WOMEN RELEASED DIRECTLY FROM MCI-FRAMINGHAM 1979 RELEASES

Prepared by:

Lawrence T. Williams Research Specialist

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Louis M. Berman Commissioner

October, 1980

PUBLICATION #12172
Approved by: John Manton, Acting State Purchasing Agent

ABSTRACT

This report examines those women who were released directly from MCI-Framingham, the institution for women offenders in Massachusetts, during 1979. There were several important findings. They are as follows:

- 1) The majority of women released directly from Framingham in 1979 had not participated in graduated release programs (92%) or the furlough program (86%) during their current incarceration.
- 2) The majority of women (92%) released directly from Framingham in 1979 had not escaped during their current incarceration.
- 3) The majority of women (64%) released directly from Framingham in 1979 served more than one month prior to their release.

Constitución definación de servicios de procede em alternacional de alternaciones de la fille de alternacional de accidencia.

4) The women released directly from Framingham had characteristics that were associated with success in pre-release placement.

માં આવે કે તેને તેના માટે આ દોષ્ટ્ર કોઈ નો જેવા મોટી એક બિલ્લ એક પકે માટે જ માને એક બિલ્લ કે જોવા છે. મોટ બીલોઇક

a palabalan kanta a terminan pelah dinagan palabahan dan pelah bahan terminak di sebesah berakta berakta berak

terificial gradus al al manifest de la company de la c La company de la company d

a stella alla compres se esta filla della compania della significatione di selle della compressione della della

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE			PAGE	NUMBER
I.	Introductio	n. A file of the second of the	e englages	1
	sambre			3
III.	Data Collec	tion		3
IV.	Findings		÷	3
٧.	Table I -	Placement Histories - 1979 Framingham Releases		4
VI.	Table II -	Placement Histories and Furlough Participation 1979 Releases	_	5 .
VII.	Table III-	Escape History 1979 Framingham Releases		6
VIII.	Table IV -	Time Served 1979 Framingham Releases		7
IX.	Table V -	Time Served by Type of Release		8
х.	Table VI -	Scale Scores		10
XI.	Discussion			11
XII.	Footnotes			13.
XIII.	Appendix	ereste retinguis agentiet with the electricity of the project of the pro-	and apple to the first	14
Y2 T Y 7	D-6			20

INTRODUCTION

Department of Correction Research reports have consistently shown that individuals in the system who have had the opportunity to participate in community programs through placements in pre-release facilities or participation in the furlough program have statistically significantly lower rates of recidivism than those who do not have the opportunity of this experience. Moreover, on a rather common sense basis it would seem to follow that since individuals in the criminal justice system will be returning to the community upon release, any attempts to better equip these individuals prior to their return would be a beneficial undertaking for a correctional system.

In spite of these results, there remains a significant number of individuals who are being released on parole or discharged directly from walled institutions into the community. The concern remains that these individuals may have been deprived of the opportunity to participate in graduated release or reintegration programs prior to their release. This concern is especially prevalent for women in the system.

MCI-Framingham is a medium security institution that serves as the only walled institution for women offenders in Massachusetts. The recent trend in commitments of women to Framingham is for them to receive shorter sentences. In fact, the most recent commitment report indicates that 69% of the women committed to Framingham in 1979 had maximum sentences of less than one year. This would indicate that this population has more women eligible for participation in community programs and more in need of these placements since their incarceration periods are short in nature.

Contrary to expectations, there remains a large number of women who are being released directly from MCI-Framingham to the streets.

This study examines the population of women released from Framingham during 1979 in an attempt to gain a better understanding of their needs and placement histories.

SAMPLE:

The sample consists of all women released from MCI-Framingham during 1979. There were 160 women who were in the sample, 19 of whom were parole violators. Forty-six percent were released at expiration of sentence, 43% were paroled, and 11% were discharged.

DATA COLLECTION:

The analyses following in this report are based on four categories of variables: commitment variables, personal background variables, criminal history variables, and furlough variables. The material was collected from the Massachusetts Department of Correction Central Office files and from the computerized data base developed by the Correction and Parole Management Information System (CAPMIS) and was produced on the Massachusetts State College Computer Network (MSCCN).

FINDINGS:

While there were 160 women released from MCI-Framingham in 1979, not all women necessarily had to have spent their whole institutional life in Framingham. Some women could have had the opportunity to participate in pre-release facilities, failed at these facilities, been returned to Framingham and subsequently released from there. Ideally, walled institutions would be used to house women who are serious offenders or women who have repeatedly failed in lower security placements. However, the 1979 release population from Framingham does not follow this pattern. The placement histories of this population is presented in Table I.

