



# A Web-based Multi-criteria Bicycle Route Planning Application

*Jake Bailey*

2002753

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
**Bachelor of Science**  
of the  
**University of Portsmouth.**

School of Computing  
Engineering Project

2024

# **Declaration**

No portion of the work contained in this document has been submitted in support of an application for a degree or qualification of this or any other university or other institution of learning. All verbatim extracts have been distinguished by quotation marks, and all sources of information have been specifically acknowledged.

Date: 2024

# **Abstract**

Cyclists regularly use route planning applications to determine the route they take for their journey, whether to commute, complete a short-circuit ride or a long-distance ride. There is a range of pre-existing applications to allow cyclists to do this; however, most of these have a limited range of customisable criteria considered in the routing algorithm.

This dissertation will investigate how the current solutions consider user-customisable criteria in their routing algorithms and will provide an open-source prototype system. The proposed system will consider pre-existing data, such as weather forecasts and hazard data, whilst catering for custom criteria chosen by the user and location of accommodation for long-distance routes.

# **Acknowledgements**

Much stuff borrowed from elsewhere

## **Consent to Share**

I consent for this project to be archived by the University Library and potentially used as an example project for future students.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>10</b>
1.1	Overview . . . . .	10
1.2	Aims and Objectives . . . . .	10
1.3	Proposed Solution . . . . .	11
1.4	Scope . . . . .	11
1.5	Deliverables . . . . .	11
1.6	Resources and Facilities used . . . . .	11
1.7	Risk Assessment . . . . .	13
1.8	Legal, Ethical, Social and Professional issues . . . . .	15
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1	Background . . . . .	16
2.2	Research Methods . . . . .	16
2.3	Competing Products . . . . .	17
2.3.1	Plotaroute.com . . . . .	17
2.3.2	Komoot . . . . .	18
2.3.3	Google Maps . . . . .	18
2.4	Risk Factors in Route Planning . . . . .	18
2.4.1	Cycling Infrastructure . . . . .	18
2.4.2	Weather Conditions . . . . .	20
2.5	Conclusion . . . . .	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1	An Incremental Development Methodology . . . . .	22
<b>4</b>	<b>Project Management</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Methodology . . . . .	23
4.2	GitHub . . . . .	23
4.2.1	Kanban Board and Projects . . . . .	23
4.2.2	Version Control . . . . .	24

4.3 Supervisor Meetings . . . . .	24
<b>5 Requirements</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1 Requirements Gathering . . . . .	25
5.2 Identifying Users . . . . .	25
5.3 Requirements Specification . . . . .	26
5.4 Elicited Requirements . . . . .	28
<b>6 Design</b>	<b>32</b>
6.1 Assumptions and Decisions Taken . . . . .	32
6.2 User Interface . . . . .	33
6.2.1 Colour Scheme . . . . .	33
6.2.2 Low Fidelity Prototype . . . . .	33
6.2.3 High-Fidelity Prototype . . . . .	33
6.3 Use Cases . . . . .	36
6.3.1 Use Case Diagram . . . . .	36
6.4 System Design . . . . .	36
6.4.1 Architecture Design . . . . .	36
6.4.2 Database Design . . . . .	38
6.4.3 Modular Architecture . . . . .	39
6.5 Critique of Designs . . . . .	40
<b>7 Implementation</b>	<b>41</b>
7.1 Development Environment . . . . .	41
7.2 Iteration 1 - Basic UI and Core Routing . . . . .	41
7.2.1 Leaflet - Open Street Map (OSM) . . . . .	41
7.2.2 Basic Route Planning . . . . .	42
7.2.3 Elevation Chart . . . . .	42
7.2.4 Weather Information Panel . . . . .	43
7.2.5 Main Challenges . . . . .	45
7.3 Iteration 2 . . . . .	45
7.4 Iteration 3 . . . . .	45
<b>8 Testing</b>	<b>46</b>
8.1 Unit Testing . . . . .	46
8.1.1 Testing here . . . . .	46
8.2 Postman . . . . .	46
8.3 Chrome Developer Tools . . . . .	46
<b>9 Evaluation</b>	<b>47</b>

9.1	Evaluation Methods and Techniques . . . . .	47
9.2	Evaluation Process . . . . .	47
9.3	Evaluating Requirements . . . . .	47
9.4	Limitations . . . . .	47
9.5	Future Work . . . . .	47
<b>10</b>	<b>Reflection and Conclusion</b>	<b>48</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Current Solutions</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Initial User Requirements</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Ethics Certificate</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Project Initiation Document</b>	<b>60</b>

# List of Tables

1.1	Project Risk Assessment . . . . .	13
5.1	User Story 01 . . . . .	28
5.2	User Story 02 . . . . .	28
5.3	User Story 03 . . . . .	29
5.4	User Story 04 . . . . .	29
5.5	User Story 05 . . . . .	29
5.6	User Story 06 . . . . .	30
5.7	User Story 07 . . . . .	30
5.8	User Story 08 . . . . .	31
5.9	User Story 09 . . . . .	31
5.10	User Story 10 . . . . .	31
5.11	User Story 11 . . . . .	31
B.1	User Story 01 . . . . .	54
B.2	User Story 02 . . . . .	55
B.3	User Story 03 . . . . .	55
B.4	User Story 04 . . . . .	55
B.5	User Story 05 . . . . .	56
B.6	User Story 06 . . . . .	56
B.7	User Story 07 . . . . .	56

# List of Figures

2.1	Table of current solutions and their included features . . . . .	17
2.2	Features in priority order based on user feedback . . . . .	19
2.3	Comparison of the bicycle infrastructure scores (Hull and O'Holleran, 2014). . . . .	20
2.4	Spider web diagram comparing the Bicycle Infrastructure Scores (Hull and O'Holleran, 2014). . . . .	20
5.1	User Feedback . . . . .	26
5.2	Firebase Research Application . . . . .	27
6.1	Low-Fidelity Prototype 1 . . . . .	34
6.2	Low-Fidelity Prototype 2 . . . . .	34
6.3	High-Fidelity Prototype 1 . . . . .	35
6.4	High-Fidelity Prototype 2 . . . . .	35
6.5	Use Case Diagram . . . . .	37
6.6	Client-Server Architecture . . . . .	38
6.7	Entity Relationship Diagram . . . . .	38
6.8	Modular Components . . . . .	39
7.1	Basic Map with Route . . . . .	42
7.2	Routing UI . . . . .	43
7.3	Route Waypoint/Elevation Array . . . . .	43
7.4	Elevation Plot Hover Functionality . . . . .	44
7.5	Weather Panel . . . . .	44
A.1	Plotaroute.com UI . . . . .	52
A.2	Komoot UI . . . . .	53
A.3	Google Maps UI . . . . .	53

# **Chapter 1**

## **Introduction**

### **1.1 Overview**

Route planning is essential to all cyclists, from casual to professional, as it's important to know where they are going and what to expect on their journey. A casual rider may wish to find the quickest and safest route to their destination. In contrast, a professional rider would want to find the most challenging route, not necessarily the quickest.

Currently, there are multiple route planning applications which range in their flexibility for different types of cyclists and their needs. No one application effectively caters for all cyclists, meaning multiple applications would be required depending on the type of route the user wants to plan.

The proposed solution is a web application enabling cyclists to plan a route ahead of their ride and choose which criteria they want to affect the routing algorithm and, therefore, the final route. Some examples of these criteria are accommodation, road type and elevation. Other criteria will be used in determining the route, such as weather conditions and hazards along the route.

### **1.2 Aims and Objectives**

The overall aim of the project is to develop and build a prototype which can be further developed in the future to plan a range of routes for cyclists with a range of data-driven criteria to cater to the requirements of that specific user. Enabling customisable criteria for each user will allow them to understand the decisions made to plan their route and improve the user's experience when they are cycling, and give more members of the public the incentive to begin cycling.

## 1.3 Proposed Solution

The solution is a prototype web application designed for cyclists of all levels (casual to professional). It will plan cycling routes based on a range of data-driven, user-customisable and fixed criteria through a user-friendly, modern user interface (UI). Furthermore, the solution will provide key information on the planned route, such as elevation, average speed and time to complete the route.

## 1.4 Scope

There is a potentially large scope that could be attributed to this project whereby the application could be developed to consider a range of different sports that could benefit from route planning. These sports could be, running, walking and mountain cycling, which would increase the overall scope as there would be many other factors to consider for these sports. This would expand the current scope of the project to a great scale.

To mitigate the risk of the scope becoming unfeasible, it's vital to focus on the key functionality planned for the application. Therefore, the decision has been made to narrow the scope and focus explicitly on catering the application to route-planning Road Cyclists. Focusing on this user-base will ensure focus on the key functionality to provide effective route planning on roads catering to the user's needs.

## 1.5 Deliverables

This project will consist of two deliverables:

- The project report
- The artefact (web-based bicycle route planner)

## 1.6 Resources and Facilities used

The section demonstrates all resources and facilities used to complete this project. It includes developing the prototype and writing this document; the list should aid anyone wishing to re-create this project. Some decisions on tools used are personal; for instance, the Integrated Development Environment (IDE), which is predominantly down to preference. On the other hand, the programming languages chosen are specific to the features and performance benefits they provide in developing such applications.

