

CSCI 5454 F24 Problem set 1

Jacob Krol

Sep 6 2024

1 Question 1

1.1 Question 1a

Conditions:

- a, b, q, r are positive integers
- $0 < a < b$
- $r < a$

It is provided that division of two integers $a, b : (a < b)$ is

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{q + \frac{r}{a}}. \quad (1)$$

which can be rearranged for q

$$q = \frac{b}{a} - \frac{r}{a} \quad (2)$$

Noticing that $0 \leq \frac{r}{a} < 1$, it can be shown $\frac{b}{a} - \frac{r}{a}$ from Eq.2 is equivalent to $\lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$. Let $n = \frac{b}{a}$ and $\alpha = \frac{r}{a} : 0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Substituting n and α into Eq.2 yields $q = n - \alpha$ which supports the inequality

$$n - 1 < n - \alpha \leq n \quad (3)$$

and satisfies the floor equivalence

$$\lfloor n \rfloor = n - \alpha \quad (4)$$

Recall that $n = \frac{b}{a}$ and $\alpha = \frac{r}{a}$, therefore $\frac{b}{a} - \frac{r}{a}$ from Eq.2 can be re-written using the floor equivalence from Eq.4. And, q can be written solely in terms of a, b as the integer part (quotient) of division $\frac{b}{a}$.

$$q = \lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor \quad (5)$$

r is also solved in terms of a, b

$$r = b - aq \quad (6)$$

$$r = b - a(\lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor) \quad (7)$$

1.2 Question 1b

Algorithm 1 CONTINUED-FRACTION(a,b)

Require: $a < b$ $arr \leftarrow []$ $r \leftarrow \infty$ **while** $r > 0$ **do** $q \leftarrow \lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$ $arr \leftarrow APPEND(arr, q)$ $r \leftarrow b - (a * q)$ $a \leftarrow r$ $b \leftarrow a$ **end while****return** arr

Eq.5 and Eq.7 from **Q1a** are applied to $\frac{a}{b}$ retrieving the quotient, q which is stored in arr , and remainder r . $\frac{r}{a}$ of iteration i serves as $\frac{a}{b}$ for iteration $i + 1$. Continue until convergence at $r = 0$ when fraction is no longer expandable.

1.3 Question 1c

Algorithm 2 GCD(m, n)

Require: $m > n$
while $n > 0$ **do**
 $m \leftarrow n$
 $n \leftarrow m \bmod n$
end while
return m

Algorithm 3 LCM(m, n)

return $\lfloor \frac{m*n}{GCD(m,n)} \rfloor$

Algorithm 4 ADD-FRACTIONS($n1, d1, n2, d2$)

$c \leftarrow LCM(d1, d2)$
 $n1 \leftarrow n1 + \lfloor \frac{c}{d1} \rfloor$
 $n2 \leftarrow n2 + \lfloor \frac{c}{d2} \rfloor$
return $n1 + n2, c$ \triangleright return value is numerator, denominator

Final function for **Question 1c** on next page.

Algorithm 5 CONTINUED-FRACTIONS-TO-INTEGERS(arr)

```
i ← 0
j ← 1
l ← LENGTH(arr)
while j < l + 1 do
    a ← arr[i : j]                                ▷ Stop index is exclusive
    a ← REVERSE(A)
    n1 ← 1
    d1 ← a[0]
    for each k in a[1 : ] do                            ▷ From start index onward
        n1, d1 ← ADD-FRACTIONS(n1, d1, k, 1)
    end for
    j ← j + 1
end while
return n1, d1
```

1.4 Question 1d

```
a=11,b=39
1/3 = 0.3333333333333333
1/4 = 0.25
2/7 = 0.2857142857142857
11/39 = 0.28205128205128205
```

```
a=113,b=312
1/2 = 0.5
1/3 = 0.3333333333333333
4/11 = 0.36363636363636365
21/58 = 0.3620689655172414
46/127 = 0.36220472440944884
113/312 = 0.36217948717948717
```

```
a=14159265359,b=100000000000
1/7 = 0.14285714285714285
15/106 = 0.14150943396226415
16/113 = 0.1415929203539823
4687/33102 = 0.1415926530119026
4703/33215 = 0.14159265392142104
9390/66317 = 0.1415926534674367
14093/99532 = 0.14159265361893664
37576/265381 = 0.14159265358107778
51669/364913 = 0.14159265359140397
244252/1725033 = 0.14159265358981538
295921/2089946 = 0.14159265359009277
```

540173/3814979 = 0.14159265358996734
 836094/5904925 = 0.14159265359001172
 1376267/9719904 = 0.14159265358999432
 2212361/15624829 = 0.1415926535900009
 8013350/56594391 = 0.14159265358999976
 10225711/72219220 = 0.14159265359
 703361698/4967501351 = 0.14159265359
 1416949107/10007221922 = 0.14159265359
 6371158126/44996389039 = 0.14159265359
 14159265359/100000000000 = 0.14159265359

2 Question 2

2.1 Question 2a

Storing $s = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ number of partial sums of A into B will support subarray sum queries in $O(\sqrt{n})$ time. First, A is split into $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ subarrays $(s_0, \dots, s_{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1})$ where $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ defines both the size and number of subarrays (s). An arbitrary $B[k]$ is filled during preprocessing by $\sum_{i=k*s}^{\min(n-1, (sk)+s-1)} A[i]$. For example if $A = [1, 2, 3, 4]$, $s = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = 2$:

$$B[0] = \sum_{0*2}^{\min(4-1, (2*0)+2-1)} A[i] = \sum_0^1 A[i] = 1 + 2 = 3$$

For $QUERY(l, u)_{A,B}$, the best case scenario is that l and u define the lower and upper bounds of a pre-processed block, $B[k]$; return $B[k]$. A worst case scenario is that l and u are at the $s[1]$ and $s[n-1]$ (zero-indexed) indices of separate blocks, respectively. p and q are subarrays: $|p| = |q| = s-1$. Continuing the worst case, l and u also happen to index the first ($B[0]$) and last ($B[s-1]$) arrays with $s-2$ intermediate pre-computed sums for a total of $2(s-1) + s-2 = 3s-4$ elements. Therefore, by reducing the number of elements to $3s-4 = 3(\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil) - 4$ the number of elements to sum given $QUERY(l, u)_{A,B}$ should be asymptotic to $O(\sqrt{n})$.