-4-

TABLE 1 PLACEMENT HISTORIES - 1979 FRAMINGHAM RELEASES*

	NUMBER	PERCENT
Prior Pre-Release Placements During Incarceration	13	(8)
No Prior Pre-Release Placements During Incarceration	147	(92)
TOTAL	160	(100)

As Table I shows, 92% of the women released from Framingham during 1979 had never received any pre-release placements during their incarceration. These women were released without benefit of participation in graduated release programs. Also, an examination of the present offenses of the women released in 1979 shows that the most frequently committed offense for the sample was larceny (18%) closely followed by prostitution (16%). These offenses are not usually thought of as serious offenses.

While a sizeable number of women are being released from Framingham without the benefit of alternate placements, it may be that they are receiving a significant number of furloughs that give them an opportunity to strengthen or else develop their community ties. Table II breaks the sample into groups according to participation in the furlough program and placement options.

^{*} Those women who were parole violators are included in this table.

The placement information covers their total incarceration for the current offense.

TABLE II
PLACEMENT HISTORIES AND FURLOUGH PARTICIPATION 1979 RELEASES

	NUMBER	PERCENT
Framingham Releases, No Pre-Release Placements, No Furloughs	129	(81)
Framingham Releases, No Pre-Release Placements, Furloughs	18	(11)
Framingham Releases, Pre-Release Placements, No Furloughs	8	(5)
Framingham Releases, Pre-Release Placements, Furloughs	5	(3)
TOTAL		

As Table II shows, there are 137 women or 86% of the sample who never received a furlough during their incarceration. Also, 129 women or 81% of the sample never received placements or participated in the furlough program; i.e., spent all their institutional time in Framingham.

One reason that could be proposed to explain these results is that the women released in 1979 had evidenced patterns of repeated negative behavior in the institution. One way to examine this is by looking at escape statistics for the women in the sample since an escape represents a serious infraction of rules. Table III looks at whether any women in the sample had escaped during their incarceration.

-6-

ESCAPE HISTORY - 1979 FRAMINGHAM RELEASES

TABLE III

	NUMBER	PERCENT
Escapes During Incarceration	13	(8)
No Escapes During Incarceration	147	(92)
TOTAL	160	(100)

As Table III shows, there were only thirteen women who had escaped at least once during their incarceration who were released during 1979. The majority of the women released (92%) had never escaped from their institutions. Also, it is important to note that of the thirteen women who escaped, six were women who had received a pre-release placement and escaped from there. Only seven women or 4% of the sample had escaped from Framingham. This of course does not include those women who have escaped from Framingham and not been apprehended.

The last variable to be examined during this phase of analysis is the amount of time served by the women in the sample. If the majority of the sample is only serving one month or less, they probably could not be placed during their incarceration. Table V specifically looks at the amount of time served for women in the sample.

displaying the continue of the continue to the continue of the continue of the continue of the continue of the

TABLE IV

TIME SERVED - 1979 FRAMINGHAM RELEASES*

			NUMBER	PERC	ENT
Same Day	•		5	(3)
1 - 2 Weeks		· Was top a gree	30		19)
2 - 4 Weeks		•	22	(14)
1 - 3 Months			48		30)
3 - 6 Months		•	16	(10)
6 - 9 Months	••	-	14	(9)
9 - 12 Months			9	(6)
1 - 2 Years			14	C	9)
More Than 2 Yes	ars		2	C	0)
TOTAL			160	()	L00 <u>)</u>

As Table IV shows, 36% of the population served less than one month before being released. Sixty-four percent of the women released had served one month or longer prior to their release in 1979. Not only was the population eligible for pre-release placements, but they had served a long enough period of time for pre-release placements to be a viable option for them.

A further breakdown of time served was done according to type of release from Framingham. Group 1 contains only those women who were paroled; Group 2 contains women who received either a certificate of release or a certificate of discharge; and Group 3 contains those women who went to the expiration of their sentence. Table V summarizes these results.

^{*} This table includes time served for parole violators in the sample.

The time served for parole violators was computed by taking the time served from the date of return to the date of release.

