

**1988 Court Commitments to the
Massachusetts Department of Correction**

Prepared by:

**Lisa Lorant
Senior Statistical Analyst**

**Robert Tenaglia
Statistical Data Analyst**

Massachusetts Department of Correction

**George A. Vose
Commissioner**

December 1989

**PUBLICATION#: 16,132-69 pcs.-250 cps.-12-89
Approved by State Purchasing Agent**

Abstract

This report is a statistical description of the 2,965 individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1988. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was a 4 percent increase in the number of commitments during the year, from 2,848 in 1987 to 2,965 in 1988. The 2,965 commitments in 1988 represent the highest level of commitments in DOC history.
- There were 1,364 commitments to Cedar Junction (9 percent higher than 1987), 1,022 commitments to Framingham (14 percent higher than 1987), and 579 commitments to Concord (17 percent lower than 1987).
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was a decrease of less than one percent in male commitments and an increase of 14 percent in female commitments from 1987 to 1988.
- The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year. Sentence lengths for new court commitments were similar in 1987 and 1988.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 52 percent of all male commitments and 11 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 89 percent of all female commitments and 48 percent of all male commitments. From 1987 to 1988 there were increases in commitments for property offenses (up 8 percent), sex offenses (up 7 percent), drug offenses (up 7 percent), and "other" offenses (up 1 percent). There was a decrease in commitments for person offenses (down less than one percent).
- The median age at commitment was 28 years.
- Fifty-six percent of the commitment population were white (including white Hispanics); 63 percent were single; 10 percent had been in the military; 43 percent came from the Boston SMSA; most had limited work experience concentrated in the areas of manual labor and services; the median educational level was twelfth grade; and, 36 percent had a self-reported history of drug use.
- Fifty-seven percent were serving their first adult incarceration. The median age at first court appearance was nineteen.

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
<u>Abstract</u>	i
<u>Table of Contents</u>	ii
<u>Introduction</u>	1
<u>Trends in Court Commitments, 1978 to 1988</u>	2
Figure 1. Number of Commitments to DOC, 1978 to 1988	3
Figure 2. Commitments by Institution, 1978 to 1988	4
Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Offenders Committed to the DOC, 1984 to 1988	8
<u>A Description of 1988 Commitments</u>	11
<u>Nature of Present Offense</u>	18
Month of Commitment	19
Court From Which Committed	20
County of Court From Which Committed	21
Jail Credits	22
Minimum Sentence	23
Maximum Sentence	24
Sentence Type	25
Present Offense: General Categories	26
Present Offense: Person Offenses	27
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	28
Present Offense: Property Offenses	29
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	30
Present Offense: Other Offenses	31

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
<u>Nature of Present Offense (Cont.)</u>	
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility Date	32
<u>Personal Background Characteristics</u>	33
Age at Incarceration	34
Sex	35
Race	36
Ethnic Indicator	37
Citizenship Indicator	38
Marital Status	39
Military Discharge	40
Prior Address: Selected Cities and Towns	41
Prior Address: County	42
Prior Address: SMSA	43
Occupation	44
Last Grade Completed	45
Known Drug Use	46
<u>Criminal History</u>	47
Total Number of Court Appearances	48
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	49
Number of Charges for Property Offenses	50
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	51
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	52
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	53
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	54

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
<u>Criminal History</u>	
Juvenile Commitment Indicator	55
Number of House of Correction Incarcerations	56
Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	57
Number of Prior Adult Incarcerations	58
Number of Juvenile Paroles	59
Number of Juvenile Parole Violations	60
Number of Adult Paroles	61
Number of Adult Parole Violations	62
Total Number of Paroles	63
Total Number of Parole Violations	64
Age at First Court Appearance	65
Age at First Court Appearance for Alcohol Offense	66
Age at First Court Appearance for Drug Offense	67
<u>Glossary</u>	68

Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1988. The report contains information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of individuals committed from the courts. Trends in court commitments over the last five to ten years are discussed as well. This report is based on information that was submitted to the Research Division from the three committing institutions and the Board of Probation.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year (forthwith and from and after sentences). If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1988, each court commitment for that individual is counted separately. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1988 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information is helpful when reading the tables in this report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a very different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The top number in each cell represents the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The bottom number in each cell appears in parentheses and represents the percentage of the number of individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents).

Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. It is particularly large in some of the personal background

characteristics variables (e.g. occupation and drug use). In the narrative, these cases are excluded when discussing percentages.


Trends in Court Commitments, 1978 to 1988

There were 2,965 commitments during 1988, a four percent increase from the previous year. Figure 1 (page 3) shows the number of commitments for the period 1978 to 1988. The number of commitments during 1988 was higher than at any time in the history of the DOC.

Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. Figure 2 (page 4) shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1978 to 1988. The number of commitments during 1988 was higher than any of the preceeding eleven years for Cedar Junction and Framingham. Concord commitments were highest in 1982. During 1988 Concord commitments have dropped to its lowest number since 1980.

From 1987 to 1988 increases in commitment levels varied at the three committing institutions. Cedar Junction commitments increased from 1250 in 1987 to 1364 in 1988, an increase of 114 commitments or 9 percent. Concord commitments decreased from 701 in 1987 to 579 in 1988, a decrease of 122 commitments or 17 percent. Framingham increased from 897 commitments in 1987 to 1022 in 1988, an increase of 125 commitments or 14 percent. Overall, male commitments decreased by less than one percent (N=8) and female commitments increased by 14 percent.

Number of Commitments to DOC 1978 to 1988



Commitments by Institution, 1978 to 1988




Table 1 shows selected characteristics of offenders committed to the DOC over the last five years, 1984 to 1988. These characteristics are shown separately for each committing institution and for total commitments.

In terms of primary offense, the commitment population has changed over the past five years. Commitments for drug offenses increased by 198 percent (N=516); commitments for property offenses increased by 27 percent (N=143); commitments for sex offenses increased by 26 percent (N=59); commitments for other offenses increased by 13 percent (N=44); and commitments for person offenses increased less than one percent (N=1). Thus, in 1984, 49 percent of DOC commitments were for violent offenses (i.e. person and sex offenses) and 51 percent were for non-violent offenses (i.e. property, drug, and "other" offenses). In 1988, 38 percent of the commitments were for violent offenses and 62 percent were for non-violent offenses.

Commitments for drug offenses showed the greatest increase over the five year period. Drug commitments increased at all three committing institutions. Drug commitments were up by 300 percent (N=324) at Cedar Junction, by 191 percent (N=157) at Framingham, and by 49 percent (N=35) at Concord.

