## 100 Combinatorics Problems

Jake Ryder Gameroff

**Q1.** Let G be k-connected and suppose H is a graph obtained from G by appending a new vertex v adjacent to at least k vertices in G. Show that H is k-connected.

*Proof.* Consider a subset  $X \subseteq V(H)$  of less than k vertices. If  $v \notin X$ , then  $H \setminus X$  is connected since G is k-connected. Otherwise,  $v \in X$ , so by the k-connectivity of G and since v has a neighbour not in X (as  $\deg v \ge k$ ), it follows that  $F = H \setminus (X \setminus v)$  is connected. Then  $F \setminus v = G \setminus (X \setminus v) = H \setminus X$  is connected by the choice of v.

**Q2.** Let  $|V(G)| \ge 3$ . Show that G is 2-connected if and only if for all vertices x, y, z there is a path between x and y containing z.

*Proof.* For " $\Rightarrow$ ", suppose G is 2-connected. Define  $R = \{x,y\}$  and  $Q = \{z\}$ . Since G has no separation of order 2, Menger's theorem implies that there are two vertex disjoint paths (other than at z) with ends in R and Q. So  $P_1 \cup P_2$  is a path between x and y containing z.

For " $\Leftarrow$ ", we prove the contrapositive. If G is not 2-connected then there is a cut vertex  $\ell$ . So there are vertices s,t such that there is no path between s and t in  $G \setminus \ell$ . So there can be no path between s and  $\ell$  containing t, else there is a path between s and t in  $G \setminus \ell$ .

**Q3.** Let  $k \geq 2$ . Show that if G is k-connected, then every k vertices are contained in a cycle.

Proof. For k=2, the result immediately follows from Menger's theorem. Now fix  $k\geq 3$  and let  $X=\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k\}$  be a set of k vertices in G. By induction, there is a cycle C containing  $\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{k-1}\}$ . Let  $x,y\in\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{k-1}\}$  be two vertices such that there is a path between them in C containing no other vertex in  $\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{k-1}\}$ . Then, Menger's theorem implies that there are k internally disjoint paths with ends in  $\{x,y\}$  and  $\{x_k\}$ . So  $C\cup P_x\cup P_y$  is the desired cycle, where  $P_x$  and  $P_y$  are paths from x to  $x_k$  and y to  $x_k$  respectively.

**Q4.** Let G be a connected, 3-regular graph. Show that if G has no cut-edge, then every pair of edges lie on a common cycle.

Proof. Let  $e = (e_1, e_2)$  and  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  be two edges in G. If there exist two vertex disjoint paths with ends in  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\{f_1, f_2\}$ , then these paths and the two edges form the needed cycle. Otherwise, Menger's theorem implies that there is a separation (A, B) of order 1 with  $\{e_1, e_2\} \subseteq A$  and  $\{f_1, f_2\} \subseteq B$ . Let  $A \cap B = \{v\}$  and note that  $\deg v = 3$ . If  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are the neighbours of v, assume without loss of generality that  $v_1, v_2 \in A$  and  $v_3 \in B$ . Then  $(v_2, v_3)$  is a cut-edge, so this case can not occur; thus e and f are contained in a cycle.  $\square$ 

**Q5.** For any graph G,  $\nu(G) \le \tau(G) \le 2\nu(G)$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $\nu(G) \leq \tau(G)$ . Given a maximum matching M and a minimum vertex cover X, note that every edge in M has an end in X. Since no two edges in M share an end, it follows that  $\nu(G) = |M| \leq |X| = \tau(G)$ .

To show that  $\tau(G) \leq 2\nu(G)$ , let M be a maximal matching in G. Let X be the set of ends of edges in M. Then X is a vertex cover: if there was an edge  $e \in E$  with no ends in X, then M is not maximal since  $M \cup \{e\}$  is a matching. Hence  $\tau(G) \leq |X| = 2|M| \leq 2\nu(G)$ .

**Q6.** Prove König's theorem using Menger's theorem:  $\tau(G) = \nu(G)$  for any bipartite graph G.

Proof. We may assume that G has vertices no degree-zero vertices, as they don't change anything. It suffices to prove that  $\tau(G) \leq \nu(G)$ , given Q5. Suppose G is bipartite with bipartition (A,B). Let G' be obtained from G by appending two vertices u,v such that u is adjacent to every vertex in A and v is adjacent to every vertex in B. Let  $k \geq 0$  be the greatest integer for which there exist k (internally) vertex disjoint paths between u and v. This k corresponds to a matching: each path contains an unique edge with an end in X-Y and the other in Y-X; the set of such edges is a matching of size k.

