

Lecture 2: The Toolkit

Econ 490: Compensation in Organizations

Jacob Kohlhepp

February 18, 2026

Discussion: Lazear (2000)

What Should You Know Already?

- ▶ Single variable derivatives.
- ▶ Inequalities.
- ▶ Very basic probability.

What Will I Teach You Today?

- ▶ The concept of risk aversion and a useful formula.
- ▶ A decision problem.
- ▶ Simple game theory, static.
- ▶ Simple game theory, dynamic.

Caveats

- ▶ I will try to cover just what is needed for the class.
- ▶ I will not cover Nash equilibrium in depth.
- ▶ I will not cover risk aversion in depth.
- ▶ If you want to go beyond this or want more practice, see my notes and practice problems from an old course: <https://github.com/jakekohlhepp/Econ101>.

Table of Contents

Risk Aversion

Decision Problem

Game Theory

Compensation as Lotteries

- ▶ Your performance in a job is often effort + noise/luck/chance/circumstance
- ▶ Effort is in your control, but the rest is random.
- ▶ If you get paid based on performance, your compensation is a lottery!

A Survey

Suppose a company offered you three compensation schemes:

- a. X_a : \$100,000 with probability 50%, \$0 with probability 50%.
- b. X_b : \$49,000 with probability 100%
- c. X_c : \$200,000 with probability 24%, \$0 with probability 76%.

Which would you choose? (will tally on board)

Risk Attitudes

- ▶ Before looking at the results, notice some facts:
 - ▶ b has lower average than a: $E[X_a] = 50 > 49 = E[X_b]$.
 - ▶ But a has higher variance than b: $Var(X_a) = 2500 > 0 = Var(X_b)$.
 - ▶ So if you dislike variance or uncertainty, you will prefer a.
 - ▶ $E[X_c] = 48 < 49 < 50$: in terms of expected money, c is worse than both a and b.
 - ▶ However, the variance of c is higher: $Var(X_c) \approx 7296 > 2500 > 0$.
 - ▶ You will only choose c if you like uncertainty/risk.
- ▶ A rough interpretation of the results:
 - ▶ If you chose b you are *risk averse*: you dislike uncertainty/risk, and are willing to pay to reduce it
 - ▶ If you chose a you are either *risk neutral*
 - ▶ If you chose c you are *risk loving*
- ▶ We will assume people are risk averse or risk neutral.

Expected Utility Theory

- ▶ We analyze uncertainty using **Expected Utility Theory**.
- ▶ This theorem justifies the tools we will use in this class:

Theorem 1

*Under a set of axioms (which you do not need to know), we can represent an individual's preferences over lotteries using an **expected utility function** u where $E[u(X_a)] \geq E[u(X_b)]$ means that lottery a is preferred to lottery b .*

Risk Attitudes As Functions

A person with expected utility function u is...

- ▶ **risk averse** if u is concave.
- ▶ **risk neutral** if u is linear.
- ▶ **risk loving** if u is convex.

Certainty Equivalent

- We often want to rank lotteries/gambles. The following concept is useful for ranking lotteries:

Definition 2

The amount of money for sure a decision maker is willing to pay for lottery a is the **certainty equivalent** (d_a). Mathematically:

$$u(d_a) = E[u(X_a)]$$

- Given a lottery that gives me d dollars for sure and X_a , it is the value of d where I am indifferent.

Certainty Equivalent

- ▶ We often want to rank lotteries/gambles. The following concept is useful for ranking lotteries:

Definition 2

The amount of money for sure a decision maker is willing to pay for lottery a is the **certainty equivalent** (d_a). Mathematically:

$$u(d_a) = E[u(X_a)]$$

- ▶ Given a lottery that gives me d dollars for sure and X_a , it is the value of d where I am indifferent.
- ▶ Gut check: What is the certainty equivalent of a lottery with $E[X_a] = 10$ when the decision maker is risk neutral?

Certainty Equivalent

- We often want to rank lotteries/gambles. The following concept is useful for ranking lotteries:

Definition 3

The amount of money for sure a decision maker is willing to pay for lottery a is the **certainty equivalent** (d_a). Mathematically:

$$u(d_a) = E[u(X_a)]$$

- Given the choice between d dollars for sure or X_a , it is the value of d where I am indifferent.

