

COMENIUS UNIVERSITY IN BRATISLAVA

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND INFORMATICS

TWO-WAY DATABINDING OF MODELS AND  
VIEWS IN DART

Diploma thesis

2014

Bc. Jakub Uhrík

COMENIUS UNIVERSITY IN BRATISLAVA

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND INFORMATICS

# TWO-WAY DATABINDING OF MODELS AND VIEWS IN DART

Diploma thesis

Study programme: Computer Science

Field of Study: 9.2.1. Computer Science, Informatics

Department: FMFI.KI - Department of Computer Science

Thesis supervisor: RNDr. Tomáš Kulich, PhD.

Bratislava, 2014

Bc. Jakub Uhrík



Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave  
Fakulta matematiky, fyziky a informatiky

## ZADANIE ZÁVEREČNEJ PRÁCE

**Meno a priezvisko študenta:** Bc. Jakub Uhrík  
**Študijný program:** informatika (Jednoodborové štúdium, magisterský II. st., denná forma)  
**Študijný odbor:** 9.2.1. informatika  
**Typ záverečnej práce:** diplomová  
**Jazyk záverečnej práce:** anglický  
**Sekundárny jazyk:** slovenský

**Názov:** Obojsmerné previazanie dát s pohľadmi v jazyku Dart / *Two-way databinding of models and views in Dart*

**Cieľ:** Porovnajte rôzne spôsoby obojsmerného previazania modelov s pohľadmi vo webových aplikáciách. Vyberte si prístup navrhnutý Angular-om resp. Model Driven Views, alebo prístup, ktorý používa Facebook React, implementujte tento prístup v jazyku Dart. Zdôvodnite svoje rozhodnutie a odôvodnite, prečo je zvolený prístup pre Dart vhodnejší.

**Vedúci:** RNDr. Tomáš Kulich, PhD.  
**Katedra:** FMFI.KI - Katedra informatiky  
**Vedúci katedry:** doc. RNDr. Daniel Olejár, PhD.  
**Dátum zadania:** 28.10.2013

**Dátum schválenia:** 29.10.2013

prof. RNDr. Branislav Rován, PhD.  
garant študijného programu

.....  
študent

.....  
vedúci práce



Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave  
Fakulta matematiky, fyziky a informatiky

## ZADANIE ZÁVEREČNEJ PRÁCE

**Meno a priezvisko študenta:** Bc. Jakub Uhrík  
**Študijný program:** informatika (Jednoodborové štúdium, magisterský II. st., denná forma)  
**Študijný odbor:** 9.2.1. informatika  
**Typ záverečnej práce:** diplomová  
**Jazyk záverečnej práce:** anglický  
**Sekundárny jazyk:** slovenský

**Názov:** Obojsmerné previazanie dát s pohľadmi v jazyku Dart / *Two-way databinding of models and views in Dart*

**Cieľ:** Porovnajte rôzne spôsoby obojsmerného previazania modelov s pohľadmi vo webových aplikáciách. Vyberte si prístup navrhnutý Angular-om resp. Model Driven Views, alebo prístup, ktorý používa Facebook React, implementujte tento prístup v jazyku Dart. Zdôvodnite svoje rozhodnutie a odôvodnite, prečo je zvolený prístup pre Dart vhodnejší.

**Vedúci:** RNDr. Tomáš Kulich, PhD.  
**Katedra:** FMFI.KI - Katedra informatiky  
**Vedúci katedry:** doc. RNDr. Daniel Olejár, PhD.  
**Dátum zadania:** 28.10.2013

**Dátum schválenia:** 29.10.2013

prof. RNDr. Branislav Rován, PhD.  
garant študijného programu

.....  
študent

.....  
vedúci práce

I would like to thank my supervisor RNDr. Tomáš Kulich, PhD. for his guidance, support, and encouragement throughout writing this thesis.  
Special thanks belong to my family for all their support.

Bc. Jakub Uhrík

## Abstract

Abstract in english.

**Key words:** Databinding, Dart, Facebook React, AngularJS, ...

## Abstrakt

Abstrakt v slovincine.

**Kľúčové slová:** Databinding, Dart, Facebook React, AngularJS, ...

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Motivation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 Databinding</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 One way databinding . . . . .	4
2.2 Two way databinding . . . . .	4
<b>3 Existing solutions</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Template driven . . . . .	5
3.2 Component driven . . . . .	8
3.2.1 React . . . . .	9
3.3 Conclusion . . . . .	10
<b>4 Our solution</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1 Requirements . . . . .	12
4.2 Architecture . . . . .	13
4.2.1 Architectural overview . . . . .	13
4.2.2 Structure . . . . .	14
4.2.3 Core . . . . .	15
4.2.4 Life-cycle . . . . .	19
4.2.5 Rendering . . . . .	25
4.2.6 Events . . . . .	29
4.2.7 Injecting . . . . .	32
4.3 API . . . . .	33
4.3.1 Component . . . . .	34
4.3.2 DOM component API . . . . .	36
4.3.3 Browser specific API . . . . .	36
4.3.4 Server specific API . . . . .	37
<b>5 Performance</b>	<b>38</b>



<i>CONTENTS</i>	ix
<b>6 Benchmarks</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>41</b>

# List of Figures

4.1	<a href="#"><u>The Idea</u></a> . . . . .	13
4.2	Virtual DOM . . . . .	14
4.3	Packages . . . . .	15
4.4	Core of the library . . . . .	16
4.5	Life cycle of a <b>Component</b> . . . . .	20

# Introduction

As one of the results of this magister thesis is our new databinding library in dart, which is called **tiles****Tiles** . In next text, we will use only **tiles** **Tiles** to mention *our new databinding library in dart*.

# Chapter 1

## Motivation

The first question, as always should be, is the motivation of this work. What is the motivation to create another library, that will handle databinding in ~~dart~~Dart?

~~We will start with small introduction to the history of how websites and later web-applications were created. Then we define a set of features required for~~ The motivation to create the **tiles** library contains from several aspects, which are not contain in no other Dart library<sup>1</sup>.

### Dart as a programming language

Dart language is young programming language with an active development and progress. One of its advantages is optional typing, the build-in compilation to the JavaScript, which enable programming a browser applications, and a Java-like virtual machine, which runs the Dart in the most commonly used operating systems.

It is designed for the web applications with all necessary support for them. As it enable the compilation into the JavaScript and running directly under the OS, it also enable to share a source code between server part of an application and its client application running in the web browser.

Dart also guarantees browser compatibility, what is important for ease of web application development.

### Testability

Very important aspect in a building complex application is the testability of the source code.

Because of this, it is essential to use libraries, which enables easy testing and mocking components.

---

<sup>1</sup>We didn't find any suitable library and didn't hear about it

## Server side rendering

Server side rendering is very important for user experience and for search engine optimization.

