1990 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction

Prepared by:

Linda K. Holt Manager of Operations Research

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael T. Maloney Interim Commissioner

June 1991

PUBLICATION #:16915-61 pgs.-250 cps.-7/91 Approved by State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

This report is a statistical description of the 3,794 individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1990. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was a less than a one percent increase in the number of commitments during the year, from 3,780 in 1989 to 3,794 in 1990. The 3,794 commitments in 1990 represent the highest level of commitments in DOC history.
- There were 1,985 commitments to Cedar Junction (5 percent higher than 1989), 1,104 commitments to Framingham (3 percent lower than 1989), and 705 commitments to Concord (6 percent lower than 1989).
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was an increase of 2 percent in male commitments and a decrease of 3 percent in female commitments from 1989 to 1990.
- The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was five years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year. Sentence lengths for new court commitments were similar in 1989 and 1990, for Walpole and Framingham commitments, but were shorter for Concord commitments.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 49 percent of all male commitments and 9 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 91 percent of all female commitments and 51 percent of all male commitments. From 1989 to 1990 there were increases in commitments for drug offenses and person offenses. There were decreases in commitments for sex offenses, property offenses, and "other" offenses.
- The median age at commitment was 28 years.
- Fifty percent of the commitment population were white, 27 percent were African American, 22 percent were hispanic, and one percent were other races.
- Fifty-four percent of those committed were serving their first adult incarceration and 46 percent had one or more prior adult incarcerations.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	i
Introduction	1
Trends in Court Commitments, 1980 to 1990	1
Figure 1: Court Commitments 1980 to 1990	2
Figure 2: Court Commitments by Institution 1980 to 1990 Table 1: Selected Characteristics of	2
Offenders Committed to the DOC, 1985 to 1990	5
A Description of 1990 Court Commitments	8
Nature of Present Offense	13
Month of Commitment	14
Court from Which Committed	15
County of Court from Which Committed	16
Jail Credit Days	17
Minimum Sentence	18
Maximum Sentence	19
Minimum Sentence by Present Offense: Cedar Junction	20
Maximum Sentence by Present Offense: Concord	21
Type of Sentence by Present Offense: Framingham	22
Sentence Type	23
Present Offense: General Categories	24
Present Offense: Person Offenses	25
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	26
Present Offense: Property Offenses	27
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	28
Present Offense: Other Offenses	29
Number of Charges: Current Offense	30
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility	31

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Personal Background Characteristics	32
Age at Incarceration	33
Sex	34
Race/Ethnicity	35
Citizenship	36
Marital Status	37
Military Discharge	38
Prior Address: Selected Cities/Towns	39
Prior Address: County	40
Prior Address: MSA	41
Occupation	42
Last Grade Completed	43
Criminal History	44
Total Number of Court Appearances	45
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	46
Number of Charges for Property offenses	47
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	48
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	49
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	50
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	.51
Prior House of Correction Incarcerations	52
Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	53
Prior Adult Incarcerations	54
Number of Prior Probations	55
Number of Prior Defaults	56
Age at First Court Appearance	57
Age at First Court Appearance for Alcohol Offense	58
Age at First Court Appearance for Drug Offense	59
Glossary	60

Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1990. The report contains information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics, and criminal history of individuals committed from the courts. Trends in court commitments over the last five to ten years are discussed as well. This report is based on information that was submitted to the Research Division from the three committing institutions and the Board of Probation.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year. If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1990, each court commitment for that individual is counted separately. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1990 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information is helpful when reading the tables in this report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The columns marked "N" represent the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The columns marked "%" represent the percentage of the number of

individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents).

Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. The number of unknown cases is high for some of the personal background characteristics variables. In the narrative, these cases are excluded when discussing percentages.

Trends in Court Commitments, 1980 to 1990

There were 3,794 commitments during 1990, less than a one percent increase from the previous year. Figure 1 shows the number of commitments for the period 1980 to 1990. The number of commitments during 1990 was higher than at any time in the history of the DOC.

Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. Figure 2 shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1980 to 1990. The number of commitments during 1990 was higher than any of the preceding ten years for Cedar Junction. Commitments to Concord and Framingham decreased in 1990 from previous levels.

From 1989 to 1990 changes in commitment levels varied at the three committing institutions. Cedar Junction commitments increased from 1,888 in

Figure 1.
Court Commitments, 1980 to 1990





Figure 2.
Court Commitments by Institution



1989 to 1,985 in 1990, an increase of 97 commitments or 5 percent. Concord commitments decreased from 750 in 1989 to 705 in 1990 a decrease of 45 commitments or 6 percent. Framingham decreased from 1,142 commitments in 1989 to 1,104 in 1990, a decrease of 38 commitments or 3 percent. Overall, male commitments increased by 2 percent and female commitments decreased by 3 percent.

Table 1 shows selected characteristics of offenders committed to the DOC over the last six years, 1985 to 1990. These characteristics are shown separately for each committing institution and for total commitments.

The primary offense for which individuals are committed to the DOC has changed over the past six years. Commitments for drug offenses increased by 243 percent (N=873); commitments for sex offenses increased by 36 percent (N=92); commitments for person offenses increased by 30 percent (N=245); commitments for other offenses increased by 21 percent (N=73); and commitments for property offenses increased 16 percent (N=102). In 1985, 45 percent of DOC commitments were for violent offenses (i.e. person and sex offenses) and 55 percent were for non-violent offenses (i.e. property, drug, and "other" offenses). In 1990, 37 percent of the commitments were for violent offenses and 63 percent were for non-violent offenses.

Commitments for drug offenses increased 243 percent over the six year period (N=873). Drug commitments

increased at all three committing institutions, but most notably at Cedar Junction and Framingham. Drug commitments were up by 399 percent (N=611) at Cedar Junction, by 254 percent (N=244) at Framingham, and by 16 percent (N=18) at Concord.

Commitments for sex offenses increased by 36 percent (N=92) over the period, from 252 in 1985 to 344 in 1990. All three institutions experienced increases in commitments for sex offenses. Commitments for sex offenses to Cedar Junction increased by 45 percent (N=71), commitments for sex offenses to Concord increased by 16 percent (N=15), and commitments for sex offenses to Framingham increased by six commitments, from one in 1985 to 7 in 1990. Commitments for sex offenses involving a minor (rape of child or indecent assault and battery of child) increased from 131 in 1985 to 201 in 1990. Commitments for sex offenses involving an adult victim (aggravated rape, rape, or indecent assault and battery) increased from 118 in 1985 to 141 in 1990.

The number of offenders committed for offenses against the person increased by 30 percent, from 830 in 1985 to 1,075 in 1990. Commitments for person offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 51 percent (N=245) and at Framingham by 10 percent (N=9); and decreased at Concord by 3 percent (N=9) during the past six years.

