

TCSS 343 - Assignment 3

Jake McKenzie

July 22, 2018

1 UNDERSTAND

In this problem use the Master Theorem to find and prove tight bounds for these recurrences (6 points each).

To solve this problem I used the master theorem taken from CLRS. I used a bit of a stronger statement than what was stated in the theorem but they are equivalent mathematically due to the nature of limits. I will include the theorem for the reader's benefit. To check my work I decided to include what I obtained using the Akra-Bazzi method.

Master Theorem

The Master Theorem applies to recurrences of the following form:

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

where $a \geq 1$ and $b > 1$ are constants and $f(n)$ is an asymptotically positive function.

There are 3 cases:

1. If $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$ for some constant $\epsilon > 0$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$.
2. If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^k n)$ with $k \geq 0$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^{k+1} n)$.
3. If $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$ with $\epsilon > 0$, and $f(n)$ satisfies the regularity condition, then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$.
Regularity condition: $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$ for some constant $c < 1$ and all sufficiently large n .

1.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < 8 \\ 16T(\frac{n}{8}) + n \log n & \text{if } n \geq 8 \end{cases}$$

By Master Method I obtain case 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{n^{\log_8 16 + \epsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{n^{\frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3}}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{n}\right) \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\ T(n) &\in \Theta(n^{\frac{4}{3}}) \end{aligned}$$

By Akra-Bazzi I obtain:

$$16\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^p = 1$$

$$p = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\in \Theta\left(n^p\left(1 + \int_1^n \frac{u \log u}{u^{p+1}} du\right)\right) \\ &\in \Theta\left(-9n + 10n^{\frac{4}{3}} - 3n \log n\right) \\ &\in \Theta\left(n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < 4 \\ 8T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + n \log n & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

By Master Method I obtain case 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{n^{\log_8 2 + \varepsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 \rightarrow 1 \\ &\in \Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \log n\right) \end{aligned}$$

By Akra-Bazzi I obtain:

$$2\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^p = 1$$

$$p = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\in \Theta\left(n^p\left(1 + \int_1^n \frac{u^{\frac{1}{3}}}{u^{p+1}} du\right)\right) \\ &\in \Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{3}} + n^{\frac{1}{3}} \log n\right) \\ &\in \Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \log n\right) \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < 2 \\ 3T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 9n & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

By Master Method I obtain case 3:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{9^n}{n^{\log_3 2 + \varepsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{9^n}{n^{\frac{\log 2}{\log 3} - (\frac{\log 2}{\log 3} + 1)}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n 9^n \rightarrow \infty \\ &\in \Theta(9^n)\end{aligned}$$

This recurrence is not well suited for Akra-Bazzi.

4.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ 3T(\frac{3n}{5}) + n^2 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

By Master Method I obtain case 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{n^{\log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 + \varepsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{n^{\log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 + (3 - \log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3)}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{n^3} \rightarrow 0 \\ \log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 &= 2.15066... \\ &\in \Theta(n^{2.15066...})\end{aligned}$$

By Akra-Bazzi I obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^p &= 1 \\ p &= \log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 \\ T(n) &\in \Theta\left(n^p \left(1 + \int_1^n \frac{u^2}{u^{p+1}} du\right)\right) \\ &\in \Theta(7.63746n^{2.15066...} - 6.63746n^2) \\ &\in \Theta(n^{2.15066...})\end{aligned}$$

5.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ 3T(\frac{3n}{5}) + n^{2.5} & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

By Master Method I obtain case 3:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2.5}}{n^{\log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 + \varepsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2.5}}{n^{\log_{\frac{5}{3}} 3 + 0.1}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2.5}}{n^{2.25}} \rightarrow \infty \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{0.25...} \rightarrow \infty \\ &\in \Theta(n^{2.5})\end{aligned}$$

2 EXPLORE

For the following problems stated as pseudo-code, let $A[\ell \dots r]$ denote the sublist of the integer list A from the ℓ -th to the r -th element inclusive, let $\text{Cubic}(A[1 \dots n])$ denote an algorithm that runs in time $\Theta(n^3)$, and let $\text{Swift}(A[1 \dots n])$ denote an algorithm that runs in time $\Theta(n \log(\log n))$.

```

Three( $A[1 \dots n]$ )
  If  $n \leq 1$  Then Return // nothing to do
  Cubic( $A[1 \dots n]$ )
  Three( $A[1 \dots \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$ )
  Three( $A[\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 1 \dots \lfloor \frac{3n}{4} \rfloor]$ )
  Three( $A[\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \dots n]$ )
End Three.