TABLE V
TIME SERVED BY TYPE OF RELEASE

	PAR	OLES	DISC	IARGE	EX	PIRATION
	N	<u>8</u>	N	<u>&</u>	N	<u>क</u>
Same Day 1 - 2 Weeks 2 - 4 Weeks 1 - 3 Months 3 - 6 Months 6 - 9 Months 9 - 12 Months 1 - 2 Years	0 1 7 21 9 11 6	(0) (2) (10) (31) (13) (16) (9) (16)	0 4 9 20 7 3 3	(0) (8) (18) (42) (14) (6) (6)	5 25 6 7 0 0	(12) (58) (14) (16) (0) (0) (0)
More Than 2 Years	2	(3)	0	(0)	Ö	(0)
TOTAL	68	(100)	49	(100)	43	(100)

As Table V shows, 84% of those women who served less than one month were released at expiration of sentence. Seventy-four percent of the discharges and 88% of the paroles served longer than one month before release.

Looking at the total releases from Framingham during 1979 and excluding those women who had escaped, those women who had prior pre-release failures this incarceration, and those who had served less than one month, there remains 84 women (53%) of the sample who had not had any escapes or pre-release failures this incarceration and who had served one month or longer prior to their release.

Another kind of analysis was done on this sample of women. This analysis involved a comparison of this sample of women with a sample of women released from Charlotte House, a pre-release facility for women in Massachusetts. Previous published reports done by the Research Unit on the Charlotte House releases identified eleven variables that distinguished successful completers from unsuccessful completers.

The eleven variables listed by unsuccessful and successful splits respectively are as follows:

	VARIABLE	UNSUCCESSFUL SPLIT	SUCCESSFUL SPLIT
1.	Time on Job of Longest Duration	Less Than 1 Year	l Year or Longer
2.	Age at Release	25 Years or Younger	26 Years or Older
à.	Age at First Arrest	17 Years or Younger	18 Years or Older
4.	Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	One or More	None
5.	Time at Most Skilled Position .	Less Than 1 Year	l Year or More
6.	Number of Charges for Property Offenses	Two or More	One or Fewer
	Number of Court Appearances	Four or More	Three or Fewer
8.	Age at Incarceration	21 Years or Younger	22 Years or Older
9.	Marital Status	Single	Other
10.	Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	One or More	None
11.	Number of Any Prior Incarcerations	One or More	None

A scale was constructed based on these eleven variables. One point was assigned for each item in the scale according to the dichotomies established above. That half of the dichotomy most linked with success received one point; the half linked with failure received no points. Eleven is the maximum score on the scale and would be associated with success at Charlotte House. Comparing the scores on this scale for the combined Charlotte House population for 1977 and 1978 and the Framingham releases for 1979 should permit an assessment of the proportion of those released from Framingham who would be expected to succeed in Charlotte House. Table VI shows the distribution of scores of the two groups on the scale.

SCALE SCORE	CHARLOTTE HOUSE SUCCESSFUL	CHARLOTTE HOUSE UNSUCCESSFUL	FRAMINGHAM RELEASES
	Second to N 1968. Street has been		
0	0 (0)	2 (11)	0 (0)
2	1 (4)	3 (17)	0 (0)
3	1 (4)	5 (28)	5 (3)
4	1 (4)	3 (17)	21 (13)
5	3 (11)	2 (11)	36 (23)
6	1 (4)	0 (0)	34 (21)
7	5 (19)	2 (11)	27 (17)
8	3 (11)	0 (0)	18 (11)
9	5 (19)	0 (0)	13 (8)
10	.1 (. 4)	0 (0)	6 (4)
11	6 (22)	1 (5)	0 (0)
TOTAL	27 (100)	18 (100)	160 (100)
MEAN MEDIAN	.7.8 8	3.8 3	6.2 6

As Table V shows, 79% of the Charlotte House successful completers had a scale score of six and above. Sixteen percent of the Charlotte House unsuccessful completers had a scale score of six and above and 61% of the Framingham releases had a scale score of six and above. Likewise, 8% of the Charlotte House successful completers had a score of 3 or less, 56% of the Charlotte House unsuccessful completers had a score of 3 or less, and 3% of the Framingham releases had this scale score. Based on this multi-variate analysis of the variables that distinguished successful and unsuccessful Charlotte House placements, the Framingham releases have characteristics similar to the Charlotte House successful completer sample.

