Matrix Multiplication-Driven Repulsive Fields for 3D Voxel-Based TSDF Calculation

Jakob Baumgartner¹ and Gregor Klančar²

Abstract—

I. INTRODUCTION

II. RELATED WORKS

III. REPULSIVE FIELD CALCULATION

The operating environment is modelled by discrete voxels. As the robots environment can dynamically change, we propose a method that looks at the surrounding space of the robot and calculates these direction away from all the surrounding obstacles in real time. We only look in a predefined area / perimeter arround the robot.

In 3D computer graphics, a voxel represents a value on a regular grid in three-dimensional space. Each of the voxels holds the probability value of its occupation. In case of voxel being empty it holds the value of 0, if the voxel is occupied it holds the value of non-zero, depending on our assurance of it being occupied. If it is definitly occupied it holds the value of 1.

Mreža voxels je lahko predefinirana, glede na model / 3d zemljevid prostora. Zasedenost voxlov lahko spreminjamo glede na poznane pozicije in trajektorije preostalih agentov v prostoru. Kot omenjeno v uvodu, pa lahko zasedenost voxlov pridobimo tudi z senzorskimi sistemi.

In our method, we compute repulsive velocities within the task space using a novel matrix kernel multiplication approach. Concentrating on the task space is advantageous as it provides a more direct and realistic representation of the environment.

Naša metoda je posebno primerna za uporabo z senzorskimi sistemi kot so LIDAR ali globinske kamere, saj zaradi upoštevanja celotne okolice točke in ne le razdalje do najbližje točke v okolici efektivno filtriramo senzorski šum. prestavi to v diskusijo

Repulsive velocities tell the agent in which direction to move, so that it avoids nearby obstacles. These velocities drop to zero when the agent maintains a minimum safe distance from obstacles, and rise to their highest when it nears an obstacle, facilitating immediate evasive action. As the repulsive field calculation is locally based, it will also go to zero when the agent is surrounded by all directions, equally spaced from all sides. That is, it is in the best local minima away from all the obstacles.

A. MAPPING

Since the obstacle space is discrete (has finite resolution), while the Cartesian space is continuous, we propose two methods for mapping from Cartesian space to the occupancy grid space. The simpler approach involves mapping the

point directly to the center of the nearest occupancy grid voxel, based on Euclidean distance.is this clear, do i need equation? However, this discretization can sometimes lead to discontinuities. Therefore, we propose a second approach: tri-linear interpolation of the calculated repulsive field to achieve a continuous repulsive field value.

Once the mapping of these points to the voxel grid is completed, we proceed to employ a specialized kernel convolution method. This method is tailored to calculate the components of the avoidance velocity vector in the Cartesian space, we generate the corresponding kernel and extract a segment of the obstacle grid of matching size, centered at agent or the point of interest (POI), resulting in two same size 3D matrices—one is a "window" from the obstacle grid A_d and the other representing the kernel K_d .

If the agent is located near the edge of our known voxel grid we can set the eleemnts of the window would be located in the space beyond the matrix as empty in which case the robot might want to move towards this space or as occupied, which will prevent the robot from moving out of the known grid space.

By employing the Hadamard (element-wise) product (eq. ??) between the cutout segment of the obstacle grid A_d and the corresponding 3D convolutional kernel K_d for direction d, we derive the resultant matrix C_d , which can be expressed as:

$$S = \sum_{i,j,k} (W \odot A)_{ijk} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} w_{\Delta i \Delta j \Delta k} \ a_{\Delta i \Delta j \Delta k} \ (1)$$

Subsequently, the avoidance velocity in the Cartesian coordinate system is obtained (eq. 2) by summing all the values in the resulting matrix C_d , which can be represented

$$\dot{x}_{poi} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} c_{dijk} \tag{2}$$

remove the above equation and add a vector of calculated velocities equation instead

TODO: add the indexes for x,y,z directions

B. KERNELS SELECTION

The fundamental concept of our directional kernels lies in computing the repulsive field individually for each direction within the Cartesian coordinate system. Our filters structure was inspired by the Sobel operator, a 2D convolutional filter

frequently utilized in computer vision for calculating image gradients at specific points.

Our kernels are designed as three-dimensional matrixes with a primary kernel axis aligned along a specific Cartesian direction, corresponding to the calculated repulsive velocity. The two secondary kernel axes are orthogonal to this primary axis. The distribution of values along the primary axis is inversely symmetric, exhibiting positive values on one side and negative values on the other, with the zero valued cell in the center of the kernel, where jump between max positive and max negative magnitude is. The function of the increase in magnitude along the primary axis of the kernel defines the shape of the repulsive velocity field, determining how the repulsive velocity changes as the agent approaches an obstacle. Moreover, it is essential for the magnitudes at the kernel's periphery to be minimal, promoting a smooth increase in repulsive velocity when approaching the obstacle rather than a sudden spike.

