SE 3XA3: Test Report
Namcap

Team 2, VPB Game Studio
Prajvin Jalan (jalanp)
Vatsal Shukla (shuklv2)
Baltej Toor (toorbs)

December 8, 2016

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
2016-12-08	1.0	Completion of Functional Requirements Evaluation
2016-12-08	1.1	Completion of Unit Testing Section

This document ...

1 Functional Requirements Evaluation

1.1 Game Functionality Testing

A Robot (automated) unit testing class was implemented and used to test the mechanics of the game.

1. GFT1

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Application is displaying the main menu page

   Input: Cursor clicked on Start Game button

   Expected Output: New game is started and window is changed to reflect a new game state

   Output: New game was started and window was changed to reflect a new game state

   Result: PASS

11. GFT11

    Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

    Initial State: Within game state

    Input: Escape button pressed

    Expected Output: Application must pause and ask user if they want to quit

Output: Game was paused and user was asked to quit or continue

Result: PASS

Player Movement/Collision Testing

2. GFT2

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Within the game state

   Input: Arrow keys

   Expected Output: Player moves in the respective direction (if path is clear)

   Output: Player moved in the respective direction when path was clear

   Result: PASS

3. GFT3

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Player comes in contact with wall

   Input: No input

   Expected Output: Player stops moving when coming in contact with the wall

   Output: Player's x and y coordinates were not changed when in contact with the wall

   Result: PASS

4. GFT4

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Player comes in contact with enemy

   Input: No input

   Expected Output: If player has more than 1 life, decrement lives. If player has one life, end game.

Output: Player's life was decremented by 1 when player had more than one life. The game was ended if player was on their last life.

Result: PASS

6. GFT6

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Player comes in contact with dots

   Input: Arrow keys

   Expected Output: Dot disappears after collection

   Output: Dot disappeared afer player colletcted it

   Result: PASS

7. GFT7

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Player collects the big dot

   Input: Arrow keys

   Expected Output: Big dot disappears after collection

   Output: Big dot disappeared after player collected it

   Result: PASS

8. GFT8

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: Player collects the big dot

   Input: Arrow keys

   Expected Output: Player is able to collide with enemies

   Output: Player does not lose any lives when colliding with enemy

   Result: PASS

Enemy Movement/Collision Testing

5. GFT5

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated
   Initial State: Within the game state
   Input: No input
   Expected Output: Enemies move on a valid path
   Output: Enemy does not go through barriers
   Result: PASS

9. GFT9

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated
   Initial State: Player collects the big dot
   Input: No input
   Expected Output: Enemies change colour
   Output: Enemies changed colours
   Result: PASS

14. GFT14

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated
   Initial State: Player collides with enemy after collection of big dot
   Input: Arrow keys
   Expected Output: Enemy is removed from game and respawned back to their original cell
   Output: Enemy is respawned back to the center of the game
   Result: PASS

Scoring Testing

10. GFT10

    Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

    Initial State: Player collects all dots

    Input: Arrow keys

    Expected Output: Game over screen is activated

    Output: Player's score is displayed along with the Game Over screen

    Result: PASS

12. GFT12

    Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

    Initial State: Player collects dot

    Input: Arrow keys

    Expected Output: The points are increased

    Output: Player's score is increased by 100

    Result: PASS

13. GFT13

    Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

    Initial State: Player collects big dot

    Input: Arrow keys

    Expected Output: The points are increased at twice the rate

    Output: Player's score is increased by 200

    Result: PASS

2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

2.1 Usability

2.2 Performance

2.3 etc.

3 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

4 Unit Testing

Unit Testing for Namcap was done using Java's JUnit testing suite, and results of all tests were written and summarized to a text file. If any tests failed, the exception would be included so the development team could analyze and repair any errors. Figure 1 is an example of the text file.

```
UNIT TEST RESULTS

Test:    UT5 - Player Enemy Collision
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT10 - All Map Dots
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT4 - Player Start Direction
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT12 - Score Addition
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UTF1 - High Score Functionality
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT6 - Player Dot Collision
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT2 - Player X
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT3 - Player Y
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT7 - Player Barrier Collision
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT11 - Individual Map Dots
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT1 - Game Start
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT9 - Individual Map Barriers
Result:  Test succeeded.
Test:    UT8 - All Map Barriers
Result:  Test succeeded.
```

Figure 1: Unit Test Results

Test Cases

1. UT1

   Type: Unit, Static, Automated

   Initial State: Application is displaying the main menu page

   Input: Start Game button action is performed

   Expected Output: New game is started and window is changed to reflect a new game state

   Output: New window (game board) successfully opened

   JUnit Test Result: PASS

2. UT2

   Type: Unit, Static, Automated

7

Initial State: Player is in starting position at the start of the game

Input: Current X accessor method for the player

Expected Output: 200 (start X position)

Output: 200

JUnit Test Result: PASS

3. UT3

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Player is in starting position at the start of the game

Input: Current Y accessor method for the player

Expected Output: 300 (start Y position)

Output: 300

JUnit Test Result: PASS

4. UT4

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Player is in starting position at the start of the game

