1985 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction

Prepared by:

Dallas H. Miller Research Assistant

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

July, 1986

Publication#: 14516-66-250-8-4-86 C.R. Approved by Daniel Carter, State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

This report is a statistical description of the 2,409 individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1985. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was an 9 percent increase in the number of commitments during the year, from 2,202 in 1984 to 2,409 in 1985. This is a similar increase to that which occurred in 1984 (8 percent) and continues a period of growth in court commitments. The 2,409 commitments in 1985 represents the highest level of commitments in DOC history.
- There were 993 commitments to Cedar Junction (20 percent higher than 1984), 617 commitments to Concord (a slight drop from 1984) and 799 commitments to Framingham (9 percent higher than 1984).
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was a 10 percent increase in male commitments and a 9 percent increase in female commitments from 1984 to 1985.
- The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year. Sentence lengths for new court commitments were similar in 1984 and 1985 for Concord and Framingham commitments, but the median for Cedar Junction commitments declined from six to five years.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 62 percent of all male commitments and 11 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 89 percent of all female commitments and 38 percent of all male commitments. Armed robbery was the single most common offense. The largest increases in commitments from 1984 to 1985 were in categories of drug offenses (98 more in 1985 than 1984) and sex offenses (84 more in 1985 than 1984).
- The median age at commitment was 26.8 years, slightly higher than in 1984 (26.3 years).
- Sixty-one percent of the commitment population were whites; 65 percent were single; 11 percent had been in the military; 48 percent came from the Boston SMSA; most had limited work experience concentrated in the areas of manual labor and services; the median educational level was eleventh grade; and 23 percent had a self-reported history of drug use.
- Fifty-six percent were serving their first adult incarceration. The median age at first court appearance was seventeen.

Table of Contents

Tit <u>le</u>	Page Number
<u> </u>	
Abstract	i
Contents	. 1
Introduction	4
Number of Commitments	٠5
Figure 1 Number of Commitments to DOC, 1974 to 1985	6
Figure 2 Number of Commitments by Institution, 1974 to 1985	6
A Description of 1985 Commitments	8
Present Offense Characteristics	tug ¹
Month In Which Committed	16
Court From Which Committed	17
Jail Credits Earned	18
Minimum Sentence	19
Maximum Sentence	20
Sentence Type	21
Present Offense: General Categories	22
Present Offense: Person Offenses	23
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	24
Present Offense: Property Offenses	25
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	26
Present Offense: Other Offenses	27
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility Date	28
Age at Incarceration	29

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Page Number
Personal Background Characteristics	
Sex	31
Race	32
Ethnic Indicator	33
Citizenship Indicator	. 34
Marital Status	35
Military Discharge	36
Prior Address: Selected Cities and Towns	37
Prior Address: County	38
Prior Address: SMSA	39
Occupation	40
Time at Most Skilled Position	41
Time at Job of Longest Duration	42
Last Grade Completed	43
Known Drug Use	44
Moun Stag out	
Criminal History Variables	•
Total Number of Court Appearances	46
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	47
	48
Number of Charges for Property Offenses	49 .
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	50
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	51
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	52
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,




Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Page Number
Criminal History Variables	
Juvenile Commitment Indicator	53
Number of Prior House of Correction Incarcerations	54
Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	55
Number of Prior Adult Incarcerations	56
Number of Juvenile Paroles	57
Number of Juvenile Parole Violations	58
Number of Adult Paroles	59
Number of Adult Parole Violations	60
Number of Juvenile and Adult Paroles	61
Number of Juvenile and Adult Parole Violations	62
Age at First Court Appearance	63
Age at First Alcohol Court Appearance	64
Age at First Drug Related Court Appearance	65

Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1985. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of admissions from the courts. The information on which this report is based was prepared by the Operations Research Unit of the Research Division.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year (forthwith and from and after sentences). If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1985 that individual is counted as multiple court commitments. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1985 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information is helpful in reading the tables in this report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a very different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The top number in each cell represents the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The bottom number in each cell appears in parentheses and represents the percentage of the number of individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents).

Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. It is particularly large in some of the personal background characteristics variables (e.g. occupation and drug use).


Number of Commitments

There were 2,409 commitments during 1985, a 9 percent increase from the previous year. Figure 1 (page 6) shows the number of commitments for the period 1974 to 1985. The number of commitments during 1985 was higher than at any time in the history of the DOC. The increase in commitments from 1984 to 1985 was only one percent larger than that of the previous year, but this is the seventh straight year in which commitments increased.

Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham (MCI-Walpole was renamed MCI-Cedar Junction in 1985). Figure 2 (page 6) shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1974 to 1985. The number of commitments during 1985 was higher than any of the preceeding eleven years for Cedar Junction and Framingham but showed a continuing decrease from the peak number of Concord commitments in 1982.

The increase in number of commitments was most dramatic for Cedar Junction, increasing from 827 in 1984 to 993 in 1985, an increase of 166 commitments or 20 percent. Framingham increased from 732 commitments in 1984 to 799 in 1985, an increase of 67 commitments or 9 percent. In contrast, commitments to Concord decreased by 26 or 4 percent. Overall, male commitments increased by 10 percent and female commitments increased by 9 percent.

