Population Movements in the Massachusetts Department of Correction, 1987

Prepared By:

Linda K. Holt Manager of Operations Research

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

March, 1988

PUBLICATION: # 15,457-54pp-250cps-05/11/88 APPROVED BY: Ric Murphy State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

This report summarizes the movement of offenders in the custody of the DOC during 1987. While the focus of the analysis is on calendar year 1987, trends in population movement over the period 1982 to 1987 are also discussed, particularly in relation to population growth during the period.

Some of the highlights of the information presented in this report include:

- There were 16,742 admissions and 16,133 releases of all types to DOC facilities during 1987.
- The continued pattern of admissions surpassing releases was related to population growth in the agency.
- The major sources of new offenders entering the DOC include: commitments (N=2,852), parole/probation violators (N=1,001), and county transfers (N=443).
- The major sources of offenders leaving the DOC include: paroles to street (N=1,729), discharges to street (N=1,106), and expirations of sentence to street (N=269).

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>		Page Number
Abstract		i
Introducti	<u>on</u>	1
Methodolo	PEY	3
	n Movements 1982 to 1987: nd Population Growth	5
Figure 1	Admissions and Releases to the Department of Correction, 1982 to 1987	6
Table 1	Admissions and Releases to the Department of Correction, 1982 to 1987	8
	Movements in 1987: ical Description	9
Table 2	Admissions and Releases to the Department of Correction by Moves and Individuals, 1987	10
Statistica	Tables	17
Table 3	Type of Admission	18
Table 4	Type of Release	20
Table 5	Sentencing Court	23
Table 6	Institutional Transfers (Transfers Out)	24
Table 7	Institutional Transfers (Transfers In)	26
Table 8	Institutional Transfers (Transfers Out by Security Level)	28
Table 9	Institutional Transfers (Transfers In by Security Level)	30

Table of Contents

Title		Page Number
Statistical	Tables (Cont'd.)	
Table 10	Releases to Hospitals	33
Table II	Returns from Hospitals	35
Table 12	Transfers to Houses of Correction	36
Table 13	Return of DOC Inmates from Houses of Correction	38
Table 14	House of Correction Inmates Transferred	41
Table 15	Transfers to Houses of Correction on a From and After Sentence	43
Table 16	Releases to Out of State	45
Table 17	Admissions from Out of State	47
Glossary		49

Introduction

The population movement report is one of the annual statistical reports prepared by the Research Division of the Massachusetts Department of Correction. Each correctional facility of the Department of Correction submits weekly admission and release reports describing each offender's move into or out of that facility. This annual movement report is a compilation of these admissions and releases during 1987. It presents information by type of admission and release including: new court commitments, paroles, returns on parole violation, discharges, escapes, deaths, transfers to other correctional facilities both within the state and outside of the state, and trips to hospitals and courts. The report presents information on some of the recent changes in the patterns of admissions and releases in the Department as well as a detailed description of inmate movement occurring in 1987.

Offenders can be received into the partment in a number of ways. Offenders can be committed by the courts to Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. Offenders can also arrive from another institution to begin serving a new sentence, having finished a first sentence and started a second or third sentence. These are "from and after," "B", and "forthwith" sentences. Generally, an offender would be paroled or discharged from the initial sentence and then committed on a second sentence.

The author would like to acknowledge the assistance of Ramon Raagas in the preparation of this report.

Offenders can be admitted in ways that are not directly related to beginning a sentence. Offenders who have violated some condition of their parole can be returned as parole violators to continue serving the sentence on which they were released. Offenders can also be returned from outside releases of short duration such as trips to courts or hospitals, or be returned from escape. Additionally, offenders can be transferred from other correctional authorities including houses of correction, federal prison authorities, or prison authorities of other states.

Offenders can be released by the authority of the Parole Board, by receiving a good conduct discharge, by serving the maximum term of their sentence, or by obtaining a court-revised sentence. Such parolees and releasees can be sent to the community, to another prison authority, or to another sentence. Offenders also can be released on a short term basis to hospitals or courts. Moreover, the death of an offender or an escape from confinement is classified as a release.

The most common type of movement is a transfer from one facility within the Department to another. Because the Department maintains facilities of different security levels, an offender is initially placed in one of three commitment institutions (Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham) or is transferred from a county facility to the Longwood Treatment Center and then moved to lower security facilities in the process of serving his/her sentence. Such interinstitutional transfers are generally from a higher security setting to a lower security setting, but occasionally transfers are made from lower to higher security. Each time a movement of this type occurs, the institution of destination records an admission and the institution of origin records a release.

When considering numbers of admissions and releases, this report does more than tabulate the number of offenders admitted from the courts and released by parole or the termination of their sentence. This report includes information on all types of admissions to and releases from each of the institutions in the Department and, as such, is a valuable statistical description of the flow of offenders through the Massachusetts Department of Correction during a given year.

Methodology

In this section some of the technical aspects of the preparation of this report are discussed, including the sample, institutions, and table interpretation.

Sample. This report includes the movement of criminally sentenced individuals in the custody of the Department of Correction. Excluded from the analysis are any individuals civilly committed or on a detention status admitted to or released from the Department. Thus, the movements of women admitted to the Awaiting Trial Unit at Framingham, men admitted from the Charles Street Jail, and individuals admitted on 15-day Parole Board detainers are not included in this report.

Generally the admissions and releases included in this report are associated with the physical movement of inmates from one institution to another or from the community to a correctional facility. In some cases, however, the admissions and releases represent "paper" transactions. For example, an inmate may be discharged from one facility to a "from & after" sentence at a second facility, committed on the "from & after" sentence, and transferred back to the first institution without any accompanying physical movement. Such paper transactions appear as admissions and releases in this report.

The report includes the movement of population to and from courts and community hospitals when such moves result in the inmate being out of the custody of a DOC facility for at least one night. The movement of inmates for court

appearances or to receive medical services not requiring over-night change of custody are not included in this report. Similarly, the movement of inmates to temporary release programs in the community such as furloughs, work-release or education release are not included in the statistics on population movement.

Institutions. The admissions and releases included in this report represent the movement of population into and out of the custody of DOC facilities, including Longwood Treatment Center and contract facilities. The report excludes any population movements at Bridgewater State Hospital, Treatment Center and Addiction Center, except as reported by other DOC facilities.

The movement of an inmate in any other non-DOC correctional facility is not included in this report, even if that inmate was originally sentenced to the Department. Thus, if an inmate were transferred to a county facility and subsequently paroled, the transfer from a DOC facility to the county facility is included as a release from a DOC facility but the subsequent parole from the county facility is not included. Conversely, the movement of inmates not originally sentenced to the DOC but who are admitted to or released from a DOC facility are included in this report.

The intra-institutional movement of inmates is not included in this report with the exception of Hodder Cottage at Framingham. Women transferred from Framingham to Hodder Cottage are included as inter-institutional transfers in this report. MHHI includes five separate facilities. Transfers from one MHHI facility to another are presented as inter-institutional transfers.

Lemuel Shattuck is a secure medical facility that serves many correctional facilities, including county facilities. Sentenced county inmates transferred to Lemuel Shattuck are not included in this report, unless they were already serving their sentence in a DOC facility such as the Longwood Treatment Center.

Consistent with other institutions, pre-sentenced or civilly committed individuals are also not included in the reports of population movement.


Table Interpretation. In reading the tables in this report, the reader should note that: (1) the top number in each cell in the body of a table represents the number of moves which are characterized by the corresponding row and column categories of that table; and (2) the bottom number in parentheses in each cell represents the percentage of total moves in that column (column percent) corresponding to the row category. The percentages sum down the column to total 100 percent. For example, Table 3 indicates that court commitments comprise 1,232 admissions or 56 percent of the total admissions to Cedar Junction during 1987. All tables in the text should be read as reporting the admissions, releases, transfers and returns to or from the institutions identified across the top of the tables. Reading the numbers and percentages in this way is consistent with the document's discussion of the movement information.

Population Movements 1982 to 1987: Trends and Population Growth

The period 1982 to 1987 was one of large increases in the correctional population, from 3,690 at the beginning of 1982 to 6,267 at the beginning of 1988. This represents an increase of 2,577 inmates or 70 percent over this period. As shown in Figure 1, in each year during this period the number of admissions to the Department was greater than the number of releases, resulting in continued population increases.