```

(6 points) 1. State a recurrence that gives the complexity $T(n)$ for algorithm **Three**.

For this problem I decided to analyze the cost of running each line individually.

```

Three( $A[1 \dots n]$ )  $O(1)$ 
  If  $n \leq 1$  Then Return // nothing to do  $O(1)$ 
  Cubic( $A[1 \dots n]$ )  $O(n^3)$ 
  Three( $A[1 \dots \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$ )  $T(\frac{n}{2})$ 
  Three( $A[\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 1 \dots \lfloor \frac{3n}{4} \rfloor]$ )  $T(\frac{n}{2})$ 
  Three( $A[\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \dots n]$ )  $T(\frac{n}{2})$ 
End Three.  $O(1)$ 

```

Which gives me the following recurrence relation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ 3T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^3 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

(6 points) 2. Find the tight complexity of algorithm **Three**.

By Master Theorem I obtain case 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^3}{n^{\log 3 + \epsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^3}{n^{\log 3 + (2 - \log 3)}} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^3}{n^2} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \rightarrow \infty \\
 T(n) &\in \Theta(n^3)
 \end{aligned}$$

By Akra-Bazzi I obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p &= 1 \\
 p &= \log_2 3
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &\in \Theta(n^p(1 + \int_1^n \frac{u^3}{u^{p+1}} du)) \\
&\in \Theta(0.293305n^{1.58496} + 0.706695n^3) \\
&\in \Theta(n^3)
\end{aligned}$$

```

One(A[1...n])
  If n ≤ 1 Then Return // nothing to do
  Swift(A[1...n])
  One(A[1...⌊ $\frac{n}{2}$ ⌋])
End One.

```

(6 points) 3. State a recurrence that gives the complexity $T(n)$ for algorithm **One**.

```

One(A[1...n])O(1)
  If n ≤ 1 Then Return // nothing to do O(1)
  Swift(A[1...n])O(n log log n)
  One(A[1...⌊ $\frac{n}{2}$ ⌋]) T( $\frac{n}{2}$ )
End One.

```

Which gives me the following recurrence relation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log \log n & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

(12 points) 4. Find the tight complexity of algorithm **One**.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log \log n}{n^{\log 1 + \varepsilon}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log \log n}{n^{0 + \gamma}} \\
\gamma &= 0.577216 \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{0.422784} \log \log n \rightarrow \infty \\
T(n) &\in \Theta(n \log \log n)
\end{aligned}$$

By Akra-Bazzi I obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p &= 1 \\
p &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

As for computing this integral, it is non-elementary. It involves computing the $Li(u)$ which I've looked up and it definitely grows slower than any of the functions we normally use.

It's worth noting that we don't need to work about that, Akra-Bazzi tells us that if $p < k$ then we get case 3 of the master theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\in \Theta(n^p(1 + \int_1^n \frac{u \log \log u}{u^{p+1}} du)) \\ &\in \Theta(1 + \gamma - i\pi + n \log \log n - Li(n)) \\ &\in \Theta(n \log \log n) \end{aligned}$$

3 PROGRAMMING

Quicksort runs in it's worst case when it chooses the greatest or smallest element for each successive pivot. That recurrence relation can be written in the form of

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < 1 \\ T(n-1) + O(n) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

We've solved this recurrence relation plenty times before and the solution will just be stated as $\Theta(n^2)$. Now in practice was this what I obtained? By in large, on the 50 or so times I ran this program, this is what I obtained as a upper bound.