Figure 1 Number of Commitments to DOC, 1975 to 1985



Almost all of the 9 percent increase in Framingham commitments came in the areas of property, drug and "other" offenses. Commitments to Framingham for property offenses increased from 270 in 1984 to 303 in 1985 (by 33 or 12 percent); commitments for drug offenses increased from 82 in 1984 to 96 in 1985 (by 14 or 17 percent); and commitments in the "other" category rose from 292 in 1984 to 313 in 1985 (by 21 or 7 percent). The increase in property offenses showed up primarily in larceny commitments (a gain of 26 or 25 percent). The increase in female drug commitments showed up in class A offenses (a gain of 7 or 23 percent). The increase in female "other" commitments was distributed among escapes (7 or 350 percent), disturbing the peace (9 or 12 percent) and motor vehicle offenses (8 or 11 percent). The increase in Framingham commitments occurred only among those There were 653 women with county women committed to county sentences. sentences (a maximum of two and a half years or less, including fines) committed in 1984 and 730 in 1985, an increase of 77. There were 69 women committed with state sentences in 1985 compared to 79 in 1984, a decrease of 10.

For male commitments, those committed to Cedar Trunction or Concord, there was a 10 percent increase from 1984 to 1985. This increase occurred only for Cedar Junction commitments (by 166 or 20 percent) as Concord commitments actually declined by 26 or 4 percent. This increase occurred in three offense categories: sex, property and drug offenses. Commitments for sex offenses increased from 221 in 1984 to 252 in 1985 (by 31 or 14 percent); commitments for property offenses increased from 267 in 1984 to 318 in 1985 (by 51 or 19 percent); and commitments for drug offenses rose from 179 in 1984 to 263 in 1985 (by 84 or 47 percent). Commitments for person offenses declined by 16 (2 percent) and for "other" offenses declined by 9 (21 percent).

Thus, in 1985, commitments to the DOC continued to increase at virtually the same rate as that of the previous year. Increases were found for Cedar

Junction and Framingham commitments as Concord commitments declined slightly. The increase in female commitments was primarily among women serving county sentences and women committed for property, drug and "other" offenses. Among males, there was an increase in commitments for sex, property and drug offenses and a large increase in Cedar Junction commitments.

A Description of 1985 Court Commitments

This section presents a general description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1985. This discussion includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of the offender. Where appropriate, comparisons among the three committing institutions are done.

Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment group, only the most serious offense, the offense for which the person received the longest sentence, is considered.

Court of Commitment. Sixty-nine percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Four courts, Suffolk Superior, Middlesex Superior, Worcester Superior and Hampden Superior, accounted for 49 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 92 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Courts. Only 10 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts; most commitments to Framingham were from municipal and district courts (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk

County and district courts are lower courts of all other counties with the exception of Brookline Municipal Court in Norfolk County).

Jail Credits. Jail credits measure the amount of the time that the individual spent incarcerated while awaiting trial. A large number of jail credits is usually indicative of a serious crime or a low income offender. Seventy-eight percent of Cedar Junction commitments had one or more days of jail credits. This contrasts with 63 percent of Concord commitments and 31 percent of Framingham commitments. The median number of days of jail credits for all commitments was eight.

Minimum Sentence. Only those individuals who received a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) received a minimum sentence. Generally minimum prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (some drug offenses carry short prison sentences, for example). The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years. There were 23 commitments to Framingham who received prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was four years. Sixty-one individuals received life sentences during 1985, including one woman.

Maximum Sentence. All individuals committed to the Department have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence. Generally maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum length of less than two and one-half years. Of the 799 commitments to Framingham, 23 (3 percent) received prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 46 (6 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 730 (91)

percent) received county sentences, including 71 committed for non-payment of a fine.

Type of Sentence. Most individuals committed to the Department received simple sentences (35 percent) or concurrent sentences (35 percent). Less frequently served are aggregate, forthwith or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (18 percent); split sentences are commonly found among Concord (19 percent) and Framingham (22 percent) commitments; and 9 percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varies by committing institution. Sixty-five percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 57 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses while 38 percent of commitments to Framingham were for property offenses and 39 percent were for offenses in the "other" category.

Offenses against the person accounted for 34 percent of all commitments to the Department but only 11 percent of the commitments to Framingham. Armed robbery accounted for 12 percent of all commitments. There were 133 commitments for homicides in 1985 including 26 for first degree murder, 29 for second degree murder and 78 for manslaughter.

Sex offenses accounted for 10 percent of all commitments to the DOC. There were 220 commitments for rape during 1985 and 29 commitments for assault with intent to rape. Of these offenses, 117 involved adults and 131 involved minors. Additionally there was 1 commitment for unnatural acts and 2 for other sex offenses during the year.

Property offenses accounted for 26 percent of all commitments to the Department, but 38 percent of the commitments to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments;

larceny was the most common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 15 percent of all commitments during 1985. The most common drug offenses were violation of the controlled substance act with a Class B substance (N=136) or Class A substance (N=121). The Class B substance category includes amphetamines, cocaine, barbiturates, and other substances. The Class A substance category includes heroin.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 2 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 2 percent of Concord commitments and 39 percent of Framingham commitments. The most common offenses in this category were prostitution (N=84), disturbing the peace (N=85), operating under the influence (N=81) and weapons offenses (N=27). The 9 offenses labelled "other" include accessory after the fact and attempt to commit a crime.

Time Until Parole Eligibility. An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. About one-half of all offenders will be released at their original parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2 to 3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was from 10 to 12 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was less than three months.

Age At Incarceration. The median age at incarceration was 27 years. The age of new commitments ranged from 15 years to 81 years. The median age of Cedar Junction commitments was 28 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 25 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 27 years.

Personal Background Characteristics

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. Most of this information is self-reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

Sex. All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males, all Framingham commitments are females. During 1985, 33 percent of the commitments were females and 67 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Sixty-one percent of the 1985 commitment group were white, 27 percent were black and 11 percent were identified as hispanic. There were also 9 Native Americans and 4 Asians committed to the DOC. Thirteen percent of the commitment group were Hispanics. This includes white hispanics, black hispanics and hispanics whose race was otherwise not identified.