Now observe that Menger's theorem implies that there is a separation (X,Y) of G' such that  $u \in X - Y$ ,  $v \in Y - X$ , and  $|X \cap Y| \le k$ . Then  $X \cap Y$  is a vertex cover as there can be no edge  $e \in E(G')$  with no end in  $X \cap Y$ . Otherwise, one of the following must occur, a contradiction:

- e has both ends in X or both ends in Y, but this can not occur as G is bipartite.
- e has u or v as one of its ends, but this can not occur as otherwise the other end of e would need to have degree 0.
- e connects X Y to Y X, but this would mean that (X, Y) is not a separation.

Thus, 
$$\tau(G) \leq |X \cap Y| = k \leq \nu(G)$$
.

**Q7.** Show that a matching M is maximal if and only if G contains no M-augmenting path.

*Proof.* If P is an M-augmenting with m edges, note that an odd number of edges in P are in M. In particular,  $\frac{m-1}{2}$  edges are in M, and  $\frac{m+1}{2}$  are not. Since the ends of P are unmatched, the edges in M not on P together with the edges on P not in M form a matching with more edges than |M|, a contradiction.

Now suppose M is a matching in G with no M-augmenting path. Assume for a contradiction that M' is a larger matching than M. We define a new graph G' by V(G') = V(G) and  $E(G') = M \cup M'$ . Then since every vertex in G has degree 2, every component of G' is a path or a cycle. Since |M'| > |M|, there is a component C with more edges in M' than in M, i.e.  $|E(C) \cap M'| > |E(C) \cap M|$ .

- If C is a path, then one of its ends is matched by M (else C is M-augmenting). So the first edge of C is in M. From there, the edges alternate between M' and M, so  $|E(C) \cap M'| \leq |E(C) \cap M|$ .
- If C is a cycle, then it has an even number of edges (else two edges in M or M' will share a vertex as an end), so  $|E(C) \cap M'| = |E(C) \cap M|$ .

Thus, there can be no such component C, contradicting |M'| > |M|. Thus M is maximal.

**Q8.** For an integer  $k \geq 3$ , let  $N = R_3(k, k, k)$  be the minimum N such that in every edge-coloring of  $K_N$  in 3 colors there is a set X of k vertices so that all edges between vertices of X have the same color. Prove that

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}-1} \ge 1. \tag{*}$$

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\binom{N}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}-1} < 1$$

for a contradiction. Let  $\Omega$  be the space of all colorings of the edges of  $K_N$  in 3 colors. Let  $R \subseteq V(K_N)$  be a k-element subset of vertices, and let  $A_R$  be the event where R is monochromatic. So

$$\mathbb{P}(A_R) = 3 \prod_{1 \le i \le \binom{k}{2}} \frac{1}{3} = 3^{1 - \binom{k}{2}}.$$

By sub-additivity,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{R\in[V(K_N)]^k} A_R\right) \le \sum_{R\in[V(K_N)]^k} 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}} = \binom{N}{k} 3^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1.$$

Thus there is a non-zero probability that there is no monochromatic k-element subgraph of  $K_N$ , contradicting  $N = R_3(k, k, k)$ . Hence (\*) follows and we're done.

**Q9.** Let F be a forest on n vertices. Prove that the intersection of k connected subgraphs of F is either empty or a tree.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the claim when k=2, since given connected subgraphs  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$ , we have by induction that  $C=C_1\cap\cdots\cap C_{k-1}$  is either a tree or empty. If C is a tree then it is connected and we may apply the case when k=2 to  $C\cap C_k$ . Otherwise C is empty so that  $C\cap C_k$  is too. Thus, we just need to prove the base case now.

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two connected subgraphs of F. Assume that  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is not a tree and non-empty. Since  $F \supseteq C_1 \cap C_2$  is acyclic, so is  $C_1 \cap C_2$ . Thus,  $C_1 \cap C_2$  cannot be connected. Since  $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$ , there are vertices u, v in  $C_1 \cap C_2$  which have no path between them. Let C be the connected component

containing  $\{u, v\}$ . Since F is a forest, there is a unique path P between u and v in F. Since  $u, v \in V(C_1) \cap V(C_2)$  and these are connected subgraphs, it follows that  $C_1 \cap C_2$  contains P, a contradiction.

**Q10.** Let G be a k-connected graph on n vertices.

- (a) Prove that  $|E(G)| \ge kn/2$ .
- (b) Show that for every integer  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq k + 1$  there is a k-connected graph with |V(G)| = n and  $|E(G)| \leq (k-1)n$ .