I was able to completely get rid of stackoverflows by implementing XORSwap, which is my favourite way to reduce the size of the stack. This is not the best swaping without a temporary variable routine in Java, arithmetic swap performs better due to compiler optimizations(arithmetic operators are used more often than bitwise ones so the compiler writers have written better optimizations for airthmetic) but it avoids overflows and leads to more desirable behavior generally for this reason.

As for the space complexity I'm going to be honest and I couldn't make heads or tails of the space complexity of this algorithm from what I wrote. I think consulting the literature is important when this happens so here we go:

"For Quicksort, the combination of end- recursion removal and a policy of processing the smaller of the two subfiles first turns out to ensure that the stack need only contain room for about, $\lg N$ entries, since each entry on the stack after the top one must represent a subfile less than half the size of the previous entry." Robert Sedgwick's Algorithms

There two possible correctors to this question of space complexity. $\log n$ and n so which is it? Sedgwick seems to tell me it's $\log n$ which makes sense but if this true, why is that by implementing XORSwap I removed stackoverflows? Well let me consult James Aspnes lecture notes from a Computational Complexity Theory at Yale:

"There is no overhead in space complexity (except possibly dealing with the issue of a non-writable input tape with multiple heads), but the time complexity of a computation can easily go from T to $O(T^2)$.

(chapter 3 page 14 <http://www.cs.yale.edu/homes/aspnes/classes/468/notes.pdf>) What this telling me is that space complexity is less fickle and due to the nature of how information is stored it really does matter what the constant is. By reducing the number of swaps by a 1/3 ten times in my program I considerably dropped the space quicksort was using on the stack. But in both cases it was $\Theta(\log n)$ but inorder to run it I needed to worry about that constant.

The excution times and the code will be included below. It's worth noting here for the reader that I did implement XORShift to generate better random numbers. I think this is a best practice. Just from eyeballing it, before included it I was getting numbers that seemed a little too good. The runningtimes are more in line with what I expect with a more normally distributed set of numbers.

```

=====
N      piv  sorted?  safe?  time?
=====
1000   init  unsorted  unsafe  0.001
=====
1000   init  sorted   unsafe  0.000
=====
1000   mid   unsorted  unsafe  0.001
=====
1000   mid   sorted   unsafe  0.001
=====
1000   init  unsorted  safe    0.001
=====
1000   init  sorted   safe    0.001
=====
1000   mid   unsorted  safe    0.000
=====
1000   mid   sorted   safe    0.000
=====
10000  init  unsorted  unsafe  0.009
=====
10000  init  sorted   unsafe  0.002
=====
10000  mid   unsorted  unsafe  0.005
=====
10000  mid   sorted   unsafe  0.003
=====
10000  init  unsorted  safe    0.002
=====
10000  init  sorted   safe    0.003
=====
10000  mid   unsorted  safe    0.005
=====
10000  mid   sorted   safe    0.002
=====
100000 init  unsorted  unsafe  0.031
=====
100000 init  sorted   unsafe  0.030
=====
100000 mid   unsorted  unsafe  0.037
=====
100000 mid   sorted   unsafe  0.033
=====
100000 init  unsorted  safe    0.034
=====
100000 init  sorted   safe    0.029
=====
100000 mid   unsorted  safe    0.034
=====
100000 mid   sorted   safe    0.023
=====
1000000 init  unsorted  unsafe  0.277
=====
1000000 init  sorted   unsafe  0.225
=====
1000000 mid   unsorted  unsafe  0.430
=====
1000000 mid   sorted   unsafe  0.385
=====
1000000 init  unsorted  safe    0.283
=====
1000000 init  sorted   safe    0.204
=====
1000000 mid   unsorted  safe    1.094
=====
1000000 mid   sorted   safe    0.299
=====
10000000 init  unsorted  unsafe  11.957
=====
10000000 init  sorted   unsafe  4.651
=====
10000000 mid   unsorted  unsafe  6.424
=====
10000000 mid   sorted   unsafe  4.164
=====
10000000 init  unsorted  safe    5.128
=====
10000000 init  sorted   safe    3.742
=====
10000000 mid   unsorted  safe    4.429
=====
10000000 mid   sorted   safe    3.839
=====
C:\Users\Epimetheus\Documents\GitHub\qsort>

