

# 100 Problems in Combinatorics

JAKE R. GAMEROFF

ABSTRACT. I want to get better at combinatorics. Here I solve 100 problems which I find illustrative, interesting, or fun. Aside from assessing the correctness of proofs, this document is not heavily revised, so typos are inevitable.

## 1. GRAPH THEORY

1.1. **Question 1.** For an integer  $k \geq 3$ , let  $N = R_3(k, k, k)$  be the minimum  $N$  such that in every edge-coloring of  $K_N$  in 3 colors there is a set  $X$  of  $k$  vertices so that all edges between vertices of  $X$  have the same color. Prove that

$$(*) \quad \binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}-1} \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}-1} < 1$$

for a contradiction. Let  $\Omega$  be the space of all colorings of the edges of  $K_N$  in 3 colors. Let  $R \subseteq V(K_N)$  be a  $k$ -element subset of vertices, and let  $A_R$  be the event where  $R$  is monochromatic. So

$$P(A_R) = 3 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \binom{k}{2}} \frac{1}{3} = 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}}.$$

By sub-additivity,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{R \in [V(K_N)]^k} A_R\right) \leq \sum_{R \in [V(K_N)]^k} 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}} = \binom{N}{k} 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1.$$

Thus there is a non-zero probability that there is no monochromatic  $k$ -element subgraph of  $K_N$ , contradicting  $N = R_3(k, k, k)$ . Hence  $(*)$  follows and we're done.  $\square$

**1.2. Question 2.** Let  $F$  be a forest on  $n$  vertices. Prove that the intersection of  $k$  connected subgraphs of  $F$  is either empty or a tree.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the claim when  $k = 2$ , since given connected subgraphs  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ , we have by induction that  $C = C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_{k-1}$  is either a tree or empty. If  $C$  is a tree then it is connected and we may apply the case when  $k = 2$  to  $C \cap C_k$ . Otherwise  $C$  is empty so that  $C \cap C_k$  is too. Thus, we just need to prove the base case now.

Let  $C_1, C_2$  be two connected subgraphs of  $F$ . If  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is not empty, then there is a vertex  $v$  in their intersection. Thus  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is connected. Since  $C_1 \cap C_2 \subseteq C_1$  and  $C_1$  is acyclic, so is  $C_1 \cap C_2$ . Hence  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is a tree. If  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is not a tree, then it is either not connected or contains a cycl. The latter case can not hold, since  $C_1 \cap C_2 \subseteq F$  is a forest.

If  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is non-null, then it must be connected. Indeed, as before, there is a vertex  $v$  in  $C_1 \cap C_2$ . Then there is a path between the two components so that  $C_1 \cap C_2$  must be connected. Applying the contrapositive, we obtain that  $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**1.3. Question 3.** Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -connected graph on  $n$  vertices.

- (a) Prove that  $|E(G)| \geq kn/2$ .
- (b) Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $G$  by adding a vertex  $v$  adjacent to every vertex in  $G$ . Show that  $G'$  is  $(k+1)$ -connected.
- (c) Show that for every integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq k+1$  there is a  $k$ -connected graph with  $|V(G)| = n$  and  $|E(G)| \leq (k-1)n$ .

*Proof.* For (a) note that since  $G$  is  $k$ -connected, every vertex has degree at least  $k$ . Otherwise, there is a vertex with degree at most  $k-1$ ; deleting its neighbours disconnects the graph, contradicting  $k$ -connectivity. By handshaking,

$$|E(G)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg v \leq nk/2.$$

For (b), let  $v$  be adjacent to every vertex in  $V(G)$  in  $G'$ . Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\leq k$  vertices in  $V(G')$ . If  $v \in X$  then  $G' \setminus X = G \setminus (X \setminus \{v\})$  is connected since  $G$  is  $k$ -connected and  $|X \setminus \{v\}| \leq k-1$ . Otherwise,  $v \notin X$ . Let  $w \in X$  and note that  $G' \setminus (X \setminus \{w\})$  is connected by  $k$ -connectivity. Then, we may remove  $w$  while preserving connectedness, since any two vertices  $x, y$  in  $G' \setminus X$  are both adjacent to  $v$ . Hence  $G'$  is  $(k+1)$ -connected since  $X$  was arbitrary.

For (c) we may assume that  $n > 2k-2$ , otherwise  $k+1 \leq n \leq 2k-2$  and

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \leq \frac{2k-2}{2} \cdot n = (k-1)n$$

so we can just take  $G = K_n$ , which is  $(n - 1)$ -connected so that it is  $k$ -connected since  $k + 1 \leq n$ . Let  $G$  be  $d$ -regular, where  $d = 2k - 2$ . Then by handshaking,

$$|E(G)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d = \frac{n}{2}(2k - 2) = (k - 1)n.$$

It remains to prove that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected. Let  $X$  be a set of at most  $k - 1$  vertices.