Fig. 1

Admissions and Releases to the Department of Correction



As shown in Table 1, there are three categories of admissions that increased substantially over the period: court commitments, parole/probation violators, and county inmates transferring to the DOC. Court commitments increased from 1,968 in 1982 to 2,810 in 1987, an increase of 43 percent (N=842) over the period. Parole/probation violators increased from 425 in 1982 to 1,001 in 1987, an increase of 136 percent (N=576) over the period. The number of county inmates transferring to DOC facilities was relatively low in 1982 (N=36) and 1983 (N=15), and increased substantially during the next four years to a level of 443 in 1987. In 1984 most of these cases were men transferred to Concord to serve their county sentences at a Since 1985, most of these cases represent county inmates DOC facility. transferring to Longwood Treatment Center to receive specialized alcohol treatment services. While the number of inmates transferring to other DOC facilities increased, these admissions do not impact the growth of population; rather they reflect increases in the number of offenders served by the Department annually.

Several types of releases increased over the period: paroles, discharges, and transfers to houses of correction. The increase in paroles and discharges is related to similar growth in admissions to the Department. Paroles increased from 957 in 1982 to 1,729 in 1987, an increase of 81 percent (N=772). Discharges increased from 258 in 1982 to 1,106 in 1987, over a threefold increased in this release category. Transfers to houses of correction increased from 79 in 1982 to 374 in 1987, following a similar pattern as admissions from those facilities.

Table 1

Admissions and Releases to DOC Facilities, 1982 to 1987

1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
1,968	1,965	2,169	2,374	2,500	2,810
´ 8	´ 3	´ 3	2	2	2
39	70	33	36	33	42
425	404	560	714	876	1,001
36	15	307	412	465	443
32	32	26	22	46	27
40	18		. 17	24	27
33	41	54	100	187	157
56	84	62	69	64	76
102	79	60	60	67	74
103	74	95	93	111	128
	296	276	256`	294	249
8,270	8,907	9,216		11,127	11,706
11,363	11,988	12,917	14,590	15,796	16,742
8.297	8.946	9.240	10.482	11,170	11,722
-,	-,	,	,	,	•
79	84	294	438	411	374
		***			- / -
957	1 406	1 507	1.426	1.795	1,729
///	1,400	1,507	1,420	1,,,,	1,727
20	21	3.1	30	32	23
20	21	<i>)</i> 1	70	,,,	47
25	40	. 34	33	12	39
9	9	5	10	11	. 15
10,724	11,856	12,513	14,143	15,565	16,133
	1,968 8 39 425 36 32 40 33 56 102 103 251 8,270 11,363 8,297 79 93 957 28 25 234 258 13 53 12 158 37 89 106 276 9	1,968 1,965 8 3 39 70 425 404 36 15 32 32 40 18 33 41 56 84 102 79 103 74 251 296 8,270 8,907 11,363 11,988 8,297 8,946 79 84 93 112 957 1,406 28 21 25 40 234 244 258 352 13 12 53 35 12 25 158 128 37 3 89 105 106 77 276 257 9 9	1,968 1,965 2,169 8 3 39 70 33 425 404 560 36 15 307 32 32 26 40 18 56 33 41 54 56 84 62 102 79 60 103 74 95 251 296 276 8,270 8,907 9,216 11,363 11,988 12,917 8,297 8,946 9,240 79 84 294 93 112 118 957 1,406 1,507 28 21 31 25 40 36 234 244 300 258 352 379 13 12 7 53 35 28 12 25 16 158 128 109 37 3 0 89 105 104 106 77 103 276 257 236 9 9 5	1,968 1,965 2,169 2,374 8 3 3 2 39 70 33 36 425 404 560 714 36 15 307 412 32 32 26 22 40 18 56 17 33 41 54 100 56 84 62 69 102 79 60 60 103 74 95 93 251 296 276 256 8,270 8,907 9,216 10,435 11,363 11,988 12,917 14,590 8,297 8,946 9,240 10,482 79 84 294 438 93 112 118 126 957 1,406 1,507 1,426 28 21 31 30 25 40 36 33 234 244 300 383 258 352 379 553 13 12 7 6 53 35 28 45 12 25 16 30 158 128 109 112 37 3 0 2 89 105 104 100 106 77 103 100 276 257 236 267 9 9 5 10	1,968 1,965 2,169 2,374 2,500 8 3 3 2 2 39 70 33 36 33 425 404 560 714 876 36 15 307 412 465 32 32 26 22 46 40 18 56 17 24 33 41 54 100 187 56 84 62 69 64 102 79 60 60 67 103 74 95 93 111 251 296 276 256 294 8,270 8,907 9,216 10,435 11,127 11,363 11,988 12,917 14,590 15,796 8,297 8,946 9,240 10,482 11,170 79 84 294 438 411 93 112 118 126 129 957 1,406 1,507 1,426 1,795 28 21 31 30 32 25 40 36 33 12 27 40 36 33 32 28 21 31 30 32 29 40 36 33 32 21 31 30 32 25 40 36 33 12 234 244 300 383 332 258 352 379 553 919 13 12 7 6 8 53 35 28 45 51 12 25 16 30 23 158 128 109 112 133 37 3 0 2 9 89 105 104 100 109 106 77 103 100 133 276 257 236 267 288 9 9 5 10 11

Population Movements in 1987: A Statistical Decription

This section presents a more detailed analysis of the 32,875 admissions and releases that occurred during 1987. These admissions and releases involved 8,436 individuals. In some cases movement patterns are discussed in terms of the number of individual offenders involved in the particular movement pattern as well as the total number of admissions and releases. More complete information can be found by consulting the statistical tables that follow this section.

Admissions. There were a total of 16,742 admissions of all types into DOC institutions during 1987 involving 7,407 individual inmates. Table 2 shows admission categories and the number of individuals associated with each category.

There were 2,852 admissions of inmates beginning new sentences, including 2,810 new court commitments and 42 from & after sentences. Additionally, in 2 cases inmates were released from an A sentence to a B sentence.

There were 1,001 cases where inmates were re-admitted on a sentence as a result of violating their parole or probation. There were 984 admissions on parole violations and 17 admissions on probation violations during 1987.

There were 443 admissions of county inmates into DOC facilities, 27 admissions of out-of-state inmates into DOC facilities, and 27 admissions from federal facilities during the year. There were 157 admissions of DOC inmates returning from county facilities, and 76 admissions of DOC inmates returning from out-of-state facilities.

A number of admissions resulted from temporary releases from custody including returns from court (N=74), returns from community hospitals (N=128), and

Table 2

Admissions and Releases to the Department of Correction, By Moves and Individuals, 1987

Admission and Release Category	Moves	Individual
Admission		
New Court Commitment	2,810	2,755
B Sentence	2	2
From & After Sentence	42	41
Parole/Probation Violation	1,001	964
County Inmate Transferring to DOC	443	443
Out-of-State Inmate Transferring to DOC	27	25
Received from Federal Authority	27	26
(DOC and Non-DOC)	÷	
DOC Inmate Returning from	157	151
House of Correction		
DOC Inmate Returning from	76	72
Out-of-State		
Return from Court	74	66
Return from Hospital	128	112
Return from Escape	249	243
Transfer from DOC facility	11,706	5,815
Sub-Total Admissions	16,742	7,407
Releases		
Transfer to Another DOC facility	11,722	5,832
Transfer to Mouse of Correction	374	358
	140	134
Transfer to Another Authority Parole to Street	1,729	1,703
Parole to Street Parole to Another Authority	23	23
Parole to Another Authority	39	39
Sentence Expired	278	272
Discharge to Street	1,106	1,105
Discharge to Another Authority	9	9
Discharge to Another Sentence	43	43
Release to Warrant	19	19
Removed to Court	151	139
Released to Sheriff	2	2
Released to Sherrii Released by Court	118	117
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	135	119
Release to Hospital	230	224
Escape Death	15	15
Sub-Total Releases	16,133	7,848
	,	
Total	32,875	8,436

returns from escape (N=249).

Releases. There were 16,133 releases of all types from DOC facilities during 1987. These releases involved 7,848 individual inmates.

There were 1,793 paroles of all types during 1987 including: 1,729 paroles to the street, 10 paroles to the custody of another state, 5 paroles to federal authorities, 8 paroles to immigration authorities, 19 paroles to from and after sentences at county facilities in Massachusetts, 2 paroles to outstanding warrants, and 20 paroles to from and after sentences in DOC facilities.

There were 1,175 discharges of all types during 1987 including: 1,106 discharges to the street, 3 discharges to the custody of another state, 3 discharges to a federal authority, 3 discharges to immigration authorities, 2 discharges from an A sentence to a B sentence, 22 discharges to a from and after sentence at a county facility, 17 discharges to an outstanding warrant, and 19 discharges to a from and after sentence at a DOC facility.

There were 278 releases due to the expiration of sentence during 1987. Expiration of sentence differs only slightly from a discharge, in that good conduct days are not deducted from the sentence length in determining the length of incarceration. The 278 expirations of sentence included 269 expirations to the community and 9 expirations to from and after sentences in the DOC or other correctional facilities.