Commitments for property offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 56 percent (N=74), at Framingham by 23 percent (N=62), and at Concord by 5 percent (N=7).

Commitments for sex offenses increased by 26 percent (N=59) over the period, from 227 in 1984 to 286 in 1988. Two institutions experienced increases in commitments for sex offenses: Framingham increased by 133 percent (N=8) and Cedar Junction increased by 39 percent (N=54). Commitments for sex offenses to Concord decreased by 4 percent (N=3).

Commitments for offenses in the "other" offense category increased by 13 percent (N=44) over the past five years. This growth was concentrated among the Framingham group where many women were committed for prostitution, O.U.I., disturbing the peace, or other offenses in this category. Commitments to Framingham in this category increased by 15 percent (N=43) over the period.

The number of offenders committed for offenses against the person increased by one from 842 in 1984 to 843 in 1988. This represents an increase of one commitment over the 5 year period. Commitments for person offenses increased at Framingham by 24 percent (N=20), and at Cedar Junction by 18 percent (N=78) and decreased at Concord by 29 percent (N=97) during the past five years.

Patterns in sentence length over the past five years is considered separately for each committing institution. There have been increases in all categories of sentence length at Cedar Junction and there have been decreases in all sentence length categories at Concord. In 1984, 39% of the Cedar Junction commitments received sentences of 5 to 9 years. In 1988, 41% of the Cedar Junction commitments received sentences of that length. In 1984, 39% of all Concord commitments received sentences of 10 to 15 years. By 1988, 42% of all Concord commitments received sentences of that length. Women committed to Framingham can be serving either a county, reformatory, or prison sentence. Over the past five years the number of women committed with prison sentences increased 136 percent (N=34), the number of women committed with county sentences increased 40 percent (N=265), and the number of women committed with reformatory sentences decreased by 17 percent (N=9). Overall, in 1984, 89 percent of Framingham commitments were serving county sentences and 11 percent were serving state prison or reformatory sentences and in 1988, 90 percent were serving county sentences and 10 percent were serving state prison or reformatory sentences.

The age distribution of individuals committed to the Department shifted upward over this five year period. In 1984, 69 percent of the commitments were under 30 and 31 percent were 30 years or older. By 1988, 59 percent were under 30 and 41 percent were 30 years or older. This shift in age distribution occurred at all three committing institutions.

Table I.

Selected Characteristics of Offenders
Committed to the DOC,
1984 to 1988

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
<u>Present Offense</u>					
<u>Cedar Junction</u>					
Person	431	482	465	500	509
Sex	140	158	156	167	194
Property	131	178	155	171	205
Drug	108	153	232	378	432
Other	17	22	25	34	24
Sub-Total	827	993	1033	1250	1364
<u>Concord</u>					
Person	329	262	258	262	232
Sex	81	93	99	90	78
Property	136	140	143	145	143
Drug	71	110	141	177	106
Other	26	12	21	27	20
Sub-Total	643	617	662	701	579
<u>Framingham</u>					
Person	82	86	66	83	102
Sex	6	1	10	10	14
Property	270	303	267	316	332
Drug	82	96	138	172	239
Other	292	313	357	316	335
Sub-Total	732	799	838	897	1022
<u>All Institutions</u>					
Person	842	830	789	845	843
Sex	227	252	265	267	286
Property	537	621	565	632	680
Drug	261	359	511	727	777
Other	335	347	403	377	379
Total	2202	2409	2533	2848	2965

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
<hr/>					
<u>Sentence Length</u>					
<u>Cedar Junction - Minimum Sentence</u>					
Less than 5 years	296	390	412	479	535
5 to 9 years	321	384	400	523	566
10 to 15 years	114	110	135	157	156
16 years or more	43	49	45	36	44
Life	53	60	41	55	63
Total	827	993	1033	1250	1364
<u>Concord - Maximum Sentence</u>					
Less than 5 years	95	64	71	79	87
5 to 9 years	183	179	218	272	167
10 to 15 years	253	287	285	258	242
16 years or more	112	87	88	92	83
Total	643	617	662	701	579
<u>Framingham - Type of Sentence</u>					
County	655	730	741	765	920
Reformatory	52	46	57	65	43
State Prison	25	23	40	67	59
Total	732	799	838	897	1022

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
<u>Age at Incarceration</u>					
<u>Cedar Junction</u>					
19 or younger	46	61	57	64	63
20 to 24	248	258	259	315	331
25 to 29	224	231	250	311	354
30 to 39	229	316	320	393	430
40 and older	80	127	146	167	186
Sub-Total	827	993	1033	1250	1364
<u>Concord</u>					
19 or younger	113	91	116	107	93
20 to 24	251	213	220	228	181
25 to 29	147	127	142	162	128
30 to 39	101	139	134	152	129
40 and older	30	46	50	52	48
Sub-Total	643	617	662	701	579
<u>Framingham</u>					
19 or younger	50	78	47	52	42
20 to 24	226	237	234	238	247
25 to 29	214	205	242	268	305
30 to 39	166	222	244	268	349
40 and older	76	57	71	71	79
Sub-Total	732	799	838	897	1022
<u>All Institutions</u>					
19 or younger	209	230	220	223	198
20 to 24	725	708	713	781	759
25 to 29	585	563	634	741	787
30 to 39	496	677	698	813	908
40 and older	186	230	267	290	313
Total	2202	2409	2533	2848	2965

A Description of 1988 Court Commitments

This section presents a general description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1988. This discussion includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of the offender. Where appropriate, the three committing institutions are compared.

Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment population, the governing offense is represented. This is usually the offense for which the person received the longest sentence.

Court of Commitment. Sixty-seven percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Three courts, Suffolk Superior, Middlesex Superior and Worcester Superior, accounted for 39 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 86 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Courts. In contrast, 11 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts. Most commitments to Framingham were from municipal and district courts (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk County and district courts are lower courts of all other counties with the exception of Brookline Municipal Court in Norfolk County).

Jail Credits. Jail credits represent the number of days that the individual was incarcerated while awaiting trial. A large number of jail credits is usually indicative of a serious crime or a low income offender. Seventy-four percent of Cedar Junction commitments had one or more days of jail credits. This contrasts with 57 percent of Concord commitments and 29 percent of Framingham

commitments. The median number of jail credits for all commitments was six.

Minimum Sentence. Only those individuals who receive a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) receive a minimum sentence. Generally, minimum state prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (for example, some drug offenses carry short prison sentences). The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years. There were 59 commitments to Framingham who received state prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was four years.