<u>Citizenship.</u> Ninety-seven percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and 3 percent were citizens of other countries. Sixty-five percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 21 percent were born in other states, 2 percent were born in other countries and 9 percent were born in U.S. territories.

Marital Status. Most of the commitment group were single (65 percent). Eighteen percent were married at the time of their commitment, 10 percent divorced, 6 percent separated and 1 percent widowed.

Military History. Ten percent of the commitment group had a history of military service. Seventy-six percent of the known veterans were honorably discharged from military service.

<u>Prior Address.</u> Almost all commitments were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Four counties (Suffolk, Worcester, Middlesex and Hampden) accounted for 70 percent of all commitments.

Occupation. Most of the individuals committed to the Department had previous work experience. This work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (49 percent) and services (27 percent). The median length of tenure in a position was 1 to 2 years.

Education. The median educational level for this population was eleventh grade. Fifty percent of the commitment group had completed at least high school, including 12 percent with some college education.

<u>Drug Use.</u> Twenty-three percent of the commitments reported a history of prior drug use; 8 percent reported a history of heroin use. Drug use history was generally based on offender self-reports or criminal history.

Criminal History

Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from reports prepared by the Board of Probation and records submitted by District Courts. These reports identify the number of court appearances and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information. In this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations and paroles will be discussed.

Prior Court Appearances. The median number of prior court appearances was ten. Seventy percent had court appearances for person offenses, 77 percent for property offenses, 16 percent for sex offenses, 49 percent for narcotics offenses, 23 percent for alcohol offenses and 9 percent for escape offenses.

<u>Prior Incarcerations.</u> Fifteen percent had a history of commitment to a juvenile authority; 31 percent had a history of incarceration in a county facility; and 22 percent had a history of incarceration in a state or federal facility. Fifty-six percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult.

Parole History. The number of paroles and parole violations that an individual experienced prior to this commitment are shown on pages 57 to 62. About 13 percent of the commitment group experienced paroles in the past. Fiftyone percent of those with a history of paroles also had one or more parole violations.

Age at Entry Into Criminal Justice Systems. Age of the individual at his/her first court appearance and his/her first court appearance for a drug related offense or for an alcohol related offense are shown on pages 63 to 65. The median age at the time of first court appearance was 17 years of age.

PRESENT OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

		i e		•	
MONTH OF COMMI	TMENT	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		88 (9)	58	75 (9)	221 (9)
January		82 (8)	43 (7)	54	179
February		77	50 (8)	40 (5)	167 (7)
March		(8) 93	40	76	209
April		(9)	(6) 81	68	248
May		(10)	(13) 69	(9) 69	(10)
June		118 (12)	(11)	(9)	(11)
July		56 (6)	37	55	(6)
		44	47 (8)	73 (9)	164
August		79 (8)	33 (5)	77 (10)	189
September		103	5 2 (8)	88 (11)	243 (10)
October		(10) 63	46	*****5.4 (7)	163 (7)
November		(6) 91	(7) 61	70	222
December		(9)	(10)	(9)	(3)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·			The second secon	

COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED				
Barnstable	15 (2)	7 (1)	(0)	(1)
	1.5	3	3	21
Berkshire	(2)	(0)	(0)	,(1)
Bristol	59	50 (8)	3 (0)	112
Essex	84 (8)	56	6 (1)	146
Basex	6	4	1	11
Franklin	(1)	(1)	(0)	(0)
	123	19	21 (3)	163
Hampden	1 5	3	0	1 8
Hampshire	(2)	(0)	(0)	(1)
	179 (18)	90	16 (2)	285 (12)
Middlesex	1	0	0	, 1
Nantucket	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) 62
	48 (5)	14 (2)	(0)	(3)
Norfolk	3.5	18	4	57
Plymouth	(4)	(3)	(1)	(2)
Suffolk	328 (33)	157 (25)	15 (2)	500 (21)
Sulloik	8 5	149	9	243
Worcester	(9)	(24)	(1)	(10)
•	0 (0)	10 (2)	166 (21)	1 <i>7</i> 6 (7)
Municipal Courts	0	37	5 5 3	590
District Courts	(0)	(6)	(69)	(24)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
JAIL CREDITS		216 (22)	229 (37)	549 (69)	994
None		85 (9)	72 (12)	112	269 (11)
1 to 10		105	. 91 (15)	90 (11)	286 (12)
11 to 50		104	70 (11)	24	198
51 to 100		111	62 (10)	13	186 (8)
101 to 150		103	38 (6)	4 (1)	145
151 to 200		269 (27)	55 (9)	7 (1)	331 (14)
Over 200		•	•	•	
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MINIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
l Year 2 Years	42	0 (0)	0 (0)	42
3 Years	229 (23)	(0)	11.	240 (10)
4 Years	118 (12)	(0)	(0)	120
5 Years	132 (13) 112	(0) 0	(0)	134 (6) 114
6 Years	(11)	(0)	(0). 0	(5) 52
7 Years	(5)	(0)	(0)	31
8 Years	(3) 58 (6)	(0) 0 (0)	(0) 0 (0)	(1 ₁) 58 (2)
9 Years	36 (4)	(0) 0 (0)	2 (0)	38
10 Years 11 to 12 Years	37	0 (0)	1. (0)	38
13 to 15 Years	37	0 (0)	0 (0)	37 (2)
16 to 19 Years	(4)	(0)	(0)	(2)
20 to 24 Years	(0)	(0)	(0) 0	2 (0) 6
25 Years or More	6 (1) 60	(0)	(0)	(0) 61
Life	(6)	(0) 617	(0) 776	1393
Indeterminate	(0)	(100)	(97)	(58)
то	TAL 993	617	799	2409