There were 118 court ordered releases of inmates due to sentences being revoked or vacated, or inmates released to await a new trial. There were also 9 releases by federal authority. There were 153 cases of releases by court of a more temporary nature including 79 releases due to the offender being remanded to court for an appearance, 72 cases where the offender was removed to the court and received a change in sentence, often to a forthwith sentence, and 2 cases in which

the offender was removed to the custody of a sheriff or the U.S. Marshall.

There were 505 transfers to other correctional authorities. This includes 374 transfers to county facilities in Massachusetts, 108 transfers to correctional facilities in other states (including 92 DOC inmates and 16 non-DOC inmates), and 23 transfers to federal correctional authorities.

There were 15 releases due to the death of an inmate during 1987. There were 12 deaths due to natural causes, one homicide, one suicide, and one accidental death during the year. There were 230 escapes from DOC facilities and 135 releases to community hospitals during 1987.

Court Commitments. There were 2,810 new court commitments during 1987, and 42 admissions due to an individual beginning a from & after sentence, for a total of 2,852 court commitments during the year. Table 5 shows the court of commitment for the 2,810 new court commitments that occurred during 1987.

Inter-Institutional Transfers. Tables 6 through 9 display information on inter-institutional transfers. Tables 6 and 7 display each move by the origination/destination facility and the specific institution to or from which the inmate transferred. Tables 8 and 9 display each inter-institutional transfer by the security level of the origination/destination facility and the specific facility to or from which the inmate transferred.

Transfers From. Tables 6 and 8 show inter-institutional transfers by the institution from which the inmate was released. Concord, which acts as the departmental reception and diagnostic center, had the largest number of releases to other DOC facilities and accounted for 33 percent of all such moves (N=3,836). Other DOC facilities that transferred large numbers of inmates to other facilities

include Cedar Junction (N=1,967), Norfolk (N=1,326), NCCI (N=875), SECC (N=781), and Shirley (N=540).

Seventeen percent of all inter-institutional transfers were from the maximum security facility, Cedar Junction. Of these, 94 percent were transferred to medium security facilities and 6 percent were transferred to secure hospitals. There were no transfers from Cedar Junction directly to a lower security facility.

Sixty-two percent of all inter-institutional transfers were from medium security facilities. Ten percent of these were to higher security (Cedar Junction), 48 percent were lateral transfers to other medium security facilities, 36 percent were transfers to lower security facilities, and 6 percent were transfers to secure hospitals.

Seventeen percent of all inter-institutional transfers were from lower security facilities. There were two transfers from a lower security facility to a maximum security facility, fifty-four percent of these transfers were to medium security facilities, 41 percent were transfers to other lower security facilities, and 5 percent were transfers to secure hospitals.

Four percent of all transfers were from the secure hospital, Lemuel Shattuck.

Ten percent of the transfers from Lemuel Shattuck were to maximum security, 68

percent were to medium security, 21 percent were from lower security facilities
and 1 percent were to other secure hospitals.

Transfers To. Tables 7 and 9 show inter-institutional transfers by the institution to which the inmate was admitted. Concord had the highest number of admissions from other DOC facilities (N=2,309) and accounted for 20 percent of all such admissions. Other facilities with large numbers of admissions from other DOC facilities include: Norfolk (N=1,804), NCCI (N=1,191), SECC (N=1,158), Cedar Junction (N=849), Shirley (N=827), and MHHI (N=501).

Seven percent of all inter-institutional transfers were to the maximum security facility, Cedar Junction. Of these transfers, 89 percent were from medium security facilities, 11 percent were from secure hospitals, and two admissions (less than one percent) were from lower security facilities.

Fifty-nine percent of all inter-institutional transfers were to medium security facilities. Of these transfers, 27 percent were from maximum security, 51 percent were lateral transfers from other medium security facilities, 16 percent were returns from lower security facilities, and 6 percent were transfers from secure hospitals.

Thirty percent of all inter-institutional transfers were to lower security facilities. Of these transfers, none were from maximum security, 73 percent were from medium security facilities, 24 percent were from other lower security facilities, and 3 percent were from secure hospitals.

Four percent of all inter-institutional transfers were to Lemuel Shattuck hospital. Of these transfers, 11 percent were from maximum security, 68 percent were from medium security, 21 percent were from lower security facilities, and less than one percent were from other secure hospitals.

Hospital Transfers. Offenders needing hospital care can be treated at various community hospitals or Lemuel Shattuck Hospital, a hospital at which the Department of Correction maintains a secure ward for offenders in custody. During 1987 there were 468 transfers to Lemuel Shattuck Hospital from Department of Correction facilities and 470 transfers from Lemuel Shattuck to state correctional institutions (including transfers to Bridgewater State Hospital). See Tables 6 and 7.

There were 135 releases to and 128 returns from community hospitals (Tables 10 and 11) during 1987. New England Medical Center had the greatest number of

admissions and releases, followed by Framingham Union, Beth Israel, Mass Eye and Ear and Boston City Hospital. Together, they received almost half of the admissions and releases to community hospitals. Framingham had the largest number of moves to and admissions from community hospitals.

Transfers to Houses of Correction. Four types of movements between county houses of correction and state facilities are presented in Tables 12 through 15 of this report. The first type of move involves a state or county inmate transferred from a DOC facility to a county house of correction to serve or finish a sentence. There were 374 such transfers in 1987. The Middlesex County facility at Billerica received the largest number of transfers (N=53) and Concord transferred the most inmates (N=124) during 1987. The second type of move is the return of a Department of Correction inmate from a county facility. There were 157 such returns in 1987. Thirty-four percent of the returns were to Concord. The largest number of returns were from Deer Island (N=27). The third type of move is the transfer of a county inmate to a Department of Correction facility. There were 443 such transfers in 1987. Thirty-five percent of these admissions came from the Billerica House of Correction, 11 percent from Plymouth, 10 percent from the Dedham House of Correction, and 10 percent from the New Bedford House of Correction (see Table 14). All of the admissions were to the Longwood Treatment Center (87 percent) or Concord (13 percent). The Longwood Treatment Center is a specialized facility for the treatment of individuals committed for Operating Under the Influence of Alcohol (O.U.I.) and receives individuals originally committed to county facilities for O.U.I. The fourth type of move is the release of a Department of Correction inmate to a county facility on a "from and after" sentence. There were 41 such releases in 1987. Thirty-nine percent of these releases were from NCCI.

Movements to Other States. Table 16 shows that there were 108 transfers from Department of Correction facilities to prisons in other states. This included 92 Department of Correction inmates and 16 interstate transfers under the jurisdiction of other states. Of the total number of offenders transferred, 26 percent were released from NCCI, and 17 percent from Norfolk. Eighty-one percent of the offenders were transferred to prisons in other New England states, including 36 percent to New Hampshire. There were 103 admissions from other states during 1987 (Table 16). Inmates admitted from out-of-state prisons included the return of 76 Department of Correction offenders and transfer of 27 offenders under the jurisdiction of other states. Eighty-six percent of the offenders were sent from prisons in other New England states, including 39 percent from New Hampshire, and admitted most often to Concord (34 percent) or NCCI (20 percent).

Statistical Tables

		Junction		æ	TABL Honse	.Е 3: Т	YPE OF	ADMISSI	ON				Shattuck	
		Cedar Junc	Concord	Framingham	Hodder How	2000	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State	Medfield	NCC	Lemuel She	Longwood
TYPE OF ADMISSION			U	. н	•14	O	2	2	V.	щ	24	24	H	
Court Commitment		1232 (56)	690 (16)	888 (76)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)
B Sentence		(0)	(0)	2 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)
Parole or Probation Violation		27 (1)	925 (21)	49 (4)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Transfer From MCI		849 (39)	2309 (54)	145 (12)	66 (100)	284 (100)	1804 (95)	1191 (95)	1158 (98)	213 (98)	33 (97)	299 (97)	468 (98)	72 (16)
Transfer From House of Correction		(0)	57 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	386
Return From House of Correction		(50)	53 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	16	21 (2)	15 (1)	2 (1)	(3)	7 (2)	(0)	(0)
Return From Court		30	12 (0)	7 (1)	(0)	(0)	10	13	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Received on a From And After Sentence		19 (1)	12 (0)	11 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Return From Hospital		7 (0)	21 (0)	(3)	(0)	(0)	30 (2)	10 (1)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	10	(0)
Return From Escape		14 (1)	181 (4)	19 (2)	(0)	(0)	7 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Received From Federal Authority		(0)	15 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	9 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
DOC Offender From Out of State		6 (0)	(1)	6 (1)	(0)	(0)	13 (1)	17	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)
Non-DOC Offender From Out-of-State		5 (0)	13	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	2195	4310	1167	66	285	1891	1257	1185	218	34	307	480	458