Sixty-three individuals received life sentences during 1988. The 63 life sentences included 33 for first-degree murder, 22 for second-degree murder, one for armed robbery, and seven for sex offenses.

Maximum Sentence. All individuals committed to the Department have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence. Generally, maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum sentence length of less than two and one-half years. Males receiving county sentences are committed to houses of correction. In 1988 there were an estimated 69 females committed to houses of correction. All other females receiving county sentences were committed to Framingham. Of the 1022 commitments to Framingham, 59 (6 percent) received prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 43 (4 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 920 (90 percent) received county sentences, including 124 committed for non-payment of a fine.

Type of Sentence. Most individuals committed to the Department received simple sentences (42 percent) or concurrent sentences (28 percent). Less frequently received are aggregate, forthwith or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (12 percent); split sentences are commonly found among Concord (20 percent) and Framingham (18 percent) commitments; and twelve percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varied by committing institution. Fifty-two percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 54 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses. In contrast, 11 percent of the commitments to Framingham were for violent offenses. Most women were committed for offenses in the "other" category (33 percent) or property offenses (33 percent).

Offenses against the person accounted for 28 percent of all commitments to the Department varying from 37 percent to Cedar Junction, 40 percent to Concord, and 10 percent to Framingham. The most common offenses against the person were armed robbery (N=277), armed assault (N=170), and unarmed robbery (N=124). There were 132 commitments for homicides in 1988, including 33 for first degree murder, 23 for second degree murder and 76 for manslaughter.

Sex offenses accounted for ten percent of all commitments to the DOC including 14 percent to Cedar Junction, 13 percent to Concord, and one percent to Framingham. There were 210 commitments for rape during 1988 and 62 commitments for sexual assaults. Of the rapes and sexual assaults, 117 involved adult victims and 155 involved minors. Additionally, there were fourteen commitments for other sex offenses during the year.

Property offenses accounted for 23 percent of all commitments to the Department, varying from 15 percent to Cedar Junction, 25 percent to

Concord, and 33 percent to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments; larceny was the most common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 26 percent of all commitments during 1988 varying from 32 percent to Cedar Junction, 18 percent to Concord, and 23 percent to Framingham. The most common drug offenses were for violation of the Controlled Substance Act with a Class B substance (N=407) or Class A substance (N=183). The Class B substance category includes amphetamines, cocaine, barbiturates, and other substances. The Class A substance category includes heroin.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 2 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 3 percent of Concord commitments and 33 percent of Framingham commitments or 13 percent of all commitments to the DOC. The most common offenses in this category were operating under the influence (N=111), prostitution (N=102), disturbing the peace (N=56), motor vehicle offenses (N=38), and weapons offenses (N=34).

Time Until Parole Eligibility. An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. Over half of all offenders will be released at their original parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2 to 3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was from 10 to 12 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was 3 months or less.

Individuals can have no parole eligibility for a number of reasons. Some mandatory sentences have no possible release to parole and some sentences are too short for parole eligibility to be established, i.e., less than sixty days. In a small number of cases, individuals can be beyond their original parole eligibility at the time of commitment to the DOC if they were in jail for a sufficient time awaiting sentencing.

Personal Background Characteristics

Age At Incarceration. The median age of offenders at incarceration was 28 years. Their ages ranged from 15 years to 68 years. The median age of Cedar Junction commitments was 29 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 25 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 28 years.

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. Most of this information is self-reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

Sex. All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males, all Framingham commitments are females. During 1988, 34 percent of the commitments were females and 66 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Fifty-six percent of the 1988 commitment group were white (including white Hispanics), 31 percent were black (including black Hispanics), and twelve percent were identified as Hispanic (with no other race specified). There were also 8 Native Americans and 12 Asians committed to the DOC. Twenty percent of the commitment group were Hispanic. This includes white Hispanics, black Hispanics and Hispanics whose race was otherwise not identified.

Citizenship. Ninety-four percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and 6 percent were citizens of other countries. Fifty-nine percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 21 percent were born in other states, 11 percent were born in U.S. territories, and 2 percent were U.S. citizens born in other countries.

Marital Status. Most of the commitment group were single (63 percent). Nineteen percent were married at the time of their commitment, 11 percent divorced, six percent separated, and one percent widowed.

Military History. Ten percent of the commitment group had a history of military service and 90 percent had no military service. Sixty-six percent of the known veterans were honorably discharged from military service.

Prior Address. Almost all commitments (95 percent) were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Four communities accounted for more than 100 commitments each: Boston (N=678), Worcester (N=273), and Springfield (N=235), and Lowell (N=127).

Occupation. Most of the individuals committed to the Department had previous work experience. This work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (48 percent) and services (31 percent).

Education. The median educational level for this population was 12th grade. Fifty-two percent of the commitment group had completed at least high school, including 12 percent with some college education.

Drug Use. Thirty-six percent of the commitments reported a history of prior drug use; including seven percent reporting a history of heroin use. Drug use history was generally based on offender self-reports.

Criminal History

Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from reports prepared by the Board of Probation and records submitted by District Courts. These reports identify the number of court appearances and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information. In

this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations and paroles will be discussed.

Prior Court Appearances. The median number of prior court appearances was seven. Sixty-nine percent had court appearances for person offenses, 73 percent for property offenses, 18 percent for sex offenses, 59 percent for narcotics offenses, 23 percent for alcohol offenses, and 7 percent for escape offenses.

Prior Incarcerations. Ten percent had a history of one or more commitments to a juvenile authority; 39 percent had a history of incarceration in a county facility; and 12 percent had a history of incarceration in a state or federal facility. Fifty-seven percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult and forty-three percent had been previously incarcerated as an adult.

Parole History. The number of paroles and parole violations that an individual experienced prior to this commitment are shown on pages 59 to 64. Seven percent of the commitment group experienced paroles as an adult or juvenile in the past. Sixty-four percent of those with a history of paroles also had one or more parole violations.

Age at Entry Into Criminal Justice System. The age of the individual at first court appearance, first court appearance for a drug related offense, and first court appearance for an alcohol related offense are shown on pages 65 to 67. The median age at the time of first court appearance was 19 years of age.

