

Confirmatory Factor Analyses

Table of Contents

Three Factor.....	1
CFA.....	2
Two Factor.....	4
CFA.....	5
One Factor.....	6
CFA.....	7
ANOVA.....	9
Multilevel Model Fit Comarison	9

Three Factor

```
## maximum iteration exceeded

## Factor Analysis using method = pa
## Call: psych::fa(r = dom_polycor$correlations, nfactors = NumFactors,
##      n.obs = nrow(domain_data), rotate = "Promax", scores = "Bartlett",
##      fm = "pa")
## Standardized loadings (pattern matrix) based upon correlation matrix
##           PA2   PA3   PA1   h2   u2 com
## R_10      -0.17  0.72  0.13 0.49 0.51 1.2
## R_1000     0.23  0.21  0.34 0.50 0.50 2.5
## R_1_Mil    0.88 -0.11 -0.04 0.61 0.39 1.0
## R_1000_Past -0.08  0.04  0.68 0.43 0.57 1.0
## R_1000_Exp_0 0.36  0.07  0.19 0.32 0.68 1.6
## R_Snack    0.15  0.43 -0.14 0.20 0.80 1.4
##
##           PA2   PA3   PA1
## SS loadings      0.97 0.81 0.77
## Proportion Var    0.16 0.13 0.13
## Cumulative Var    0.16 0.30 0.43
## Proportion Explained 0.38 0.32 0.30
## Cumulative Proportion 0.38 0.70 1.00
##
## With factor correlations of
##      PA2   PA3   PA1
## PA2 1.00 0.72 0.72
## PA3 0.72 1.00 0.70
## PA1 0.72 0.70 1.00
##
## Mean item complexity = 1.5
## Test of the hypothesis that 3 factors are sufficient.
```

```
##
## The degrees of freedom for the null model are 15 and the objective
function was 1.13 with Chi Square of 1405.23
## The degrees of freedom for the model are 0 and the objective function was
0
##
## The root mean square of the residuals (RMSR) is 0.01
## The df corrected root mean square of the residuals is NA
##
## The harmonic number of observations is 1245 with the empirical chi square
1.05 with prob < NA
## The total number of observations was 1245 with Likelihood Chi Square =
1.33 with prob < NA
##
## Tucker Lewis Index of factoring reliability = -Inf
## Fit based upon off diagonal values = 1
## Measures of factor score adequacy
##
## Correlation of (regression) scores with factors PA2 PA3 PA1
## Multiple R square of scores with factors 0.86 0.83 0.82
## Minimum correlation of possible factor scores 0.75 0.69 0.68
## Minimum correlation of possible factor scores 0.49 0.37 0.36
```

CFA

```
## lavaan 0.6-6 ended normally after 75 iterations
##
## Estimator ML
## Optimization method NLMINB
## Number of free parameters 15
##
## Number of observations 1245
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
## Test statistic 19.804
## Degrees of freedom 6
## P-value (Chi-square) 0.003
##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
## Test statistic 1409.575
## Degrees of freedom 15
## P-value 0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
## Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 0.990
## Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 0.975
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
```

```

## Loglikelihood user model (H0) -19149.589
## Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -19139.687
##
## Akaike (AIC) 38329.178
## Bayesian (BIC) 38406.081
## Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 38358.434
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
## RMSEA 0.043
## 90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.023
## 90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.065
## P-value RMSEA <= 0.05 0.672
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
## SRMR 0.019
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
## Standard errors Standard
## Information Expected
## Information saturated (h1) model Structured
##
## Latent Variables:
## Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## Factor1 =~
## R_1_Mil 1.000
## R_1000_Exp_0 0.850 0.056 15.240 0.000
## Factor2 =~
## R_Snack 1.000
## R_10 1.415 0.133 10.641 0.000
## FActor3 =~
## R_1000_Past 1.000
## R_1000 1.345 0.085 15.856 0.000
##
## Covariances:
## Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## Factor1 ~~
## Factor2 2.697 0.296 9.118 0.000
## FActor3 4.056 0.325 12.465 0.000
## Factor2 ~~
## FActor3 2.583 0.281 9.203 0.000
##
## Variances:
## Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## .R_1_Mil 6.680 0.429 15.558 0.000
## .R_1000_Exp_0 6.848 0.366 18.696 0.000
## .R_Snack 10.804 0.491 22.010 0.000
## .R_10 6.037 0.522 11.556 0.000