Commitments for offenses in the "other" offense category increased by 21

percent (N=73) over the past six years. For Framingham, this category includes commitments for prostitution, common night walker, O.U.I., disturbing the peace, or other offenses in this category. For Walpole and Concord, this category includes predominantly weapons offenses. Commitments to Framingham in this category increased by 5 percent (N=16) over the period. Commitments to Cedar Junction for "other" offenses increased by 33 commitments, and those to Concord increased by 24 commitments.

Commitments for property offenses increased 16 percent over the six year period (N=102). Commitments for property offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 18 percent (N=32), at Framingham by 10 percent (N=30), and at Concord by 28 percent (N=40).

Patterns in sentence length over the past six years is considered separately for each committing institution. Upon comparing the distribution of sentence length for Cedar Junction during 1985 with 1990, the proportion of commitments for sentences of less than 5 years stayed about the same, 39 percent in 1985 and 38 percent in 1990, the proportion of commitments for sentences of 5-9 years and commitments for sentences of 10-15 years increased from 39 percent and 11 percent to 42 percent and 14 percent, respectively. Commitments with sentences of 16 years or more (including life), changed from 109 in 1985 (11 percent of commitments) to 114 in 1990 (6 percent of commitments).

Concord sentence lengths changed

from 1985 to 1990. The proportion of 1990 commitments with sentence lengths of less than 10 years increased from 39 percent in 1985 to 55 percent in 1990. The proportion of Concord commitments with sentences of 10 years or more decreased from 61 percent in 1985 to 45 percent in 1990.

Women committed to Framingham can serve either a county, reformatory, or prison sentence. Over the past six years the number of women committed with prison sentences increased 470 percent (N=108), the number of women committed with county sentences increased 22 percent (N=163), and the number of women committed with reformatory sentences increased 74 percent (N=34). Overall, in 1985, 91 percent of Framingham commitments were for county sentences and 9 percent were for state prison or reformatory sentences and in 1990, 81 percent were commitments for county sentences and 19 percent were for state prison or reformatory sentences.

The age distribution of individuals committed to the Department shifted upward over this six year period. In 1985, 62 percent of the commitments were under 30 and 38 percent were 30 years or older. By 1990, 57 percent were under 30 and 43 percent were 30 years or older. This shift in age distribution was most pronounced among commitments to Framingham.

990

Table 1.

Selected Characteristics of Offenders Committed to the DOC, 1985 to 1990

ing Institution							
ander Characteristic	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	
<u>Offense</u>							141 201
<u>inction</u>			•				2
on	482	465	500	509	666	727	344
	158	156	167	194	265	229	
erty	178	155	171	205	225	210	
7	153	232	378	432	685	764	
r	22	25	34	24	47	55	
Total	993	1033	1250	1364	1888	1985	: 159
							328
					•		!84
on	262	258	262	232	289	253	50
	93	99	90	78	124	108	64
ertý	140	143	145	143	161	180	185
f }	110	141	177	106	135	128	
r .	12	21	27	20	41	36	
Total	617	662	701	579	750	705	.53
							:37
<u>nam</u>				4			:52
n	86	66	83	102	117	95	63
	1	10	10	14	10	7	05
erty	303	267	316	332	359	333	7
	96	138	172	239	312	340	
Γ	313	357	316	335	344	329	93
Total	799	838	897	1022	1142	1104	80
_							31
<u>utions</u>							04
ava	830	789	845	843	1072	1075	•
	252	265	. 267	286	399	344	
erty	621	565	632	680	745	723	
	359	511	727	777	1132	1232	
-	347	403	377	379	432	420	
I .	2409	2533	2848	2965	3780	3794	

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	1005	1007	100#	1000	1000	4004
and Offender Characteristic	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	199
Present Offense: Sex Offense		•				
All Institutions					•	
Rape/Assault	118	103	122	117	152	141
Rape/Assault-Minor	131	154	141	155	236	201
Other Sex Offense	3	8	4	14	11	2
Total	252	265	267	286	399	344
Sentence Length					٠	
Cedar Junction - Minimum Sentence	1.	•			•	
Less than 5 years	390	412	479	535	739	759
5 to 9 years	384	400	523	566	766	828
10 to 15 years	110	135	157	156	249	284
16 years or more	49	45	36	44	61	50
Life	60	41	<i>55</i>	63	73	64
Total	993	1033	1250	1364	1888	1985
Concord - Maximum Sentence			•		•	
Less than 5 years	64	71	79	87	129	153
5 to 9 years	179	218	272	167	232	237
10 to 15 years	287	285	258	242	283	252
16 years or more	87	88	92	83	106	63
Total	617	662	701	579	750	705
Framingham - Type of Sentence		•		÷		
County	730	741	765	920	974	893
Reformatory	46	57	65	43	72	- 80
State Prison	23	40	67	59	96	131
Total	799	838	897	1022	1142	1104

Table 1. (cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Age at Incarceration						
Cedar Junction	÷					
19 or younger	61	57	64	63	134	147
20 to 24	258	259	315	331	405	487
25 to 29.	231	250	311	354	476	466
30 to 39	316	320	393	430	596	617
40 and older	127	146	167	186	277	268
Unknown	0	1	0	0	. 0	0
Sub-Total	993	1033	1250	1364	1888	1985
Concord						
19 or younger	91	116	107	93	116	115
20 to 24	213	220	228	181	236	226
25 to 29	127	142	162	128	164	138
30 to 39	139	134	152	129	177	165
40 and older	46	50	52	48	57	61
Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Total	617	662	701	579	750	705
<u>Framingham</u>						
19 or younger	78	47	52	42	49	36
20 to 24	237	234	238	247	247	237
25 to 29	205	242	268	305	368	324
30 to 39	222	244	268	349	390	405
40 and older	57	71	71	79	88	102
Sub-Total	799	838	897	1022	1142	1104
All Institutions						
19 or younger	230	220	223	198	299	298
20 to 24	708	713	781	759	888	950
25 to 29	563	634	741	787	1008	928
30 to 39	677	698	813	908	1163	1187
40 and older	230	267	290	313	422	431
Unknown	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total	2409	2533	2848	2965	3780	3794

A Description of 1990 Court Commitments

This section presents a description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1990. This discussion includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics, and criminal history of the offender. Where appropriate, the three committing institutions are compared.

Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment population, the governing offense is represented. This is the offense for which the person received the longest sentence.

Court of Commitment. Seventyone percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Four courts, Suffolk Superior, Hampden Superior, Middlesex Superior and Worcester Superior, accounted for 51 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 74 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Court. In contrast, 18 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts. Most commitments to Framingham were from municipal and district courts (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk County, Brookline Municipal Court is the lower court of Norfolk County and district courts are lower courts of all other counties).