NATURE OF PRESENT OFFENSE

<u>MONTH OF COMMITMENT</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
January	113 (8)	69 (12)	85 (8)	267 (9)
February	113 (8)	67 (12)	88 (9)	268 (9)
March	141 (10)	60 (10)	99 (10)	300 (10)
April	107 (8)	45 (8)	75 (7)	227 (8)
May	124 (9)	56 (10)	91 (9)	271 (9)
June	125 (9)	40 (7)	82 (8)	247 (8)
July	83 (6)	38 (7)	85 (8)	206 (7)
August	64 (5)	23 (4)	79 (8)	166 (6)
September	117 (9)	43 (7)	102 (10)	262 (9)
October	125 (9)	51 (9)	91 (9)	267 (9)
November	126 (9)	53 (9)	74 (7)	253 (9)
December	126 (9)	34 (6)	71 (7)	231 (8)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable	31 (2)	7 (1)	1 (0)	39 (1)
Berkshire	28 (2)	7 (1)	0 (0)	35 (1)
Bristol	83 (6)	39 (7)	11 (1)	133 (4)
Dukes	2 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	3 (0)
Essex	78 (6)	27 (5)	10 (1)	115 (4)
Franklin	6 (0)	4 (1)	0 (0)	10 (0)
Hampden	228 (17)	20 (3)	21 (2)	269 (9)
Hampshire	11 (1)	8 (1)	2 (0)	21 (1)
Middlesex	247 (18)	82 (14)	19 (2)	348 (12)
Nantucket	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
Norfolk	74 (5)	25 (4)	5 (0)	104 (4)
Plymouth	65 (5)	25 (4)	6 (1)	96 (3)
Suffolk	371 (27)	140 (24)	27 (3)	538 (18)
Worcester	138 (10)	117 (20)	12 (1)	267 (9)
Municipal Court	0 (0)	9 (2)	115 (11)	124 (4)
District Court	0 (0)	69 (12)	792 (77)	861 (29)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

COUNTY OF COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable	31 (2)	8 (1)	21 (2)	60 (2)
Berkshire	28 (2)	14 (2)	26 (3)	68 (2)
Bristol	83 (6)	51 (9)	98 (10)	232 (8)
Dukes	2 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	4 (0)
Essex	78 (6)	43 (7)	96 (9)	217 (7)
Franklin	6 (0)	4 (1)	4 (0)	14 (0)
Hampden	228 (17)	21 (4)	116 (11)	365 (12)
Hampshire	11 (1)	8 (1)	8 (1)	27 (1)
Middlesex	247 (18)	101 (17)	185 (18)	533 (18)
Nantucket	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
Norfolk	74 (5)	29 (5)	51 (5)	154 (5)
Plymouth	65 (5)	27 (5)	47 (5)	139 (5)
Suffolk	371 (27)	148 (26)	156 (15)	675 (23)
Worcester	138 (10)	125 (22)	212 (21)	475 (16)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

JAIL CREDITS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	355 (26)	249 (43)	730 (71)	1334 (45)
1 to 10	106 (8)	61 (11)	89 (9)	256 (9)
11 to 50	146 (11)	86 (15)	148 (14)	380 (13)
51 to 100	122 (9)	66 (11)	26 (3)	214 (7)
101 to 150	134 (10)	54 (9)	12 (1)	200 (7)
151 to 200	132 (10)	30 (5)	5 (0)	167 (6)
Over 200	369 (27)	33 (6)	12 (1)	414 (14)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>MINIMUM SENTENCE</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
1 Year	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
2 Years	23 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	23 (1)
3 Years	333 (24)	0 (0)	23 (2)	356 (12)
4 Years	177 (13)	0 (0)	9 (1)	186 (6)
5 Years	194 (14)	0 (0)	8 (1)	202 (7)
6 Years	162 (12)	0 (0)	6 (1)	168 (6)
7 Years	70 (5)	0 (0)	2 (0)	72 (2)
8 Years	49 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	49 (2)
9 Years	91 (7)	0 (0)	5 (0)	96 (3)
10 Years	73 (5)	0 (0)	3 (0)	76 (3)
11 to 12 Years	53 (4)	0 (0)	1 (0)	54 (2)
13 to 15 Years	30 (2)	0 (0)	2 (0)	32 (1)
16 to 19 Years	25 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	25 (1)
20 to 24 Years	5 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (0)
25 Years or More	14 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	14 (0)
Life	63 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	63 (2)
Indeterminate	0 (0)	579 (100)	963 (94)	1542 (52)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>MAXIMUM SENTENCE</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Fine	0 (0)	0 (0)	124 (12)	124 (4)
Less Than 1 Month	0 (0)	0 (0)	53 (5)	53 (2)
Less Than 1 Year	0 (0)	4 (1)	453 (44)	457 (15)
1 Year	0 (0)	4 (1)	214 (21)	218 (7)
2 Years	0 (0)	73 (13)	93 (9)	166 (6)
3 Years	14 (1)	2 (0)	2 (0)	18 (1)
4 Years	6 (0)	4 (1)	1 (0)	11 (0)
5 Years	326 (24)	153 (26)	30 (3)	509 (17)
6 Years	89 (7)	8 (1)	5 (0)	102 (3)
7 Years	150 (11)	1 (0)	10 (1)	161 (5)
8 Years	50 (4)	5 (1)	2 (0)	57 (2)
9 Years	48 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	48 (2)
10 Years	329 (24)	196 (34)	27 (3)	552 (19)
11 to 12 Years	73 (5)	14 (2)	2 (0)	89 (3)
13 to 15 Years	102 (7)	32 (6)	2 (0)	136 (5)
16 to 19 Years	7 (1)	11 (2)	2 (0)	20 (1)
20 to 24 Years	80 (6)	68 (12)	2 (0)	150 (5)
25 Years or More	27 (2)	4 (1)	0 (0)	31 (1)
Life	63 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	63 (2)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

SENTENCE TYPE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One Sentence Only	602 (44)	312 (54)	337 (33)	1251 (42)
Concurrent Sentence Only	387 (28)	106 (18)	329 (32)	822 (28)
Aggregate Sentence	12 (1)	14 (2)	30 (3)	56 (2)
Forthwith Sentence	174 (13)	3 (1)	5 (0)	182 (6)
Forthwith Sentence from a House of Correction	19 (1)	0 (0)	1 (0)	20 (1)
From and After Sentence	26 (2)	9 (2)	11 (1)	46 (2)
From and After Sentence at a House of Correction	7 (1)	21 (4)	1 (0)	29 (1)
Split Sentence	137 (10)	114 (20)	184 (18)	435 (15)
Fine	0 (0)	0 (0)	124 (12)	124 (4)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

PRESENT OFFENSE:
GENERAL CATEGORIES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Person	509 (37)	232 (40)	102 (10)	843 (28)
Sex	194 (14)	78 (13)	14 (1)	286 (10)
Property	205 (15)	143 (25)	332 (32)	680 (23)
Drug	432 (32)	106 (18)	239 (23)	777 (26)
Other	24 (2)	20 (3)	335 (33)	379 (13)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

