



School of Computer Science and Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

The University of New South Wales

Smart Home

by

Jake Palandri

Thesis submitted as a requirement for the degree of
Bachelor of Engineering in Software Engineering

Submitted: April 2024

Supervisor: Prof. Claude Sammut

Student ID: z5313097

Abstract

This document describes the requirements to theses submitted for the Bachelor of Engineering in Software Engineering degree at the School of Computer Science and Engineering. Requirements described are that of both of context and layout of the theses. The document is written using the L^AT_EX template provided by the school.

Acknowledgements

This work has been inspired by the labours of numerous academics in the Faculty of Engineering at UNSW who have endeavoured, over the years, to encourage students to present beautiful concepts using beautiful typography.

Further inspiration has come from Donald Knuth who designed \TeX , for typesetting technical (and non-technical) material with elegance and clarity; and from Leslie Lamport who contributed \LaTeX , which makes \TeX usable by mortal engineers.

John Zaitseff, an honours student in CSE at the time, created the first version of the UNSW Thesis \LaTeX class and the author of the current version is indebted to his work.

Abbreviations

ECHO IV Electronic Computing Home Operator

USD United States Dollars

CSA Connectivity Standards Alliance

AI Artificial Intelligence

ML Machine Learning

IoT Internet of Things

Yolo You Only Look Once

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Literature Review	2
2.1	Background	2
2.1.1	History of the Smart Home	2
2.1.2	Problems with Smart Homes	4
2.1.2.1	Interoperability	5
2.1.2.2	Lack of True Intelligence	6
2.1.2.3	Requirement for Internet Access	6
2.2	Review of Existing Literature	7
2.2.1	Computer Vision for Smart Home Automation	7
2.2.2	Human Action Recognition for Smart Home Automation	8
2.2.3	Gesture Recognition for Smart Home Automation	9
2.3	Gaps in Literature	9
2.4	Problem Statement (Draft) - Need to include info from Lit review, not just history	9
2.5	Aims and Outcomes	10
3	Project Plan	11
3.1	Methodology and Approach	11

3.2	Timeline	11
3.3	Required Training and Upskilling	11
4	Project Preparations	12
4.1	Progress and Roadblocks	12
5	Conclusion	13
5.1	Future Work	13
	References	14
	Appendix 1	15
A.1	Options	15
A.2	Margins	15
A.3	Page Headers	16
A.3.1	Undergraduate Theses	16
A.3.2	Higher Degree Research Theses	16
A.4	Page Footers	16
A.5	Double Spacing	17
A.6	Files	17

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

Having a set of clear requirements to their thesis is important to student finalising their BE, or other, degree. Such requirements are both in relation to the physical appearance of the thesis, as well as the writing style and organisation. The present document tries to concisely state the theses requirements while appearing in layout and structure as a thesis itself.

Chapter ?? explains the background for this document. Chapter ?? states the style and submission related requirements to theses submitted at the school. Chapter ?? explains content related requirements to theses. Chapter ?? evaluates the thesis requirements template. Finally, Chapter 5 draws up conclusions and suggest ways to further improve the thesis requirements template.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1 Background

2.1.1 History of the Smart Home

The evolution of smart homes can be traced back to the early 1900s when the introduction of various electric household appliances promised to reduce the time spent on mundane household chores and free up more time for leisure, revolutionising domestic life. Devices such as the electric mixer and iron, the world's first refrigerator in 1913, and the pop-up toaster in 1919, emerged in this period, bringing about the beginnings of a more automated home environment and the following couple of decades followed suit.

In 1966, there was a significant leap forward in home automation technology when Jim Sutherland, an engineer from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania created the ECHO IV. The Electronic Computing Home Operator (ECHO IV) was the first device designed specifically for home automation and was hand-crafted with surplus electronic parts. With the ability to compute shopping lists, control home temperature, limit children's television time with questionnaires and even tell the weather, this was the first glimpse at a whole-home computerised system that was capable of automating every element

of home life.