	c	EDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAM INGHAM	TOTAL
MAXIMUM SENTENCE				71	71
		0 (0)	0 (0)	(9)	(3)
Fine		0	3	479	482
Less Than 1 Year		(0)	(0)	(60)	(20)
ness man 1 to	•	0	5 (1)	140 (18)	145
1 Year		(0)	•	5 2	105
		1 (0)	52 (8)	(7)	(4)
2 Years		14	2	1	17
3 Years		(1)	(0)	(0)	(1)
	e e e	11 (1)	2 (0)	(0)	14 (1)
4 Years		241	156	18	415
5 Verma		(24)	(25)	(2)	(17)
5 Years		42	13	3 (0)	58 (· 2)
6 Years		(4)	(2)	3	117
•	•	111	3 (0)	(0)	(5).
7 Years	•	2 5	7	1	33
8 Years		(3)	(1)	(0)	
		31 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0_)_	31 (1)
9 Years		224	229	1.7	470
10.1/		(23)	(37)	(2)	(20)
10 Years		51	24	(° °)	.75 (3)
11 to 12 Years		(5)	(4)	7	113
		72	34 (6)	(1)	(5)
13 to 15 Years		3	7	1	11
16 to 19 Years		(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)
		89 (9)	76 (12)	(1)	169
20 to 24 Years		18	4	. 0	22
on trans on Mora	·	(2)		(0.)	(1)
25 Years or More		60	ó.	(0)	61 (3)
Life		(6)	(0)	(0)	(~)
			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

SENTENCE TYPE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One Sentence Only	356 (36)	225 (36)	255 (32)	836 (35)
Concurrent Sentence Only	370 (37)	209 (34)	260 (33)	839 (35)
Aggregate Sentence	20	24 (4)	31 (4)	75
Forthwith Sentence	179	(0)	3 (0)	182
From and After Sentence	26 (3)	40 (6)	7 1).	73 (3)
Split	42	119	172	333
Fine	(0)	(0)	71	71
	;			
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE GENERAL CATEGORIES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	482 (49)	262 (42)	86 (11)	830 (34)
Person	158 (16)	93 (15)	1 (0)	252 (10)
Sex Property	178 (18)	140	303	621
Drug	153 (15)	110 (18)	96 (12)	359 (15)
Other	(2)	12	313	347 (14)
TC	OTAL 993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - PERSON	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	511 (51)	355 (58)	713 (89)	1579
Murder l	26 (3)	0 (0)	(0)	26 (1)
Murder 2	29 (3)	(0)	(0)	29 (1)
Manslaughter	64 (6)	9 (1)	5 (1)	78 (3)
Accessory to Murder	(0)	(0)	(0)	6 (0)
Assault-Intent to Murder	31 (3)	10	(0)	42
Armed Robbery	154 (16)	127 (21)	12	293 (12)
Unarmed Robbery	71	63 (10)	9 (1)	143
Armed Assault	72	36 (6)	3 3 (4')	141
Unarmed Assault	9 (1)	7 (1)	21	37 (2)
Extortion	5 (1)	3 (0)	(0)	9 (0)
Kidnapping	7 (1)	(0)	(0)	11
Conspiracy	5 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Other Person	5 (1)	2 0)	(0)	7 (0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - I	PROPERTY	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable		815 (82)	477 (77)	496 (62)	1788
Arson		12	9 (1)	(0)	21
Burglary-Armed		9 (1)	7 (1)	1 (0)	17
Burglary		106	84 (14)	19 (2)	209
Burglary Tools		2 (0)	3 (0)	(0)	8 (0)
Stealing		(0)	(0)	55 (7)	55 (2)
Larceny-Person		(0)	6 (1)	17(2)	(1)
Larceny		16 (2)	12	132	160
Vehicle Theft		(1)	8 (1)	13 (2)	30
Forgery-Uttering		11 (1)	(0)	20 (3)	32 (1)
Common Thief		(0)	(0)	o (0)	(0)
Fraud		(0)	(0)	5 (1)	, (0)
Stolen Goods	. •	10	8 (1)	27 (3)	(2)
Property Injuries	1.	1 (0)	(0)	11 (1)	14
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - SEX	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	835 (84)	524 (85)	798 (100)	2157 (-90)
Not Applicable	35 (4)	17	(0)	52
Rape	45 (5)	9 (1)	0 (0)	54 (2)
Aggravated Rape	9 (1)	3 (0)	(0)	12
Assault-Rape	63 (6)	51 (8)	(0)	114
Rape of Minor	6 (1)	11	(0)	17
Assault-Rape Minor	(0)	o (0)	1 (0)	(0)
Unnatural Acts	0 (0)	2 (0)	(0)	2 (0)
Other Sex Offensos				
TOTAL	993	617	7.9.9	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - DRUG	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	840 (85)	507 (82)	703	2050 (85)
Not Applicable	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	(0)
Possession of Narcotics	1 .	(0)	(0)	(0)
Presence of Narcotics Possession of Syringe	0 (0)	(0)	17	17
Intent to Sell	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)
Operating Under the Influence of Narcotics	21	(0)	(0) 12 (2)	(0) 67 (3)
Controlled Substance	(2) 54 (5)	(6) 30 (5)	37 (5)	121
Controlled Substance-Class	A 74	41	21 (3)	136
Controlled Substance-Class	B 3 (0)	2 (0)	(0)	5 (0)
Controlled Substance-Class	C (0)	2 (0)	4 - (1)	(0)
Controlled Substance-Class	D ,		700	2409
TOTAL	993	617	799	# T V V