			Plymouth		Warwick		Shirley		Lancaster		Boston State		Norfolk PRC		Park Drive		South Middle		мнні		Drug Rehab		Hillside PR		Charlotte	Total	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TYPE OF ADMISSION		,	0		0	,	0		0	(0	(0		0	(0	(0		0	(0	(0)	281 (1	
Court Commitment B Sentence		(0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)		0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0	(20)
Parole or Probation Violation		(0	`	0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	,(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	(6)
Transfer From MCI			(19 99)		26 92)		82 7 98)		96)		99 99)		06 97)		06 99)	(24 97)		01 98)		11 00)	(76 99)	. (78 96)	1170	70)
Transfer From House		(0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0	. (43 3)
of Correction		`	3 1)	(10	(15 2)	. (6 2)	(0 0)	. (1 1)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0. 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0)	(57 1)
of Correction Return From Court		(0	(0 0)	(10)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(74 (0)
Received on a From		(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	. (0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(42 0)
And After Sentence Return From Hospital		(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 0)	(2	(0 0)	(2 2)	(0 0)	. (3 1)	C	0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1)
Return From Escape		(0 0)	(0 0)	(3 0)	(2 1)	(3 1)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(3 1)	(11 2)	. (0 0)	(1	(3 4)	(1)
Received From Federal		. (0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	• (0	(0 0)	((0)	(27 0)
DOC Offender From Out		(0 0)	(} l)	(0	(0	(0 0)	. (0	(1 1)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0		(0)	(76 0)
of State Non-DOC Offender From Out-of-State		(1 0)	(0	(0)	. (0	(0 0)	(0	(0 0) (0	(0	(0)	(0	,	(0)	(27 0)
	OTAL		323	٠	137		848		252		202		109		107		230		512	٠	11	f	77	•	81	16	742

	٠.	5		Ţ	ABLE 4:	TYPE (OF RELEA	SE			Ť
TYPE OF RELEASE		Cedar Juncti	Concord Framingham	Hodder House	2000	Norfelk	NCCI	SECC Bay State	Medfield	NGC	Lemuel Shattuck
Transfer to MCI	. **	1967 (89)	3836 36 (90) (3		43 (90)	1326 (73)	875 . (75)	781 200 (71) (84	32) (86)	273 (83)	470 13 (97) (3)
Transfer to House of Correction		13	124 (3) (1 0· 0) (0)	(0)	40 (2)	44 (4)	39 6 (4) (3	1 (3)	9 (3)	1 47 (0) (10)
Transfer to Other Authority		21 (1)	16 1 (0) () (0) (0)	0 (0)	27 (1)	28 (2)	19 2 (2) (1	0 (0)	14 (. 4)	0 0 (0)
Parole to Street		80 (. 4)	91: 13		3 (6)	195 (11)	114 (10)	154 17 (14) (7	0 (0)	16 (5)	1 69 (0) (15)
Parole to Other Authority		(0)		l 0)) (0)	(0)	5 (0)	· (4 0)	1 1 0	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 0 (0)
Parole to Sentence		(0)	1 (0) (2	(0)	(.1)	12 (1)	5 0	0	(0)	0 0
Sentence Expired		(0)	0 27 (0) (20		(0)	(0)	(0)	0 0	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 0
Discharge to Street		54	88 18: (2) (13		l (2)	103 (6)	40 (3)	74 7	0 (0)	9 (3)	2 311 (0) (68)
Discharge to Other Authority	,	(0)	3 (0)) 0)) (0)	(0)	2 (0)	2 (0)	1 0 0	0 (0)	(0)	0 0
Discharge to Other Sentence		5 (0)	7 (0) (0	1 (2)	(0)	9 (0)	(1)	2 0	0 (0)	(0)	0 0
Release to Warrant		3 (0)	2 (0) (0	(0 (0)	(0)	7 (0)	· 5 (0)	0 0	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) (0)
Removed to Court		29 (1)	32 15 (1) (1	0 (0)	(0)	41 (2)	17	(14 0	1 (3)	(0)	0 0
Release to Sheriff		(0)	0 (0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 0	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 0 0
Release by Court	۱	8 (⁰)	19 38	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	. (1)	4 1 1 (0)	1 (3)	(0)	0 16
Transfer to Hospital		9 (0)	21 46	0 (0)	(2)	27 (1)	13	0 3	0 (0)	(0)	(1) (0)
Escape								6 0			
Death		(0)	0 (0)- (0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (U	0 (0)	(0)	9 0
Escape		2 (0)	0 (3 () (5)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	6 0	2 (5)	2	0 1

TOTAL 2203 4242 1085 61 48 1806 1172 1102 237 37 327 487 457

		Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive	South Middles	мни	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte	Total
TYPE OF RELEASE	•					+ .								
Transfer to MCI		212 (67)	65 (48)	540 (-66)	144 (54)	104 (54)	34 (31)	65 · (60)	104 (46)	188 (35)	4 (50)	21 (28)		1722 (73)
Transfer to House of Correction		23	6 (4)	18 (2)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	374 (2)
Transfer to Other Authority		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	0 (.0)	(0)	0	0 (0)	140
Parole to Street		46 (15)	51 (38)	184 (22)	90 (34)	53 (27)	45 (41)	23 (21)	85 (37)	197 (37)	(0)	32 (43)	22 (28)	1729 (11)
Parole to Other Authority		(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	23
Parole to Sentence		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	39
Sentence Expired		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	278 (2)
Discharge to Street		13	11 (8)	48 (6)	18 (7)	11 (6)	20 (18)	(2)	22 (10)	65 (12)	1 (13)	6 (8)	8 (]0)	1106
Discharge to Other Authority		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	9 (0)
Discharge to Other Sentence		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	43 (0)
Release to Warrant		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	19
Removed to Court		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	151 (1)
Release to Sheriff		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0) (0 (0)	(0)	2 (0)
Release by Court		(1)	0 (0)	(0)	(2)	(0)	(2)	(0)	2 (1)	(0)	0 (0) ((0)	0 (0)	118
Transfer to Hospita	1	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(3)	(0)	2 (1)	(0)	0 (0) (0 (0)	0 (0)	135 (1)
Escape	·	(4)	3 (2)	20 (2)	8 (3)	24 (12)	6 (5)	17 (16)	12 (5)	75 (14)	3 (38) (16 (21)	12 (15)	230
Death		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0) (0 (0)	0 (0)	15 (0)
	TOTAL	316	136	820	265	193	111	108	227	530	8	75	80 1	6133

TABLE 4. THE OF BELEACE W

 [ለለይኒክ	.n :	26.416	MC LAU	COLK I

SENTENCING COURT		di t	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		Total
Barnstable		: (23 2)	(6 1)	(.	4 0)		33 l)
Berkshire	1	. (21 2)	(6 l)	(10)		28 1)
Bristol		(77 6)	(35 5)	(16 2)		28 5)
Essex		(9)	(35 5)	(13		54 5)
Franklin		(15 1)	(5 i)	(0		20 1)
Hampden	•		152 12)	(21 3)	(21 2)		9 4 7)
Hampshire		(22 2)	(6 1)	(10)		29 1)
Middlesex		· (23 2 19)		121 18)	(26 3)		79 13)
Nantucket		. (0 0)·	(1 0)	(0 0)	. (10)
Norfolk		(49 4)	(17 2)	(2 0)		6 8 2)
Plymouth		. (50 4)	(23 3)	(2 0)		75 3)
Suffolk		, (361 29)		167 24)	(48 5)		76 20)
Worcester	e ¹	(124 10)	(176 26)	(29 3)		29 12)
Municipal		(0	(22 3)		106 12)		28 5)
District		(0 0)	(49 7)		619 70)		68 24)
* *									