The following year saw the introduction of Honeywell's Kitchen Computer. A computer designed for housewives to use in the kitchen as a recipe storage device with a built-in chopping board. At \$10,000 United States Dollars (USD) in 1967 (nearly \$100,000 USD today when accounting for inflation), this recipe storage device which had no display, was well described as "amazingly beautiful and hopelessly impractical". No units were ever sold. Despite its failure on the open market, the device received a lot of attention and the public began to imagine a future where homes would be interactive.

Eight years later, in 1975, a group of engineers from Scotland released the X10 protocol. Capable of controlling up to 256 devices on a single circuit, X10 sent messages to devices through a property's existing AC electrical wiring. At the time, this was an efficient way to send basic signals through large spaces before the widespread adoption of wireless technology in all electronic devices. It later advanced to be controllable from a computer, enabling users to schedule events and run decision-based sequences. The protocol formed the basis for many domestic control installations for several decades and was one of the first protocols to completely cover the home automation spectrum, with power, security and lighting.

Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, the idea of having robots as companions was solidified in popular culture through science fiction movies. During this same period, advancements in battery technology and the rapid decrease in the size of microprocessors meant that home robots became achievable, and by 1991, the Electrolux Tribolite was released, the world's first robot vacuum. This class of device is now a quintessential smart home product and was a turning point for the industry. The robot vacuum cleaner heralded the integration of the first wave of smart home devices that were not hard-wired into the building. Along with this, the launch of the first-ever internet-connected refrigerator from LG, in the year 2000, demonstrated the increasing integration of technology into everyday household appliances.

This brings us to the current century, where, in the early 2000s, technology began to boom. The home computer had become commonplace and the internet had become

more accessible and understandable to the general public. More smart devices, like speakers that speak to you about news headlines, weather and act as an alarm, began to appear on store shelves at affordable price points and a home automation became realistically achievable goal.

Today, smart homes are now a reality, they are not exclusively for the eccentric and wealthy. They provide home owners comfort, security, energy efficiency and convenience at all times, regardless of if they are home or not. Through the use of innovative technology, homeowners can turn their homes into state-of-the-art machines that can be controlled and monitored from anywhere in the world.

It is clear to see that with every iteration of the development of increasingly quasi-intelligent smart devices, early adopters were promised a more comfortable lifestyle with menial tasks being delegated to machines, saving more time for leisure. With the beginnings of electric home appliances reducing the time required to dedicate to cooking and cleaning, through to interactive devices capable of holding simple conversations with users, this rapidly growing technology shows no signs of slowing down and its future capabilities are near limitless.

2.1.2 Problems with Smart Homes

This is not to say that smart home technology is flawless. There are several factors that limit the advancement of the industry and the effectiveness of smart devices as a whole. Most of the problems with modern smart homes can be grouped into three main categories:

- Interoperability
- Lack of true intelligence, and
- Requirement for internet access

2.1.2.1 Interoperability

There are many different competing standards in the world of smart homes, including devices that use Zigbee, Z-Wave, Bluetooth and Wi-Fi protocols. This means that any given smart home device may not be compatible with another device, even if they are from the same manufacturer. This can be frustrating for consumers who want to create a customised smart home environment that meets their specific needs and preferences when selecting their products and discourages their entry into the market.

There is currently a new protocol, called Matter, in development by the Connectivity Standards Alliance (CSA), in partnership with some of the leading smart home companies, that aims to unify each of these standards into a single, open-source standard and allow legacy devices to be able to communicate with one another. This is a step in the right direction, but if history is anything to go by, this may just end up being an additional competing standard, with lengthy certification processes driving away smaller manufacturers, and, in turn, competition in the market.