PRESENT OFFENSE - OTHER	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	971 (98)	605 (98)	486 (61)	2062
Escape	3 (0)	(0)	9 (1)	13
Weapons	17	5 (1)	5 (1)	27
Disturbing the Peace	(0)	1 (0)	84	85 (4)
Prostitution	(0)	(0)	84 (11)	84
Leaving the Scene	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Motor Vehicle Offense	(0)	(0)	18 (2)	18
Contempt of Court	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)
Operating Under Influence	(0)	3 (0)	78 (10)	81 (3)
Deriving Support from Prostitute	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (0)
Trespass	(0)	(0)	21 (3)	(1)
Other	1 (0)	1 (0)	7 (1)	9 (0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TIME TILL P.E. DATE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	49 (5)	11 (2)	322	382 (16)
No P.F. Date	5 (1)	12	13	30
Past P.E. Date	7 (1)	84 (14)	279 (35)	370 (15)
1-3 Months	9 (1)	127	93 (12)	229 (10)
4-6 Months	33 (3)	45 (7)	30	108 (4)
7-9 Months	83 (8)	160 (26)	22 (3)	265 (11)
10-12 Months	80 (8)	101 (16)	22	203 (8)'
13-18 Months	167	69 (11)	6 (1)	242
19-24 Months	144	7 (1)	3 (0)	154
2-3 Years	176 (18)	1 (0)	(\$ 1)	182
3-5 Years	139 (14)	0 (0)	(0)	141 (6)
5-10 Years	56 (6)	(0)	2 (0)	58
10-15 Years	19	(0)	(0)	19 (1)
Over 15 Years	26 (3)	(0)	0 (0)	26 (1)
Life		6		2409
TOTAL	993	617	799	2403

AGE AT INCARCERATIO	<u>N</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		2	1	0	3
16	1 , -	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
	. *	6 (1)	11 (2)	5 (1)	22 (11)
17		16	34	24	74
18		(2)	(6)	(3)	(3)
19		37 (4)	45 (7)	49 (6)	131
		53	38	36	127
20		(5)	(6)	(5) 47	(5)
21	•	(4)	(7)	(6)	(6)
22		54	54 (9)	5 3 (7)	161 (7)
22		48	44	49	141
23		(5)	(7)	(6)	(6)
24		60 (6)	33 (5)	52	145
		51	24	50	125
25		(5)	(4)	(6)	438
26 - 29		180 (18)	103	15 5 (19)	(18)
20 20	·	316 (32)	139 (23)	222 (_28)	677 (28)
30 - 39		127	46	5 7	230
40 or Older		(13)	7)	(7)	(10)
Unknown		0 (0)	1 (0)	(0)	1 (0)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PERSONAL BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

SEX		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Male		993 (100)	617 (100)	0 0	1610 (67)
		0	0	799	. 799
Female	* <u>.</u>	(0)	(0)	(100)	(33)
· .	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

RACE		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		579	370	525 (66)	1474
White		(58) 281	(60)	231	660
Black		(28)	(24)	(29)	(27)
Native American	<i>:</i>	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)
Asian		3 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
		127 (13)	95 (15)	39 (5)	261 (11)
Hispanic		0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
Unknown	•	(0)		(),	
•	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

ETHNIC INDICATOR	<u> </u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		165	98 (16)	43 (5)	306 (13)
Hispanic		828 (83)	518 (84)	75 6 (95)	2102
Non-Hispanic Unknown		(0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

CITIZENSHIP INDICA	TOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		606 (61)	406	558	1570 (65)
U.S. Citizen-Born Massachusetts	in	209	111	194 (24)	514
U.S. Citizen-Born State	in Other	(21)	(18) 73	26	205
U.S. Citizen-Born Territory	in U.S.	(11)	12)	(3)	(9) 57
U.S. Citizen-Born Country	in Other	(3)	14	(2) 6	(2) 62
Non U.S. Citizen		(4)	(2.)	(1)	(3)
Unknown		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MARITAL STATUS		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		221	112	92 (12)	425 (18)
Married		596	421	538	1555 (65)
Single		(60) 121	(68) 47	(67) 82	250
Divorced		(12)	(8)	(10) 16	(10) 26
Widowed		(1)	(0)	(2)	(1)
Common Law		(0)	3 (0)	(0)	(0)
Separated		4 6 (5)	32	69 ('9)	147
		0 (0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)
Unknown					2422
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MILITARY STATUS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	806 (81)	561 (91)	777	2144
No Service	142	34	3 (0)	179 (7)
Honorable	2 (0)	2	2 (0)	6 (0)
Dishonorable	(0)	(0) 6	0	24
Bad Conduct	(2)	(1)	(0)	(1)
Medical	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Discharge Unknown	14	5 (1)	(0)	19
Unknown	3 (0)	9 (1)	17	29 (1)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