Jail Credits. Jail credits represent the number of days that the individual was incarcerated while awaiting trial. A large number of jail credits is usually indicative of a serious crime or a low income offender. Seventy-six percent of Cedar Junction commitments had one or more days of jail credits. This contrasts with 50 percent of Concord commitments and 33 percent of Framingham commitments. The median number of jail credits for all commitments was 12. This includes 41 percent (N=1,571) with no jail credits.

Minimum Sentence. Only those individuals who receive a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) receive a minimum sentence. Generally, minimum state prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (for example, some drug offenses carry short prison sentences). The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years. There were 131 commitments to Framingham who received state prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was five years.

Sixty-seven individuals received life sentences during 1990. The 67 life sentences included 28 for first-degree murder, 32 for second-degree murder, 4 for other person offenses, and 3 for sex offenses.

Maximum Sentence. All individuals committed to the DOC have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence.

Generally, maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was five years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum sentence length of less than two and one-half years. Males receiving county sentences are committed to houses of correction. Many females receiving county sentences were committed to Framingham. Of the 1,104 commitments to Framingham, 131 (12 percent) received prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 80 (7 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 893 (81 percent) received county sentences, including 105 committed for non-payment of a fine.

Type of Sentence. Most individuals committed to the Department received simple sentences (34 percent) or concurrent sentences (37 percent). Split sentences are commonly found among Concord (18 percent) and Framingham (23 percent) commitments. Less frequently received are aggregate, forthwith or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (12 percent). Ten percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varied by committing institution. Forty-nine percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 51 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses. In contrast, 9 percent of the

commitments to Framingham were for violent offenses. Most women were committed for drug offenses (31 percent), property offenses (30 percent), or "other" offenses (30 percent).

Offenses against the person accounted for 28 percent of all commitments to the Department varying from 37 percent to Cedar Junction, 36 percent to Concord, and 9 percent to Framingham. The most common offenses against the person were armed robbery (N=366), armed assault (N=257), and unarmed robbery (N=173). There were 145 commitments for homicides in 1990, including 28 for first degree murder, 32 for second degree murder, 59 for manslaughter, and 26 for vehicular homicide.

Sex offenses accounted for nine percent of all commitments to the DOC including 12 percent to Cedar Junction, 15 percent to Concord, and one percent to Framingham. There were 249 commitments for rape during 1990 and 93 commitments for sexual assaults. Of the rapes and sexual assaults, 141 involved adult victims and 201 involved minors. Additionally, there were 2 commitments for other sex offenses during 1990.

Property offenses accounted for 19 percent of all commitments to the Department, varying from 11 percent to Cedar Junction, 26 percent to Concord, and 30 percent to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments; larceny was the most common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 32 percent of all commitments during 1990 varying from 38 percent to Cedar Junction, 18 percent to Concord, and 31 percent to Framingham. There were 515 commitments whose governing offense was a mandatory drug offense.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 3 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 5 percent of Concord commitments and 30 percent of Framingham commitments, or 11 percent of all commitments to the DOC. The most common offenses in this category were operating under the influence (N=88), weapons offenses (N=87), prostitution (N=78), motor vehicle offenses (N=58) and disturbing the peace (N=39).

Number of Charges. Forty-eight percent of the commitments to the DOC had one charge for which they are currently incarcerated, and fifty-two percent were currently incarcerated for more than one charge. The number of charges that resulted in the current commitment ranged from 1 to 75.

Time Until Parole Eligibility. An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2 to 3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was from 10 to 12 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was 3 months or less.

Individuals can have no parole eligibility for a number of reasons. Some

mandatory sentences have no possible release to parole and some sentences are too short for parole eligibility to be established, i.e., less than sixty days. In a small number of cases, individuals can be beyond their original parole eligibility at the time of commitment to the DOC if they were in jail for a sufficient time awaiting sentencing.

Personal Background Characteristics

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. This information is self-reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

Age at Incarceration. The median age of offenders at incarceration was 28 years. Their ages ranged from 16 years to 77 years. The median age of Cedar Junction commitments was 28 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 25 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 29 years.

Sex. All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males. All Framingham commitments are females. During 1990, 29 percent of the commitments were females and 71 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Fifty percent of the 1990 commitment group were white, 27 percent were African American, and 22 percent were identified as Hispanic. There were also 7 Native

Americans and 33 Asians committed to the DOC.

<u>Citizenship.</u> Ninety-three percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and 7 percent were citizens of other countries. Fifty-eight percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 20 percent were born in other states, 12 percent were born in U.S. territories, and 3 percent were U.S. citizens born in other countries.

Marital Status. Most of the commitment group were single (66 percent). Eighteen percent were married, 5 persons were common law at the time of their commitment, 10 percent were divorced, 4 percent were separated, and one percent were widowed.

Military History. Of the offenders committed to Cedar Junction, 14 percent (N=288) reported a history of military service of these 74 percent (N=214) were honorably discharged from the military. Only a small number of offenders committed to Framingham report a history of military service (N=4).

Prior Address. Almost all commitments (94 percent) were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Of these, three communities accounted for 40 percent of the commitments: Boston (N=816), Springfield (N=435), and Worcester (N=276).

Occupation. Of the offenders committed to Cedar Junction, work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (56 percent) and services (32 percent).

Education. The median educational level for this population was grade 12. Fifty-two percent of the commitment group had received a GED or completed high school, including 9 percent with some college education.

Criminal History

In this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations, defaults and probations will be discussed. Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from Superior Court Reports or automated reports prepared by the Board of Probation. These reports identify the number of arraignments and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information.

Court Appearances. The number of court appearances represents the number of separate dates on which an offender was arraigned on new charges. The median number of prior court appearances was eight. The court record is also reviewed for the number of arraignments for a variety of offense types. Sixty-three percent had one or more arraignments for person offenses, 68 percent for property offenses, 16 percent for sex offenses, 66 percent for drug offenses, 21 percent for alcohol offenses, and 6 percent for escape offenses.

Prior Incarcerations. Of the offenders committed in 1990, 44 percent had a history of incarceration for a county sentence; and 9 percent had a history of incarceration for a state or federal

sentence. Fifty-four percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult and 46 percent had one or more prior adult incarcerations.

Prior Probations. Of the offenders committed in 1990, 64 percent had a history of one or more prior periods of probation supervision and 36 percent had no probation supervisions prior to the current commitment.

Prior Defaults. Of the offenders committed in 1990, 69 percent had one or more defaults on their criminal record and 31 percent had no defaults on their criminal record.

Age at Entry into Criminal
Justice System. The age of the individual
at first court appearance, first court
appearance for a drug related offense, and
first court appearance for an alcohol
related offense are shown in the tables.
The median age at the time of first court
appearance was 20 years of age.

