# 1992 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction

Prepared by:

Lisa E. Lorant Manager of Operations Research

**Massachusetts Department of Correction** 

Larry E. DuBois Commissioner

**July 1994** 

PUBLICATION #: 17607-64pgs.-250cps.-9/94 Approved by: State Purchasing Agent

#### **Abstract**

This report is a statistical description of the individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1992. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was a 9 percent decrease in the number of commitments during the year, from 3,737 in 1991 to 3407 in 1992. The 3,407 commitments in 1992 represent the fewest number of commitments since 1988.
- From 1991 to 1992 the number of Concord commitments decreased 31 percent, Framingham commitments decreased 11 percent, and Cedar Junction commitments decreased less than one percent.
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord, while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was a decrease of 8 percent in male commitments and a decrease of 11 percent in female commitments from 1991 to 1992.
- Sentence lengths for new court commitments increased from 1991 to 1992. The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was six years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 57 percent of all male commitments and 15 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 85 percent of all female commitments and 43 percent of all male commitments. From 1991 to 1992 there were increases in commitments for person offenses and sex offenses. There were decreases in commitments for property offenses, drug offenses, and "other" offenses. Overall, the proportion of commitments for violent offenses increased when compared with 1991 for both male and female commitments.
- The median age at commitment was 28 years.
- Fifty percent of the commitment population were Caucasian, 28 percent were African American, 21 percent were Hispanic, and one percent were other races.
- Fifty-one percent of those committed were serving their first adult incarceration and 49 percent had one or more prior adult incarcerations.

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Abstract	. <b>i</b>
Introduction	1
Trends in Court Commitments, 1983 to 1992	1
Figure 1: Court Commitments 1983 to 1992	2
Figure 2: Court Commitments by Institution 1983 to 1992	2
Trends in Court Commitments, 1988 to 1992	2
Table 1: Selected Characteristics of Offenders Committed to the DOC, 1988 to 1992	4
A Description of 1992 Court Commitments	. 7
1992 Commitment Tables	11
Nature of Present Offense	.11
Month of Commitment	12
Court from Which Committed	13
County of Court from Which Committed	14
Jail Credit Days	15
Minimum Sentence	16
Maximum Sentence	17
Minimum Sentence by Present Offense: Cedar Junction	18
Maximum Sentence by Present Offense: Concord	19
Type of Sentence by Present Offense: Framingham	20
Sentence Type	- 21
Present Offense: General Categories	22
Present Offense: Person Offenses	23
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	24
Present Offense: Property Offenses	25
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	26
Present Offense: Other Offenses	27
Number of Charges: Current Offense	28
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility	29

# **Table of Contents**

Personal Background Characteristics	
Age at Incarceration	•
Sex	
Race/Ethnicity	
Citizenship	٠.
Marital Status	
Military Discharge	
Prior Address: Selected Cities/Towns	
Prior Address: County	
Prior Address: MSA	:
Occupation	
Last Grade Completed	
Criminal History	
Total Number of Court Appearances	
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	
Number of Charges for Property offenses	
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	
Number of Charges for Weapons Offenses	
lumber of Charges for Public Order Offenses	
Sumber of Charges for Motor Vehicle Offenses	
Sumber of Charges for Prostitution Offenses	
lumber of Charges for Other Offenses	
rior House of Correction Incarcerations	,
rior State or Federal Incarcerations	•
rior Adult Incarcerations	
Sumber of Prior Probations	
lumber of Prior Defaults	
ge at First Court Appearance	
ge at First Court Appearance for Alcohol Offense	
age at First Court Appearance for Drug Offense	

#### Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1992. The report contains information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics, and criminal history of individuals committed from the courts. Trends in court commitments over the last five to ten years are discussed as well. This report is based on information that was submitted to the Research Division from the three committing institutions and the Board of Probation.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year. If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1992, each court commitment for that individual is counted separately. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1992 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information is helpful when reading the tables in the back of report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The columns marked "N" represent the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The columns marked "%" represent the percentage of the number of individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents). Due to rounding of percents to whole numbers, the table percentages may not total 100.


Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. The number of unknown cases is high for some of the

personal background characteristic variables. In the narrative, these cases are excluded when discussing percentages.

#### Trends in Court Commitments, 1983 to 1992

There were 3,407 commitments during 1992, a nine percent decrease from the previous year. Figure 1 shows the number of commitments for the period 1983 to 1992. The number of commitments increased from 1983 to 1990, and decreased in 1991 and 1992.


Figure 1 Court Commitments, 1983 to 1992



Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. Figure 2 shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1983 to 1992. The number of commitments to Cedar Junction increased during the period 1983 to 1991 with a slight decrease from 1991 to 1992. Commitments to Concord are the lowest since 1983. Commitments to Framingham increased steadily during the seven year period 1983 to 1989, followed by a decrease in commitments for the years 1990 through 1992.

From 1991 to 1992 changes in commitment levels varied at the three committing institutions. Cedar Junction commitments decreased from 2,042 in 1991 to 2,037 in 1992, a decrease of less than one percent. Concord commitments decreased from 686 in 1991 to 475 in 1992 a decrease of 211 commitments or 31 percent. Framingham decreased from 1,009 commitments in 1991 to 895 in 1992, a decrease of 114 commitments or 11 percent. Overall, male commitments decreased by 8 percent and female commitments decreased by 11 percent.

Figure 2
Court Commitments by Institution



### Trends in Court Commitments, 1988 to 1992

Table 1 shows selected characteristics of offenders committed to the DOC over the last five years, 1988 to 1992. These characteristics are shown separately for each committing institution and for total commitments.

Overall, the number of commitments increased 15 percent (N=442) from 2,965 commitments in 1988 to 3,407 commitments in 1992. However, the steady growth in the

number of commitments during the previous years has reversed with a decrease in commitments for 1991 and 1992.

#### Present Offense

The proportion of commitments for violent offenses has increased over the last five years. In 1988, 38 percent of DOC commitments were for violent offenses (i.e. person and sex offenses) and 62 percent were for non-violent offenses (i.e. property, drug, and "other" offenses). In 1992, 46 percent of the commitments were for violent offenses and 54 percent were for non-violent offenses.

The primary offense for which individuals are committed to the DOC has changed over the past five years. Commitments for person, sex, and drug offenses have increased, while commitments for property and "other" offenses have decreased.

Commitments for person offenses increased 45 percent over the five year period (N=380). Commitments for person offenses increased at Cedar Junction and Framingham while they decreased at Concord. Person offense commitments were up by 72 percent (N=365) at Cedar Junction, and 23 percent (N=24) at Framingham, while they were down by 4 percent (N=9) at Concord.

The number of offenders committed for sex offenses increased by 18 percent (N=51) over the five year period. Commitments for sex offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 39 percent (N=75), while they decreased at Concord by 28 percent (N=34) and at Framingham by 14 percent (N=2) during the past five years. Commitments for sex offenses involving a minor (rape of child or indecent assault and battery of child) increased 43 percent from 155 in 1988 to 221 in 1992. Commitments for sex offenses involving an

adult victim (aggravated rape, rape, or indecent assault and battery) decreased 8 percent from 117 in 1988 to 107 in 1992.

Commitments for drug offenses increased by 12 percent over the five year period (N=92). Commitments for drug offenses to Cedar Junction increased by 36 percent (N=157), commitments for drug offenses to Concord decreased by 44 percent (N=47), and commitments for drug offenses to Framingham decreased by eight percent (N=18).

Commitments for property offenses decreased 8 percent over the five year period (N=57). Commitments for property offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 26 percent (N=53), and decreased at Concord by 24 percent (N=35), and at Framingham by 22 percent (N=75).

Commitments for offenses in the "other" offense category decreased by 6 percent (N=24) over the past five years. For Framingham, operating under the influence of alcohol, prostitution, common night walker, disturbing the peace, motor vehicle offenses, and trespassing are the most common offenses in the "other" offense category. For Cedar Junction and Concord, this category consists predominantly of weapons offenses. Commitments to Cedar Junction for "other" offenses increased by 23 commitments, and those to Concord increased by 9 commitments. Commitments to Framingham for "other" offenses decreased by 17 percent (N=56)during the period.

#### Sentence Length

Patterns in sentence length over the past six years is considered separately for each committing institution. Upon comparing the distribution of sentence length for Cedar Junction commitments during 1988 with 1992, the proportion of commitments for sentences of less than 5 years decreased from 39 percent in 1988 to 32 percent in 1992, the proportion

of commitments for sentences of 5-9 years increased from 91 percent in 1988 to 47 percent in 1992, and the proportion of commitments for sentences of 10-15 years increased from 11 percent in 1988 to 13 percent in 1992. The proportion of commitments with sentences of 16 years or more (including life), decreased from 8 percent in 1988 to 7 percent in 1992.

Sentence length distribution also changed for Concord commitments from 1988 to 1992. The proportion of 1992 commitments with sentence lengths of less than 5 years stayed the same at 15 percent. The proportion of Concord commitments with sentences of 5-9 years increased from 29 percent in 1988 to 35 percent in 1992. The proportion with sentences of 10 years or more decreased from 56 percent in 1988 to 50 percent in 1992.