STITES AND TUNE 314	PRIOR ADDRESS -	SELECTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Brockton	CITIES AND TOWN					
Cambridge (3) (2) (1) (2) Fall River (6) 10 (6) 22 (1) (2) (1) (1) Framingham 15 2 10 27 Holyoke 18 16 11 45 (2) (3) (1) (2) Lawrence 31 19 25 75 (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) Lowell 17 15 19 21 Lynn 23 14 14 51 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) New Bedford 8 6 12 26 (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) Somerville 85 13 63 161 Quincy 20 12 18 50 (2) (2) (2) (2) Somerville 85 13 63 161 (9) (2) (8) (7) Springfield 47 75 78 200 (5) (12) (10) (-8) Worcester 288 211 248 747 (29) (34) (31) (31) Other Mass. 56 23 20 99 (56) (4) (3) (3) (4) Out of State 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					_	(2)
Cambridge 2	Brockton					
Fall River (6	Cambridge	·	2 i	1 2		
Semingham	Fall River		6	10		
Holyoke 18	Framingham		15			
Lowell (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3	Holyoke		18	and the second s		
Lowell 17	. •					
New Bedford 23	Lowell		1 7			
New Bedford 8 6 12 26 Quincy 20 12 18 50 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) Somerville 85 13 63 161 (9) (2) (8) (7) Springfield 47 75 78 200 (5) (12) (10) (-8) Worcester 288 211 248 747 (29) (34) (31) (31) Other Mass. 56 23 20 99 (6) (4) (3) (4) Out of State 0 1 0 1 Unknown (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)					(2)	(2)
Somerville 12					12	(1)
Springfield 47 75 78 200 47 75 (12) (10) (-8) Worcester 288 211 248 747 (29) (34) (31) (31) Other Mass. 56 23 20 99 (6) (4) (3) (4) Out of State 0 1 0 1 (0) (0) (0) Unknown	_			12	2)	(2)
Worcester 288 211 248 747 (31) Other Mass. 56 23 20 99 (6) (4) (3) (4) Out of State 0 1 0 1 0 1 Unknown 617 799 2409					(8)	. (7)
288 (29) (34) (31) (31) Other Mass. 56 (23) (20) (99) (6) (4) (3) (4) Out of State 0 1 0 1 (0) (0) (0) Unknown					(10)	(= 8)
Out of State 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 Unknown 0 1 799 2409					(31)	(31)
Unknown (0) (0) (0) (0) (799 2409	· .				- •	(4)
799 2409				· ·		
	GHRHO	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRIOR ADDRESS -	COUNTY	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Worcester		79 (8)	141 (23)	139	359 (15)
Franklin	•	8 (1)	5 (1)	3 (0)	16
Middlesex		146	91 (15)	113	350 (15)
Suffolk		344 (35)	178 (· 29)	228	750 (31)
Norfolk		37	15	26 (3)	78 (3)
Bristol		59 (6)	47 (8)	47	153
Plymouth	•	36	23	43 (5)	102
Essex		76 (8)	62 (10)	63	201 (8)
Hampshire		5 (1)	(0)	(1)	(0)
Hampden		1 2 3 (1 2)	20	89	232
Berkshire		12	(0)	14 (2)	28
Dukes		1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Barnstable		(1)	7 (1)	8 (1)	(1)
Nantucket		(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)
Out of State		56 (6)	23 (4)	20 (3)	99
Unknown		(0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRIOR ADDRESS - SMSA	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	521 (52)	283 (46)	353 (44)	1157
Boston	24	16	3 2 (4)	72 (3)
Brockton	23	15	20	5 8 (2)
Fall River	10	1 5	21 (3)	46
Fitchburg-Leominster	29	27	29	85
Lawrence-Haverhill	34	(4)	30	83
Lowell	(3)	15	17	59
New Bedford	9	(2)	8	18
Pittsfield	(1)	(0)	(1)	(1)
Providence-Pawtucket-Warwich		(1)	(1) 93	(1)
Springfield	(13)	97	95	(10)
Worcester	54 (5)	(16)	(12) 70	(10) 222
Other Mass	73 (7)	79 (13)	, (9)	(9) 99
Out of State	56 (6)	(4)	20 (3).	(4)
Unknown	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

OCCUPATION	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Profession al	10	3 (0)	2 (0)	1 5
Semi-Professional	31 (3)	6 (1)	13	50
Business	49 (5)	29 (5)	(0)	80
Sales, Clerical	74	40 (6)	27	141
Manual	498 (50)	251 (41)	(3)	771 (32)
Services	234 (24)	133	56 (7)	423 (18)
Agriculture	6 (1)	5 (1)	(0)	11 (0)
Armed Forces	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	5 (0)
Housekeeper	(0)	0 (0)	23	23
Student	11	(1)	6 (1)	21
Unemployed	8 (1)	2 (0)	8 (1)	18 (1)
Unknown	68	143	640 (80)	851 (35)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TIME AT MOST		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
SKILLED POSITION		42	14	22	78 (3)
Less Than 1 Month		79 (8)	45 (7)	3 (0)	127
1 - 2 Months 3 - 4 Months		56	42 (7)	(0)	99
5 - 6 Months	·	54 (5)	39 (6)	(0)	94 (4) 70
7 - 9 Months		38 (4)	(5)	(0)	88
10 - 12 Months		48 (5)	38 (6) 75	(0)	182
1 - 2 Years	•	105	(12)	(0)	(8)
2 - 5 Years		134 (13)	(14)	(0)	177
More Than 5 Mears		109	(11)	(0) 763	1275
Unknown		328 (33)	(30)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(53)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rotal	993	617	799	2409

TIME ON JOB OF LONGE	ST (CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
DURATION					
Less Than 1 Month		42	14	24 (3)	80
		7 8 (8)	45 (7)	2 (0)	125
1 - 2 Months		57 (6)	41	1 (0)	99 (4)
3 - 4 Months		55 (6)	39 (6)	2 (0)	96 (4)
5 - 6 Months		37	31	2 (0)	70
7 - 9 Months		(4)	38 (6)	2 (0)	88
10 - 12 Months		104	7 5	2	181
l - 2 Years		(10)	(12) 85	1	221
2 - 5 Years		109	(1.4) 66	(0)	177
More Than 5 Your		(11)	(11)	(0) 761	(7)
Unknown		(33)	(30)	(95)	(53)
τ	OTAL	993	617	799	2409