Certainty Equivalent

- We often want to rank lotteries/gambles. The following concept is useful for ranking lotteries:

Definition 3

The amount of money for sure a decision maker is willing to pay for lottery a is the **certainty equivalent** (d_a). Mathematically:

$$u(d_a) = E[u(X_a)]$$

- Given the choice between d dollars for sure or X_a , it is the value of d where I am indifferent.
- Gut check: What is the certainty equivalent of a lottery with $E[X_a] = 10$ when the decision maker is risk neutral?

Exponential Utility

- We will use the exponential utility function in this class:

$$u(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-rx}}{r}$$

- r captures risk aversion:
 - When $r > 0$ the decision maker is risk averse
 - When $r < 0$ they are risk loving.
- What happens when $r \rightarrow 0$? Using L'Hopital's rule:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - e^{-rx}}{r} = x$$

So we have risk neutrality!

Exponential Utility

- We will use the exponential utility function in this class:

$$u(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-rx}}{r}$$

Theorem 4

When a person has risk preference given by an exponential utility function $u(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-rx}}{r}$, the certainty equivalent of a normal lottery with mean μ and variance σ^2 is given by:

$$d = \mu - r \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$$

- In this class, you can apply this formula directly.
- Talk to me if you are interested in the derivation!

Table of Contents

Risk Aversion

Decision Problem

Game Theory

Making a Decision

- ▶ Suppose there is a single person (A) making a decision.
- ▶ A takes an action which we will call e .
- ▶ e can be a **discrete action**:
 - ▶ accept or reject
 - ▶ work hard or slack off
- ▶ e can be a **continuous action**:
 - ▶ exert e units of effort
 - ▶ drive e miles
- ▶ The utility or payoff of an action e is $u(e)$

Table of Contents

Risk Aversion

Decision Problem

Game Theory

Game Theory in This Class

- ▶ Game theory lets us model strategic interaction.
- ▶ Therefore it is a tool, but not the point, of this class.
- ▶ I do not require you to learn the definitions of Nash equilibrium, best responses, etc.
- ▶ However, if you want to go to econ. grad school this can be useful.
- ▶ I do require you to make either mathematical and/or verbal arguments.
- ▶ For tests, I will only ask you to solve models we solved in class (sometimes with slight modifications).

Competing for a Worker (Bertrand Game)

We will use the basic ideas of this game often:

- ▶ **Players.** Two identical firms, numbered $i = 1, 2$, and one worker.
- ▶ **Actions.** Firms choose wages *continuously*: $0 \leq w_i < \infty$
- ▶ **Payoffs.**
 1. Worker payoff is the wage of the firm they choose.
 2. When firms set the same wage the worker chooses randomly.
 3. The firm which hires the worker gets productivity p and pays the wage.
 4. If a firm does not hire they get 0.

Competing for a Worker: Solution

See the board (we will solve this almost entirely verbally)!

The Company Call List (Tragedy of the Commons)

- ▶ **Players.** Two sales workers, $i = 1, 2$, share a common list of company sales contacts.
- ▶ **Actions.** Each chooses a number of people on the list to call, q_i
- ▶ **Payoffs.**
 1. The cost to the worker of making a call on the list is 0.
 2. The amount of commission the worker makes per call is $120 - q_1 - q_2$

The Company Call List (Tragedy of the Commons) - Solution

See the board!

Sequential Games

- ▶ We will consider several models in this class where a firm moves first (usually to set up a compensation plan)
- ▶ Then a worker reacts to this compensation plan.
- ▶ Unlike the last examples these are sequential games.
- ▶ Two key differences between static and dynamic:
 - ▶ In sequential games, future players take past player actions as fixed.
 - ▶ Earlier players anticipate future players will react to their current choices.
- ▶ To deal with this, we use subgame perfect Nash equilibrium.
- ▶ For this class, that just means we use backwards induction.

The Pirate Riddle

There is a tricky riddle that we will use to illustrate backwards induction.