Women committed to Framingham can serve either a county, reformatory, or state prison sentence. Over the past five years the number of women committed with state prison sentences increased 81 percent (N=48), the number of women committed with reformatory sentences increased 49 percent (N=21), and the number of women committed with county sentences decreased 21 percent (N=196). In 1988, 90 percent of Framingham commitments were for county sentences and 10 percent were for state prison or reformatory sentences and in 1992, 81 percent were commitments for county sentences and 19 percent were for state prison or reformatory sentences.

#### Age at Incarceration

The age distribution of males committed to the Department shifted downward over the five year period while the age distribution for females shifted upward. In 1988, 55 percent of the Cedar Junction commitments were under 30 years and 45 percent were 30 years or older. By 1992, 57 percent were under 30 years and 43 percent were 30 years or older. For Concord commitments, in 1986, 69 percent were under

30 years and 31 percent were 30 years or older. In 1992, 74 percent were under 30 years and 26 percent were 30 years or older.

The age distribution shifted upward

among commitments to Framingham. In 1988, 58 percent of the commitments were under 30 years and 42 percent were 30 years or older. By 1992, 52 percent of the commitments were under 30 years and 48 percent were 30 years or older.

Table 1.

<u>Selected Characteristics of Offenders</u>

<u>Committed to the DOC,</u>

1988 to 1992

							130.11			
Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	·	1988		1989		1990	1	991	. 1	1992
Present Offense	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cedar Junction										
Person	509	(17)	666	(18)	727	(19)	840	(22)	874	(26)
Sex	194	(6)	265	(7)	229	(6)	239	(6)	269	(8)
Property	205	(7)	225	(6)	210	(6)	233	(6)	258	(8)
Drug	432	(14)	685	(18)	764	(20)	674	(18)	589	(17)
Other	24	(1)	47	(1)	55	(1)	56	(1)	47	(1)
Sub-Total	1364	(46)	1888	(50)	1985	(52)	2042	(55)	2037	(60)
Concord			•			٠				
Person	232	(8).	289	(8)	253	(7)	281	(8)	. 223	(6)
Sex	78	(3)	124	(3)	108	(3)	107	(3)	56	(2)
Property	143	(5)	161	(4)	180	(5)	154	(4)	108	(3)
Drug	106	(4)	135	(4)	128	(3)	104	(3)	59	(2)
Other	20	(1)	41	(1)	36	. (1)	40	(1)	29	(1)
Sub-Total	579	(20)	750	(20)	705	(18)	686	(18)	475	(14)
Framingham										
Person	102	(3)	117	(3)	95	(2)	115	(3)	126	(4)
Sex	. 14	(0)	10	(0)	7	(0)	14	(0)	12	(0)
Property	332	(11)	359	(9)	333	(9)	269	(7)	257	(8)
Drug	239	(8)	312	(8)	340	(9)	289	(8)	221	(6)
Other	335	(11)	344	(9)	329	(9)	322	(9)	279	(8)
Sub-Total	1022	(34)	1142	(30)	1104	(29)	1009	(27)	895	(26)
All Institutions				•			,			
Person	843	(28)	1072	(28)	1075	(29)	1236	(33)	1223	(36)
Sex	286	(10)	399	(10)	344	(9)	360	(10)	337	(10)
Property	680	(23)	745	(20)	723	(19)	656	(18)	623	(18).
Drug	777	(26)	1132	(30)	1232	(33)	1067	(28)	869	(26)
Other	379	(13)	432	(11)	420	(11)	418	(11)	355	(10)
Total	. 2965	(100)	3780	(100)	3794	(100)	3737	(100)	3407	(100)

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic		1988		1989	•	1990		1991		1992
Present Offense: Sex Offense	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
All Institutions					÷				4	
Rape/Assault	117	(41)	152	(38)	141	(41)	139	(39)	107	(32)
Rape/Assault-Minor	155	(54)	236	(59)	201	(58)	217	(60)	221	(66)
Other Sex Offense	14	(5)	11	(3)	2	(1)	4	(1)	9	(3)
Total	286	(100)	399	(100)	344	(100)	360	(100)	337	(100)
	-				,					
Sentence Length					•					
Cedar Junction - Minimum Ser	ntence						4			
Less than 5 years	535	(39)	739	(39)	759	(38)	731	(36)	663	(32)
5 to 9 years	566	(41)	766	(40)	828	(42)	890	(44)	959	(47)
10 to 15 years	156	(11)	249	(13)	284	(14)	288	(14)	265	(13)
16 years or more	44	(3)	61	(3)	50	(2)	61	(3)	85	(4)
Life	63	(5)	73	(4)	64	(3)	72	(4)	65	(3)
Total	1364	(100)	1888	(100)	1985	(100)	2042	(100)	2037	(100)
Concord - Maximum Sentence										
Less than 5 years	87	(15)	129	(17)	153	(22)	161	(23)	73	(15)
5 to 9 years	167	(29)	232	(31)	237	(34)	216	(31)	164	(3.4)
10 to 15 years	242	(42)	283	(38)	252	(36)	246	(36)	191ر	(40)
16 years or more	83	(14)	106	(14)	63	(9) -	63	(9)	47	(10)
Total	<b>579</b> <sub>5</sub>	(100)	750	(100)	705	(100)	686	(100)	475	(100)
Framingham - Type of Sentence	e .								·	4
County	920	(90)	974	(85)	893	(81)	820	(81)	724	(81)
Reformatory	43	(4)	72	(6)	80	(7)	75	(7)	64	(7)
State Prison	59	(6)	96	(8)	131	(12)	114	(11)	107	(12)
Total	1022	(100)	1142	(100)	1104	(100)	1009	(100)	895	(100)

Table 1. (cont.)

Committing Institutio	n.										
and Offender Charact	teristic	]	1988		1989		1990		1991		1992
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
A Tunousoustinu		<b>N</b>	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Age at Incarceration		14	%	18	70	IN.	70	14	70	1, 14	70
Cedar Junction											
19 or younger		63	. (2)	134	(4)	147	(4)	166	(4)	192	(6)
20 to 24		331	(11)	405	(11)	487	(13)	527	(14)	538	(16)
25 to 29		354	(12)	476	(12)	466	(12)	475	(13)	437	(13)
30 to 39		430	(14)	596	(16)	617	(16)	_611	(16)	605	(18)
40 and older		186	(6)	277	(7)	268	(7)	263	(7)	. 265	(8)
Sub-Total		1364	(46)	1888	(50)	1985	(52)	2042	(55)	2037	(60)
Concord											
19 or younger		93	(3)	116	(3)	115	(3)	121	(3)	104	(3)
20 to 24		181	(6)	236	(6)	226	(6)	223	. (6)	162	(5).
25 to 29		128	(4)	164	(4)	138	(4)	144	(4)	87	(2)
30 to 39	7	129	(4)	177	(5)	165	(4)	139	(4)	85	(2)
40 and older		. 48	(2)	57	(2)	61	(2)	59	. (2)	37	(1)
Sub-Total		579	(20)	750	(20)	705	(18)	686	(18)	475	(14)
Framingham	÷							٠.			
19 or younger		42	(1)	49	. (1)	36	(1)	41	(1)	30	(1)
20 to 24		247	(8)	247	(6)	237	(6)	211	(6)	184	(5)
25 to 29		305	(10)	368	(10)	324	(8)	297	(8)	249	(7)
30 to 39		349	(12)	390	(10)	405	(11)	344	(9)	327	(10)
40 and older		. 79	(3)	88	(2)	102	(3)	116	(3)	105	(3)
Sub-Total		1022	(34)	1142	(30)	1104	(29)	1009	(27)	895	(26)
All Institutions			•		•					•	
19 or younger		198	(7)	299	(8)	298	(8)	328	(9)	326	(10)
20 to 24		759	(26)	888	(23)	950	(25)	961	(26)	884	(26)
25 to 29		787	(26)	1008	(27)	928	(24)	916	(24)	773	(23)
30 to 39		908	(31)	1163	(31)	1187	(31)	1094	(29)	1017	(30)
40 and older		313	(10)	422	(11)	431	(11)	438	(12)	407	(12)
Total		2965	(100)	3780	(100)	3794	(100)	3737	(100)	3407	(100)

# A Description of 1992 Court Commitments

This section presents a description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1992. This discussion is based on information presented in the tables beginning at page 11 of this report. It includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics, and criminal history of the offender. Where relevant, the three committing institutions are compared.

#### Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment population, the governing offense is represented. This is the offense for which the committed individual received the longest sentence.

Court of Commitment. Seventy-six percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Four Superior courts, Suffolk, Middlesex, Hampden and Worcester, accounted for 51 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 85 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Courts. In contrast, 19 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts. Most commitments to Framingham were from district courts (77 percent) and municipal courts (4 percent), (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk County, Brookline Municipal Court is a lower court of Norfolk County and district courts are lower courts of all other. counties).

Minimum Sentence. Only those individuals who receive a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) receive a minimum sentence. Generally, minimum state prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (for example, some drug offenses carry shorter prison sentences). The median minimum

sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was six years. There were 107 commitments to Framingham for state prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was five years.

