### Lecture 10: Wells Fargo and Multitasking

Compensation in Organizations

Jacob Kohlhepp

March 4, 2024

Discussion: Tayan (2019)
(Note: popular press article not peer reviewed research)

### Modeling Wells Fargo: Destructive Effort

Output depends on a productive and destructive task:

$$y = 1 + ae_1 - be_2, a > 0, b > 0$$

- ▶ The 1 is just profit from operating at all.
- ► The cost of effort is:

$$c(e_1,e_2)=(e_1^2+e_2^2)/2$$

▶ We measure and pay only based on total effort:

$$m = e_1 + e_2$$
  
 $w(m) = \alpha + \beta m$ 

## **Destructive Effort**

Solve: in the notes!

### Modeling Wells Fargo: Destructive Effort

### Theorem 1

If marginal destruction outweighs marginal production (a - b > 0) the optimal wage is  $\beta^* = (a - b)/2$ . If not, the firm pays a flat salary:  $\beta^* = 0$ .

- Incentives are not always optimal.
- Sometimes a flat salary is more profitable.
- How does this relate to gaming?
- ► How is it different?
- If the firm can prohibit  $e_2$ , everything is "resolved."

### Wells Fargo and the Model

- ▶ Destructive task: opening fake accounts.
- Productive task: opening real accounts.

### **Table of Contents**

Another Example of Destructive Multitasking

# Discussion: Alexander (2020)

### Alexander (2020): Comorbidity of Admitted Patients




Fig. 8.—Healthier patients sent to participating hospitals: Charlson comorbidity index for medical patients. Event study plot created by regressing the Charlson comorbidity index on a full set of event time indicators, as well as hospital, quarter, type (APR-DRG by SOI), and doctor fixed effects. Plotted are the coefficients on the event time indicators,