Preparation of Papers for IEEE Sponsored Conferences & Symposia*

Albert Author¹ and Bernard D. Researcher²

Abstract—This electronic document is a Olive template. The various components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.] are already defined on the style sheet, as illustrated by the portions given in this document.

I. INTRODUCTION

Kinematic redundancy enables a manipulator to follow a predefined task space trajectory using the endeffector (EE), while simultaneously, optimising for an additional task with the remaining movement capacity without impacting the trajectory adherence. This is possible because the robot's degrees of freedom (DOF) go beyond what is required to perform the primary task. Consequently, the robot can adopt different joint configurations optimised according to the secondary task while performing the primary task. Common secondary tasks include avoiding singularities, optimising the manipulability measure, minimising joint torques and avoiding obstacles in the operating space.

citations

The task of finding the joint trajectories of a manipulator is called motion planning [1]. It consists of finding a sequence of joint configurations for a robot so that the robot can move along this path from its initial configuration to the goal configuration without colliding with itself, static obstacles or other agents in the environment. In addition to collision avoidance, motion planning for manipulators can optionally take into account various constraints, such as position, velocity, acceleration or jerk constraints for the joint angle or end effector, precision of the end effector with respect to position and orientation, stability of the manipulator, avoidance of singularities, or any number of other criteria.

There are numerous methods for planning manipulator movements. Sampling-based methods such as PRM, RRT, RRT*, RRT-Connect, Informerd RRT*, BIT* and others offer a solution based on a global search in configuration space. However, the generated trajectories are not always smooth or optimal, and the performance of the methods may be insufficient for real-time operation.

Recently, a number of learning-based methods using datadriven techniques have been proposed to improve or accelerate the functionality of sampling-based methods. examples

Trajectory optimisation methods such as CHOMP, STOMP and TrajOpt, on the other hand, use optimization to improve an initial seed trajectory. Consequently,

the optimality of the solution is highly dependent on this initial trajectory. Nevertheless, these methods are capable of generating smooth trajectories, and although they can be too computationally intensive for high DOF dynamic real-time environments, they are generally effective in finding constrained motion plans.

Local motion planning approaches employ optimization techniques, two common ones are inverse kinematics, that is based on finding a least squares solution of the manipulator joint velocities, and quadratic programming (QP) [2], [3], [4]. Different articles have proposed the control schemes based on joint velocity control, joint acceleration control and torque control.

-add a few different options of IK
-¿-using task priority or combined jacobian
-used for obstacle avoidance
-used for task manip, ..., ...

The inverse kinematics with task prioritisation, Both methods are fast, suitable for real-time applications in dynamic environments and provide smooth solutions. However, since they do not plan further than one step ahead, they tend to get stuck in local minima. Therefore, they are often combined with a higher-level planner, for global static environment based motion path planning, while local optimisation takes dynamic environment changes into account.

Maybe add optimization - global intiger programming based approaches, by Tedrake.

citations

To be able to generate collision free trajectory we need to have a representation of the static environment. One common approach is to represent environment with geomertic primitives such as bounding boxes or spheres. Another way is to divide the occupied space into hierarhical trees, such as octatree, to make calculations more efficient. Such representations are memory effective and offer simple and computationally undemanding distance calculation and collision detection. These representations are widely used in computer simulations and games, however they can be suboptimal for real environment, where sensor measurements arent always accurate and contain only part of the surrounding space. This can lead to approximation errors, which are additionally probelmatic when we are dealing with irregularly shaped objects.

Point-cloud representation captures the environment by directly using a collection of points obtained from sensors like LiDAR, radar of RGBD camera, providing a more precise depiction of space than bounding boxes or spheres. This method can more accurately represent the complex and irregular shapes of real-world objects, although it typically

^{*}This work was not supported by any organization

¹Albert Author is with Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Twente, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands albert.author@papercept.net

²Bernard D. Researcheris with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, USA b.d.researcher@ieee.org

requires more memory and computational power to process, which can make it chillenging for real-time representation. Point cloud data is often further converted into voxel grids.