- Applications/websites used to complete research and literature review
  - Google Scholar
  - EBSCO Database
- Programming Languages

- Javascript
- HTML5
- CSS
- Go
- Structured Query Language (SQL)
- Integrated Development Environment/Text Editor
  - Visual Studio Code
- Database Management System (DBMS)
  - PostgreSQL
- Wireframes and Prototyping
  - Figma
- Libraries/Frameworks
  - React.js
  - Vite
  - Bootstrap.js
  - Gin
  - Auth0
  - Open Route Service (ORM)
  - OpenStreetMap (OSM)
  - Leaflet
  - Leaflet Routing Machine
- Testing
  - Jest
  - Go Test Framework
- Browser
  - Google Chromium
- Project Management and Version Control
  - GitHub

- GitHub Interactive Kanban Board
- GitHub Issues
- Git

## 1.7 Risk Assessment

It is vital to understand the potential risks at the beginning of the project to establish a way to mitigate those risks should they occur. The risks have been identified when considering this project (see Table 1.1, p14).

**Table 1.1:** Project Risk Assessment

Type	Risk	Likelihood	Severity	Description and Mitigation
Personal	Illness	Low	Medium	There is the chance that I may fall ill unexpectedly during the project. To mitigate the risk of this visit a doctor if unwell and allocate time for rest around university work.
	Supervisor illness	Medium	Medium	The supervisor becoming unwell in any instance could impact the completion of tasks due to the lack of technical guidance and may delay the project's timeline. If this occurs, we will conduct online meetings and plan time in preparation for the potential delay.
Management	Changing requirements	Medium	Medium	During the project, the requirements initially suggested may change. To mitigate this, communicate regularly with the supervisor to discuss the feasibility of the current requirements to prevent any future changes.

	Time availability	Medium	Medium	The time to dedicate to the project may become more limited as I have more work to complete for other modules. To prevent this, plan tasks ahead of time to consider potential future setbacks.
Technical	Data loss/corruption	Low	High	Data loss would mean the prototype must be developed again from scratch. To mitigate this issue, create regular backups on GitHub and locally in case either fails.
	Hardware failure	Low	High	PC/Server/Laptop being used for development/hosting crashes/hardware gets damaged. Ensure multiple devices are being used so development can continue even whilst one device is down.
	Documentation availability	Low	Medium	Documentation for frameworks, languages or libraries is unavailable. There is a range of readily available online for all the required technologies.

## 1.8 Legal, Ethical, Social and Professional issues

The key legislation to consider for this project is the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA 2018). The project should not store personal information. However, the user's current location will be requested upon the launch of the application; when the application no longer uses this data, it will be deleted and re-requested when the user enters the application again. Future iterations could implement accounts, storing a small amount of sensitive user information to include more features. However, the submitted artefact shouldn't contain this data; regardless of this fact, it will be ensured the artefact abides by all principles of the DPA 2018 due to it handling the current location data of the user.

One social issue that could arise is that the artefact may entice more public members to start cycling more frequently; whilst this result will be a great incentive for protecting the environment, some road users are cautious, with many cyclists riding unsafely on the roads. The artefact will push users to ride safely and abide by all road safety laws, just as vehicles do; there will also be the option only to use cycle routes/lanes when plotting a route to ensure those cyclists who are less comfortable on roads still feel safe on the routes planned by the artefact.

## **Chapter 2**

# **Literature Review**

This chapter presents the analysis and review of relevant literature conducted throughout this project. The research comprises of literature surrounding different routing algorithms and their current usage within current route planner applications. It also explores other relevant technologies which will be utilised in developing the artefact, such as web frameworks and programming languages.

## **2.1 Background**

This project has a high level of complexity. It utilises custom, user and system inputs into a data-driven route planning algorithm, displaying the output on an OpenStreetMap-based web application.

This review begins with researching literature on different web technologies and programming languages to develop an understanding of what technologies were available to build the proposed system. Once an understanding of the technologies was developed, a review of current competing products was reviewed. This allowed for gaps in the current market to be identified, therefore developing an idea of requirements for the proposed system (see Section 2.3, p17).

Furthermore, research into how cyclists consider different risk factors when planning a ride was key in understanding what routing algorithm was implemented to fit the project's requirements best. An in-depth review of existing literature was conducted to understand two primary risk factors for cyclists: cycling infrastructure (see Section 2.4.1, p18) and weather conditions (see Section 2.4.2, p20).

## **2.2 Research Methods**

An initial exploration of sources and subject areas was conducted using Google Chrome to comprehensively understand the topic at hand. Certain regions of interest were also highlighted through crowd-sourcing ideas from knowledgeable individuals. After these areas were outlined, in-depth research was conducted primarily through Google Scholar

and the University of Portsmouth EBSCO database. The Zotero Chrome plugin and app managed citations and bibliography items (“Zotero | Your personal research assistant”, n.d.). All bibliography items have also been stored in a CSV file, utilising Zotero’s export functionality; doing so ensures that all relevant sources can be re-visited at any time and are not lost after this project has concluded.

## 2.3 Competing Products

There are many different route planners available with a range of different features, some common between applications and others specific to one. Applications like Plotaroute.com (“Free Route Planner for Outdoor Pursuits - plotaroute.com”, n.d.), Komoot (“Komoot | Find, plan and share your adventures”, n.d.) and Google Maps (“Google Maps”, n.d.) have some commonalities, however serve slightly different purposes (see Figure 2.1, p17).

Desirability (1-5)	Features	Application Name								National Cycle Network	Number of Applications with Feature
		Plotaroute.com	Routeyou.com (Paid)	Komoot.com	Cycle travel	Cyclesstreets.net	Maps.google.com	Web.bikemap.net (Paid)	Ridewithgps.com		
5	Cycling Infrastructure Issue Waypoints										0
3	Hotels/Campsites on Rt			X							1
3	Measure Section	X									1
2	Annotate	X									1
2	Repeat Route (laps)	X									1
2	Make Route (auto generate)	X									1
1	Repeat Loop (add loops to route)	X									1
1	Plot Radius from Pt	X									1
1	Combine Route	X									1
5	Key Tourist Pts		X	X							2
3	Weather Forecast								X	X	2
3	Shortest Route	X	X								2
2	Replot Section	X									2
2	Split Route	X							X		2
1	Tabletop 3D Augmented Reality (iOS only)									X	X
4	Fitness Level		X	X		X					3
3	Social Sharing	X	X	X			X				4
5	Different Vehicles	X	X	X			X	X			5
4	Import Route	X	X						X	X	5
4	Round Trip	X	X	X					X	X	5
3	Alt Routes	X	X	X		X	X				5
5	Route Terrain Summary		X	X	X				X	X	6
5	Instructions	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			7
4	Reshape Route (Drag Anchor Pts)	X	X	X	X	X	X				7
3	Trace Route	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		7
2	Print		X	X	X		X	X		X	7
5	Export Route GPX/KML/TCS/FIT	X	X	X	X	X					8
5	Snap to Map (fix GPX Errors)	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	8
5	Change Start/End Pt	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			8
4	Export Route (save online)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			8
4	Reverse Route	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			8
4	Delete Section	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			8
3	Manual Route Planning	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	8
5	Elevation Plot	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	9
4	Map Layers	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	9
Total Number of Features		26	22	19	15	14	13	13	12	11	10

**Figure 2.1:** Table of current solutions and their included features

### 2.3.1 Plotaroute.com

Plotaroute.com (“Free Route Planner for Outdoor Pursuits - plotaroute.com”, n.d.), now referred to as Plotaroute, contains a wide range of features, including nearly all features highlighted in Figure 2.1 (see Figure 2.1, p17). The main shortfall of Plotaroute was identified as its UI (User Interface) rather than the features included. The UI of Plotaroute is very cluttered due to the number of features present in the application (see Figure A.1,

p52). Unless the user is an expert and has used the application before, it is initially confusing what each part of the application does. Due to this, at first glace, it's unclear what type of route planner Plotaroute is, which will fundamentally affect a user's initial decision on whether or not to use the application.

### 2.3.2 Komoot

Komoot (“Komoot | Find, plan and share your adventures”, n.d.) offers a simpler-looking yet feature-rich application for planning and discovering routes (see Figure A.2, p53). The application has a key focus on community, whereby a user doesn't necessarily need to plan a route, they can simply discover a route or even share a route of their own. This functionality allows the user to require minimal effort when planning location-specific rides, however doesn't offer discovery of longer routes, for example, Land's End to John O'Groats. When compared to Plotaroute, Komoot is clearly more user-friendly without sacrificing the core features needed by users. One primary setback with Komoot, however, is that some functionality for route planning is part of a paid-for service, therefore locking certain user bases out of some key desirable functionality.

