

WRITTEN BY JAKIDO, INCOMING F.J.

AMICUS CURIAE
ITS_TACUS *v.* ICEBLEED AND DANFLY

ON THE CLAIM OF WRONGFUL TERMINATION OF
EMPLOYMENT BY THE PLAINTIFF

JANUARY 24TH 2018

OVERVIEW OF CASE

The Plaintiff, Its_Tacus (hereinafter Tacus or the Plaintiff), was terminated from his Deputy Director position under the United States Marshal Services (hereinafter USMS). Danfly, the “Administer of Affairs” of USMS, who also holds District Court Judge in the United States, has submitted the Termination Order with the [c]onsent of the Director of USMS, Icebleed—pursuant to both signatures provided on the document. The Termination Order listed a variety of “considerable” reasoning to dismiss an employee, however, there is no supporting details providing the claims they have presented in the document (proclaimed to be classified per director’s orders). Tacus, who found out about his Termination Order told his side of the story in his own documents, expressing the reasons are false and has decided to sue them, which has reached to the United States Courts.

I

Tacus, who was dismissed from his position, claimed his termination was made by “corruption” remarks and discriminatory behaviour towards him, which does not display plausible reasoning from/or for the employer to dismiss an employee, which is the general concept of the case. *See* SigmaHD *v.* United States Marshals Service, 3 U.S. ____ (2017)¹, whereas the majority of the Supreme Court has voted in-favor of the petitioner to reverse the termination, as 5 U. S. C. § 2302(b)(10)² was in question if the petitioner’s “basis of conduct” has affected his job performance, while on top of that, in the proceedings of that case, similar—to—exact reasoning/or theory were claimed by the same agency on the termination in that case. This has shown us that this agency has internal issues regarding to their policies on employment and work ethics, practically

¹ Opinion of the Court — SigmaHD *v.* United States Marshals Service, 3 U.S. ____ (2017); <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0BxUhxfD2AYb4TE14OU1TZFYtaTQ/view>

² Text Reference of 5 U. S. C. § 2302(b)(10) — “on the basis of conduct which does not adversely affect the performance of the employee or applicant or the performance of others”; <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/5/2302>

the code of conduct. It also shows that this is not the first time that the United States Courts have received cases about this agency within the past year. Furthermore, potential illicit remarks within the agency's high command have also raised questions, in terms of conspiracy, concealing, and whatnots.

II

The High Command of USMS has shown to have a vetting process problem— when it comes to background processing, obtaining information, forcing those to give them such information, and to conspire with those that holds such authority to get rid of people they necessarily do not favor. For instance, when President SheldonParty has nominated Tacus to be Deputy Director of USMS, the Board for Marshals has contacted then-Senator Jakido to discussion their needs, which also included their dislike of the Plaintiff's nomination to his respective position³, thus asking the Senator to spread their opinion to the Senate, which refers to be in compliance of the First Amendment to express their opinions. However, after the approval of the dislike individual, the rampage of getting rid of people of not their kind has “re”started, with a variety of inexcusable remarks by the agency and those high officials of it. Within citation three, the Director of USMS Icebleed has joyful told then-Senator Jakido that the entire agency would love to have the Plaintiff confirmed, which was later debunked by Speaker Caldwell⁴ on Twitter that alleges shows Icebleed emphasizing the President will not fire his “long-term” Director who “did not even apply to have it again”. The great confidence is lovely to have for self-assurance, but this is the United States, and we go by the rule of law, and if someone contravenue the law, they shall be faced with punishment. In the matters of conspiracy, in a undated picture⁵, it shows individuals involved in the Marshals Service, alongside with Danfly speaking upon “attempting” to get Tacus “fired” from his, which shows intends of grouping to get rid of the Plaintiff, that can lead to conspiracy?— to answer my own question, yes this has led to conspiracy, it has led to corruption, and it has led to a choice of cards. In the gif provided⁶, it shows USMS Director Icebleed forcing members of his own high command to send direct messages that they may have between them and the Plaintiff, explaining you should not”withhold information from Danfly”... or “you fired” as well yourself.

³ Video Confirmation — Discussion w/USMS Board & then-Senator Jakido (Jan. 2018); <https://youtu.be/M3VH0FCMgaA>

⁴ Attachment of Picture — Tweet posted by Incumbent Speaker BernardCaldwell (Jan. 2018); <https://twitter.com/MrCaldwellRBX/status/950188257991471105>

⁵ Undated Picture — Part of Grouping Discussion to get rid of the Plaintiff; <https://gvazo.com/dfdb2a198bb374c3481627b5bc5adf55>

⁶ Forcing the Revealing of Information — Invasion of Privacy; <https://gvazo.com/3bd683f2f1124a7e5e447b22c0fb6092>
<https://gvazo.com/8cae6e10b23b3725b1b519b409aacceb> — confirming account