*Proof.* For (a) note that since G is k-connected, every vertex has degree at least k. Otherwise, there is a vertex with degree at most k-1; deleting its neighbours disconnects the graph, contradicting k-connectivity. By handshaking,

$$|E(G)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg v \le nk/2.$$

We prove (b) by induction on k. For k = 2 and  $n \ge k + 1$ , the cycle  $C_n$  on n vertices is the graph we need. Indeed,

$$|E(C_n)| = n = 1 \cdot n \le (k-1) \cdot n.$$

Now fix  $k \geq 3$ . By the IH we obtain a graph G' on n' vertices and m' edges such that G' is (k-1)-connected,  $n' \geq k$ , and  $m' \leq (k-2)n'$ . Now let G be obtained by taking a vertex  $v \in V(G')$  and connecting it to every vertex in G'. Then from Q1 G is k-connected. This uses n' edges. Let n = n' + 1 and m = m' + n'. Then  $n = n' + 1 \geq k + 1$  and

$$m = m' + n' \le (k-2)n' + n' = (k-1)n'$$
  
  $\le (k-1)(n'+1) = (k-1)n$ 

which completes the proof.

## Q11. Prove that:

- (a) If T is a tree then |V(T)| = |E(T)| + 1.
- (b) If F is a forest and c(F) is the number of components of F, then c(F) = |V(F)| |E(F)|.

*Proof.* For (a) we proceed by induction on |V(T)|. If |V(T)| = 1 then T is edgeless so that |V(T)| = 0 + 1 = |E(T)| + 1. Now fix  $|V(T)| \ge 2$ . Then T has at least one leaf v. Let  $T' = T \setminus v$ . Then T' is a tree on |V(T)| - 1 vertices, so by the IH we have |V(T')| = |E(T')| + 1. Appending v to T' gives one new vertex and one new edge. Hence |V(T)| = |V(T')| + 1 = |E(T')| + 1 + 1 = |E(T)| + 1 and we're done.

For (b) we induct on c(F). If c(F) = 1 then from (a)

$$|V(F)| = |E(F)| + 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 = |V(F)| - |E(F)|.$$

Then if  $c(F) \geq 2$ , let F' be obtained from F by deleting one entire component C. Then by the IH we have c(F') = |V(F')| - |E(F')| and since C is a tree, (a) implies that |V(C)| = |E(C)| + 1. Putting these two together, we obtain that

$$c(F) = c(F') + 1 = |V(F')| - |E(F')| + |V(C)| - |E(C)|$$
  
= |V(F)| - |E(F)|,

since  $V(F') \cap V(C) = E(F') \cap E(C) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.

**Q12.** Prove Hall's theorem: Let G be bipartite with bipartition (A, B). Show that G has a matching which saturates A if and only if

$$|N(S)| \ge |S|$$

for every subset  $S \subseteq A$ .

*Proof.* The forward implication is easy: suppose M is a matching which saturates A. If  $S \subseteq A$  were such that |N(S)| < |S|, then no matching could saturate S and hence A.

Conversely, first note that Hall's condition implies that  $|A| \leq |B|$ . Without loss of generality, we assume |A| = |B|. Thus, a matching M is perfect if and only if it saturates A. So let M be a matching in G; we will show that either G has an M-augmenting path or there is a set  $S \subseteq A$  violating Hall's condition. Then, the contrapositive implies Hall's theorem if we take M to be maximum.

If M does not already saturate A, there is an unmatched vertex  $a_0 \in A$  with a neighbour  $b_1 \in B$ . If  $b_1$  is unmatched, then the path with the single edge  $a_0b_1$  is augmenting. Otherwise, there is a vertex  $a_1 \in A$  which is matched with  $b_1$  by M. Note that we have constructed an alternating path with ends  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ .

We continue this process inductively as follows. Let  $k \geq 2$  and suppose we have defined  $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}\}$  such that there is an alternating path with ends  $a_0$  and  $a_j$ , for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ . Then exactly one of the following holds:

- If  $S = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  satisfies Hall's condition, then there is a vertex  $b_k \notin \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$  adjacent to a vertex in S. If  $b_k$  is unmatched, then there is an M-augmenting path. Otherwise,  $b_k$  is matched with a vertex  $a_k \in A$  and so there is an alternating path between  $a_0$  and  $a_k$ .
- $\bullet$  Else, S violates Hall's condition and the process terminates.

Since this process must eventually terminate, we either halt with an augmenting path or a subset S violating Hall's condition.

Q13. Prove König's theorem using Hall's theorem.

*Proof.* Again, as in Q6, we first notice that it suffices to show that  $\tau(G) \leq \nu(G)$ . Let G have bipartition (A, B) and let M be a maximal matching in G. If M saturates A, then  $\nu(G) = |M| = |A|$  and A is a vertex cover so that  $\tau(G) = \nu(G)$ . Thus we assume that  $L \subsetneq A$  is the largest subset of A saturated by M. Hence all vertices in A - L are unmatched.