When we have the CPM<sup>2</sup> which can be used as on the server, so in the clients browser, it is natural to think about a use of the same source code to create an in-browser application, and to render its page on the server.

## No templates

This aspect is important from two point of views: the testability and the server side rendering.

From the testability point of view, it is easier to test and mock structures created in only one CPM. If the template is used to create a component of the UI, it is much more difficult to test it and also think about this testing. If this component is only one class in the CPM without dependences on another type of the information, testing is more natural and easier to think about.

From ~~previous overview of "history" we can produce a set of the features, which should be contained in tiles~~ the server side rendering point of view, if we want to work with templates, we need to access them differently when we work in the OS and in the clients browser. If we have the structure fully composed in one CPM, it is easier to compose the same HTML structure on the server as in the browser, then if we have the structure composed by the template and the CPM.

## Only one language

This aspect is very related with the previous one. When the application is created fully in one programming language, it is easier for programmers to work with it (they don't have to switch between different CPM).

Also it is easier to compile whole application into the JavaScript, analyze the source code or refactor it.

## Reliability

The reliability has significant importance in complex applications. This reliability can be achieved by automatic tests, a robust design and quality development.

When we take into account these aspects, there exists no library, which fulfill all of them.

As there is a need for this kind of a library, we decided to design and create one.

---

<sup>2</sup>Computer Programming Language

# Chapter 2

## Databinding

In this chapter we will introduce the area of databinding more deeply than in introduction.

### 2.1 One way databinding

Discuss one way databinding.

### 2.2 Two way databinding

Discuss two way databinding.

# Chapter 3

## Existing solutions

~~There are two main approaches in data-binding based on main concepts of rendering content, template driven and component driven. These two concepts implements data-binding by different architecture design.~~ When we think about the building of the user interfaces, we can think about the building them from components. The component is a part of the UI, which has a functionality, own look and maybe some interaction.

The HTML is a basic component structure. Every element is a component, all elements are composed into tree structure. Elements have some functionality, own look(e.g. image) and some of them have interactions(e.g. input).

So when a library want to bind the data with a view, it basically bind the data to a component.

There are different approaches of the creating these components and the connection between them and a data. Components can be created directly by a programming language (Component driven), or by using a template engine, which create components based on the template, which describe component structure, in the most cases by HTML-like syntax(Template driven).

These two approaches do the same thing, create structure of the components, different way.

### 3.1 Template driven

Template driven approach is, as the name predicts, based on ~~usage of some~~ the usage of a template engine. ~~These template engines take template and~~ Template engines take a template and the data and create a component structure, which is reflected into the HTML representation of passed data in the form of the template. They can be considered as a function  $t : \mathbb{D} \mapsto \mathbb{H}$ , where  $\mathbb{D}$  is a set of all possible data and  $\mathbb{H}$  is a

context-free language of valid HTML.

An easy example of a template, for example using *handlebars.js* can look like this one (from *handlebars.js* website):

```
<div class="entry">
  <h1>{{title}}</h1>
  <div class="body">
    {{body}}
  </div>
</div>
```

When programmer want to use this template, he should create data object, which minimal version in JSON format is in next example:

```
{
  "title": "Some title",
  "body": "This is the content of the page"
}
```

When template is filled by this data, following HTML will be produced

```
<div class="entry">
  <h1>Some title</h1>
  <div class="body">
    This is the content of the page
  </div>
</div>
```

Most of template engines also offer logic markup, which add possibility of the better control of a composed structure. This is highly usable when programmer want to create more complex structures based on the data. The typical example of this structure is the <ul> list generated from the array of items to render.

This "in template" logic has on one hand some advantages, on the other hand, the HTML syntax was not created to represent a logic, but an information. Because of this, more complex templates witch not so trivial logic in it becomes hard to read and understand.

Easy use of the logic in the template is shown on the next example:

```
<h1>Comments</h1>

<div id="comments">
```



```

{{#each comments}}
<div class="entry">
  {{#if author}}
    <h1>{{firstName}} {{lastName}}</h1>
  {{else}}
    <h1>Unknown Author</h1>
  {{/if}}
  <div>{{body}}</div>
</div>
{{/each}}
</div>

```

~~Template~~ The template driven view are highly used because of ~~they looks like the~~ syntax similarity between the template and the resulting HTML. It is easy to convert the HTML produced by a graphic designer to the template used in the source code. Also programmers used to work in the HTML more easily write templates then some other representation of the ~~view~~ component structure.

Different libraries work with templates in a different way. Some of them really parse the input template as a string, recognize ~~DOM~~ component tree in it and work with ~~them the template~~ that way. Others uses in-browser ~~html~~ HTML parser to parse the template and then fill it with the data. This approach, because of ~~this its~~ usage of tools accessible ~~from only from the~~ browser, is more difficult to render on the server.

~~Table~~ Templates are mostly used two different ways:

### Template used as View

Template is used to render HTML structure into some element. Functionality of the HTML structure is then realized separately and attached to it. This is used for example in the CanJS.

### Template used as Component

The other (and more modern) use of template is to represent one component with attached functionality, which can be represented later as custom HTML element in other templates. In this template, other custom components can be created by using their custom HTML element representation. It is not necessary to create them separately in the code of an application.

This approach is used e.g. in Polymer project, which work with so called "shadow DOM" which use similar concept.

Table 3.1 Comparison of template driven libraries compares some of existing solutions which are standalone libraries or MVC frameworks. The aspects of the

Solution	Language	Standalone	In browser	On server
handlebars	JavaScript	yes	yes	yes
mustache	<del>JavaScript, python...</del> <u>JavaScript, python...</u>	yes	yes	yes
dust	JavaScript	yes	yes	yes
AngularJS	JavaScript	no	yes	no
meteor	JavaScript	no	yes	no
EmberJS	JavaScript	no	yes	yes
Derby	JavaScript	no	yes	yes
Polymer	JavaScript	yes	yes	no
Polymer.dart	Dart	yes	yes	not no

Table 3.1: Comparison of template driven libraries

comparison are a natural rendering in the browser and on the server and if the library is a standalone UI library, or is a part of the more complex MVC framework. We don't compare a possibility to render the view on the server other then the natural way, because it is always possible to render it by usage of tools like the *PhantomJS*.

## 3.2 Component driven

Component driven views, in opposite to the template driven, don't use any additional type of data like templates. ~~Whole view representation of data is stored in structure of so-called components, which are native objects connected to tree structure to represent~~ Components are created by the same programming language as the functionality and are composed into the tree structure which is mapped into the DOM.

When the tree of components (we will call it "Virtual DOM" later) is constructed, it is rendered to ~~DOM by the~~ DOM by the depth-first search of the component tree. When components and HTML elements are connected by stored ~~association~~ associations, every change in the component structure can be applied to the DOM tree.

