School: ACADEMY OF CHARTER SCHOOLS - 0015 District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	helow 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.3%	(23.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.4%	(8.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	82.1%	(28.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		70.8%	(70.8 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participat	tion Rating		Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	99.9%	98.5%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	969	1060	668	2697	970	1061	678	2709
Mathematics	100.0%	99.8%	98.2%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	970	1059	666	2695	970	1061	678	2709
Writing	99.7%	99.8%	98.5%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	967	1059	668	2694	970	1061	678	2709
Science	100.0%	100.0%	97.2%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	329	372	313	1014	329	372	322	1023
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	292	-	-	-	296	-





Performance Indicators - PRELIN School: ACADEMY OF CHARTER S		TORBISTRICT	IXE VIEVV			District: A	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	mentary Schoo
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	L3 - 0020 (3 Teal
Reading	3	4	70 1 011115	Meets	967	82.73	77	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	968	83.26	81	
Writing	3	4		Meets	965	64.66	69	
Science	3	4		Meets	328	54.27	64	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets	7-1			
Academic Growth	Daints Farnad	Dointe Flisible	W Daints	Datina	. Av	Madian Crousth Parsantile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating		Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	-	Meets	622	47	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	622	50	42	Yes
Writing	3	4	-	Meets	620	53	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2	750/	Meets	62	46	39	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	89	44	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	223	43	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	43	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	65	50	30	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	114	54	56	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	90	42	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	222	45	49	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	50	75	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	65	52	43	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	95	51	74	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	89	48	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	221	52	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	38	75	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	65	59	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	246	58	58	Yes
	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

School: ACADEMY OF CHARTER S	MINARY DRAFT					District: /	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	Middle Schoo
		Points Eligible	W Points	Pating	N	% Proficient/Advanced		L3 - 0020 (3 Teal
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POITILS	Rating			School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1051	75.55 56.67	61	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1050		62 53	
Writing	3 	4		Meets	1050 368	60.38 41.58	36	
Science	11	16	68.8%	Approaching	368	41.58	36	
Total	1.1	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	976	42	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	974	42	63	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	969	41	44	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	20	62	43	Yes
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Ch	Cook and a second Advantage	Cook and a second Advantage Advanced	Adada Adamat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	148	38	33	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	370	41	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	36	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	43	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	221	44	59	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	147	48	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	369	42	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	38	40	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	53	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	321	44	87	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	38	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	368	40	50	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	28	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	102	40	51	No
	_		-					
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	318	42	75	No

3

Performance Indicators - PRELIMII	NARY DRAFT FO	R DISTRICT REV	IEW				Lev	el: High Schoo
School: ACADEMY OF CHARTER SCH						District: AD	AMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOL	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	658	74.32	57	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	655	30.99	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	658	53.95	59	
Science	2	4		Approaching	307	48.86	47	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	<u> </u>	Meets	613	52	18	Yes
Mathematics		4		Approaching	611	48	88	No No
Writing	3	4		Meets	612	52		Yes
· ·	0	0		MECT2	N<20		- 43	163
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)			CC 70	-	11\20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	77	47	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	232	50	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	3	4		Meets	75	53	32	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	160	56	77	No
Mathematics .	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	77	45	93	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	231	51	93	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	75	53	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	350	49	99	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets			•	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00.070	Approaching	77	51	58	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	232	54	53	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	75	61	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	267	54		No
Total	31	48	64.6%	Meets	207		05	110
							D.1. /C	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		346/251/168/ <i>91</i>	83.8/89.2/87.5/ 91.2 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	2	75%	Meets		74/52/26/40	77 (02 (00 5 (22 8)	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		74/53/36/ <i>18</i>	77/83/80.6/ <i>88.9</i> %	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		91/72/45/ 19	80.2/87.5/88.9/ 89.5 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		1469	1.8	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		292	20.4	20.1
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point	· Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	1				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile i	/as:	•		subgroups in 3	15
•	at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate	vas:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3	0.75	1	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1	0.25]	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				16	
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.	Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.	Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	•	•		1	
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	Ì	4	1	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	Does Not Meet		1	1	
Points for each per	formance indicator Cut-Points for plan				•	

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned \cdots of the points eligible on this ${\sf I}$	ndicator.	Cut Point: The school earned ··· of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type as	signments							

5

	Plan description
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference - PRELIMINARY DRAFT FOR DISTRICT REVIEW

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

									<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English Learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 0015

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English Learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated and Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	87.4	89.2	90.2	91.2
Anticipated Year	2010	78.5	83.1	84.2	
of Graduation	2011	88.9	95.1		
	2012	80.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	80	84.2	88.9	88.9
Anticipated Year	2010	65	68.4	72.2	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	78.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	81	85	89.5	89.5
Anticipated Year	2010	78.6	85.2	88.5	
of Graduation	2011	90.9	92		
	2012	70			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated and Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	87.4	89.2	90.2	91.2
Anticipated Year	2010	78.5	83.1	84.2	
of Graduation	2011	88.9	95.1		
	2012	80.2			
	Aggregated	83.8	89.2	87.5	91.2

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	80	84.2	88.9	88.9
Anticipated Year	2010	65	68.4	72.2	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	78.9			
	Aggregated	77	83	80.6	88.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	81	85	89.5	89.5
Anticipated Year	2010	78.6	85.2	88.5	
of Graduation	2011	90.9	92		
	2012	70			
	Aggregated	80.2	87.5	88.9	89.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0020 - 0015