PRESENT OFFENSE:
PERSON OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Person Offense	855 (63)	347 (60)	920 (90)	2122 (72)
Murder 1	33 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	33 (1)
Murder 2	23 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	23 (1)
Manslaughter	58 (4)	6 (1)	12 (1)	76 (3)
Assault-Intent to Murder	43 (3)	5 (1)	2 (0)	50 (2)
Armed Robbery	176 (13)	94 (16)	7 (1)	277 (9)
Unarmed Robbery	63 (5)	53 (9)	8 (1)	124 (4)
Armed Assault	88 (6)	53 (9)	29 (3)	170 (6)
Unarmed Assault	8 (1)	10 (2)	40 (4)	58 (2)
Kidnapping	8 (1)	6 (1)	1 (0)	15 (1)
Conspiracy	5 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	7 (0)
Other Person	4 (0)	4 (1)	2 (0)	10 (0)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>PRESENT OFFENSE:</u> <u>SEX OFFENSES</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Sex Offense	1170 (86)	501 (87)	1008 (99)	2679 (90)
Rape	27 (2)	10 (2)	0 (0)	37 (1)
Aggravated Rape	41 (3)	4 (1)	1 (0)	46 (2)
Assault w.i. Rape	18 (1)	16 (3)	0 (0)	34 (1)
Rape of Minor	89 (7)	38 (7)	0 (0)	127 (4)
Assault w.i. Rape Minor	17 (1)	10 (2)	1 (0)	28 (1)
Other Sex Offense	2 (0)	0 (0)	12 (1)	14 (0)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

PRESENT OFFENSE:
PROPERTY OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Property Offense	1159 (85)	436 (75)	690 (68)	2285 (77)
Arson	15 (1)	10 (2)	1 (0)	26 (1)
Burglary-Armed	8 (1)	15 (3)	1 (0)	24 (1)
Burglary	126 (9)	64 (11)	28 (3)	218 (7)
Burglary Tools	3 (0)	2 (0)	1 (0)	6 (0)
Stealing	0 (0)	2 (0)	69 (7)	71 (2)
Larceny-Person	0 (0)	16 (3)	27 (3)	43 (1)
Larceny	23 (2)	13 (2)	126 (12)	162 (5)
Vehicle Theft	10 (1)	8 (1)	9 (1)	27 (1)
Forgery-Uttering	10 (1)	4 (1)	33 (3)	47 (2)
Common Thief	1 (0)	1 (0)	4 (0)	6 (0)
Stolen Goods	8 (1)	6 (1)	23 (2)	37 (1)
Property Injuries	1 (0)	2 (0)	10 (1)	13 (0)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>PRESENT OFFENSE:</u> <u>DRUG OFFENSES</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Drug Offense	932 (68)	473 (82)	783 (77)	2188 (74)
Possession of Narcotics	3 (0)	2 (0)	22 (2)	27 (1)
Presence of Narcotics	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0)	4 (0)
Possession of Syringe	0 (0)	1 (0)	35 (3)	36 (1)
Intent to Sell	1 (0)	3 (1)	1 (0)	5 (0)
Controlled substance- No Class Specified	47 (3)	32 (6)	21 (2)	100 (3)
Controlled Substance - Class A	82 (6)	30 (5)	71 (7)	183 (6)
Controlled Substance - Class B	294 (22)	36 (6)	76 (7)	406 (14)
Controlled Substance - Class C	2 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	4 (0)
Controlled Substance - Class D	3 (0)	1 (0)	7 (1)	11 (0)
Controlled Substance - Class E	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

PRESENT OFFENSE:
OTHER OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Other Offenses	1340 (98)	559 (97)	687 (67)	2586 (87)
Escape	5 (0)	2 (0)	3 (0)	10 (0)
Weapons	15 (1)	12 (2)	7 (1)	34 (1)
Disturbing the Peace	0 (0)	1 (0)	55 (5)	56 (2)
Prostitution	0 (0)	1 (0)	101 (10)	102 (3)
Motor Vehicle Offense	0 (0)	1 (0)	37 (4)	38 (1)
Operating Under Influence	1 (0)	0 (0)	110 (11)	111 (4)
Trespass	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (1)	9 (0)
Other	3 (0)	3 (1)	13 (1)	19 (1)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>TIME UNTIL ORIGINAL PAROLE ELIGIBILITY DATE</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No P.E. Date	6 (0)	36 (6)	310 (30)	352 (12)
Past P.E. Date	2 (0)	4 (1)	9 (1)	15 (1)
1-3 Months	10 (1)	89 (15)	402 (39)	501 (17)
4-6 Months	22 (2)	113 (20)	155 (15)	290 (10)
7-9 Months	28 (2)	52 (9)	38 (4)	118 (4)
10-12 Months	106 (8)	144 (25)	50 (5)	300 (10)
13-18 Months	139 (10)	72 (12)	20 (2)	231 (8)
19-24 Months	221 (16)	54 (9)	19 (2)	294 (10)
2-3 Years	300 (22)	8 (1)	10 (1)	318 (11)
3-5 Years	243 (18)	7 (1)	3 (0)	253 (9)
5-10 Years	182 (13)	0 (0)	5 (0)	187 (6)
10 Years or More	72 (5)	0 (0)	1 (0)	73 (2)
Life	33 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	33 (1)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