DISCUSSION

This report examined the women released from MCI-Framingham during 1979. Based on this analysis, several conclusions can be made. First, those women who were released from Framingham for the most part did not have the opportunity to participate in the furlough program or to receive a placement in a pre-release facility even though empty pre-release beds for women have consistently existed. Secondly, the majority of women had never escaped during their incarceration. Thirdly, a majority of these women served more than one month and so were viable candidates for pre-release. Lastly, when an analysis is made of the women released from Framingham based on the variables that were found to distinguish successful Charlotte House pre-release placements, the women released from Framingham in 1979 were just as likely to be successes in Charlotte House as those women released from that facility.

This analysis points up a need to develop a classification process that better enables these eligible women to participate in the graduated celease or reintegration programs. While many would argue that women in the system do not serve enough time to justify pre-release placements, the results would seem to indicate that a mechanism can be established to provide the pre-release option to women who serve more than one month at Framingham. Published reports have shown that women released from Charlotte House⁵ and pre-release in general have lower recidivism rates than those released from walled institutions. These reports have controlled for selection factors* and consistently have shown statistically significantly lower recidivism rates for the pre-release releases versus non-pre-release releases. This would make obvious the conclusion that making the pre-release option available for these women and utilizing it to the fullest will result in benefits both for the Department and for The Charlotte House recidivism report did not control for selecti factors due to the small sample size.

the individual women involved. Also equally as important, the society at large would benefit since these women would be returning to the community less likely to commit further crimes.

FOOTNOTES

- Smart, Yvette, An Analysis of Recidivism Rates Among Residents Released from Massachusetts Correctional Institutions in 1977, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication Number 182, November, 1979.
- ²Holt, Linda K., <u>An Analysis of Recent Trends in Court Commitments</u> to the Massachusetts <u>Department of Correction</u>, Massachusetts <u>Department of Correction Publication Number 207</u>, September, 1980.
- Williams, Lawrence T., A Statistical Description of Court Commitments to Massachusetts Correctional Institutions during 1979, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication Number 196, July 1980.
- Williams, Lawrence T., A Profile of Characteristics Distinguishing Between Program Completers and Program Non-Completers Charlotte House
 1977 and 1978 Releases. Massachusetts Department of Correction
 Publication Number 202, August, 1980.
- Williams, Lawrence T., An Analysis of Recidivism Among Women Released From Charlotte House: 1977 and 1978 Releases, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication Number 203, August, 1980.

⁶Smart, Yvette, An Analysis of Recidivism Rates Among Residents Released from Massachusetts Correctional Institutions in 1977, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication Number 182, November, 1979.

er on between the second of the between the properties of the property between the comment of the between the

વાર્ષ કર્મના કર્મો મેટિકાન પ્રાપ્તાના પાંચાય સ્ટાર્ક્સ કર્માં છે. તે પાંચ પ્રાપ્ત કર્માં કર્મના કર્માં

APPENDIX

BERTHELDER STEINER STEINER ER EINE FLEINER BETTEILE ER EINE BERTHELDE BERTHELDE BETTEILE BERTHELDE BETTEILE BE

		NUMBER	PERCENT
1.	Court From Which Committed		
18. jung	Essex Middlesex Norfolk Plymouth Suffolk Worcester Municipal Courts District Courts	5 8 2 1 14 12 46 72	(3) (5) (1) (0) (9) (8) (29) (45)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
2.	Jail Credits None 1 to 10 11 to 50 51 to 100	130 17 11 2	(81) (11) (7) (1)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
3.	Minimum Sentence		
	l Year 5 Years 9 Years Indeterminate Day to Day	1 1 1 156	(1) (1) (0) (98) (0)
	TOTAL	160	(100)