By induction on |A-L|, we show that a vertex cover of size |L| exists. We note that if  $|A-L| \geq 1$  then there is a subset  $S \subseteq A-L$  with |N(S)| < |S|. Indeed, it follows from Hall's theorem that since A can not be saturated by a matching, there is a subset  $S \subseteq A$  violating Hall's condition. Let's take |S| minimum over all such sets S. Note then that  $S \cap L = \emptyset$  since every vertex in L has at least one unique neighbour.

For the base case step, if |A - L| = 1 there is a unique unmatched vertex  $a \in A - L$ . So a has no neighbours since  $|N(\{a\})| < 1$ . Thus L is a vertex cover. Furthermore, there is a maximal matching M in G such that every edge of M has exactly one end in L, and where every vertex in A - L is still unmatched.

Now fix  $|A - L| \ge 2$  and obtain a set  $S \subseteq A - L$  which violates Hall's condition. Then the IH implies that  $H = G \setminus (S \cup N(S))$  contains a vertex cover X of size |L|. So, in G, every edge with no end in N(S) has an end in X. Also, there is a maximal matching M' in G such that each edge in M' has exactly one end in X and no vertex in A - L is matched by M'.

Note that since every vertex in  $S \subseteq A - L$  is unmatched by M', every vertex in N(S) is matched, otherwise there is an augmenting path. Define Y to be the set of vertices in X which are not matched with a vertex in N(S). Then we claim that  $Y \cup N(S)$  is a vertex cover of G of size at most |L|.

- First, we prove that  $Y \cup N(S)$  is a vertex cover. Let e be any edge in G. If  $e \in M'$  then it has one end  $x \in X$ . If  $x \notin Y$ , then by definition  $x \in N(S)$ , as needed.
- Next, we show that  $|Y \cup N(S)|$  has size at most |L|. By construction, every vertex in  $X \setminus Y$  has a unique end in N(S), so  $|X \setminus Y| \le |N(S)|$ . Also, since every vertex  $x \in N(S)$  is matched by M', either  $x \in X \setminus Y$  (if  $x \in X$ ) or x has a unique neighbour  $y \in X \setminus Y$ , so  $|N(S)| \le |X \setminus Y|$ . Since  $Y \cap N(S) = \emptyset$ , we have  $|Y \cup N(S)| = |Y| + |N(S)| = |Y| + |X \setminus Y| = |X| = |L|$ .

Therefore, G has a vertex cover of size |L|. Therefore,  $\tau(G) \leq |L| = \nu(G)$ .

**Q14.** Prove Tutte's matching theorem: A graph G has a perfect matching if and only if

$$c_o(G-X) \le |X|$$

for every subset  $X \subseteq V(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let's start with the "only if" direction. Let M be a perfect matching in G, and suppose there is a set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  with  $c_o(G-X) > |X|$ . Suppose  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$  are the odd components of G-X. Since M is perfect and each component is odd, for each  $j \in [k]$  there is a vertex  $v_j \in V(C_j)$  which is matched with a vertex  $x_j \notin C_j$ ; hence  $x_j \in X$ . But then two vertices  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}$  must receive the same match in X, a contradiction.

The "if" direction is much harder. We proceed by induction on |V(G)|. The theorem is trivial if  $|V(G)| \leq 2$ , so fix  $|V(G)| \geq 3$  and suppose  $c_o(G - X) \leq |X|$  for every  $X \subseteq V(G)$ . We prove a sequence of claims as follows:

- Claim 1: |V(G)| is even. It suffices to check that G has only even components. Set  $X = \emptyset$ . Then  $c_o(G X) = c_o(G) \le |\emptyset| = 0$ , so the claim holds.
- Claim 2:  $c_o(G-X)+|X|$  is always even. If |X| is odd, then |V(G)|-|X| is too by claim 1, so G-X must have an odd number of components. The exact same reasoning shows that if |X| is even then so is  $c_o(G-X)$ . Then, the claim holds since a+b is even if and only if a and b have the same parity.
- Claim 3: There is a subset  $X \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $c_o(G X) = |X|$ . We will call such sets X critical. If  $X = \emptyset$  then  $c_o(G X) = 0$  as shown above, so  $\emptyset$  is critical.
- Claim 4: Let  $Z \subseteq V(G)$  be critical with |Z| maximum. Then G-Z has no even components. Suppose by contradiction that C is an even component of G-Z, and fix  $x \in V(C)$ . Then  $Z' = Z \cup \{x\}$  is critical so that Z is not maximal:  $c_o(G-Z') = c_o(G-Z) + 1 = |Z| + 1 = |Z'|$ , since deleting x from C will either give one odd component (if C-x is connected) or one even and one odd component.
- Claim 5: For each  $j \in [k]$ , fix  $v_j \in V(C_j)$ . Then  $C_j^* = C_j v_j$  has a perfect matching. Suppose not. Then the IH implies that there is a set  $X \subseteq V(C_j^*)$  with  $c_o(C_j^* X) > |X|$ . But then  $Z' = Z \cup X \cup \{v_j\}$  is critical:

$$c_o(G - Z') = c_o(G - Z) - 1 + c_o(C_j^* - X)$$
$$> c_o(G - Z) - 1 + |X|$$
$$= |Z| - 1 + |X| = |Z'| - 2,$$

so  $c_o(G-Z') \ge |Z'|-1$ , but  $c_o(G-Z')$  and |Z'| have the same parity by claim 2, so we must have  $c_o(G-Z') \ge |Z'|$ . By hypothesis,  $c_o(G-Z') \le |Z'|$ , so Z' is critical.

• Claim 6: G has a perfect matching. Claim 5 shows that  $C_1^*, C_2^*, \ldots, C_k^*$  have perfect matchings; so now we must match the points in Z with points in  $Y = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ . If there is no such (perfect) matching between Z and Y, then Hall's theorem implies that there is a subset  $S \subseteq Y$  with |N(S)| < |S| (note that the induced graph is bipartite, deleting any edges  $z_i z_j$ ). Set X = N(S) and then note that  $c_o(G - X) \ge |S| > |N(S)| = |X|$  is a contradiction and the proof is done.

**Q15.** Let G be a d-regular bipartite graph. Show that G has d disjoint perfect matchings.

*Proof.* Suppose G has no perfect matching. Let (A,B) be a bipartition of G. Then Hall's theorem says that there is a set  $S \subseteq A$  with |N(S)| < |S|. Note that there are  $d \cdot |S|$  edges leaving S, and there are  $d \cdot |N(S)|$  edges leaving N(S). But every edge leaving S has another end in N(S), so  $d \cdot |N(S)| < d \cdot |S|$  is a contradiction.

So G has a perfect matching  $M_d$ . If we delete M, G becomes (d-1)-regular and so by the above it has a perfect matching  $M_{d-1}$ . Continue until d=1.  $\square$ 

**Q16.** Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , determine the minimum  $\delta = \delta(n)$  such that every graph G on 2n vertices with minimum degree  $\delta$  has a perfect matching.

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\delta = n$  suffices to guarantee a perfect matching in G. We may assume that G is  $\delta$ -regular, otherwise just delete any extra edges.

Given any graph G, let  $(A_0, B_0)$  be any partition of V(G) such that  $|A_0| = |B_0|$ . For any partition (A, B), let e(A) denote the number of edges with both ends in A, and define e(B) analogously. We give an algorithm to obtain a bipartite subgraph of G which will contain a perfect matching.

Pick any  $a \in A_0$  and  $b \in B_0$ . Define  $A_1 = A_0 \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$  and  $B_1 = B_0 \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$ . If  $e(A_0) + e(B_0) > e(A_1) + e(B_1)$ , then repeat the algorithm again with the partition  $(A_1, B_1)$ , otherwise try again with any other pair of vertices. Since G is finite, this process terminates with a partition (A, B) of V(G) which minimises the number of edges with both ends in A or both ends in B. Then, it follows that each  $a \in A$  has at least  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  neighbours in B and each  $b \in B$  has at least  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  neighbours in A. Let B be obtained from B by deleting all edges with both ends in either A or B. Then B is  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ -regular and bipartite, and so by Q15 it has a perfect matching. Thus, B does too.

We complete the proof by showing that  $\delta = n-1$  does not suffice to guarantee a perfect matching. Consider the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n-1,n+1}$ . Deleting the smaller partite set of n-1 vertices results in n+1 isolated vertices, which violates Tutte's condition. Hence,  $K_{n-1,n+1}$  has no perfect matching. Note that  $\delta(K_{n-1,n+2}) = n-1$ .

**Q17.** Show that for every bridgeless cubic graph G and every  $e \in E(G)$  there is a perfect matching in G containing e.