We propose two different primary axis weights distributions, of course there is no reason why any other distribution of weights could not be used. The choice of the weights distribution should depend on the profile of the repulsive velocities we want to archieve for the APF.

The first of the proposed functions is a mirrored normal / gaussian distribution. By changing the sigma we can control how fast or slow does the field value grow when we approach obstacles.

 $\Delta i=c_i-i, \ \Delta j=c_j-j$ in $\Delta k=c_k-k$ predstavljajo število celic odmika od centralnega polja matrike, v katerem se nahaja naša točka na agentu v posamezno koordinatno smer.

$$w_{\Delta i} = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{\Delta i^2}{2\sigma^2}} / (\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}) & \text{if } \Delta i > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \Delta i = 0\\ -e^{-\frac{\Delta i^2}{2\sigma^2}} / (\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}) & \text{if } \Delta i < 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

Another distribution we used is mirrored linear, where the $l=\lfloor width/2 \rfloor$ is the rounded down half length of the primary axis kernel.

$$w_{\Delta i} = \begin{cases} \frac{l - \Delta i}{l} & \text{if } \Delta i > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \Delta i = 0\\ \frac{l + \Delta i}{l} & \text{if } \Delta i < 0 \end{cases}$$
 (4)

If the matrix would be only 1 field width and height the field would work kind of as ray tracing in each of the main cartesian coordinate directions. Since our need is to detect also obstacles that dont align perfectly along the cartesian direction, it is important that our matrixes have width and height. However as we want bigger repulsive field when the obstacle is head on in the direction than when the obstacle is off the cardinal direction, we propose the following multiplicator, to account for the off direction obstacles.

The length of the primary axis is critical, as it dictates the detection range for obstacles. Longer kernels can detect obstacles further away from the robot, essentially extending the 'safety zone' around the robot. If the primary axis is too long, it can lead to extra calculations and may cause the robot to unnecessarily avoid obstacles that aren't in its immediate path, making its movement and path planning less efficient. A kernel with a primary axis that is too short might restrict the robot's ability to maneuver, detecting obstacles potentially too late, compromising the robots capacity to avoid obstacles effectively (eq. 5).

$$num_{primary} = \frac{2 \times \text{range}}{\Delta \text{grid}}$$
 (5)

mogoče dodaj še kak stavek o izbiri dimenzij matrik

The length of the orthogonal axes influences the peripheral detection range for obstacles. Excessively wide kernels may generate repulsive velocities for objects that are not in the path of the robot, whereas too narrow kernels might only detect obstacles aligned directly with the Cartesian direction in the point of interest. When selecting the width and height of the kernel, we must consider the density of the neighboring points of interest on the agent, ensuring that the collective fields combination of kernels adequately cover the entire agent's surrounding area.

For smooth transitions when approaching the obstacles we propose the following sinus based function for the orthagonal axis distributions. The proposed equation is the same for both of the orthagonal matrix directions / axis. That is of course while operating with axis the $r = \lfloor width/2 \rfloor$ is the rounded down half length of the selected orthagonal axis kernel.

$$w_{\Delta j} = \begin{cases} \sin(|\Delta j| \pi/(2r)) & \text{if } \Delta j < 0 \text{ or } \Delta j > 0\\ 1 & \text{if } \Delta j = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Another option is to use linearly falling weights.

$$w_{\Delta j} = \begin{cases} \frac{l - \Delta j}{l} & \text{if } \Delta j < 0 \text{ or } \Delta j > 0\\ 1 & \text{if } \Delta j = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (7)

Finally we get three matrix kernels, one for each of the three coordinate axis directions by multiplieing weights components for primary and orthagonal axis.

$$w_{\Delta i \Delta j \Delta k} = w_{\Delta i} * w_{\Delta j} * w_{\Delta k} \tag{8}$$

Če imamo opravka s točkastim agentom, kot je recimo štirikopet - dron, potem je dobra oblika posameznih matrik enaka dimenzija dolžine, širine in višine. Tako dobimo enakomerno pokritost krogle prostora v okolici agenta in preprečimo mrtve kote, ki se sicer lahko pojavijo, ko se ovira nahaja v bližini agenta, vendar med posameznimi jedri. ADD:primer,slika

PLOT: kernel 2D images

EQUATION: kernel generation / values equations

C. 3D INTERPOLATION

It is essential that the velocity contributions affecting the robot change smoothly. However, since our obstacle grid is discretely defined, achieving perfect continuity can be challenging. Increasing the resolution of the obstacle field can theoretically bring us closer to continuous behavior, but in practice, we are constrained by finite resolution. To ensure that the velocity remains continuous when transitioning from one cell of the obstacle grid to another at a point of interest (POI), we employ trilinear interpolation. This technique allows for a smooth and continuous linear approximation of velocities in all three Cartesian directions (x, y, and z) as the POI moves between cells.

