Lab 3

Jacob Minkin

11:59PM March 4, 2021

Support Vector Machine vs. Perceptron

We recreate the data from the previous lab and visualize it:

```
pacman::p_load(ggplot2)
Xy_simple = data.frame(
    response = factor(c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)), #nominal
    first_feature = c(1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4), #continuous
    second_feature = c(1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3) #continuous
)
simple_viz_obj = ggplot(Xy_simple, aes(x = first_feature, y = second_feature, color = response)) +
    geom_point(size = 5)
simple_viz_obj

lab-3-code_files/figure-latex/unnamed-chunk-1-1.pdf
```

Use the e1071 package to fit an SVM model to the simple data. Use a formula to create the model, pass in the data frame, set kernel to be linear for the linear SVM and don't scale the covariates. Call the model object svm_model. Otherwise the remaining code won't work.

```
pacman::p_load(e1071)
svm_model = svm(
  formula = response ~ .,
  data = Xy_simple,
  kernel = "linear",
  scale = FALSE
)
```

and then use the following code to visualize the line in purple:

```
w_vec_simple_svm = c(
    svm_model$rho, #the b term
    -t(svm_model$coefs) %*% cbind(Xy_simple$first_feature, Xy_simple$second_feature)[svm_model$index, ] #
)
simple_svm_line = geom_abline(
    intercept = -w_vec_simple_svm[1] / w_vec_simple_svm[3],
    slope = -w_vec_simple_svm[2] / w_vec_simple_svm[3],
    color = "purple")
simple_viz_obj + simple_svm_line
```

```
lab-3-code_files/figure-latex/unnamed-chunk-3-1.pdf
```

Source the perceptron_learning_algorithm function from lab 2. Then run the following to fit the perceptron and plot its line in orange with the SVM's line:

```
perceptron_learning_algorithm = function(Xinput, y_binary, MAX_ITER = 1000, w = NULL){
  Xinput = as.matrix(cbind(1,Xinput))
  p = ncol(Xinput)
  w = rep(0,p)
  for (t in 1 : MAX_ITER) {
    for (i in 1: nrow(Xinput)){
      x = Xinput[i,]
      y = y_binary[i]
      y_hat = (sum(x*w) > 0)
      for (j in 1:p){
        w[j] = w[j] + (y-y_hat) * x[j]
    }
  }
}
w_vec_simple_per = perceptron_learning_algorithm(
  cbind(Xy_simple$first_feature, Xy_simple$second_feature),
  as.numeric(Xy_simple$response == 1)
simple_perceptron_line = geom_abline(
    intercept = -w_vec_simple_per[1] / w_vec_simple_per[3],
    slope = -w_vec_simple_per[2] / w_vec_simple_per[3],
    color = "orange")
simple_viz_obj + simple_perceptron_line + simple_svm_line
```

```
lab-3-code_files/figure-latex/unnamed-chunk-4-1.pdf
```

Is this SVM line a better fit than the perceptron?

Yes!

Now write pseucoode for your own implementation of the linear support vector machine algorithm using the Vapnik objective function we discussed.

Note there are differences between this spec and the perceptron learning algorithm spec in question #1. You should figure out a way to respect the MAX_ITER argument value.

```
#' Support Vector Machine
#
#' This function implements the hinge-loss + maximum margin linear support vector machine algorithm of
#'
#' @param Xinput
                      The training data features as an n x p matrix.
#' @param y_binary
                      The training data responses as a vector of length n consisting of only 0's and 1'
#' Oparam MAX_ITER
                      The maximum number of iterations the algorithm performs. Defaults to 5000.
#' @param lambda
                      A scalar hyperparameter trading off margin of the hyperplane versus average hinge
#'
                      The default value is 1.
#' @return
                      The computed final parameter (weight) as a vector of length p + 1
linear_svm_learning_algorithm = function(Xinput, y_binary, MAX_ITER = 5000, lambda = 0.1){
  \#SHE := sum \ 1:n \ (max(0, .5 - (y_binary(i) - .5)*(w-x_input(i) - b)))
  # argmin(w,b) {(1/n) SHE +lambda distance(w)^2}
}
```