*Proof.* Fix any edge  $uv \in E(G)$  and let  $e, f \in E(G)$  be the other two edges incident to v. Let G' be obtained from G by deleting the edges e, f. To show that G has a perfect matching containing uv, it suffices to prove that G' has a perfect matching. Hence, we use Tutte's theorem to show that  $c_o(G'-X) \leq |X|$  for every subset  $X \subseteq V(G')$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that there is a set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $c_o(G' - X) > |X|$ . Note that  $c_o(G' - X)$  and |X| have the same parity since |V(G')| is even, hence  $c_o(G' - X) \ge |X| + 2$ . Let  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$  denote the odd components of G' - X. For each  $j \in [n]$ , let  $\ell_j$  be the number of edges in G leaving  $C_j$ . Then since G is cubic,

$$\sum_{v \in V(C_j)} \deg_G v = 2|E(C_j)| + \ell_j = 3|V(C_j)|.$$

Observe that LHS is even (since  $|V(C_j)|$  is odd), hence  $\ell_j$  must be odd. Furthermore,  $\ell_j \geq 2$  since G is bridgeless, and since  $\ell_j$  is odd we have  $\ell_j \geq 3$ . Let  $r_j$  be the number of edges in G with one end in  $C_j$  and the other in another odd component  $C_i$ , and let  $q_j = \ell_j - r_j$  be the remaining edges. Then  $\sum_{j=1}^n r_j \leq 4$  since we only deleted two edges, and since G is 3-regular,  $\sum_{j=1}^n q_j \leq 3|X|$ .

Then, putting everything together,

$$c_o(G' - X) = n \le \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_j = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^n (q_j + r_j)$$
$$\le \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^n q_j + 4/3 \le |X| + 4/3$$

But recall that  $c_o(G'-X)=n \ge |X|+2$ , so we may rearrange the above to obtain  $|X|+2-2/3 \ge n$  and hence  $n-2/3 \ge n$  is a contradiction. Therefore, G' has a perfect matching. Since v has degree one in G', it follows that G has a perfect matching containing uv.

**Q18.** Prove the Tutte-Burge formula: For a graph G, its deficiency def(G) is the minimal number of vertices avoided by a matching. Clearly  $def(G) = |V(G)| - 2\nu(G)$ . Show that

$$\operatorname{def}(G) = \max_{X \subset V(G)} (c_o(G - X) - |X|). \tag{*}$$

*Proof.* First note that (\*) holds if G has a perfect matching:  $c_o(G-X)-|X| \leq 0$  always by Tutte's theorem, and the inequality is tight taking  $X = \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$def(G) = |V(G)| - 2\nu(G) = 0 = \max_{X \subseteq V(G)} (c_o(G - X) - |X|).$$

Let  $X\subseteq V(G)$  be such that  $c_o(G-X)-|X|=k$  is maximum. Let M be any maximal matching in G and let  $C_1,C_2,\ldots,C_n$  be the odd components of G-X. By deleting edges, we may assume without loss of generality that G has no edge with one end in  $\bigcup_{j=1}^k V(C_j)$  and another in X, since at most n-k edges in M can have an end in X. Then each component  $C_1,C_2,\ldots,C_k$  contains an unmatched vertex, since  $|V(C_j)|$  is odd for every  $j\in [k]$ . So k vertices can be avoided by a matching, hence

$$def(G) = |V(G)| - 2\nu(G) \le k = \max_{X \subseteq V(G)} (c_o(G - X) - |X|).$$

The reverse inequality is much harder. Let's set  $k = |V(G)| - 2\nu(G)$ . We construct an auxiliary graph H as follows. Let  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$  be a set of k new vertices. Let  $H = G \cup Y$  be obtained from G by adding each vertex in Y, with each  $y_j \in Y$  adjacent to every other vertex in H (including those in  $Y - v_j$ ).

Then H has a perfect matching: take any maximal matching M in G and suppose  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  are unmatched; then  $M \cup \{y_j v_j : j \in [k]\}$  is a perfect matching in H. So H satisfies Tutte's condition: we have  $c_o(H - X) \leq |X|$  for every  $X \subseteq V(H)$ . So fix  $X \subseteq V(G)$  and observe that

$$c_o(G - X) = c_o(H - (X \cup Y)) \le |X \cup Y|$$
  
= |X| + |Y| = |X| + |V(G)| - 2\nu(G),

consequently  $c_o(G-X)-|X| \leq |V(G)|-2\nu(G) = \operatorname{def}(G)$ . Since X was arbitrary, the proof is complete.

Q19. Use LP duality to prove König's theorem.

*Proof.* Fix a bipartite graph G=(V,E). First note that the following LP computes  $\nu(G)$ :

$$\max \quad z(x) = \sum_{e \in E} x_e$$
 subject to 
$$\sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x_e \le 1, \ \forall v \in V$$
 
$$x_e \ge 0, \ \forall e \in E.$$

Since G is bipartite, its incidence matrix A is totally unimodular. Hence the polyhedron  $P = \{x \ge 0 : Ax \le 1\}$  has integral corners. By construction,  $x \in P$  if and only if it is feasible, so any optimum  $x^*$  is integral.