In addition, if we have the tree of components, we can easily, by the similar depth-first search, create the markup string representing ~~HTML~~ the HTML markup of the component tree. ~~By this we can render~~ This enable the rendering of the whole component tree on ~~server~~ the server without use of browser-specific features.

~~For this purpose programmer should not use browser specific features in part of component, which is called in initial built of component tree~~ An example of the component driven UI library is the JavaScript library *React* created by the Facebook . *React* is standalone UI library which enable native rendering of the component

structure as in the browser, so on the server.

~~Solution~~~~Language Standalone In-browser On-server Tricky on-server~~~~React JavaScript yes yes yes no Comparison of component-driven libraries~~

We decided to use ~~similar approach as is used in React~~ a similar approach to React library, so we briefly describe it.

### 3.2.1 React

~~React~~ Lots of people use React as the V in MVC.[\[col\]](#)

React is JavaScript UI library from ~~facebook~~Facebook . Its main concept is to pack parts of the web application into reusable components, which are represented as object in JavaScript.

This components can be mounted into elements in DOM, for now, we will call it *mount root*. This will create *virtual DOM* "mounted" to *mount root*. This virtual DOM is then reflexed into the real DOM under the *mount root*.

React uses a virtual DOM diff implementation for ultra-high performance. It can also render on the server using Node.js — no heavy browser DOM required.[\[col\]](#)

Components are organized to the virtual DOM tree, where a data flows from the root component to leaves. This data flow is implemented by the props of the component, which are read-only. Component have also ~~own~~ a state, which should be stored in the state attribute and updated by methods `setState` and `replaceState`. ~~State~~ The state shouldn't be updated directly to preserve the invariant, that the real DOM always represents the actual state of the virtual one.

Component ~~create~~ describe the structure under it by its method `render`, which should return one instance of a component, which will be ~~the~~ added as a child of this component. ~~In render function , it can add to this rendered component~~props, which is the way, how data is flowing down, and children The render function also add props to the child component. This realize the data flow in "down" direction. The render also add children to the child component, which is the way, how to create a spreading tree, not just a line. ~~If the child component get children , it will be in children attribute.~~ ~~Component~~ The child component have read access to passed children and can reuse them in the `render` method or ignore them.

~~This is because React maps all components to HTML elements and want to create more than one tree children only in components representing real DOM elements~~The React offer own events system with synthetic event bubbling. This enable programmer to listen to events independently from browser. The React manage the browser compatibility.

Components can listen to events on ~~the~~ DOM components (internal ~~react~~ *React*

components, representing DOM elements). They are attached through props by **event listeners**.—

~~When event occurs, it bubble up to *mount root* where is caught by React. Then React simulate event bubbling from DOM component, which represents target element in virtual DOM, with synthetic event, which manage browser compatibility.~~

~~When this event is caught by some custom component, this component can react on that. It can change state, call some functions, store some data or what ever it wants~~the event listeners syntax(`onChange`, `onClick` etc.).

~~State change (by mentioned methods) trigger redrawing of virtual DOM. This will use render methods to create new children of the components and process whole tree by depth-first search, which produce list of changes needed to get real DOM to state representing virtual one.~~

~~For this purpose, React implements some~~ *React* implements component life-cycle methods, which ~~we will not discuss here~~notify the component about its actual state of living (just mounted, just updated, before unmount, etc.). They are the superset of life-cycle methods ~~we implement in Tiles~~ implemented in the *tiles* library.

For more information about ~~react and~~ *React* , it's architecture and API reader can go to the website of the ~~React~~ *React* project [<http://facebook.github.io/react/>].

### 3.3 Conclusion

~~Here should be described, why we~~ We decided to use ~~component driven approach and take inspiration from React library~~ **Component driven** views and databinding, because it is not dependent on the template engine, whole source code can be written in the same CPL with all advantages gained by that and naturally easier thinking about testing and mocking.

Our solution is based on the idea of the *React* library.

As we decided to work in the Dart language, we don't have to implement a browser compatibility, synthetic events, mixins, etc.

The architecture of the *tiles* library will be described in the chapter 4 Our solution.

# Chapter 4

## Our solution

~~We decided to use component-driven approach of databinding, because one of our main requirements is to be possible to render as on server, so in browser. We~~ The first attempt was to create wrapper of the *React* library into the Dart language. This wrapper was successfully created, tested and also used in an independent commercial project.

The problem occurs in the performance of the wrapper, where the bottleneck of the speed was the communication between *React* created in the JavaScript and the wrapper in the Dart language. This bottleneck can be reduced by some adjustment and some Dart hacks, but it was still a bottleneck.

That's why we decided to build our own library called **Tiles** . Most of the performance benchmarks of the **Tiles** library later in this work will be compared with the *React* wrapper.

As we told in the previous part of the work, we decided to take inspiration from ~~Facebook *React*~~ the Facebook *React* library, mainly in the API of the library, which is component based, with some differences in architecture.

~~As we work in Dart language, which guarantees some browser compatibility and add some functionality that JavaScript doesn't have, we~~ We don't have to implement some of ~~*React's* additional features like:~~ the additional features of the *React* library, because of the nature of the Dart language.

- Synthetic events (*Dart unified events*)
- Mixins (*Dart support native mixins as a part of a language*)
- Props type checking (*Dart is optional-typed language*)
- Get default props and initial state (*Dart work with classes which have constructors*)

- Changed class name (*Map in dart use string, so string "class" is no more reserved word*)
- Test utilities (*Dart has own unittest library and we work with classes and with native events, it is easily tested*)

In this next sections of this chapter we will introduce and deeply describe our Dart library ~~Tiles~~ **Tiles** .

## 4.1 Requirements

When we designed ~~Tiles~~ **the Tiles** library, we take into account ~~some basic requirements~~ requirements derived from the motivation of this work:

### Rendering in both environments, the browser and the server

One of the main advantages of ~~this library is Tiles~~ library is a possibility to render the same content, with the same code as on the server, so in the browser. This resolved into ~~package architecture~~ the package structure and several architectural decisions.

### No template usage

To achieve a possibility to render content ~~on both , browser and server~~ in both environments, easy testing and mocking, we decided not to use templates, ~~because to parse template into something independent from DOM is far more difficult, then just use own structure clearly in one programming language.~~

### Easy to use API

~~Solution~~ The solution can be very interesting and powerful, but if it don't offer a reasonably easy to use API, almost no one will use it.

### React -like API

Because we use a similar concept as ~~JavaScript library React~~ the JavaScript React library, which is widely used and known, if we offer similar API, more people will ~~more~~ quickly get used to it.

### Performance

We want to offer the useful library, and if want someone to use it, we need to offer a good performance in the competition of Dart and JavaScript UI libraries.

How we fulfilled these requirements is in detail described in next sections of this chapter.