If you are enrolled in 342W the following is extra credit but if you're enrolled in 650, the following is required. Write the actual code. You may want to take a look at the optimx package. You can feel free to define another function (a "private" function) in this chunk if you wish. R has a way to create public and private functions, but I believe you need to create a package to do that (beyond the scope of this course).

```
#' This function implements the hinge-loss + maximum margin linear support vector machine algorithm of
#'
#' @param Xinput
                      The training data features as an n x p matrix.
#' @param y_binary
                      The training data responses as a vector of length n consisting of only 0's and 1'
#' @param MAX_ITER
                      The maximum number of iterations the algorithm performs. Defaults to 5000.
#' @param lambda
                      A scalar hyperparameter trading off margin of the hyperplane versus average hinge
#'
                      The default value is 1.
#' @return
                      The computed final parameter (weight) as a vector of length p + 1
linear_svm_learning_algorithm = function(Xinput, y_binary, MAX_ITER = 5000, lambda = 0.1){
  #T0-D0
```

If you wrote code (the extra credit), run your function using the defaults and plot it in brown vis-a-vis the previous model's line:

```
#svm_model_weights = linear_svm_learning_algorithm(X_simple_feature_matrix, y_binary)
#my_svm_line = geom_abline(
#    intercept = svm_model_weights[1] / svm_model_weights[3],#NOTE: negative sign removed from intercep
#    slope = -svm_model_weights[2] / svm_model_weights[3],
#    color = "brown")
#simple_viz_obj + my_svm_line
```

Is this the same as what the e1071 implementation returned? Why or why not?

TO-DO

We now move on to simple linear modeling using the ordinary least squares algorithm.

Let's quickly recreate the sample data set from practice lecture 7:

```
n = 20
x = runif(n)
beta_0 = 3
beta_1 = -2
```

Compute $h^*(x)$ as h_star_x, then draw \$\epsilon \sim N(0, 0.33^2)\$ asepsilon', then compute .

```
h_star_x = beta_0 +beta_1 * x
epsilon = rnorm(n, mean = 0, sd = 0.33)
y = h_star_x +epsilon
```

Graph the data by running the following chunk:

```
pacman::p_load(ggplot2)
simple_df = data.frame(x = x, y = y)
simple_viz_obj = ggplot(simple_df, aes(x, y)) +
   geom_point(size = 2)
simple_viz_obj
```

```
lab-3-code_files/figure-latex/unnamed-chunk-10-1.pdf
```

Does this make sense given the values of $beta_0$ and $beta_1$?

Write a function my_simple_ols that takes in a vector x and vector y and returns a list that contains the b_0 (intercept), b_1 (slope), yhat (the predictions), e (the residuals), SSE, SST, MSE, RMSE and Rsq (for the R-squared metric). Internally, you can only use the functions sum and length and other basic arithmetic operations. You should throw errors if the inputs are non-numeric or not the same length. You should also name the class of the return value my_simple_ols_obj by using the class function as a setter. No need to create ROxygen documentation here.

```
my_simple_ols = function(x, y){
 n = length(y)
  if (length(x) != n) stop("x and y need to be the same length.")
  if (class (x) != 'numeric' && class(x) != 'integer') stop("x needs to be numeric.")
  if (class (y) != 'numeric' && class(y) != 'integer') stop("y needs to be numeric.")
  if (n < 2 ) stop("n must be more than 2")</pre>
  x_bar = sum(x)/n
 y_bar = sum(y)/n
  b_1 = (sum (x*y) - (n*x_bar*y_bar))/(sum(x^2) -n*x_bar^2)
 b_0 = y_bar - b_1 * x_bar
 yhat = b_0 + b_1*x
 e = y - yhat
SSE = sum (e^2)
SST = sum ( (y - y_bar)^2 )
MSE = SSE/(n-2)
RMSE = sqrt(MSE)
Rsq = 1-(SSE/SST)
model = list(b_0 = b_0, b_1 = b_1, yhat = yhat, e = e, SSE = SSE, SST = SST, MSE = MSE, RMSE = RMSE, Rs
 class(model) = "my_simple_ols_obj"
model
}
```

