

# DD2445 COMPLEXITY THEORY: LECTURE 7

## LAST WEEK

- Space complexity (measure work space only - input on read-only tape)
- TQBF true quantified Boolean formulas  
PSPACE-complete
- PSPACE = NPSPACE
- Can simulate nondeterminism with quadratic blow-up in space
- Very important concept  
CONFIGURATION GRAPH  $G_{M,x}$
- Logarithmic space: L and NL
- PATH = { $\langle G, s, t \rangle \mid \exists$  path  $s \rightarrow t$  in digraph  $G$ }
- NL-complete. Don't know if PATH  $\in L$
- Some care needed with logarithmic space
  - Reductions composed bit by bit  
(must not be stronger than class reduced to)
  - In verifier-style definition of NL,  
witness is only read-once (why  
didn't we worry about this for NP?)
- End of last lecture: NL = co-NL  
plus sketch of proof

Prove  $NL = coNL$  by showing IS I  
 $\overline{\text{PATH}} \in NL$

Construct readonce certificate (or show how  
NL-machine can guess successfully)

Yes-instance

$$\langle G, s, t \rangle \quad s \rightsquigarrow t \quad n = |V(G)|$$

Reaching

$$R(i) = \{ \text{vertices reachable from } s \text{ in } \leq i \text{ steps} \}$$

$$s \rightsquigarrow t \iff t \notin R(\infty) \iff t \notin R(n)$$

Idea

Compute  $R(0) = \{s\}, R(1), R(2), \dots, R(n-1), R(n)$

Show  $t \notin R(n)$

Problem

We cannot remember  $R(i)$  in log space  $\cup$

Only  $|R(i)|$

Solution

Amazingly, this is enough!

Three subcertificates (that will be combined)

Is MEMBER ( $v, i$ ) = " $v \in R(i)$ "

Just list path of length  $i' \leq i$

MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION ( $i, r, r'$ ) = " $|R(i-1)| = r \Rightarrow |R(i)| = r'$ "

LIST MEMBERS ( $i, r$ ) = List of  $r$  elements in  $R(i)$   
 in increasing order, each with  
 Is MEMBER certificate

Full certificate:

MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION (1, 1,  $r_1$ )

MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION (2,  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ )

MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION (3,  $r_2$ ,  $r_3$ )

⋮

MEMBERSHIP EXPANSION ( $n, r_{n-1}, r_n$ )

LIST MEMBERS ( $n, r_n$ )

Verification

Check that  $r_i$  is correct, keeping  $r_{i-1}$  in memory  
 (logn space for counters) for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$

Finally check that  $t$  is not listed  
 in LIST MEMBERS ( $n, r_n$ )

Done!

ISMEMBER and LISTMEMBERS are clear.

ISITT

### MEMBERSHIP Expansion ( $i, r, r'$ )

We already know  $|R(i-1)| = r$  (by assumption)

Gives subcertificates for all vertices  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  in increasing order

(a)  $j \in R(i)$

$j : \text{ISMEMBER}(j, i)$

proves this

increment  $r'$  by one.

(b)  $j \notin R(i)$

$j : \text{LISTMEMBERS}^-(i-1, r')$

Go over list

For every member  $u$ , check

(i)  $u \neq j$

(ii)  $u$  does not have edge to  $j$

Check that list contained  $r$  distinct elements \*

After having verified all subcertificates,  
we know  $r = |R(i)|$ .

But note that for every single  $j \in R(i)$ ,  
the same long certificate  $\text{LISTMEMBERS}(i-1, r')$   
is repeated over and over again...

Extremely wasteful.

\* How? Can't remember the list! No, but

a) we can count #elements seen / know

b) if in increasing order, then all different.

Summing up:

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXP}$$

Some inclusions must be strict

[since  $L \not\subseteq \text{PSPACE}$  (space hierarchy theorem)]

$P \not\subseteq \text{EXP}$  (time hierarchy theorem)]

But we don't know which

Probably most of them, or <sup>maybe</sup> even all...