## 4.2 Architecture

In this section we describe our architecture from several points of view like [Architectural overview](#), [Structure](#), [Core](#), [Life-cycle](#), [Events](#), [Rendering](#) and [Injecting](#).

We will focus on good understanding of how library works. We will not discuss API a lot, this is the focus of next section.

But, of cause we add some examples, so wee will show some parts of api in this section too, but they don't will be so much described as in next section.

this  
content  
need  
to be  
replaced

### 4.2.1 Architectural overview

Our high level idea is based on ~~facebook~~ ~~React~~ [the](#) Facebook *React* library attitude. We created api, whose main class is **Component**, which represents construct very similar to ~~react~~ *React* 's **Component**. This component is mounted to an element, where it renders itself. This relationship is described on ~~figure~~ [Figure 4.1](#) [The Idea](#).




Figure 4.1: [The Idea](#)

These components are placed into tree structure, which represents **Virtual DOM**, which is then translated to the real DOM of client's browser or to the markup rendered by server application.

There can be the event listeners attached to these components. The **events** <sup>1</sup> are then bubbled trough a virtual DOM, instead real one. By this there can be the listener attached to a custom component, which doesn't have element representing it in a real DOM.

As we work in Dart language, it is natural to try to reuse the most of code on the both, client and server side. The next important part of ~~idea~~ [architecture](#) is **server-**

<sup>1</sup>We work at Dart, which create browser compatibility for us, so we don't have to create synthetic events like ~~react~~ *React* .




Figure 4.2: Virtual DOM

side rendering. ~~which should do and easy rendering of the same content on server as on the client's browser.~~

It is very important for SEO purposes and smooth user experience.

## 4.2.2 Structure

We split our library into 3 partially dependent packages.

### Tiles

**Tiles** package creates the core component's of library, focused to create and maintain virtual DOM and provide API for programmer. This package should be included by programmer in the files, where he defines custom components. These components then can be used on both, server and browser sides.

### Tiles Browser

This package is used for mounting components to the HTML elements. It maintains relationships between elements and components, simulates events bubbling and keeps real DOM in sync with virtual one.

### Tiles Server

**Tiles Server** package maintains server-side rendering. It offers an API to render component structure to string with markup based on DOM components.



Based on the mentioned packages structure, it is quiet obvious what are the dependences between these packages. **Tiles** package is independent, and both of **Tiles Browser** and **Tiles Server** are dependent on **Tiles** package. These dependences are shown on ~~figure~~Figure 4.3 Packages.




Figure 4.3: Packages

### 4.2.3 Core

There are 4 main classes in the core of the library.

**Component** represents closed block of user interface, that should be rendered in application.

**Node** is a vertex in a tree of virtual DOM. It contains an instance of [Component], which represents the type of this Node.

**ComponentDescription** is self-explanatory. It is returned from the component to describe it's children. The principles are described later at this document.

**NodeChange** represents one change in a virtual DOM, which should be applied into the real DOM. This way, we are able to achieve minimal changing of the real DOM. Types of change are: **CREATED**, **UPDATED**, **MOVED**, **DELETED**

In contrast with ~~facebook-React~~Facebook *React* **Component**, our component provides only an API to a programmer. This class is the main class for a programmer using our library. He doesn't need to use any other class created by our library. Just some methods.

We also have an inspiration from ~~React~~*React* with idea of **virtual DOM**. Vertices of an virtual DOM are represented by the class **Node** instead of the class **Component** to separate the functionality.

The diagram of relationships is shown on ~~figure~~Figure 4.4 Core of the library. In the next chapters we describe the main classes more in details.



The Component is the main building brick of application(library). It offers api to the programmer with life-cycles, props etc.

The main method of the `Component` is `List<ComponentDescription> render()`. By this method component describes it's substructure. It will return list of children of this component, represented by instance of the class `ComponentDescription`. Node, which owns this component (and called it's render method) will manage the rest. Basically, it will return the message like *"This is how I should look like"*.

<sup>3</sup>From the virtual DOM tree point of view

Second important method is `void redraw()`, which trigger redraw of the component. This redraw will be executed on the next animation frame.

Redraw is powered by `needUpdate` stream offered by `Component`, which is automatically created in default constructor of class `Component`, so it is very important, to call superclass constructor in each custom component class.

`ComponentDescriptionFactory registerComponent(ComponentFactory factory)` is additional method helping programmer for easier `ComponentDescription` creation. Factories are described late in ~~section~~ [section 4.3 API](#)

## DomComponent

`DomComponent` is a subclass of class `Component`. This is specialized class, which represents HTML elements in the component structure.

It has `props` saved as `Map`, because HTML element has attributes saved in `Map`. `render` method returns `children` member variable and `svg` and `pair` flags.

Specific HTML elements are created based on different `ComponentFactory` and `ComponentDescriptionFactory`. `ComponentDescriptionFactory` is used to easily create `ComponentDescriptions` of `DomComponent` in a custom component render method.

## ComponentDescription

`ComponentDescription` is a description of the component. It describes which type of the component should be rendered by using which parameters.

For this purpose, it needs 4 types of information:

- **Type of the component**

To create instance of a component, we need to know, what type (class) of the component it should be. This information is represented by `ComponentFactory`, which is function with 2 parameters, `props` and `children`, which returns instance of a subclass of a `Component`.

- **Properties**

Data which should be passed to the factory. This data are used as a properties of the component.

- **Children**

Children of described component. This is useful mainly when programmer wants to render more complex structure of `DOMComponents`.

- **Key**

Key is an identifier of a child. It is used to recognize reordering of children of the component. When components `render` method returns list of descriptions, keys they contain are recognized and matched with keys stored in virtual DOM.

If there is a match in key of the child in different position, child is only moved an updated. If there is no match in key, default process follows.

Description is once created with all the parameters and then these parameters can't be changed. All these parameters is set up by constructor.

`ComponentDescription` has one important method, which is `Component createComponent()`, which creates `Component` instance with props and children from the description.

## Node

`Node` is the most important and complex class in the library. It provides following functionality:

- creates virtual DOM tree, maintains creating and updating of the tree based on results of component's `render` method,
- listens to component's `needUpdate` stream and marks self as *"dirty"* when it's component need update,
- and handles updating process that is rearranging children of the `Node`.

The node is also a vertex of the virtual DOM. It store children as a list of children. To use all possible optimization, node contain a `ComponentFactory` of the contained component, which is used when the virtual DOM is updated. It also contain `key` which is used to recognize changed position of the same child.

Node has two important flags: `isDirty` and `hasDirtyDescendant`. These flags represent information, whether the node, or its descendants, needs to be redrawn. If `isDirty` is true, the node needs to be updated, because component of this node called `redraw` method. If `hasDirtyDescendant` is true, there exist a descendant of this node, which wants to be updated. When `hasDirtyDescendant` is true and `isDirty` is false, the node doesn't have to update itself, it is enough to call update on child nodes.

