STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Student v. Wilton Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Parents: Attorney Meredith C. Braxton

Meredith C. Braxton, Esq., LLC 280 Railroad Avenue - Suite 205

Greenwich, CT 06830

Appearing on behalf of the Board:

Andreana R. Bellach

Shipman & Goodwin LLP

300 Atlantic Street

Stamford, CT 06901-3522

Appearing before:

Attorney Brette H. Fitton, Hearing Officer

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

ISSUES:

- 1. Whether the Board's Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) for 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 school years provided the Student with a free appropriate public education (FAPE);
- 2. Whether the Board's actions during the 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 school years as outlined in the request for hearing constituted procedural violations which resulted in a denial of (FAPE) to the Student;
- 3. Whether the Student should receive compensatory education services;
- 4. Whether the Parents shall be reimbursed for VIVE and Supercamp programs in which the Student was enrolled during 2011;
- 5. Whether the Parents' placement of Student in the Trails wilderness program in 2011 was appropriate and, if so, whether Parents shall be reimbursed for the cost of the program;

- 6. Whether the Student requires a private therapeutic boarding school placement;
- 7. If the student requires a private therapeutic boarding school placement, whether the placement should be at The Grove School at Board's expense;
- 8. Whether the Parents shall be reimbursed for the evaluation of Student conducted while enrolled in Trails wilderness program.

SUMMARY:

On January 5, 2012 the Wilton Board of Education received a Request for a Special Education Due Process Hearing. The undersigned hearing officer was appointed on January 6, 2012. On January 18, 2012 a prehearing conference was held and hearing dates were set. The parties engaged in mediation on February 8, 2012. On February 27, 2012 Counsel for the Parents withdrew the hearing request with prejudice.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

In light of the above facts, this case is dismissed with prejudice,