What lies between P and PSPACE? PH I

Next we will explore

- natural complete problems (seemingly) in between
- stronger version of  $P \neq NP$  hypothesis

Let  $F$  CNF formula;  $\alpha$  assignment

$$\text{CNF}\varphi\text{VAL} = \{ \langle F, \alpha \rangle \mid F(\alpha) = 1 \}$$

In P

$$\text{CNFSAT} = \{ F \mid \exists \alpha \text{ s.t. } F(\alpha) = 1 \}$$

NP-complete

$$\text{MIN CNF SIZE} = \{ (F, s) \mid \exists \text{ CNF formula } F' \text{ of } \begin{cases} \text{size} \leq s \text{ s.t. } F' \equiv F \end{cases} \}$$

$F' \equiv F$  equivalence : same value for all  $\alpha$

Two quantifiers

- 1)  $\exists$  CNF formula  $F'$
- 2)  $\forall$  assignments  $\alpha$   $F'(\alpha) = F(\alpha)$

Could  $\text{MIN CNF SIZE}$  be in NP?

To verify yes-instance, would need to check  $F' \equiv F$

How to do this efficiently?

For no-instance of  $F' \equiv F'$

PH II

$\exists$  concise, easily verifiable witness:

Assignment  $\alpha$  s.t.  $F'(\alpha) \neq F(\alpha)$

i.e., coNP-problem

Can solve Min CNFSize decision problem

by

- Giving formula  $F'$  NP-problem
- Checking if  $F' \equiv F$  coNP-problem

DEF  $\sum_{1,2}^P$  set of all languages  $L$  for which exists polytime TM  $M$  and polynomial  $g$  such that

$$x \in L$$

↑

$$\exists u \in \{0,1\}^{g(|x|)} \forall v \in \{0,1\}^{g(|x|)} M(x, u, v) = 1$$

(As before, don't need to insist on strings of exactly length  $g(|x|)$ )

Observe:  $\sum_{1,2}^P$  contains both

- o NP (use  $u$ , ignore  $v$ )
- o coNP (ignore  $u$ , use  $v$ )

Can go further and define  
the POLYNOMIAL HIERARCHY

PH III

DEF Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$

A language  $L$  is in  $\sum_i^P$  if  
 $\exists$  deterministic poly-time TM  $M$   
 $\exists$  polynomial  $g$   
such that

$$x \in L$$



$$\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \exists u_3 \dots Q_i u_i M(x, u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_i) = 1$$

where all  $u_i \in \{0, 1\}^{g(1 \times i)}$

$Q_i = \exists$  for  $i$  odd,  $\forall$  for  $i$  even

Polynomial hierarchy

$$\boxed{\text{PH}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_i^P$$

$$\Pi_i^P = \text{co } \sum_i^P = \{L \mid \bar{L} \in \sum_i^P\}$$

Some observations:

o  $\sum_i^P \subseteq \Pi_{i+1}^P \subseteq \sum_{i+2}^P \subseteq \dots$

o Hence  $\text{PH} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pi_i^P$

o  $\sum_2^P = NP$        $\Pi_1^P = \text{coNP}$

Many natural problems at  
2nd level of hierarchy  
( $\Sigma_1^2$  &  $\Pi_1^2$ )

PH IV

Higher up it gets a bit sparser

Survey "Completeness in the Polynomial-Time Hierarchy - A Compendium" by  
Schaefer & Umans

Complete problems do exist, though

$\Sigma_1^1$ : SAT  $\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \exists u_3 \dots Q; u_i \varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_i)$

$\Pi_1^1$ : SAT  $\forall u_1 \exists u_2 \forall u_3 \dots Q; u_i \varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_i)$

$u_i$ : vectors / sets of variables

$\varphi$ : Boolean formula

Say  $\varphi$  CNF if innermost  $Q = \exists$

$\varphi$  DNF if innermost  $Q = \forall$

(Why?) Will get back to formal definition

Common belief (& kind of assumption for  
this course):

$P \neq NP$

$NP \neq coNP$

But we can go further

PH  $\checkmark$

Is it true that

$$\Sigma_1^P \subset \Sigma_2^P \subset \Sigma_3^P \subset \Sigma_4^P \subset \dots ?$$

Is it true that "the polynomial hierarchy doesn't collapse"?