### 2.3.3 Google Maps

Google Maps (“Google Maps”, n.d.) further reduces the specific functionality and offers a very simple, multi-functional route planning and location finding application (see Figure A.3, p53). Google Maps is likely the most user-friendly out of all the applications, simply due to its simplicity and consistency across other Google applications (“Material Design”, n.d.). With this simplicity, however, most cycling-specific functionality is not present; the only option the user has when calculating a route is what the Google routing algorithm calculates with potentially a few alternate routes. Therefore, limiting how much the user can customise their route.

## 2.4 Risk Factors in Route Planning

Risk-based cycling route planning requires extensive knowledge of the impact of cycling and transportation infrastructure currently in place. It is also critical to understand how other external factors impact the risk of a route on an ever-changing basis. Within this section, a range of risk factors were explored to understand how multiple risk factors can be implemented into route planning algorithms.

### 2.4.1 Cycling Infrastructure

The cycling infrastructure along a route must be understood because it is common for cyclists to share the same infrastructure as motorised vehicles. However, a cyclist has no physical protection if a crash occurs (Reynolds et al., 2009). There is often purpose-built infrastructure for cyclists, whether bike lanes alongside shared roads or off-road bike paths and this segregated infrastructure can help improve the safety of a route for a cyclist.

Order of Preference	Features	Application Name								National Cycle Network	Number of Applications with Features
		Polarroute.com	Routeyou.com (Paid)	Komoot.com	Cycle.travel	Cyclocrossroads.net	Maps.google.com	Web.bikemap.net (Paid)	Ridewithgps.com		
1	Round Trip	X	X	X				X	X		5
2	Export Route (save online)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			8
3	Hotels/Campsites on Rt				X						1
4	Different Vehicles	X	X	X							5
5	Manual Route Planning	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	8
6	Elevation Plot	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	9
7	Cycling Infrastructure Issue Waypoints										0
8	Measure Section	X									1
9	Map Layers	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			9
10	Navigation	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		8
11	Annotate	X									1
12	Modify Route (Drag Anchor Pts)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			7
13	Repeat Loop (add loops to route)	X									1
14	Split Route	X							X		2
15	Replot Section	X									2
16	Alt Routes		X	X	X	X	X				5
17	Export Route (GPX/KML/TCS/FIT)	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	8
18	Import Route	X	X						X	X	5
19	Shorten Route	X	X								2
20	Social Sharing	X	X	X			X				4
21	Make Route (auto generate)	X									1
22	Print		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	7
23	Snap to Map (fix GPX Errors)	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	8
24	Repeat Route (laps)	X									1
25	Weather Forecast								X	X	2
26	Delete Section	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		8
27	Route Terrain Summary		X	X	X				X	X	6
28	Combine Route	X									1
29	Fitness Level		X	X		X					3
30	Plot Radius from Pt	X									1
31	Reverse Route	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		8
32	Key Tourist Pts		X	X							2
33	Trace Route	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		7
34	Instructions	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			7
35	Tabletop 3D Augmented Reality (iOS only)								X	X	2
Total Number of Features		26	22	19	15	14	13	13	12	11	10

Page

**Figure 2.2:** Features in priority order based on user feedback


Furthermore, Hong states how investing in effective cycling infrastructure "mitigates the negative effects of poor weather conditions" (Hong et al., 2020), which further demonstrates that good, known infrastructure is key to improving the physical and perceived safety of a route in a range of different weather conditions.

Furthermore, crowd-sourced data from route planners, cyclists and fitness applications such as Strava Routes ("Strava | Running, Cycling & Hiking App - Train, Track & Share", n.d.) have been key in developing new infrastructure. Boettge states how the most accurate assessment of a cycle network would come directly from the cyclists who use the network (Boettge et al., 2017). Cyclists who use the network are the most familiar with the quality of each route and how traffic conditions improve the safety of the route. Utilising the GPS information from route planners and fitness tracking applications alongside direct input from cyclists can help build new routes and improve pre-existing routes, therefore preventing injuries and high-risk situations by modifying transportation infrastructure (Reynolds et al., 2009).

Areas with little to no cycling infrastructure, such as busy roads and roundabouts, force cyclists to have a heightened attentiveness that other road users don't have to consider due to not only the physical danger but the cyclists' perceived danger (Doorley et al., 2015). These risks should be considered within route planning to decrease the number of 'risk' areas along a cyclist's route whilst also giving local areas the incentive to make

Measure	Netherlands	Edinburgh	Cambridge
Consistency	4.54	2.17	2.83
Directness	4.29	2.17	3.83
Attractiveness	4.28	2.22	3.56
Road safety	4.41	2.38	3.5
Comfort	4.46	2.33	3.33
Spatial integration	4.43	3.29	3.86
Experience	4.50	2.20	4.40
Socio-economic value	4.67	3.33	3.67
Overall Score	4.45	2.51	3.62

**Figure 2.3:** Comparison of the bicycle infrastructure scores (Hull and O'Holleran, 2014).



**Figure 2.4:** Spider web diagram comparing the Bicycle Infrastructure Scores (Hull and O'Holleran, 2014).

infrastructure modifications to decrease the number of 'high-risk' points. Therefore, in the long-term, it will mitigate the need for constant action by cyclists to ensure their safety, which will, in turn, influence individuals' decisions to cycle (Reynolds et al., 2009).

Hull and O'Holleran also demonstrate how cities with a high reputation among cyclists also have safer roads and more attractive infrastructure. The Netherlands scored relatively equally amongst all categories, in comparison to cities with less of a reputation and, therefore, a lower standard of cycling infrastructure (see Figure 2.3, p20) (see Figure 2.4, p20) (Hull and O'Holleran, 2014). This supports how Reynolds et al. further illustrate how investing in cycling infrastructure will greatly incentivise individuals to cycle due to the decreased risk.

## 2.4.2 Weather Conditions

Weather conditions will also have a pivotal effect on how a route planner will calculate the safest route for a cyclist. Following on from Cycling Infrastructure (see Section 2.4.1, p18), it is demonstrated how a lack of good infrastructure goes hand-in-hand in creating an unsafe route alongside the weather. To ensure the safety of cyclists, all routes and road surfaces must be maintained to withstand different weather conditions (Shoman et al., 2023).

The weather also impacts a cyclist's likelihood to ride; Flynn states that cyclists 'were nearly twice as likely to commute by bicycle when there was no morning precipitation' (Flynn et al., 2012). It is clear that even minor changes in the weather can drastically affect a cyclist's decision to ride, further demonstrating how vital the perceived safety of cycling is in deciding whether to ride.

Contrasting this, Hull and O'Holleran state that the main environmental barriers included too much traffic, too many hills, no bike lanes/trails, no safe place to cycle and badly maintained streets (Hull and O'Holleran, 2014). Therefore suggests that the weather should have a minimal impact on a cyclist's decision to ride if the infrastructure is sufficient. Despite the findings of Hull and O'Holleran, it seems to be a common finding that the perceived safety of cycling, both in regard to the changing weather conditions and cycling infrastructure, is the primary factor in choosing cycling over an alternative method of transport. Miranda-Moreno and Nosal have shown how when infrastructure is implemented, there is generally an increase in total bicycle usage and diversion of cyclist flows away from roads to purpose-built infrastructure even in less ideal weather conditions (Miranda-Moreno and Nosal, 2011).

## 2.5 Conclusion

To conclude, route planning with different customisable preferences has been implemented by a range of different existing organisations; however, focusing on a risk-based routing approach has not been addressed by these existing solutions. Utilising pre-existing routing algorithms such as Open Route Service ("Openrouteservice", n.d.) or Open Source Routing Machine ("Project OSRM", n.d.) and integrating custom, weather and infrastructure data alongside the usual user-preferences has not been implemented within existing solutions. Therefore, this enables a unique system to be developed whereby crowd-sourced infrastructure data alongside weather data provided by OpenWeatherMap combined form a risk index utilised in a customised routing algorithm.

Furthermore, in order to develop this system, React.js was chosen to develop the front end and Go for the back end. Next.js was initially considered for the front-end. However, it was later found that Next's server-side rendering was not supported by Leaflet; used for Mapping with OpenCycleMap; ("Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps", n.d.; "OpenCycleMap.org - the OpenStreetMap Cycle Map", n.d.) due to it requiring direct interaction with the DOM. Go with the Gin Web Framework ("Gin Web Framework", n.d.) was chosen to develop the API and back-end due to its increased performance benefits over alternative languages such as Node.js with Express.js.

## **Chapter 3**

# **Methodology**

Choosing which Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC) methodology is a key decision at the beginning of any software development project; the methodology demonstrates the expected route that development will take during the project's lifetime. I have decided to use the Incremental methodology whilst integrating key Project Management methods in other team-focused methodologies, such as Agile (see Chapter 4, p23).

### **3.1 An Incremental Development Methodology**

I have chosen the Incremental Development Model for this project since the Waterfall Model cannot precisely and completely describe the real software development life cycle (Dapeng Liu et al., 2011). Each iteration will represent a full software life cycle vaguely following the waterfall methodology's structure: Requirements analysis, Design, Development, Testing, and Release. Incremental development allows for more flexibility during the software development process. It is feasible for a solo-development project as it does not require collaboration with other team members as the Agile methodology does.

