Let us use the basis $\langle r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_7, r_8, e_7 \rangle = K^{\perp} \oplus \langle e_7 \rangle = \text{Pic}X$. I will single out e_7 because in the quasielliptic fibration I'm thinking of, p_7 is the only point that is blown up once instead of twice. For our change of basis matrix between $\{r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_7, r_8, e_7\}$ and $\{\ell, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9\}$, we get

which makes use of only integers.

Thus we can rewrite

$$\ell = r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + 3r_4 + 3r_5 + 3r_6 + 3e_7$$

$$e_1 = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + r_6 + e_7$$

$$e_2 = r_2 + r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + r_6 + e_7$$

$$e_3 = r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + r_6 + e_7$$

$$e_4 = r_4 + r_5 + r_6 + e_7$$

$$e_5 = r_5 + r_6 + e_7$$

$$e_6 = r_6 + e_7$$

$$e_7 = e_7$$

$$e_8 = -r_7 + e_7$$

$$e_9 = -r_7 - r_8 + e_7$$

The sixteen (-2)-curves we get are as follows:

$$\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_7$$

$$2\ell - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$\ell - e_3 - e_4 - e_7$$

$$2\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_5 - e_6 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$\ell - e_5 - e_6 - e_7$$

$$2\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$\ell - e_7 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$2\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6$$

$$e_1 - e_2$$

$$3\ell - 2e_1 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$e_3 - e_4$$

$$3\ell - e_1 - e_2 - 2e_3 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$e_5 - e_6$$

$$3\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - 2e_5 - e_7 - e_8 - e_9$$

$$e_8 - e_9$$

$$3\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - 2e_8.$$

Writing these in our new basis $\langle r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_7, r_8, e_7 \rangle$ yields

$$r_0 + r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + r_6 \tag{1}$$

$$2r_0 + 2r_1 + 4r_2 + 5r_3 + 4r_4 + 3r_5 + 2r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{2}$$

$$r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 2r_3 + r_4 + r_5 + r_6 \tag{3}$$

$$2r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 4r_3 + 4r_4 + 3r_5 + 2r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{4}$$

$$r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + 3r_4 + 2r_5 + r_6 \tag{5}$$

$$2r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + 2r_4 + 2r_5 + 2r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{6}$$

$$r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + 3r_4 + 3r_5 + 3r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{7}$$

$$2r_0 + r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + 2r_4 + r_5 \tag{8}$$

$$r_1$$
 (9)

$$3r_0 + r_1 + 4r_2 + 6r_3 + 5r_4 + 4r_5 + 3r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{10}$$

$$r_3$$
 (11)

$$3r_0 + 2r_1 + 4r_2 + 5r_3 + 5r_4 + 4r_5 + 3r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{12}$$

$$r_5$$
 (13)

$$3r_0 + 2r_1 + 4r_2 + 6r_3 + 5r_4 + 3r_5 + 3r_6 + 2r_7 + r_8 \tag{14}$$

$$r_8$$
 (15)

$$3r_0 + 2r_1 + 4r_2 + 6r_3 + 5r_4 + 4r_5 + 3r_6 + 2r_7 \tag{16}$$

Notice that there is no e_7 vector present because all of these elements are in K^{\perp} . Now we want to take the free \mathbb{Z} -module $K^{\perp} = \langle r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_7, r_8 \rangle$ and mod out by the space

generated by these sixteen (-2)-curves. Modding out by $\langle r_1, r_3, r_5, r_8 \rangle$ yields $\langle r_0, r_2, r_4, r_6, r_7 \rangle$. We then get from (1) and (3): $r_0 + r_4 + r_6 = r_0 + 2r_2 + r_4 + r_6 = 0$, and so $2r_2 = 0$ in the quotient.

From line (5) we also get $r_0 + 3r_4 + r_6 = 0$, and since we know $r_0 + r_4 + r_6 = 0$ from before, we get $2r_4 = 0$.

From line (4) we know that $2r_0+2r_6+2r_7=0$. From line (7) we get $r_0+r_4+3r_6+2r_7=0$, but since $r_0+r_4+r_6=0$, we get $2r_6+2r_7=0$. Since $2r_0+2r_6+2r_7=2r_6+2r_7=0$, we know $2r_0=0$.

From line (2), we know $2r_0 + 4r_4 + 2r_6 + 2r_7 = 0 = 2(r_0 + r_4 + r_6) + 2r_4 + 2r_7 = 0 + 0 + 2r_7$. Thus $2r_7 = 0$ and since $2r_7 + 2r_6 = 0$, we know $2r_6 = 0$.

Thus our group is $\langle r_0, r_2, r_4, r_6, r_7 | 2r_{0 \le i \le 7}, r_0 + r_4 + r_6 \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 4}$. We can choose representative elements:

The sixteen (-1)-curves I found are:

$$\begin{array}{c} e_2 \\ e_4 \\ e_6 \\ e_7 \\ e_9 \\ \ell - e_1 - e_3 \\ \ell - e_1 - e_5 \\ \ell - e_3 - e_5 \\ \ell - e_1 - e_8 \\ \ell - e_3 - e_8 \\ \ell - e_5 - e_8 \\ 2\ell - e_1 - e_3 - e_5 - e_7 - e_8 \\ 2\ell - e_1 - e_3 - e_5 - e_8 - e_9 \\ 2\ell - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_5 - e_8 \\ 2\ell - e_1 - e_3 - e_5 - e_8 \end{array}$$

Note: I didn't find these using the E+v+mK method, I just guessed and showed that they each meet every (-2)-curve nonnegatively. The other potential candidates for (-1)-curves are imposters because they meet some (-2)-curves negatively. For example, $(2\ell-e_1-e_2-e_5-e_6-e_8-e_9)=-1$.