and the control of t Franklin and the control of th

•	•	NUMBER	PERCENT	
Ī	Maximum Sentence			
I	Less than one month	41	(26)	
Ī	Less than one year	62	(39)	-
ַן	\mathbf{l} , \mathbf{Year} , \mathbf{l}		(.11)	A - 1.35 (1)
. 2	2 Years	23	(. 14)	•
	3 Years	1	(1)	
	5 Years	5	. (3)	
	6 Years	1	(1)	
	7 Years	1	(1)	
	8 Years	1	(1)	
	10 Years	5	(. 3)	
	ll to 12 Years	<u>_</u>	(0)	
	20 or more years	. 10	(0)	
1.	Day to Day	inger en in die der der gegen von der eine der gegen der der der der gegen der der der der der der der der der Der der der der der der der der der der d	(0)	• •
T.	POTAL	160	(3.00)	
1	TOTAL	160	(100)	
d.	Type of Sentence			
<u> </u>	Type or pencence		·	-
Ω	One sentence only	102	(64)	
	Concurrent Sentence	47	(29)	
	Aggregate Sentence	10	(29) (6)	
	->>			
F	rom & After Sentence			
F	From & After Sentence	1	(1)	
	rom & After Sentence		(1)	
. • T	From & After Sentence	1 160		
T	rom & After Sentence	1 160	(1)	na n
Т <u>Р</u>	rom & After Sentence OTAL Present Offense - General Categor	1 160 ies	(1) (100)	The same of
Т <u>Р</u>	rom & After Sentence OTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person	1 160 ries	(1) (100) (18)	Media sa est
T P P	From & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex	1 160 ies 29 2	(1) (100) (18) (1)	Section 1
P S P	From & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property	1 160 ies 29 2 65	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41)	o de la companya de
P P S P:	From & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property Prug	1 160 ies 29 2 65 10	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6)	o de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela c
P P S P:	From & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property	1 160 ies 29 2 65	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41)	Property and the second
P P S P O	From & After Sentence Potal Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property Prug Pother	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	Transis va reid
P P S P O	From & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property Prug	1 160 ies 29 2 65 10	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6)	
P P S P D	Trom & After Sentence COTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property Prug Pther	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	Park in the Park
P P D D D T	From & After Sentence Potal Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property Prug Pother	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	
P S P D O T P :	From & After Sentence COTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Ex Property Prug Other COTAL Present Offense - Person	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	
P SP DO O	From & After Sentence COTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Ex Property Prug OTAL Present Offense - Person Ot Applicable	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	From & After Sentence COTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Ex Property Prug Cher COTAL resent Offense - Person ot Applicable anslaughter	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	
P P S P D O T P	Prom & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Pex Property Prug Pither POTAL Present Offense - Person Ot Applicable Anslaughter Prince Robbery	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34)	
P P S P D O T N M A	Prom & After Sentence OTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Person Person Person Person Person OTAL Person Ot Applicable Applicable Anslaughter rmed Robbery narmed Robbery	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34) (100) (82) (0) (3)	
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Prom & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Person Person Person Person Potal	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34) (100)	
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Prom & After Sentence Potal Present Offense - General Categor Person Ex Property Prug Other OTAL resent Offense - Person ot Applicable anslaughter rmed Robbery narmed Robbery rmed Assault narmed Assault	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34) (100) (82) (0) (3) (3) (6) (6)	
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Prom & After Sentence POTAL Present Offense - General Categor Person Person Person Person Person Potal	1 160 29 2 65 10 54	(1) (100) (18) (1) (41) (6) (34) (100)	

The said to come the said from the said of the said a file and the said

. •

8. Present Offense - Property Not Applicable 95 (59) Arson 2 (1) Burglary - Armed 1 (1) Burglary 7 (4) Stealing 1 (1) Larceny - Person 10 (6)	An
Arson 2 (1) Burglary - Armed 1 (1) Burglary 7 (4) Stealing 1 (1)	230
Larceny 29 (18)	
Vehicle Theft3(2)Forgery & Uttering9(6)Stolen Goods3(2)	•
TOTAL (100)	
9. Present Offense - Sex	
Not Applicable 158 (98) Rape of Minor 1 (1) Unnatural Acts 1 (1)	
TOTAL 160 (100)	-
10. Present Offense - Drugs	
Not Applicable Possession of Narcotics Controlled Substance - Class A Controlled Substance - Class B Controlled Substance - Class C Controlled Substance - Unclassified 150 (94) 4 (3) (1) (0)	200
TOTAL 160 (100)	
11. Present Offense - Other	
Not Applicable 106 (66) Escape 2 (1) Weapons Offenses 1 (1) Disturbing the Peace 10 (6) Prostitution 25 (16) Vehicle Offenses 1 (0) Contempt of Court 3 (2) Other 12 (8)	
TOTAL 160 (100))

		NUMBER	PERCENT
12.	Age at Incarceration		
	17 18 19 20 21	8 13 9 12	(5) (8) (6) (8)
	22 23 24 25 26 - 29 30 - 39	20 12 10 9 33	(3) (13) (8) (6) (6) (20)
•	40 - 64 65 and Older	13	(9) (8) (0)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
13.	Race	•	
	White Black Hispanic	108 51 1	(68) (32) (0)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
14.	Marital Status		
aleste ett ja	Married Single Divorced Widowed Separated Unknown	21 95 7 15 7	(13) (59) (9) (5) (9) (5)
٠	TOTAL	160	(100)
15.	Military Discharge		
	No Service Honorable Unknown	140 2 18	(88) (1) (11)
	TOTAL	160	(100)

