Connecticut State Department of Education

SCHOOL PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Barnum School Bridgeport School District

203-275-2300 • http://bridgeport.ct.schoolwebpages.com/

School Information

Grade Range PK-8 Enrollment 635

Community Information

CERC Town Profiles provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

Contents

Students	1
Educators	2
Instruction	2
Performance and Accountability	3

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the school.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight (EdSight.ct.gov).

For district totals, please see the district profile.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality.

N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment					
		School	District		
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)		
Female	286	45.0	47.3		
Male	349	55.0	52.7		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.4		
Asian	*	*	2.7		
Black or African American	195	30.7	36.3		
Hispanic or Latino	408	64.3	48.6		
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1		
Two or More Races	*	*	0.5		
White	25	3.9	11.3		
English Language Learners	98	15.4	13.9		
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	635	100.0	*		
Students with Disabilities ¹	140	22.0	14.7		

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absen	Absenteeism ²		ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	55	19.9	26	8.8
Male	77	23.1	68	18.1
Black or African American	33	19.0	36	18.5
Hispanic or Latino	92	22.4	51	11.5
White	7	31.8	*	*
English Language Learners	17	16.2	7	6.6
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	132	21.7	94	14.0
Students with Disabilities	36	25.9	32	18.6
School	132	21.7	94	14.0
District		19.0		15.7

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 85

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

School Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2014-15 Barnum School

Bridgeport School District

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	33.2
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	5.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	10.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	16.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
School Level	1.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	2.7
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	3.9
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	20.1

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		School	District
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.4
Asian	1	1.7	1.7
Black or African American	5	8.5	12.3
Hispanic or Latino	11	18.6	10.2
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	42	71.2	75.4

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
School	100.0		
School Poverty Quartile: High			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.9		
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6		

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance, 2013-14

	School	District
Average # of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness	14.8	13.1
or Personal Time		

Instruction and Resources

School Schedule

Days of Instruction	182
Hours of Instruction Per Year	
Grades 1-12 and Full-Day Kindergarten	1092
Half/Extended Day Kindergarten	N/A

School Hours for Students	
Start Time	08:30 AM
End Time	02:50 PM

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	9	*
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0
Intellectual Disability	*	*
Learning Disability	46	93.8
Other Health Impairment	21	84.0
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	9	*
School	89	80.9
District		71.7

³Ages 6-21

School Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2014-15 Barnum School Bridgeport School District

Performance and Accountability

School Performance Index (SPI)

A School Performance Index (SPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The SPI ranges from 0-100. An SPI is reported for all students tested in a school and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for an SPI is 75.

	English Langua	ge Arts(ELA)	Mat	h	Scien	ce
	Count	SPI	Count	SPI	Count	SPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Black or African American	105	48.6	105	39.7	29	38.4
Hispanic or Latino	208	50.8	208	41.4	61	39.5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	0	*
White	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Language Learners	50	44.4	50	35.7	12	*
Non-English Language Learners	276	51.0	276	41.6	83	39.5
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	326	50.0	326	40.7	95	39.1
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Students with Disabilities	84	41.4	84	32.7	14	*
Students without Disabilities	242	53.0	242	43.4	81	39.3
High Needs	326	50.0	326	40.7	95	39.1
Non-High Needs	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
School	326	50.0	326	40.7	95	39.1

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	75.7	69.2	85.5	N/A	177	76.8
Curl Up	97.1	88.5	81.8	N/A	177	89.8
Push Up	81.4	65.4	69.1	N/A	177	72.9
Mile Run/PACER	94.3	86.5	80.0	N/A	177	87.6
All Tests - School	62.9	50.0	52.7	N/A	177	55.9
All Tests - District	35.7	34.1	32.7	46.1		34.9

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

School Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2014-15 Barnum School Bridgeport School District

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Performance Index	All Students	50.0	75	66.6	100	66.6	67.9
	High Needs Students	50.0	75	66.6	100	66.6	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	40.7	75	54.2	100	54.2	59.3
	High Needs Students	40.7	75	54.2	100	54.2	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	39.1	75	52.1	100	52.1	56.5
	High Needs Students	39.1	75	52.1	100	52.1	45.9
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	21.7%	<=5%	16.7	50	33.3	10.6%
	High Needs Students	21.7%	<=5%	16.7	50	33.3	17.3%
Preparation for CCR	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	66.1%
	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Graduation		86.8%	94%	46.2	50	92.3	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Students (2014 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		100.0% 55.9%	75%	37.3	50	74.6	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		N/A	60%	0.0	0	0.0	45.7%
Accountability Index			462.7	800	57.8		

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	•	50.0		16.8	
Math Performance Index Gap	•	40.7		19.5	
Science Performance Index Gap	•	39.1		17.3	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

 $^{^{2}}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Subject/Subgroup		Participation Rate (%)		
ELA	All Students	99.5		
	High Needs Students	99.5		
Math	All Students	99.5		
	High Needs Students	99.5		
Science	All Students	99.0		
	High Needs Students	99.0		

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ
Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports