

Minutes from Jakarta Steering Committee meeting of June 19

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazamura (present)

IBM: Dan Bandera (present)

Oracle: Ed Bratt (substituting for Will Lyons, and will manage agenda), both present

Payara: Steve Millidge (present)

Red Hat: Mark Little (sent regrets) Scott Stark was present

Tomitribe: Richard Monson-Haefel (David Blevins, was present)

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich (sent regrets, designated Paul White as proxy)

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Review of minutes from the June 5 Steering Committee meeting.

No further changes were requested.

Dan seconded the motion to approve.

Hearing no objection, minutes of June 5 meeting were approved as circulated

Administrivia

Paul will extend the meeting invitation through the end of September.

Please designate delegates/proxies when you know you will not be available for this meeting.

Marketing Committee Update

The Social Media Policy was distributed on June 6 (distributed to jakarta.ee-marketing.committee).

David Blevins raised concern that Eclipse Foundation reserves twitter account credentials and that author access of this social media voice is overly constrained. David requests consideration of a "technical" Twitter account voice. Marketing committee agreed that this could be revisited later in the fall. David asked that some target metrics be established. Once metrics are captured and being reported we'd like the Marketing committee to inform the steering committee that these are available.

Shared folder created for marketing committee materials:

Updates from F2F meeting in France?

Some members had a face to face discussion.

(Post meeting update: Meet-up minutes from France are available on Marketing Google Drive folder).

Will asked if there were any updates on Marketing budget? (Confirmed this was a topic, but uncertain if any specifics were determined).

Spec Committee Update

Update on spec requirements document and readiness for publishing.

Does this committee recommend this document for publication? (+1 requested by by Mike)

Opened this discussion by noting there is still debate about how / when IP rights are transferred (Dan). Dan would like this to be elaborated more carefully before initial publication, and would like the opportunity for IBM legal review.

Scott noted that Eclipse IP advisory committee issues aren't being folded into this document. Ian raised concerns that visibility into actions of this committee is difficult to obtain. Wayne confirmed that minutes are published. Ian requested that minutes be made available for review. (Wayne to look after this?)

Steering Committee is not prepared to give +1 yet. Referred back to the spec committee for further action, likely on Thursday.

Other updates from Eclipse BOD meeting

David reported that the BoD granted authority for some enhancements to contributor agreements without further Board approval.

General discussion of the need to improve coordination of recommendations on IP flows between Eclipse IP advisory committee and Jakarta EE Spec committee. For example, Ian suggested that the Spec. committee must lay out the objectives. Then, the IP committee should figure out how to codify this in a written agreement/document. We need to revisit this topic when Mike is present as he has the greatest visibility into the work of these groups.

Jakarta One conference was granted general approval for Mike to move forward. Budgets will need to be created etc.

The request for EPL/EDL dual-licensing for some of the EE4J projects was approved.

David Blevins asked whether TCK source code contributions may be delayed due to dependencies on legal agreements. David wants to deliver more than "Eclipse GlassFish is Java EE 8 certified" at EclipseCon and OpenWorld and wants to be able to announce that Eclipse GlassFish is tested against Eclipse TCKs built from sources contributed to Eclipse. Ed confirmed that Oracle's goals are still in alignment and have not changed from the road-map outlined at the April launch. Oracle will provide additional details for next week's meeting. Post meeting clarification from Tom: The approval for contribution of the TCK Source is on a similar path as is completion of the TCK binary license agreement for certification of Java EE 8 compatibility. Tom does not anticipate receiving approval for contribution of TCK source code prior to finalization of the TCK binary license agreement.

Technical Vision Update/PMC

The PMC has published Technical Mission/Vision document, on the EE4J Web site:

<https://www.eclipse.org/ee4j/news/>

Unclear if the marketing committee will be promoting this document.

Status of Oracle Contributions

EE4J Project Bootstrapping: <https://www.eclipse.org/ee4j/status.php>

The high-level status of EE4J projects (as of midday 6/5) was as follows (with progress in parentheses)

- 39 project proposals have been created
- 30 project committers and resources have been provisioned
- 24 of these projects have initial contributions provided to the Eclipse IP team
- 18 of these projects have the initial contribution pushed to the Git Repository

The high-level status of EE4J projects (as of midday 6/18) was as follows (with progress in parentheses)

- 39 project proposals have been created (no change)
- 30 project committers and resources have been provisioned (no change)
- 26 of these projects have initial contributions provided to the Eclipse IP team (+2)
- 21 of these projects have the initial contribution pushed to the Git Repository (+3)
-

Richard noted that Java EE components not owned by Oracle have not moved forward with respect to possible migration to Eclipse Foundation. This component list includes: Bean Validation, Batch, CDI. At this time, Red Hat (CDI and Bean Validation) does not have a plan to contribute their components to Eclipse Foundation. No update from other projects. Wayne would like to hear more discussion / debate about moving these projects, or not. The specification process should allow for external projects.

Recruitment of new members; Elections

Elections occurring the week of June 18 (this week).

Paul will send out a public note to this effect, and connect with nominees.

Paul reported this is underway. Should be completed by end of month.

Legal Documents

No significant updates from the last meeting

Oracle and Eclipse Foundation met on 6/5 and made progress on the TCK Agreement.
(No substantive discussion on this topic at the 6/19 meeting)

Budget for 2019

The Eclipse Foundation is requesting commitment to a multi-year budget by Sept 15. Feedback from participants is requested.

Steering committee would like to handle budget proposal first on the agenda, next week. Marketing budget is the biggest single component.

Will would like this broken down further. (post meeting update: Marketing committee is working on this and line-item detail is evolving. They hope to deliver a review copy with a target for week of July 10.

David Blevins would like GlassFish evolution and maintenance handled/itemized separately. This discussion was tabled due to lack of adequate time for proper consideration.

Other Business

Is the process for posting Steering Committee minutes publicly at the Jakarta EE Working Group web site in place? Wayne (or Paul) report that Eclipse is working on this, but it is not yet ready -- Suggested we ask again at the July 10 meeting.

Reminder - The July 3 (two weeks) Steering Committee meeting is cancelled.