PERSONAL BACKGROUND
CHARACTERISTICS

<u>AGE AT INCARCERATION</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
16 or Younger	3 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	4 (0)
17	3 (0)	9 (2)	5 (0)	17 (1)
18	17 (1)	36 (6)	9 (1)	62 (2)
19	40 (3)	48 (8)	27 (3)	115 (4)
20	47 (3)	37 (6)	39 (4)	123 (4)
21	55 (4)	33 (6)	38 (4)	126 (4)
22	62 (5)	45 (8)	41 (4)	148 (5)
23	88 (6)	37 (6)	56 (5)	181 (6)
24	79 (6)	29 (5)	73 (7)	181 (6)
25	78 (6)	32 (6)	67 (7)	177 (6)
26 to 29	276 (20)	96 (17)	238 (23)	610 (21)
30 to 39	430 (32)	129 (22)	349 (34)	908 (31)
40 to 49	134 (10)	36 (6)	64 (6)	234 (8)
50 to 59	44 (3)	12 (2)	12 (1)	68 (2)
60 or Older	8 (1)	0 (0)	3 (0)	11 (0)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>SEX</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Male	1364 (100)	579 (100)	0 (0)	1943 (66)
Female	0 (0)	0 (0)	1022 (100)	1022 (34)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>RACE</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
White	692 (51)	323 (56)	660 (65)	1675 (56)
Black	523 (38)	173 (30)	219 (21)	915 (31)
Native American	2 (0)	2 (0)	4 (0)	8 (0)
Asian	6 (0)	6 (1)	0 (0)	12 (0)
Hispanic	141 (10)	75 (13)	139 (14)	355 (12)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>ETHNIC INDICATOR</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
White Hispanic	16 (1)	0 (0)	8 (1)	24 (1)
Black Hispanic	193 (14)	0 (0)	14 (1)	207 (7)
Hispanic - No Race specified	141 (10)	75 (13)	139 (14)	355 (12)
Non-Hispanic	1014 (74)	504 (87)	861 (84)	2379 (80)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>CITIZENSHIP INDICATOR</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
U.S. Citizen - Born in Massachusetts	743 (54)	354 (61)	660 (65)	1757 (59)
U.S. Citizen - Born in Other State	263 (19)	137 (24)	233 (23)	633 (21)
U.S. Citizen - Born in U.S. Territory	197 (14)	46 (8)	91 (9)	334 (11)
U.S. Citizen - Born in Other Country	22 (2)	20 (3)	15 (1)	57 (2)
Non U.S. Citizen	139 (10)	22 (4)	23 (2)	184 (6)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

MARITAL STATUS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Married	341 (25)	101 (17)	126 (12)	568 (19)
Single	818 (60)	401 (69)	642 (63)	1861 (63)
Divorced	132 (10)	45 (8)	136 (13)	313 (11)
Widowed	8 (1)	3 (1)	30 (3)	41 (1)
Common Law	2 (0)	2 (0)	3 (0)	7 (0)
Separated	61 (4)	27 (5)	85 (8)	173 (6)
Unknown	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)

TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965
-------	------	-----	------	------

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Service	1120 (82)	364 (63)	1011 (99)	2495 (84)
Honorable	145 (11)	41 (7)	3 (0)	189 (6)
Dishonorable	3 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0)
Bad Conduct	37 (3)	6 (1)	0 (0)	43 (1)
Medical	13 (1)	2 (0)	0 (0)	15 (1)
Discharge Unknown	28 (2)	2 (0)	4 (0)	34 (1)
Unknown	18 (1)	163 (28)	4 (0)	185 (6)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

PRIOR ADDRESS: SELECTED
CITIES AND TOWNS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston	345 (25)	161 (28)	174 (17)	680 (23)
Brockton	34 (2)	10 (2)	38 (4)	82 (3)
Cambridge	22 (2)	8 (1)	11 (1)	41 (1)
Fall River	27 (2)	17 (3)	20 (2)	64 (2)
Framingham	15 (1)	9 (2)	11 (1)	35 (1)
Holyoke	33 (2)	2 (0)	19 (2)	54 (2)
Lawrence	26 (2)	11 (2)	27 (3)	64 (2)
Lowell	50 (4)	15 (3)	62 (6)	127 (4)
Lynn	21 (2)	14 (2)	34 (3)	69 (2)
New Bedford	40 (3)	15 (3)	35 (3)	90 (3)
Quincy	12 (1)	6 (1)	9 (1)	27 (1)
Somerville	21 (2)	9 (2)	9 (1)	39 (1)
Springfield	138 (10)	20 (3)	77 (8)	235 (8)
Worcester	69 (5)	64 (11)	141 (14)	274 (9)
Other Mass.	411 (30)	188 (32)	321 (31)	920 (31)
Out of State	100 (7)	30 (5)	34 (3)	164 (6)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>PRIOR ADDRESS: COUNTY</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Worcester	127 (9)	120 (21)	200 (20)	447 (15)
Franklin	3 (0)	1 (0)	5 (0)	9 (0)
Middlesex	197 (14)	77 (13)	160 (16)	434 (15)
Suffolk	399 (29)	168 (29)	190 (19)	757 (26)
Norfolk	41 (3)	20 (3)	35 (3)	96 (3)
Bristol	92 (7)	48 (8)	81 (8)	221 (7)
Plymouth	58 (4)	23 (4)	60 (6)	141 (5)
Essex	86 (6)	49 (8)	110 (11)	245 (8)
Hampshire	11 (1)	5 (1)	10 (1)	26 (1)
Hampden	202 (15)	27 (5)	114 (11)	343 (12)
Berkshire	20 (1)	3 (1)	0 (0)	23 (1)
Dukes	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
Barnstable	25 (2)	8 (1)	22 (2)	55 (2)
Nantucket	2 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	3 (0)
Out of State	100 (7)	30 (5)	34 (3)	164 (6)

TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965
--------------	-------------	------------	-------------	-------------

<u>PRIOR ADDRESS: SMSA</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston	594 (44)	267 (46)	348 (34)	1209 (41)
Brockton	47 (3)	13 (2)	46 (5)	106 (4)
Fall River	32 (2)	20 (3)	20 (2)	72 (2)
Fitchburg-Leominster	15 (1)	15 (3)	17 (2)	47 (2)
Lawrence-Haverhill	44 (3)	20 (3)	48 (5)	112 (4)
Lowell	63 (5)	20 (3)	71 (7)	154 (5)
New Bedford	43 (3)	15 (3)	41 (4)	99 (3)
Pittsfield	15 (1)	2 (0)	0 (0)	17 (1)
Providence-Pawtucket- Warwick	5 (0)	8 (1)	12 (1)	25 (1)
Springfield	206 (15)	27 (5)	122 (12)	355 (12)
Worcester	79 (6)	76 (13)	158 (15)	313 (11)
Other Mass.	121 (9)	66 (11)	105 (10)	292 (10)
Out of State	100 (7)	30 (5)	34 (3)	164 (6)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>OCCUPATION</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Professional	10 (1)	4 (1)	8 (1)	22 (1)
Semi-Professional	28 (2)	10 (2)	33 (3)	71 (2)
Business	68 (5)	18 (3)	8 (1)	94 (3)
Sales, Clerical	65 (5)	11 (2)	79 (8)	155 (5)
Manual	652 (48)	187 (32)	54 (5)	893 (30)
Services	314 (23)	133 (23)	124 (12)	571 (19)
Agriculture	14 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	15 (1)
Armed Forces	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
Housekeeper	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (1)	7 (0)
Student	6 (0)	5 (1)	8 (1)	19 (1)
Never Employed	3 (0)	7 (1)	1 (0)	11 (0)
Unknown	203 (15)	203 (35)	700 (68)	1106 (37)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>LAST GRADE COMPLETED</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
3rd or Less	14 (1)	2 (0)	4 (0)	20 (1)
4th	10 (1)	1 (0)	2 (0)	13 (0)
5th	7 (1)	2 (0)	2 (0)	11 (0)
6th	18 (1)	6 (1)	7 (1)	31 (1)
7th	34 (2)	6 (1)	15 (1)	55 (2)
8th	82 (6)	20 (3)	64 (6)	166 (6)
9th	142 (10)	44 (8)	101 (10)	287 (10)
10th	151 (11)	51 (9)	135 (13)	337 (11)
11th	163 (12)	37 (6)	147 (14)	347 (12)
12th	543 (40)	153 (26)	362 (35)	1058 (36)
Some College	126 (9)	29 (5)	98 (10)	253 (9)
College Degree	30 (2)	8 (1)	32 (3)	70 (2)
Unknown	44 (3)	220 (38)	53 (5)	317 (11)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

