Beverage Booker

Master Test Plan

VERSION INFORMATION

Version	Date	Remarks	Author
1.0	12/04/2020	First Test plan	Emily Carter
1.1	23/06/2020	Removed items relating to Event Booking and Table Booking from the following sections: - 2.2 Test Basis - 3.1.2 Technical Risk Analysis - 3.2 Test Strategy - 5 Test Objectives	Benn Curby
1.2	23/06/2020	- 3.1.1 Product Risk Analysis table: <u>Added:</u> ‘Integrity’ and ‘Audit’	Benn Curby
1.3	23/06/2020	- 3.1.2 Technical Risk Analysis: <u>Added Risk Area:</u> - ‘Manage Item Inventory’ - ‘Account Features’	Benn Curby
1.4	23/06/2020	- 3.2 Test Strategy: <u>Added:</u> - ‘Integrity’ - ‘Audit’ - ’Manage Item Inventory’ - ‘Account Features’	Benn Curby
1.5	23/06/2020	- 5 Test Objectives <u>Added:</u> - ’Manage Item Inventory’ - ‘Account Features’	Benn Curby
1.6	23/06/2020	- 2.1 Basis for the Master Test Plan <u>Added:</u> NFR Checklist NFR Specification <u>Updated:</u> Project Vision from v1.0 to v2.0	Benn Curby

1.7	23/06/2020	- 3.2 Test Strategy Edited: Test thoroughness values in table Added: Note about why we perform a static review on each test item	Benn Curby
1.8	24/06/2020	Added updated full use-case descriptions links for: -Create Account -Log In -Fill Cart -Place Order -View Active Order Added Note about Instrumented testing approach	Benn Curby
2.0	24/06/2020	LCAM Submission	Benn Curby
2.1	16/07/2020	Removed 'Account Features' from Technical Risk Analysis, Test Strategy and Test Objectives. Removed 'Customer - Search Menu' from the Test Basis table. Added 'View Account' to Technical Risk Analysis, Test Strategy and Test Objectives. Updated '2.1 Basis for the Master Test Plan'. Project Vision and NFR Spec & Checklist now link version 3.0	Benn Curby
3.0	17/07/20	LCAM re-submission	Benn Curby

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

Project objective

Beverage Booker is a system that will increase university cafes accessibility by giving them an online presence.

Test objective

The objective of the Master Test Plan (MTP) is to inform all who are involved in the test process about the approach, the activities, including the mutual relations and dependencies, and the (end) products to be delivered for the test project Beverage Booker.

Short description of the test approach

For this MTP the following test levels are acknowledged:

- Unit/Instrumented testing**
- Integration testing
- System testing
- Acceptance testing

****Note:** The team had difficulty performing proper unit tests within Android Studio. All team members had an attempt but we found we hit difficulty with correctly mocking new activity calls and API/Server calls.

We did find a testing tool called Robolectric that allows for the mocking of Activity objects, but unfortunately it seems this feature is no longer compatible with Android X, which is what our development environment is running.

In our research we did also discover that in general testing within Android can be difficult, and the architecture needs to be chosen with Unit testing in mind from the outset. The general approach is to modularise methods that are unit testable into a class, and then Unit test this class. We found in the end this would have, however, meant a lot of redesigning of our Android apps architecture/design pattern.

Due to time restrictions we took an approach of still trying to write automated tests, but instead using Instrumented tests as these tests allowed for the API calls that we were having difficulty mocking.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Project and project objective

Beverage Booker is a system that will increase university cafes accessibility by giving them an online presence. This project will support a mobile app that supports accounts, orders, reservations and payment methods for customers while giving an approximate time for order pickup.
It will provide the manager with the capability to edit the menu and other parts of the overall system.
Employees will be able to see the orders and update their status. Baristas and kitchen staff will be able to update order status for those using the app to signal completion of order.

This master test plan fits the project plan Beverage Booker.

1.2 Objective of the master test plan

The objective of the Master Test Plan (MTP) is to inform all who are involved in the test process about the approach, the activities, including the mutual relations and dependencies, and the (end) products to be delivered for the test project Beverage Booker.

The master test plan describes this approach, the activities and (end) products that need further elaboration in the other system test plans. These system test plans need to be abstracted from this master test plan.