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	NUMB	ER PERCENT	•
	Prior Address Selected Cities and	Towns		27.4
	_		(22)	
	Boston	53	•	
	Brockton	<u> </u>	(1)	
	Cambridge Fall River	0	(5) (0)	
	Framingham	エ となん ときである なり	······································	
	Holyoke	1	(0)	
	Lawrence	. J	(2)	
	Lowell	3	(2)	
	Lynn	7	$(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 4 \end{array})$	••
	Quincy	4	. (3)	
	Somerville	3	(2)	-
	Worcester	35 35	(22)	
	Other Massachusetts	35		*-
	Out of State	4	(3)	
	moma T			
	TOTAL	160	(100)	
٠	Prior Address - County			
	Worcester	39	(24)	-
	Middlesex	. 29		
	Suffolk	56	(<u>35)</u>	-
	Norfolk	8	(5)	
	Bristol	2	(1)	
	Plymouth	_ 3	(2)	
	Essex	15	(9)	
	Hampshire Hampden	ili. Barrigi e passi di di a Latinga.	. (a. 1) Markana kan manana kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan ka	a. National description of the contract of the co
	Berkshire	epular na propinsi ya na silata T	(1)	and the second s
	Barnstable	<u>ተ</u>	(0)	
	Out of State	4	(3)	
				·
	TOTAL	160	(100)	
	Prior Address - SMSA			•
	Boston	94	(59)	÷
	Brockton	· 2	· (1)	•
	Fall River	1	(1)	
``	Fitchburg - Leominster Lawrence - Haverhill	,2 5		
	Lowell Pittsfield	**************************************	2)	
	Springfield	$\overline{2}$	(i)	
	Worcester	35	(22)	-
	Other Massachusetts	11	(7)	
	Out of State	A	(3)	

NUMBER	PERCENT
19. Occupation	
Professional 3	(2)
Semi-Professional 4 Business 1	(0)
Sales, Clerical	(21)
Manual 26	(16)
Services 48	(30)
Housekeeper 2	(1)
Unemployed 16 Unknown 27	(10) (17)
Unknown 27	(1/)
TOTAL 160	(100)
20. Time at Most Skilled Position	
	(16)
Less than one month 25 1 - 2 Months 20	(13)
3 - 4 Months 12	(8)
5 - 6 Months 12	(8)
7 - 9 Months 7	(2)
10 - 12 Months 9	(. 6)
1 - 2 Years 18 2 - 5 Years 11	(11) (7)
More Than 5 Years 10	(6)
Unknown 36	(23)
TOTAL 160	(100)
Banking and Company of the Company o	
21. Time on Job of Longest Duration	
Less than one month 25	(16)
1 - 2 Months 15	(9)
3 - 4 Months 12	(8)
5 - 6 Months 14	(9)
7 - 9 Months 7	(4)
10 - 12 Months 9	(6) (13)
1 - 2 Years 21 2 - 5 Years 12	(8)
More Than 5 Years	(6)
Unknown 35.	(22)
TOTAL	(100)

22.	Last Grade Completed	NUMBER	PERCENT
English shape	Fifth Sixth Seventh Eighth Ninth Tenth Eleventh Twelfth G.E.D.	2 3 6 12 25 21 29 36	(1) (2) (4) (8) (16) (13) (18) (23) (6)
	Some College College Graduate Unknown	3 1 13	(2) (1) (8)
W.,	TOTAL	160	(100)
23.	Known Drug Use		
	No Mention of Drugs Non-specific Heroin Other Specific Marijuana Only Unknown	72 12 49 18 2 7	(45) (8) (31) (11) (1) (4)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
24.	Total Number of Court Appearances One	43 m	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	Two Three Four Five Six - Eight Nine - Eleven	5 13 3 15 16	(5) (3) (8) (2) (9) (10)
	Twelve - Tifteen Sixteen - Twenty Over 20 Unknown	22 4 25 6	(14) (3) (16) (4)
	TOTAL	160	(100)