The Incremental model breaks larger tasks into smaller, more achievable sub-tasks/increments. Each task can be broken down into all or some of the stages mentioned earlier. Therefore, if some stages are unnecessary for an increment, they don't need to be followed. Development of the increments can be managed using a Kanban board. It can also manage progress in completing this document. To see the added benefits of using Kanban, see Section 4.2.

There are some downsides to using the Incremental model, with one key failure of the model being merging changes between increments. This downfall of the model introduces a discontinuity of design purpose where the user interface and programming interfaces become discontinuous between increments (Dapeng Liu et al., 2011). To mitigate this issue, a consistent programming interface be implemented to aid in developing easy-to-read source code throughout the development of increments.

## **Chapter 4**

# **Project Management**

### **4.1 Methodology**

As stated throughout (see Chapter 3, p22), the chosen methodology for this project is the Incremental SDLC Model. The project will be split into increments to break down larger tasks into smaller, more manageable subtasks. Each subtask will have its deadline to contribute to the changes within that increment. There will be regular incremental changes during the development and implementation of the artefact. All tasks to be completed will be managed with GitHub projects utilising its Kanban, Table and Milestones functionality (see Section 4.2.1, p23).

### **4.2 GitHub**

#### **4.2.1 Kanban Board and Projects**

From the beginning of the project, a GitHub project was created alongside the GitHub Repository to manage all tasks to be completed for this document and the development of the artefact. All tasks to be completed were added to the backlog at the beginning of development and allocated a milestone and label to categorise each task.

Milestones were created for each Increment of the prototype and for each section of this document to be completed. This would allow tasks to be assigned to each milestone to better manage which task belongs to which overall objective. Each task would then be assigned a 'To Do' status when selected for development/writing and would progress throughout the other Kanban Board stages until it is marked as 'Done' and closed.

An extra status has been added to the Kanban board with the name 'In Review'; tasks placed in this status were awaiting guidance from the project supervisor (see Section 4.3, p24).

### 4.2.2 Version Control

GitHub will host the project source code with Git Software Version Management (SVM). Using GitHub and Git enables branches to be created in the repository to manage code changes and link each change to a pull request which enables incremental development. Branches will allow for branch-level testing and pull requests for automated merges once the increment has been completed. These merges will also throw merge conflicts if issues in the code arise between increments, ensuring the code base remains accurate and forcing the conflict to be resolved before changes are made. Commits are also highly-valuable during development as they allow code changes to be managed and reversed if needed.

## 4.3 Supervisor Meetings

Meetings will be scheduled on a weekly or fortnightly basis; my supervisor allocates a range of slots each week where I can book a time which works for me. The aim is to have all meetings face-to-face where possible. However, if the supervisor or I become unwell, we will conduct a video conference where possible. If the supervisor has planned leave, or I will be away for some time, we will communicate ahead of time to devise a plan for the project while one or the other is away and unable to meet regularly. Doing so will further allow me to plan my workload ahead of time and effectively keep track of the progress throughout the project.

[[INSERT LIST OF MEETINGS]]

## **Chapter 5**

# **Requirements**

The identification of requirements was critical to the success of the project. Primary research was undertaken to gather an understanding of real user preferences from a list of proposed functionalities as to understand their wants and needs of the application. It was critical to declare clear and concise requirements to ensure absolute clarity throughout the project. This chapter discusses all methods undertaken to gather requirements, including the final requirements found as a result of this process.

## **5.1 Requirements Gathering**

Requirements were gathered via multiple channels, initially research was undertaken to understand the offerings of current solutions to gather a list of potential functionalities. These were then taken to the client to set out a base set of user requirements to be used in primary research. A simple research application was developed to collect the opinions of cyclists in the general public, enabling participants to order a subset of a wider list in order of preference (see Figure 5.2, p27).


## **5.2 Identifying Users**

The aims highlighted the need to expand the route planning functionalities available to cyclists (see Section 1.2, p10). Multiple user groups were identified from this:

- Commuter - A commuter would require a simple round trip route, likely focused on ease of ride and speed taken to commute.
- Regular Cyclists - A cyclist who focuses on planning a ride tailored for their skill level. Wants multiple route options with varying levels of intensity.
- Pro Cyclists - A pro cyclist would require a deeper level insight into each planned route, including a range of customisability and integration with fitness applications.

## 5.3 Requirements Specification

User stories were established through various methods: regular face-to-face meetings were conducted with the client and research was conducted through a web application built to understand the users' preferred functionality (see Figure 5.2, p27). Each user story was broken down into specific system requirements. The requirements have been ranked using the MoSCoW model; this demonstrated each requirements' level of importance aiming to prioritise the necessary requirements, limiting the time spent on the less-necessary requirements. The MoSCoW model seemed the most appropriate choice in prioritising requirements because of the research undertaken with real-world users, utilising the data collected on user preferences (see Figure 5.1, p26)(see Figure 2.2, p19).



**Figure 5.1:** User Feedback

[Return to Terms and Conditions](#)

- Imagine you are going to plan a bike ride. This ride could be short or long, perhaps for a commute, a workout or even a longer cross-country journey. I'm looking to understand what functionality you would prefer to see in a route planner.
- Drag the features (below) into your preferred order from top-to-bottom (top being the most important and bottom being the least).
- Items in the list are a subset of a much larger list, so you may not see all the features you expect or think are required, it's the ranking of this subset that is important.
- Click 'Submit' when you're done.
- You can participate multiple times and will get different items each time.

[Submit](#)

Toggle different map layers to customize the map view during route planning.

Locate hotels and campsites along the planned route for traveler convenience.

Add personal annotations or notes to the route, providing additional information or reminders for yourself.

Waypoints which highlight key infrastructure issues along the route, these will be input into the application through local experts.

View an elevation plot of the route, providing insights into its elevations changes.

Manually plan and customize the route based on user preferences and requirements.

Measure specific sections of the route for distance, elevation, or other metrics.

Add loops to the route to increase distance or vary the path. (loops being repeating the sections of a route along the route).

[Return to Terms and Conditions](#)

**Figure 5.2:** Firebase Research Application

## 5.4 Elicited Requirements

The elicited requirements were a direct result of discussions with the client and the primary research undertaken (see Section 5.3, p26). Each user story was divided into multiple acceptance criteria/system requirements and were segregated into a table per user story.

**Table 5.1:** User Story 01

---

As a user, I want a page that allows me to configure my starting and destination location to plan a route.

Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority	ID
The system must provide a route configuration panel.	Must	SR1
The route configuration page must provide a starting and destination location input field.	Must	SR2
The route configuration page should suggest accurate geolocations based on the location inputs.	Must	SR3
The route configuration page must determine the geolocation based on the user input.	Must	SR4
The route configuration page must plan the route once two or more locations are input.	Must	SR5

---

**Table 5.2:** User Story 02

---

As a user, I want to change preferences to allow me to customise the route, including avoiding certain road types and road altitudes.

Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority	ID
The system must provide an overlay window to allow the user to update routing preferences.	Must	SR6
The update preferences overlay must provide options to 'avoid' along the route.	Must	SR7
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'via' user input field.	Should	SR8
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'leave time' user input field.	Should	SR9
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'arrive time' user input field.	Should	SR10
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'round trip' user input field.	Could	SR11

---

**Table 5.3:** User Story 03

---

Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements		Priority ID
The system must provide an option to export the planned route.	Must	SR12
The system must provide an export feature to export the route to the 'GPX' file format.	Must	SR13
The system must provide an export feature to export the route to the 'GeoJSON' file format.	Should	SR14
The system must provide an export online (to Google Drive, OneDrive and/or other cloud services)	Must	SR15

---

**Table 5.4:** User Story 04

---

Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements		Priority ID
As a user, I want to share my route with other people.		
The system must provide a share functionality overlay.	Should	SR16
The share overlay must provide an option to share direct over email.	Should	SR17
The system must provide an option to share the route direct to Strava.	Could	SR18

---

**Table 5.5:** User Story 05

---

Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements		Priority ID
As a user, I want to be provided with route suggestions based on predicted weather conditions over the week.		
The system must provide the user with a weather condition overlay.	Must	SR19
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with the weather for the current day.	Must	SR20
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with the weather for the next week.	Should	SR21
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with the option to enable weather conditions in the route planning algorithm.	Could	SR22
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with suggestions on the best days to cycle.	Could	SR23
An option to include weather in route planning should be provided, ensuring the user enters the planned day to ride	Could	SR24

---

**Table 5.6:** User Story 06

---

As a user, I want to view the route in detail and get information about parts of the route.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide the user with an interactive map to display the planned route.	Must	SR25
The interactive map must allow the user to zoom into parts of the planned route.	Must	SR26
The interactive map must allow the user to select parts of the route and receive detailed information about that subsection of the route.	Should	SR27
The interactive map must allow the user to select and drag the planned route to modify its path.	Should	SR28
The system must display an elevation graph for the planned route beneath the interactive map.	Should	SR29
The system must allow the user to measure chosen sections of the route	Must	SR30
The system must provide multiple map layers to give users the greater options when viewing the route	Must	SR31