That would be invasion of privacy by government intrusion, which has been justified by *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965), whereas the Supreme Court ruled the Constitution does implies a right of privacy. In this context of privacy, it would sure be unethical to force an employee to do something by threatening they will be fired, which indeed if disobey, the individual would have been fired, and we will back at square one with the Courts to interpret. This is why we have Courts, it is because we shall uphold the law, the rights of the People, and to ensure those who violate the laws in our society is punishment to the full extent of the law. With the hypocrisy in the Termination Order⁷, let's take a look at Danfly, the so-called "Administer", also a Federal Judge looking for reasons to actually fire someone in the agency to make room in the High Command⁸, apparently it is supposed to be some "plan"— mhm, remember the conspiracy I aforementioned? We are finally going into details of it; That being said, what is the theory behind the scenes because the Courts need to know what kinda foolishness, absolute garbage, is going on? So, the Termination Letter went from firing individuals for some cause to actually trying to get rid of someone to make room? This is very beautiful, and that is because it would be in conflict of 18 U.S. Code § 1519⁹, *et seq*..... "Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence"..... "proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both....."; in that means, the District Court Judge Danfly, USMS Director Icebleed, and those who conspire with them can have a criminal charge for something as simple as "contemplation of such matter". Furthermore, the Plaintiff has confronted both Danfly and Icebleed on separate occasions, but to imply what occur in these separate occasions is a complete different story. Danfly, the "Administer"-in-question has questioned Tacus on specific things that has nothing to do with his job performance, for instance, asking about friends with "Aluos" and some situation about accepting people in the Bank of America¹⁰. The Government is not the Bank of America, the Bank of America is a private company in the United States. What occurs in the Bank of America has nothing to do with us unless it has come in conflict with our laws or we introduce laws regarding to business in our society, but, in this case, the claim of "Bribery" was

⁷ Termination Letter of the Plaintiff;
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1xkTrFgmI95YlklJCzTahDmHC783x8FyJj22bfusmCxo/edit>

⁸ Danfly's Intentions— To fire individuals in High Command to make room;
<https://gyazo.com/c108f8a1a8f7d245b34d2a05ee00e4fd>

⁹ Text Reference of 18 U.S. Code § 1519 — False Entry of Records;
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1519>

¹⁰ Investigation — Danfly questioning the Plaintiff;
<https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/404673120725630976/405139284941602816/image.png>
<https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/404673120725630976/405139299248635914/image.png>

mentioned by the Defendant and it does not make sense to the information provided by the Plaintiff with videos in his documentations¹¹, as well as it was looked over by some judges in the United States Courts who concur it is not bribery. Not only it should not be relevant to dismiss a person, but it is nonsensical to list it without any evidence to show such affect to the job performance of the Plaintiff, now it goes to the trash can as it is irrelevant. Then, here is the second occasion where Danfly questions the Plaintiff again¹², to something I believe that is actually relevant to job performance as this is how to do with something that alleged to happen on-duty, but again the Plaintiff made his statement about that in his document telling his side of the story. But last but not at least, the occasion between Icebleed and the Plaintiff, whereas Tacus confronted the Defendant (Icebleed) on his termination order. In this case, it was mentioned that Danfly is “unchecked” to make these termination orders on his own without the [c]onsent of Icebleed, so from that information provided, it does make sense with the chatlogs of the conversation provided¹³, whereas Icebleed stated..... “he terminates, I authorize”... the “he” the Director is referring to is Danfly, the “Administer” of Affairs, and perhaps, Icebleed “delegated” this authority to Danfly, according to his own words. If that is the case, where is the caution of what the document actually says. Investing authority in one while you only sign is ridiculous—a s***t show.

III

In conclusion, USMS Director Icebleed, alongwith District Court Judge Danfly should be removed from office. By the information I have provided, the reckless—fighting—corruption that is internally in this agency along is pathetic. So many things here are unethical, so many things here are unlawful, and so many things here should have never happened within our agencies herein the United States of America. The people we hire, the people we hold our trust into, and the people we thought will make America stay as a society where things are done right, fairly, and lawful to keep our democracy alive, the purpose why our society is wonderful. I know you are going to say I forgot about the people who conspire with them, but no I did not. Those people should start talking up because this is America, we are go by the rule of law, and concealing information is not going to help nobody’s favors at all. I believe the Congress, and practically our Government needs to fix these issues immediately. Things like these cannot last any longer as it is damaging America internally, we need to take action, and that action shall start now.

Submitted.

¹¹ The Plaintiff's Defense — Regarding to Termination Letter;
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1x3T5NIoqXtMeq6DHahqwQqUCC4e7QVGYqv8OC-psNgE/edit>

¹² Second Occasion — Danfly questions the Plaintiff to something relevant;
<https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/404673120725630976/405139743437750273/image.png>

¹³ Delegated Termination Authority to Danfly — Only signs documents;
<https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/404673120725630976/405138551278141451/image.png>