2.2 Question 2b

To support $O(1)$ lookup of subarray sum queries of an array, A , with size n using a second array, B , also with size n , $B[i]$ can be filled with a partial sums from $A[0]$ to $A[i]$. For example, $A = [1, 2, 3, 4]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B[0] &= 1 \\
 B[1] &= 1 + 2 = 3 \\
 B[2] &= 1 + 2 + 3 = 6 \\
 B[3] &= 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 10
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, $QUERY(l, u)_{A,B}$ is computed as $B[u] - B[l - 1]$ which includes the sum over the range l to u while subtracting the sum of values which prefix the left-hand side of the query (i.e., $-B[l - 1]$). Treating the subtraction and lookup as a single operation, the complexity is $O(1)$.

3 Question 3

3.1 Question 3a

Algorithm 6 MAX-SUM-TABLE-B(arr)

```

 $n \leftarrow LENGTH(arr)$ 
 $B \leftarrow TABLE(n, n)$   $\triangleright$  Initialize nxn table with arbitrary starting values
for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
    for  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
         $B[i, j] \leftarrow MAX(arr[i : i + j + 1])$   $\triangleright$  Stop index is exclusive
    end for
end for
return B

```

3.2 Question 3bi

The first column of C corresponds to the array unsorted array, A ; meaning, there are always n rows. However, the column size is upper bounded by $\log_2(n + 1)$:

$$i + 2^j - 1 \leq n \quad (8)$$

$$2^j \leq n - i + 1 \quad (9)$$

$$\log_2 2^j \leq \log_2(n - i + 1) \quad (10)$$

$$j \leq \log_2(n - i + 1) \quad (11)$$

The right-hand side of the inequality is maximized when $i = 0$

$$j \leq \log_2(n - 0 + 1) \quad (12)$$

$$j \leq \log_2(n + 1) \quad (13)$$

In summary, the first column contains all elements $A[i] \in A$; this defines the row size of C as the length (n) of A . The column size is at most $\log_2 n + 1$ (+1 is negligible for large sizes of n). Therefore, the table size is asymptotic to $\Theta(n \log n)$.

3.3 Question 3bii

The recurrence of $C[i, j + 1]$ in terms of $C[i, j]$ $C[i + 2^j, j]$ is

$$C[i, j + 1] = \max(C[i, j], C[i + 2^j, j]) \quad (14)$$

I observed this empirically for various tables, but the logical basis is not obvious to me. Below is an example output from my program.

```

array: [5, -9, 13, -7, 3, 1, 5, -20]
C table:
[[ 5  5 13 13]
 [-9 13 13  0]
 [ 13 13 13  0]
 [-7  3  5  0]
 [  3  3  5  0]
 [  1  5  0  0]
 [  5  5  0  0]
 [-20  0  0  0]]
recurrence
n 8
C[i, j+1] 5  C[i,j] 5  C[i + 2^j, j] -9  i 0  j 0
C[i, j+1] 13 C[i,j] 5  C[i + 2^j, j] 13  i 0  j 1
C[i, j+1] 13 C[i,j] 13 C[i + 2^j, j] 5  i 0  j 2
C[i, j+1] 13 C[i,j] -9  C[i + 2^j, j] 13  i 1  j 0
C[i, j+1] 13 C[i,j] 13 C[i + 2^j, j] 3  i 1  j 1
C[i, j+1] 13 C[i,j] 13 C[i + 2^j, j] -7  i 2  j 0
C[i, j+1] 13 C[i,j] 13 C[i + 2^j, j] 3  i 2  j 1
C[i, j+1] 3  C[i,j] -7  C[i + 2^j, j] 3  i 3  j 0
C[i, j+1] 5  C[i,j] 3  C[i + 2^j, j] 5  i 3  j 1
C[i, j+1] 3  C[i,j] 3  C[i + 2^j, j] 1  i 4  j 0
C[i, j+1] 5  C[i,j] 3  C[i + 2^j, j] 5  i 4  j 1
C[i, j+1] 5  C[i,j] 1  C[i + 2^j, j] 5  i 5  j 0
C[i, j+1] 5  C[i,j] 5  C[i + 2^j, j] -20 i 6  j 0

```

3.4 Question 3biii

Algorithm 7 MAX-SUM-TABLE-C(arr)

```

n ← LENGTH(arr)
C ← TABLE(n, ⌈log2(n + 1)⌉)
for i = 0 to n - 1 do j ← 0
    while i + 2j ≤ n do
        stop ← i + 2j
        C[i, j] ← MAX(arr[i : stop])
        j ← j + 1
    end while
end for
return C

```

3.5 Question 3c

Algorithm 8 LOOKUP-C(arr)

$j \leftarrow \lceil \log_2(u - l + 1) \rceil$
return $MAX(C[l, j], C[l + j - 1, j])$