We prove (c) by induction on  $k$ . For  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq k + 1$ , the cycle  $C_n$  on  $n$  vertices is the graph we need. Indeed,

$$|E(C_n)| = n = 1 \cdot n = (k - 1)n.$$

Now fix  $k \geq 3$ . By the IH we obtain a graph  $G'$  on  $n'$  vertices and  $m'$  edges such that  $G'$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected,  $n' \geq k$ , and  $m' \leq (k - 2)n'$ . Now let  $G$  be obtained by taking a vertex  $v \in V(G')$  and connecting it to every vertex in  $G'$ . Then from (b)  $G$  is  $k$ -connected. This uses  $n'$  edges. Let  $n = n' + 1$  and  $m = m' + n'$ . Then  $n = n' + 1 \geq k + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} m &= m' + n' \leq (k - 2)n' + n' = (k - 1)n' \\ &\leq (k - 1)(n' + 1) = (k - 1)n \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**1.4. Question 4.** Prove that:

- (a) If  $T$  is a tree then  $|V(T)| = |E(T)| + 1$ .
- (b) If  $F$  is a forest and  $c(F)$  is the number of components of  $F$ , then  $c(F) = |V(F)| - |E(F)|$ .

*Proof.* For (a) we proceed by induction on  $|V(T)|$ . If  $|V(T)| = 1$  then  $T$  is edgeless so that  $|V(T)| = 0 + 1 = |E(T)| + 1$ . Now fix  $|V(T)| \geq 2$ . Then  $T$  has at least one leaf  $v$ . Let  $T' = T \setminus v$ . Then  $T'$  is a tree on  $|V(T)| - 1$  vertices, so by the IH we have  $|V(T')| = |E(T')| + 1$ . Appending  $v$  to  $T'$  gives one new vertex and one new edge. Hence  $|V(T)| = |V(T')| + 1 = |E(T')| + 1 + 1 = |E(T)| + 1$  and we're done.

For (b) we induct on  $c(F)$ . If  $c(F) = 1$  then from (a)

$$|V(F)| = |E(F)| + 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 = |V(F)| - |E(F)|.$$

Then if  $c(F) \geq 2$ , let  $F'$  be obtained from  $F$  by deleting one entire component  $C$ . Then by the IH we have  $c(F') = |V(F')| - |E(F')|$  and since  $C$  is a tree, (a) implies that  $|V(C)| = |E(C)| + 1$ . Putting these two together, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c(F) &= c(F') + 1 = |V(F')| - |E(F')| + |V(C)| - |E(C)| \\ &= |V(F)| - |E(F)|, \end{aligned}$$

since  $V(F') \cap V(C) = E(F') \cap E(C) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**1.5. Question 5.** Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -connected graph. Show using the definitions that if  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding a new vertex  $v$  adjacent to at least  $k$  vertices of  $G$ , then  $G'$  is  $k$ -connected.

*Proof.* Let  $N(v)$  be the set of neighbours of  $v$  in  $G'$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $G'$  is not  $k$ -connected. Then there is a set  $X$  with  $|X| \leq k-1$  such that  $G' \setminus X$  is disconnected. Then, we have two cases:

- (1) If  $v \in X$  then  $G' \setminus X = G \setminus (X \setminus v)$  can not be disconnected since  $|X \setminus v| \leq k-2$  and  $G$  is  $k$ -connected, a contradiction.
- (2) If  $v \notin X$  then from  $k$ -connectivity,  $H = G \setminus X = (G' \setminus X) \setminus v$  is connected. Then  $H$  contains a vertex  $w \in N(v)$ , since  $|X| = k-1 < k \leq |N(v)|$  and  $v \notin N(v)$ . Thus,  $G' \setminus X$  is still connected since  $v$  has positive degree within which, a contradiction.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**1.6. Question 6.** Let  $\omega(G)$  be the size of the largest clique in  $G$ . Prove that  $\omega(G) \leq \chi(G)$ . Find a graph  $G$  with  $\omega(G) < \chi(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a clique in  $G$  of size  $n = \omega(G)$ . Assign  $x_1$  color 1; then  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$  can not be colored using color 1. Then color  $x_2$  using color 2; then  $x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n$  can not be colored using color 2. Continuing this way, we need  $n$  colors to color  $X$  and hence  $G$ . Thus,  $\omega(G) \leq \chi(G)$ .

Consider the cycle  $C_5$ . We can not color  $C_5$  using two colors, but we can using three. But  $\omega(C_5) = 2$ . Thus,  $\omega(G) = 2 < 3 = \chi(C_5)$ .  $\square$