Connecticut State Department of Education

SCHOOL PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Roosevelt School Bridgeport School District

203-576-8032 • http://bridgeport.ct.schoolwebpages.com/

School Information

Grade Range PK-8
Enrollment 562

Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

Contents

Students	1
Educators	2
Instruction	2
Performance and Accountability	3

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the school.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight (EdSight.ct.gov).

For district totals, please see the district profile.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality.

N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment					
		School	District		
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)		
Female	275	48.9	47.3		
Male	287	51.1	52.7		
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.4		
Asian	13	2.3	2.7		
Black or African American	209	37.2	36.3		
Hispanic or Latino	305	54.3	48.6		
Pacific Islander	*	*	0.1		
Two or More Races	*	*	0.5		
White	30	5.3	11.3		
English Language Learners	90	16.0	13.9		
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	562	100.0	*		
Students with Disabilities ¹	61	10.9	14.7		

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absen	Absenteeism ²		llsion ³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	76	27.3	49	16.4
Male	63	22.7	73	24.1
Black or African American	44	21.5	51	22.8
Hispanic or Latino	88	28.6	63	19.2
White	7	30.4	*	*
English Language Learners	22	22.0	10	10.0
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	139	25.0	121	20.2
Students with Disabilities	27	34.2	23	28.0
School	139	25.0	122	20.3
District		19.0		15.7

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 137

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

School Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2014-15 Roosevelt School Bridgeport School District

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	35.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	5.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	2.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	4.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
School Level	2.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	2.5
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	3.0
School Nurses	0.6
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	19.1

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		School	District
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.4
Asian	0	0.0	1.7
Black or African American	5	10.9	12.3
Hispanic or Latino	7	15.2	10.2
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	34	73.9	75.4

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)
School	98.7
School Poverty Quartile: Hi	gh
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.9
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance, 2013-14

	School	District
Average # of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness	11.1	13.1
or Personal Time		

Instruction and Resources

School Schedule

Days of Instruction	182
Hours of Instruction Per Year	
Grades 1-12 and Full-Day Kindergarten	1092
Half/Extended Day Kindergarten	N/A

School Hours for Students		
	Start Time	08:40 AM
	End Time	03:00 PM

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	*	*
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	23	88.4
Other Health Impairment	10	*
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	8	*
School	46	86.7
District		71.7

³Ages 6-21

School Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2014-15 Roosevelt School Bridgeport School District

Performance and Accountability

School Performance Index (SPI)

A School Performance Index (SPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments.

The SPI ranges from 0-100. An SPI is reported for all students tested in a school and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for an SPI is 75.

	English Langua	ge Arts(ELA)	Mat	h	Scien	ce
	Count	SPI	Count	SPI	Count	SPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	8	*	8	*	*	*
Black or African American	92	43.0	92	31.1	30	33.8
Hispanic or Latino	141	45.0	141	33.3	41	34.6
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	9	*	9	*	*	*
English Language Learners	42	40.1	42	29.9	12	*
Non-English Language Learners	208	45.9	208	34.0	65	36.1
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	250	44.9	250	33.3	77	34.8
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Students with Disabilities	49	36.8	49	22.0	16	*
Students without Disabilities	201	46.9	201	36.1	61	36.6
High Needs	250	44.9	250	33.3	77	34.8
Non-High Needs	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
School	250	44.9	250	33.3	77	34.8

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	34.9	50.0	51.6	N/A	112	44.6
Curl Up	62.8	57.9	67.7	N/A	112	62.5
Push Up	39.5	34.2	35.5	N/A	112	36.6
Mile Run/PACER	51.2	28.9	*	N/A	112	33.0
All Tests - School	*	*	*	N/A	112	*
All Tests - District	35.7	34.1	32.7	46.1		34.9

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

School Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2014-15 Roosevelt School Bridgeport School District

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Performance Index	All Students	44.9	75	59.9	100	59.9	67.9
	High Needs Students	44.9	75	59.9	100	59.9	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	33.3	75	44.4	100	44.4	59.3
	High Needs Students	33.3	75	44.4	100	44.4	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	34.8	75	46.3	100	46.3	56.5
	High Needs Students	34.8	75	46.3	100	46.3	45.9
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	25.0%	<=5%	9.9	50	19.8	10.6%
	High Needs Students	25.0%	<=5%	9.9	50	19.8	17.3%
Preparation for CCR	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	66.1%
	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Graduation		85.4%	94%	45.4	50	90.9	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Students (2014 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		72.7% 4.5%	75%	1.5	50	3.0	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		N/A	60%	0.0	0	0.0	45.7%
Accountability Index				368.0	800	46.0	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	•	44.9		16.8	
Math Performance Index Gap	•	33.3		19.5	
Science Performance Index Gap	•	34.8		17.3	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

 $^{^{2}}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Subject/Subgroup		Participation Rate (%)		
ELA	All Students	100.0		
	High Needs Students	100.0		
Math	All Students	100.0		
	High Needs Students	100.0		
Science	All Students	98.9		
	High Needs Students	98.9		

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ
Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports