

# 100 Problems in Combinatorics

JAKE R. GAMEROFF

ABSTRACT. I want to get better at combinatorics. Here I solve 100 problems which I find illustrative, interesting, or fun. Aside from assessing the correctness of proofs, this document is not heavily revised, so typos are inevitable.

## 1. GRAPH THEORY

1.1. **Question 1.** For an integer  $k \geq 3$ , let  $N = R_3(k, k, k)$  be the minimum  $N$  such that in every edge-coloring of  $K_N$  in 3 colors there is a set  $X$  of  $k$  vertices so that all edges between vertices of  $X$  have the same color. Prove that

$$(*) \quad \binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}-1} \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}-1} < 1$$

for a contradiction. Let  $\Omega$  be the space of all colorings of the edges of  $K_N$  in 3 colors. Let  $R \subseteq V(K_N)$  be a  $k$ -element subset of vertices, and let  $A_R$  be the event where  $R$  is monochromatic. So

$$P(A_R) = 3 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \binom{k}{2}} \frac{1}{3} = 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}}.$$

By sub-additivity,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{R \in [V(K_N)]^k} A_R\right) \leq \sum_{R \in [V(K_N)]^k} 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}} = \binom{N}{k} 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1.$$

Thus there is a non-zero probability that there is no monochromatic  $k$ -element subgraph of  $K_N$ , contradicting  $N = R_3(k, k, k)$ . Hence  $(*)$  follows and we're done.  $\square$

**1.2. Question 2.** Let  $F$  be a forest on  $n$  vertices with  $c$  connected components.

- (a) Prove that  $F$  has  $n - c$  edges.
- (b) Find the average degree of  $G$ .
- (c) Prove that the intersection of  $k$  connected subgraphs of  $F$  is either empty or a tree.

*Proof.* For (a) we proceed by induction on  $c$ . If  $c = 1$  then  $F$  is a tree so that  $|E(F)| = |V(F)| - 1 = n - 1$  as needed. Now fix  $c \geq 2$  and let  $C$  be any component of  $F$ . Let  $F' = F \setminus C$ . By induction,  $|E(F')| = |V(F')| - (c - 1)$ . Since  $C$  is maximally connected in  $F$ ,  $|E(C)| = |V(C)| + 1$  since it is a tree. Since there was no edge between  $F'$  and  $C$  (it was a component),

$$\begin{aligned} |E(F)| &= |E(F')| + |E(C)| \\ &= |V(F')| - (c - 1) + |V(C)| + 1 \\ &= |V(F)| - c = n - c, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

For (b) note that by handshaking and (a),

$$\sum_{v \in V(F)} \deg v = 2|E(F)| = 2(n - c)$$

so that the average degree of  $F$  is  $\frac{2}{n}(n - c)$ .

Finally, for (c) we proceed as in (a) by induction on  $k$ . The intersection of 1 connected subgraph of  $F$  must be a tree since  $F$  is a forest. Now let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be connected subgraphs of  $F$ . Assume for a contradiction that  $F_1 \cap F_2$  is not a tree *and* non-empty. Since  $F_1 \cap F_2$  is a subgraph of a forest, it is acyclic; thus  $F_1 \cap F_2$  must not be connected, otherwise it is a tree. But then  $F_1 \cap F_2$  is itself a forest. Using this and non-emptiness, there are vertices  $u, v \in V(F_1 \cap F_2)$  which lie in different connected components. But then  $u, v$  lie in different connected components of  $F$ , and  $u, v \in V(F_1)$  contradicts its connectivity, as needed. If  $k \geq 3$ , write  $F' = F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \cdots \cap F_{k-1}$  and note that  $F'$  is either a tree or empty. In the first case,  $F'$  is connected so the induction hypothesis implies the result for  $F' \cap F_k$ ; otherwise  $F'$  is null so that  $F' \cap F_k$  is too. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**1.3. Question 3.** Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -connected graph on  $n$  vertices.

- (a) Prove that  $|E(G)| \geq kn/2$ .
- (b) Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $G$  by adding a vertex  $v$  adjacent to every vertex in  $G$ . Show that  $G'$  is  $(k + 1)$ -connected.

- (c) Show that for every integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq k + 1$  there is a  $k$ -connected graph with  $|V(G)| = n$  and  $|E(G)| \leq (k - 1)n$ .