---

**Table 5.7:** User Story 07

---

As a user, I want the ability to add and utilise hazard/infrastructure waypoints to be considered in route planning.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide a user input modal to input Hazard and Infrastructure Data.	Must	SR32
The hazard input modal must provide a Type drop-down menu based on the OSM Hazard Types.	Must	SR33
The hazard input modal must provide a date entry point to specify the date the hazard was seen.	Should	SR34
The hazard input modal must provide a submit button to add the hazard to the hazard index.	Must	SR35
The infrastructure input modal must provide a Type drop-down menu with different types of cycling/road infrastructure	Must	SR36
The infrastructure input modal must provide a date entry point to specify when the bad infrastructure was found	Must	SR37
The infrastructure input modal must provide an input box providing the user with the option to supply more detail	Should	SR38
Both Hazard and Infrastructure data should be displayed on the map, with an option to toggle on/off, and report errors	Should	SR39

---

**Table 5.8:** User Story 08

---

As a user, I want to be able to view key waypoints along the journey such as, accommodation and key tourist points.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide a map layer to include key waypoints.	Must	SR40
The waypoint layer must provide locations of accommodation along the route.	Must	SR41
The waypoint layer must provide locations of tourist points along the route	Should	SR42
The waypoint layers must be able to be toggled on and off	Must	SR43
Each waypoint must be clickable to provide extra detail on each point	Must	SR44
Each waypoint must have a button to add stop along the route and the route will be re-plotted via the waypoint.	Should	SR45

---

**Table 5.9:** User Story 09

---

As a user, I want select what type of cyclist I am, for example road cyclist and commuter cyclist.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide an option within the route planning modal to select the rider type.	Must	SR46
The system must provide an option within the route planning modal to select the fitness level of the rider.	Should	SR47

---

**Table 5.10:** User Story 10

---

As a user, I want to be able pre-planned routes from other applications.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide an import option for GPX files.	Should	SR48
The system must provide an import option for GeoJSON files.	Should	SR49

---

**Table 5.11:** User Story 11

---

As a user, I want to be able to share my route to other services and social media.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide an export to Garmin Routes.	Should	SR50
The system must provide an option to share to different social media platforms.	Should	SR51

---

# **Chapter 6**

# **Design**

This chapter explains the design process undertaken considering both the research found within the literature review (see Chapter 2, p16) and the established requirements (see Chapter 5, p25).

## **6.1 Assumptions and Decisions Taken**

Discussions with the client required specific assumptions and decisions to be made to assist in the project's overall development and progression.

A decision was made to build the application as a web app, primarily to aid with accessibility and device compatibility of the artefact, this type of application also opens the artefact up to a large pre-existing userbase with greater ease. Different screen sizes have been accommodated for with the use of CSS media queries, however the artefact is aimed at desktop route planning, with the potential to be expanded to support mobile devices in the future.

It was assumed that the target users would have a preexisting knowledge of route planning and had used similar systems in the past. Due to this, instructions to use the application were not provided as a part of the artefact. Furthermore, a minimal user interface approach was used when developing the artefact to ensure the app's ease of use, whilst enabling key custom route planning functionality (see Section 6.2, p33).

Research was undertaken into different available open source routing algorithms, finally deciding to implement Open Route Service (“Openrouteservice”, n.d.) due to its customisability and native support of round trip route planning.

Specific data sets were stored within the browser LocalStorage, this was chosen for certain data such as user authentication tokens, last route plotted and other data which needed storing between browser sessions. Data sets such as the previous planned route (and related data sets) enabled the user to load the previous route when opening the application

after having closed the tab/browser previously. Other data sets such as user tokens, enabled the application to remain logged into external services such as Strava (“Strava | Running, Cycling & Hiking App - Train, Track & Share”, n.d.), Garmin Connect (International, n.d.) and Google Drive (“Home - Google Drive”, n.d.), only requiring the user to reauthenticate once these tokens had expired.

## 6.2 User Interface

Initially, multiple hand-drawn low-fidelity prototype was created to demonstrate two iterations of the artefact (see Figure 6.1, p34), demonstrating some design changes and new features in the second low-fidelity prototype (see Figure 6.2, p34). After the low-fidelity prototypes were complete, a high fidelity prototype was created based on the second design using MarvelApp (“Marvel - The design platform for digital products. Get started for free.” n.d.).

The following 5 components form the overall User Interface (UI): Map, Elevation Chart, Router, Route Preferences Panel and the Weather/Side Panel. The primary component which most other components were dependant on was the Map component; each other component would add enhanced functionality to the artefact.

### 6.2.1 Colour Scheme

The plain and simple colour scheme was chosen for simplicity and ease-of-use of the artefact. Despite dark mode being considered for the artefact, it was chosen against after conducting research on pre-existing route planners, it was found that a light map, and surrounding UI was generally considered more usable to the average user. A dark mode could be implemented in the future, enabling the user to switch modes should they choose, also altering the map layer to the corresponding dark/light theme.


### 6.2.2 Low Fidelity Prototype

Low-fidelity prototyping was vital in the project’s early stages, ensuring that all UI elements would meet the user expectations and requirements. The project’s clear focus on enhanced routing features, it was critical to ensure that the initialy designs were kept simple, to maximise usability, whilst still including all the required, key functionality. Two iterations were divided into two separate low-fidelity prototypes, where the first demonstrates the core functionality (see Figure 6.1, p34), whereas the second also includes some extra functionality, for example the Hazard Index (see Figure 6.2, p34).


### 6.2.3 High-Fidelity Prototype

The high-fidelity prototype was made after low-fidelity prototyping has concluded. The second low-fidelity prototype was expanded upon at this stage, keeping the design simple, whilst ensuring the required functionality could be implemented. It was critical to ensure the high-fidelity prototype wouldn’t overbare the user with too much information at once,

therefore the necessary functionality is available in the main view (see Figure 6.3, p35), whereas extra options are hidden behind dropdown menus (see Figure 6.4, p35).



**Figure 6.1:** Low-Fidelity Prototype 1



**Figure 6.2:** Low-Fidelity Prototype 2




Figure 6.3: High-Fidelity Prototype 1




Figure 6.4: High-Fidelity Prototype 2

## 6.3 Use Cases

Use cases were determined by discerning potential uses of the artefact, subsequently a use case diagram was then created to visualise the artefacts different uses. The diagram is formed of actors, tasks and system components which are required to response when each task is performed. Visual Paradigm was used to create the use case diagram (“Ideal Modeling & Diagramming Tool for Agile Team Collaboration”, n.d.).

### 6.3.1 Use Case Diagram

During the requirements gathering process, the target user groups were identified, each user group would access identical functionality (see Chapter 5, p25), therefore all users were grouped into one single actor. Doing so enables all users to access all functionalities of the artefact, where they can use as little or as many of the extended features as they require (see Figure 6.5, p37).

## 6.4 System Design

It is vital to design not only the viewable client-side of the application, but to also consider the internal system, comprising of the Go Gin Web Server, PostgreSQL database as well as the React.js client. This chapter delves further into the internal design of the artefact.


### 6.4.1 Architecture Design

The client-server architecture was used to build the artefact (see Figure 6.6, p38). This architecture was selected to enable easy expansion of the artefact, with the aim for the web application to be hosted centrally, along with the PostgreSQL (PSQL) database (see Section 6.4.2, p38). For security reasons, it was also necessary to implement this architecture due to different services requiring oauth, these services make enforce authentication through the server, rather than completely on the client-side application. Furthermore, this architecture enabled different processes to be handled via the server, reducing the overall load the client application requires to run.

Client-Server architectures do however, add the risk of a single point of failure, whereby the application is wholly dependant on the web server. Overall the risk was deemed minimal due to the lack of sensitive information being stored (see Table 1.1, p14). In the case of a system failure, the application would remain unaccessible until fixed, however there is a low chance of this occurring as the appropriate measures such as thorough testing have been undertaken to reduce the risk (see Chapter 8, p46).


**Figure 6.5:** Use Case Diagram

Powered By UML2 Paragon Community Edition

**Figure 6.6:** Client-Server Architecture

#### 6.4.2 Database Design


The database is a pivotal part of the artefact's extended functionality, it primarily servers as a hazard index database, whilst also storing different areas of concerning cycling infrastructure. PostgreSQL was chosen as the Relational Database Management System (RDBMS), as PostGIS was available to use to add the functionality for storing, indexing and querying of geospatial data (“PostGIS”, n.d.). This data is used to display concerning areas on the map for which users may want to avoid, with the potential to include native routing support to avoid these hazards, further highlighted under future work (see Section 9.5, p47) (see Figure 6.7, p38).

**Figure 6.7:** Entity Relationship Diagram

### 6.4.3 Modular Architecture

React.js allows for a modular design approach utilising the component-based framework and storing variables in the form of states to re-render the application depending on the states of different variables. All the necessary data, functions and states can be passed to and from different components using the props functionality built into React, whereby a component inherits data from its parent component (see Figure 6.8, p39).