Seventy-one individuals received life sentences during 1992 including 65 males and 6 females. The 71 life sentences included 35 for first-degree murder, 34 for second-degree murder, and 2 for sex offenses.

Maximum Sentence. All individuals committed to the DOC have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence. Generally, maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum sentence length of less than two and one-half years. Males receiving county sentences are committed to houses of correction while many of the females receiving county sentences are committed to Framingham. Of the 895 commitments to Framingham, 107 (12 percent) received state prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 64 (7 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 724 (81 percent) received county sentences, including 46 committed for non-payment of a fine.

Type of Sentence. Most individuals committed to the Department during 1992 received simple sentences (31 percent) or concurrent sentences (38 percent). Twenty percent of the commitments received split sentences; Cedar Junction (18 percent), Concord (20 percent) and Framingham (23 percent). Less frequently received are aggregate, forthwith, or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (10 percent). Five percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varied by committing institution. Fifty-six percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 59 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses (person or sex offenses). In contrast, 15 percent of the commitments to Framingham were for violent offenses.

Most women were committed for "other" offenses (31 percent), property offenses (29 percent), or drug offenses (25 percent).

Offenses against the person accounted for 36 percent of all commitments to the Department 43 percent for Cedar Junction, 47 percent for Concord, and 14 percent for Framingham. The most common offenses against the person were armed robbery (N=482), armed assault (N=285), and unarmed robbery (N=147). There were 188 commitments for homicides in 1992, including 35 for first degree murder, 34 for second degree murder, 96 for manslaughter, and 24 for vehicular homicide. The number of commitments for first-degree murder increased by 15, while the number of commitments in the other homicide categories decreased by 14 from 1991.

Sex offenses accounted for ten percent of all commitments to the DOC including 13 percent to Cedar Junction, 12 percent to Concord, and one percent to Framingham. There were 264 commitments for rape during 1992 and 64 commitments for sexual assaults. Of the rapes and sexual assaults, 107 involved adult victims and 221 involved minors. Additionally, there were 9 commitments for other sex offenses during 1992.

Property offenses accounted for 22 percent of all commitments to the Department, varying from 13 percent to Cedar Junction, 23 percent to Concord, and 29 percent to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments; larceny was the most common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 26 percent of all commitments during 1992 varying from 29 percent to Cedar Junction, 12 percent to Concord, and 25 percent to Framingham. There were 383 commitments whose governing offense was a mandatory drug offense, which account for 44 percent of all drug commitments.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 2 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 6 percent of Concord commitments, and 31 percent of Framingham commitments, or 10 percent of all commitments to the DOC. The most common offenses in this category for Framingham commitments were operating under the influence (N=104), prostitution (N=86), common night walker (N=21), disturbing the peace (N=18), motor vehicle offense (N=15), and trespassing (N=13). Cedar Junction and Concord commitments for "other" offenses consisted mostly of weapons offenses (N=47).

Number of Charges. Forty-seven percent of the commitments to the DOC had one charge for which they are currently incarcerated, and fifty-three percent were committed for more than one charge. The number of charges that resulted in the current commitment ranged from 1 to 46.

Time Until Parole Eligibility. An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2-3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was 7-9 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was 3 months or less.

Individuals can have no parole eligibility for a number of reasons. Some mandatory sentences have no possible release to parole and some sentences are too short for parole eligibility to be established, i.e., less

than sixty days. In a small number of cases, individuals can be beyond their original parole eligibility at the time of commitment to the DOC if they were in jail for a sufficient time awaiting sentencing.

#### Personal Background Characteristics

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. This information is reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

Age at Incarceration. The median age of offenders at incarceration was 28 years. Their ages ranged from 16 years to 77 years. The median age of Cedar Junction commitments was 28 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 23 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 29 years.

Sex. All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males. All Framingham commitments are females. During 1992, 26 percent of the commitments were females and 74 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Fifty percent of the 1992 commitments were Caucasian, 28 percent were African American, and 21 percent were identified as Hispanic. There were also 28 Asians and 6 Native Americans committed to the DOC.

Citizenship. Ninety-six percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and 4 percent were citizens of other countries. Sixty percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 20 percent were born in other states, 10 percent were born in U.S. territories, and 6 percent were U.S. citizens born in other countries.

Marital Status. Most of the commitments were single (73 percent). Sixteen percent were married, 3 persons were common law at the time of their commitment,

7 percent were divorced, 3 percent were separated, and one percent were widowed.

Military History. Of the offenders committed to Cedar Junction, 14 percent (N=285) reported a history of military service. Of these, 79 percent (N=225) were honorably discharged from the military.

Prior Address. Almost all commitments (95 percent) were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Of these, three communities accounted for 38 percent of the commitments: Boston (N=715), Springfield (N=269), and Worcester (N=245).

Occupation. Of the offenders committed to Cedar Junction, work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (55 percent) and service (36 percent).

Education. The median educational level was 11th grade for persons committed to Cedar Junction and 12th grade for persons committed to Framingham. Twelve percent of those committed to Cedar Junction or Framingham had graduated from college or received some college education. However, 51 percent had not graduated from high school at time of commitment.

#### Criminal History

In this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations, defaults and probations will be discussed. Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from Superior Court Reports or automated reports prepared by the Board of Probation. These reports identify the number of arraignments and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information.

Court Appearances. The number of court appearances represents the number of separate dates on which an offender was arraigned on new charges prior to their present

commitment. The median number of prior court appearances was nine. The court record is also reviewed for the number of arraignments for a variety of offense types. Seventy-three percent had one or more arraignments for person offenses, 75 percent for property offenses, 17 percent for sex offenses, 62 percent for drug offenses, 32 percent for alcohol offenses, 10 percent for escape offenses, 29 percent for weapons offenses, 57 percent for public order offenses, 49 percent for motor vehicle offenses, 11 percent for prostitution offenses, and 8 percent for "other" offenses.

**Prior Incarcerations.** Of the offenders committed in 1992, 46 percent had a history of incarceration for a county sentence; and 14 percent had a history of incarceration for a state or federal sentence. Fifty-one percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult and 49 percent had one or more prior adult incarcerations.

Prior Probations. Of the offenders committed in 1992, 60 percent had a history of one or more prior periods of probation supervision and 40 percent had no probation supervisions prior to the current commitment.

**Prior Defaults.** Of the offenders committed in 1992, 88 percent had one or more defaults on their criminal record and 22 percent had no defaults on their criminal record.

Age at Entry into Criminal Justice System. Sixty percent of those committed had their first court appearance at age 19 or younger. Of these, 38 percent had their first court appearance for an alcohol offense at age 19 or younger and 34 percent had their first court appearance for a drug offense at age 19 or younger.

NATURE OF PRESENT OFFENSE

## MONTH OF COMMITMENT

		COMMIT	TING ]	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	o <sub>0</sub>
	N	કુ :	N	95	N	8		
MONTH OF COMMITMENT								
January	183	(9)	66	(14)	79	(9)	328	(10)
February	192	(9)	37	(8)	60	(7)	289	(8)
March	178	(9)	34	(7)	102		314	(9)
April	183	(9)	50	(11)	96	(11)	329	(10)
May	`186	(9)	48	(10)	82	(9)	316	(9)
June	205	(10)	44	(9)	86	(10)	335	(10)
July	156	(8)	36	(8)	64	(7)	256	(8)
August	112	(5)	34	(7)	54	(6)	200	(6)
September	164	(8)	34	(7)	81	(9)	279	(8)
October	1.52	. (7)	33	(7)	83	(9)	268	(8)
November	164	(8)	29	(6)	62	(7)	255	(7)
December	162	(8)	30	(6)	46	(5)	238	(7)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		COMMIT	TING I	NSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cec Junct	lar ion	Conc	ord	Frami	ngham	N	90
	N	8	N	ક	N	ુ		•
COURT FROM WHICH					~		•	
COMMITTED								
Barnstable Superior	60		8	(2)	2.	(0)	70	(2)
Berkshire Superior	56	- (3)	2	(0)	10	.(1)	68	(2
Bristol Superior	196	(10)	24	(5)	16	(2).	236	(7
Essex Superior	168	(8)	34	(7)	9	(1)	211	(6
Franklin Superior	14	(1)	1	(0)	1	(0)	16	(o
Hampden Superior	257	(13)	60	(13)	41	(5)	358	(11
Hampshire Superior	29	(1)	3	(1)	0	(0)	32	(1
Middlesex Superior	392	(19)	60	(13)	20	(2)	472	(14)
Norfolk Superior	82	(4)	27	(6)	. 7	(1)	116	(3)
Plymouth Superior	- 83	(4)	18	(4)	5	(1)	106	(3)
Suffolk Superior	475	(23)	106	(22)	34	(4)	615	(18
Worcester Superior	225	(11)	60	(13)	22	(2)	307	
Municipal Courts	0	(0)	10	(2)			45	(1
District Courts	0	(0)	62	(13)	693	(77)	755	(22
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# COUNTY OF COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		To	al
	Ced Junct	dar ion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	% %
	N	ૄ	N	용	N	%		
COUNTY OF COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED				·,	•·			
Barnstable County	60	(3)	10	(2)	2	(0)	72	(2
Berkshire County	56	(3)	. 2	(0)	10	(1)	68	(2
Bristol County	196	(10)	28	(6)	17	(2)	241	
Essex County	168	(8)	55	(12)	137	(15)	360	(11
Franklin County	14	(1)	3	(1)	2	(0)	19	(1
Hampden County	257	(13)	61	(13)	141	(16)	459	(13
Hampshire County	29	(1)	3	(1)	2	(0)	34	(1
Middlesex County	392	(19)	65	(14)	205	(23)	662	(19
Norfolk County	82	(4)	38	(8)	57	(6)	177	(5
Plymouth County	83	(4)	23	(5)	60	(7)	166	(5
Suffolk County	475	(23)	121	(25)	76	(8)	672	(20
Worcester County	225	(11)	66	(14)	186	(21)	477	(14
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