2 Documentation

This section describes the documentation used in relation with the master test plan. The described documentation concerns a first inventory and will be elaborated, actualized and detailed at a later stage, during the separate test levels.

2.1 Basis for the master test plan

The following documents are used as the basis for this master test plan.

Document name	Version	Date	Author
Project Vision	3.0	15/07/2020	Jacob Kennedy
NFR Checklist	3.0	16/07/2020	Jacob Kennedy
NFR Specification	3.0	16/07/2020	Jacob Kennedy

2.2 Test basis

The test basis contains the documentation that serves as the basis for the tests that have to be executed. The overview below describes the documentation that is the starting point for testing.

Document name	Version	Date	Author
Customer - Create Account	v2.0	23/06/2020	Benn Curby
Customer - Log in	v2.0	23/06/2020	Benn Curby
Customer - Empty Cart	v1.0	28//03/2020	Benn Curby
Customer - Fill Cart	v2.0	23/06/2020	Benn Curby
Customer - Place Order	v2.0	23/06/2020	Benn Curby
Staff - Fill Order	v1.0	28/03/2020	Benn Curby
Staff - View Active Order	v2.0	23/06/2020	Benn Curby
Manager - Add menu item	v1.0	28/03/2020	Benn Curby
Manager - Delete Menu Item	v1.0	28/03/2020	Benn Curby
Manager - Edit Menu Item	v1.0	28/03/2020	Benn Curby

3 Test strategy

The time available for testing is limited; not everything can be tested with equal thoroughness. This means that choices have to be made regarding the depth of testing. Also it is strived to divide test capacity as effectively and efficiently as possible over the total test project. This principle is the basis of the test strategy.

The test strategy is based on risks: a system has to function in practice to an extent that no unacceptable risks for the organization arise from it. If the delivery of a system brings along many risks, thorough testing needs to be put in place; the opposite of the spectrum is also true: 'no risk, no test'.

The first step in determining the test strategy is the execution of a product risk analysis. This is elaborated in §3.1

The test strategy is subsequently based on the results of the risk analyses. The test strategy lays down what, how and when (in which test level) is being tested and is focused in finding the most important defects as early as possible for the lowest costs. This can be summarized as testing with an optimal use of the available capacity and time. The test strategy is described in §3.2.

3.1 Risk analyses

3.1.1 Product Risk Analysis

The product risks are determined in cooperation with the client and the other parties involved. Product risks are those risks associated with the final product failing to meet functional requirements and required system quality characteristics (NFRs) This product risk analyses (PRA) is comprised of two steps:

Product Risk	Characteristic	Description	Risk Class
1	Usability	Too difficult to use or navigate	A
2	Reliability	Lose track of inventory in conjunction with sales and/or incorrect dollar transactions	A
3	Performance	Slow and unresponsive	B
4	Availability	Login fails, order failure, app crash	A

5	Security	Unauthorized or inappropriate use of users data	B
6	Integrity	Control of user input data during account creation e.g. no duplicate accounts created	B
7	Audit	Tracking of orders and transactions for accuracy	A

3.1.2 Technical Risk Analysis

Technical risks are determined in cooperation with the analyst/designers and programmers involved. Technical risks are development risks associated with failing to create a system that behaves according to specifications derived from requirements. (I.E. those aspects of development that pose particular challenges.) This technical risk analyses (TRA) is comprised of two steps:

Risk	Risk Area	Description	Risk Class
1	Place an Order (customer)	Unsuccessful transaction process	A
2	Shopping cart interactions	Unable to fill or empty cart	B
3	Account Creation/login (customer)	Unable to create an account or login	A
4	Menu Items (manager)	Unable to manage menu items (delete, add or edit)	A
5	Fill order (staff)	Unable to successfully complete an order and notify customer	A
6	Item Inventory (manager)	Unable to manage item stock levels (items available or marking an item as sold out to avoid overordering)	A
7	View Account (customer)	Unable to view and update account details (password, phone number, email address)	C

3.2 Test strategy

For each risk from the product risk analysis the risk class is qualifying the thoroughness of the test. Risk class A is the highest risk class and C the lowest. The test strategy is subsequently focused on covering the risks with the highest risk class as early as possible in the test project.