```



```

1  /**
2   * @author Jake McKenzie
3   * Implementation of the qsort algorithm using mid and 1st pivot with an accompanying analysis of it.
4   */
5
6  public class qsort {
7      private static String first = "init";
8      private static String mid = "mid";
9      private static String unsorted = "unsorted";
10     private static String sorted = "sorted";
11     private static String unsafe = "unsafe";
12     private static String safe = "safe";
13     private static Integer[] init_A;
14     private static Integer[] mid_A;
15     private static long startTime;
16     private static int[] size = {1000,10000,100000,1000000,10000000};
17     private static StringBuilder sb;
18     private static String brdSize;
19     private static String fill;
20     public static void main(String[] args) {
21         writeTop();
22         for (int s : size) {
23
24             init_A = new Integer[s];
25             mid_A = new Integer[s];
26
27             shakeUrn(init_A);
28             shakeUrn(mid_A);
29
30             writeRow(s, first, unsorted, unsafe, test_qsort_init_unsorted());
31             writeRow(s, first, sorted, unsafe, test_qsort_init_sorted());
32             writeRow(s, mid, unsorted, unsafe, test_qsort_mid_unsorted());
33             writeRow(s, mid, sorted, unsafe, test_qsort_mid_sorted());
34
35             shakeUrn(init_A);
36             shakeUrn(mid_A);
37
38             writeRow(s, first, unsorted, safe, test_sf_qsort_unsorted());
39             writeRow(s, first, sorted, safe, test_sf_qsort_sorted());
40             writeRow(s, mid, unsorted, safe, test_sf_qsort_mid_unsorted());
41             writeRow(s, mid, sorted, safe, test_sf_qsort_mid_sorted());
42         }
43
44         writeBottom();
45     }
46 }

```

```

50 public static void qsort_init(Integer[] a) {
51     qsort_init(a, 0, a.length - 1);
52 }
53
54 public static void qsort_init(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
55     if (r > l) {
56         int p = piv_init(a, l, r);
57         qsort_init(a, l, p - 1);
58         qsort_init(a, p + 1, r);
59     }
60 }
61
62 public static int piv_init(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
63     Integer p = a[l];
64     int i = l + 1;
65     int j = r;
66     while (i < j) {
67         while (p.compareTo(a[i]) > 0 && i < r) {
68             i++;
69             if (i > r) break;
70         }
71         while (p.compareTo(a[j]) < 0) j--;
72         if (i >= j) break;
73         if (i <= j) {
74             swap(a, i, j);
75             i++;
76             j--;
77         }
78     }
79     swap(a, l, j);
80     return j;
81 }
82
83 private static void sf_qsort_init(Integer[] a) {
84     sf_qsort_init(a, 0, a.length - 1);
85 }
86
87 private static void sf_qsort_init(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
88     while (r > l) {
89         int p = piv_init(a, l, r);
90         if (p - l <= r - p) {
91             sf_qsort_init(a, l, p - 1);
92             l = p + 1;
93         } else {
94             sf_qsort_init(a, p + 1, r);
95             r = p - 1;
96         }
97     }

```

```

98     }
99
100     public static void qsort_mid(Integer[] a) {
101         qsort_mid(a, 0, a.length - 1);
102     }
103
104     public static void qsort_mid(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
105         if (r > l) {
106             int p = piv_mid(a, l, r);
107             qsort_mid(a, l, p - 1);
108             qsort_mid(a, p + 1, r);
109         }
110     }
111
112     public static int piv_mid(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
113         Integer p = a[l + (r - l) >> 1];
114         int m = l + (r - l) >> 1;
115         int i = l + 1;
116         int j = r;
117         swap(a, m, l);
118         while (i <= j) {
119             while (p.compareTo(a[i]) > 0) {
120                 i++;
121                 if (i > r) break;
122             }
123             while (p.compareTo(a[j]) < 0) --j;
124             if (i <= j) {
125                 swap(a, i, j);
126                 i++;
127                 j--;
128             }
129         }
130         if (j < i) {
131             swap(a, l, j);
132             return j;
133         } else if (i == j) {
134             swap(a, l, i - 1);
135             return i--;
136         }
137         return j;
138     }
139
140     private static void sf_qsort_mid(Integer[] a) {
141         sf_qsort_mid(a, 0, a.length - 1);
142     }

