (\Lambda + A^T L A)^{-1} \\ = \mathcal{N}(\bar{z}_n | (\gamma I_K + A^T \psi^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T \psi^{-1} \bar{x}_n, (\gamma I_K + A^T \psi^{-1} A)^{-1})$$

since  $\bar{z}_n$  only depends on  $\bar{x}_n$  and not  $\bar{x}_k$  for  $k \neq n$ , therefore,

$$p(\bar{z}_n | \bar{x}, A) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{z}_n | ((\gamma I_K + A^T \psi^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T \psi^{-1} \bar{x}_n), (\gamma I_K + A^T \psi^{-1} A)^{-1})$$