JAIL CREDIT DAYS

		COMMIT	TING 3	INSTIT	UTION		To	 cal
	Ced Junct	dar tion	Concord		Framingham		N	
	N	8	N	8	N			
JAIL CREDIT DAYS								
None	456	(22)	175	(37)	558	(62)	1189	(35)
1 - 10	137	. (7)	55	(12)	91	(10)	283	(8)
11 - 50	215	(11)	80	(17)	158	(18)	453	(13)
51 - 100	213	(10)	55	(12)	32	(4)	3.00	(9)
101 - 150	298	(15)	51	(11)	22	(2)	371	(11)
151 - 200	214	(11)	40	(8)	. 9	(1)	263	(8)
Over 200	504	(25)	19	(4)	25	(3)	548	(16)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### MINIMUM SENTENCE

	÷	COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	cal	
	Ced Junct	lar ion	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	용	
	N	8	N	8	N	 ક			
MINIMUM SENTENCE									
1 Year	2	(0)	. 0	(0)	.8	(1)	10	(0)	
2 Years	28	(1)	0	(0)	3	(0)	31	(1)	
	404	(20)	0	(0)			433		
4 Years	229	(11)		(0)		(1)	240	(7)	
5 Years	301	(15)	0	(0)			316	(9)	
6 Years	237	(12)		(0)		(2)	251	(7)	
7 Years	133	(7)	0		6	(1)	139		
8 Years	113	(6)			2	(0)	115	٠.,	
9 Years		(9)	0		2	(0)	177		
10 Years		(6)	0	(0)	2	(0)	119		
11 - 12 Years	65	(3)	0	(0)	1	(0)	66		
13 - 15 Years	83	(4)	0	(0)	6	(1)			
16 - 19 Years	55	(3)	0	(0)	2	(0)	57	(2)	
20 - 24 Years	17	(1)	0	(0)	1 6 2	(0)	17		
25 Years or More			0	(0)		(0)			
Life		(3)			6				
Indeterminate	0	(0)	475	(100)	788	(88)		(37)	
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)	

#### MAXIMUM SENTENCE

		COMMIT	TING	INSTIT	NOITU		Tot	al
	Ced Junct	lar ion	Cond	cord	Framir	ngham	N	· 왕
	N	ૄ	N	%	N	%		
MAXIMUM SENTENCE								
Fine	0	(0)	. 0	(0)	93	(10)	- 93	(3
Less Than 1 Year	0		2	(0)	400	(45)		(12
1 Year	1		7	(1)	179	(20)		(5
2 Years	1		63			(9)		(4
3 Years	50	(2)	0	(0)	8		58	(2
4 Years	10	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)	13	(0
5 Years	422	(21)	146	(31)	40	(4)	608	(18
6 Years	, 97	(5)	13	(3)	6	(1)	116	(3
7 Years	239	(12)	2	(0)	18		259	(8
8 Years	85	(4)	1	(0)	5	(1)	91	(3
9 Years	58	(3)	2	(0)		. (0)		(2
10 Years	513		156	(33)			705	(21
11 - 12 Years	142	(7)		(1)	3	(0)	149	(4
13 - 15 Years	154	(8)		(7)	3		188	(6
16 - 19 Years	18	(1)	1	(0)	2		21	(1
20 - 24 Years	145	(7)	46	(10)				(6
25 Years or More	3.7		Ô	(0)	1	(0)	38	
Life	65		0	(0)	6	(1)	71	(2
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

#### MINIMUM SENTENCE BY PRESENT OFFENSE: CEDAR JUNCTION

			<b></b>		PRESI	ENT OFFE	INSE				٠	Total
	·	Person		Sex	Pı	roperty		Drug		Other	1	л :
	N	ું	N		N N	왕	N	왕	N	 왕		
MINIMUM SENTENCE												
1 Year	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(4)	. 2	(0)
2 Years	16	(2)	1	(0)	5	(2)	3	(1)	3	(6)	28	(1)
3 Years	157	(18)	38	(14)	47	(18)	143	(24)	19	(40)	404	(20)
4 Years	117	(13)	29	(11)	3 5	(14)	36	(6)	12	(26)	229	(11)
5 Years	96	(11)	40	(15)	37	(14)	124	(21)	4	(9)	301	(15)
5 Years	78	(9)	36	(13)	30	(12)	90	(15)	3	(6)	237	(12)
7 Years	69	(8)	22	(8)	15	(6)	26	(4)	1	(2)	133	(7)
3 Years	59	(7)	19	(7)	20	(8)	15	(3)	0	(0)	113	(6)
9 Years	52	(6)	. 26	(10)	40	(16)	55	(9)	2	(4)	175	(9)
LO Years	57	(7)	14	(5)	15	(6)	31	(5)	0	(0)	117	(6)
ll - 12 Years	36	(4)	13	(5)	8	(3)	7	(1)	i	(2)	65	(3)
l3 - 15 Years	27	(3).	7	(3)	3	(1)	46	(8)	0	(0)	83	(4)
l6 - 19 Years	34	(4)	7	(3)	1	(0)	13	(2)	ō	(0)	55	(3)
20 - 24 Years	6	(1)	9	(3)	2	(1)	0	(0)	Ö	(0)	17	(1)
5 Years or More	7	(1)	6	(2)	0	(0)	0	(0)	ō	(0)	13	(1)
Life	63	(7)	. 2	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	65	(3)
Cotal	874	(100)	269	(100)	258	(100)	589	(100)	47	(100)	2037	(100)

## MAXIMUM SENTENCE BY PRESENT OFFENSE: CONCORD

					PRESE	NT OFFEN	SE		•			Total
	1	Person		Sex	P1	roperty		Drug	,	Other	N	 %
	N	8	N	۶ ا	N	 %	N	જ	n N	9		
 MAXIMUM SENTENCE			· <del></del> .									
Less Than 1 Year	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(2)	1	(3)	2	(0)
1 Year	2	(1)	0	(0)	. 0	(0)	1	(2)	4	(14)	7	(1)
2 Years	10	(4)	1	(2)	36	(33)	7	(12)	9	(31)	63	(13)
4 Years	0	(0)	1	(2)	0	(0)	0.	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
5 Years	72	(32)	16	(29)	23	(21)	24	(41)	11	(38)	146	(31)
6 Years	4	(2)	3	(5)	1	(1)	5	(8)	-0	(0)	13	(3)
7 Years	2	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)
8 Years	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	,ī	(0)
9 Years	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(2)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)
10 Years	86	(39)	15	(27)	33	(31)	18	(31)	4	(14)	156	(33)
11 - 12 Years	2	(1)	1	(2)	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	4	(1)
13 - 15 Years	18	(8)	5	(9)	6	(6)	2	(3)	0	(0)	31	
16 - 19 Years	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
20 - 24 Years	25	(11)	14	(25)	6	(6)	1	(2)	0	(0)	46	(10)
Total	223	(100)	56	(100)	108	(100)	59	(100)	29	(100)	475	(100)

TYPE OF SENTENCE BY PRESENT OFFENSE: FRAMINGHAM

					PRESEI	NT OFFE	NSE			•	7	rotal
	]	Person		Sex	Pı	roperty		Drug		Other	1	J &
	N	*	N	%	N	8	N	~ १	n N	엉		
TYPE OF SENTENCE								- ~ ~				
Prison Reformatory	44 22	(35) (17)	3 1	(25) (8)	15 13	(6) (5)	45 25	(20) (11)	0 3	(O) (1)	107 64	(12) (7)
County	60	(48)		(67)	229	(89)	151	(68)	276	(99)	724	(81)
Total	126	(100)	12	(100)	257	(100)	221	(100)	279	(100)	895	(100)

#### SENTENCE TYPE

		COMMIT	TING 1	INSTIT	UTION		Total	
•	Cedar Junction		Cond	Concord		ngham	N	용
	N	%	Ŋ	%	N	용		
SENTENCE TYPE								
Simple Sentence	608	(30)	210	(44)	249	(28)	1067	(31)
Concurrent Sentence	779	(38)	153	(32)	376	(42)	1308	(38)
Aggregate Sentence	28	(1)	5	(1)	10	(1)	43	(1)
Forthwith Sentence From & After	208	(10)	0	(0)	0	(0)	208	(6)
Sentence	40	(2)	14	(3)	8	(1)	62	(2)
Split Sentence	374	(18)	93	(20)	206	(23)	673	(20)
Fine	0	(0)	0	(0)	46	(5)	46	(1)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### PRESENT OFFENSE: GENERAL CATEGORIES

