

# Results: 20-35 Crossings

Jake Weatherhead

November 7, 2025

The same CNN and ViT used in the initial study were fine-tuned on a new dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ , where:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} &= \mathcal{D}_{\text{train}} \cup \mathcal{D}_{\text{val}} \cup \mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}, \\ |\mathcal{D}| &= 560,000 \text{ diagrams}, \\ |\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}| &= 448,000 \text{ diagrams}, \\ |\mathcal{D}_{\text{val}}| &= |\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}| = 56,000 \text{ diagrams}.\end{aligned}$$

Each split included knots with  $n$  crossings, where  $n \in \{20, 21, \dots, 35\}$ . For every  $n$ , the number of diagrams per split was identical, with an equal number of unknots and non-trivial knots.

## CNN Results

**CNN TP: True Unknots Predicted as Unknots**

**CNN FN: True Unknots Predicted as Knots**

**CNN TN: True Knots Predicted as Knots**

**CNN FP: True Knots Predicted as Unknots**