*Proof.* For (a) note that since  $G$  is  $k$ -connected, every vertex has degree at least  $k$ . Otherwise, there is a vertex with degree at most  $k - 1$ ; deleting its neighbours disconnects the graph, contradicting  $k$ -connectivity. By handshaking,

$$|E(G)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg v \leq nk/2.$$

For (b), let  $v$  be adjacent to every vertex in  $V(G)$  in  $G'$ . Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\leq k$  vertices in  $V(G')$ . If  $v \in X$  then  $G' \setminus X = G \setminus (X \setminus \{v\})$  is connected since  $G$  is  $k$ -connected and  $|X \setminus \{v\}| \leq k - 1$ . Otherwise,  $v \notin X$ . Let  $w \in X$  and note that  $G' \setminus (X \setminus \{w\})$  is connected by  $k$ -connectivity. Then, we may remove  $w$  while preserving connectedness, since any two vertices  $x, y$  in  $G' \setminus X$  are both adjacent to  $v$ . Hence  $G'$  is  $(k + 1)$ -connected since  $X$  was arbitrary.

For (c) we may assume that  $n > 2k - 2$ , otherwise  $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 2$  and

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \leq \frac{2k-2}{2} \cdot n = (k-1)n$$

so we can just take  $G = K_n$ , which is  $(n - 1)$ -connected so that it is  $k$ -connected since  $k + 1 \leq n$ . Let  $G$  be  $d$ -regular, where  $d = 2k - 2$ . Then by handshaking,

$$|E(G)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d = \frac{n}{2}(2k - 2) = (k - 1)n.$$

It remains to prove that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected. Let  $X$  be a set of at most  $k - 1$  vertices.

We prove (c) by induction on  $k$ . For  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq k + 1$ , the cycle  $C_n$  on  $n$  vertices is the graph we need. Indeed,

$$|E(C_n)| = n = 1 \cdot n = (k - 1)n.$$

Now fix  $k \geq 3$ . By the IH we obtain a graph  $G'$  on  $n'$  vertices and  $m'$  edges such that  $G'$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected,  $n' \geq k$ , and  $m' \leq (k - 2)n'$ . Now let  $G$  be obtained by taking a vertex  $v \in V(G')$  and connecting it to every vertex in  $G'$ . Then from (b)  $G$  is  $k$ -connected. This uses  $n'$  edges. Let  $n = n' + 1$  and  $m = m' + n'$ . Then  $n = n' + 1 \geq k + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} m &= m' + n' \leq (k - 2)n' + n' = (k - 1)n' \\ &\leq (k - 1)(n' + 1) = (k - 1)n \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

1.4. **Question 4.** Prove that:

- (a) If  $T$  is a tree then  $|V(T)| = |E(T)| + 1$ .
- (b) If  $F$  is a forest and  $c(F)$  is the number of components of  $F$ , show that  $c(F) = |V(F)| - |E(F)|$ .

*Proof.* For (a) we proceed by induction on  $|V(T)|$ . If  $|V(T)| = 1$  then  $T$  is edgeless so that  $|V(T)| = 0 + 1 = |E(T)| + 1$ . Now fix  $|V(T)| \geq 2$ . Then  $T$  has at least one leaf  $v$ . Let  $T' = T \setminus v$ . Then  $T'$  is a tree on  $|V(T)| - 1$  vertices, so by the IH we have  $|V(T')| = |E(T')| + 1$ . Appending  $v$  to  $T'$  gives one new vertex and one new edge. Hence  $|V(T)| = |V(T')| + 1 = |E(T')| + 1 + 1 = |E(T)| + 1$  and we're done.

For (b) we induct on  $c(F)$ . If  $c(F) = 1$  then from (a)

$$|V(F)| = |E(F)| + 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 = |V(F)| - |E(F)|.$$

Then if  $c(F) \geq 2$ , let  $F'$  be obtained from  $F$  by deleting one entire component  $C$ . Then by the IH we have  $c(F') = |V(F')| - |E(F')|$  and since  $C$  is a tree, (a) implies that  $|V(C)| = |E(C)| + 1$ . Putting these two together, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c(F) &= c(F') + 1 = |V(F')| - |E(F')| + |V(C)| - |E(C)| \\ &= |V(F)| - |E(F)|, \end{aligned}$$

since  $V(F') \cap V(C) = E(F') \cap E(C) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$