

Username: Palm Beach State College IP Holder **Book:** Kali Linux – Assuring Security by Penetration Testing. No part of any chapter or book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means without the prior written permission for reprints and excerpts from the publisher of the book or chapter. Redistribution or other use that violates the fair use privilege under U.S. copyright laws (see 17 USC107) or that otherwise violates these Terms of Service is strictly prohibited. Violators will be prosecuted to the full extent of U.S. Federal and Massachusetts laws.

Profiling test boundaries

Understanding the limitations and boundaries of the test environment goes hand in hand with the client requirements, which can be justified as intentional or unintentional interests. These can be in the form of technology, knowledge, or any other formal restrictions imposed by the client on the infrastructure. Each limitation imposed may cause a serious interruption to the testing process and can be resolved using alternative methods. However, note that certain restrictions cannot be modified as they are administered by the client to control the process of penetration testing. We will discuss each of these generic types of limitations with their relevant examples as follows:

- **Technology limitations:** This type of limitation occurs when the scope of a project is properly defined but the presence of a new technology in the network infrastructure does not let the auditor test it. This happens only when the auditor does not have any pen-testing tool that can assist in the assessment of this new technology. For instance, a company XYZ has introduced a robust GZ network firewall device that sits at the perimeter and works to protect the entire internal network. However, its implementation of proprietary methods inside the firewall does not let any firewall assessment tool work. Thus, there is always a need for an up-to-date solution that can handle the assessment of such a new technology.
- **Knowledge limitations:** The knowledge limitations of a pentester can have a negative impact if their skill level is narrow and he or she is not capable of testing certain technologies. For example, a dedicated database penetration tester would not be able to assess the physical security of a network infrastructure. Hence, it is good to divide the roles and responsibilities according to the skills and knowledge of the pentester to achieve the required goal.
- **Other infrastructure restrictions:** Certain test restrictions can be applied by the client to control the assessment process. This can be done by limiting the view of an IT infrastructure to only specific network devices and technologies that need assessment. Generally, this kind of restriction is introduced during the requirement gathering phase. For instance, test all the devices behind the network segment A except the first router. Restrictions that are imposed by the client do not ensure the security of a router in the first place, which can lead to a compromise in the whole network, even if all the other network devices are hardened and security-assured. Thus, proper thinking is always required before putting any such restrictions on the penetration testing.

Profiling all of these limitations and restrictions is important, which can be observed while gathering the client requirements. A good pentester's duty is to dissect each requirement and hold a discussion with the client to pull or change any ambiguous restrictions that may cause an interruption to the testing process or result in a security breach in the near future. These limitations can also be overcome by introducing highly skilled pen-testers and an advanced set of tools and techniques for the assessment. Although by nature, certain technology limitations cannot be eliminated, and you may require extra time to develop their testing solutions.