Bayesian Linear Model

Lin Zhang

Department of Biostatistics School of Public Health University of Minnesota

Linear Regression Model

• Suppose we have n independent observations of response $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and an $n \times p$ design matrix $X = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p]$ (X is assumed to have been observed without error). The linear regression model relates the response \mathbf{y} to the predictors X as

$$y_i = X_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Or, equivalently

$$y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(X_i \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$$

Normal likelihood with different mean $\mu_i = X_i \beta$ and common variance σ^2 .

• Thus, we have joint distribution of y:

$$\mathbf{y} \sim N(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I_n),$$

with parameter set $\theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$. I_n : n-dimensional identity matrix.



Linear Regression Model

- The linear regression model is the most fundamental of all serious statistical models, encompassing ANOVA, regression, ANCOVA, random and mixed effect modelling, etc.
- Major problems of interest in regression:
 - Estimate the association between two variables while adjusting for other confounders
 - Select the set of variables that are associated with the an outcome.
 - Use one or more variables to predict an outcome.

Outline

- Frequentist Estimation
- Bayesian with Noninformative Prior
- Bayesian with Conjugate NIG Prior

Frequentist Estimation

 Recall from standard statistical analysis, the classical unbiased estimates of the parameters are

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y};$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} (\mathbf{y} - X^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{y} - X^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}).$$

- $-\hat{\beta}$ is also the ordinary least square estimate of β .
- $-\hat{\sigma}^2$ is just the sample variance s^2 .
- The predicted value of **y** is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\mathbf{y} = P_X\mathbf{y},$$

 $P_X = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$ is called the projector matrix of X. It is an operator that projects any vector to the space spanned by the columns of X.

Bayesian with Noninformative priors

- For the Bayesian analysis, we will need to specify priors for the unknown regression parameters β and variance σ^2 .
- We consider the improper prior:

$$\pi(oldsymbol{eta})=1; \pi(\sigma^2) \propto rac{1}{\sigma^2}, \quad ext{or equivalently } \pi(oldsymbol{eta},\sigma^2) \propto rac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

We thus have the hierarchical model

$$\mathbf{y} \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

 $\pi(\beta, \sigma^2) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$

• The joint posterior of (β, σ^2) is

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}) \propto N(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I_n) \times \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\propto (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2} - 1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right\}$$



Posterior distributions

• The conditional posterior distribution of β (for a given σ^2) is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\sigma^2,\mathbf{y}) & \propto & f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\beta},\sigma^2) \times \pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\sigma^2) \\ & \propto & \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta},\sigma^2I_n) \times 1 \\ & \propto & \mathcal{N}\Big((\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\mathbf{y}, \ \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\Big). \end{array}$$

That is, $p(\beta|\sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) = N(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}).$

- Analogous to frequentist estimation given σ^2 is known.
- When σ^2 is unknown, we need the marginal posterior distribution of β for posterior inference of β

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) = \int p(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) p(\sigma^2|\mathbf{y}) d\sigma^2$$

which requests the marginal posterior of σ^2 .



Posterior distributions (cont'd)

• The marginal posterior of σ^2 can be derived by integrating the joint posterior over β space

$$p(\sigma^{2}|\mathbf{y}) = \int p(\beta, \sigma^{2}|\mathbf{y})d\beta$$

$$\propto \int (\sigma^{2})^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)^{T}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)\right\}d\beta$$

$$= (\sigma^{2})^{-\frac{n}{2}-1}\sqrt{2\pi}(\sigma^{2})^{\frac{p}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-p)s^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right\}$$

$$\propto IG(\frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{(n-p)s^{2}}{2})$$

Therefore,
$$p(\sigma^2|\mathbf{y}) = IG((n-p)/2, (n-p)s^2/2)$$
.

• Note: This result parallels the classical inference: $\Rightarrow (n-p)s^2/\sigma^2$ follows a chi-square distribution.

