

Results: [20, 35] Crossings

Jake Weatherhead

November 7, 2025

The CNN (88M params) and ViT (86M params) were fine-tuned on a new dataset \mathcal{D} comprising unknot \mathcal{U} and non-trivial knot \mathcal{K} diagrams partitioned into three disjoint data splits $S = \{\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{val}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}\}$, where:

$$\mathcal{D} = \biguplus_{s \in S} s$$

$$|\mathcal{D}| = 560,000 \text{ diagrams},$$

$$|\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}| = 0.8 \cdot |\mathcal{D}| = 448,000 \text{ diagrams},$$

$$|\mathcal{D}_{\text{val}}| = |\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}| = 0.1 \cdot |\mathcal{D}| = 56,000 \text{ diagrams},$$

where $\forall s \in S, s \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{K}$ and $|\mathcal{U}| = |\mathcal{K}|$.

Each split in \mathcal{D} contained knots with $n \in N := \{20, 21, \dots, 35\}$ crossings. For all distinct $a, b \in N$, each split in S contained an equal number of a and b -crossing unknot and non-trivial knot diagrams.

Learning Curves




Figure 1: TBC

CNN* Saliency Maps

Saliency maps from the best-performing CNN (CNN*) on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}$.

CNN* True Positives: True Unknots Predicted as Unknots

CNN* False Negatives: True Unknots Predicted as Knots

CNN* True Negatives: True Knots Predicted as Knots

CNN* False Positives: True Knots Predicted as Unknots

ViT* Saliency Maps

Saliency maps from the best-performing ViT (ViT*) on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}$.

ViT TP: True Unknots Predicted as Unknots

ViT FN: True Unknots Predicted as Knots

ViT TN: True Knots Predicted as Knots

ViT FP: True Knots Predicted as Unknots