NATURE OF PRESENT OFFENSE

MONTH OF COMMITMENT

4 · *		To	Total					
	Ce	dar :	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7,
	Junc	tion						
	N	*	N	78	N	%		
MONTH OF COMMITMENT								
january	201	(10)	62	(9)	110	(10)	373	(10)
February	138	(7)	54	(8)	97	(9)	289	(8)
March	174	(9)	72	(10)	108	(10)	354	(9)
April	169	(9)	58	(8)	95	(9)	322	(8)
May	165	(8)	51	(7)	93	(8)	309	(8)
June	180	(9)	74	(10)	71	(6)	325	(9)
July	119	(6)	30	(4)	82	(7)	230	(6)
August	148	(7)	37	(5)	95	(9)	280	(7)
September	160	(8)	65	(9)	90	(8)	315	(8)
October	175	(9)	75	(11)	110	(10)	360	(9)
November	186	(9)	79	(11)	83	(8)	348	(9)
December	171	(9)	48	(7)	70	(6)	289	(8)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED

		COMMIT	TING :	ENSTIT	UTION		To	tal
	Ce	iar	Cone	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7,
•	junc	tion						
	· N	76	N	7,	N	7,		
COURT FROM WHICH								
COMMITTED								
Barnstable Superior	43	(2)	13	(2)	10	(1)	66	(2)
Berkshire Superior	21	(1)	. 1	(0)	3	(0)	25	(1)
Bristol Superior	128	(6)	26	(4)	11	(1)	165	(4)
Dukes Superior	2	(0)	1	(0)	1	- (0)	4	(0)
Essex Superior	151	(8)	24	(3)	18	(2)	193	(5)
Franklin Superior	26	(1)	3	(0)	0	(0)	29	(1)
Hampden Superior	380	(19)	71	(10)	49	(4)	500	(13)
Hampshire Superior	30	(2)	6	(1)	0	(0)	36	(1)
Middlesex Superior	332	(17)	72	(10)	34	(3)	438	(12)
Nantucket Superior	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0.)
Norfolk Superior	91	(5)	30	(4)	8	(1)	129	(3)
Plymouth Superior	90	(5)	36	(5)	6	(1)	132	(3)
Suffolk Superior	472	(24)	148	(21)	34	(3)	654	(17)
Worcester Superior	218	(11)	91	(13)	29	(3)	338	(9)
Municipal Courts	0	(0)	33	(5)	98	(9)	131	(3)
District Courts	0	(0)	150	(21)	803	(73)	953	(25)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

COUNTY OF COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED

		Total						
	Ce	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7,
•	Junc	tion			•			
	N	2	N	7,	N	7,		
COUNTY OF COURT FROM								
WHICH COMMITTED								
Barnstable County	43	(2)	14	(2)	16	(1)	73	(2)
Berkshire County	21	(1)	6	(1)	3	(0)	30	(1)
Bristol County	128	(6)	53	(8)	118	(11)	299	(8)
Dukes County	2	(0)	1	(0)	4	(0)	7	(0)
Essex County	151	(8)	81	(11)	136	(12)	368	(10)
Franklin County	26	(1)	4	(1)	1	(0)	31	(1)
Hampden County	380	(19)	101	(14)	140	(13)	621	(16)
Hampshire County	30	(2)	8	(1)	14	(1)	52	(1)
Middlesex County	332	(17)	84	(12)	225	(20)	641	(17)
Nantucket County	1	(O)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Norfolk County	91	(5)	38	(5)	- 53	(5)	182	(5)
Plymouth County	90	(5)	40	(6)	47	(4)	177	(5)
Suffolk County	472	(24)	181	(25)	133	(12)	786	(21)
Wordester County	218	(11)	94	(13)	214	(19)	526	(14)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

JAIL CREDIT DAYS

		To	Total						
	Çe	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N _i	7,	
•	Junc	tion							
	N	7.	N	7,	N	Z,		•	
JAIL CREDIT DAYS									
None	478	(24)	354	(50)	739	(67)	1571	(41)	
1 - 10	133	(7)	62	(9)	107	(10)	302	(8)	
11 - 50	220	(11)	96	(14)	161	(15)	477	(13)	
51 - 100	282	(14)	74	(10)	43	(4)	399	(11)	
101 - 150	242	(12)	53	(8)	16	(1)	311	(8)	
151 - 200	176	(9)	36	(5)	13	(1)	225	(6)	
Over 200	454	(23)	30	(4)	25	(2)	509	(13)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

MINIMUM SENTENCE

		To	tal					
	Ce	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	7.
	Junc	tion						
	N	な	N	7	N	7,		
MINIMUM SENTENCE	4							
1 Year	2	(0)	0	(0)	4	(0)	6	(0)
2 Years	35	(2)	0	(0)	1	(0)	36	(1)
3 Years	496	(25)	0	(0)	42	(4)	538	(14)
4 Years	226	(11)	0	(0)	18	(2)	244	(6)
5 Years	3 3 8	(17)	0	(0)	26	(2)	364	(10)
6 Years	196	(10)	0	(0)	9	(1)	205	(5)
7 Years	91	(5)	0	(0)	2	(0)	93	(2)
8 Years	75	(4)	0	(0)	4	(0)	79	(2)
9 Years	128	(6)	0	(0)	10	(1)	138	(4)
10 Years	129	(6)	0	(0)	6	(1)	135	(4)
11 - 12 Years	66	(3)	0	(0)	4	(0)	70	(2.)
13 - 15 Years	89	(4)	. 0	(0)	1	(0)	90	(2)
16 - 19 Years	30	(2)	0	(0)	1	(0)	31	(1)
20 - 24 Years	. 7	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	7	(0)
25 Years or More	13	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	13	- (0)
Life	64	(3)	0	(0)	3	(0)	57	(2)
Indeterminate	0	(0)	705	(100)	973	(88)	1678	(44)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

MAXIMUM SENTENCE

		To	tal					
	Ce	ar	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7,
	Junc	tion		1				
	N	7.	N	₹.	N	7		
MAXIMUM SENTENCE								
Fine	0	(0)	0	(0)	105	(10)	105	(3)
Less Than 1 Month	0	(0)	0	. (0)	41	(4)	41	(1)
Less Than 1 Year	0 1	(0)	4	(1)	438	(40)	442	(12)
1 Year		(0)	27	(4)	230	(21)	258	(7)
2 Years	0	(0)	118	(17)	111	(10)	229	(6)
3 Years	85	(4)	3	(0)	15	(1)	103	(3)
4 Years	20	(1)	1	(0)	3	(0)	24	(1)
5 Years	468	(24)	211	(30)	49	(4)	728	(19)
6 Years	127	(6)	15	(2)	24	(2)	156	(4)
7 Years	.242	(12)	6	(1)	14	(1)	262	(7)
8 Years	75	(4)	4	(1)	2	(0)	81	(2)
9 Years	54	(3)	1	(0)	0	(0)	55	(1)
10 Years	443	(22)	204	(29)	50	(5)	697	(18)
11 - 12 Years	108	(5)	9	(1)	3	(0)	120	(3)
13 - 15 Years	143	(7).	39	(6)	9	(1)	191	(5)
16 - 19 Years	25	(1)	6	(1)	0	(0)	31	(1)
20 - 24 Years	95	(5)	56	(8)	7	(1)	158	(4)
25 Years or More	35	(2)	1	(0)	0	(0)	36	(1)
Life	64	(3)	0	(0)	3	(0)	67	(2)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

