

Lecture 9: Multitasking

Compensation in Organizations

Jacob Kohlhepp

March 1, 2024

Discussion: Dumont et. al. (2008)

Dumont et. al. (2008)

Table 6

Impact of mixed compensation on practice variables, pediatrics and general surgery

PEDIATRICS (Control group: pediatricians paid only under the FFS scheme after reform)										
	Fixed effects OLS				Pooled Tobit					
	Volume / year	Income / year	Hours / week	Clinical hours/ Tot. week	Hosp.	Priv. Cl.	Non-Clinical hours/ Tot. week	Admin.	Teach.	Research hours/ week
Treatment effect	-12.99***	15.83***	-1.87*	-2.88**	0.34	-3.46*	3.92***	3.27*	0.48	-9.56**
St. Error	3.72	6.62	1.12	1.14	1.33	1.83	1.40	1.85	1.19	4.03
Effect of the reform	-12.99***	15.83***	-1.87*	-2.88**	0.49	-2.92**	1.56*	0.48	0.61	-2.01*
St. Error	3.72	6.62	1.12	1.14	1.20	1.48	0.80	0.68	0.56	1.06
% effect of the reform	-12.81	8.69	-3.75	-6.55	2.20	-15.88	27.89	25.14	13.88	-38.20
Log likelihood	-	-	-	-	-3 423	-3 757	-2 678	-2 078	-1 653	-1 147
Hausman test ^a	53.86	37.68	41.10	44.97	-	-	-	-	-	-
Test of parallel trend ^b	0.92	0.48	0.28	1.22	2.27	7.60	9.03	2.55	7.99	9.05
GENERAL SURGERY (Control group: general surgeons paid only under the FFS scheme after reform)										
Treatment effect	-15.32***	18.37***	-2.70	-1.83	0.04	-4.68**	0.62	0.27	0.53	-1.36
St. Error	3.81	4.28	2.48	2.37	2.54	2.00	1.27	1.64	1.04	1.59
Effect of the reform	-15.32***	18.38***	-2.70	-1.83	0.06	-1.02	0.07	-0.83	0.38	-1.07
St. Error	3.81	4.38	2.48	2.37	2.52	0.83	0.95	0.75	0.66	0.77
% effect of the reform	-10.72	8.14	-4.81	-3.77	0.14	-31.47	0.81	-23.05	8.37	-21.53
Log likelihood	-	-	-	-	-4 509	-3 014	-3 093	-2 459	-1 916	-1 235
Hausman test ^a	39.41	8.07	169.10	26.50	-	-	-	-	-	-
Test of parallel trend ^b	1.46	0.98	1.13	0.71	4.81	0.96	1.19	2.08	2.49	2.08

Significance levels: *10%; **5%; ***1%.

The One Task Assumption

- ▶ So far we have assumed that there is a single, productive task.
- ▶ This captures many jobs and environments well.
- ▶ The trade-off is between exerting effort and not exerting effort.
- ▶ But some jobs have more than one type of productive effort.
 - ▶ Question: Can you give examples?
- ▶ Some jobs have destructive tasks!
 - ▶ Question: Can you give examples?

The Multitasking Model: Dropping Uncertainty

- ▶ We want to capture a new force (multiple tasks)
- ▶ To keep things simple, we drop noise/luck/uncertainty (ϵ)
- ▶ We no longer need to think about certainty equivalents, variances, etc.
- ▶ We also will assume an outside option of 0 for the worker.

The Multitasking Model: Adding Multiple Tasks

- ▶ The worker will have two tasks, numbered 1 and 2.
- ▶ The cost of exerting effort e_1 at task 1 and e_2 will be $c(e_1, e_2)$
- ▶ We will assume this function is increasing in each argument, but not much else.
- ▶ Output is given by $y = ae_1 + be_2$, where a, b can be positive or negative.
- ▶ We can only pay based on some measurement of effort: $m(e_1, e_2)$

Table of Contents

Crowding Out

Teaching to the Test?

Model

► Output is $y = ae_1 + be_2$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$

► Cost of effort is:

$$c(e_1, e_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_1 + e_2 \leq 2\bar{e} \\ (e_1 + e_2 - 2\bar{e})^2/2 & \text{if } e_1 + e_2 \geq 2\bar{e} \end{cases}$$

► Only task 1 effort is measured: $m = e_1$

► Only what is measured is rewarded: $w(m) = \alpha + \beta m = \alpha + \beta e_1$

First-Best Solution

See the board!

Equilibrium Solution (What Actually Happens)

See the board!

Equilibrium Solution (What Actually Happens)

Theorem 1

The firm uses high-powered incentives ($\beta^ = a$) and the worker focuses entirely on task 1 ($e_1^* = a + 2\bar{e}$, $e_2^* = 0$) if:*

$$a \geq 2\bar{e} \frac{b-a}{a}$$

Otherwise the firm uses a flat salary ($\beta^ = 0$) and total effort is low and evenly split ($e_1^* = e_2^* = \bar{e}$)*

Deepwater Horizon

- ▶ Cost reductions were rewarded (e_1).
- ▶ But improving “safety” or “latent risk” was not.
- ▶ Part of this is not nefarious: cost reductions are easy to measure.
- ▶ Avoided disasters are impossible to measure!
- ▶ In some instances incentives are worse than no incentives!

Counterterrorism at the FBI

- ▶ The FBI was created to fight traditional crime, like murders.
- ▶ Traditional crime is easy to measure:
 - ▶ How many suspects did you bring in?
 - ▶ How much evidence did you collect?
 - ▶ Was there a conviction?

Counterterrorism at the FBI

- ▶ During the 1990s, the FBI tried to also handle domestic terrorism.
- ▶ But how do you measure this?
- ▶ Terrorism is rare.
- ▶ Successful counterterrorism prevents things from happening.

Table of Contents

Crowding Out

Teaching to the Test?

Discussion: Lavy (2009)

“Screening with Multitasking” (Dinerstein and Oppen 2023)

- ▶ Still a working paper. Main focus is on screening.
- ▶ However, the paper shows evidence of multitasking or teaching to the test.
- ▶ NYC increased weight on test scores for teacher tenure.
- ▶ Teacher value-added for test scores rose, while teacher value-added for attendance and grades fell.
- ▶ However tenure became a more effective sorting mechanism: they got better teachers (main focus of paper).

“Screening with Multitasking” (Dinerstein and Oppen 2023)

Table 4: Effect of Policy Change on Probationary Period Output

	Test Score	Test Score	Untargeted Index	Untargeted Index
Incentive	0.0302*** (0.00881)	0.0187** (0.00869)	-0.0576* (0.0303)	-0.0644** (0.0307)
Fixed Effects	Cohort	Teacher	Cohort	Teacher
N Teachers	16724	16724	16724	16724
Mean DV	0.102	0.102	0.0244	0.0244
N	100405	100405	100405	100405

This table shows the causal effect of the tenure policy change on targeted and untargeted output in the probationary period. The columns switch between cohort and teacher fixed effects. An observation is a teacher-subject-year. Standard errors are clustered by teacher. The sample covers years 2006 to 2014. The teachers with more than 3 years of experience are only included if they finished the standard probationary period before the tenure policy change. We include teachers with targeted and untargeted measures. All outcome units are test score student standard deviations.

“Untargeted” includes attendance, grades and graduation, while “targeted” are the test scores.