Verify your computations are correct for the vectors x and y from the first chunk using the 1m function in R:

```
lm_mod = lm(y ~ x)
my_simple_ols_mod = my_simple_ols(x,y)
#run the tests to ensure the function is up to spec
pacman::p_load(testthat)
expect_equal(my_simple_ols_mod$b_0, as.numeric(coef(lm_mod)[1]), tol = 1e-4)
expect_equal(my_simple_ols_mod$b_1, as.numeric(coef(lm_mod)[2]), tol = 1e-4)
expect_equal(my_simple_ols_mod$RMSE, summary(lm_mod)$sigma, tol = 1e-4)
expect_equal(my_simple_ols_mod$Rsq, summary(lm_mod)$r.squared, tol = 1e-4)
```

Verify that the average of the residuals is 0 using the expect_equal. Hint: use the syntax above.

```
mean (my_simple_ols_mod$e)
```

```
## [1] 3.885577e-17
expect_equal(mean(my_simple_ols_mod$e),0)
```

Create the X matrix for this data example. Make sure it has the correct dimension.

```
x = cbind(1,x)
```

Use the model.matrix function to compute the matrix X and verify it is the same as your manual construction. model.matrix(~x)

```
##
      (Intercept) x
                              XX
## 1
                1 1 0.352528893
## 2
                1 1 0.087921965
## 3
                1 1 0.600632190
## 4
                1 1 0.025052954
## 5
                1 1 0.073875517
                1 1 0.009434135
## 6
## 7
                1 1 0.834404403
## 8
                1 1 0.542239579
## 9
                1 1 0.134986924
## 10
                1 1 0.665364281
## 11
                1 1 0.168811374
                1 1 0.053515762
## 12
## 13
                1 1 0.519054510
## 14
                1 1 0.649136618
                1 1 0.263334088
## 15
                1 1 0.571134368
## 16
## 17
                1 1 0.972424509
## 18
                1 1 0.284570530
## 19
                1 1 0.202147526
## 20
                1 1 0.596727605
## attr(,"assign")
## [1] 0 1 1
```

Create a prediction method g that takes in a vector x_star and my_simple_ols_obj, an object of type my_simple_ols_obj and predicts y values for each entry in x_star.

```
g = function(my_simple_ols_obj, x_star){
  my_simple_ols_obj$b_0 + my_simple_ols$b_1 * x_star
}
```

Use this function to verify that when predicting for the average x, you get the average y.

```
\#expect\_equal(g(my\_simple\_ols\_mod, mean(x)), mean(y))
```

In class we spoke about error due to ignorance, misspecification error and estimation error. Show that as n grows, estimation error shrinks. Let us define an error metric that is the difference between b_0 and b_1 and β_0 and β_1 . How about $h = ||b - \beta||^2$ where the quantities are now the vectors of size two. Show as n increases, this shrinks.

```
beta_0 = 3
beta 1 = -2
beta = c(beta_0, beta_1)
ns = 10^{(1:8)}
errors in b = array(NA, length(ns))
for (i in 1 : length(ns)) {
 n = ns[i]
  x = runif(n)
 h_star_x = beta_0 + beta_1 * x
  epsilon = rnorm(n, mean = 0, sd = 0.33)
  y = h_star_x + epsilon
  mod = my_simple_ols(x,y)
  b = c(mod\$b_0, mod\$b_1)
  errors_in_b[i] = sum((beta - b)^2)
}
(errors_in_b)
```

```
## [1] 4.334874e-02 2.439487e-03 2.127707e-03 2.061061e-06 8.069894e-09 ## [6] 4.300318e-06 1.513325e-08 4.563029e-08
```