		COMMIT	TING I	NSTIT	UTION		Tot	al	
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framin	ngham	N	ુ ક	
	N	8	N	8	N	ş	۵		
PRESENT OFFENSE									
Person	874	(43)	223	(47)	126	(14)	1223	(36)	
Sex .	269	(13)	56	(12)	12	(1)	337	(10)	
Property	258	(13)	108	(23)	257	(29)	623	(18)	
Drug	589	(29)	59	(12)	221	(25)	869	(26)	
Other	47.	(2)	29	(6)	279	(31)	355	(10)	
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)	

#### PRESENT OFFENSE: PERSON OFFENSES

		ŲTION	Total					
	Ced Junct	lar Lion	Cond	cord	Framin	igham	N	9;
	N	%	N	8	N	* *		
PERSON OFFENSES					~			
Not Applicable	1163	(57)	252	(53)	769	(86)	2184	(64)
Murder-1	30	(1)	0	(0)	5	(1)		(1)
Murder-2	33	(2)	0	(0)	1	(0)	34	(1)
Manslaughter	81	(4)	9	(2)	6	(1)		(3)
Vehicular Homicide	12	(1)	, 5		7	(1)	24	(1)
Assault w.i. Murder	7	(0)	3	(1)	2	(0)	12	(0)
Accessory to Murder	4	(0)	2	(0)	2	(0)	8	(0)
Armed Robbery	346	(17)	117	(25)	19	(2)	482	(14)
Unarmed Robbery	103	(5)	32	(7)	12	(1)	147	(4)
Armed Assault	208	(10)	43	(9)	34	(4)	285	
Unarmed Assault	21	(1)	9	(2)	34	(4)	64	(2)
Mayhem	13	(1)	. 0	(0)	0	(0)	13	(0)
Kidnapping	10	(0)	2	(0).	1	(0)	1.3	(0)
Extortion	3	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)	5	(0)
Civil Rights	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Conspiracy	2	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	4	(0)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# PRESENT OFFENSE: SEX OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	tal
	Ced	dar tion	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	95
	. N	ક .	N	8	N	<del></del> %		
SEX OFFENSES								
Not Applicable	1768	(87)	419	(88)	883	(99)	3070	(90)
Rape	41	(2)	12	(3)	0	(0)	53	(2)
Aggravated Rape	24	(1)	1	(0)	1	(0)	26	(1)
Assault w.i. Rape	20	(1)	7	(1)	. 1	(0)	28	(1)
Rape of a Minor	160	(8)	22	(5)	3	(0)	185	(5)
Assault w.i. Rape		• •		(-,	J	( 0 )	707	(5)
Minor	23	(1)	13	(3)	0	(0)	36	(1)
Unnatural Acts	0	(0)	1	(0)	. 6	(1)	7	(0)
Other Sex Offenses	O	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	í	(0)
Pornography	1	(0)	0	(0)	ō	(0)	1	(0)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100).	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# PRESENT OFFENSE: PROPERTY OFFENSES

		COMMI	TING	INSTIT	UTION	·, <b></b>	To	tal
	Ced	dar Lion	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	왕
•	N	8	N	%	N	왕		
PROPERTY OFFENSES								
Not Applicable	1779	(87)	367	(77)	638	(71)	2784	(82)
Arson	17	(1)	12	(3)	3	(0)	32	
Burglary-Armed	11	(1)	3	(1)	0	(0)	14	(1)
Burglary	156	(8)	53	(11)	26	(3)	235	(0)
Burglary Tools	3	(0)	0	(0)	20	(0)	235	, ,
Stealing	3	(0)	1.	(0)	38	(4)	42	(0)
Larceny from Person	5	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	42	(1)
Larceny	23	(1)	14		117	(13)	154	(0)
Motor Vehicle Theft Unauthorized Use	15	(1)	13	(3)	18	(2)	46	(5) (1)
M.V.	0	(0)	_					
Forgery-Uttering	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	. 1	(0)
Common Theft	9 1	(0)	1	(0)	17	(2)	27,	
Fraud	3	(0)	0	(0)	1	,	2	(0)
Recv. Stolen Goods		(0)	2	(0)	4	(0)		(0)
Property Injuries	9 3	(O) (O)	5 4	(1) (1)	28	(3) (0)	42 10	(1) (0)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# PRESENT OFFENSE: DRUG OFFENSES

		COMMI	TTING	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	 cal
	Ce Junc	dar tion	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	
	N	음	N	 %	Ŋ	 &		
DRUG OFFENSES							,	
Not Applicable	1448	(71)	416	(88)	674	(75)	2538	1:74
Poss of Narcotic Drug	0	– ,	0	(0)	5	(1)	2338 5	(74
Poss of Syringe	0	(0)	0	(0)	3		3	(0
Utter False Prescription	1	(0)	Ō	(0)	5 3 0 8		1	. (0
Conspiracy to Violate CSA	36	(2)	2	(0)	8	,	46	(0
Poss wi Dist No Class	0	(0)	3	(1)	54		57	(1
Poss wi Dist Class A	0	(0)	1	(0)		(0)	. 3	(2
Poss wi Dist Class B	0	(0)	6	(1)	10	(1)	16	,
Poss wi Dist Class C	0	(o),		(0)		(0)	1	((
Poss wi Dist Class D	0		Ō	(0)		(0)	3	((
Class A First Offense	89	(4)	4	(1)			128	(4
Class B First Offense	122	(6)	30		64	,	216	( 6
Class C First Offense	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(-(
Class D First Offense	0	(0)	1	(0)	4		-5	((
Class A - Repeat	8	(0)	0	(0)	î	,	9	((
Class B - Repeat	14	(1)	. 0	(0)	0	, - ,	14	((
Class B PCP/Cocaine	68	(3)	9	(2)	8		85	(2
Class B PCP/Cocaine - Repeat	3	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	3	. ((
Marijuana 50-99 lbs.	1	( O·)	0	(0)	0	(0)	ī	((
Cocaine 14-27 grams	77	(4)	0	(0)	11	(1)	88	( =
Cocaine 28-99 grams	85	(4)	. 0	(0)	5	(1)	90	(3
Cocaine 100-199 grams	, 38	(2)	0	(0)	1	(o)	39	(1
Cocaine 200 grams or More	32	(2)	0	(0)	3	(0)	35	(1
Heroin 28-99 grams	3	(0)	. 0	(0)		(0)	3	((
Poss wi School Zone Exploit Minor Sale-Dist	11	(1)	3		2	(0)	16	
Exploit Minor Sale-Dist	1	(0)	0	(0)	. 0	(0)	1	((
otal	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

<sup>\*</sup> Mandatory Term of Incarceration

#### PRESENT OFFENSE: OTHER OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cec Junct	dar Lion	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	9
	N	용	N	%	N	 %		
OTHER OFFENSES				-~~	<del></del>			
Not Applicable	1990	(98)	446	(94)	616	(69)	3052	(90)
Escape	6	(0)	3	(1)	2	(0)	11	(0)
Weapon Offense	- 5	(0)	2	(0)	3	(0)	10	(0)
Viol Bartley Fox Gun				. ,	_	( - )		. (0)
Law	29	(1)	11	(2)	3	(0)	43	(1)
Nonsupport	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)
Common Nightwalker	0	(0)	0.	(0)	21	(2)	21	(1)
Pimping	1	(0)	0	(0)	. 0	(0)	1	(0)
Disturbing the Peace	0	(0)	0		, 18	(2)	18	(1)
Prostitution	0	(0)	0	(0)	86	(10)	86	(3)
Motor Vehicle	. 0	(0)	1	(0)	15	(2)	16	(0)
Leaving the Scene	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)
Contempt of Court	1,	(0)	0	(0)	6	(1)	7	(0)
OUI	1	(0)	4	(1)	104	(12)	109	(3)
Att to Commit a						,,		(0)
Crime	0	(0)	4	(1)	0	(0)	4	(0)
Other	4	(0)	4	(1)	6	(1)	14	(0)
Trespassing	,0	(0)	0	(0)	13	(1)	13	(0)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# NUMBER OF CHARGES: CURRENT OFFENSE

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Total		
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framii	ngham	N	0,0	
	N	8	N	 왕	N	 %			
NUMBER OF CHARGES: CURRENT OFFENSE					<del></del>		·		
One	945	(46)	286	(60)	373	(42)	1604	(47	
Two	445	(22)	87	(18)	212	(24)	744	(22	
Three	204	(10)	33	(7)	124	(14)	361	(11	
Four	155	(8)	20	(4)	54	(6)	229	(7)	
Five to Nine	207	(10)	40	(8)	108	(12)	355	(10	
Ten to Nineteen	61	(3)	9	(2)	18	(2)	88	(3)	
Twenty or More	20	(1)	0	(0)	6	(1)	26	(1	
Total	2037	(100)	4.75	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100	