```

```
##      .R_1000_Past      8.143      0.385      21.137      0.000
##      .R_1000          5.278      0.427      12.370      0.000
##      Factor1          5.586      0.532      10.505      0.000
##      Factor2          2.495      0.387       6.441      0.000
##      FActor3          3.759      0.404       9.313      0.000
```

Two Factor

```
## maximum iteration exceeded

## Factor Analysis using method = pa
## Call: psych::fa(r = dom_polycon$correlations, nfactors = 2, n.obs =
nrow(domain_data),
##      rotate = "Promax", scores = "Bartlett", fm = "pa")
## Standardized loadings (pattern matrix) based upon correlation matrix
##              PA1   PA2   h2   u2 com
## R_10         -0.09  0.85 0.61 0.39 1.0
## R_1000        0.59  0.16 0.51 0.49 1.1
## R_1_Mil       0.79 -0.15 0.46 0.54 1.1
## R_1000_Past   0.40  0.17 0.29 0.71 1.4
## R_1000_Exp_0  0.62 -0.04 0.35 0.65 1.0
## R_Snack       0.21  0.22 0.16 0.84 2.0
##
##              PA1   PA2
## SS loadings      1.55 0.83
## Proportion Var    0.26 0.14
## Cumulative Var    0.26 0.40
## Proportion Explained 0.65 0.35
## Cumulative Proportion 0.65 1.00
##
## With factor correlations of
##              PA1   PA2
## PA1 1.00 0.73
## PA2 0.73 1.00
##
## Mean item complexity = 1.3
## Test of the hypothesis that 2 factors are sufficient.
##
## The degrees of freedom for the null model are 15 and the objective
function was 1.13 with Chi Square of 1405.23
## The degrees of freedom for the model are 4 and the objective function was
0.01
##
## The root mean square of the residuals (RMSR) is 0.02
## The df corrected root mean square of the residuals is 0.04
##
## The harmonic number of observations is 1245 with the empirical chi square
14.43 with prob < 0.006
## The total number of observations was 1245 with Likelihood Chi Square =
17.8 with prob < 0.0014
```

```

##
## Tucker Lewis Index of factoring reliability = 0.963
## RMSEA index = 0.053 and the 90 % confidence intervals are 0.029 0.079
## BIC = -10.71
## Fit based upon off diagonal values = 1
## Measures of factor score adequacy
##
## Correlation of (regression) scores with factors    PA1  PA2
## Multiple R square of scores with factors          0.87 0.85
## Minimum correlation of possible factor scores      0.76 0.72
##                                                    0.52 0.44

```

CFA

```

## lavaan 0.6-6 ended normally after 53 iterations
##
## Estimator ML
## Optimization method NLMINB
## Number of free parameters 13
##
## Number of observations 1245
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
## Test statistic 35.549
## Degrees of freedom 8
## P-value (Chi-square) 0.000
##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
## Test statistic 1409.575
## Degrees of freedom 15
## P-value 0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
## Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 0.980
## Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 0.963
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
## Loglikelihood user model (H0) -19157.461
## Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -19139.687
##
## Akaike (AIC) 38340.923
## Bayesian (BIC) 38407.572
## Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 38366.278
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
## RMSEA 0.053
## 90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.036

```

```

## 90 Percent confidence interval - upper      0.071
## P-value RMSEA <= 0.05                      0.371
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
## SRMR                                         0.024
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
## Standard errors                          Standard
## Information                             Expected
## Information saturated (h1) model         Structured
##
## Latent Variables:
##           Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## Factor1 =~
##   R_1_Mil      1.000
##   R_1000_Exp_0 0.862   0.056  15.323   0.000
##   R_1000      1.173   0.066  17.653   0.000
##   R_1000_Past 0.881   0.058  15.058   0.000
## Factor2 =~
##   R_10         1.000
##   R_Snack      0.727   0.068  10.761   0.000
##
## Covariances:
##           Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## Factor1 ~~
##   Factor2      4.002   0.308  13.014   0.000
##
## Variances:
##           Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
##   .R_1_Mil      7.497   0.376  19.929   0.000
##   .R_1000_Exp_0 7.337   0.346  21.175   0.000
##   .R_1000      5.521   0.354  15.611   0.000
##   .R_1000_Past 8.204   0.383  21.438   0.000
##   .R_10        6.175   0.512  12.052   0.000
##   .R_Snack     10.734   0.491  21.856   0.000
##   Factor1      4.769   0.450  10.595   0.000
##   Factor2      4.859   0.579   8.387   0.000