We start by scalling the coordinates of POI into the grid koordinate system, by multiplying it by grid resolution (eq. 9).

$$\vec{P} = \vec{p}_{POI} \times \Delta grid$$
 (9)

We get the indexes of the surrounding cells by first scaling the POI position by grid resolution and than rounding the position to the nearest lower and upper integer positions (eq. 10).

$$\vec{P} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_{POI}(1) \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_{POI}(1) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_{POI}(2) \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_{POI}(2) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_{POI}(3) \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_{POI}(3) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

Once we got the indexes of the eight surrounding cells of our POI, we use our kernel matrix multiplication method, to calculate the 3x1 repulsive velocity vectors for all the cells (eq. 11).

$$\vec{V}rep_{xyz,ijk} = \text{calc_rep_vel}(X[i], Y[j], Z[k]) \quad \forall i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$$
(11)

Trilinear interpolation method works on a 3-dimensional regular grid. Before we can start with the interpolation we need to calculate the distance between POI and smaller coordinates of the cells where we calculated the repulsive velocities (eq. 12). Since the repulsive values we calculate for the cells are alligned with the centers of the cells, we need to move before the interpolation the positions of known grid points by half of the cell width. The calculated repulsive velocity values are located at the centers of the cells. Therefore, before interpolation, we shift the values of the cells coordinates by half the resolution of the obstacle grid for each direction.

$$\Delta \vec{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\left(P_x - \left(X(1) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\operatorname{grid}\right)\right)}{(X(2) - X(1))} \\ \frac{\left(P_y - \left(Y(1) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\operatorname{grid}\right)\right)}{(Y(2) - Y(1))} \\ \frac{\left(P_z - \left(Z(1) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\operatorname{grid}\right)\right)}{(Z(2) - Z(1))} \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

The result of the interpolation is independent of the order of the operations. We first interpolate along the x-axis, followed by along the y-axis and finally along z-axis.

$$\vec{V}rep_{xyz,jk} = \vec{V}rep_{xyz,0jk}(1-\Delta x) + \vec{V}rep_{xyz,1jk} \Delta x \quad \forall j,k \in \{1,2\}$$
(13)

$$\vec{V}rep_{xyz,k} = \vec{V}rep_{xyz,0k}(1-\Delta y) + \vec{V}rep_{xyz,1k} \Delta y \quad \forall k \in \{1,2\}$$
(14)

$$\vec{V}rep_{xyz} = \vec{V}rep_{xyz,0}(1 - \Delta z) + \vec{V}rep_{xyz,1} \Delta z$$
 (15)

The final result is a repulsive velocity vector that transitions smoothly between the discrete values calculated at distinct points in the obstacle grid.

IV. MANIPULATOR KINEMATICS

A. INVERSE KINEMATIC CONTROL

The desired movement of the end-effector is achieved by using inverse kinematics velocity control scheme with task prioritisation.

$$\dot{q} = J^{+} \xi_{p} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{att}} + \dot{q}_{rep} \tag{16}$$

Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse. The damped $J'(JJ' + \sigma_{ee}I)^{-1}$, is utilized to mitigate singularity issues and improve numerical stability in inverse kinematics computations. ξ_p is the primary task execution slowdown constant. Finally \dot{q}_{REP} are the weighted sum of avoidance joint velocities, each transformed into the null space of primary velocities, as described in the chapter below. For redundant robots, it optimizes solution selection by minimizing the joint velocity norm, while damping is applied to limit excessive joint velocities near singular configurations, thus improving solution robustness.

maybe add the middle joints component in the equation (it $\vec{V}rep_{xuz.ijk} = \text{calc_rep_vel}(X[i], Y[j], Z[k]) \quad \forall i, j, k \in \{1, 2\} \text{ is not necessary, doesn't make much change in the chosen and the supplementary of the control of the chosen and the supplementary of the chosen are the chosen and the chosen are the$ experiments)

> We run the inverse kinematics algorithm once for every time step, until we reach the desired cost function or reach the selected time limit.

B. END-EFFECTOR VELOCITY

Vodenje vrha manipulatorja (end-effector) je naloga z najvišjo prioriteto.

Our method employs inverse kinematics approach (IK) to guide the end effector (EE) towards its target, marking a departure from Khatib's joint coordinates approach in favor of a Cartesian coordinates framework. This is particularly beneficial in scenarios involving redundant manipulators, where determining an optimal goal joint configuration in advance is challenging.