TIME UNTIL ORIGINAL PAROLE ELIGIBILITY

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	cal
	Cec Junct	dar ion	Con	cord	Framingham		N	96
		8						
TIME UNTIL ORIGINAL PAROLE ELIGIBILITY		·					-~	
No PE Date	0	(o)	O.	(·O·)	40	(4)	4 0	/ 3
Past PE Date	. 5	(0)	9	(2)	4	(0)	18	(1
1 - 3 Months	18	(1)	76	(16)	515	(58)	609	(18
4 - 6 Months	38	(2)	110	(23)	141	(16)		(8
7 - 9 Months	47	(2)	52	(11)		(3)		(4
10 - 12 Months	147	(7)			54			(9
13 - 18 Months	201	(10)	67	(14)	39			(9
19 ~ 24 Months	357			(8)			416	
2 - 3 Years	391			(1)			416	,
3 - 5 Years		(20)	2	(0)	17	(2)		(13
5 - 10 Years	230	(11)	0	(0)	6	(1)		(7
10 Years or More	165	(8)	0	(0)	7	(1)		
Life	30				. 5		35	
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# PERSONAL BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

AGE AT INCARCERATION

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		То	tal
	Cec	lar ion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	%
	N	ક	N	ş.	N	%		
AGE AT INCARCERATION	T							
16	. 5	(0)	0	(0)	0	- (0)	5	(0)
17	16	(1)	1Ò	(2)	0	(0)	26	(1)
18	77	(4)	36	(8)	13	(1)	126	(4)
19	94	(5)	58	(12)	17	(2)	169	(5)
20	118	(6)	42	(9)	30	(3)	190	(6)
21	135	(7)	51	(11)	26	(3)	212	(6)
22	100	(5)	21	(4)	32	(4)	153	(4)
23	93	(5)	30	(6)	47	(5)	170	(5)
24	92	(5)	18	(4)	49	(5)	159	(5)
25 to 29	437	(21)	87	(18)	249	(28)	773	(23)
30 to 34	389	(19)	49	(10)	200	(22)	638	(19)
35 to 39	. 216	(11)	36	(8)	127	(14)	379	(11)
40 to 44	114	(6)	18	(4)	68	(8)	200	(6)
45 to 49	83	(4)	8	(2)	25	(3)	116	(3)
50 to 54	34	(2)	9	(2)	4	(0)	47	(1)
55 to 59	20	(1)	0	(0)	5	(1)	25	(1)
60 and Older	. 14	(1)	2	(0)	. 3	(0)	19	(1)
<b>Total</b>	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### SEX

•	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						Total	
		Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		( ~ - 0,0
	N	8	N	9 <del>i</del>	N	%		
SEX Female Male	0 2037	(0) (100)	0 475	(0) (100)	895 0	(100)	895 2512	(26) (74)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# RACE/ETHNICITY

		COMMIT	TING 1	INSTIT	NOITU		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	~ <u>-</u> %
	N	ş <sub>0</sub>	N ·	96 8	Ŋ	%		•
RACE/ETHNICITY								
White	916	(45)	234	(49)	560	(63)	1710	(50)
African American	604	(30)	148	(31)	194	(22)	946	(28)
Native American	0	(0)	1	(0)	5	(1)	6	
Asian	23	(1)	3	(1)	2	(0)	28	(1)
Hispanic	492	(24)	89	(19)	133	(15)	714	(21)
Unknown	2	(0)	: 0	(0)	1	(0)	. 3	(0)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### CITIZENSHIP

		COMMITTING INSTITUTION								
	Ced Junct			cord		ngham	N	8		
	N			* *	N	%				
CITIZENSHIP	- <b></b> -						~			
US Citizen-Born in	•									
Mass.	1148	(56)	314	(66)	596	(67)	20.58	(60		
US Citizen- Born in		**						•		
Other State	400	(20)	86	(18)	191	(21)	677	(20		
JS Citizen- Born in	007	( )			2.1			•		
US Territory JS Citizen- Foreign	231	(11)	38	(8)	81	(9)	350	(10		
Born	164	(8)	12	(3)	18	(2)	3.04			
JS Citizen- Unknown	104	(0)	. 12	(3)	10	(2)	194	(6)		
Place of Birth	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	. (0		
Not a US Citizen	94	(5)	24			(1)	126			
Jnknown	0	(0)		(0)	0	(0)	1	(0		
Dot o 1	2025	(= 00)		()			*			
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100		

MARTTAL STATUS

	· <b>-</b>	COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION	~	Tot	tal
	Ced Junct	lar ion	Concord Framingham N		N	- ~ જ		
	N	8	N	%	N	%		
MARITAL STATUS					-,~ ~ .			
Married	388	(19)	49	(10)	114	(13)	551	(16)
Single	1539	(76)	371	(78)	556	(62)	2466	(72)
Divorced	80	(4)	33	(7)	139	(16)	252	(7)
Widowed	6	(0)	0	(0)	14	(2)	20	(1)
Common law	3	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	3	(0)
Separated	19	(1)	10	(2)	66	(7)	95	(3)
Unknown	2	(0)	12	(3)	6	(1)	20	(1)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### MILITARY DISCHARGE

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	cal
•	Cedar Junction		Cond	Concord		ngham	N .	, <b>1</b> 1
	N	ૄ	N	 %	N	8	•	
MILITARY DISCHARGE								
No Military Service	1705	(84)	104	(22)	890	(99)	2699	(79)
Honorable Discharge Dishonorable	225	(11)	3	(1)	0	(0)	228	(7)
Discharge Bad Conduct	16	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	16	(0)
Discharge	29	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	29	(1)
Medical Discharge	5	(0)	0	(0)	Ö	(0)	5	(0)
Discharge Unknown	10	(0)	. 0	(0)	0	(0)	10	(0)
Unknown	47	(2)	368	(77)	5	(1)	420	(12)
Total.	.2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# PRIOR ADDRESS: SELECTED CITIES/TOWNS

		COMMIT	TING I	NSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cec Junct		Conc	ord	Framir	gham	N	 &
	N	90	N	%	И	%		
PRIOR ADDRESS:						•	. ~	
SELECTED CITIES/TOWNS								ar.
Boston	465	(23)	129	(27)	121	(14)	715	(21)
Brockton	54	(3)	14	(3)	40	(4)	108	(3)
Cambridge	. 37	(2)	7	(1)	5	(1)	49	(1)
Fall River	55	(3)	8	(2)	8	· (1)	71	(2)
Framingham	27	(1)	6	(1)	14	(2)	47	(1)
Holyoke	52	(3)	13	(3)	22	(2)	87	(3)
Lawrence	49	(2)	14	(3)	24	(3)	87	(3)
Lowell	70	(3)	8	(2)	70	(8)	148	(4)
Lynn	51	(3)	19	(4)	41	(5)	111	(3)
New Bedford	77	(4)	14	(3)	7	(1)	98	(3)
Quincy	17	(1)	5	(1)	21	(2)	43	(1)
Somerville	26	(1)	6	(Į)	9	(1)	41	(1)
Springfield	159	(8)	31	(7)	79	(9)	269	(8)
Worcester	106	(5)	27	(6)	<b>112</b>	(13)	245	(7)
Other Mass	670	(33)	152	(32)	284	(32)	1106	(32)
Out of State	122	(6)	22	(5)	38	(4)	182	(5)
Total	2037	(100)	475	.(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

PRIOR ADDRESS: COUNTY

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	cal
	Cec Junct	dar tion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	olo
	N	%	N	8	N	*  %		
PRIOR ADDRESS:	· <b></b>							
COUNTY								
Barnstable	45	(2)		(1)	5	(1)	56	(2
Berkshire	44	(2)	2	(0)	11	(1)	57	(2
Bristol	184	(9)	29	(6)	25	(3)	238	(7
Essex	177	(9)	53	(11)	127	(14)	357	(10
Franklin	9	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	10	(0
Hampden	249	(12)	55	(12)	125	(14)	429	(13
Hampshire	15	(1)	1	(0)	2	(0)	18	(1
Middlesex	325	(16)	57	(12)	160	(18)	542	(16
Norfolk	59	(3)	21	(4)	38	(4)	118	(3
Plymouth	. 91	(4)	24	(5)	60	(7)	175	(5
Suffolk	511	(25)	145	(31)	139	(16)	795	(23
Worcester	206	(10)	60	(13)	164	(18)	430	(13
Out of State	122	(6)	22	(5)	38	(4)	182	. (5
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

PRIOR ADDRESS: MSA

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION	i.	Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	
	N	8	N	%	N	%		
PRIOR ADDRESS: MSA					<b>-</b>			
Boston	885	(43)	243	(51)	306	(34)	1434	(42)
Brockton	68	(3)	16	(3)	43	(5)	127	(4)
Fall River	62	(3)	10	(2)	10	(1)	82	(2)
Fitchburg-Leominster	38	(2)	10	(2)	18	(2)	. 66	(2)
Lawrence-Haverhill	66	(3)	19	(4)	58	(6)	143	(4)
Lowell	86	(4)	9	(2)	78	(9)	173	(5)
New Bedford	86	(4)	14	(3)	9	(1)	109	(3)
Pittsfield	35	(2)	1	(0)	8	(1)	44	(1)
Providence-Pawtucket						• •		(-,
-Warwick	10	(0)	5	(1)	2	(0)	17	(0)
Springfield	258	(13)	54	(11)	127	(14)	439	(13)
Worcester	125	(6)	33	(7)	123	(14)	281	(8)
Other Mass	196	(10)	39	(8)	75	. (8)	310	(9)
Out of State	122	(6)	. 22	(5)	38	(4)	182	(5)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### OCCUPATION