KNOWN DRUG USE

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Mention of Drugs	990 (73)	244 (42)	238 (23)	1472 (50)
Non-Specific	73 (5)	36 (6)	299 (29)	408 (14)
Heroin	92 (7)	39 (7)	20 (2)	151 (5)
Other Specific	139 (10)	61 (11)	1 (0)	201 (7)
Marijuana Only	42 (3)	24 (4)	1 (0)	67 (2)
Unknown	28 (2)	175 (30)	463 (45)	666 (22)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

CRIMINAL HISTORY

TOTAL NUMBER OF
COURT APPEARANCES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One	123 (9)	38 (7)	74 (7)	235 (8)
Two	120 (9)	50 (9)	70 (7)	240 (8)
Three	70 (5)	25 (4)	54 (5)	149 (5)
Four	67 (5)	44 (8)	34 (3)	145 (5)
Five	72 (5)	48 (8)	41 (4)	161 (5)
6-8	201 (15)	92 (16)	87 (9)	380 (13)
9-11	161 (12)	64 (11)	75 (7)	300 (10)
12-15	155 (11)	49 (8)	56 (5)	260 (9)
16-20	122 (9)	34 (6)	45 (4)	201 (7)
Over 20	197 (14)	36 (6)	106 (10)	339 (11)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF CHARGES
FOR PERSON OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	287 (21)	111 (19)	346 (34)	744 (25)
One	194 (14)	71 (12)	104 (10)	369 (12)
Two	138 (10)	64 (11)	61 (6)	263 (9)
Three	111 (8)	51 (9)	38 (4)	200 (7)
Four	98 (7)	49 (8)	32 (3)	179 (6)
Five	77 (6)	33 (6)	11 (1)	121 (4)
6-8	162 (12)	61 (11)	29 (3)	252 (8)
Over 8	221 (16)	40 (7)	21 (2)	282 (10)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF CHARGES
FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	353 (26)	120 (21)	186 (18)	659 (22)
One	130 (10)	60 (10)	84 (8)	274 (9)
Two	88 (6)	47 (8)	47 (5)	182 (6)
Three	67 (5)	38 (7)	46 (5)	151 (5)
Four	56 (4)	36 (6)	23 (2)	115 (4)
Five	42 (3)	25 (4)	30 (3)	97 (3)
6-8	119 (9)	41 (7)	60 (6)	220 (7)
Over 8	433 (32)	113 (20)	166 (16)	712 (24)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF CHARGES
FOR SEX OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	988 (72)	378 (65)	605 (59)	1971 (66)
One	102 (7)	43 (7)	27 (3)	172 (6)
Two	59 (4)	21 (4)	4 (0)	84 (3)
Three	29 (2)	12 (2)	4 (0)	45 (2)
Four	30 (2)	7 (1)	0 (0)	37 (1)
Five	12 (1)	7 (1)	0 (0)	19 (1)
6-8	30 (2)	6 (1)	2 (0)	38 (1)
Over 8	38 (3)	6 (1)	0 (0)	44 (1)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF CHARGES
FOR DRUG OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	460 (34)	251 (43)	268 (26)	979 (33)
One	194 (14)	69 (12)	87 (9)	350 (12)
Two	157 (12)	50 (9)	69 (7)	276 (9)
Three	91 (7)	39 (7)	55 (5)	185 (6)
Four	97 (7)	16 (3)	39 (4)	152 (5)
Five	65 (5)	15 (3)	22 (2)	102 (3)
6-8	95 (7)	19 (3)	56 (5)	170 (6)
Over 8	129 (9)	21 (4)	46 (5)	196 (7)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF CHARGES
FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	981 (72)	384 (66)	494 (48)	1859 (63)
One	169 (12)	59 (10)	54 (5)	282 (10)
Two	60 (4)	22 (4)	24 (2)	106 (4)
Three	37 (3)	7 (1)	27 (3)	71 (2)
Four	14 (1)	2 (0)	12 (1)	28 (1)
Five	9 (1)	3 (1)	13 (1)	25 (1)
6-8	9 (1)	3 (1)	16 (2)	28 (1)
Over 8	9 (1)	0 (0)	2 (0)	11 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF CHARGES
FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1173 (86)	456 (79)	623 (61)	2252 (76)
One	78 (6)	17 (3)	14 (1)	109 (4)
Two	20 (1)	5 (1)	3 (0)	28 (1)
Three	8 (1)	2 (0)	0 (0)	10 (0)
Four	3 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (0)
Five	3 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	5 (0)
6-8	3 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

JUVENILE COMMITMENT
INDICATOR

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1124 (82)	426 (74)	625 (61)	2175 (73)
One or More	164 (12)	54 (9)	17 (2)	235 (8)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>NUMBER OF HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	717 (53)	321 (55)	429 (42)	1467 (49)
One	243 (18)	84 (15)	110 (11)	437 (15)
Two	148 (11)	31 (5)	47 (5)	226 (8)
Three	74 (5)	20 (3)	21 (2)	115 (4)
Four	43 (3)	10 (2)	14 (1)	67 (2)
Five	35 (3)	8 (1)	8 (1)	51 (2)
Six or More	28 (2)	6 (1)	13 (1)	47 (2)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF PRIOR STATE
OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1077 (79)	456 (79)	596 (58)	2129 (72)
One	140 (10)	17 (3)	24 (2)	181 (6)
Two	48 (4)	7 (1)	15 (1)	70 (2)
Three	14 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	14 (0)
Four	4 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	6 (0)
Five	3 (0)	0 (0)	5 (0)	8 (0)
Six or More	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF PRIOR
ADULT INCARCERATIONS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	650 (48)	315 (54)	403 (39)	1368 (46)
One	236 (17)	84 (15)	122 (12)	442 (15)
Two	161 (12)	31 (5)	49 (5)	241 (8)
Three	95 (7)	19 (3)	20 (2)	134 (5)
Four	52 (4)	17 (3)	19 (2)	88 (3)
Five	48 (4)	6 (1)	10 (1)	64 (2)
Six or More	46 (3)	8 (1)	19 (2)	73 (2)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF JUVENILE
PAROLES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1252 (92)	471 (81)	642 (63)	2365 (80)
One	17 (1)	5 (1)	0 (0)	22 (1)
Two	12 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	13 (0)
Three	6 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	7 (0)
4 or More	1 (0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	3 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF JUVENILE
PAROLE VIOLATIONS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	1252 (92)	471 (81)	642 (63)	2365 (80)
None	17 (1)	4 (1)	0 (0)	21 (1)
One	14 (1)	3 (1)	0 (0)	17 (1)
Two	4 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	5 (0)
Three	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF ADULT
PAROLES