When calculating translational velocity, we avoid the conventional gradient of the squared distance approach, which leads to high initial velocities and subsequently slow speeds near the target. Our aim is a consistent velocity throughout the trajectory, with controlled deceleration near the goal. This is achieved by first calculating the unit vector towards the target for direction, then modulating its magnitude using a sigmoid function, specifically the arctangent function, to prevent overshooting and ensure stable approaching motion.

$$\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{x}_{EE} - \vec{x}_g}{||\vec{x}_{EE} - \vec{x}_g||} \times \frac{\arctan(k_{sigm} ||\vec{x}_{EE} - \vec{x}_g||)}{pi/2}$$
 (17)

In the above equation (eq. 17), \vec{v} represents the end effector's translational velocity towards the target, combining direction and magnitude. The terms \vec{x}_{EE} and \vec{x}_g denote the current and goal positions of the EE, respectively, in Cartesian coordinates. The unit vector calculation, $\frac{\vec{x}_{EE} - \vec{x}_g}{||\vec{x}_{EE} - \vec{x}_g||}$, ensures motion directed towards the target. Finally, the sigmoid function, particularly the arctangent component, modulates this velocity to avoid overshooting, balancing speed and precision. The constant k_{sigm} allows us to set how close to the goal does the robot EE start slowing down.

The rotational velocity error of the EE is needed for ensuring goal orientation of the EE. In our approach, orientations are depicted using rotation matrices. Specifically, R represents the current EE orientation, while gR signifies the goal EE orientation. The disparity between these orientations is encapsulated by the relative rotation matrix dR. This matrix is formulated by multiplying the goal orientation matrix gR with the transpose of the current orientation matrix cR^T . To ensure that it represents a pure rotation without any scaling we than normalize the so gotten matrix .

$$dR = \frac{gR \cdot cR^T}{||gR \cdot cR^T||} \tag{18}$$

The relative rotation matrix value is converted into a quaternion, which is then logarithmically transformed to represent the rotational error vectorially. The components of this quaternion, excluding the real part, than form the rotational error vector ω .

$$dR \mapsto dQ = a + bi + cj + dk \tag{19}$$

$$dQl = 2 \cdot \log(dQ) = al + bl i + cl j + dl k \qquad (20)$$

There needs to be an explanation why log, where is this from. Maybe a reference. cite: DMP Quaternions article Petrič, Žlajpah, Ude

$$\vec{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} bl \\ cl \\ dl \end{bmatrix} \tag{21}$$

To get the full velocity of the end effector (EE), \tilde{v}_{ATT} , we combines translational and rotational velocities, which we scale using proportional gains k_p and k_r .

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{att}} = \begin{bmatrix} k_p * \vec{v} \\ k_\omega * \vec{\omega} \end{bmatrix} \tag{22}$$

Ker uporabljamo preslikavo v ničelni prostor primarne hitrosti (null space), lahko v primeru velikih hitrosti primarne naloge preostane premalo prostostnih stopenj, da bi se lahko varno izognili oviram.

To ensure the primary task doesn't overpower the secondary task, we've integrated a primary task execution slow-down. This mechanism can reduce the manipulator's velocity towards its primary goal, leaving more maneuverability space for the secondary tasks.

$$\xi_p = \frac{1}{1 + \kappa_{\text{sec}} \delta_{min}} \tag{23}$$

The slowdown factor (eq. 23) is influenced by the constant $\kappa_{\rm sec}$ and the robot's minimum distance from an obstacle $\delta_{\rm min}$. We calculate the minimal distance in the repulsive velocities phase of the algorithm, as explained in section ??. As per the equation, a large $\delta_{\rm min}$ minimizes the slowdown effect, allowing uninterrupted primary task execution. Conversely, a small $\delta_{\rm min}$ increases the slowdown, by making the ξ_p factor smaller, giving the secondary task more time for corrective actions.

C. AVOIDANCE VELOCITIES

To move the manipulator away from the obstacles in its environment, we cover the manipulator evenly with virtual points. Gostota virtualnih točk je odvisna od velikosti matrik. Če je w širina matrike v smeri pravokotno na primarno smer, je dobro, če so točke medsebojno razmaknjene glede na potek segmentov za $\Delta = \frac{w}{3}$. Tedaj se bodo posamezne matrike deloma pokrivale in dosežemo enakomerno porazdelitev točk (POI) po celotnem robotu. Med izvajanjem kinematične optimizacije izračunavamo izogibne / odbojne hitrosti za vsako od točk po postopku opisanem v zgornjem poglavju. referenca poglavja To dobimo tako, da izvedemo preslikavo vsake od točk v task space, kjer izvajamo izračun odbojne hitrosti. Pri tem je posamezna kartezična preslikava sestavljena iz kinematične preslikave iz baze robota do začetka segmenta na katerem se točka nahaja in dodane preslikave do izbrane točke (od začetka segmenta do dela kjer se nahaja točka). $T_{0\to POI} = T_{0\to 1} \cdot T_{1\to 2} \cdot \ldots \cdot T_{(j-1)\to j} \cdot T_{j\to POI}$ Za vsako od točk dobimo tri komponente kartezične hitrosti.