Voxel grid representation divides the space into a regular grid of volumetric elements, or voxels, which can be used to create a more manageable approximation of the environment. While this approach offers a balance between detail and computational efficiency, it can still introduce discretization errors, particularly when modeling objects with smooth surfaces or intricate details. The fidelity of the representation is dependent on the size of the voxels: smaller voxels can capture more detail but require more memory and computation, while larger voxels result in coarser approximations but are more memory-efficient, adaptive voxel grids have been explored, where the voxel size can vary throughout the space to provide higher resolution in regions of interest while conserving resources in less critical areas. Voxel grids can incorporate probabilistic information, such as in occupancy grid maps, where each voxel holds a probability indicating the chance of an obstacle's presence. The occupancy probability of a voxel can be updated dynamically using sensor measurements and Bayesian updating methods. As new sensor data is collected, the probabilities are revised to reflect the increased or decreased likelihood of the presence of an obstacle in the voxel space. Additionally, techniques such as ESDF (Euclidian Signed Distance Field) and TSDF (Truncated Signed Distance Field) can be utilized in conjunction with voxel grids to encode signed distance information, that describes what is the distance between the selected voxel field and the nearest occupied field.

There has been a number of proposed algorithms for calculating ESDF. One common method is the Brushfire method, that spreads from obstacles until it calculates the distance for every field on a grid. distance transforms Jump Flooding Algorithm (JFA) is a similar method, that can be implemented on a GPU for faster parallel distance calculation.

- -Wavefront
- -D* Klančar
- -Euclidian distnace algorithms
- -distance transforms
- -voronoi diagrams
- -voxblox, FIESTA
- -ray casting, bullet casting ...

II. USING THE TEMPLATE

Use this sample document as your LaTeX source file to create your document. Save this file as **root.tex**. You have to make sure to use the cls file that came with this distribution. If you use a different style file, you cannot expect to get required margins. Note also that when you are creating your out PDF file, the source file is only part of the equation. Your $T_EX \rightarrow PDF$ filter determines the output file size. Even if you make all the specifications to output a letter file in the source - if your filter is set to produce A4, you will only get A4 output.

It is impossible to account for all possible situation, one would encounter using TeX. If you are using multiple TeX files you must make sure that the "MAIN" source file is called root.tex - this is particularly important if your conference is using PaperPlaza's built in TeX to PDF conversion tool.

A. Headings, etc

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and, conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced. Styles named Heading 1, Heading 2, Heading 3, and Heading 4 are prescribed.

B. Figures and Tables

Positioning Figures and Tables: Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation Fig. 1, even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I
AN EXAMPLE OF A TABLE

One	Two
Three	Four

We suggest that you use a text box to insert a graphic (which is ideally a 300 dpi TIFF or EPS file, with all fonts embedded) because, in an document, this method is somewhat more stable than directly inserting a picture.

Fig. 1. Inductance of oscillation winding on amorphous magnetic core versus DC bias magnetic field

Figure Labels: Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity Magnetization, or Magnetization, M, not just M. If including units in the label, present

them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write Magnetization (A/m) or Magnetization A[m(1)], not just A/m. Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write Temperature (K), not Temperature/K.

III. CONCLUSIONS

A conclusion section is not required. Although a conclusion may review the main points of the paper, do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion. A conclusion might elaborate on the importance of the work or suggest applications and extensions.

APPENDIX

Appendixes should appear before the acknowledgment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word acknowledgment in America is without an e after the g. Avoid the stilted expression, One of us (R. B. G.) thanks . . . Instead, try R. B. G. thanks . Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

References are important to the reader; therefore, each citation must be complete and correct. If at all possible, references should be commonly available publications.

REFERENCES

- [1] IDEAS Lab, "Motion and Path Planning," presented at Purdue University, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ideas.cs.purdue.edu/research/robotics/planning/. Accessed on: Jan. 9, 2024.
- [2] E. A. Basso and K. Y. Pettersen, "Task-Priority Control of Redundant Robotic Systems using Control Lyapunov and Control Barrier Function based Quadratic Programs," in IFAC-PapersOnLine, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 9037–9044, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2020.12.2024.
- [3] H. Toshani and M. Farrokhi, "Real-time inverse kinematics of redundant manipulators using neural networks and quadratic programming: A Lyapunov-based approach," Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 766–781, Jun. 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2014.02.005.
- [4] Y. Zhang, S. S. Ge, and T. H. Lee, "A Unified Quadratic-Programming-Based Dynamical System Approach to Joint Torque Optimization of Physically Constrained Redundant Manipulators," IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 2126–2132, Oct. 2004. doi: 10.1109/TSMCB.2004.830347.