Now fix a maximal matching M and define  $x_e=1$  if  $e\in M$  and  $x_e=0$  otherwise. Then x is a feasible point and  $z(x)=|M|=\nu(G)$ . On the other hand, if  $x^*$  is an optimum of the LP, put  $M=\{e\in E: x_e^*=1\}$ . Then  $|M|=\sum_{e\in E}x_e^*$ , and if M is not a matching there is a vertex  $v\in V$  incident with two edges in M, violating the first constraint. Note that  $M\neq\emptyset$  since by integrality  $x_e^*\in\{0,1\}$ . Thus the primal has optimum value  $\nu(G)$ .

Consequently, LP duality implies that its dual program also has optimum value  $\nu(G)$ . The dual is as follows:

$$\min \quad w(x) = \sum_{v \in V} y_v$$
 subject to 
$$y_u + y_v \ge 1, \ \forall uv \in E$$
 
$$y_v \ge 0, \ \forall v \in V.$$

But the dual also computes  $\tau(G)$ . If X is a minimal vertex cover of G, set  $y_v = 1$  if  $v \in X$  and  $y_v = 0$  otherwise. Then y is a feasible point and w(y) = |X|. Conversely, if  $y^*$  is an optimum of the LP, put  $X = \{v \in V : y_v^* = 1\}$ . If X is not a vertex cover, then there is an edge  $uv \in E$  with no end in X. So  $y_u^* = y_v^* = 0$ , contradicting  $y_u^* + y_v^* \ge 1$ . It follows that  $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ .

**Q20.** Let G be a graph with minimum degree  $\delta \geq 2$ . Show that G contains a cycle of length at least  $\delta + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let P be a path in G with maximum length, and let u be an end of P. By the maximality of P, each neighbour of u is in P, so P has length at least  $\delta$ . Let v be the furthest vertex along P from u such that  $uv \in E$ , and let  $P' \subseteq P$  be a sub-path of P with ends u, v. Then P' has length at least  $\delta$ , so  $P' \cup uv$  is a cycle of length at least  $\delta + 1$ .

**Q21.** Let G be a bipartite graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Prove that G has a matching saturating every vertex of degree  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.* Let (A, B) be a bipartition of G. By appending isolated vertices, we may assume |A| = |B|. Let H be a bipartite  $\Delta$ -regular graph obtained from G by adding a set F of extra edges. Then Q15 implies that H has a perfect matching M and so M - F is the desired matching in G.

**Q22.** Let  $\alpha(G)$  and  $\rho(G)$  denote the independence and edge-cover numbers of G respectively. Show that if  $G = (A \cup B, E)$  is bipartite, then  $\alpha(G) = \rho(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let's use LP duality as in Q19. We'll start by writing an LP which computes  $\rho(G)$ . Here it is:

$$\min \quad \sum_{e \in E} x_e$$
 subject to 
$$\sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x_e \ge 1, \ \forall v \in V$$
 
$$x_e \ge 0, \ \forall e \in E.$$

Using the same reasoning as in Q19, since G is bipartite, its incidence matrix A is totally unimodular. Then the set  $P = \{x \ge 0 : Ax \ge 1\}$  of feasible solutions has integral corners and so the LP is integral.

First suppose F is a minimal edge cover in G. Then set  $x_e=1$  if  $e\in F$  and  $x_e=0$  otherwise. Then x is feasible by construction. On the other hand, given an optimum  $x^*$ , note first that  $x_e^*\in\{0,1\}$  for each  $e\in E$ . Indeed, the LP is integral, and if there is an edge  $e=uv\in G$  with  $x_e^*\geq 2$ , it follows that  $x^*$  is not optimal. So put  $F=\{e\in E: x_e^*=1\}$ . Then  $\rho(G)\leq |F|=\sum_{e\in E}x_e^*$ , and note that F is a vertex cover, otherwise there is a vertex  $v\in V$  incident to no edge in F. But then  $\sum_{e\in \delta(v)}x_e^*=0$  violates the LP constraints. Hence the primal computes  $\rho(G)$ .

Using LP duality, the dual LP must then also compute  $\rho(G)$ . Here it is:

$$\max \sum_{v \in V} y_v$$
 subject to  $y_u + y_v \le 1, \ \forall uv \in E$  
$$y_v \ge 0, \ \forall v \in V.$$

It remains to show that the dual LP computes  $\alpha(G)$ . First, given a maximum independent set  $X \subseteq V$ , put  $y_v = 1$  if  $v \in X$  and  $y_v = 0$  otherwise. Then y is feasible. On the other hand, given an optimum  $y^*$ , put  $X = \{v \in V : y_v = 1\}$ . Then  $\alpha(G) \geq |X| = \sum_{v \in V} y_v$  and X is a vertex cover, otherwise there is an edge between two vertices  $u, v \in X$ . Consequently,  $y_u + y_v = 2$  is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\rho(G) = \alpha(G)$ .