While the App.jsx file is the starting point of the React application, the Map component acts as the primary parent for most of the artefact's key components. Multiple states and variables are declared within Map and are transferred across the rest of its children via props, this is necessary, because all the key functionality of the route planner is wholly dependent on the Map component. However, not all child components of Map are required for the application to function, demonstrating the modular functionality of the application. The LeftPanel component and its children remain standalone from the rest of the application as these components act to display information, rarely updating.



**Figure 6.8:** Modular Components

## 6.5 Critique of Designs

The progressive approach was used to develop the UI, and whilst beneficial to the overall project and its aims, mobile devices were not catered for. While this can be seen as bad software development practice, the artefact is aimed at users on a desktop environment, exporting routes to external mobile navigation/fitness applications. Therefore, the designs were catered for a desktop experience, over a mobile-first design.

Both high-fidelity designs only demonstrate a light mode colour scheme. Due to this, all users are forced to use the artefact in a brighter, light mode with no option of customisability. In hindsight, it would have been beneficial to develop multiple high-fidelity designs to include both a light and dark mode for the artefact.

The database was designed to only store hazard and cycling infrastructure information, ideally, the database would store more than just this data. To expand some of the artefact's basic functionality, the database would also store user OAuth tokens, as well as their planned routes. Implementing such tables would enable the user to load more than just the last planned route into the application, without the requirement to store GPX/GeoJSON files locally, instead, they could save routes to their account. These tables would also enable a more expansive sharing functionality to social media, enabling users to share links to routes, rather than map screenshots of their routes (see Section 9.5, p47).

Finally, the modular architecture approach, was ideal for developing the artefact, however, the modules could have been better structured to maximise the benefits of the modular approach. The artefact should be customisable, whereby specific modules can be disabled entirely if the user doesn't require them. At this stage, the design only caters to the manual disabling of each module, with certain modules being necessary for the artefact to function (see Section 9.4, p47).

## **Chapter 7**

# **Implementation**

This section describes the artefact development process. Despite multiple stumbling blocks during development, these were overcome through problem-solving, thorough investigation and reading of software documentation.

## **7.1 Development Environment**

Visual Studio Code (VS Code) was chosen as the development environment for development (“Visual Studio Code - Code Editing. Redefined”, n.d.). VS Code was chosen due to its flexibility in working with multiple programming languages through the use of extensions. The React.js Framework, JavaScript and Go Language extensions were installed to ensure seamless development of the backend and frontend of the artefact. The ESLint extension was also used using Go and JavaScript configurations to ensure the consistency and readability of the codebase.


## **7.2 Iteration 1 - Basic UI and Core Routing**

The initial stages of development began using a first draft of elicited requirements (see ??, p??) where later in the project, a new set of user requirements was formed based on primary research. This method proved effective in not delaying initial development, where the core requirements were unlikely to change greatly. Furthermore, Iteration 1 consisted of implementing the building blocks of the artefact, including setting up a React.js App, Gin Webserver, PostgreSQL database and the core routing functionalities.

### **7.2.1 Leaflet - Open Street Map (OSM)**

The Map component was the first to be developed because the rest of the artefact would be dependent on its mapping functionality. The component contained a div element containing the MapContainer component imported from React Leaflet (“React Leaflet | React Leaflet”, n.d.). The component includes a tile layer to make API requests to Open Street Map (“OpenStreetMap”, n.d.) to get the tile images for the map, and then display these tiles to form the full-screen map element. Temporary variables were set up to store the

latitude and longitude values representing the start and end of a route, then drawn on the map using a polyline and marker points (see Figure 7.1, p42).



**Figure 7.1:** Basic Map with Route

## 7.2.2 Basic Route Planning

After conducting research, the most effective way to implement route planning with Leaflet was to use Leaflet Routing Machine (“Leaflet Routing Machine”, n.d.). This library enabled a `RoutingMachine` component to be natively added on top of the React Leaflet map component, whilst providing a basic, customisable route planning UI (see Figure 7.2, p43). The routing API in use at the beginning was Open Source Routing Machine (OSRM) (“Project OSRM”, n.d.) which appeared to meet all requirements of a routing algorithm until round trip routing was required (see Section 7.3, p45). This new routing functionality enabled the user to select a start, destination and any intermediate locations, a route would then be planned. At this stage, the route could be altered through the use of waypoints, but the artefact did not provide any further custom routing features to the user.

## 7.2.3 Elevation Chart

To create an elevation chart, the component was declared, with the plan to use Chart.js (“Chart.js”, n.d.) to draw the plot on a canvas element. A div was created to hold the chart component, where the elevation data was gathered from a state variable called ‘coordinates’ which contained the route latitude, longitude points and the altitude/elevations for each point (see Figure 7.3, p43). The distance along the route for each elevation point is calculated by dividing the total route distance (taken from a state variable called ‘summary’) by the length of the ‘coordinates’ array. To ensure continuity between the map and the elevation plot, a simple feature was added to allow the user to hover over the elevation plot, with the matching point along the route being highlighted. This required the hover




Figure 7.2: Routing UI

point on the chart's canvas element to be found, matching the hover value to the latitude and longitude with the respective point and drawing a circle element on the Leaflet map (see Figure 7.4, p44).





Figure 7.3: Route Waypoint/Elevation Array


## 7.2.4 Weather Information Panel

A generic weather panel was created within iteration 1, displaying weather information for the current day at the user's current location. The weather panel used the browser's



**Figure 7.4:** Elevation Plot Hover Functionality

geolocation API called within a React UseEffect to get the user's general location, asking for permission via a pop-up window, then storing the geolocation in a state variable. The approximate coordinates returned from the API were then passed to Open Weather Map to gather all weather data for the current day. The Meteocons icons ("Weather Icons by Bas", n.d.) were used to display images demonstrating the current weather conditions (see Figure 7.5, p44). The visibility of the weather panel was determined by a State variable, if the variable was true, the height and width of the panel expand, and when false, reduce to the button size.



**Figure 7.5:** Weather Panel

### **7.2.5 Main Challenges**

## **7.3 Iteration 2**

## **7.4 Iteration 3**

## **Chapter 8**

# **Testing**

### **8.1 Unit Testing**

#### **8.1.1 Testing here**

### **8.2 Postman**

### **8.3 Chrome Developer Tools**

## **Chapter 9**

# **Evaluation**

**9.1 Evaluation Methods and Techniques**

**9.2 Evaluation Process**

**9.3 Evaluating Requirements**

**9.4 Limitations**

**9.5 Future Work**

## **Chapter 10**

# **Reflection and Conclusion**

# References

- Boettge, B., Hall, D. M., & Crawford, T. (2017). Assessing the bicycle network in st. louis: A PlaceBased user-centered approach [Number: 2 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute]. *Sustainability*, 9(2), 241. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9020241>
- Chart.js*. (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2024, from <https://www.chartjs.org/>
- Dapeng Liu, Shaochun Xu, & Wencai Du. (2011). Case study on incremental software development. *2011 Ninth International Conference on Software Engineering Research, Management and Applications, Software Engineering Research, Management and Applications (SERA), 2011 9th International Conference on*, 227–234. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SERA.2011.43>
- Doorley, R., Pakrashi, V., Byrne, E., Comerford, S., Ghosh, B., & Groeger, J. A. (2015). Analysis of heart rate variability amongst cyclists under perceived variations of risk exposure. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 28, 40–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2014.11.004>
- Flynn, B. S., Dana, G. S., Sears, J., & Aultman-Hall, L. (2012). Weather factor impacts on commuting to work by bicycle. *Preventive Medicine*, 54(2), 122–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2011.11.002>
- Free route planner for outdoor pursuits - plotaroute.com*. (n.d.). Retrieved November 15, 2023, from <https://www.plotaroute.com>
- Gin web framework* [Gin web framework]. (n.d.). Retrieved November 16, 2023, from <https://gin-gonic.com/>
- Google maps* [Google maps]. (n.d.). Retrieved November 15, 2023, from <https://www.google.com/maps>
- Home - google drive*. (n.d.). Retrieved February 2, 2024, from <https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/home>
- Hong, J., Philip McArthur, D., & Stewart, J. L. (2020). Can providing safe cycling infrastructure encourage people to cycle more when it rains? the use of crowdsourced cycling data (strava). *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 133, 109–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.01.008>