Input: Current direction of the player

Expected Output: 'R' (player starting direction)

Output: 'R'

JUnit Test Result: PASS

5. UT5

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Player is in starting position at the start of the game

Input: PlayerX, PlayerY, EnemyX, EnemyY (200,300,185,300)

Expected Output: Player lives decremented (player to enemy collision succeeded)

Output: 2 (player lives left)

JUnit Test Result: PASS

6. UT6

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Player is in starting position at the start of the game, all dots are on map

Input: PlayerX, PlayerY ([180,300],[20,180],[20,180])

Expected Output: Score increases the first two times, but not the last

Output: ([score increases to 100, no dot],[score increases to 200, no dot],[score remains at 200, no dot])

Junit Test Result: PASS

7. UT7

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Player is in starting position at the start of the game

Input: X and Y positions around the player (Player Positions tested (X,Y): [200,300],[20,20],[100,180])

Expected Output: 2 barriers around the first position, 2 barriers around the second position, 0 barriers around the third position - true and false values

Output: ([false,false,true,true],[true,false,true,false],[false,false,false,false])

Junit Test Result: PASS

8. UT8

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Board is created with only barrier and dot entities

Input: X and Y positions for all barrier locations

Expected Output: True for all barrier locations (manually stated in JUnit class)

Output: True for all barrier locations

JUnit Test Result: PASS

9. UT9

    Type: Unit, Static, Automated

    Initial State: Board is created with only barrier and dot entities

    Input: X and Y positions for a location without a barrier, and an update to that location (to create a barrier) [10,15]

    Expected Output: True, a barrier exists for that location [10,15]

    Output: True

    JUnit Test Result: PASS

10. UT10

    Type: Unit, Static, Automated

    Initial State: Board is created with only barrier and dot entities

    Input: X and Y positions for dot locations

    Expected Output: True for all dot locations (manually stated in JUnit class)

    Output: True for all dot locations (true that they are all 1)

    JUnit Test Result: PASS

11. UT11

    Type: Unit, Static, Automated

    Initial State: Board is created with only barrier and dot entities

    Input: X and Y positions for a location without a dot, and an update to that location (to create a dot) [0,0]

    Expected Output: True, a dot exists for that location [0,0]

    Output: True

    JUnit Test Result: PASS

12. UT12

Type: Unit, Static, Automated

Initial State: Board is created with game entities, player and score objects are created

Input: Score values to increase by ([1000],[1313232],[0])

Expected Output: Updated score value after each addition ([1000],[1314232],[1314232])

Output: Score updated successfully ([1000],[1314232],[1314232])

JUnit Test Result: PASS

13. UTF1

Type: Unit, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Application is in gameplay state

Input: Score addition (16000); High score update; Score addition (4000); High score read from file

Expected Output: High score not affected by score addition within game (should be read as 16000)

Output: High score remains as updated (16000)

JUnit Test Result: PASS

5 Changes Due to Testing

6 Automated Testing

7 Trace to Requirements

This section shows the traceability matrix between test cases and requirements. Non-functional requirements are limited to . Non-functional test cases are excluded as they will cover all requirements as application-wide qualities.

Req.	Tests
F1	GFT1, UT1. UT2, UT3, UT4, UT10, UT11, UT12
F2	GFT2
F3	GFT3, UT7, UT8, UT9
F4	GFT4, UT5
F5	GFT5
F6	GFT5
F7	GFT6, GFT10, GFT12, UT6, UT8, UT10, UT11
F8	GFT7, GFT9, GFT13, GFT14, UT6, UT8, UT10, UT11
F9	GFT8, GFT13, GFT14
F10	GFT9
F11	GFT10
F12	GFT11
F13	GFT12, UT12, UTF13
F14	GFT13, UT12, UTF13
F15	GFT14
NF1	
NF2	
NF3	
NF4	
NF5	
NF6	
NF7	

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Tests

8 Trace to Modules

This section shows the traceability matrix between test cases and modules. Non-functional test cases are excluded as they will cover all modules as application-wide qualities.

Mod.	Tests
M1	GFT1, UT1
M2	GFT2, GFT3, GFT4, UT2, UT3, UT4, UT5
M3	GFT2, GFT3, GFT4, GFT6, GFT7, GFT8, GFT12, GFT13, GFT14, UT2, UT3, UT4, UT5, UT6, UT7, UT8, UT9, UT12
M4	GFT2, GFT3, GFT4, GFT5, GFT6, GFT7, GFT8, GFT10, GFT11, GFT12, GFT13, GFT14, UT2, UT3, UT4, UT5, UT7, UT8, UT9, UT10, UT11, UT12
M5	GFT12, GFT13, GFT14, UT6, UT12, UTF13,
M6	GFT1
M7	GFT2, GFT3, GFT4, GFT5, GFT6, GFT7, GFT8, GFT9, GFT10, GFT11, GFT12, GFT13, GFT14, UT12
M8	GFT11, UT12
M9	GFT2, UT4, UT12
M10	GFT4, GFT5, GFT8, GFT9, GFT14, UT5, UT12

Table 3: Trace Between Modules and Tests

9 Code Coverage Metrics