HINIMUM SENTENCE BY PRESENT OFFENSE: CEDAR JUNCTION

	-	PRESENT OFFENSE										tai
,	Pers	san	Se		Property			Drug		Other		7
	N	7,	N	Z	N	7,	N	7.	N	7.		
MINIMUM SENTENCE											_	
1 Year	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)
2 Years	18	·(2)	7	(3)	4	(2)	3	(0)	3	(5)	35	(2)
3 Years	147	(20)	30	(13)	41	(20)	241	(32)	37	(67)	496	(25)
4 Years	94	(13)	33	(14)	35	(17)	54	(7)	10	(18)	226	(11)
5 Years	112	(15)	30	(13)	29	(14)	165	(22)	2	(4)	338	(17)
6 Years	64	(9)	29	(13)	30	(14)	73	(10)	0	(0)	196	(10)
7 Years	40	(6)	8	(3)	9	(4)	34	(4)	0	(0)	91	(5)
8 Years	34	(5)	20	(9)	11	(5)	8	(1)	2	(4)	75	(4)
9 Years	42	(6)	. 14	(6)	18	(9)	53	(7)	1	(2)	128	(6)
10 Years	34	(5)	21	(9)	15	(7)	59	(8)	0	(0)	129	(6)
11 - 12 Years	32	(4)	11	(5)	10	(5)	13	(2)	0	(0)	66	(3)
13 - 15 Years	26	(4)	8	(3)	5	(2)	50	(7)	0	(0)	89	- (4)
16 - 19 Years	14	(2)	5	(2)	2	(1)	9	(1)	0	(0)	30	(2)
20 - 24 Years	5	(1)	2	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	7	(0)
25 Years or More	. 3	(0)	8	(3)	1	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	13	(1)
Līfe	61	(8)	. 3	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	64	(3)
Total	727	(100)	229	(100)	210	(100)	764	(100)	55	(100)	1985	(100)

MAXIMUM SENTENCE BY PRESENT OFFENSE: CONCORD

	PRESENT OFFENSE									Total		
	Per	son	S	e x	Prop	erty	Dre	J g	Other		Ŋ	78
	N	₹	N	7,	N	75	N	₹.	N.	7.		
MAXIMUM SENTENCE												
Less Than 1 Year	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(1)	2	(2)	1	(3)	4	(1)
l Year	4	(2)	0	(0)	14	(8)	7	(5)	2	(6)	27	(4)
2 Years	29	(11)	4	(4)	68	(38)	13	(10)	5	(14)	118	(17)
3 Years	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(1)	1	(1)	0	(0)	3	(0)
4 Years	-0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(1)	0	(0)	1	(0)
5 Years	60	(24)	29	(27)	44	(24)	52	(41)	26	(72)	211	(30)
6 Years	8	(3)	1	(1)	2	(1)	. 4	(3)	0	(0)	15	(2)
7 Years	4	(2)	0	(0)	1	(1)	1	(1)	0	(0)	6	(I)
8 Years	2	(1)	1	(1)	0	(0)	1	(1)	0	(0)	4	(1)
9 Years	0	(0)	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
10 Years	92	(36)	36	(33)	31	(17)	43	(34)	2	(6)	204	(29)
11 - 12 Years	4	(2)	3	(3)	2	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	9	(1)
13 - 15 Years	24	(9)	9	(7)	5	(3)	2	(2)	0	(0)	39	(6)
16 - 19 Years	4	(2)	1	(1)	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	6	(1)
20 - 24 Years	23	(9)	24	(22)	8	(4)	1	(1)	0	(0)	56	(8)
25 Years or More	. 0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Total	253	(100)	108	(100)	180	(100)	128	(100)	36	(100)	705	(100)

TYPE OF SENTENCE BY OFFENSE: FRAMINGHAM

	PRESENT OFFENSE									Total		
	Pers	son	Sex		Property		Drug		Other		N	7.
	N	7,	N	7	N	7	N	7,	N	7.		
TYPE OF SENTENCE												
Prison	33	(35)	5	(71)	15	(5)	78	(23)	0	(0)	131	(12)
Reformatory	16	(17)	0	(0)	19	(5)	42	(12)	3	(1)	90	(7)
County	. 46	(48)	2	(29)	299	(90)	220	(65)	326	(99)	893	(81)
Total	95	(100)	7	(100)	333	(100)	340	(100)	329	(100)	1104	(100)

SENTENCE TYPE

		Total						
·	Cedar Junction		Concord		Framingham		. 4	7.
	N	7	N	7	N	78		
SENTENCE TYPE								
Simple Sentence	716	(36)	298	(42)	278	(25)	1292	(34)
Concurrent Sentence	790	(40)	244	(35)	366	(33)	1400	(37)
Aggregate Sentence	38	(2)	8	(1)	85	(8)	131	(3)
Forthwith Sentence	244	(12)	1	(0)	8	(1)	253	(7)
From & After								
Sentence	34	(2)	28	(4)	10	(1)	72	(2)
Split Sentence	163	(8)	126	(18)	252	(23)	541	(14)
Fine	0	(0)	0	(0)	105	(10)	105	(3)
Total	1.985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRESENT OFFENSE: GENERAL CATEGORIES

		Total						
	Cedar Junction		Con	Concord		ngham	N	%
	Ni	%	N	7,	N	7,		
PRESENT OFFENSE								
Person	727	(37)	253	(36)	95	(9)	1075	(28)
Sex	229	(12)	108	(15)	7	(1)	344	(9)
Property	210	(11)	180	(26)	333	(30)	723	(19)
Drug	764	(38)	128	(18)	340	(31)	1232	(32)
Other	5 5	(3)	36	(5)	329	(30)	420	(11)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRESENT OFFENSE: PERSON OFFENSES