TOTAL 1232 690 888 2810

		=			Ť	ABLE	6:	INS	STITUT.	ONAL	TRA	NSFERS								
		Cedar Junction	Concord	Franknghan		Hodder House		2020	Norfolk	,	*	SECC	Bay State		Medfield	D. H.C.C		Lemuel Shattuck	**	Longwood
ADMITTING INSTITUTION		0	159	o		0		19	244	L	9 L	142	-		0	0		45		J
Cedar Junction	113	0)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(44) 14	(18)		22) 54	98	26) (0)	90	(:	3. 0
Concord	(5	8)	(0)	(0)	(0)	,	33)	(9)		6)	(13)	(13) (12 38)	(33)	į į	91		3
Framingham	(0)	(0)	·(0)	(18 78)	(0)	(0)	(-	0)	(0)	(- 0) (O)	(0		55 12)	:	3;
Hodder House	ï	0)	(0)	64 (18)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0) (0 0 }	(0		(0	:	0 0)
occe		3)	99	0 (0)	(0 0)	(0	37 (3)		21	13	19) (0	16 ; 6		2 0)	,	0 0 ,
Norfolk	38 (1		1011	(0)	(0 0)	(4 9)	(0)	;	36 4)	75 (10)	41) (4 13)	(1		139 30)		0 0
NCCI	18		816 (21)	. 0	(0 0)	(2 5)	83 (6)	(0	2 5	(0) (0 0)	(0		47. 10)		0 0,
SECC		8 5)	819 (21)	(0)	(0	(1 2)	·136 (10)	(23	(0)	2 (l) (a 0)	(0	. (34		O O ;
Bay State	(0 0)	53	(0)	(0	(1 2)	90		12	36 (5)	(0) (-	0	(0:	. (8 2)	:	o o ,
Medfield	(0 0 }	6 (0)	·. 0 (. · · 0)	(0 0)	. (0	10 (1)	(4 0)	5 (I)	3 (2		0	(0		3 1}	ć	o o;
NCC		0	114	0 (0)	(0 0)	. (0 0)	93	(6)	25 (3)	0 (0	·) (1 3)	(0	. (9 2)	(0 0,
Lemuel Shattuck		1 3)	45 (1)	56 (15)	(0 0 0)	Ċ	2 5)	125 (9)	(6)	42 (5)	6 3		2 6)	9	· (0 0)		12 92:
Longwood		0 0)	(0)	60 (16)	(0	(0	(0)	(0	(0)	(0) (0	(0) (12	:	0
Plymouth		0	125	(0)	(0	(0	72 (5)	(2)	9 5 (12)	(2) (0	(0) (6 l)	-	0 0 ;
Warwick	(41	(0)	(0 0)	(0	17		7)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0) (2 0)	(o o;
Shirley		0	285 (7)	(0)	(: 0 0)	. (0)	191 (14)	25 (2		52 (7)	(l) (0	9		27 6)	ţ	0)
Lancaster	(0 0)	2 5	110 (30)	(2 9)	(0	10 (1)	(3 6)	12 (2)	(1) (2 6)	5 (2) (10 2)	1	0 0)
Boston State	. (0 0)	23	(0)	(0)	(0	10 (1)	. (0	23	20 (10) (2 6)	34 (12) į	5 1)	,	0 0 }
Norfolk PRC	. (- (0 0 }	25 (1)	(0)	(0 0)	(0 .	18 (1)	(0 0)	26 (3)	15) (1 3)	4 (1) (0 0)	(0 '
Park Drive	(0 0)	14 (0)	(0)	(0	(0	(0)	(10)	9 (1)	8 (4) (1 3)	25 (9) (10		0 0 :
South Middlesex	(1	0 0)	45 (1)	(0)	(2		0	13	. 1	1)	16	10) (3 9)	22) (5	(0 0)
MANI	(0 2)	102	0 (0)	(g 0)	(0 0)	15	(9	45 (6)	33 (17) (0 0)	40 (15	}	1 (0)		o o;
Orug Rehab		_		6 (2)											_			_		•
fillside PRC				(0)																
Tharlotte				68 (19)																
ridgewater				(0)																

				1.0	י נ	P#C.	. 13	Mi chill es		,	7. E.		
	Plymouth	Warvick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston St.	Norfolk P	Park Drive	South Had	WHH I	rug kehab	III I I sa de 1	Charlotte	Tot 41
ADMITTING INSTITUTION	<u> </u>	. 3	va		82	•				۵	- S		
Gedar Junction	0)	. 0)	(0)	(1)	(, 0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0;	o o;	0),	302
Concord	73	34 52)	220 (41)	52 (36)	61 (59)	(38)	27 (42)	69 (66)	123 65)	, 25)	16 76)	o o	2273 13
Framingham	(0)	(0)	(0)	31 (22)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(I)	0 (0)	2 (50)	0 (0)	37 37 :	145 t.
Hodder House	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 0)	0 0	55 l
nece	(0)	(0)	16	9 (6)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 0)	284 2
Norfolk	7 (3)	(6)	15	6 (4)	(1)	14 (41)	(2)	6 (6)	11 (- 6)	(0)	, 10;	. 0)	[763 15]
NCCI	(0)	(3)	(2)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1.	10
SECC	13 (6)	(2)	(0)	· 2 (1)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1:	1131
Bay State	8 (4)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	; 0)	213
Medfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	, 0,	33 3 ·
NCC	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(, 0)	(2)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	. 0:	209
Lemuel Shattuck	5 (2)	(5)	26 (5)	(6)	(4)	(0)	10 (15)	(7)	2 (l)	(0)	(5)	(3)	466 . 4:
Longwood	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0)	72 1
Plymouth	(0)	(1 2).	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0:	313
Warwick	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	. o;	126
Shirley	(0)	(3)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	, 0 , 0;	827 7.
Lancaster	(0)	(3)	(1)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)	(0)	242
Soston State	15 (7)								14 (7)				199
Norfolk PRC	(, <mark>3</mark>)	(2)	(1)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	. (0)	(1
Park Drive	(4)								(1)				
South Middlesex	(5)	9 (14)							(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(224
MHI	53 (25)	5 (8)	81 (15)	(7)	31 (30)				27 (- 14)		(5)	· 0)	501 . 4.
Orug Rahab	(0)	(0)	(,0)		(0)				(0)				(0)
Hillside PRC	(4)		13 (2)						(5)				76 _(1)
Charlotte	(0)	(0)	(0)	8 (6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	([0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	7 8 (l;
Bridgewater	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	178 (2)
			 840	144	104	24	c#	ina	188	. Δ	21	38	11722

			e				1	CAB LE	7	: IN	STITU	TION	IAL T	RANS	FERS										
RELEASING INSTITU	one ca		Cedar Junction	Concord		Pramingham	·.	Hodder House		3000	Norfolk		NCCI		SECC		Bay State	,	Medfield		HCC		Leman Shattuck		Longuerod
Cadar Junction			(0)	[134 (45		(0)	(0	.(50 (8)	383		189 (16)	(98 8)	(0	{	0 0)		0		51		0
Concord			159 - 19)	(())	(0-)	(0 · 0)	(99	1011		816 (69)		819 71)		53		8		14		45		0.
Framingham		4	(0)	(0		0 (0)	(6 4	(0	(0		(0)		0	(G		0		38)	(10) 56		60
Hodder House		(0 (0)	(0		18		a 0)	. (0	(0		(0)		0		0)	(0)	(0)	'	0	•	83)
occc		. (19	14		0	(0	(0 0 0)	(0		2		. 0) I	(0) L	(0)	`	0)	į.	2		0.
Nerfolk		(244 29)	118		0	,	a 0)		37 13)	0		, 83 (0)		0)	(90	(°	10		93	:	0) L25	(0
NCCI			191	54		0		0		21	36		(7)	(23		12	(4		31) 54	:	27) 49	i	0
SECC			142	98		0	(0	(7)	75		25	(0		6) 36		12,		18) 25	(10)		0 :
Bay State		(0	26		0	(0)	(5)	41		(2)	(2	(17)	(15)	(8)	(9)	· .	0
Medfield		(0	(1 12 (1		0	(0)	(7)	(2		0)	(0)	(Q) Q	(9)	(0) I	(2	(0;
NCC		(o	90		0)	(0)		0) 16	3		0	(1	(,	ı	(0)	(0 }	(0) g	ı	0
Lemuel Shattuck		(45	43		0) 55	(. 0) L	(6) 2	139		47	(+	0) 34	(0) 8	(<u>3)</u> 3	(9	(0		a;: L2
Longwood			0 0)	0		38)	(2)	(0	0		0	(3)	(4) 0	(9)	(3)	(0)	(:	0
Plymouth ·		(0	73		0	(0)	(0)	7	•	0	(0) 13	(8	(0)	(0)	(3) 5	(0 :
Warwick		(0)	(3)	•	0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		2	(1)	(4) 0	(3)	(0)	٠	1)	(0
Shirley			0) I	220	(0)	(0)		0) 16	(0) 15		9	(0)	(3	(0)	(0) 1	{	1) 26	į.	0
Lancaster			1	52	(0) 31	(0)			(1) 6			(0)	· .	1)	(0)	ί	0)	(6)	(0)
Boston State				52 (2) 61																					
Norfolk PRC		(.	0)	61 (3)		0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0) 0	· (0)	(Õ)	(,	0) n	(ō)	(1)	, ()	0)
Park Drive			0)	(1) 27	(0)	(0)	(0) 0	(1)	(0) 0	(ā) 0	(å) n	(ō)	(0)	(0)	(0)
South Middleses				27 (1) 69																					
WHAT				69 (3)																					
Drug Rehab			0)/.	(5)																				100	
				(0)																					
Hillside PRC		(0)	16			(-	0)	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)
Tharlotte		(0 0)	(0)	(37 26)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 0)	(0