LAST GRADE COMPLETED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
3rd or less	13	5 (1)	(0)	19
	10	(² 0)	(0)	12
4th	12	6 (1)	1 (0)	19 (1)
5th	19 (2)	13 (2)	3 (0)	35
6th	20	16 (3)	7 (1)	43 (2)
7th	57 (6)	33 (5)	15	105
8th	99 (10)	66 (11)	3.5	200 (8 _t)
9th	140 (14)	85 (14)	35	260 (11)
10th	101 (10)	77 (12)	51 (6)	(10)
11th	371 (37)	214 (35)	107 (13)	692 (29)
12th	118 (12)	29 (5)	30 (41 4)	177
Some College	27 (3)	8 (1)	6 (1)	41 (2)
College Graduate Unknown	6 (1)	63 (10)	508 (64)	577 (24)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

KNOWN DRUG USE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Mention of Drugs	728 (73)	437	8 (1)	1173
Non-Specific	38 (4)	18 (3)	(0)	57
Heroin	72	39 (6)	12 (2)	123 (5)
Other Specific	52 (5)	(8)	(0)	(4)
Marijuana only	36 (4)	24 (4)	(0) 777	(²)
Unknown	67 (7)	(8)	(97)	(37)
то	OTAL 993	617	799	2409

CRIMINAL HISTORY VARIABLES

TOTAL NUMBER OF	s	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	-	42	37	80 (10)	159.
One		51 (5) .	34	75 (9)	160
Two		34	34 (6)	46 (6)	114
Three		5 2	47	37	136
Four		(5) 54 (5)	45 (7)	(5) 43 (5)	142
Five		134 (13)	111 (18)	94 (12)	339 (14)
6 - 8		119 (12)	62 (10)	74	255 (11)
12 - 15		118	58 (9)	76 (10)	252
16 - 20		122	49 (8)	83 (10)	254 (11)
Over 20		187 (19)	36 (6)	157	380 (16)
Unknown		80 (8)	104	34 (4)	218
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PERSON OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	116	127	410	653 (27)
One	101	70 (11)	108	279 (12)
Two	101	81 (13)	71 (9)	253 (11)
Three	84	50	32	166
Four	84 (8)	33 (5)	39 (5)	156
Five .	69 (7)	(7)	(3)	135
6 - 8	145 (15)	63 (10)	(6)	257 (11)
Over 8	213 (21)	45	34 (4)	292
Unknown	80	104	34 (4)	218
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
PROPERTY OFFENSES	189 (19)	: 122 : (20)	200	511 (21)
None	77 (8)	57 (9)	105 (13)	239 (10)
One	59 (6)	5 5 (9)	52	166
Two	48	26 (4)	4 5 (6)	119
Three	49 (5)	25 (4)	36 (5)	110
Four	39 (4)	30	38 (5)	107
Five	80 (8)	49	59 (7)	18 8 (8)
6 - 8	372 (37)	149	230 (29)	751 ¹ (31)
Over 8	80 (8)	104	34 ((:	218
Unknown			er A. Signa s	
тоти	AL 993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
FOR SEX OFFENSES	688 (69)	402	740 (93)	1830
None	74	46 (7)	15 (2)	135
One	53 (5)	29 (5)	1 (0)	83 (3)
Two	23	13 (2)	4 (1)	40 (2)
Three	23	8 (1)	(0)	32
Four	7 (1)	(0)	(0)	10
Five	18 (2)	7 (1)	(0)	25
6 - 8	27	6 (1)	(0)	36' (1)
Over 8	80 (8)	104	34 (4)	218 (9)
Unknown TOTA	L 993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARG	<u>es</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
FOR DRUG OFFENS	<u> </u>	413 (42)	264 (43)	439 (55)	1116
None		134	76 (12)	80 (10)	290 (12)
One		77	58 (9)	60 (8)	195
Two		63 (6)	27 (4)	43 (5)	133
Three		5 4 (5)	21 (3)	40 (5)	115
Four		34 (3)	16 (3)	(3)	71 (193)
Five		76 (8)	3 2 (5)	37 (5)	145 (6)
6 - 8	• .	62 (6)	19 (3)	45 (6)	126
Over 8	•	80 (8)	104 (17)	34	218 (9)
Unknown				11 1 18 1 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	FOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF C			CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
ALCOHOL OF	ENSES	· .	668 (67)	427	602 (75)	1697
None			135 (14)	43 (7)	49 (6)	227 (9)
One			39 (4)	20 (3)	44 (6)	103
Two Three			26 (3)	12	18 (2)	56
Four			16 (2)	3 (0)	18	37
Five		· ·	5 (1)	(1)	9 (1)	18
6 - 8			14	(0)	(2)	28 (1)
Over 8	•		10	(1)	(1)	25 (1) 218
Unknown			80 (8)	104	34 (4)	(9)
	тоти	NL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER O	F CHARGES	FOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
ESCAPE O	FFENSES		775 (78)	492 (80)	719 (90)	1986
None			94	16 (3)	33	143
One			31	3 (0)	7 (1)	(2)
Two		*	7 (1)	1 (0)	(1)	(0)
Three			5 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Four			(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Five 6 - 8			(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Unknowr	1		80	104	34 (4)	(9)
		TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