$$T_{0 \to POI} = T_{0 \to 1} \cdot T_{1 \to 2} \cdot \dots \cdot T_{(j-1) \to j} \cdot T_{j \to POI}$$
 (24)

Ko dobimo za vsako od točk odbojno hitrost (repulsive / avoidance velocity), izračunamo drugo normo vsake od hitrosti. Za K točk, v katerih so izogibne hitrosti največje in so posledično najbližje oviri nato izračunamo sklepne hitrosti, ki povzročijo izogibanje oviri.

$$J_{d_i}^+ = N J_{d_i}' (J_{d_i} N J_{d_i}' + \sigma_{rep})^{-1}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, K;$$
(25)

Za vsako od točk na robotu izračunamo Jacobijevo transformacijo hitrosti, ki preslika translacijske hitrosti izbrane točke na robotu iz kartezičnega prostora, v prostor sklepov na robotu, ki povezujejo bazo z izbrano točko. Transforamcije kotnih hitrosti v točki nas ne zanimajo. V resnici nas zanima samo komponenta translacijske hitrosti v smeri normale, ki kaže od najbližje točke na objektu proti izbrani točki interesa (POI) na manipulatorju. Naš prostor operacij se posledično

skrči na eno dimenzijo in the Jacobian, which relates the joint space velocities q and the velocity in the direction of do, can be calculated as $J_{d_i} = \vec{n}_i^T J_i$. citat:žlajpah Pri čemer je vektor normale želene avoidance velocity kar enotski vektor hitrosti, ki ga dobimo s pomočjo naših matrik $\vec{n}_i = \frac{\vec{v}_{poii}}{||\vec{v}_{poii}||}$. This method offers computational efficiency by eliminating complex matrix inversions, as the Jacobian changes from matrix into a vector dimensions $1 \times n$, where n is number of joints that are located from before the POI and simplifying repulsive velocity calculations.

$$\dot{q}_{rep} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_i J_{d_i}^+ \left(v_i - J_{d_i} J^+ \xi_p \vec{v}_{att} \right)$$
 (26)

Celotne izogibne sklepne hitrosti dobimo z obteženo vsoto izogibnih sklepnih hitrosti izbranih K najbližjih točk, pri čemer upoštevamo vpliv primarne naloge gibanja vrha manipulatorja na sklepne hitrosti.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

describe inverse kinematics integration of velocities

A. Repulsive Field Visualization

Visualization of the repulsive field around more or less complicated obstacles.

VI. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] IDEAS Lab, "Motion and Path Planning," presented at Purdue University, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ideas.cs.purdue.edu/research/robotics/planning/. Accessed on: Jan. 9, 2024
- [2] E. A. Basso and K. Y. Pettersen, "Task-Priority Control of Redundant Robotic Systems using Control Lyapunov and Control Barrier Function based Quadratic Programs," in IFAC-PapersOnLine, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 9037–9044, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2020.12.2024.
- [3] H. Toshani and M. Farrokhi, "Real-time inverse kinematics of redundant manipulators using neural networks and quadratic programming: A Lyapunov-based approach," Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 766–781, Jun. 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2014.02.005.
- [4] J. Haviland and P. Corke, "NEO: A Novel Expeditious Optimisation Algorithm for Reactive Motion Control of Manipulators," IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett., vol. 6, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2021.3056060.
- [5] Y. Zhang, S. S. Ge, and T. H. Lee, "A Unified Quadratic-Programming-Based Dynamical System Approach to Joint Torque Optimization of Physically Constrained Redundant Manipulators," IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 2126–2132, Oct. 2004. doi: 10.1109/TSMCB.2004.830347.
- [6] J. Nakanishi, R. Cory, M. Mistry, J. Peters, and S. Schaal, "Comparative experiments on task space control with redundancy resolution," in Proc. 2005 IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Edmonton, Alta., Canada, 2005, pp. 3901–3908. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2005.1545203.
- [7] M. H. Raibert and J. J. Craig, "Hybrid Position/Force Control of Manipulators," Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 126–133, Jun. 1981. doi: 10.1115/1.3139652.
- [8] T. Yoshikawa, "Dynamic hybrid position/force control of robot manipulators—Description of hand constraints and calculation of joint driving force," IEEE J. Robot. Automat., vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 386–392, Oct. 1987. doi: 10.1109/JRA.1987.1087120.
- [9] O. Khatib, "A unified approach for motion and force control of robot manipulators: The operational space formulation," IEEE J. Robot. Automat., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 43–53, Feb. 1987. doi: 10.1109/JRA.1987.1087068.