	•	Tot	tai					
	Ced	iar 💮	Cond	cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	7,
	Junct	tion						
	N	7	N	7.	N	7,		
PERSON OFFENSES								
Not Applicable	1258	(63)	452	(64)	1009	(91)	2719	(72)
Murder-1	27	(1)	0	(0)	1	(0)	28	(1)
Murder-2	30	(2)	0	(0)	2	(0)	32	(1)
Manslaughter	44	(2)	3	(0)	12	(1)	59	(2)
Vehicular Homicide	16	(1)	8	(1)	2	(0)	26	(1)
Assault w.i. Murder	29	(1)	6	(1)	3	(0)	38	(1)
Accessory to Murder	2	(0)	0	(0)	. 0	(0)	2	(0)
Armed Robbery	262	(13)	95	(13)	9	(1)	366	(10)
Unarmed Robbery	108	(5)	55	(8)	10	(1)	173	(5)
Armed Assault	166	(8)	64	(9)	27	(2)	257	(7)
Unarmed Assault	19	(1)	14	(2)	23	(2)	56	(1)
Mayhem	8	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	9	(0)
Extortion	2	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)	5	(0)
Kidnapping	14	(1)	2	(0)	2	(0)	18	(0)
Civil Rights	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)
Conspiracy	0	(0)	3	(0)	1	(0)	4	(0)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRESENT OFFENSE: SEX OFFENSES

			Total					
	Cedar Junction		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	INSTIT cord	Framingham		N	9
				_		_		
	N	*	N	7	N	78		
SEX OFFENSES								
Not Applicable	1756	(88)	59 7	(85)	1097	(99)	3450	(91)
Rape	44	(2)	20	(3)	0	(0)	64	(2)
Aggravated Rape	32	(2)	1	(0)	2	(0)	35	(1)
Assault w.i. Rape	23	(1)	19	(3)	ō	(0)	42	(1)
Rape of a Minor	102	(5)	45	(6)	3	(0)	150	(4)
Assault w.i. Rape								
Minor	27	(1)	23	(3)	1	(0)	51	(1)
Unnatural Acts	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)
Pornography	. 1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Total .	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRESENT OFFENSE: PROPERTY OFFENSES

			Total					
	Ced	COMMIT		ord	Framin	ngham	Ŋ	7
	Junct	ion						
	N	7	Ŋ	7	N	7,		
PROPERTY OFFENSES								
Not Applicable	1775	(89)	525	(74)	771	(70)	3071	(81)
Arson	9	(0)	17	(2)	3	(0)	29	(1)
Burglary-Armed	20	(1)	7	(1)	0	(0)	27	(1)
Burglary	123	(6)	77	(11)	34	(3)	234	(6)
Burglary Tools	2	(0)	3	(0)	1	(0)	6	(0)
Stealing	0	(0)	0	(0)	68	(6)	68	(2)
Larceny from Person	5	(0)	20	(3)	20	(2)	45	(1)
Larceny	18	(1)	21	(3)	137	(12)	176	(5)
Motor Vehicle Theft	11	(1)	9	(1)	· 7	(1)	27	(1)
Unauthorized Use								
M • V • -	0	(0)	4	(1)	7	(1)	11	(0)
Forgery-Uttering	5	(0)	4	(1)	19	(2)	28	(1)
Common Theft	0	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Fraud .	0	(0)	1	(0)	3	(0)	4	(0)
Recv. Stolen Goods	15	(1)	14	(2)	31	(3)	50	(2)
Property Injuries	. 2	(0)	2	(0)	3	(0)	7	(0)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRESENT OFFENSE: DRUG OFFENSES

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total		
	Сe	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	*		
	Junc	tion						•		
·	N	8	N	7	N	7				
DRUG OFFENSES										
Not Applicable	1221	(62)	577	(82)	764	(69)	2562	(58)		
Poss of Narcotic Drug	0		12		14		26	(1)		
Poss of Heroin	1	(0)	-2	(0)	24	(2)	27	(1)		
Stealing Narcotic Drug	1	(0)	Ō		0		1	(0)		
Presence of Narcotic	0	(0)	0	(0)	5	(0)	5	(0)		
Poss of Syringe	0	(0)	1	(0)	34	(3)	35	(1)		
DUI Narcotics	0	(0)	0		2		2	(0)		
Conspiracy to Violate CSA	20	(1)	6	(1)	15	(1)	41	(1)		
Poss wi Dist No Class	35	(2)	. 5	(1)	12	(1)	52	(1)		
Poss wi Dist Class A	72	(4)	17	(2)	80	(7)	169	(4)		
Poss wi Dist Class B	32	(2)	43	(6)	116	(11)	191	(5)		
Poss wi Dist Class C	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)	- 2	(0)		
Poss #i Dist Class D	1	(0)	2	(0)	7	(1)	10	(0)		
Class A First Offense	9	(0)	2	(0)	3	(0)	14	(0)		
Class B First Offense	122	(6)	17	(2)	3	(0)	142	(4)		
★ Class A Repeat	20	(1)	0	(0)	3	(0)	23	(1)		
* Class B Repeat	9	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	10	(0)		
* Class B PCP/Cocaine	50	(3)	16	(2)	1	(0)	67	(2)		
* Class 8 PCP/Cocaine Repeat	2	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)		
* Marijuana 100-1,999 ibs.	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)		
* Cocaine 14-27 grams	138	(7)	0	(0)	6	(1)	144	(4)		
* Cocaine 28-99 grams	128	(6)	0	(0)	8	(1)	136	(4)		
* Cocaine 100-199 grams	61	(3)	0	(0)	2	(0)	63	(2)		
* Cocaine 200 grams or More	43	(2)	1	(0)	1	(0)	45	(1)		
* Heroin 28-99 grams	5	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	5	(0)		
≠ Heroin 100-199 grams	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)		
* Poss wi School Zone	11	(1)	3	(0)	1	(0)	15	(0)		
* Class B to Minor	2	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	. 2	(0)		
* Cocaine to Minor	1	(0)	0	(0)	. 0	(0)	1	(0)		
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)		

^{*} Mandatory Term of Incarceration

PRESENT OFFENSE: OTHER OFFENSES

		COMMIT		Total				
	Çed	dar	Conc	cord	Framin	ngham	N	%
	Junct							
	N	%	N	%	·N	7,		
OTHER OFFENSES								
Not Applicable	1930	(97)	669	(95)	775	(70)	3374	(89)
Escape	6	(0)	4	(1)	1	(0)	11	(0)
Weapons Offense	45	(2)	29	(4)	13	(1)	87	
Nonsupport	0	(0)	. 1	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Common Nightwalker	0	(0)	0	(0)	31	(3)	31	(1)
Pimping	1	-(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	. 2	(0)
Disturbing the Peace	0	(0)	0	(0)	39	(4)	39	(1)
Prostitution	0	(0)	0	(0)	78	(7)	78	(2)
Motor Vehicle	0	(0)	0	(0)	58	(5)	58	(2)
Leaving the Scene	· 0	(0)	0	(0)	. 5	(0)	5	(0)
Contempt of Court	0	(0)	1	(0)	6	(1)	7	(0)
OUI	2	(0)	0	(0)	86	(8)	88	(2)
Unlawful Poss of		*						
Alcohol	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)	. 2	, (0)
Usury	0	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Habitual Offender	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Trespassing	0	(0)	0	(0)	9	(1)	9	(0)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