JUVENILE COMMITMENT INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
COMMITMENT INDICATOR				1000
	715	432	683	1830
None	(72)	(70)	(85)	(76)
	198	81	8 2	361
One or More	(20)	(13)	(10)	(15)
	80	104	34	218
Unknown	(8)	(17)	(4)	(9)
,				
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF HOUSE OF	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS	481 (48)	335 (54)	698 (87)	1514
None	171	85 .(14)	39 (5)	295 (12)
One	99 (10)	44 (7)	14	157
Two	66 (7)	24 (4)	6 (1)	96
Three	32	6 (1)	(0)	41 (2)
Four	19	5 (1)	(0)	26
Five Six or More	4 5 (5')	. (2)	(0.)	62
Unknown	80 (8)	104	34 (4)	218
TOTAL	993	617	799 j	2409

NUMBER OF PRIOR STATE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS				
	688 (69)	484 (78)	531 (66)	1703
None	114	. 18 (3)	101 (13)	233
One	5 2 (5)	8 (1)	54	114
Two	26 (3)	1 (0)	23	50
Three	15	1 (0)	20	36 (1)
Four	6 (1)	0 (0)	15	21 (1)
Five	12	1 (0)	21 (3)	34
Six or More	80	104	34	21 8 (9)
Unknown				
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF PRIOR	R ATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		412 (41)	322 (52)	492 (62)	1226
One		153 (15)	85 (14)	114 (14)	352 (15)
Two		109	49 (8)	60 (8)	218
Three		75 (8)	24 (4)	30	129 (5)
Four	,	47 (5)	12	21 (3) .	80
Five		42 (4)	6 (1)	19	67
Six or More		75 (8)	15	29 (4)	119
Unknown		(8)	104	(4)	218
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF JUVENILE PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	0.67	505	758	2120
None	857 (86)	(82)	(95)	(88)
One	24 (2)	5 (1)	3 (0)	32
	19 (2)	2 (0)	(0)	24
Two	5 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	6 (0)
Three 4 or More	8 (1)	0 (0)	1 (0)	9 (0)
Unknown	80 (8)	104	34 (4)	218
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF JUVENILE		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
PAROLE VIOLATIONS	٠.	857 (86)	505 (82)	758 (95)	2120 (88)
Never Paroled		25	6 (1)	6 (1)	37
None	<i>1</i>	19	1 (0)	o (0)	20
One	·	7 (1)	1 (0)	1 (0)	9 (0)
Two		3 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0) \	(0)
Three		2	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 or More		80 (8)	104	34 (4)	218
Unknown					0.400
Т	OTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF ADULT	PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		779 (78)	500 (81)	653 (82)	1932
One		85	10	90 (11)	185
Two		30 (3) 12	(0)	18 (2)	50 (2)
Three		(1) 7 (1)	(0) 0 (0)	(0) 2 (0)	(1) 9 (0)
4 or More Unknown		80 (8)	104	34 (4)	218
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF ADULT PAROLE VIOLATIONS		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		779 (78)	500 (81)	653 (82)	1932
Never Paroled		42 (4)	5 (1)	81 (10)	128 . (5)
Non€		62 (6)	6 (1)	29	97 (4)
One		22	2 (0)	(0)	26 (1)
Two		4 (0)	(0)	(0) .	(0)
Three		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 or More Unknown	•	80	104	(4)	218 (9)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TOTAL NUMBER O	F PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		756 (76)	494	648	1898
One		84 (8)	13 (2)	89 (11)	186
Two		31 (3)	(1)	23 (3)	58
Three		18 (2)	(0)	(0)	(1)
4 or More		(2)	(0)	(0)	28
Unknown		80	104 (17)	34 (4)	218
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	756 (76)	494	648 (81)	1898
None	48 (_ 5)	10	85	143
One	69 (7)	6 (1)	29 (4)	104
Two	21	(0)	(0)	26 (1)
Three	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 or More	10	104	(0) 34	(0)
Unknown	80 (8)	(17)	(4)	(9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
12 or Younger	90 (9)	36 (6)	25 (3)	151
13	5 5 (6)	32 (5)	26 (3)	113
14	90 (9).	41 (7)	48	179 (7).
15	87 (9)	39 (6)	36 (5)	162 (7)
16	95 (10)	47 (8)	47	189
17	125	70 (11)	97 (12).	292 (12)
18	67	49 (8)	72	188 (8)
19	33 (3)	28 (5)	63 (8)	124
20	40	32 (5)	48	120 (5)
21	25	17	47 (6)	89 4)
22	19	10 (, ,2)	26	55 (2)
23	16	16	22	54(2)
24	21 (2)	12 (2)	16 (2)	49 (2)
25	7 (1)	7 (1)	25 (3)	39
26 - 29	47	28 (5)	73 (9)	148
30 - 39	65	30 (5)	73	168
40 or Older	31 (3)	19	21 (3)	71
Unknown	80 (8)	104	34	218
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

AGE AT FIRST CO			CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable		666 (67)	423 (69)	600 (75)	1689 (70)
14 or Younger	·	(0)	(0)	2 (0)	4 (0)
15 - 17		58 (6)	14 (2)	21	93 (4)
18 - 19	٠.	45 (5)	21 (3)	24	90 (4)
20 - 21		37	16	14 (2)	67
22 - 25	·	5.0	20	33	103
26 - 29	•	(3)	8 (1)	26 (3)	64 (3) !
30 - 39	·	20 (2)	7 (1)	32	59
40 and Older		5 (1)	4 (1)	13	22
Unknown		(8)	104	34	218
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409