- Hull, A., & O'Holleran, C. (2014). Bicycle infrastructure: Can good design encourage cycling? [Publisher: Routledge \_eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21650020.2014.955210>]. *Urban, Planning and Transport Research*, 2(1), 369–406. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21650020.2014.955210>
- Ideal modeling & diagramming tool for agile team collaboration.* (n.d.). Retrieved February 2, 2024, from <https://www.visual-paradigm.com/>
- International, G. (n.d.). *Garmin connect | free online fitness community* [Garmin connect]. Retrieved February 2, 2024, from <https://connect.garmin.com/>
- Komoot | find, plan and share your adventures* [Komoot]. (n.d.). Retrieved November 15, 2023, from <https://www.komoot.com>
- Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps.* (n.d.). Retrieved November 16, 2023, from <https://leafletjs.com/>
- Leaflet routing machine.* (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2024, from <https://www.liedman.net/leaflet-routing-machine/>
- Marvel - the design platform for digital products. get started for free.* (n.d.). Retrieved February 2, 2024, from <https://marvelapp.com/>
- Material design* [Material design]. (n.d.). Retrieved November 15, 2023, from <https://m3.material.io/>
- Miranda-Moreno, L. F., & Nosal, T. (2011). Weather or not to cycle: Temporal trends and impact of weather on cycling in an urban environment [Publisher: SAGE Publications Inc]. *Transportation Research Record*, 2247(1), 42–52. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2247-06>
- OpenCycleMap.org - the OpenStreetMap cycle map.* (n.d.). Retrieved November 16, 2023, from <https://www.opencyclemap.org/>
- Openrouteservice.* (n.d.). Retrieved November 16, 2023, from <https://openrouteservice.org/>
- OpenStreetMap* [OpenStreetMap]. (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2024, from <https://www.openstreetmap.org/>
- PostGIS* [PostGIS]. (n.d.). Retrieved February 2, 2024, from <https://postgis.net/>
- Project OSRM.* (n.d.). Retrieved November 16, 2023, from <https://project-osrm.org/>
- React leaflet | react leaflet.* (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2024, from <https://react-leaflet.js.org/>
- Reynolds, C. C., Harris, M. A., Teschke, K., Cripton, P. A., & Winters, M. (2009). The impact of transportation infrastructure on bicycling injuries and crashes: A review of the literature. *Environmental Health*, 8(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-47>
- Shoman, M. M., Imine, H., Acerra, E. M., & Lantieri, C. (2023). Evaluation of cycling safety and comfort in bad weather and surface conditions using an instrumented

- bicycle [Conference Name: IEEE Access]. *IEEE Access*, 11, 15096–15108. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3242583>
- Strava | running, cycling & hiking app - train, track & share* [Strava]. (n.d.). Retrieved October 28, 2023, from <https://www.strava.com/>
- Visual studio code - code editing. redefined.* (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2024, from <https://code.visualstudio.com/>
- Weather icons by bas.* (n.d.). Retrieved February 3, 2024, from <https://basmilius.github.io/weather-icons/index-fill.html>
- Zotero | your personal research assistant.* (n.d.). Retrieved October 18, 2023, from <https://www.zotero.org/>

## Appendix A

# Current Solutions




Figure A.1: Plotaroute.com UI




Figure A.2: Komoot UI




Figure A.3: Google Maps UI

## Appendix B

# Initial User Requirements

**Table B.1:** User Story 01

Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements			Priority ID
The system must provide a route configuration page.	Must	SR1	
The route configuration page must provide a starting location input field.	Must	SR2	
The route configuration page must provide a destination location input field.	Must	SR3	
The route configuration page should suggest locations based on the user input fields.	Should	SR4	
The route configuration page must find the location position based on the user input.	Must	SR5	
The route configuration page must verify both locations are correct before the user can continue.	Must	SR6	
The route configuration page must provide a ‘Plan’ button to initiate the route planning algorithm.	Must	SR7	

**Table B.2:** User Story 02

---

As a user, I want to change preferences to allow me to customise the route further, including avoiding certain road types and road altitudes.			
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements		Priority ID	
The system must provide an overlay window to allow the user to update routing preferences.	Must	SR8	
The update preferences overlay must provide an 'avoid' user input field.	Must	SR9	
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'via' user input field.	Should	SR10	
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'leave time' user input field.	Should	SR11	
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'arrive time' user input field.	Should	SR12	
The update preferences overlay must provide a 'round trip' user input field.	Could	SR13	

---

**Table B.3:** User Story 03

---

As a user, I want to be able to export the planned route for use on my mobile phone or GPS device.			
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements		Priority ID	
The system must provide an option to export the planned route.	Must	SR14	
The system must provide an export feature to export the route to the 'GPX' file format.	Must	SR15	
The system must provide an export feature to export the route to the 'GeoJSON' file format.	Should	SR16	
The system must provide an export feature to export the route direct to Strava.	Could	SR17	

---

**Table B.4:** User Story 04

---

As a user, I want to share my route with other people.			
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements		Priority ID	
The system must provide a share functionality overlay.	Should	SR18	
The share overlay must provide the user with the option to share direct over email.	Should	SR19	
The share overlay must provide the user with the option to share direct over Google Drive.	Could	SR20	
The share overlay must provide the user with the option to share direct over OneDrive.	Could	SR21	
The share overlay must provide the user with the option to share direct over Dropbox.	Could	SR22	

---

**Table B.5:** User Story 05

---

As a user, I want to be provided with route suggestions based on predicted weather conditions over the week.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide the user with a weather condition overlay.	Must	SR23
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with the weather for the current day.	Must	SR24
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with the weather for the next week.	Should	SR25
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with the option to enable weather conditions in the route planning algorithm.	Could	SR26
The weather condition overlay must provide the user with suggestions on the best days to cycle.	Could	SR27

---

**Table B.6:** User Story 06

---

As a user, I want to view the route in detail and get information about parts of the route.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide the user with an interactive map to display the planned route.	Must	SR28
The interactive map must allow the user to zoom into parts of the planned route.	Must	SR29
The interactive map must allow the user to select parts of the route and receive detailed information about that subsection of the route.	Should	SR30
The interactive map must allow the user to select and drag the planned route to modify its path.	Should	SR31
The system must display an altitude graph for the planned route beneath the interactive map.	Should	SR32

---

**Table B.7:** User Story 07

---

As a user, I want to input hazards from routes I have cycled so the next route planned would attempt to avoid that area.		
Acceptance Criteria / System Requirements	Priority ID	
The system must provide a user input modal to input Hazard Data.	Must	SR33
The user input modal must provide a Type drop-down menu based on the OSM Hazard Types.	Must	SR34
The user input modal must provide a date entry point to specify the date the hazard was seen.	Should	SR35
The user input modal must provide a submit button to add the hazard to the hazard index.	Must	SR35

---

## **Appendix C**

### **Ethics Certificate**



# Certificate of Ethics Review

Project title: Bike Route App

Name:	Jake Bailey	User ID:	2002753	Application date:	11/10/2023 15:39:18	ER Number:	TETHIC-2023-106421
-------	-------------	----------	---------	-------------------	------------------------	------------	--------------------

You must download your referral certificate, print a copy and keep it as a record of this review.

The FEC representative(s) for the **School of Computing** is/are [Elisavet Andrikopoulou, Kirsten Smith](#)

It is your responsibility to follow the University Code of Practice on Ethical Standards and any Department/School or professional guidelines in the conduct of your study including relevant guidelines regarding health and safety of researchers including the following:

- [University Policy](#)
- [Safety on Geological Fieldwork](#)

It is also your responsibility to follow University guidance on Data Protection Policy:

- [General guidance for all data protection issues](#)
- [University Data Protection Policy](#)

Which school/department do you belong to?: **School of Computing**

What is your primary role at the University?: **Undergraduate Student**

What is the name of the member of staff who is responsible for supervising your project?: **Dr Rich Boakes**

Will you gather data about people (e.g. socio-economic, clinical, psychological, biological?): No

Will you gather data from people about some artefact or research question (e.g. opinions, feedback?): Yes

Confirm whether and explain how you will use participant information sheets and apply informed consent.: No personal information will be captured, only personal opinions. A participant information sheet will be provided alongside the research application where the participant MUST agree to the conditions before they are able to submit their opinion on the topic.

Confirm whether and explain how you will maintain participant anonymity and confidentiality of data collected: All that will be stored is an array of numbers that is the result of a card sort relating to features to be implemented into the application.

Will the study involve National Health Service patients or staff?: No

Do human participants/subjects take part in studies without their knowledge/consent at the time, or will deception of any sort be involved? (e.g. covert observation of people, especially if in a non-public place): No

Will you collect or analyse personally identifiable information about anyone or monitor their communications or on-line activities without their explicit consent?: No

Does the study involve participants who are unable to give informed consent or are in a dependent position (e.g. children, people with learning disabilities, unconscious patients, Portsmouth University students?): No

Are drugs, placebos or other substances (e.g. food substances, vitamins) to be administered to the study participants?: No

Will blood or tissue samples be obtained from participants?: No

Is pain or more than mild discomfort likely to result from the study?: No

Could the study induce psychological stress or anxiety in participants or third parties?: No

Will the study involve prolonged or repetitive testing?: No

Will financial inducements (other than reasonable expenses and compensation for time) be offered to participants?: No

Are there risks of significant damage to physical and/or ecological environmental features?: No

Are there risks of significant damage to features of historical or cultural heritage (e.g. impacts of study techniques, taking of samples?): No

Does the project involve animals in any way?: No

Could the research outputs potentially be harmful to third parties?: No

Could your research/artefact be adapted and be misused?: No

Will your project or project deliverables be relevant to defence, the military, police or other security organisations and/or in addition, could it be used by others to threaten UK security?: No

Please read and confirm that you agree with the following statements: I confirm that I have considered the implications for data collection and use, taking into consideration legal requirements (UK GDPR, Data Protection Act 2018 etc.), I confirm that I have considered the impact of this work and taken any reasonable action to mitigate potential misuse of the project outputs, I confirm that I will act ethically and honestly throughout this project

## Supervisor Review

As supervisor, I will ensure that this work will be conducted in an ethical manner in line with the University Ethics Policy.