Posterior distributions (cont'd)

• The marginal posterior of β is then obtained as

$$\begin{split} \rho(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) &= \int \rho(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\sigma^2,\mathbf{y})\rho(\sigma^2|\mathbf{y})d\sigma^2 \\ &= \int N(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}},\sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1})IG\left(\sigma^2|\frac{n-\rho}{2},\frac{(n-\rho)s^2}{2}\right)d\sigma^2 \\ &\propto \int (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1}\exp\left\{-\frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T(X^TX)(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})+(n-\rho)s^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}d\sigma^2 \\ &= \Gamma(n/2)\left[(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T(X^TX)(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})/2+(n-\rho)s^2/2\right]^{-n/2} \\ &\propto \left[1+\frac{(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T(X^TX)(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})}{(n-\rho)s^2}\right]^{-n/2} \end{split}$$

• This is a multivariate student-t density:

$$\begin{split} \mathit{MVSt}_{\nu}(\mu, \Sigma) &= \frac{\Gamma[(\nu + p)/2]}{(\pi \nu)^{p/2} \Gamma(\nu/2) |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \left[1 + \frac{(\beta - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\beta - \mu)}{\nu} \right]^{-(\nu + p)/2} \\ \text{with } \nu &= n - p, \; \mu = \hat{\beta}, \; \Sigma = s^2 (X^T X)^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Implication

ullet We have derived that the marginal posterior of eta is

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) = MVSt_{n-p}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, s^2(X^TX)^{-1})$$

• By properties of MVSt distribution, the marginal distribution of each individual regression parameter β_j is a univariate student-t with the same degree-of-freedom, i.e.

$$\frac{\beta_j - \hat{\beta}_j}{s\sqrt{(X^TX)_{jj}^{-1}}} \sim t_{n-p}.$$

• With the noninformative prior, the inference results of the Bayesian method are thesame as the frequentist regression.

Sampling-based approximation

- Again, we can use a simpler sampling based mechanism to approximate the posterior distribution.
- For each $i = 1, \ldots, M$,
 - 1. draw $\sigma_{(i)}^2 \sim p(\sigma^2|\mathbf{y}) = IG\left(\frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{(n-p)s^2}{2}\right)$
 - 2. draw $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(i)} \sim p(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) = N\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \sigma_{(i)}^2 (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\right)$.
- The resulting samples can be used to approximate the joint as well as marginal posteriors.

Prediction from Bayesian Linear Models

• Prediction for a new $m \times p$ covariance matrix \tilde{X} relies on the posterior predictive distribution

$$p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|\mathbf{y}) = \int p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|\boldsymbol{eta}, \sigma^2) p(\boldsymbol{eta}, \sigma^2|\mathbf{y}) d\boldsymbol{eta} d\sigma^2.$$

This is another multivariate student-t distribution

$$p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|\mathbf{y}) = MVSt_{n-p}\left(\tilde{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, s^{2}(I_{m} + \tilde{X}(X^{T}X)^{-1}\tilde{X})\right)$$

- Yet another way: sampling-based approximation
 - 1. draw $\sigma_{(i)}^2 \sim p(\sigma^2|\mathbf{y}) = IG\left(\frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{(n-p)s^2}{2}\right)$
 - 2. draw $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(i)} \sim p(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) = N\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \sigma_{(i)}^2(X^TX)^{-1}\right)$.
 - 3. draw $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{(i)}$ from $N\left(\tilde{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(i)}, \sigma_{(i)}^2 I\right)$

The NIG conjugate prior

• The Normal-Inverse-Gamma (NIG) prior is conjugate for the regression parameters (β, σ^2)

$$eta | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_{eta}, \sigma^2 V_{eta})$$
 $\sigma^2 \sim IG(a, b)$

denoted as $NIG(\mu_{\beta}, V_{\beta}, a, b)$.