- [10] N. Hogan, "Impedance Control: An Approach to Manipulation," in Proc. 1984 American Control Conf., San Diego, CA, USA, Jul. 1984, pp. 304–313. doi: 10.23919/ACC.1984.4788393.
- [11] A. A. Maciejewski and C. A. Klein, "Obstacle Avoidance for Kinematically Redundant Manipulators in Dynamically Varying Environments," The International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 109–117, Sep. 1985. doi: 10.1177/027836498500400308.
- [12] L. Lajpah and T. Petri, "Obstacle Avoidance for Redundant Manipulators as Control Problem," in Serial and Parallel Robot Manipulators Kinematics, Dynamics, Control and Optimization, S. Kucuk, Ed. InTech, 2012. doi: 10.5772/32651.
- [13] R. Colbaugh and K. Glass, "Cartesian control of redundant robots," J. Robotic Syst., vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 427–459, Aug. 1989. doi: 10.1002/rob.4620060409.
- [14] K. Glass, R. Colbaugh, D. Lim, and H. Seraji, "Real-time collision avoidance for redundant manipulators," IEEE Trans. Robot. Automat., vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 448–457, Jun. 1995. doi: 10.1109/70.388789.
- [15] O. Khatib, "Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots," in 1985 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation Proceedings, Mar. 1985, pp. 500–505. doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.1985.1087247.
- [16] L. Sciavicco and B. Siciliano, "A solution algorithm to the inverse kinematic problem for redundant manipulators," IEEE J. Robot. Automat., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 403–410, Aug. 1988. doi: 10.1109/56.804.
- [17] L. Sciavicco and B. Siciliano, "Solving the Inverse Kinematic Problem for Robotic Manipulators," in RoManSy 6, A. Morecki, G. Bianchi, and K. Kedzior, Eds., Boston, MA: Springer US, 1987, pp. 107–114. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4684-6915-8-9.
- [18] O. Egeland, "Task-space tracking with redundant manipulators," IEEE J. Robot. Automat., vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 471–475, Oct. 1987. doi: 10.1109/JRA.1987.1087118.
- [19] H. Seraji, "Configuration control of redundant manipulators: theory and implementation," IEEE Trans. Robot. Automat., vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 472–490, Aug. 1989. doi: 10.1109/70.88062.
- [20] Y. Nakamura, H. Hanafusa, and T. Yoshikawa, "Task-Priority Based Redundancy Control of Robot Manipulators," The International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 3–15, Jun. 1987. doi: 10.1177/027836498700600201.
- [21] B. Siciliano and O. Khatib, Eds., Springer Handbook of Robotics. in Springer Handbooks. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-32552-1.
- [22] J.-O. Kim and P. Khosla, "Real-Time Obstacle Avoidance Using Harmonic Potential Functions," 1992. doi: 10.1109/70.143352.
- [23] L. Zlajpah and B. Nemec, "Kinematic control algorithms for on-line obstacle avoidance for redundant manipulators," in Proc. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2002, pp. 1898–1903. doi: 10.1109/IRDS.2002.1044033.
- [24] T. Petrič and L. Žlajpah, "Smooth continuous transition between tasks on a kinematic control level: Obstacle avoidance as a control problem," Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 61, no. 9, Art. no. 9, Sep. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2013.04.019.
- [25] M. F. Pinto, T. R. F. Mendonça, L. R. Olivi, E. B. Costa, and A. L. M. Marcato, "Modified approach using variable charges to solve inherent limitations of potential fields method," in Proc. 2014 11th IEEE/IAS International Conference on Industry Applications, Dec. 2014, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/INDUSCON.2014.7059414.
- [26] Z. Long, "Virtual target point-based obstacle-avoidance method for manipulator systems in a cluttered environment," Engineering Optimization, vol. 52, no. 11, Art. no. 11, Nov. 2020. doi: 10.1080/0305215X.2019.1681986.
- [27] A. H. Qureshi and Y. Ayaz, "Potential Functions based Sampling Heuristic For Optimal Path Planning," Auton Robot, vol. 40, no. 6, Art. no. 6, Aug. 2016. doi: 10.1007/s10514-015-9518-0.
- [28] A. H. Qureshi et al., "Adaptive Potential guided directional-RRT*," in Proc. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics (ROBIO), Shenzhen, China, Dec. 2013, pp. 1887–1892. doi: 10.1109/ROBIO.2013.6739744.
- [29] J. Yi, Q. Yuan, R. Sun, and H. Bai, "Path planning of a manipulator based on an improved P_RRT* algorithm," Complex Intell. Syst., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 2227–2245, Jun. 2022. doi: 10.1007/s40747-021-00628-y.
- [30] T. Zhu, J. Mao, L. Han, C. Zhang, and J. Yang, "Real-Time Dynamic Obstacle Avoidance for Robot Manipulators Based on Cascaded Nonlinear MPC With Artificial Potential Field," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., pp. 1–11, 2023. doi: 10.1109/TIE.2023.3306405.