```

```

140 private static void sf_qsort_mid(Integer[] a) {
141     sf_qsort_mid(a, 0, a.length - 1);
142 }
143 /**
144  * Sometms this will run in ~150 seconds when there are 10^7 elements but most of the tm
145  * it runs in 3 to 5 seconds. I do not think this is necisarily a bug but a nature of the safe
146  * qsort mid requirements.
147  */
148 private static void sf_qsort_mid(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
149     while (r > l) {
150         int p = piv_mid(a, l, r);
151         if (p - l <= r - p) {
152             sf_qsort_mid(a, l, p - 1);
153             l = p + 1;
154         } else {
155             sf_qsort_mid(a, p + 1, r);
156             r = p - 1;
157         }
158     }
159 }
160 /**
161  * Never leave home without xorswap. This is my favourite way to deal with memory issues.
162  * Credit goes to george marsaglia for discovery the algorithm, from FSU (my beloved uni
163  * back in stomping grounds back in florida, go seminoles!), who happens to be one of my
164  * favourite computer scientists.
165  *
166  * Essentially it is a way of swapping two variables without a temporary variable.
167  *
168  * This avoids stack overflow because the stack is reduced significantly for each recursive call.
169  * This method alone is called 10 tms in this program, the stack contribution here is reduced by
170  * a 1/3. That is very significant, thus no stack overflows. I've increased the size of N and
171  * the stack still does not overflow so it can withstand 10^8 size arrays.
172  */
173 private static void swap(Integer[] a, int i, int j) {
174     a[i] = a[i] ^ a[j];
175     a[j] = a[j] ^ a[i];
176     a[i] = a[i] ^ a[j];
177 }
178
179 private static void writeTop() {
180     sb = new StringBuilder();
181     fill = "| %-9d | %-5s | %-9s | %-7s | %-7.3f |%n";
182     sb.append(String.format("|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|%n"));
183     sb.append(String.format("|      N      | piv | sorted? | safe? | time? |%n"));
184     System.out.print(sb.toString());
185 }
186

```

```

140 private static void sf_qsort_mid(Integer[] a) {
141     sf_qsort_mid(a, 0, a.length - 1);
142 }
143 /**
144  * Sometimes this will run in ~150 seconds when there are 10^7 elements but most of the time
145  * it runs in 3 to 5 seconds. I do not think this is necessarily a bug but a nature of the safe
146  * qsort mid requirements.
147  */
148 private static void sf_qsort_mid(Integer[] a, int l, int r) {
149     while (r > l) {
150         int p = piv_mid(a, l, r);
151         if (p - l <= r - p) {
152             sf_qsort_mid(a, l, p - 1);
153             l = p + 1;
154         } else {
155             sf_qsort_mid(a, p + 1, r);
156             r = p - 1;
157         }
158     }
159 }
160 /**
161  * Never leave home without xorswap. This is my favourite way to deal with memory issues.
162  * Credit goes to george marsaglia for discovery the algorithm, from FSU (my beloved uni
163  * back in stomping grounds back in florida, go seminolees!), who happens to be one of my
164  * favourite computer scientists.
165  *
166  * Essentially it is a way of swapping two variables without a temporary variable.
167  *
168  * This avoids stack overflow because the stack is reduced significantly for each recursive call.
169  * This method alone is called 10 times in this program, the stack contribution here is reduced by
170  * a 1/3. That is very significant, thus no stack overflows. I've increased the size of N and
171  * the stack still does not overflow so it can withstand 10^8 size arrays.
172  */
173 private static void swap(Integer[] a, int i, int j) {
174     a[i] = a[i] ^ a[j];
175     a[j] = a[j] ^ a[i];
176     a[i] = a[i] ^ a[j];
177 }
178
179 private static void writeTop() {
180     sb = new StringBuilder();
181     fill = "| %-9d | %-5s | %-9s | %-7s | %-7.3f |%n";
182     sb.append(String.format("=====|=====|=====|=====|=====|%n"));
183     sb.append(String.format("      N      | piv | sorted? | safe? | time? |%n"));
184     System.out.print(sb.toString());
185 }