NUMBER OF CHARGES: CURRENT OFFENSE

		Total						
	Cedar Junction		Con	Concord		ngham	N	*
	N	7	N	%	N	97		
NUMBER OF CHARGES:								
CURRENT DEFENSE								
One	951	(48)	410	(58)	470	(43)	1831	(48)
Two	442	(22)	123	(17)	216	(20)	781	(21)
Three	227	(11)	65	(9)	141	(13)	433	(11)
Four	145	(7)	27	(4)	106	(10)	278	(7)
Five to Nine	167	(8)	61	(9)	129	(12)	357	(9)
Ten to Nineteen	42	(2)	13	(2)	31	(3)	86	(2)
Twenty or More	11	(1)	6	(1)	11	(1)	28	(1)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

TIME UNTIL ORIGINAL PAROLE ELIGIBILITY

		Total						
	Ce	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7.
	Junc	tion						
	N	78	N	7	N	7,		
TIME UNTIL ORIGINAL PAROLE					•			
ELIGIBILITY								
No PE Date	5 4	(0)	32	(5)	308	(28)	345	(9)
Past PE Date	4	(0)	16	(2)	6	(1)	26	(1)
1 - 3 Months	14	(1)	90	(13)	398	(36)	502	(13)
4 - 6 Months	18	(1)	142	(20)	153	(14)	313	(8)
7 - 9 Months	50	(3)	64	(9)	56	(5)	170	(4)
10 - 12 Months	145	(7)	212	(30)	57	(5)	414	(11)
13 - 18 Months	161	(8)	97	(14)	34	(3)	292	(8)
19 - 24 Months	309	(16)	48	(7)	23	(2)	380	(10)
2 - 3 Y-ears	413	(21)	1	(0)	29	(3)	443	(12)
3 - 5 Years	428	(22)	3	(0)	23	(2)	454	(12)
5 - 10 Years	259	(13)	0	(0)	9	(1)	258	(7)
10 Years or More	153	(8)	0	(0)	7	(1)	160	. (4)
Life	26	(1)	0	(0)	1	(0)	27	(1)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PERSONAL BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

AGE AT INCARCERATION

•	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	. Ce	dar		cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	₹	
	June	tion							
	N	%	N	*	N	ኧ ∙			
AGE AT INCARCERATION									
16	1	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)	
17	15	(1)	16	(2)	3	(0)	34	(1)	
18	54	(3)	45	(6)	12	(1)	111	(3)	
19	77	(4)	53	(8)	21	(2)	151	(4)	
20	91	(5)	37	(5)	29	(3)	157	(4)	
21	102	(5)	61	(9)	41	(4)	204	(5)	
22	75	(4)	50	(7)	46	(4)	171	(5)	
23	100	(5)	37	(5)	53	(5)	190	(5)	
24	119	(6)	41	(6)	67	(6)	227	(6)	
25 to 29	466	(23)	138	(20)	323	(29)	927	(24)	
30, to 34	371	(19)	100	(14)	277	(25)	748	(20)	
35 to 39	246	(12)	65	(9)	130	(12)	441	(12)	
40 to 44	119	(6)	30	(4)	64	(6)	213	(6)	
45 to 49	70	(4)	12	(2)	24	(2)	106	. (3)	
50 to 54	46	(2)	10	(1)	10	(1)	66	(2)	
55 to 59	16	(1)	5	(1)	2	(0)	23	(1)	
60 and Older	17	(1)	4	(1)	2	(0)	23	(1)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

SEX

		COMMITTING INSTITUTION							
•	Ced	Cedar		Concord Framin		ngham	٧	7,	
	Junci	tion							
	N	78	N	7,	N	7.	•		
SEX									
Female	. 0	(0)	0	(0)	1104	(100)	1104	(29)	
Male	1985	(100)	705	(100)	0	(0)	2690	(71)	-
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

RACE/ETHNICITY

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	´ Cedar Junction		Con	oncord Fran		ngham	Ŋ	.7.	
-	N	7,	N	7	N	7			
RACE/ETHNICITY									
White	856	(44)	362	(51)	667	(60)	1895	(50)	
African American	553	(28)	203	(29)	261	(24)	1017	(27)	
Native American	0	(0)	4	(1)	3	(0)	7	(0)	
Asiatic	18	(1)	10	(1)	5	(0)	33	(1)	
Hispanic	548	(28)	126	(18)	168	(15)	842	(22)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

CITIZENSHIP

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	Cedar Junction		Con	Concord Fram		ngham	. N	7,	
	N	%	Ŋ	*	Ŋ	7,			
CITIZENSHIP									
US Citizen-Born in									
Mass.	1023	(52)	438	(62)	735	(67)	2196	(58)	
US Citizen- Born in									
Other State	381	(19)	147	(21)	222	(20)	750	(20)	
US Citizen- Born in									
US Territory	278	(14)	69	(10)	113	(10)	460	(12)	
US Citizen- Foreign									
Born	75	(4)	20	(3)	23	(2)	118	(3)	
Not a US Citizen	228	(11)	31	(4)	11	(1)	270	(7)	
Total -	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

MARITAL STATUS

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
-	Cedar			cord	Frami	ngham	N	8	
	Junction								
	N	7	N	%	N	7,			
MARITAL STATUS									
Married	453	(23)	98	(14)	147	(13)	598	(18)	
Single	1297	(65)	513	(73)	700	(63)	2510	(66)	
Divorced	179	(9)	62	(9)	136	(12)	377	(10)	
Widowed	10	(1)	6	(1)	32	(3)	48	(1)	
Common law	2	(0)	2	(0)	1	(0)	5	(0)	
Separated	44	(2)	24	(3)	87	(8)	155	(4)	
Unknown	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Tota!	
	Cedar		Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7,	
	Junci	tion							
	N	7	N	7	N	Z			
MILITARY DISCHARGE									
No Military Service	1685	(85)	0	(0)	1090	(99)	2775	(73)	
Honorable Discharge	214	(11)	1	(0)	3	(0)	218	(6)	
Dishonorable									
Discharge .	8	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	9	(0)	
Bad Conduct									
Discharge	38	(2)	1	(0)	0	(0)	. 39	(1)	
Medical Discharge	10	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	10	(0)	
Discharge Unknown	18	(1)	1	(0)	0	(0)	19	(1)	
Unknown	12	(1)	702	(100)	10	(1)	7,24	(19)	
Total .	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