Supervisor comments:

Supervisor's Digital Signature: [rich.boakes@port.ac.uk](mailto:rich.boakes@port.ac.uk) Date: 18/10/2023

## Faculty Ethics Committee Review

Ethics Rep comments: **simple app, no concerns**

Faculty Ethics Committee Member's Digital Signature(s): [elisavet.andrikopoulou@port.ac.uk](mailto:elisavet.andrikopoulou@port.ac.uk) Date: 19/10/2023

## **Appendix D**

# **Project Initiation Document**



UNIVERSITY OF  
PORTSMOUTH

**School of Computing  
Final Year Engineering Project**

**Project Initiation Document**

**Jake Bailey**

**Long Distance Cycling Route Planner**

## 1. Basic details

Student name:	Jake Bailey
Draft project title:	Long Distance Cycling Route Planner
Course and year:	BSc (Hons) Software Engineering Year 3
Project supervisor:	Dr Rich Boakes
Client organisation:	
Client contact name:	

## 2. Degree suitability

The artefact relates to my Software Engineering degree because it will utilise various technologies learned in this course and during my placement year thus far. The challenge of developing this application is greater as I will demonstrate my skills in building a web application to calculate and plot routes on OpenStreetMap based on a set of conditions determined by user input and publicly available databases of hazard data and weather predictions in real-time.

## 3. Outline of the project environment and problem to be solved

I'm going to build a prototype web application targeted at cyclists who wish to plan their route before their ride. The route planner will focus on customisability of the routing algorithm and safety of the cyclist. The prototype may not only consider user input into the routing algorithm, but other conditions such as, weather, road, elevation, traffic and many more.

Most of this functionality in pre-existing route planners either doesn't exist and/or is locked behind a paid service. The aim is to provide cyclists with as much flexibility as possible when planning a route whilst considering the safety of the cyclist, whether on a short or long ride.

The application will be developed as a modular system to ensure this planned flexibility. This means users can tailor the functionality according to their needs, allowing different components of the system to seamlessly interact when planning different types of routes. Furthermore, the system should ensure efficient route calculation even when utilising these distinct modules.

## 4. Project aim and objectives

### Overall aim:

To build a prototype route planning application to be further expanded beyond the scope of this project. The prototype will allow cyclists to customise a range of datasets to plot a route custom-fit to the user's needs.

### Objectives:

- Research open-source routing algorithms to understand how different options function.
- Research into mapping options, for example:
  - OSM (Open Street Map).
  - Google Maps.
- Discover what data is available and how I can access it.
- Understand how to utilise the available data in the different routing algorithms.
- Build an intuitive and accessible UI (User Interface).

## 5. Project constraints

- Fixed deadline for project completion.
- Only one team member.
- Limited funds to pay for API access.
- Limited funds to test routing algorithms on high-end machines.

## 6. Facilities and resources

I will use my home PC, Laptop, and university devices to conduct secondary research and to develop and test the proposed artefact. Mobile phones and activity trackers may also test certain functionality, such as importing the generated GPX file into a mapping application.

A potential constraint could arise where I cannot test using activity trackers like Garmin devices due to the cost of such devices. As I do not own an activity tracker other than a mobile phone, I could request permission from students who own such devices to conduct primary research and test the exported file generated by the artefact. Using other students' devices, however, would develop a time constraint for the project, whereby I am required to return the device after some time.


## 7. Log of risks


Description	Impact	Mitigation/Avoidance
Supervisor becomes unwell	Cause delays in the project due to lack of insight and guidance.	If possible, conduct online meetings and plan time in preparation for the potential delay.
I become unwell	Impact on the scope of the project, delaying the timeline.	Visit a doctor if unwell and allocate time for rest around university work.
Change in requirements.	Impact on the scope of the project, delaying the timeline.	Regular communication with the supervisor to discuss the feasibility of the current requirements to prevent any future changes.
Time availability	Decreases the feasibility of	Plan tasks ahead of time to consider potential future setbacks.

	completing the project by the submission date.	
Data loss	The application will no longer work. The development would have to start from scratch.	Back up all project files regularly. Use git and GitHub to back up and manage the codebase.
Hardware failure	Delays the project timeline; new devices would need setting up.	Use multiple devices to ensure progress continues while the other device is in repair.

## 8. Project deliverables

The artefact that will be developed will be a prototype web application with an accessible and user-friendly UI design; it will display a map and a menu allowing the user to customise a route they want to plan. The artefact will also display information about the route with data to be useful to the user in deciding which route to pick. The UI will share similarities to plotaroute.com (*Route Planner for Walking, Running, Cycling - Plotaroute.Com*, n.d.) and Komoot (*The Best Route Planner for Cycling, Walking, Hiking and Running*, n.d.):





The primary documentation piece to be produced will be the final project report. All other documentation may include:

- Design Specification
  - User Interface Wireframes
  - User Interface Designs
- Unified Modelling Language
  - Use Case Diagrams
  - Sequence Diagrams
- System requirements
  - Functional requirements
  - Non-functional requirements
- User Guide
- Code documentation (inline)
- Installation and setup instructions
  - In a README.md file
  - In the User Guide
- Testing documentation – Jest will be used.
  - Test instructions
  - Test plan
  - Test cases
  - Test logs

## 9. Project approach

I will plan the project using elements of the Agile Software Development Lifecycle methodology, enabling development to adapt to an unplanned requirements change. I will use the GitHub Kanban board to manage and track the project's progress. Using GitHub's Kanban board will enable the code repository to be closely linked to the board and ensure tasks are completed within their expected timeframes. GitHub also allows pull requests to be linked to open issues and labels to be applied to those issues, which gives more context as to what the specific task is.

Secondary research will be completed before development begins; I will research the current systems in use to gain a greater understanding of how they function and the data they use. Different websites and online documentation for APIs, such as Open Street Map, will be useful in determining how I can utilise existing services and integrate them into the artefact.

Primary research will be necessary for the project. Utilising the preferences available for the routing algorithm, I will research how different datasets affect the quality of the final route when subjectively assessed by the users. This will determine which preferences are vital to the route planning algorithm and which will be optional.

## 10. Project plan

The key stages of the project will comprise:

- Secondary Research & Literature Review
- Create UI Wireframes for Artefact
- Create UI Designs for Artefact
- Establish requirements
  - Functional
  - Non-Functional
- Develop the artefact prototype
  - Conduct primary research (during development)
  - Develop tests (during development)
  - Write artefact documentation
- Conduct primary research (after development)
- Conduct tests (after development)
- Write the final report

I will conduct secondary research whilst writing the literature review during the initial stage of the project. The wireframe will be designed as a first UI design draft before the final, detailed UI design is developed. An initial set of requirements will be set before development begins, and these requirements will be converted to issues on GitHub's Kanban board; the requirements may likely change during the development of the artefact.

Accessing and manipulating the data from a range of APIs will be challenging to translate to a format accepted by the routing algorithm. I will be utilising my current knowledge of software engineering, web development and RESTful APIs whilst developing my knowledge further through research, other students, and my supervisor.

## 11. Supervisor Meetings

I will schedule meetings on a weekly or fortnightly basis; my supervisor allocates a range of slots each week where I can book a time which works for me. I aim to have all meetings face-to-face. However, if my supervisor or I become unwell, we will conduct a video conference where possible. If my supervisor has planned leave, or I will be away for some time, we will communicate ahead of time to devise a plan for the project while one or the other is away and unable to meet regularly. Doing so will further allow me to plan my workload ahead of time and effectively keep track of the progress throughout the project.


## 12. Legal, ethical, professional, social issues

The key legislation I must consider for this project is the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA 2018). I am not planning on storing personal information. However, the user's current location will be requested upon the launch of the application; when the application no longer uses this data, it will be deleted and re-requested when the user enters the application again. Future iterations could implement accounts, storing a small amount of sensitive user information to include more features. However, the submitted artefact shouldn't contain this data; regardless of this fact, I will ensure the artefact abides by all principles of the DPA 2018 due to it handling the current location data of the user.

One social issue that could arise is that the artefact may entice more public members to start cycling more frequently; whilst this result will be a great incentive for protecting the environment, some road users are cautious, with many cyclists riding unsafely on the roads. The artefact will push users to ride safely and abide by all road safety laws, just as vehicles do; there will also be the option only to use cycle routes/lanes when plotting a route to ensure those cyclists who are less comfortable on roads still feel safe on the routes planned by the artefact.

## Appendix B: Gantt chart

Gantt Chart | Multi-factor route planning web application



## Bibliography

- *Route Planner for Walking, Running, Cycling—Plotaroute.com.* (n.d.). Retrieved 12 March 2023, from <https://www.plotaroute.com/routeplanner>
- *The Best Route Planner for Cycling, Walking, Hiking and Running.* (n.d.). Komoot. Retrieved 12 March 2023, from <https://www.komoot.com/plan>