On top of this, there are many competing smart home platforms and hubs, such as Amazon Alexa, Google Home, Samsung SmartThings and Apple's HomeKit. Even if the consumer does manage to choose devices all running on the same communication protocols, they may still be unable to control them all from a single app or interface. Apple and Google are both notorious for having lengthy and expensive certification processes for third-party developers to integrate their devices into their platforms, which can be a significant barrier for smaller manufacturers, splintering the market further.

This usually leads to one of two possible outcomes for the consumer. Either consumers end up locked into a single ecosystem, unable to switch to a different platform without replacing all of their devices, which can be a significant financial burden. Or, they end up with a mix of devices from different manufacturers that are unable to communicate with one another each with its own dedicated app, which can be inconvenient and more time-consuming than not having smart devices at all.

2.1.2.2 Lack of True Intelligence

Despite their name, smart homes are not actually all that intelligent in their automation abilities. Even in some of the most advanced smart homes, the devices are limited only to simple routines and schedules, and basic decision trees relying on primitive data from a limited number of sensors in the home.

With the recent advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) technologies, it is theoretically possible to create systems that can learn from user behaviour and adapt to their preferences. However, most smart home devices are not yet capable of this level of predictive control, and instead rely on the user to program them to perform specific tasks at specific times.

2.1.2.3 Requirement for Internet Access

Finally, the requirement for constant internet access may be one of the most significant inhibitors of the expansion of the smart home industry. Many smart devices sold today often needlessly process all of their commands remotely, meaning that they require constant internet access to communicate with their respective cloud services in order to be able to control the devices, even from within your own home. There are very few options on the market for devices that allow for local processing of commands. For example, Google, Amazon and Apple's respective voice assistants, Google Assistant, Alexa and Siri, all require an internet connection to process voice commands so without one, they are unable to control any devices in the home.

So if your internet connection goes down, you may be left with a house full of smart devices that are suddenly very dumb. Not only will you not be able to access the devices remotely to control them or monitor your house, but you may also lose the ability to control them from within the same network as they cannot connect to their company's servers.

2.2 Review of Existing Literature

2.2.1 Computer Vision for Smart Home Automation

In a paper published at the 2019 International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing, Mohammad Hasnain R. et al set out to “develop a smart [Internet of Things] (IoT) based light control system” using computer vision and artificial intelligence [1]. Their objective was to reduce the wastage of electricity “due to [the] negligence and forgetfulness” of people using the environment.

The authors correctly identify one of the biggest issues with presence detection in common home automation deployments. Most setups use an array of infrared sensors to detect movement in a room but this poses a few challenges. Firstly, any movement in view of the sensors will trigger the action that is intended only for human presence. So if a book falls off a shelf or an animal runs past your sensors then we will get a false positive result from the sensor. Additionally, another limitation of this method, which was not outlined in this paper, is that if a person remains stationary in the room, while sitting on a couch for example, then we will get a false negative result suggesting that there is no human presence in the room.

To solve these downfalls of existing implementations, they took a different approach, utilising AI to identify people through a camera in a living space, enabling them to differentiate between objects and people. However, there are a couple of areas that they did not explore that were within reach using the setup that they had implemented and that this thesis intends on expanding upon.

For starters, the authors only used the sensors as a toggle for LEDs in aim of reducing unnecessary energy use. This thesis aims to implement a system capable of executing more complex tasks such as controlling other smart devices in the house like a television or blinds with more potential states than just off and on. Additionally, to identify people in the camera, they used You only look once (Yolo), a computer vision AI model for used object detection, classification, and segmentation, which also has a

built-in "skeletonisation" feature, allowing you to track a person's posture and make more intelligent decisions based on a person's movements. These two ideas present an opportunity to fill a potential gap in the market making more intelligent decisions in the home using existing technology and real world implementations.

2.2.2 Human Action Recognition for Smart Home Automation

Another paper, from the Department of Computer Technology, University of Alicante, covers some potential methods of person tracking, human action recognition and behaviour analysis using cameras in the home [2]. Here, there is more of a focus on using this technology to support the elderly and the disabled, through ambient assisted living to improve their quality of life and maintain their independence.

A. Chaaraoui et al., discuss their deployment with both RGB cameras collecting information and recognising "key poses" and hand gestures by creating silhouettes of the person, and also by using RGB-D cameras using the depth information to more accurately track movements. They were able to achieve this using a Microsoft Kinect camera and depth sensor with real-time performance, which is the sensor that was used for preliminary testing in this thesis as will be covered in more detail in Chapter 4. This existing deployment shows promising signs that the proposed setup should work smoothly in a home environment.

While they were able to get these systems working in real-time, recognising primitive human actions such as standing, walking, sitting and falling, there was no mention in the paper of actual integration with home devices.

In 2000, J. Krumm et al. followed very similar processes, using background subtraction to create silhouettes of people and overlaying depth data over the top of these cutouts [3]. However, due to their early adoption of the technology they were somewhat limited by the computational power of computers during this period and had to run far more complicated and unwieldy setups that would not be suitable for a real home deployment today. This meant that their trackers ran at only 3.5 Hz and often

had trouble tracking more than 3 people at a time or people wearing similarly coloured clothing.

2.2.3 Gesture Recognition for Smart Home Automation

2.3 Gaps in Literature

Lack of actual integration with smart home devices

2.4 Problem Statement (Draft) - Need to include info from Lit review, not just history

Smart homes today promise enhanced convenience, safety, and security through the connectivity of more and more devices and the automation of regular tasks. However, several critical challenges prove to be a hindrance to their effectiveness as well as widespread adoption and, in turn, the growth of the industry

Interoperability issues between devices and existing platforms, the lack of genuine "intelligence" in these automated systems, and the constant reliance on internet access pose significant obstacles to realising the true potential of smart home technology.

In an attempt to combat these challenges, this thesis aims to develop an entirely custom and customisable, intelligent environment where a user's movements will be able to accurately predict how to control devices within the home, through gesture recognition and behaviour prediction. Utilising local processing and sensor data, the system will enhance convenience, safety, and security without relying on internet connectivity or support from third-party companies to allow interoperability.

The research objectives include designing and implementing artificial intelligence and computer vision for movement prediction and gesture control, integrating these into

existing smart home infrastructure, and evaluating the performance and user experience of the environment.

The anticipated outcomes of this research include advancements in smart home technology, improved user experiences, and insights into addressing the broader challenges in the field as outlined in the literature review. While the research focuses on addressing specific aspects of smart home functionality, certain limitations, such as device compatibility and privacy concerns, will be acknowledged and considered throughout the study.

Overall, this research endeavours to contribute to the evolution of smart homes by introducing innovative approaches to enhance their intelligence and autonomy, ultimately improving the quality of life for users.

2.5 Aims and Outcomes

Chapter 3

Project Plan

3.1 Methodology and Approach

3.2 Timeline

3.3 Required Training and Upskilling

Chapter 4

Project Preparations

4.1 Progress and Roadblocks

Chapter 5

Conclusion

A thesis requirements/template document has been created. This serves the dual purposes of giving students specific requirements to their theses — both style and content related — while providing a typical thesis structure in a \LaTeX template.

5.1 Future Work

Extract the requirements from the template in order to have very concise requirements.

References

- [1] M. R. Hasnain, R. S, M. P, and S. G, “Smart home automation using computer vision and segmented image processing,” 2019, pp. 429–433.
- [2] A. Chaaraoui, J. Padilla-López, J. Ferrandez, J. García-Chamizo, M. Nieto-Hidalgo, V. Romacho-Agud, and F. Flórez-Revuelta, *A Vision System for Intelligent Monitoring of Activities of Daily Living at Home*, 12 2013, vol. 8277.
- [3] J. Krumm, S. Harris, B. Meyers, B. Brumitt, M. Hale, and S. Shafer, “Multi-camera multi-person tracking for easyliving,” 2000, pp. 3–10.