- [31] X. Xia et al., "Path Planning for Obstacle Avoidance of Robot Arm Based on Improved Potential Field Method," Sensors, vol. 23, no. 7, Art. no. 7, Apr. 2023. doi: 10.3390/s23073754.
- [32] Y. Chen, L. Chen, J. Ding, and Y. Liu, "Research on Real-Time Obstacle Avoidance Motion Planning of Industrial Robotic Arm Based on Artificial Potential Field Method in Joint Space," Applied Sciences, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 6973, Jan. 2023. doi: 10.3390/app13126973.
- [33] S. M. LaValle, Planning Algorithms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [34] M. G. Tamizi, M. Yaghoubi, and H. Najjaran, "A review of recent trend in motion planning of industrial robots," Int J Intell Robot Appl, vol. 7, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Jun. 2023. doi: 10.1007/s41315-023-00274-2.
- [35] P. Švestka and M. H. Overmars, "Motion planning for carlike robots using a probabilistic learning approach," The International Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 119–143, 1997.
- [36] S. LaValle, "Rapidly-exploring random trees: A new tool for path planning," Research Report 9811, 1998.
- [37] J. D. Gammell, S. S. Srinivasa, and T. D. Barfoot, "Batch Informed Trees (BIT*): Sampling-based Optimal Planning via the Heuristically Guided Search of Implicit Random Geometric Graphs," in Proc. of the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), May 2015, pp. 3067–3074. doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2015.7139620.
- [38] S. Karaman and E. Frazzoli, "Incremental sampling-based algorithms for optimal motion planning," in Proc. Robotics: Science and Systems (RSS), 2010.
- [39] J. D. Gammell, S. S. Srinivasa, and T. D. Barfoot, "Informed RRT*: Optimal sampling-based path planning focused via direct sampling of an admissible ellipsoidal heuristic," in Proc. of the 2014 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Chicago, IL, USA, Sep. 2014, pp. 2997–3004. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2014.6942976.
- [40] J. J. Kuffner and S. M. LaValle, "RRT-connect: An efficient approach to single-query path planning," in Proceedings of the 2000 ICRA. Millennium Conference. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. Symposia Proceedings (Cat. No.00CH37065), Apr. 2000, pp. 995–1001 vol.2. doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.2000.844730.

- [41] B. Siciliano, "Kinematic control of redundant robot manipulators: A tutorial," J. Intell. Robot. Syst., vol. 3, no. 3, Art. no. 3, 1990, doi: 10.1007/BF00126069.
- [42] B. Siciliano and O. Khatib, Eds., Springer Handbook of Robotics, in Springer Handbooks. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-32552-1.
- [43] B. Siciliano, L. Sciavicco, L. Villani, and G. Oriolo, Robot. Model. Plan. Control, 2010, pp. 161–189. [Online]. Available: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-84628-642-1-4
- [44] Y. Dai, C. Xiang, Y. Zhang, Y. Jiang, W. Qu, and Q. Zhang, "A Review of Spatial Robotic Arm Trajectory Planning," Aerospace, vol. 9, p. 361, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3390/aerospace9070361.
- [45] S. Gottschalk, M. C. Lin, and D. Manocha, "OBBTree: a hierarchical structure for rapid interference detection," in Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, ACM, Aug. 1996, pp. 171–180. doi: 10.1145/237170.237244.
- [46] G. van den Bergen, "Efficient Collision Detection of Complex Deformable Models using AABB Trees," Journal of Graphics Tools, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1–13, 1997. doi: 10.1080/10867651.1997.10487480.
- [47] G. Chen, D. Liu, Y. Wang, Q. Jia, and X. Zhang, "Path planning method with obstacle avoidance for manipulators in dynamic environment," International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems, vol. 15, no. 6, Art. no. 1729881418820223, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1177/1729881418820223.
- [48] D. Puiu and F. Moldoveanu, "Real-time collision avoidance for redundant manipulators," in Proc. of the 2011 6th IEEE International Symposium on Applied Computational Intelligence and Informatics (SACI), Timisoara, Romania, 2011, pp. 403–408, doi: 10.1109/SACI.2011.5873037.
- [49] H. Oleynikova, Z. Taylor, M. Fehr, R. Siegwart, and J. Nieto, "Voxblox: Incremental 3D Euclidean Signed Distance Fields for on-board MAV planning," in Proc. of the 2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), Vancouver, BC, Sep. 2017, pp. 1366–1373. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2017.8202315.
- [50] K. M. Wurm, A. Hornung, M. Bennewitz, C. Stachniss, and W. Burgard, "OctoMap: A Probabilistic, Flexible, and Compact 3D Map Representation for Robotic Systems," [Details of publication, e.g., in

