Clustering Selection Management System Report

mk3.csv – unsupervised Tuesday 17^{th} November, 2020 - 17:09

Preprocessing

Table 1: Specific Metrics for all Preprocessing steps

Metric	# Rows w/ missings removed	# Converted columns to OHE	# Quantiles for Quant. Scal.	# Non Distinct rows removed
Value	0	0	60	0

Setup

Hardware

Table 2: Hardware Statistics of the underlying Hardware Setup

Statistic	Amount of main memory	# CPU-Threads	# CPU-Cores
Value	8.59	4	2

Input Parameters

Table 3: Given General Input-Parameter Values

Parameter Value	Accuracy Efficiency Preference efficiency	Prefer Finding arbitrary Cluster Sh True		per-) Parameter Tuning? Ise	
Table 4: Given <i>Distance-Metric-based</i> Input-Parameter Values					
			'	C.111 1811 2	
Parameter	Find Compact or Isolated Clusters?	Ignore Magnitude and Rotation?	Measure Distribution Differences?	Grid-based Distance?	

False

Metadata

Table 5: General Profiled Metadata Results regarding the Dataset

Statistic	#Rows	#Columns	#Classes	# Missing Values
Value	600	3	0	0

Table 6: Further Profiled Metadata Results regarding the Dataset

Statistic	Outlier %	High Correlation %	Class Std. Deviation
Value	0.025	1.0	-

Selection Steps

Table 7: Listing of all CSMS Iterations

Iteration	Selected Algorithm	Selection- Score	Tuned (Hyper-) Parameters	Silh. Score of Sampling
Iteration 1	vbgmm	7.71	max_n_components = 15	0.69
Iteration 2	optics	6.80	$distance = mahalanobis, min_samples = 2$	0.00
Iteration 3	em	6.67	$n_clusters = 2$	0.80
Iteration 4	kmeans	3.87	$n_clusters = 2$	0.80
Iteration 5	affinity	3.22		0.61
Iteration 6	spectral	3.13	$n_clusters = 2$	0.80
Iteration 7	agglomerative	2.27	$distance = euclidean, n_clusters = 2$	0.80
Iteration 8	meanshift	2.24		0.80

Results




Figure 1: Final Clustering result, represented in two different views of the same plot

Table 8: Final Clustering Result

Algorithm	Tuned (Hyper-) Parameters	Reached Silh. Score	Total CPU-Runtime of the CSMS
spectral	$n_clusters = 2$	0.80	15.69s