		NUMBER	PERCENT
25.	Number of Prior Charges for Person		
	Offenses	•	
	None	56	(35)
	One	22	(14)
	Two was a second of the second of the second		(11)
· .	Three	5	(3)
	Four Five	8 7	(5) (4)
	Six to Eight	4	(3)
	Over Eight	5	(3)
	Unknown	35	(22)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
26.	Number of Prior Charges for Sex		
un .	Offenses		
	None	119	(74)
	One	6	(4)
i	Two	1	(1)
-	Unknown	34	(21)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
27.	Number of Prior Charges for Proper Offenses	ty	
	OTTENSES		
	None	24	(.15)
	One	17	(11)
	Two Three	9 12	(6) (8)
	Four	8	(5)
	Five	7	(4)
	Six to Eight	10	(6)
	Over Eight	39 24	(24)
	Unknown	34	(21)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
0.0	Number of Prince Chause for Branch		
28.	Number of Prior Charges for Escape Offenses		in the spring
Welly's Estate	None	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	7 691
	One	10	(6)
	Two	2	(1)
	Three or More	3	(2)
	Unknown	35	(22)
	· TOTAL , deserved to deserve deserved of the second of th		(100)

-	* .	NUMBER 1	PERCENT
	Number of Prior Charges for Drunkenness		······································
. 5	Offenses		
Ŋ	None	103	(64)
. (One	11	(7)
	lwo	4	(3)
	Three of the second stands of the appeal of the second second second second second second second second second Sour	a yan <u>u</u> raya ya karan a T	(1)
	Tive or More	5	(3)
	Inknown	35	(22)
ī	COTAL	160	(100)
30. N	Number of Prior Charges for Drug Offense	es	
		 	4 4-1
	lone	77	(48)
	, MO		(3)
	hree	6	(4)
	our	5	(3)
	ive or More	17	(11)
	nknown	33	(21)
. T	OTAL .	160	(100)
31. <u>N</u>	umber of Prior Juvenile Incarcerations		
N	one	113	(71)
	ne o postava produkta kara kara kara kara kara kara kara k	10	- (6)
	MONTH OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	tratiky 2 sy vronte draki to	一 (二字重) ※ () ()
	hree ive	1 1	(1) (1)
	nknown	33	(21)
Ū		33	•
T	OTAL	160	(100)
32. <u>N</u>	umber of Prior County Incarcerations		
	one	106	(66)
	ne	13	(8)
	hree	3	(2)
	ive		(1)
	ix or More		
	nknown	32	(20)
· T	OTAL	160	(100)
	大大,大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大		entre transfer and the second and the second

		NUMBER	PERCENT
33.	Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations		•
-	Incarcerations	·	
	None	95	(59)
	One	. 18	(11)
	Two	(1.14 m) 1.16	(6) (4)
•	Four	1	(1)
1 1	Five	.2	(1)
	Six or More Unknown	27	(1) (17)
•	UIRHOWN		C 111
	TOTAL	160	(100)
34.	Number of Prior Adult Incarceration	<u>ns</u> 	
	None	81	(51)
	One	19	(12)
•	Two	10	(6)
	Three	7	(4)
•	Four	3	(2)
*-	Five	3 5	(2) (3)
	Six or More Unknown	32	(20)
•	TOTAL	160	(100)
35.	Number of Any Prior Incarcerations		:
A Marchael	None	and the second second	A AAA
	One	26	(16)
·	Two	10	(6)
	Three	8	(. 5)
	Four	3 3	(2)
	Five		(2)
	Six or More	7	(4)
•	Unknown	33	(21)
,	TOTAL	160	(100)
36.	Number of Prior Juvenile Paroles		
		Cred Name of Land	a state of the state of
	None	125	(78)
· 等性等的 (1)	One	PARTIES CARRE	5 (2 3)
	Two	1	(1)
	Unknown	29	(18)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
	·		

		NUMBER	PERCENT
37.	Number of Prior Juvenile Parole		
	Violations		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Never Paroled	125	(78)
	None	3	(2)
	One	3	(2)
	Unknown Commence of the Commen	23	(.18)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
38.	Number of Prior Adult Paroles		
		•	•
	None	· 103	(64)
	One	19	(12)
	Two	6	(4)
	Three	3	(2)
	Four or More	1	(1)
•	Unknown	28	(18)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
39.	Total Number of Prior Adult Parole		
	Violations	•	
	Norman Damalad	103	(64)
•	Never Paroled None	103	(6)
. •	One	11	(7)
÷	Two	8	(5)
	Unknown	28	(18)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
40.	Total Number of Prior Paroles	-	
	None	98	(61)
	One	21	(. 13)
	Two	6	(4)
	Three	4	(3)
			, -,
	Four or More	1	· (_ (1)
	Four or More Unknown	1 30	(19)
		1 30 160	(19) (100)