- Proc. of the Conference/Journal Name, Year, pp. Page numbers]. [DOI or URL if available].
- [51] F. Gao, W. Wu, W. Gao, and S. Shen, "Flying on point clouds: Online trajectory generation and autonomous navigation for quadrotors in cluttered environments," Journal of Field Robotics, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 710–733, 2019, doi: 10.1002/rob.21842.
- [52] A. Elfes, "Using occupancy grids for mobile robot perception and navigation," Computer, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 46–57, Jun. 1989, doi: 10.1109/2.30720.
- [53] L. Han, F. Gao, B. Zhou, and S. Shen, "FIESTA: Fast Incremental Euclidean Distance Fields for Online Motion Planning of Aerial Robots," arXiv, Jul. 26, 2019. Accessed: Jan. 11, 2024. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1903.02144
- [54] Y. Xu, X. Tong, and U. Stilla, "Voxel-based representation of 3D point clouds: Methods, applications, and its potential use in the construction industry," Automation in Construction, vol. 126, p. 103675, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103675.
- [55] M. Nießner, M. Zollhöfer, S. Izadi, and M. Stamminger, "Real-time 3D reconstruction at scale using voxel hashing," ACM Trans. Graph., vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1–11, Nov. 2013, doi: 10.1145/2508363.2508374.
- [56] I. Dryanovski, W. Morris, and J. Xiao, "Multi-volume occupancy grids: An efficient probabilistic 3D mapping model for micro aerial vehicles," in Proc. of the 2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Taipei, Oct. 2010, pp. 1553–1559. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2010.5652494.
- [57] S. Thrun, Probabilistic robotics, vol. 45, 2002. Accessed: Jun. 14, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/504729.504754
- [58] B. Lau, C. Sprunk, and W. Burgard, "Improved updating of Euclidean distance maps and Voronoi diagrams," in Proc. of the 2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Taipei, Oct. 2010, pp. 281–286. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2010.5650794.
- [59] L. Luo et al., "Collision-Free Path-Planning for Six-DOF Serial Harvesting Robot Based on Energy Optimal and Artificial Potential Field," Complexity, vol. 2018, pp. 1–12, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/3563846.
- [60] W. Zhang, H. Cheng, L. Hao, X. Li, M. Liu, and X. Gao, "An obstacle

- avoidance algorithm for robot manipulators based on decision-making force," Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, vol. 71, p. 102114, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2020.102114.
- [61] S.-O. Park, M. C. Lee, and J. Kim, "Trajectory Planning with Collision Avoidance for Redundant Robots Using Jacobian and Artificial Potential Field-based Real-time Inverse Kinematics," Int. J. Control Autom. Syst., vol. 18, no. 8, Art. no. 8, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12555-019-0076-7.
- [62] D. Han, H. Nie, J. Chen, and M. Chen, "Dynamic obstacle avoidance for manipulators using distance calculation and discrete detection," Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, vol. 49, pp. 98–104, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2017.05.013.
- [63] G. Rong and T.-S. Tan, "Jump flooding in GPU with applications to Voronoi diagram and distance transform," in Proceedings of the 2006 Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games - SI3D '06, Redwood City, California, 2006, p. 109. doi: 10.1145/1111411.1111431.
- [64] R. Szeliski, "Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications, 2nd Edition," Springer, 2021.
- [65] A. Rosenfeld and J. L. Pfaltz, "Distance functions on digital pictures," Pattern Recognition, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 33–61, Jul. 1968, doi: 10.1016/0031-3203(68)90013-7.
- [66] P. F. Felzenszwalb and D. P. Huttenlocher, "Distance Transforms of Sampled Functions," Theory of Comput., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 415–428, 2012, doi: 10.4086/toc.2012.v008a019.
- [67] X. Zhou, Z. Wang, H. Ye, C. Xu, and F. Gao, "EGO-Planner: An ESDF-Free Gradient-Based Local Planner for Quadrotors," IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett., vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 478–485, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2020.3047728.
- [68] I. Dryanovski, W. Morris, and J. Xiao, "Multi-volume occupancy grids: An efficient probabilistic 3D mapping model for micro aerial vehicles," in 2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Taipei: IEEE, Oct. 2010, pp. 1553–1559, doi: 10.1109/IROS.2010.5652494.