Method `update(List<NodeChange> changes, bool force: false)` is executing the update process. It take 2 named arguments:

`List<NodeChange> changes` is used to be filled by changes generated by the update process,

**bool force: false** is a flag signaling if to update despite that node is not dirty.

The **update** method is used mostly in the browser part of an library, where it offers a possibility to get changes in the virtual DOM, which should be used to update the real one.

A methods logic consists of several main steps.

1. check, if update is needed by flags **isDirty**, **hasDirtyDescendant** or **force**, if no, exit,
2. if component of this node needs to update (**isDirty == true**) or **force == true**, update this node with rearrangement of children,
3. if any changes was generated, add them into the **changes** list
4. set this node as not dirty and not have dirty descendant.

The algorithm of rearrangement of children by calling **render** method of this component and adapting node's children to returned descriptions is fully documented in the source code related to this work: [https://github.com/cleandart/tiles/blob/master/lib/src/core/node\\_update\\_children.dart](https://github.com/cleandart/tiles/blob/master/lib/src/core/node_update_children.dart)

## NodeChange

**NodeChange** takes place as a record of a change in the virtual DOM. It is used to mirror changes in the virtual DOM with the real DOM.

When some node in the virtual DOM is updated by method **update**, the list of changes is collected. This list is subsequently processed by browser part of a library, which mirrors changes to the real DOM.

**NodeChange** class has no methods (except constructor) and acts as a data chunk dedicated just for it's purpose. It contains node, type of change, old and next properties.

Type is stored as an instance of **NodeChangeType** enum and can be one of: **CREATED**, **UPDATED**, **MOVED** and **DELETED**. When type is **UPDATED**, old props and new props take effect.

### 4.2.4 Life-cycle

Every instance of **Component** has its own life-cycle. As every object, first it is created. Subsequently, it is mounted and rendered into the virtual DOM, and then in to real DOM.

When a "higher" node wants to update the node of the component, the component will first receive props, subsequently is asked if want to update, if yes, it is asked for actual description of its children.

Sometimes the component wants to update itself (e.g. because event occurs). It calls redraw, then, it will be asked if really should update, and if yes, it is rendered and updated.

At the end of component's life in the real DOM, component should be notified about that.

The whole life-cycle is shown on the ~~figure~~ **Figure 4.5 Life cycle of a Component.**




Figure 4.5: Life cycle of a Component

## Create

The create part of life-cycle is implemented by constructor of `Component`. It will receive props and optionally children as arguments and it should prepare the whole state of object to live.

An trivial example of constructor of `Component` is displayed below.

```
class MyComponent extends Component {
  MyComponent(props, [children]): super(props, children) {}
}
```

Constructor of an example only calls constructor of superclass `Component`.

Example of more complex constructor should be e.g. the `Todo` component example:

```
class MyTodoComponent extends Component {
  Todo todo;
  MySearchComponent(props, [children]): super(props, children) {
    if (props != null && props.todo is Todo) {
      this.todo = props.todo
    } else {
      this.todo = new Todo();
    }
  }
  // ...
}
```

## Did mount

When the component is mounted to the real DOM, user of the library should be notified about this event. It is done by triggering the **Did mount** life-cycle event implemented the method `didMount`.

This is the place in time, were the component *start to live its life* with the connection to the real DOM. This is the correct place to initialize for example the timers, stream listeners etc.

Our `MyTodoComponent` example compoent should listen for a change of the todo on the server, and if it was changed, we can redraw the component.

```

class MyTodoComponent extends Component {
  Todo todo;
  StreamSubscription subscription;

  // ...

  didMount() {
    this.subscription = this.todo.changedOnServer.listen((change) {
      this.redraw();
    });
  }

  // ...
}

```

### Will receive props

When the component is updated by "higher" ancestor, it will receive new props. A user of the library can need the possibility to compare these props with the old one and perform needed changes.

This is the correct place for subsequent life-cycle event *Will receive props* implemented by the method `willReceiveProps`.

The example of `willReceiveProps` in `MyTodoComponent` should compare the `todo` of the old and the new props and if they are not equal, update change listener.

```

class MyTodoComponent extends Component {
  Todo todo;
  StreamSubscription subscription;

  // ...

  willReceiveProps(dynamic newProps) {
    if (this.todo != newProps.todo) {
      this.subscription.cancel();
      this.subscription = newProps.todo.changedOnServer.listen((change) {
        this.redraw();
      });
    }
  }
}

```



```

    }

    // ...
}

```

### Should update

An optimization of the performance of an application can be done by rejecting "redraw" of the component. To reject this "redraw", component should be asked, if the redraw is needed.

This is implemented by the `shouldUpdate` method, which returns true if the component want to be redrawn.

By default `shouldUpdate` returns true, what resolves to always updating of custom component, which doesn't implement this method.

In a basic scenario this method recognize, if the component will be rendered differently with the new props. If not, it return false, else it return true.

Example in `MyTodoComponent`:

```

class MyTodoComponent extends Component {
  Todo todo;
  StreamSubscription subscription;

  // ...

  shouldUpdate (newProps, oldProps) {
    if (newProps.todo == oldProps.todo) {
      return false;
    }
    return true;
  }

  // ...
}

```

### Render

Render is the main part of the `Component`.

It is implemented by the method `render`, ~~which have no attributes~~. It should return array of component descriptions which should be considered as *"this is how this component should look like"*.

For example, in our `MyTodoComponent` `render` will return `<div>` which contains title and description of `todo`.

```
class MyTodoComponent extends Component {
  Todo todo;
  StreamSubscription subscription;

  // ...

  render () {
    return div ({ "class": "todo"}, [
      h2 ({}, todo.title),
      p ({}, todo.description)
    ]);
  }

  // ...
}
```

### Did update

When the life-cycle method `didUpdate`, by which is implemented ~~this~~ the life-cycle *"Did update"* event, is triggered, ~~component, and programmer, can be sure, that component~~ the component is notified, that it is mounted and there exist ~~elements in HTML~~ elements in the DOM for each `DomComponent` descendant.

### Will unmount

~~This~~ The *"Will unmount"* event is implemented by the method `willUnmount`, ~~which contain no arguments.~~

It is called right before ~~it is unmounted from dom.~~

the component is removed from the virtual DOM and therefore from the real one.