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1190 (87)	466 (80)	616 (60)	2272 (77)
One	70 (5)	12 (2)	22 (2)	104 (4)
Two	18 (1)	2 (0)	2 (0)	22 (1)
Three	6 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (0)
4 or More	4 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	6 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

NUMBER OF ADULT
PAROLE VIOLATIONS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	1190 (87)	466 (80)	616 (60)	2272 (77)
None	34 (2)	5 (1)	11 (1)	50 (2)
One	51 (4)	7 (1)	12 (1)	70 (2)
Two	10 (1)	2 (0)	2 (0)	14 (0)
Three	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
4 or More	2 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	3 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF PAROLES</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1169 (86)	458 (79)	616 (60)	2243 (76)
One	74 (5)	15 (3)	22 (2)	111 (4)
Two	21 (2)	4 (1)	2 (0)	27 (1)
Three	14 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	15 (1)
4 or More	10 (1)	2 (0)	2 (0)	14 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

TOTAL NUMBER OF
PAROLE VIOLATIONS

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	1169 (86)	458 (79)	616 (60)	2243 (76)
None	41 (3)	8 (1)	11 (1)	60 (2)
One	56 (4)	10 (2)	12 (1)	78 (3)
Two	17 (1)	3 (1)	2 (0)	22 (1)
Three	3 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0)
4 or More	2 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	3 (0)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

AGE AT FIRST
COURT APPEARANCE

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
12 or Younger	75 (5)	26 (4)	8 (1)	109 (4)
13	54 (4)	17 (3)	6 (1)	77 (3)
14	74 (5)	29 (5)	12 (1)	115 (4)
15	79 (6)	37 (6)	21 (2)	137 (5)
16	87 (6)	43 (7)	25 (2)	155 (5)
17	203 (15)	83 (14)	91 (9)	377 (13)
18	111 (8)	57 (10)	51 (5)	219 (7)
19	81 (6)	37 (6)	40 (4)	158 (5)
20	54 (4)	26 (4)	49 (5)	129 (4)
21	51 (4)	19 (3)	42 (4)	112 (4)
22	45 (3)	11 (2)	20 (2)	76 (3)
23	46 (3)	13 (2)	29 (3)	88 (3)
24	33 (2)	8 (1)	26 (3)	67 (2)
25	25 (2)	10 (2)	29 (3)	64 (2)
26-29	101 (7)	28 (5)	80 (8)	209 (7)
30-39	119 (9)	29 (5)	87 (9)	235 (8)
40 or Older	50 (4)	7 (1)	26 (3)	83 (3)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

AGE AT FIRST COURT
APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL
OFFENSE

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	981 (72)	384 (66)	494 (48)	1859 (63)
14 or Younger	3 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	5 (0)
15-17	35 (3)	12 (2)	14 (1)	61 (2)
18-19	45 (3)	20 (3)	16 (2)	81 (3)
20-21	50 (4)	10 (2)	26 (3)	86 (3)
22-25	65 (5)	26 (4)	34 (3)	125 (4)
26-29	52 (4)	14 (2)	21 (2)	87 (3)
30-39	39 (3)	12 (2)	27 (3)	78 (3)
40 and Older	18 (1)	1 (0)	9 (1)	28 (1)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

AGE AT FIRST COURT
APPEARANCE FOR DRUG
OFFENSE

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	460 (34)	251 (43)	268 (26)	979 (33)
14 or Younger	14 (1)	6 (1)	4 (0)	24 (1)
15-17	108 (8)	41 (7)	30 (3)	179 (6)
18-19	115 (8)	39 (7)	44 (4)	198 (7)
20-21	107 (8)	31 (5)	49 (5)	187 (6)
22-25	177 (13)	47 (8)	77 (8)	301 (10)
26-29	118 (9)	26 (4)	83 (8)	227 (8)
30-39	133 (10)	31 (5)	68 (7)	232 (8)
40 and Older	56 (4)	8 (1)	19 (2)	83 (3)
Unknown	76 (6)	99 (17)	380 (37)	555 (19)
TOTAL	1364	579	1022	2965

GLOSSARY

OFFENSE

Armed Assault	Includes armed assault with intent to rob, armed assault in dwelling house, assault and battery with dangerous weapon, and assault with dangerous weapon.
Assault-Intent to Murder	Includes assault with intent to murder and attempt to murder.
Assault with Intent to Rape	Includes assault with intent to rape and indecent assault and battery.
Manslaughter	Includes vehicular homicide and manslaughter.
Other Person	Includes mayhem, confining a person for the purpose of stealing, extortion, and violation of civil rights.
Prostitution	Includes prostitution, common night-walker, and deriving support from prostitute.
Rape of Minor	Includes rape of female under sixteen, rape of child, and statutory rape.
Unarmed Assault	Includes unarmed assault with intent to rob, assault, assault and battery, and other assaults.
Other	Includes jumping bail, contributing to the delinquency of a minor, tax evasion, violation of a civil ordinance, attempt to commit a crime, inhaling toxic vapors, non-support, contempt of court, violation of a court order, bribery, unlawful possession of alcohol, and false alarm of fire.

SENTENCE TYPE

Aggregate	Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served consecutively.
------------------	--

Concurrent	Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served simultaneously.
Fine	Incarceration resulting from non-payment of a fine for one or more offenses.
Forthwith	A sentence that is to be served effective immediately, regardless of other sentences for which the individual is currently incarcerated.
From and After	A sentence to be served following release from a previous sentence.
Simple	One sentence received for a single offense.
Split	A sentence that is divided between a specified period of incarceration followed by probation supervision.