		NUMBER	PERCENT
41.	Total Number of Prior Parole Violations		
	Never Paroled	98	(61)
	None One	13 10	(8) " (6)
	Two	9	(6)
	Unknown is the mail, when a price when the line is a line in	, 14 30	79. (.) (
	TOTAL .	160	(100)
42.	Age at First Arrest	•	
• •	12 or Younger	5	(3)
	13	10	(6)
	14 15	12 6	(8) (4)
	16	8	(5)
	17 18	16 20	(10) (13)
	19	8	(5)
•	20 21	4	(3) (3)
	22	1 2	(1) (1)
•	23 24	5	(3)
•	26 - 29 30 - 39	3 5	(2)
aureo la Arta	Over 40	6.34	(4)
	Unknown	4.4	(29)
•	TOTAL	160	(100)
43.	Age at First Drunk Arrest		· ·
		101	(63)
	Not Applicable 14 or Younger	2	(1)
	15 - 17 18 - 19	4 3	(3)
• .	20 - 21	ĭ	(1)
e e e		4	(3)
an extensive	30 - 39	4	(3)
ta naste in a a tuli finge	40 and Older Unknown	39	(24)
,		• •	
	TOTAL	160	(100)

•		NUMBER	PERCENT
44.	Age at First Drug Arrest		
	Not Applicable 14 or Younger 15 - 17 18 - 19 20 - 21 22 - 25 26 - 29 30 - 39 Unknown	76 3 9 9 10 10 3 1	(48) (2) (6) (6) (6) (6) (2) (1) (24)
	TOTAL	160	(100)
	Total Number of Furloughs None One 2 to 5 6 to 10 11 to 15 16 to 20 21 to 30	134 4 13 2 4 2 1	(84) (3) (8) (1) (3) (1) (1)
-	TOTAL	160	(100)
46.	Number of Successful Furlough Outcomes		
	Never Furloughed One Two to Five 6 to 10 11 to 15 16 to 20	134 4 14 3 3 2	(84) (3) (9) (2) (2) (1) (100)
•	TOTAL	160	(100)
47.	Number of Late Under Furlough Outcomes	134	(84)
	Never Furloughed None One 2 to 5	134 16 5	(10) (3) (3)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	TOTAL	160	(100)

ust die

en engares langt for a

		NUMBER	PERCENT	+ 2"
48.	Number of Late Over Furlough Outc	omes		
	Never Furloughed None	134 26	(84) (16)	
49.	TOTAL Number of Escape Furlough Outcome	160 <u>s</u>	(100)	
	Never Furloughed None One	134 25 1	(84) (16) (1)	• • •
	TOTAL	160	(100)	
50.	Type of Release			
	Parole Discharge Expiration	68 19 73	(43) (12) (46)	
	TOTAL	160	(100)	
51.	Age at Release			
	17 or Younger 18 19 20	4 13 10	(3) (8) (6) (4)	
	21 22 23 24 25 26 - 29 30 - 39 40 and Older	14 17 8 5 39 21 15	(9) (11) (5) (3) (24) (13) (9)	
	TOTAL	160	(100)	

terinoria in a sur productivo de la colorida de la La colorida de la co

REFERENCES

- 1. Holt, Linda K., An Analysis of Recent Trends in Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication No. 207, September, 1980.
- 2. Smart, Yvette, An Analysis of Recidivism Rates Among Residents
 Released From Massachusetts Correctional Institutions in
 1977. Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication
 No. 182, November, 1979.
- 3. Williams, Lawrence T., Client Profile Charlotte House 1977

 and 1978 Releases, Massachusetts Department of Correction

 Publication No. 201, August, 1980.
- 4. Williams, Lawrence T., A Profile of Characteristics Distinguishing

 Between Program Completers and Program Non-Completers
 Charlotte House: 1977 and 1978 Releases, Massachusetts

 Department of Correction Publication No. 202, August, 1980.

5. Williams, Lawrence T., An Analysis of Recidivism Among Women Released From Charlotte House: 1977 and 1978 Releases, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication No. 203, August, 1980.

radi and rational deficiency and in the entraperation of the experience of the experience of the

Carlo Call Bell Carlo Considerate for a land a fairmant for the carlo and the considerate and the considerate a