Don't know, but widely believed  
Standard assumption in complexity theory

### THM

1. For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$  it holds that if  $\Sigma_i^P = \Pi_i^P$ , then  $\text{PH} = \Sigma_i^P$  ("the polynomial hierarchy collapses to the  $i$ th level").
2. If  $P = NP$ , then  $\text{PH} = P$  ("the polynomial hierarchy collapses to  $P$ ")

Many complexity theory results have form:

Unless (statement we believe to be true) holds, then  
PH collapses to the  $i$ th level

Smaller  $i \Rightarrow$  stronger result  
will soon see (when talking about circuits)

Ex  $NP$  has poly-size circuits  $\Rightarrow$  PH collapses to 2nd level  
(so we don't believe  $NP \subseteq P/\text{poly}$ )

Proof

1. Might end up on a problem set near you

2. Prove by induction:

$$\text{If } P = NP, \text{ then } \Sigma_i^P = \Pi_i^P = P$$

Base case ( $i=1$ ): Nothing to prove

By assumption  $P = NP$

$$\text{co}NP = \text{co}P = P \quad (\text{Polynomial under complement})$$

Induction step Suppose  $\Sigma_{i-1}^P = P = \Pi_{i-1}^P$

By definition  $\Pi_{i-1}^P \subseteq \Sigma_i^P$  so  $P \subseteq \Sigma_i^P$

Enough to prove  $\Sigma_i^P \subseteq P$ . Then  $P = \Sigma_i^P$

and we can take complements to get  $P = \Pi_i^P$ .

Consider  $L \in \Sigma_i^P$ . Want to show  $L \in P$

By def,  $\exists$  poly-time TM  $M$  and poly  $q$  such that

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i \text{ s.t. } M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = 1$$

$$\text{for all } u_i \in \{0, 1\}^{q(1 \times 1)}$$

Define  $L'$  by

$$(x, u_1) \in L' \Leftrightarrow \exists u_2 \exists u_3 \dots \exists u_i \text{ s.t. } M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = 1$$

By syntactic pattern matching  $L' \in \Pi_{i-1}^P$

By inductive hypothesis  $\Pi_{i-1}^P = P$

i.e.,  $\exists$  poly-time TM  $M'$  deciding  $L'$

That is,

$$(x, u_1) \in L' \Leftrightarrow M'(x, u_1) = 1$$

But then

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u_1 \quad M'(x, u_1) = 1$$

so  $L \in NP$

By induction hypothesis,  $L \in NP = P$ .

Since  $L \in \sum_i^P$  was arbitrary,  $\sum_i^P \subseteq P$ , QED  $\square$

DEF Language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is  $\sum_i^P$ -complete if

- $L \in \sum_i^P$

- $\forall L' \in \sum_i^P$ , it holds that  $L' \leq_p L$

$\text{NP}^P$ -complete languages and

PH-complete languages defined analogously.

But: We believe PH is a class without complete languages

 LEMMA PH does not have complete languages unless the hierarchy collapses.

Proof Suppose  $\exists$  PH-complete language  $L$ .

$$PH = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_i^P, \text{ so } \exists i^* \text{ s.t. } L \in \sum_{i^*}^P$$

But then every language in PH can be reduced to  $L \in \sum_{i^*}^P$   $\square$

COROLLARY  $\text{PH} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$  but  $\text{PH} \neq \text{PSPACE}$

unless the polynomial hierarchy collapses.

Proof If  $L \in \text{PH}$ , then there exists a poly-time TM  $M$  s.t.  $x \in L \iff$

$$\exists u_1 \forall u_2 \exists u_3 \dots Q; u_i M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i)$$

Do Cook-Levin-style reduction for  $M$

Obtain QBF. Verifiable in PSPACE  
(Or argue from first principles)

PSPACE has complete problems (TQBF, for instance). So if  $\text{PSPACE} = \text{PH}$ , PH has complete problems and the hierarchy collapses.

Complete problems for  $\Sigma_i^P$

$$\Sigma_{i+1}^P \text{SAT} = \{\psi \mid \psi = \exists u_1 \forall u_2 \exists u_3 \dots Q; u_i \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_i)\}$$

where  $\varphi$  propositional formula

For  $\Sigma_{2i+1}^P \text{SAT}$  can let  $\varphi$  be CNF formula.

For  $\Sigma_{2i}^P \text{SAT}$  not (why? Good exercise.)

$\Pi_i^P \text{SAT}$  defined similarly

(and  $\varphi$  can be CNF for  $i$  even)

can choose to define

innermost quantifier  $\exists - \varphi$  CNF       $\forall - \varphi$  DNF