• The resulting joint posterior is $p(\beta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}) = NIG(\mu^*, V^*, a^*, b^*)$

where
$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}^* &= (V_\beta^{-1} + X^T X)^{-1} (V_\beta \boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta + X^T \mathbf{y}) \\ V^* &= (V_\beta^{-1} + X^T X)^{-1} \\ a^* &= a + n/2 \\ b^* &= b + \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta^T V_\beta^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_\beta + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - (\boldsymbol{\mu}^*)^T (V^*)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}^* \right) / 2 \end{aligned}$$

• The marginal posterior is

$$p(\beta|\mathbf{y}) = MVSt_{2a^*}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \frac{b^*}{a^*}V^*), \quad p(\sigma^2|\mathbf{y}) = IG(a^*, b^*)$$



The NIG conjugate prior

• The noninformative prior $\pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = 1/\sigma^2$ can be considered as the limit of an NIG prior with

–
$$V_{eta}^{-1}
ightarrow 0$$
 (i.e. the null matrix)

$$-a \rightarrow -p/2$$

$$-b \rightarrow 0$$

and results in the posterior parameters

$$\mu^* = \hat{\beta}, \ V^* = (X^T X)^{-1}, \ a^* = \frac{n-p}{2}, \ b^* = \frac{(n-p)s^2}{2}$$

Bayesian Prediction

• The posterior predictive distribution is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|\mathbf{y}) &= \int \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|\beta, \sigma^{2}) \rho(\beta, \sigma^{2}|\mathbf{y}) d\beta d\sigma^{2} \\
&= \int N(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}|\tilde{X}\beta, \sigma^{2}I_{m}) \times NIG(\mu^{*}, V^{*}, a^{*}, b^{*}) d\beta d\sigma^{2} \\
&= MVSt_{2a^{*}} \left(\tilde{X}\mu^{*}, \frac{b^{*}}{a^{*}} (I_{m} + \tilde{X}V^{*}\tilde{X}^{T})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

- Note: There are two sources of uncertainty in the posterior predictive distribution
 - (1) the variability in the model due to residual errors
 - (2) the posterior uncertainty in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and σ^2 estimation

As the sample size $n \to \infty$, the variance due to estimation uncertainty *disappears*, but the predictive uncertainty *remains*.

 Similarly, we can use multi-stage sampling algorithm to approximate the posterior predictive distribution.



Marginal distribution m(y)

• With a proper NIG prior, we can obtain the marginal likelihood m(y)

$$m(\mathbf{y}) = \int f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \sigma^2) p(\beta, \sigma^2) d\beta d\sigma^2$$

$$= \int N(\mathbf{y}|X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n) \times NIG(\mu, V, a, b) d\beta d\sigma^2$$

$$= MVSt_{2a} \left(X\mu_{\beta}, \frac{b}{a} (I_n + XV_{\beta}X^T) \right)$$

 The closed-form marginal likelihood allows for straightforward model selection using Bayes Factor!

Key Summaries

- Bayesian analysis with Noninformative priors parallels the classical results.
- Using the NIG conjugate prior $NIG(\mu_{\beta}, V_{\beta}, a, b)$ for the linear model
 - The joint posterior $p(\beta, \sigma^2|\mathbf{y})$ is again an NIG distribution $NIG(\mu^*, V^*, a^*, b^*)$.
 - The marginal posterior $p(\sigma^2)$ is $IG(a^*, b^*)$; and the marginal posterior $p(\beta|\mathbf{y})$ is $MVSt_{2a^*}(\mu^*, \frac{b^*}{a^*}V^*)$.
 - The marginal distribution $m(\mathbf{y})$ is $MVSt_{2a}(X\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta}, \frac{b}{a}(I_n + XV_{\beta}X^T))$.
 - The posterior predictive distribution $p(\tilde{y}|\mathbf{y}) = MVSt_{2a^*}(\tilde{X}\boldsymbol{\mu}^*, \tfrac{b^*}{a^*}(I_m + \tilde{X}V^*\tilde{X}^T)).$
 - All these distributions can be well approximated using a sampling-based algorithm!
- The noninformative prior $\pi(\beta, \sigma^2) = 1/\sigma^2$ is the limit of an NIG prior with $V_{\beta}^{-1} \to 0$ (i.e. the null matrix), and $a \to -p/2$, $b \to 0$.