```

One Factor

```

## Factor Analysis using method = pa
## Call: psych::fa(r = dom_polycor$correlations, nfactors = 1, n.obs =
nrow(domain_data),
##   rotate = "Promax", scores = "Bartlett", fm = "pa")
## Standardized loadings (pattern matrix) based upon correlation matrix
##           PA1   h2   u2 com
## R_10      0.57 0.33 0.67  1

```

```

## R_1000      0.73 0.53 0.47  1
## R_1_Mil     0.62 0.38 0.62  1
## R_1000_Past 0.55 0.30 0.70  1
## R_1000_Exp_0 0.57 0.33 0.67  1
## R_Snack     0.39 0.16 0.84  1
##
##              PA1
## SS loadings  2.02
## Proportion Var 0.34
##
## Mean item complexity = 1
## Test of the hypothesis that 1 factor is sufficient.
##
## The degrees of freedom for the null model are 15 and the objective
function was 1.13 with Chi Square of 1405.23
## The degrees of freedom for the model are 9 and the objective function was
0.04
##
## The root mean square of the residuals (RMSR) is 0.03
## The df corrected root mean square of the residuals is 0.04
##
## The harmonic number of observations is 1245 with the empirical chi square
44.07 with prob < 1.4e-06
## The total number of observations was 1245 with Likelihood Chi Square =
49.23 with prob < 1.5e-07
##
## Tucker Lewis Index of factoring reliability = 0.952
## RMSEA index = 0.06 and the 90 % confidence intervals are 0.044 0.077
## BIC = -14.91
## Fit based upon off diagonal values = 0.99
## Measures of factor score adequacy
##
##              PA1
## Correlation of (regression) scores with factors 0.88
## Multiple R square of scores with factors 0.77
## Minimum correlation of possible factor scores 0.54

```

CFA

```

## lavaan 0.6-6 ended normally after 36 iterations
##
##      Estimator              ML
##      Optimization method    NLMINB
##      Number of free parameters    12
##
##      Number of observations      1245
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
##      Test statistic      49.227
##      Degrees of freedom      9
##      P-value (Chi-square)    0.000

```

```

##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
##   Test statistic                1409.575
##   Degrees of freedom              15
##   P-value                        0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
##   Comparative Fit Index (CFI)      0.971
##   Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)        0.952
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
##   Loglikelihood user model (H0)    -19164.300
##   Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -19139.687
##
##   Akaike (AIC)                    38352.601
##   Bayesian (BIC)                   38414.124
##   Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 38376.006
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
##   RMSEA                          0.060
##   90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.044
##   90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.077
##   P-value RMSEA <= 0.05            0.143
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
##   SRMR                          0.029
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
##   Standard errors                Standard
##   Information                    Expected
##   Information saturated (h1) model Structured
##
## Latent Variables:
##
##           Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##   Factor1 =~
##     R_1000          1.000
##     R_1_Mil         0.854    0.048   17.687   0.000
##     R_1000_Exp_0    0.738    0.045   16.525   0.000
##     R_10           0.740    0.045   16.463   0.000
##     R_1000_Past     0.753    0.047   16.188   0.000
##     R_Snack         0.558    0.048   11.733   0.000
##
## Variances:
##
##           Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)

```


##	.R_1000	5.617	0.349	16.109	0.000
##	.R_1_Mil	7.546	0.375	20.127	0.000
##	.R_1000_Exp_0	7.358	0.346	21.287	0.000
##	.R_10	7.492	0.351	21.336	0.000
##	.R_1000_Past	8.231	0.382	21.548	0.000
##	.R_Snack	11.285	0.478	23.610	0.000
##	Factor1	6.466	0.505	12.811	0.000

ANOVA

Multilevel Model Fit Comarison

Multilevel Model Fit Comarison

	Three_Factor	Two_Factor
Two_Factor	0.0003811 ***	
One_Factor	1.825e-06 ***	0.000217 ***