**Q23.** Prove a generalized version of Menger's theorem: Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S, T \subseteq V$ , there are either k (vertex) disjoint paths between S and T or there is a set  $C \subseteq V$  such that every path between S and T intersects C and |C| < k.

*Proof.* Let D = (V, A) be any digraph and first suppose that there are not k disjoint paths between S and T. Let m < k be the maximum number of disjoint paths between S and T. Given a collection of m such paths, let C be comprised of one vertex from each path. Then every path between S and T intersects C, otherwise m is not maximal.

Conversely, suppose there is no set  $C\subseteq V$  as described above. We show that there are k disjoint paths between S and T. The proof is by induction on the number of arcs |A|. If D is arcless the claim is vacuously true, so fix  $|A|\geq 1$  and an arc  $a\in A$ . Let D' be obtained from D by deleting a. If there are k disjoint paths in D' between S and T, then the same holds in D and we're done. Otherwise, the IH implies that there is a set  $C'\subseteq V$  such that every path in D' between S and T meets C' and  $|C'|\leq k-1$ . Then every path in D between S and T uses a vertex in  $C=C'\cup\{u\}$ , where a=(u,v). But then by hypothesis,  $|C'\cup\{u\}|\geq k$ , so  $|C'|\geq k-1$ , and hence |C|=k. We are ready to find k disjoint paths between S and T.

We first claim that in D' there are k disjoint paths  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$  between S and C. If not, the IH gives a set  $X \subseteq V$  such that every path between S and C intersects B and  $|B| \le k-1$ . But recall that every path between S and T in D intersects C, so each of these paths also intersects B, a contradiction. Identical reasoning implies that there are also k disjoint paths  $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k$  between  $C' \cup \{v\}$  and T in D'.

Since |C| = k, the paths  $P_1, \ldots, P_k, Q_1, \ldots, Q_k$  along with the arc a form k disjoint paths between S and T. Note that no two paths  $P_i$  and  $Q_j$  can intersect as otherwise there is a path between S and T avoiding C. This completes the proof.

**Q24.** Prove that a subset  $A \subseteq V$  is an independent set if and only if V - A is a vertex cover. Deduce that  $\alpha(G) + \tau(G) = |V(G)|$ .

*Proof.* Fix an independent set A in G and write X = V - A. If X is not a vertex cover, then there is an edge  $uv \in E$  with no end in X, that is  $u, v \notin X$ . But then  $u, v \in A$  is a contradiction, since u and v are adjacent vertices. On the other hand, let  $A \subseteq V$  be such that X = V - A is a vertex cover. If A is not independent, there are vertices  $u, v \in A$  with  $uv \in E$ . But then uv has no end in X, so X is not a vertex cover.

Given a maximum independent set A, the set X = V - A is a vertex cover. If there was anoter vertex cover Y with |Y| < |X|, then Y = V - (V - Y) and so V - Y is an independent set. But then |V - Y| > |V - X| = |A| contradicts our choice of A. Therefore,  $|X| = \tau(G)$  and so  $|A| + |X| = \alpha(G) + \tau(G) = |V|$ .  $\square$ 

**Q25.** Let G be a graph with no isolated vertices. Show that  $\nu(G) + \rho(G) = |V|$ . Deduce that  $\alpha(G) = \rho(G)$  whenever G is bipartite.

*Proof.* Let F be a minimal edge cover in G. Let  $M \subseteq F$  be a maximum matching in F and denote the set of remaining edges by R = F - M. It follows from the maximality of M in F that every edge in R has exactly one end matched by M. Thus, there are 2|M| vertices in G which are matched by M and |R|

vertices which are not. Since every vertex is incident to an edge in  $F = M \cup R$ , we observe that |V| = 2|M| + |R| = |M| + |F|.

It remains to show that M is a maximum cardinality matching in G. If not, there is an M-augmenting path P between two vertices  $x,y\in V$ . For a vertex  $z\in\{x,y\}$ , note that there is an edge  $e_z\in R$  containing z as an end, since this vertex is unmatched. Let F' be obtained from  $F=M\cup R$  by replacing M with  $M\triangle E(P)$  and deleting  $e_x$  and  $e_y$  from R. Specifically, we put  $F'=(M\triangle E(P))\cup (R-\{e_x,e_y\})$ . Then F' is a smaller edge cover than F.  $\square$