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		To	cal
		Cedar Junction		Concord		ngham	N	웅
	N	8	N	 %	N	% %		
OCCUPATION			<b></b>				. – – – – .	
Management	41	(3)	3	(9)	1.0	(7)	54	(3)
Clerical	48	(3)	1	(3)	16	(11)	65	(4)
Services	542	(36)	7	(21)	94	(62)	643	(38)
B.C.:Manual	828	(55)	20	(61)	11	(7)	859	(51)
Agriculture	11	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	11	(1)
Military	2	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)
OLF, home	0	(0)	0	(0)	12	(8)	12	(1)
OLF, school	32	(2)	1	(3)	. 8	(5)	41	(2)
Jobless	0	(0)	. 1	(3)	. 0	(0)	1	(0)
Total	1504	(100)	33	(100)	151	(100)	1688	(100)

#### LAST GRADE COMPLETED

		COMMIT'	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
		Cedar Junction		cord	Framin	ngham	N 	
	N	%	N	<u>-</u>	N	용		
LAST GRADE COMPLETED	)			• •		, <b></b> -		· ·
3rd or Less	9.	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	. 9	(o)
4th	16	(1)	0	(0)	4	(0)	20	
5th	10	(0)	1	(0)		(0)	14	(0
6th	36	(2)	0	(0)	3	(0)	39	
7th	59	(3)	1	(0)	15	(2)	75	(2
8th	111	(5)	1	(0)	60	(7)	172	(5
9th	210	(10)	. 1	(0)	94	(11)	305	(9
10th	298	(15)	6	(1)	123	(14)	427	
11th	313	(15)	6	(1)	111	(12)	430	(13
High School								
Graduate-GED	735	(36)	15	(3)	337	(38)	1087	(32
Some College	164	(8)	0	(0)	98	(11)	262	(8
College Graduate	54	(3)	. 1	(0)	29	(3)	84	(2
Unknown	22	(1)	443	(93)	18	(2)	483	
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# **CRIMINAL HISTORY**

TOTAL NUMBER OF COURT APPEARANCES

		COMMIT	TING	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Ced	dar Lion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	용
	N	8	N	용	N	 १		ŧ
TOTAL NUMBER OF COURT APPEARANCES					<b></b>			
1	132	(6)	28	(6)	31	(3)	191	(6)
2	168	(8)	31	(7)	46	(5)	245	(7)
3	123	(6)	39	(8)	40	(4)	202	(6)
4	114	(6)	39	(8)	39	, (.4)	192	(6)
5	91	(4)	36	(8)	45	(5)	172	(5)
6 - 8	301	(15)	80	(17)	140	(16)	521	(15)
9 - 11	238	(12)	66	(14)	97	(11)	401	(12)
12 - 15	253	(12)	41	(9)	114	(13)	408	(12)
16 - 20	217	(11)	34	(7)	79	(9)	330	(10)
21 or More	308	(15)	43	(9)	128	(14)	479	(14)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

### NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PERSON OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		To	tal ,
	Cedar Junction		Concord F		Frami	ngham	N	<del>-</del>
	N	%	N N	 %	N	 ان		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PERSON OFFENSES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>-</del> -			<del>-</del>		· = = = =	
None	425	(21)	83	(17)	351	(39)	859	(25
1 ·	179	(9)	64	(13)	113	(13)	356	(10
2	188	(9)	66	(14)	72	(8)	326	(10
3	147	(7)	37	(8)	67	(7)	251	(7
4	151	(7)	46	(10)	39	(4)	236	(7
5	128	(6)	36	(8)	34	(4)	198	(6
5 - 8	259	(13)	46	(10)	40	(4)	345	(10
Over 8	468	(23)	5,9	(12)	43	(5)	570	(17
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	MOITU		To	tal
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		N	8
. •	N	8	N	%	N	 %		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES		<u> </u>		<del></del> .	<b></b>	:		
None 1 2 3 4 5 6 - 8 Over 8 Unknown	525 202 155 132 89 67 156 619 92	(26) (10) (8) (6) (4) (3) (8) (30) (5)	99 50 46 31 30 25 37 119 38	(21) (11) (10) (7) (6) (5) (8) (25) (8)	152 101 57 43 49 31 76 250 136	(17) (11) (6) (5) (5) (3) (8) (28) (15)	776 353 258 206 168 123 269 988 266	(23) (10) (8) (6) (5) (4) (8) (29)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR SEX OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	ob 0
	N	8	N	%	N	%		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR SEX OFFENSES						- <del></del>		. = = = -
None	1544	(76)	360	(76)	697	(78)	2601	(76)
1	112	(5)	23	(5)	42	(5)	177	(5)
2	86	(4)	16	(3)	11	(1)	113	(3)
3	40	(2)	11	(2)	2	(0)	53	(2
4	41	(2)	1.1	(2)	2	(0)	54	(2)
5	20	(1)	3	(1)	0	(0)	23	(1)
6 - 8	44	(2)	6	(1)	3	(0)	53	(2)
Over 8.	58	(3)	7	(1)	2	(0)	67	(2)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

### NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR DRUG OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING I	INSTIT	MOITU		Tot	cal
	Ceo Junct		Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	ę.
	N	&	N	. %	N	%		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR NARCOTICS OFFENSES	<del>-</del> -				<b></b> .		· <del></del>	
None 1 2 3 4 5 6 - 8 Over 8 Unknown	713 205 179 134 128 83 210 293 92	(35) (10) (9) (7) (6) (4) (10) (14) (5)	221 57 41 35 19 15 25 24		246 86 94 64 61 40 67 101 136	(27) (10) (11) (7) (7) (4) (7) (11) (15)	1180 348 314 233 208 138 302 418 266	(35 (10 (9 (7 (6 (4 (9 (12 (8
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES

•		COMMIT	TING :	INSTITU	TION		Tot	:al
	Ced Junct		Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	. %
	N	%	N	%	N	<del>-</del> -		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES			<b></b> -	- <del></del>		<del></del>		·
None	1294	(64)	296	(62)	552	(62)	2142	(63)
1	308	(15)	71	(15)	91	(10)	470	(14)
2	136	(7)	35	(7)	50	(6)	221	(6)
3	77	(4)	18	(4)	20	(21)	115	(3)
4	41	(2)	11	(2)	21	(2)	73	(2)
5	34	(2)	2	(0)	8	(1)	44	(1)
6 - 8	37	(2)	3	(1)	13	(1)	53	(2)
Over 8	18	(1)	1	(0)	4	(0)	23	(1
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		N	ું
	N	%	N	%	N	%		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES	- <b></b> -							
None 1 2 3 4 5 6 - 8 Unknown	1713 152 52 18 7 2 1	(84) (7) (3) (1) (0) (0) (0)	409 19 8 1 0 0	(86) (4) (2) (0) (0) (0) (0) (8)	703 42 7 5 2 0 0	(79) (5) (1) (1) (0) (0) (0) (15)	2825 213 67 24 9 2 1 266	(83 (6 (2 (1 (0 (0
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR WEAPONS OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING ]	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Conc	cord	Frami	ngham	N	8
	N	& ે	N	& &	N	%		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR WEAPONS OFFENSES	<b></b>				<del>-</del>	·	· <del></del>	<u>-</u> - <u>-</u> -
None	1259	(62)	320	(67)	664	(74)	2243	(66
1 .	259	(13)	43	(9)	59	(7)	361	(11
2	188	(9)	29	(6)	23	(3)	240	(7
3	84	(4)	. 20	(4)	5	(1)	109	(3
4	53	(3)	7	(1)	5	(1)	65	• (2
5	38	(2)	7	(1)	1	(0)	46	(1
6 - 8	-44	(2)	7	(1)	1	(0)	52	(2
Over 8	20	(1)	4	(1)	. 1	(0)	25	(1
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PUBLIC ORDER OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Ceo Junct	iar ion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	%
	N	%	N	* *	N	%		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PUBLIC ORDER OFFENSES							•	
None 1 2 3 4 5 6 - 8 Over 8	839 351 239 173 109 64 101	(41) (17) (12) (8) (5) (3)	190 93 57 35 18 19	(40) (20) (12) (7) (4) (4) (3)	311 135 80 71 51 20	(35) (15) (9) (8) (6) (2) (5)	1340 579 376 279 178 103	(39) (17) (11) (8) (5) (3) (5)
Unknown	69 92	(3) (5)	10 38	(2) (8)	49 136	(5) (15)	128 266	(4) (8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

#### NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR MOTOR VEHICLE OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING ]	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Frami	ngham	Ŋ	왕
	N	&	N	96 8	N	8		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR MOTOR VEHICLE OFFENSES					<u></u> -,		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·
None	924	(45)	218	(46)	445	(50)	1587	(47)
1	229	(11)	62	(13)	99	(11)	390	(11)
2	193	(9)	50	(11)	63	(7)	306	(9)
3	133	(7)	22	(5)	34	(4)	189	(6)
4	98	(5)	20	(4)	28	(3)	146	(4)
5	70	(3)	13	(3)	14	(2)	97	(3,)
6 - 8	129	(6)	25	(5)	39	(4)	193	(6)
Over 8	169	(8)	27	(6)	37	(4)	233	(7)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROSTITUTION OFFENSES

	<b></b>	COMMIT	TING :	INSTITU	TION	•	Tot	al
	Ced Junct	dar ion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	용
	N	%	N	 %	N	%		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROSTITUTION OFFENSES	- <b></b>			<del></del> -				. <b></b> .
None 1 2 3 4 5	1904 26 6 4 2	(93) (1) (0) (0) (0)	427 8 0 1	(90) (2) (0) (0)	467 54 53 30 32	(52) (6) (6) (3) (4)	2798 88 59 35 35	(82) (3) (2) (1) (1)
5 6 - 8 Over 8 Unknown	2 0 1 92	(0) (0) (0) (5)	0 0 38	(0) (0) (8)	16 36 71 136	(2) (4) (8) (15)	18 36 72 266	(1 (1 (2 (8
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

### NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR OTHER OFFENSES

		COMMIT	TING 1	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Cond	Concord Fram		ngham	N	9
	N	e e	N	 %	N	ે જ		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR OTHER OFFENSES		- <b></b>				·	·	
None 1 2	1767 128 30	(87) (6) (1)	412 17 7	(87) (4) (1)	720 31 7	(80) (3) (1)	2899 176 44	(85) (5) (1)
3	1·2 4	(1) (0)	0	(0) (0)	1 0	(0) (0)	13 4	(O) (O)
5 6 - 8 Unknown	3 1 92	(0) (0) (5)	. 1 0 38	(0) (0) (8)	0 0 136	(0) (0) (15)	4 1 266	(0) (0) (8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

### PRIOR HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS

		COMMIT	TING	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	tal
	Ced Junct	dar tion	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	.8
	N	8	N	%	N	%		
PRIOR HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS				- <del></del>	<del></del>			
None 1 2 3 4 5 6 or More Unknown	1018 355 176 132 96 49 119 92	(50) (17) (9) (6) (5) (2) (6) (5)	259 88 41 15 11 5 18 38	(55) (19) (9) (3) (2) (1) (4) (8)	417 152 58 40 32 16 44 136	(47) (17) (6) (4) (4) (2) (5) (15)	1694 595 275 187 139 70 181 266	(50) (17) (8) (5) (4) (2) (5)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

### PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	UTION		Tot	al
	Cedar Junction		Cond	cord	Frami	Framingham		용
	N	%	N	ું	N	%		
PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS				• •				· ·
None 1	1594 260	(78) (13)	400 31	(84) (7)	722 26	(81) (3)	2716 317	(80) (9)
2 3	69 15	(3) (1)	4 2	(1) (0)	6 4	(1) (0)	79 21	(2) (1)
4 ··· 5	6 1	· (0)	0 0	(O) (O)	1 0	(0)	7 1	(0)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

### PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS

		COMMIT	TING :	INSTIT	MOITU		To	tal
	Cedar Junction		Cond	Concord		ngham	N	%
	N	ક *	N	 %	N	%		
PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS						<b></b> .	· · ·	
None	942	(46)	251	(53)	396	(44)	1589	(47)
1.	348	(17)	85	(18)	164	(18)	597	(18)
2	194	(10)	47	(10)	61	(7)	302	(9)
3	126	(6)	15	(3)	45	(5)	186	(5)
4	112	(5)	12	(3)	30	(3)	154	(5)
5	69	(3)	5	(1)	16	(2)	90	(3)
6 or More	154	(8)	22	(5)	.47	(5)	223	(7)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

### NUMBER OF PRIOR PROBATIONS

	•	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		N	*
	И	·	N	96	N	%		
NUMBER OF PRIOR PROBATIONS	. ·					<del>-</del> -		
None	842	(41)	165	(35)	263	(29)	1270	(3.7)
One or More	1103	(54)	272	(57)	496	(55)	1871	(55)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

# NUMBER OF PRIOR DEFAULTS

		COMMITTING INSTITUTION						
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		N	· 8
•	N	 왕	N	용	N	ojo		
NUMBER OF PRIOR DEFAULTS		·						
None	489	(24)	103	(22)	108	(12)	700	(21)
One or More	1456	(71)	334	(70)	651	(73)	2441	(72)
Unknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8)
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100)

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framin	ngham	N	%	
	N	용	Ŋ	* *	N	%			
AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE						. <b></b> .	· - <del></del>		
19 or Younger	1221	(60)	322	(68)	338	(38)	1881	(55)	
20 to 24	350	(17)	65	(14)	213	(24)	628	(18)	
25 to 29	135	(7)	21	(4)	1.04	(12)	260	(8)	
30 to 34	113	(6)	8	(2)	58	(6)	179	(5)	
35 to 39	48	(2)	9	. (2)	18	(2)	75	(2	
10 to 44	33	(2)	7	(1)	16	(2)	56	(2	
15 to 49	23	(1)	1	(0)	5	(1)	29	(1	
50 to 54	7	(0)	2	(0)	5	(1)	14	(0)	
55 to 59	8	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	10	(0)	
0 and Older	7	(0)	1	(0)	. 1	(0)	9	(0	
Jnknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	26.6	(8)	
rotal	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100	

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSE

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						Total	
	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		N	용
	N	%	N	 %	N	용		
AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSE						* *	· • •	·
Not Applicable 19 or Younger	1294 271	(64) (13)	296 . 64	(62) (13)	*	(62) (5)	2142 384	(63) (11)
20 to 24	196	(10)	43			(7)	305	
25 to 29	94	(5)	17	(4)		(5)	152	
30 to 34	56	(3)	5	(1)	33	(4)	. 94	
35 to 39	18	(1)	7	(1)	9	(1)	34.	
40 to 44	. 5	(0)	3	(1)	7	(1)	15	•
45 to 49 50 to 54	8 1	(0) (0)	1 1	(Q) (O)	1	(0)	10	
55 to 59	2	(0)	0	(0)	1	(O) (O)	2	(0
Unknown	92	(5)	38		136		266	(0 (8
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR DRUG OFFENSE

		Total						
	Cec Junct	dar cion			Framingham		N	
	Ŋ		N	 %	N	용		
AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR DRUG OFFENSE				<b>-</b> -				·
Not Applicable	714	(35)	221	(47)	246	(27)	1181	(35
19 or Younger	463	(23)	106	(22)	90	(10)	659	(19
20 to 24	367	(18)		(14)		(19)	604	(18
25 to 29	186	. (9)	26	(5)	132	(15)	344	(10
30 to 34	103	(5)	9	(2)	66	(7)	178	(5
35 to 39	57	(3)	5	(1)	32	(4)	94	
10 to 44	33	(2)	.3	(1)	18	(2)	54	
15 to 49	16	(1)	0	(0)	2	(0)	18	(1
50 to 54	-3	(0)	1.	(0)	1	(0)	5	(0
55 to 59	2	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0
50 and Older	1	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0
Jnknown	92	(5)	38	(8)	136	(15)	266	(8
Total	2037	(100)	475	(100)	895	(100)	3407	(100

#### GLOSSARY

### MINIMUM SENTENCE/ MAXIMUM SENTENCE

In the tables, minimum and maximum sentence lengths are truncated to the closest whole year. For example, a sentence of 5 years 1 day is included in the 5 year category.

#### **OFFENSE**

**Armed Assault** 

Includes armed assault with intent to rob or murder, armed assault in dwelling house, assault and battery with dangerous weapon, and assault by means of a dangerous weapon.

Assault-Intent to Murder

Includes assault with intent to murder and attempt to murder.

**Assault with Intent to Rape** 

Includes assault with intent to rape and indecent assault and battery.

**Contempt of Court** 

Includes contempt of court, failure to appear, perjury, violation of a court or restraining order, notation of abuse prevention act.

Rape of Minor

Includes rape of female under sixteen, rape of child, and statutory rape.

**Unarmed Assault** 

Includes unarmed assault with intent to rob or steal, assault, assault and battery, and other assaults.

#### SENTENCE TYPE

Aggregate

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served consecutively. The sentence length reported reflects the total of all sentences.

# GLOSSARY (Cont.)

Concurrent

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served

simultaneously.

Fine :

Incarceration resulting from non-payment of a fine

for one or more offenses.

**Forthwith** 

A sentence that is to be served effective immediately, regardless of other sentences for which the individual is currently incarcerated.

From and After

A sentence to be served following release from a

previous sentence.

Simple

One sentence received for a single offense.

**Split** 

A sentence that is divided between a specified period of incarceration followed by probation supervision.