****Note:** All items in the test strategy are marked for a Static Review as the team has adopted a policy of performing a Static Review on each pull request before it is merged as a final check.

Risk	Description	RC	Test Level					
			SR	Unit/ Instrumented	Int	FAT	UAT	ST
Usability	Too difficult to use or navigate	A	●			●●●	●●●	●●
Reliability	Lose track of inventory in conjunction with sales and/or incorrect dollar transactions	B	●		●●●	●●		●●
Performance	Slow and unresponsive	C	●			●●		●
Availability	Login fails, order failure, app crash	C	●			●●		●
Security	Unauthorized or inappropriate use of users data	A	●	●●	●	●●		
Integrity	Control of user input data during account creation (no duplicate accounts)	B	●	●●	●●	●●		
Audit	Orders or transactions are lost or cannot be traced	A	●	●●●	●●●	●●●		
Place an Order	Unsuccessful transaction process	A	●	●●●	●●	●●	●●	
Shopping cart interactions	Unable to fill or empty cart	B	●	●●	●	●●	●	
Account Creation/ login	Unable to create an account or login	A	●	●●●	●●	●●	●●	

Menu Items (manager)	Unable to manage menu items (delete, add or edit)	A	●			●●●		
Fill order (staff)	Unable to successfully complete an order and notify customer	A	●		●●	●●		
Item Inventory (manager)	Unable to manage item stock levels (items available or marking an item as sold out to avoid overordering)	A	●	●●●	●●●	●●●		
View Account (customer)	Unable to view and update account details (password, phone number, email address)	C	●		●	●		

Legend for the table above:

RC	Risk class (from product and technical risk analysis, where A=high risk, B=average risk, C=low risk)
SR	Static Review of the various intermediary products (requirements, functional design, technical design). Checking and examining artefacts without executing the software
Unit	Unit test and Unit integration test
Integration	Integration tests (low level (L), high level(H))
FAT	Functional acceptance test (alpha stage UAT)
UAT	User acceptance test (Beta stage UAT)
ST	System test (functional scenario testing (F), system quality scenario testing (S))
●	Limited thoroughness of the test
●●	Medium thoroughness of the test
●●●	High thoroughness of the test
<blank>	If a cell is blank, it means that the relevant test or evaluation level does not have to be concerned with the characteristic
RC	Risk class (from product and technical risk analysis, where A=high risk, B=average risk, C=low risk)

4 Test Levels

For this MTP the following test levels are acknowledged:

4.1 The Test Environments

Test Level	Test Environment
Unit/Instrumented Testing	Android Studio
Integration Testing	Android Studio
User Acceptance Testing	Emulator with multiple setups

4.2 The Test Tools

Test Level	Test Tools
Unit/Instrumented Testing	J-Unit, Mockito
Integration Testing	J-Unit, Mockito, Postman
User Acceptance Testing	Emulator with multiple setups

5 Test Objectives

Risk	Test Goals	Risk Verification	Schedule
Place an Order	Ensure a successful transaction process	An order is passed from the customer to the sales system	During implementation of Place an Order & fill cart use case.
Shopping Cart interactions	Ensure that the cart can be correctly interacted with to enable proceeding to place an order	Items are able to be added/removed from the cart and the cart can be then processed/passed correctly to the place an order use case	During implementation of Fill cart and Empty cart use cases
Account Creation/ login	Ensure that an account creation and login	An account can be successfully created with the ability to login and logout	During implementation of Create Account & Sign in use cases.
Menu Items (manager)	Unable to manage menu items (delete, add or edit)	All menu items can be managed via deleting, adding, or editing	During implementation of the edit menu item, add menu item & delete menu item use case.
Fill order (staff)	Unable to successfully complete an order and notify customer	Once a order is received it can be marked as completed and the customer will be notified that the order has been completed	During implementation of the fill order use case.
Item Inventory (manager)	Unable to manage item stock levels (items available or marking an item as sold out to avoid overordering)	The manager can edit stock levels successfully for items in the inventory system. As orders are placed inventory is updating quantities. Items cannot be ordered once inventory levels reach zero.	During implementation of the Update Inventory use-case.
View Account (customer)	Unable to view and update account details (password, phone number, email address)	The customer can view their registered account details. The customer can update their password, phone number and email address.	During the implementation of the View Account use-case.