This is the correct place to stop all timers and listeners.

```
class MyTodoComponent extends Component {
  Todo todo;
```

```

StreamSubscription subscription;

// ...

willUnmount () {
    subscription.cancel();
}

// ...

}

```

### 4.2.5 Rendering

The main target of ~~our library is the~~ Tiles library is the rendering of a content. By the Component and the Node we can create the virtual DOM tree, ~~but now we want to render this structure into something independent from our library.~~

~~As our work is in the scope of web applications, we want to render it into DOM (browser rendering), and also into textual representation of a DOM (server rendering) which should be reflected into the real one, or into the HTML markup string.~~

As we described earlier in ~~subsection the~~ subsection 4.2.2 Structure, these rendering types are separated to separate packages from the core package and are independent from each other.

#### Server side

On the server, we don't have DOM elements available, ~~because of that therefore~~ we want to render our virtual DOM structure into ~~a string, which user of this library can return as a response to browser request.~~ the string representing HTML markup of the virtual and also the real DOM.

~~When we have string, which represents markup of DOM created from virtual DOM, created by our library, we can do server-side databinding, which can be reuse~~ The markup string constructed on the server can be reused in the browser to smooth user experience.

Our target, render virtual DOM into the markup string is ~~quiet easy~~ not so complicated. From the programmers point of the view, he will use a ComponentDescription to describe ~~, what component he want to render~~ the component to be rendered. Our server-side package of the library will get ~~this description,~~

~~creates component from it, puts it into node and perform update of node. By this, virtual DOM is created~~ the description and construct the node tree structure, with node containing described component in the root. The node tree represents the created virtual DOM.

~~Now, as we have virtual DOM, From the virtual DOM we can render it's markup by corresponding markup string by the depth-first search of it's tree. In the search, when we came into node, we will do something like this algorithm(pseudo-code): The markup string is created recursively for every subtree starting from a node by this algorithm:~~

**Data:** The node in virtual DOM tree

**Result:** A string with the markup of the virtual DOM subtree with a root in the node

**if** *the component of the node is DomComponent* **then**

**if** *the component is not pair* **then**

        write the markup into the result with attributes from the props and a tag name from the component;

**else**

        write the open markup into the result with attributes from the props and a tag name from the component;

        write the markup for all children recursively into the result;

        write the close markup into the result;

**end**

**else**

    write the markup for all children recursively into the result;

**end**

**Algorithm 1:** Write node into the markup string.

**In browser**

~~Rendering in browser is quiet~~

The rendering in the browser is more difficult than ~~rendering to string. We can use same render to string method, but we will need some~~ the rendering into the markup string. It is possible to use the same *render to the markup string* method and add this markup to the DOM. This will create DOM structure representing the virtual DOM, but doesn't create connections between nodes and elements, so we can't do it this simply in the virtual DOM and HTML elements in the real one. This connections is necessary when the virtual DOM is updated. Therefore the browser side rendering will work in a different way.

**Initial mount** ~~First~~

~~The first thing~~, the user of the library need to do, is to mount ~~component to the~~ component into the HTML element. ~~Of cause, he will mount component description, not component directly. When component is mounting, it is created, placed~~ (*mount root*). For this purpose the ComponentDescription describing the component is used. The mount process consist from creation of the component from the description, placing it into the node and ~~after this, node is "updated". It is initial update which creates virtual DOM~~ first render of the virtual DOM with the root in the node.

~~When~~ The virtual DOM is ~~created, we need to construct real DOM under the root element (element, which was component mounted to) from "virtual image"~~ subsequently reflected into the real DOM created under the *mount root*.

~~For now, we describe case, that root element is empty (has no child element or node). Case, when it is not empty we discussed in the subsection. The mount is easily described by next algorithm~~ Suppose that the *mount root* have no children (the case of the *mount root* with children is described in the subsection 4.2.7 Injecting, the node mount process is described in the algorithm 2 Initial mount:

**Data:** The node in the virtual DOM and a HTML element, to mount the node to (mountRoot)

**Result:** Mounted the node into the element

**if** *the component of the node is DomComponent* **then**

    create an element representing the component;

    add the created element to the mountRoot;

    save relations between the created element and the node;

**if** *the component is a pair component* **then**

**for** *a child in children of the node* **do**

            mount the child node into the newly created element as a mountRoot;

**end**

**end**

**else**

**if** *the component is a text component* **then**

        create a HTML text node with the text from the component;

        add the text node to the mountRoot;

        save relations between the created text node and the node;

**else**

**for** *a child in children of the node* **do**

            mount the child node into the mountRoot;

**end**

**end**

**end**

**Algorithm 2:** ~~Write node~~ Mount the component into ~~string~~ the real DOM.

~~As we can see,~~ The algorithm is recursive and skips custom components. ~~Also it creates relations~~ It creates relationships between created elements and nodes. ~~Which are these relations we discuss later, when we need them~~ These relations is used to easy reflect the change in the virtual DOM into the real one when the virtual DOM is changed.

~~By this algorithm, it is obvious, that we have real DOM with the same structure, if we can obtain from virtual DOM by removing nodes with custom components and connect their children with their parent~~ The DOM structure created by the algorithm 2 Initial mount reflects the virtual DOM structure with removed nodes with the custom component.

**Update** ~~Later, there can be~~

As time goes, the situation, that ~~virtual DOM want the virtual DOM need~~ to be updated. ~~This is when some node was marked as dirty. Then framework,~~ occurs. The

need of the update is realized by the method `redraw` of the component, which cause marking the node as dirty. The framework recognize this situation and perform update of this node, which triggers update of the subtrees with roots in dirty nodes. This updates return lists and the structure under it. The update produces a list of changes in virtual DOM, which should the virtual DOM needed to be applied to browser element structure the real DOM.

These updates should be processed by it's type. But for every type we need Updates are processed by their type. For every type the information about which HTML element represents some node. This is first relation, which we need to remember, when we initialize mounted relation, the HTML element representing a node is needed. This information is stored in the relation  $\text{Node} \rightarrow \text{Element}$ . This relation, created in the mount of the node. It is stored by `map` `Map<Node, Element>`.

But what What happened when we want to apply the node change into the real DOM structure? For each type of change something different of caused differs by the type of the change:

**CREATED** when new node is created, it should be

A newly created node is mounted into the DOM. If it has `DomComponent` inside, HTML element will be created and placed at the correct place. If it has some custom component, this change will be ignored. The adjusted algorithm 2 Initial mount is used for this purpose.

**UPDATED** If node

If the node with a DOM component in it was updated, then if it has `DomComponent`, it's element the element, related with it, is updated with `setted props` the props of the node. If the node with a custom component was updated, no action is needed, because this node is not directly reflected to the DOM.

**MOVED** Node or it's children (if it is node with custom component)

The DOM representation of the moved node is moved to the new position.

**DELETED** Element of node or elements of its descendants (if it is node with custom component) are removed from

The DOM representation of the removed node is removed from the real DOM.

## 4.2.6 Events

As we were created dart library which creates virtual DOM, composed from nodes, which contains components

In the library which create own virtual DOM structure, it is natural to think about event in this virtual DOM. As in the real one, it is obvious that we can "simulate" natural to create event bubbling through this virtual DOM.