We are now going to repeat one of the first linear model building exercises in history — that of Sir Francis Galton in 1886. First load up package HistData.

```
pacman::p_load(HistData)
```

In it, there is a dataset called Galton. Load it up.

```
data(Galton)
```

You now should have a data frame in your workspace called Galton. Summarize this data frame and write a few sentences about what you see. Make sure you report n, p and a bit about what the columns represent and how the data was measured. See the help file ?Galton. p is 1 and n is 928 the number of observations

library(skimr)

```
## Warning: package 'skimr' was built under R version 3.6.3
##
## Attaching package: 'skimr'
## The following object is masked from 'package:testthat':
##
## matches
skim(Galton)
```

Table 1: Data summary

Name	Galton
Number of rows	928

Table 1: Data summary

Number of columns —	2
Column type frequency:	2
Group variables	None

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	e mea	n sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
parent	0	1	68.31	1.79	64.0	67.5	68.5	69.5	73.	0
child	0	1	68.09	2.52	61.7	66.2	68.2	70.2	73.	7

TO-DO

Find the average height (include both parents and children in this computation).

```
avg_height = mean(c(Galton$parent,Galton$child))
```

If you were to predict child height from parent height, what would the RMSE be of this model be?

```
n = nrow (Galton)
SST = sum ( (Galton$child - mean(Galton$child))^2 )
sqrt (SST/(n-1))
```

[1] 2.517941

Note that in Math 241 you learned that the sample average is an estimate of the "mean", the population expected value of height. We will call the average the "mean" going forward since it is probably correct to the nearest tenth of an inch with this amount of data.

Run a linear model attempting to explain the childrens' height using the parents' height. Use 1m and use the R formula notation. Compute and report b_0 , b_1 , RMSE and R^2 .

Interpret all four quantities: b_0 , b_1 , RMSE and R^2 . Use the correct units of these metrics in your answer.

 b_0 is the height of a child whose theoretical parent is 0 inches tall. b_1 is the increase in average height per inch of the parent. As the parent grows one inch, the child grows 0.64 inches. R^2 is 0.21. This is the variance explained by the model. RMSE tells you the interval. +- 2.23 inches is the 95% range of possible outcomes.

How good is this model? How well does it predict? Discuss.

Pretty good, you get within +-4.46 inches wich isn't necessarily good but also not bad.

It is reasonable to assume that parents and their children have the same height? Explain why this is reasonable using basic biology and common sense.

Not the exact height but as the parents get taller, you can assume that the children will also be taller.

If they were to have the same height and any differences were just random noise with expectation 0, what would the values of β_0 and β_1 be?

```
b_0 = 0, and b_1 = 1
```

Let's plot (a) the data in \mathbb{D} as black dots, (b) your least squares line defined by b_0 and b_1 in blue, (c) the theoretical line β_0 and β_1 if the parent-child height equality held in red and (d) the mean height in green.

```
pacman::p_load(ggplot2)
ggplot(Galton, aes(x = parent, y = child)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_jitter() +
  geom_abline(intercept = b_0, slope = b_1, color = "blue", size = 1) +
  geom_abline(intercept = 0, slope = 1, color = "red", size = 1) +
  geom_abline(intercept = avg_height, slope = 0, color = "darkgreen", size = 1) +
  xlim(63.5, 72.5) +
  ylim(63.5, 72.5) +
  coord_equal(ratio = 1)
```

Warning: Removed 76 rows containing missing values (geom_point).

Warning: Removed 86 rows containing missing values (geom_point).

```
lab-3-code_files/figure-latex/unnamed-chunk-25-1.pdf
```

Fill in the following sentence:

Children of short parents became taller on average and children of tall parents became shorter on average.

Why did Galton call it "Regression towards mediocrity in hereditary stature" which was later shortened to "regression to the mean"?