Appendix 1

This section contains the options for the UNSW thesis class; and layout specifications used by this thesis.

A.1 Options

The standard thesis class options provided are:

undergrad	default
hdr	
11pt	default
12pt	
oneside	default for HDR theses
twoside	default for undergraduate theses
draft	(prints DRAFT on title page and in footer and omits pictures)
final	default
doublespacing	default
singlespacing	(only for use while drafting)

A.2 Margins

The standard margins for theses in Engineering are as follows:

	U'grad	HDR
<code>\oddsidemargin</code>	40 mm	40 mm
<code>\evensidemargin</code>	25 mm	20 mm
<code>\topmargin</code>	25 mm	30 mm
<code>\headheight</code>	40 mm	40 mm
<code>\headsep</code>	40 mm	40 mm
<code>\footskip</code>	15 mm	15 mm
<code>\botmargin</code>	20 mm	20 mm

A.3 Page Headers

A.3.1 Undergraduate Theses

For undergraduate theses, the page header for odd numbers pages in the body of the document is:

Author's Name	<i>The title of the thesis</i>
---------------	--------------------------------

and on even pages is:

<i>The title of the thesis</i>	Author's Name
--------------------------------	---------------

These headers are printed on all mainmatter and backmatter pages, including the first page of chapters or appendices.

A.3.2 Higher Degree Research Theses

For postgraduate theses, the page header for the body of the document is:

<i>The title of the chapter or appendix</i>

This header is printed on all mainmatter and backmatter pages, except for the first page of chapters or appendices.

A.4 Page Footers

For all theses, the page footer consists of a centred page number. In the frontmatter, the page number is in roman numerals. In the mainmatter and backmatter sections, the page number is in arabic numerals. Page numbers restart from 1 at the start of the mainmatter section.

If the **draft** document option has been selected, then a “Draft” message is also inserted into the footer, as in:

14	Draft: April 26, 2024
----	------------------------------

or, on even numbered pages in two-sided mode:

Draft: April 26, 2024	14
------------------------------	----

A.5 Double Spacing

Double spacing (actually 1.5 spacing) is used for the mainmatter section, except for footnotes and the text for figures and table.

Single spacing is used in the frontmatter and backmatter sections.

If it is necessary to switch between single-spacing and double-spacing, the commands `\ssp` and `\dsp` can be used; or there is a `sspacing` environment to invoke single spacing and a `spacing` environment to invoke double spacing if double spacing is used for the document (otherwise it leaves it in single spacing). Note that switching to single spacing should only be done within the spirit of this thesis class, otherwise it may breach UNSW thesis format guidelines.

A.6 Files

This description and sample of the UNSW Thesis L^AT_EX class consists of a number of files:

unswthesis.cls	the thesis class file itself
crest.pdf	the UNSW coat of arms, used by <code>pdflatex</code>
crest.eps	the UNSW coat of arms, used by <code>latex + dvips</code>
dissertation-sheet.tex	formal information required by HDR theses
library.bib	reference details for use in the bibliography
sample-thesis.tex	the main file for the thesis

The file `sample-thesis.tex` is the main file for the current document (in use, its name should be changed to something more meaningful). It presents the structure of the thesis, then includes a number of separate files for the various content sections. While including separate files is not essential (it could all be in one file), using multiple files is useful for organising complex work.

This sample thesis is typical of many theses; however, new authors should consult with their supervisors and exercise judgement.

The included files used by this sample thesis are:

definitions.tex	mywork.tex
abstract.tex	evaluation.tex
acknowledgements.tex	conclusion.tex
abbreviations.tex	appendix1.tex
introduction.tex	appendix2.tex
background.tex	

These are typical; however the concepts and names (and obviously content) of the files making up the matter of the thesis will differ between theses.