This is useful to offer user of library possibility. This synthetic event bubbling represents real event bubbling of the event through the real DOM elements associated with nodes in the virtual DOM.

Synthetic event bubbling is useful for the user of the library to catch events in the DOM and react on them by update of the state and triggering of the redraw of the component, if needed. So the question is, how add this possibility to programmer

The **Tiles** library listen to DOM events on *mount root* of the virtual DOM. When an event occur, the **Tiles** catch it on it's bubbling route up to the **body** element, recognize, which node represents the target element of the event and start synthetic bubbling of the event from that node.

To store the relation **Target Element**  $\rightarrow$  **Node** is used `Map<Element, Node>`.

The **Tiles** library listen to every type of the event only once in the *mount root* of the virtual DOM for each event listened by components in it.

It is important from the performance point of view, because by this, we can add only one event listener for each event type in the whole virtual DOM. We will discuss this later in chapter .

To enable this synthetic bubbling, we need to find out, which component belongs to element on which was event triggered chapter 5 Performance.

We maintain relationship between nodes, components and HTML elements, so we can store this relations. By these relations we can listen to all events on root HTML element (element, which is whole our virtual DOM mounted to), and then, by stored relations mentioned above, assign DOM component to element on which was event triggered.

When we have this component, we can simulate bubbling of event through our virtual DOM . This brings opportunity to "listen to events" on custom components. But this is really questionable feature.

If custom component automatically "listen to events" if have event listener in props, it enable programmer Synthetic event bubbling through the virtual DOM enable to listen to event on, for example, custom button which is composed from more child DOM components. But this is additional functionality of DOM, which don't have to be desirable.

On the other hand, if custom component don't automatically listens to event, props, it will lighten library from functionality, component will not have some additional functionality from that, which is created by programmer, and in addition, it is easily



possible component, to pass event listener, which it got from props, some of it's child components.

We decided to ~~ADD WHAT WE DECIDED TO DO.~~

Now, we will describe, how these synthetic event bubbling works the bubbled event even on custom component.

## Synthetic bubbling

When the component is mounting, we store ~~relation between the relation between~~ the HTML element, and the node, which ~~contain~~ contains this component. Then we check ~~, if this component have a presence of the~~ event listeners in it. If it has, we the descriptions of the component. We add event listener ~~of for every type of the event present in the virtual DOM to the same event type to root HTML element, which is associated to root node of virtual DOM. Of cause, we will add only one listener of one event type to this element, although when there is more then one descendant, which "listens" to this event type~~ mount root HTML element. The event listener in the mount root is only one for each event type in the virtual DOM.

~~Then, when this type of event occurs in HTML DOM subtree which represents~~ When the event type listened by mount root occurs in the DOM subtree representing our virtual DOM, it will bubble up to the root HTML element, there it mount root, where is caught by ~~our event listener. This event listener will recognize on which HTML element was event triggered, find representing node in virtual DOM, and start "bubbling" from it~~ the event listener created by the Tiles library. The Tiles library will recognize the target HTML element of the caught event and simulate event bubbling from the node representing the target element in the virtual DOM.

~~Bubbling is done by checking if component of this node have event listener for this type of event in it's props. If it contain it, listener~~ The synthetic bubbling process starts from the target node and check event listeners associated with it. If a listener for a type of the current event is presented, it is called with event and components the event and the component as arguments.

~~We pass component as an argument because this~~ The component is passed because the listener is not created by this component itself, but by the component above it, ~~and it~~ which should be informed, on which component ~~this the~~ listener was called.

~~If this listener didn't returns false, bubbling continues to parent node. When root is achieved, bubbling stops and real event listener, which simulate internal event bubbling, ends.~~

~~There exist better solution for stopping synthetic event bubbling then returning false from event listener by calling stopPropagation method on event, which stop~~

~~bubbling in real DOM. But there exist~~ There is no official way of getting ~~this information from event object.~~

~~This is resolvable, in multiple ways. For example, by creating "synthetic" event which should encapsulate real event object and store information that~~ an information if the `stopPropagation` was called ~~.~~ ~~But this solution creates some problems, e.g. multiple types of events in dart, represented by different classes with not the same api. Because of this, we decided to not add this ideal functionality for now, while there not exists official way this information from event object.~~

~~Other solution, and in our opinion best one, is to add official way of getting information if~~ `stopPropagation` ~~was called to core Dart Event class.~~ ~~But this is out of the scope of our library, so we created feature request to Dart developers and hope they will implement it~~ on the event. Therefore the listener, which want to stop a propagation, should return the false boolean. If the listener returns something else, bubbling continues with the parent of the current node. When the root node is achieved, the bubbling stops.

### 4.2.7 Injecting

~~We added possibility to render whole HTML structure on server and add it to requested HTML~~ The Tiles library implement a built in server side rendering.

~~This is good for user experience, because user~~ It is important as for the SEO, so for the user experience. User don't have to wait until the source code ~~of the application can see the result of his request event before JavaScript/Dart is loaded. But if is loaded into the browser and see the content of the page. If our browser package~~ replace this structure with ~~replaces the structure generated on server with a new DOM structure ; generated from virtual DOM, it should be annoying for user of the application, because the part of the page , which is represented by virtual DOM, will disappear for a short time and then appear back in the same look~~ is re-drawn from scratch, what cause disappearance of the content for the small amount of the time.

To prevent this behavior, we created an injecting system, which will ~~inject~~ "inject" ~~the~~ existing DOM structure and rebuild it to represent the virtual DOM.

When the `ComponentDescription` is mounting, basic implementation can erase whole content of element to which is the description mounted to. Instead ~~of this~~, we will reuse the existing structure by iterating trough the virtual DOM and reusing every element, which match the virtual DOM.

~~When we iterate trough~~ The Tiles library iterate trough the virtual DOM and ~~get to node with DOM component, we will look at the currently processed HTML element. If it match the type of DOM component (by tag name), it~~ the real DOM

simultaneously and compare them.

When the iteration through the virtual DOM process a node with a DOM component and the HTML element, their tag names are compared. If tag names match, the HTML element is associated with ~~this node, adapted to represent it in real DOM~~<sup>4</sup>, the node, element's attributes are adapted to the props of the node's component and is used to mount ~~children of this node under it with the same process recursively, and processing of elements move to next sibling of current element~~ inject children of the node recursively.

When ~~current element the tag name~~ don't match ~~type of DOM component~~, a new HTML element is inserted before ~~it the currently iterated element~~ and paired with ~~this node. By this, other~~ the node. Subsequent DOM component at the same layer of the DOM component tree <sup>4</sup> can reuse this not matching element. ~~When one layer of the DOM component tree is finished (which is when iteration go to the node, which contain DOM component associated with parent HTML element), rest of the original HTML elements in this layer of DOM is erased~~

When injecting of children finishes for a node with a DOM component in it, not used(injected) child HTML elements of the associated element are removed.