\$ 1.00 miles	Plymouth		Shirles	Ī	Lancaster	Boston State		MOKTOIK PRC	Park Drive	South widdler		¥	100		Hillside PR.	Charlotte	=
RELEASING INSTITUTION						aj.	ž	£	2	S		HHH	ď		#11	5	Total
Cedar Junction	(0) (0		0)	(0))) }	(0)	(0) <u>'</u>	0	(0		0	0	17305
Concord	12 5 . 39	41) : 33) (26 11)	23 (12)	25		14	45		102	ı		9	(0.	3818
framingham	0	0 (0) a		10	0)	0	(20 0	,	20)	6)	i2)	68	33
Hodder House	(0)		0	•	2 1)	(0)	(0		(0)	(0)		0)	(55 0) :	0)	(87)	364 3 23
occe	(0)	(0) (0 ;	(0	(0)	(0		0	(1)		0)	0		0)	, i)	43
Norfolk	72 (23)	. 17	191) (23)		10 4)	10	18		4	(0)	(0) 15	0	(0)	ā; . 0	. 0
NCCI	16 (5)	64	258	·	53	(5)	(17 0 (0	·	1	(6)	(3) 9	(0)	- 1	3) l	`	1288
SECC	95 (30)	(2)	52		(2 5)	23 (12)	26	•	9	(5)	(2) 45	(0)	(1)	(0:	7: 744
8ay State	3 (1)	(0)	(0)		2	20 (10)	(25) 15 (14)		8	10		45 9) 33	(9)		.3) LO	; ő,	199
Medfield	(0)	. 0	(0)		2	2 (1)	1 (1)	. ,	1 1)	(4)	(7)	0)		4	(0)	32
NCC	(0)	(0)	9 (1)		5	34 (17)	4 ('4)	(25	(1)		40	(0)		5) 3	(0)	(0) 273
Lemuel Shattuck	6 (2)	2 (2)	27 (3)	. !	0	5	(0)	(10	(10)	(ì	(0)	(1	7) 1	(0) L	466
Longwood	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	()))} (0	0	(0	(2)		0)	(0. a		0	(1)	(4)
Plymouth	(0)	(0)	(0)			15	7 (7)	(8	(0) 11 (5)		53	(0)		0) 9	(0)	212
Warwick	(0)	(0)	2 (0)	(1	: .) (I 1)	1	(0	9 (4)	()	5	(9)	,	2) 0	(0)	55 65
Shirley	(0)	(0)	(0)	6 (2	;	49	8		17	72 (32)		1) (11	2	1:		0)	540
Lancaster	(0)	(0)	(0)	0		ī	0	,	4 4 4) (5 (2):		0	18)		1	0) 8	(5) 144
Boston State	(a)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (0) (0	1	` '	,	•	, 3	2) (1			L) ()		(1, 104
Norfolk pro	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0 (0	,	0	0	,	6) (7	0)	(0)) (0	34
Park Drive	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)) (-	1 (0	,	0 (0		1) (5	0)	. 0			(0; 6 5
South Middlesex		0	(0)	(0)	. (1	0	,		0 0 0)			_			0)	104
MHI	_	_				14 7) (-				0 0 0)				(l 9		0 } o 1	(l) 188
Orug Rehab	(0) (0). 0	(!	5) ()	0) 0	(12) (0)	. 2)
Hillside PRC	0 (0) (0 0)	(0)	. 1 . 0)	(0, (0		u) (0	0)	(()) (L	0) 0	Çi		0	(0)
Charlotte	(0) (0	0	0		0	0	(u) (D	0)	(() ()	0)	٥		0)	38
Bridgewater	0 (0) (0 (0)	a (0) (0	1	0, (0	· () ()	0))) ()	0)	(ŏ) (0)	(0)
TOTAL		.26		242			06	106		24	501		0)	(0 76) . (0) 78 1	(1)

		c			TARI	LE 8: 1	INSTITU	TIONAL '	TRANSFER	rs			v	
SECURITY LEVEL OF ADMITTING FACILITY		Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Hodder House	5550	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State	Medfield	NCC	Lemuel Shattuck	Longwood
Maximum		(0)	159 (4)	(0)	(0)	19 (44)	244 (18)	191 (22)	142 (18)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	45 (-10)	0 (0)
Medium		1854 (94)	2745 (72)	(0)	18 (78)	21 (49)	374 (28)	134 (15)	211 (27)	88 (44)	16 (50)	110	320 (68)	1 (8)
Minimum		(0)	173 (5)	(0)	(0)	1 (2)	193 (15)	70 (8)	66 (8)	3 (2)	· l	2 (1)	20	(0)
Minimum/Pre-Release		(0)	477 (12)	234 (64)	2 (9)	0 (- 0)	290 (22)	· 391 (45)	161 (21)	6 (3)	2 (6)	14 (5)	58 (12)	0 (0)
Pre-Release		(0)	107 (3)	(0)	2 (9)	(0)	45 (3)	12 _. (1)	74 (9)	53 (27)	7 (22)	85 (31)	20	0 · (0)
Contract Pre-Release	•	(0)	112 (3)	74 (20)	1 (4)	(0)	17	10	48 (6)	43 (22)	4 (13)	53 (19)	3 (1)	0 (0)
Secure Hospital		113 (6)	63 (2)	56 (15)	(0)	2 (5)	163 (12)	67 (8)	79 (10)	(4)	2 (6')	(3)	4. (1)	12 (92)
TC	DTAL	1967	3836	364	23	43	1326	875	781	200	32	273	470	13

* *	TOTAL		212		65		540		144		104		34		65		104		188		4		21		38	11722
Secure Hospital		(5 2)	(3 5)	(26 5)	(8 6)	(4 4)	(0 0)	(10 15)	(7 7)	(2	(0 0)		1 5)	(1 3)	644 (5)
Contract Pre-Release	•	(63 30)	(5 8)	. (96 18)	(19 13)	(31 30)	(7 21)	(25 38)	(17 16).	(36 19)	(1 25)	(1 5)	(0 0)	666 (6)
Pre-Release		(41 19)	(11 17)	(146 27)	(10 7)	(3 3)	(0 0)	(I 2)	•	2 2)	(16 9)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	635 (5)
Minimum/Pre-Release		(10)	(5 8)	(7 1)	(2 1)	(1 1)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 5)	(0 0)	1652 (14)
Minimum		(9 4)	(0 0)	(4 1)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 2)	(2 2)	(0	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	545 (5)
Medium		(93 44)	(41 63		260 48)		104 72)	(65 63)	(27 79)	(28 43)	(76 73)	(134 71)	(3 75)	(18 86)	(37 97)	6778 (58)
Maximum		(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 0)	(1 1)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(-	0 0)	802 (7)
SECURITY LEVEL OF ADMITTING FACILITY			V19		War		Shi		Lan		BOB S		Non		Park		Sou		инн		Drug		H		 ਰੋਂ	
			lymouth		Warwick		Shirley		Lancaster		Boston State		Norfolk PRC		ck Drive	٠.	South Middles		Ť.		ıg Rehab		Hillside PRC		arlotte	Total
									TABL	E	R: 1	NS	TITUT	101	NAI, T	[A 1] [NS BER	S								

TABLE	9:	UNSTITUTIONAL	THANSFERE

SECURITY LEVEL OF RELEASING FACILITY			Cedar Junction		Concord			Framingham		Hodder House		محدد		Norfolk		NCCI		SECC		Bay State		Medfield		NCC		Lemuel Shattuck		Longwood
Maximum		(0		113 (4		(0 0)	(0	(50 18)	(383 (21)	(189 [16)	(98 8)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(51 11)	(0 0)
Medium		(755 85	· .) · · · ·	28 ()	4 2)	(0 0)	(64 97)	(170 60)		1126 (62)	(926 78)		979 85)		192 90)	(25 76)		286 96)		319 68)	(60 83)
Minimum			0)	12	8 6)	(0 0)	(0	(35 12)	(48 3)	(0 0)	(3 0)	(l 0)	(4 12)	(10)	(17	• (0 0)
Minimum/Pre-Release	e	(2 0)	37 (1	9 6)	(50 34)	(1 2)	(25 9)	(32 2)	(15 1)	(16 1)	(11 5)	(1 3)		1 0)	(54 12)	(0 0)
Pre-Release	•	Ć	0 0) (17	0 7)	(1)	(0 0)	(2 1)	(-22 1)	(0 0)	(10)	(1 0)	(0 0)	(2 1)	(21 (4)	(0 0)
Contract Pre-Releas	5e	(0 0)) (14	0 6)	(39 27)	(0	(0 0)	(13 1)	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	, (0	(4	(0 0)
Secure Hospital		(92 11)) (7	4 3)	(55 38)	(1 2)	(2 1)	(180 10)	(61 5)	(61 .5)	(8 4)	(3 9)	(9 3)	(2 0)	(12 17)
TO 1	TAL	1	849	2	230	9		145		66		284	ı	804	. 1	191	1	158	:	213		33	:	299		168		72