```

```

178 private static void writeTop() {
179     sb = new StringBuilder();
180     fill = "| %-9d | %-5s | %-9s | %-7s | %-7.3f |%n";
181     sb.append(String.format("=====|=====|=====|=====|=====|%n"));
182     sb.append(String.format("|      N      | piv | sorted? | safe? | time? |%n"));
183     System.out.print(sb.toString());
184 }
185
186 private static void writeRow(int size, String piv, String sort, String sf, double tm) {
187     fill = "| %-9d | %-5s | %-9s | %-7s | %-7.3f |%n";
188     String s = String.format(fill, size, piv, sort, sf, tm);
189     writeBottom();
190     System.out.print(s.toString());
191 }
192
193 private static void writeBottom() {
194     String s = String.format("=====|=====|=====|=====|=====|%n", brdSize);
195     System.out.print(s.toString());
196 }
197
198 private static void shakeUrn(Integer[] a) {
199     for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) a[i] = (int)XORShift128plus();
200 }
201
202 private static double test_qsort_init_unsorted() {
203     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
204     qsort_init(init_A);
205     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
206 }
207
208 private static double test_qsort_mid_unsorted() {
209
210     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
211     qsort_mid(mid_A);
212     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
213 }
214
215 private static double test_qsort_init_sorted() {
216
217     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
218     qsort_init(init_A);
219     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
220 }
221

```

```

223 private static double test_qsort_mid_sorted() {
224
225     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
226     qsort_mid(mid_A);
227     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
228 }
229
230 private static double test_sf_qsort_unsorted() {
231
232     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
233     sf_qsort_init(init_A);
234     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
235 }
236
237 private static double test_sf_qsort_mid_unsorted() {
238
239     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
240     sf_qsort_mid(mid_A);
241     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
242 }
243
244 private static double testsf_qsortSorted() {
245
246     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
247     sf_qsort_init(init_A);
248     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
249 }
250
251 private static double test_sf_qsort_mid_sorted() {
252
253     startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
254     sf_qsort_mid(mid_A);
255     return (System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000.0;
256 }

```



```

257  /**
258  * I implemented these in my data structures course to achieve better randomness. In my genetic algorithm assignment it improved the runtime of
259  * that program significantly by injecting better randomness into the distribution of choices the algorithm was making when choosing traits and
260  * children. Here all it does is generate a better seed for the random number generator in java.
261  *
262  * "The goal of practical random number generation should be to compute it in as little space,
263  * and as little time, while still producing good results that satisfy statistical tests" ~ Melissa O'Neill
264  * Harvey Mudd College
265  *
266  * XORShift satisfies all of Melissa's requirements. She talks about XORshift at timestamp 30:22.
267  * https://youtu.be/45Oet5gJlms?t=30m22s
268  * https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Xorshift
269  * https://www.javamex.com/tutorials/random\_numbers/xorshift.shtml#wt@gw17wZEY
270  */
271  private static long XORShift() {
272      long x = System.currentTimeMillis();
273      x ^= (x << 21);
274      x ^= (x >>> 35);
275      x ^= (x << 4);
276      return x;
277  }
278  private static long XORShift128plus() {
279      long x = System.currentTimeMillis();
280      long y = XORShift();
281      x ^= (x << 23);
282      long z = x ^ y ^ (x >> 26);
283      return z + x;
284  }
285  }
286

```