Clustering Selection Management System Report

 $\begin{array}{c} connect\text{--}4.csv-supervised \\ Thursday~26^{th}~November,~2020-11:04 \end{array}$

Preprocessing

Table 1: Specific Metrics for all Preprocessing steps

Metric	# Rows w/ missings removed	# Converted columns to OHE	# Quantiles for Quant. Scal.	# Non Distinct rows removed
Value	0	42	6755	0

Setup

Hardware

Table 2: Hardware Statistics of the underlying Hardware Setup

Statistic	Amount of main memory	# CPU-Threads	# CPU-Cores
Value	8.59	4	2

Input Parameters

Table 3: Given General Input-Parameter Values

Parameter | Accuracy Efficiency Preference Prefer Finding arbitrary Cluster Shapes? Avoid High Effort of (Hyper-) Parameter Tuning?

Value	efficiency	True	Fa	alse
	Table 4: Give	n <i>Distance-Metric-based</i>	Input-Parameter Values	
Parameter Value	Find Compact or Isolated Clusters? True	Ignore Magnitude and Rotation? False	Measure Distribution Differences? False	Grid-based Distance? False

Metadata

Table 5: General Profiled Metadata Results regarding the Dataset

Statistic	#Rows	#Columns	#Classes	# Missing Values
Value	67557	123	3	0

Table 6: Further Profiled Metadata Results regarding the Dataset

Statistic	Outlier %	High Correlation %	Class Std. Deviation
Value	0.0038	0.0063	19683.044378347575

Selection Steps

Table 7: Listing of all CSMS Iterations

Iteration	Selected Algorithm	Selection- Score	Tuned (Hyper-) Parameters	Accuracy of Sampling
Iteration 1	nearest_centroid	8.59	distance = manhattan	0.45
Iteration 2	svc_sgd	8.17		0.66
Iteration 3	radius_neighbors	6.32	distance = manhattan, radius = 1000	0.63
Iteration 4	SVC	5.77	degree = 9	0.65
Iteration 5	knn	4.74	distance = manhattan, $k = 4$	0.58
Iteration 6	nca	2.53	distance = manhattan, k = 8	0.66

Results

Table 8: Final Clustering Result

Algorithm	Tuned (Hyper-) Parameters	Reached Accuracy	Total CPU-Runtime of the CSMS
svc_sgd		0.75	23.54s