	TOTAL	3	119		126	8	827	:	242		199		106		106		224	•	501		11		76		78	1170)6
Secure Hospital		(6 2)	(2 2)	(27 3)	(10 .4)	(5 3)	(0 0)	(10 9)	(5 2)	(1 0)	(0 0)	(! 1)	(1		28 5)
Contract Pre-Relea	ase	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 0)	(14 7)	(0 0)	(2 2)	(0 0)	(28 6)	(0 0)	(10 13)	(0 0)		51 (2)
Pre-Release		(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 0)	(2 1)	(1 1)	(3 3)	(0 0)	(79 16)	(0 0)		1)	(0 0))7 3)
Minimum/Pre-Relea	se	(2 1)	(0 0)	(4 0)	(10 4)	•(66 33)	(16 15)	(29 27)	(99 44)	(149 30)	(3 27)	(23 30)	(9 12)		9 7 . 9)
Minimum		(3 I)	(0 0)	(10 1)	(9 4)	(56 28)	(20 19)	(34 32)	(35 16)	(73 15)	(0 0)	•	27 36)	(0 0)		4)
Medium			108 97)	(124 98)	(786 95)	(211 87)	(56 28)	(69 65)	(28 26)	(85 38)	(171 34)	(8 73)	(14 18)	(68 87)	711 (€	
Maximum		(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	190	
SECURITY LEVEL OF	Y		Plymouth		Warwick		Shirley		Lancaster		Boston State		Norfolk PRC		Park Drive		South Middles		MHHI		Drug Rehab		Hillside PRC		Charlotte	F 1	1000

IABLE	10:	RKLKASKS	TO	HOSPITALS

	Cedar Junction		Concord	Framingham	2200	Nor folk	NCCI	Bay State	NCC	Kemuel Shattuck	Shirley	Norfalk PRC	South Middlesex	MINI TOTAL
RECEIVING HOSPITAL	U		υ	<u>.</u>	0	z	Z	edi	ž	7	উ	ž	νý	E 2
Boston City	(0) (2 (10)	5 (.11)	(0)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 9 (100) (7)
Emerson	(0		7 33)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(10 0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 8
Framingham Union	(0		0	19 (41)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (50)	0 20 (0) (15)
Mass Eye & Ear	(33		1 5)	(0)	1 (100)	5 (19)	3 (23)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 13
Mass General	- 0		1 5)	(0)	(0)	3 (11)	(0)	3 (100)	(0)	0 (0)	0	(0)	(0)	0 7 7 (5)
Medfield State Hospital	(0		0	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1 (1)
Brigham & Women's	1 (11		2 10)	(0)	(0)	4 (15)	(8)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 8
Norwood	0) (0 0)	(0)	(0)	6 (22)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (25)	l (33)	(0)	0 8 (0) (6)
NE Medical Center	5 (56) (2 10)	l (2)	(0)	6 (22)	2 (15)	(0)	(0)	4 (100)	1 (25)	(0)	1 (50)	0 22 (0) (16)
Beth Israel	(0) (6 29)	1 (2)	(0)	2 (7)	l (8)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 10
St. Ann	(0) (0	(0)	(0)	(0)	5 (38)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 5 (0) (4)
Springfield Municipal	(0) (0	1 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1 (0) (1)
Nashoba (Ayer)	(0) (0	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	2 (50)	0 (0)	(0)	0 2 (0) (1)
Worcester State Hospital	0 (0		0	7 (15)	(0)	(0)	1 (8)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 8 (0) (6)
Northampton State Hospital	(0		0	2 (4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	, g (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 2 (0) (1)
Lindemann MHC	(0) (0	5 (11)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 5 (0) (4)
Solomon Carter	(0) (0 0)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (· 0)	(0)	0 3 (0) (2)
Southwood	(0) (0 0)	0 (0)	(0)	G (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	2 (67)	(0)	0 2 (0) (1)
Bay State Medical Center	(0) (0	1 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (1)
					٠		,							

TOTAL

e jo					<u>(1</u>	TABLE	11.	PR	Ť:II Ŧ	NS FF	20 M	#AS1														
DISCHARGE HOSPIT	<u>al</u>		Cedar Junction		Concord	Franingham		2000		Norfolk	.01	NCCI		Bay State		Lemuel Shattuck		Shirley		Lancaster		Norfolk PRC		South Middlesex		Total
Boston City			1 (14)	`. (2 10)	6 (16)	(0 0)	(2 7)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0 }	(0	(0)	(0	(11
Emerson		1	(0)	(6 29)	0 (0)	(0 0)	(0	(0)	(0	(1 10)	(0	. (0	(0		0	(7 5)
Framingham Union			0	(0	19 (50)	(0 0)	(0	(0	(0	(1 10)	(0 0)	(0		0		1 33)		21
Mass Eye & Ear			2 (29)	(1 5)	(0)	()	1 100)	{	5 17)	(3 30)	(0	(0	(0	(0 (0)		0	(0	: (12
Mass General		. (0	(1 5)	0 (0)	(.0 0 }	(4 13)	(0	(1	3	(0	(0	(0	(0	(0	. (8 6)
Brigham & Women's		(0	ſ	2	(0)	(0	(3 10)	(0	(0	(2 20)	(0	(1	2		0	. (0	(9 7)
ondville		. (0	(0 0)	(0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(l 10)	(0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(1 1 }
Torwood	•	. (1 14)	7	0 0)	0 (0)	(0	(4 13)	. (0	(0 0)	(1 10)	(0	(0	(1 50)	(0 0)	(7 5)
NE Medical Center		. (3 43)	;° (,	3 14)	(0)	(0	7	9 30)	(1 10)	(0	(2 20)	(0	(0 0)	(-	0	(2 67)	(20 16)
Beth Israel		(0	• (6 29)	(3)	(0 0)	(3 10)	(:	2 2 0)	(0		0	(0	(0 0)	. (0	7	0	(12
St. Ann		. (0	(0	0 (0)	(0	(0	(:	3 30)	(-	0 0)	(.	2 20)	(0 0)	(0	(0	(-	0 0)		5 4)
Nashoba (Ayer)		(0 0)	(0	(0)	(0	(0	. (0	. (0	(0	(1	1 00)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(1
Worcester State Hospital		Ę.	0	(0 0)	5 (13)	. (0 0)	(0	(:	1(0)	(0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(6 - 5)
Lindemann MHC		(0 0 }	(0 0)	5 (13)	(0 0 }	(0	. (0 0)	. (0	(.	0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	((O)	- : - (5 4)
Solomon Carter		(0	(0 0)	(3)	(0	(0 0)	(0	(0	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0)	(0 0)	: '(1
Southwood		. (0		0 0)	(0)	,(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0	(0	(0	(0	(1 50).	(0 0)	ı,	1
Bay State Medical Center	٠.	. (0	. (0	(3)		0	(0)	. (0)	(0 }	(0	(0	(0	(0	(0	(I 1)
	TOTAL		7		21	38		1	×	30	1	.0		3		10		1.		2		2	Total s	3	1	28

TABLE 13 RETURN OF DOC INMATES FROM HOUSES OF CORRECTION

HOUSE OF CORRECTI	<u>ON</u>	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State	Medfield
Billerica	# : 	0 (0)	4 (8)	0 (0)	5 (31)	8 (38)	1 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Charles Street		(0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (- 0)	0 (0)	8 (53)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Dedham		(0)	1 2)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	.0 (0)	(0)	0 0).
Deer Island	;; ((0)	9 (17)	0 (0)	4 (25)	10 (48)	3 (20)	(50)	0 (0)
Greenfield		0 (0)	3 (6)	0	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (50)	0 (0)
Lawrence	4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(20)	8 (15)	(0)	3 (19)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
New Bedford		(0)	7 (13)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Northampton		(20)	(2)	(0)	(6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(100)
Pittsfield		(0)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)
Plymouth		(20)	2 (4)	0	(_{(0} 0) _{(1}	(0)	((0)	(0)	(0)
Salem		(0)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Springfield	·. ·	1 (20)	2 (4)	0 (0)	(0)	3 (14)	2 (13)	(0)	(0)
Worcester		(0)	9 (17)	(0)	1 (6)	(0)	(7)	(0)	(0)
Billerica Jail		(20)	(0)	(0)	2 (13)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Lawrence CAC		0 (0)	5 (9)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Springfield OUI		(0)	(0)	1 (100)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	5	53	. 1	16	21	15	2	1