~~By these simple and lightweight comparisons, if the html was created by the same components with the same data on server, library will reuse whole html with no change and inject needed relations to~~ This implementation of injecting enable a full reuse of the DOM structure created on the server. It also create relations important for future updates of the virtual DOM to the real one.

Moreover, if there exist ~~some a~~ similar structure, not generated ~~by from~~ same components on the server, our library will reuse as much as possible ~~with not too complex and heavy comparison machinery.~~ of it.

End  
of the  
text  
refactoring

### 4.3 API

One product of this work is open source UI library. To use this library, user need to know the application programming interface(API) of it.

In ~~tiles~~ **Tiles** library, user interface rendered by it consist of components, represented by the class **Component**. This class is used to create functional logic of part of the UI.

To use it, mount it, add it to other component as a child, it is necessary to create **ComponentFactory**, **ComponentDescription** and optionally

<sup>4</sup>~~By changing attributes to correct state~~

<sup>4</sup> By the DOM component tree we mean a derived tree from the virtual DOM tree, which can be constructed by removing nodes with a custom ~~components-component~~ and connecting nodes with DOM components to the closest ancestor in the virtual DOM tree with a DOM component in it.

ComponentDescriptionFactory.

**ComponentFactory** is a function with two positional optional arguments **props** and **children**, which return instance of the Component, ideally new with setted **props** and **children**. The easiest and most common way to create ComponentFacotry is shown in next example:

```
class MyComponent extends Component {
  MyComponent(props, [children]): super(props, children) {}
}
```

```
ComponentFactory factory =
  ([dynamic props, dynamic children]) => new MyComponent(props, children);
```

**ComponentDescription** is something, what describes component. It is used as argument to mounting functions and as result of **render** method. It is mostly not used directly, but by **ComponentDescriptionFactory**, which is method with the same arguments as **ComponentFacotry**, and returns instance of **ComponentDescription**.

It can be created by programmer himself, but mainly, it is created by **registerComponent** function, which accept one argument of **ComponentFacotry** type. This method created **ComponentDescriptionFactory**, which returns description with passed **ComponentFacotry**. Usage is shown on following example:

```
ComponentDescriptionFactory myComponent = registerComponent(factory);
```

This **ComponentDescriptionFactory** is then used to create description or directly in mounting into HTML element:

```
Element mountRoot = querySelector("#container");
```

```
var props = {};
var children = [];
```

```
ComponentFacotry myComponentDescription = myComponent(props, childre);
```

```
// Or directly in mountComponent
```

```
mountComponent(myComponent(props, children), mountRoot);
```

### 4.3.1 Component

**Component** class is the main class of the API. Every custom component should extend or implement it.

It contains constructor, life-cycle methods, `render` and `redraw` method, props, children and offer `needUpdate` stream. The whole default `Component` is this:

```
class Component {
  dynamic props;
  List<ComponentDescription> children;
  final StreamController _needUpdateController;
  Stream<bool> get needUpdate => _needUpdateController.stream;

  /**
   * Life cycle
   */
  Component(this.props, [this.children]):
    this._needUpdateController = new StreamController<bool>() {}
  didMount() {}
  willReceiveProps(dynamic newProps) {}
  shouldUpdate(dynamic newProps, dynamic oldProps) => true;
  List<ComponentDescription> render() {}
  didUpdate() {}
  willUnmount() {}

  redraw([bool now = false]) {
    _needUpdateController.add(now);
  }
}
```

Sem  
takúto  
skrátenu  
verziu,  
alebo  
môžem  
celý  
komponent  
aj s  
komentármi?

The easier way to create own component is by extending, not by implementing it, because extending add default functionality. Simple component should look like this:

```
class MyComponent extends Component {
  MyComponent(props, [children]): super(props, children) {}

  render() {
    return div(null, [
      span(null, "This is simple component")
    ]);
  }
}
```

We can see, that this `MyComponent` only renders `ComponentDescription` of `div` DOM component which contain children with span description.<sup>5</sup>

### 4.3.2 DOM component API

There are prepared `ComponentDescriptionFactory` functions for each of standard HTML elements, which gets standard arguments. They will create new `ComponentDescription`, with correct factory, which created `DomComponent` with appropriate tag name.

These factories can be used like on example of `MyComponent` in `render` function.

### 4.3.3 Browser specific API

There are 3 main addition when components are rendered in browser.

- Mounting
- References
- Event listeners

**Mounting** is implemented by `mountComponent` function, which have 2 arguments, `ComponentDescription` description and `Element` `mountRoot`. It will mount described component into `mountRoot` element.

```
mountComponent(myComponent(props, children), mountRoot);
```

**References** are part of props. If component have in `props["ref"]` instance of internal class `_Ref`, which is only function returning void with one `Component` argument, then when this component is created and mounted, this `_Ref` is called with it.

It is useful, when some custom component want to have reference to element associated to some of it's descendant. Example of usage is something like this:

```
class MyComponent extends Component {
  /* ... */
  Element input;

  render() {
    return input({"ref": (component){
      this.input = getElementForComponent(component);
```

---

<sup>5</sup>DOM component API will be discussed later.

```

    });
  }
}

```

**Event listeners** are also represented as part of props. They should be instance of `EventListener` class, which is function with 2 arguments, event and component and returns boolean. They are used in the same way as references, by adding to props with key in format `onEventType`:

```

input({"onClick": (event, component){
  print("Input clicked.");
}});

```

#### 4.3.4 Server specific API

There is only one thing we want to do on the server, and that is to create markup for some `ComponentDescription`. For this purpose we created method `mountComponentToString`, which accepts 1 `ComponentDescription` argument and returns markup, which is identical to what browser part of library should create in DOM.

```

String markup = mountComponentToString(
  span({"class": "my-span"}, "Text in the span")
);
markup == '<span class="my-span">Text in the span</span>' // is true

```

# Chapter 5

## Performance



# Chapter 6

## Benchmarks

# Conclusion

Here will be conclusion of wholw thesis

# Bibliography

- [col] A Facebook & Instagram collaboration. *React - A JAVASCRIPT LIBRARY FOR BUILDING USER INTERFACES*.  
<http://facebook.github.io/react/>.
- [Goo] Google. *AngularJS - HTML enhanced for web apps!*  
<http://angularjs.org/>.
- [INC] TILDE INC. *ember - A framework for creating ambitious web applications*.  
<http://emberjs.com/>.
- [Kea] Tyler Keating. *The Run Loop*.  
<http://blog.sproutcore.com/the-run-loop-part-1/> and <http://blog.sproutcore.com/the-run-loop-part-2/>.