Over time he expected that the taller children would shrink and the shorter children would grow. Then everyone would eventually be the same height.

Why should this effect be real?

In the above model, this effect was seemingly taking effect.

You now have unlocked the mystery. Why is it that when modeling with y continuous, everyone calls it "regression"? Write a better, more descriptive and appropriate name for building predictive models with y continuous.

A better name would be mean-comparison-analysis. We are taking the relationship between the mean of parents' height and the childrens' height.

You can now clear the workspace. Create a dataset \mathbb{D} which we call Xy such that the linear model as R^2 about 50% and RMSE approximately 1.

```
rm(list = ls())
x = c(3, 2, 2, 3, 4, 6, 3)
y = factor(c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1))
Xy = data.frame(x = x, y = y)
simple_linear_model = lm(x~y,Xy)
coef(simple_linear_model)
## (Intercept)
##
      2.333333
                    1.666667
summary(simple_linear_model)$r.squared
## [1] 0.4166667
summary(simple linear model)$sigma
## [1] 1.154701
Create a dataset \mathbb{D} which we call \mathbf{xy} such that the linear model as \mathbb{R}^2 about 0\% but \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are clearly associated.
x = c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1.1)
y = factor(c(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1))
Xy = data.frame(x = x, y = y)
simple_linear_model = lm(x~y,Xy)
coef(simple linear model)
## (Intercept)
## 1.0000000 0.01666667
summary(simple_linear_model)$r.squared
## [1] 0.02777778
```

summary(simple_linear_model)\$sigma

[1] 0.04082483

Extra credit: create a dataset \mathbb{D} and a model that can give you \mathbb{R}^2 arbitrarily close to 1 i.e. approximately 1 - epsilon but RMSE arbitrarily high i.e. approximately M.

```
epsilon = 0.01
M = 1000
#TO-DO
```

Write a function my_ols that takes in X, a matrix with with p columns representing the feature measurements for each of the n units, a vector of n responses y and returns a list that contains the b, the p+1-sized column vector of OLS coefficients, yhat (the vector of n predictions), e (the vector of n residuals), df for degrees of freedom of the model, SSE, SST, MSE, RMSE and Rsq (for the R-squared metric). Internally, you cannot use 1m or any other package; it must be done manually. You should throw errors if the inputs are non-numeric or not the same length. Or if X is not otherwise suitable. You should also name the class of the return value my ols by using the class function as a setter. No need to create ROxygen documentation here.

```
my_ols = function(X, y){
  n = length(y)

if (nrow(X) != n) stop("x and y need to be the same length.")
  if (class (X) != 'numeric' && class(X) != 'integer') stop("x needs to be numeric.")
  if (class (y) != 'numeric' && class(y) != 'integer') stop("y needs to be numeric.")
  if (n < 2) stop("n must be more than 2")</pre>
```

```
X = as.matrix(cbind(1,X))
b = (t(X) %*% X)^-1 * t(X) * y
yhat = X*b
e = y - yhat
df = ncol(x)

SSE = t(e)*e
SST = sum ( (y - y_bar)^2 )
MSE = SSE/(n - df)
RMSE = sqrt(MSE)
Rsq = 1-(SSE/SST)

model = list(b = b, yhat = yhat, e = e, df = df, SSE = SSE, SST = SST, MSE = MSE, RMSE = class(model) = "my_ols_obj"
model
}
```

Verify that the OLS coefficients for the Type of cars in the cars dataset gives you the same results as we did in class (i.e. the ybar's within group).

```
#lm(Price ~ Type, cars)
```

Create a prediction method g that takes in a vector x_star and the dataset $\mathbb D$ i.e. X and y and returns the OLS predictions. Let X be a matrix with with p columns representing the feature measurements for each of the n units

```
g = function(x_star, X, y){
    X = as.matrix(cbind(1,X))
    b = (t(X) %*% X)^-1 * t(X) * y
    yhat = x_star * b
}
```