TABLE 13 RETURN OF DOC INMATES FROM HOUSES OF CORRECTION

		Shattuck	•	- "				
HOUSE OF CORRECTION	NCC	Lemuel Sha	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Norfolk PRC	Υο tal
Billerica	l (14)	0 (0)	1 (33)	(0)	3 (20) (0 (0 0) (23 15)
Charles Street	(0)	(0)	0	(0) (0 (0	0 (8 5)
Dedham	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0) (0 (0	0 (1 1)
Deer Island	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (0 (0 0) (0 0) (27 17)
Greenfield	(0)	(0)	0 ('0)	0 (0) (0 (0 0) (0	4 3)
Lawrence	4 (57)	(0)	1 (33)	0 (0) (5 (33) (0 0) (0 0) (22 14)
New Bedford	0 (0)	(0)	0	(0) (0	0 0) (0 (7 4)
Northampton	1 (14)	(0)	1 (33)	7 (70) (2 (13) (4 67) (l (100) (20 (13)
Pittsfield	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 13) (0 0) (0 (3 2)
Plymouth	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0) (0 (0 0) (0	3 (2)
Salem	(0)	(0)	0 ((0) (2 [13) (0 0) (0 (3 2)
Springfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (10) (0 (0 0) (0 (0)	9 (6)
Worcester	(0)	(0)	0	2 (20) (1 7) (2 33) (0	16 (10)
Billerica Jail	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0 (0 (0)	3 (2)
Lawrence CAC	1 (14)	(100)		0 (0)		0 0) (0 (0)	7 (4)
Springfield OUI	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 ((1)
TOTAL	7	1	3	10	15	6	1	157

TABLE 15 TRANSFERS TO HOUSES OF CORRECTION ON A FROM AND AFTER SENTENCE

T	DTAL	8		5		8		16		1		1		1		1		41
Worcester		1 (13)	(0 0)	. (1 13)	(5 31)		0	(0 0)	(1 10 0)	(0 0)	(8 20)
Springfield		1 (13)	(0	(0 0)	(2 13)	(0	. (0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(3 7)
Plymouth		(0)	(1 20)	(1 13)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(3 7)
Pittsfield		(0)	(0	(0 0)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 (0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 2)
New Bedford	. (1 (13)	(0 0)	. (1 13)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(2 5)
Lawrence		0	(1 20)		0	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(2 5)
Greenfield	(0	(0	(0 0)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 2)
Deer Island	(3 (38)	(0)	(1 13)	(l 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(5 12)
Dedham	(1 (13)	(0 0)	(1 13)	. (1 6)	(0 0)	(1	1 0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(4 10)
Charles Street		1 13)	(0 0)	(0 (0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0).	(0 0)	(l 2)
Billerica	. (0 0)	(3 60)	(3 38)	(3 19)	(1	00)	(0	(0 0)	(1	1 00)	(1 l 27)
HOUSE OF CORRECTION		Cedar Junction		Concord		Norfolk		NCCI		SECC		Plymouth		Shirley	!	MHHI		gotal ·

 r O .	((1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	10	0.01	O.F.	21717

₹ - 0.1		ion																				
STATE		Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham	•	Norfolk		NCCI		SECC		Bay State		Plymouth		Shirley		Park Drive		Tot al
Maine	(2 13)	(3 19)	(2 20)	(0 0)	(l 4)	(l 6)	(1	1 00)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	;	9)
New Hampshire	(5 31)	(5 31)	(6 60)	(4 22)	(16 57)	(2	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1	1 00)	ţ	39 36)
Rhode Island	(1 6)	(3 19)	(0 0)	(5 28)	(2 7)	(9 56)	(0 0)	(1	1 0 0)	(1	1 0 0)	(0 0)	(22 20)
Vermont	. (0 0)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 4)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	ť	2 2)
Connecticut	(2 13)	(16)	(2 20)	(4 22)	(2 7)	(3 19)	(0 0)	(0	(0	(0)	(14 13)
New Jersey	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(1 ;
New York	. (0 0)	(1 6)	(0 0)	.(0 0)	(1 4)	(0 0)	. (0	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(2 2)
Pennsylvania	(2 13)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(,	0 0)	(0 0)	(3 3)
Florida	(.	2 13)	Ċ	0 0)	(0 0)	(2 11)	(2 7)	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0 0)	(7 6)
North Carolina	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 4)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(1;
Virginia	(16)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	Ç	1
Alabama	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 1)
Texas	(0	(1 6)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 1)
Arizona	(0	(0	(0 0)	(0	. (1 4)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0 0)	(l I)
California	(0	(0	(0 0)	(1 6)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0)	(1 1)
Hawaii								0														
Puerto Rico																						1
			•					•										'		• . •		

TOTAL

STATE	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Fark Drive
Maine	(, 0)	8 (23)	l (14)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) ;
New Hampshire	· 4 (36)	13 (37)	(5 <u>7</u>)	3 (20)	13 (62)	1 (10)	(0)	(100)	(0)	(100) (39
Rhode Island	2 (18)	4 (11)	0 (0)	5 (33)	2 (10)	7 (70)	1 (10 0)	(0)	(100)	0 22 21.
Vermont	0 (0)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(¹ ₅)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0) 2
Connecticut	2 (18)	5 (14)	1 (14)	4 (27)	3 (14)	(10)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (6)
New York	(0)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(5)	(10)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) 3
Pennsylvania	(9)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1
Florida	(0)	(3)	(14)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 3
North Carolina	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1
Virginia	(9)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Alabama	(0)	(3)	(0)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (2
Texas	(0)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1
California	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(7)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (1
Puerto Rico	(9)	0 0.)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 1
			·							

35 7 15

21

10 1 1

1 103

TOTAL

Glossary

Admission

Court Commitment Includes individuals entering DOC directly from

courts, on forthwith sentences, and on sentences to

be served from and after a county sentence.

Sentence received for an offense committed on B sentence

> parole. An individual is committed on a B sentence after being discharged or re-paroleu from the

original A sentence.

Probation Violator Re-admission of an individual previously released

on a "split" sentence. Court orders balance of

sentence to go forward.

Institutions

MHHI

Cedar Junction Formerly known as Walpole.

Hodder House minimum/pre-release facility for female

offenders at Framingham.

OCCC Old Colony Correctional Center at Bridgewater

NCCI North Central Correctional Institute at Gardner

SECC Southeastern Correctional Center at Bridgewater.

SECC has medium security and minimum security beds, but is considered a medium security facility

for purposes of this report.

NCC Northeastern Correctional Center at Concord.

Massachusetts Halfway Houses, Incorporated is a private non-profit organization that provides facilities to the DOC on a contract basis which includes the following facilities: Brooke House,

Coolidge House, Coolidge II, McGrath House, and

the Temporary Housing Project.

Drug Rehab

Drug rehabilitation programs are specialized prerelease facilities for offenders with drug problems. Includes Meridian House and Spectrum House.

Bridgewater

Includes the Bridgewater State Hospital, the Sexually Dangerous Treatment Center, and the Addiction Center.

Releases

Transfer to House of Correction

Includes DOC and non-DOC inmates transferring or returning to county facilities.

Transfer to another authority

Includes DOC and non-DOC inmates transferring or returning to facilities in other states, and federal facilities.

Parole/Discharge to other authority

Includes paroles to county facilities, out of state facilities.

Parole/Discharge to Sentence

Includes paroles to B sentences, from & after sentences at DOC or non-DOC facilities.

Release to Warrant

Includes paroles and discharges to outstanding warrants, such as parole violation warrants.

Release by Court

Includes sentences that are vacated.

Security Levels

Maximum

Cedar Junction

Medium

Concord, Framingham, SECC, OCCC, NCCI, Norfolk

Minimum

Medfield, NCC, Bay State

State Pre-Release

Boston State, Park Drive, Norfolk PRC, South Middlesex

Contract Pre-Release

MHHI, Drug Rehab, Charlotte House, Hillside PRC

Secure Hospital

Lemuel Shattuck, Bridgewater.