Five pirates, numbered 1 through 5, must decide how to divide 100 gold coins. Their decision process is as follows. Starting with pirate 1, each pirate proposes a split consisting of a number of coins for each of the pirates on the ship. Then all pirates vote. If a strict majority approve, the allocation happens. If it does not the proposer is thrown off the ship and the remaining pirates repeat the process. Assume pirates value 2 coins more than 1, etc and that getting thrown off is worse than getting 0 coins. Assume pirates vote no when indifferent (they get a little bit of enjoyment from watching someone walk the plank). What is the maximum number of coins P1 can obtain and not get thrown off?

Pirate Riddle: Verbal Solution

- ▶ Start from the end of the game. If P5 gets to make a proposal, then they are the last pirate left. They propose 100 coins for themselves and get it!

Pirate Riddle: Verbal Solution

- ▶ Start from the end of the game. If P5 gets to make a proposal, then they are the last pirate left. They propose 100 coins for themselves and get it!
- ▶ Rolling back, P4 needs pirate 5's vote for a strict majority. There is no way to get it since P5 knows they get 100 coins if they throw off P4. Thus P4 can propose anything, and P5 always rejects.

Pirate Riddle: Verbal Solution

- ▶ Start from the end of the game. If P5 gets to make a proposal, then they are the last pirate left. They propose 100 coins for themselves and get it!
- ▶ Rolling back, P4 needs pirate 5's vote for a strict majority. There is no way to get it since P5 knows they get 100 coins if they throw off P4. Thus P4 can propose anything, and P5 always rejects.
- ▶ Roll back. P3 needs 1 other vote to get a majority. The easiest person to convince is pirate 4, since pirate 4 gets thrown off if the game continues. To get P4's vote P3 can get away with giving P4 0 coins. P3 proposes 0 for all other pirates and 100 for themselves.

Pirate Riddle: Verbal Solution

- ▶ Start from the end of the game. If P5 gets to make a proposal, then they are the last pirate left. They propose 100 coins for themselves and get it!
- ▶ Rolling back, P4 needs pirate 5's vote for a strict majority. There is no way to get it since P5 knows they get 100 coins if they throw off P4. Thus P4 can propose anything, and P5 always rejects.
- ▶ Roll back. P3 needs 1 other vote to get a majority. The easiest person to convince is pirate 4, since pirate 4 gets thrown off if the game continues. To get P4's vote P3 can get away with giving P4 0 coins. P3 proposes 0 for all other pirates and 100 for themselves.
- ▶ Roll back. P2 needs to get two votes. All pirates except P3 get 0 coins if the game continues, so P2 proposes 1 coin to P1 and 1 coin to P4 and keeps 98.

Pirate Riddle: Verbal Solution

- ▶ Start from the end of the game. If P5 gets to make a proposal, then they are the last pirate left. They propose 100 coins for themselves and get it!
- ▶ Rolling back, P4 needs pirate 5's vote for a strict majority. There is no way to get it since P5 knows they get 100 coins if they throw off P4. Thus P4 can propose anything, and P5 always rejects.
- ▶ Roll back. P3 needs 1 other vote to get a majority. The easiest person to convince is pirate 4, since pirate 4 gets thrown off if the game continues. To get P4's vote P3 can get away with giving P4 0 coins. P3 proposes 0 for all other pirates and 100 for themselves.
- ▶ Roll back. P2 needs to get two votes. All pirates except P3 get 0 coins if the game continues, so P2 proposes 1 coin to P1 and 1 coin to P4 and keeps 98.
- ▶ Roll back. P1 needs two other votes. P3 is the cheapest to convince. P1 and P4 are next cheapest, and P1 need only convince one. So P1 proposes 0 for P2 and P5, P3 1, and P4 2 and keeps 97!

Pirate Riddle: Open Discussion

What can we learn from the pirate riddle? What is surprising about the outcome/solution?

The Company Call List - Sequential Version

We now modify our static company call list game to be sequential.

- ▶ **Players.** Two sales workers, $i = 1, 2$, share a common list of company sales contacts.
- ▶ **Actions.** Each chooses a number of people on the list to call, q_i
- ▶ **Timing.** Worker 1 calls first, then worker 2.
- ▶ **Payoffs.**
 1. The cost to the worker of making a call on the list is 0.
 2. The amount of commission the worker makes per call is $120 - q_1 - q_2$

The Company Call List - Sequential Version Solution

See the board!