PRIOR ADDRESS: SELECTED CITIES/TOWNS

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	Ce	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7,	
	Junction			•		•			
	N	*	N	7	N	7			
PRIOR ADDRESS: SELECTED CITIES/TOWNS					·				
Boston	461	(23)	181	(26)	174	(16)	816	(22)	
Brockton	40	(2)	23	(3)	43	(4)	106	(3)	
Cambridge	32	(2)	10	(1)	8	(1)	50	(1)	
Fall River	28	(1)	15	(2)	34	(3)	77	(2)	
Framingham	17	(1)	1	(0)	28	(3)	46	(1)	
Holyoke	. 44	(2)	-22	(3)	16	(1)	82	(2)	
Lawrence	43	(2)	26	(4)	29	(3)	98	(3)	
Lowell	81	(4)	9	(1)	55	(5)	145	(4)	
Lynn -	· 46	(2)	20	(3)	39	(4)	105	(3)	
New Sedford	52	(3)	17	(2)	49	(4)	118	(3)	
Quincy	17	(1)	11	(2)	14	(1)	42	(1)	
Somerville	28	(1)	5	(1)	6	(1)	39	(1)	
Springfield	265	(13)	65	(9)	105	(10)	435	(11)	
Worcester	115	(6)	42	(6)	119	(11)	276	(7)	
Other Mass	583	(29)	217	(31)	347	(31)	1147	(30)	
Out of State	133	(7)	41	(6)	38	(3)	212	(6)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

PRIOR ADDRESS: COUNTY

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							tal
	Ce	dar		cord	Frami	ngham	N	%
	Junction							
	N	7	N	7,	N	7,		
PRIOR ADDRESS: COUNTY								
Barnstable	40	(2)	13	(2)	13	(1)	56	(2)
Berkshire	19	(1)	7	(1)	2	(0)	28	(1)
Bristoi	117	(6)	48	(7)	105	(10)	270	(7)
Dukes	. 0	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)	3	(0)
Essex	159	(8)	78	(11)	132	(12)	369	(10)
Franklin	11	(1)	3	(0)	5	(0)	19	(1)
Hampden	351	(18)	99	(14)	135	(12)	585	(15)
Hampshire	19	(1)	2	(0)	8	(1)	. 29	(1)
Middlesex	289	(15)	68	(10)	169	(15)	526	(14)
Norfolk-	64	(3)	31	(4)	41	(4)	136	(4)
Plymouth	80	(4)	37	(5)	67	(6)	184	(5)
Suffolk	510	(26)	193	(27)	189	(17)	892	(24)
Worcester	193	(10)	84	(12)	198	(18)	475	(.13)
Out of State	133	(7)	41	(6)	38	(3)	212	(6)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRIOR ADDRESS: MSA

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						Total	
	- Cedar		Con	cord	Framia	ngham	N	%
	Junci	tion						
	Ŋ	%	N	76	N -	7,		
PRIOR ADDRESS: MSA				•				
Boston	825	(42)	306	(43)	382	(35)	1513	(40)
Brockton	48	(2)	25	(4)	50	(5)	123	(3)
Fall River	30	(2)	18	(3)	36	(3)	94	(2)
Fitchburg-Leominster	28	(1)	17	(2)	34	(3)	79	(2)
Lawrence-Haverhill	64	(3)	39	(6)	54	(5)	157	(4)
Lowell	100	(5)	14	(2)	60	(5)	174	(5)
New Bedford	58	(3)	19	(3)	53	(5)	130	(3)
Pittsfield	14	(1)	5	(1)	0	(0)	19	(1)
Providence-Pawtucket								
-Warwick	19	(1)	6	(1)	10	(1)	35	(1)
Springfield	362	(18)	96	(14)	137	(12)	595	(16)
Worcester	125	(6)	50	(7)	138	(13)	313	(8)
Other Mass	179	(9)	69	(10)	112	(10)	360	(9)
Out of State	133	(7)	41	(6)	38	(3)	212	(6)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

OCCUPATION

		Total						
	Cedar		Con	cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	7,
	Junction							
	N	78	N	%	N	7		
OCCUPATION								
Professional	32	(2)	0	(0)	2	(0)	34	(1)
Semi-Professional	33	(2)	0	(0)	. 3	(0)	36	(1)
Business	40	(2)	2	(0)	3	(0)	45	(1)
Sales, Clerical	78	(4)	1	(0)	61	(6)	140	(4)
Manual	909	(46)	15	(2)	52	(5)	976	(26)
Services	518	(26)	15	(2)	127	(12)	660	(17)
Agriculture	1	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)	4	(0)
Armed Services	2	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)
Housekeeper	0	(0)	0	(0)	17	(2)	17	(0)
Student	18	(1)	0	(0)	7	(1)	. 25	(1)
Unknown	354	(18)	671	(95)	830	(75)	1855	(49)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

LAST GRADE COMPLETED

		Total						
	Cedar		Concord		Framingham		N	% -
	Junc	tion						
	N	78	N	7	N	78		
LAST GRADE COMPLETED								
3rd or Less	24	(1)	0	(0)	4	(0)	28	(1)
4th	11	(1)	0	(0)	3	(0)	14	(0)
5th	32	(2)	0	(0)	8	(1)	40	(1)
6th ·	31	(2)	0	(0)	11	(1)	42	(1)
7th	54	(3)	0	(0)	31	(3)	85	(2)
8th	129	(6)	5	(1)	55	(5)	189	(5)
9th	179	(9)	5	(1)	91	(8)	275	(7)
10th	252	(13)	11	(2)	131	(12)	394	(10)
11th	271	(14)	5	(1)	138	(13)	414	(11)
High School Graduate	553	(28)	9.	(1)	380	(34)	942	(25)
GED	247	(12)	5	(1)	123	(11)	375	(10)
Some College	119	(6)	. 3	(0)	65	(6)	187	(5)
College Graduate	55	(3)	1	(0)	22	(2)	78	(2)
Unknown	28	(1)	661	(94)	42	(4)	731	(19)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

CRIMINAL HISTORY

TOTAL NUMBER OF COURT APPEARANCES

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	Cedar		Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7	
	Junc	tion							
	N	%	Ň	7	N	₹.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF									
COURT APPEARANCES					*			•	
1	. 79	(4)	28	(4)	25	(2)	132	(3)	
2	194	(10)	63	(9)	55	(5)	312	(8)	
3	112	(6)	38	(5)	53	(5)	203	(5)	
4	101	(5)	33	(5)	56	(5)	190	(5)	
5	88	(4)	33	(5)	41	(4)	162	(4)	
6 - 8	211	(11)	70	(10)	121	(11)	402	(11)	
9 - 11	176	(9)	63	(9)	110	(10)	349	(9)	
12 - 15	153	(8)	54	(8)	88	(8)	295	(8)	
16 - 20	148	(7)	28	(4)	82	(7)	258	(7)	
21 Or More	223	(11)	41	(6)	141	(13)	405	(11)	
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PERSON OFFENSES

•	COMMITTING INSTITUTION					To	Total	
	Çe	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	Z
	Junc	tion	•					
	N	%	N	2	N	75		
NUMBER OF CHARGES								
FOR PERSON								
OFFENSES								•
None	441	(22)	143	(20)	407	(37)	991	(26)
1	138	(7)	39	(6)	107	(10)	284	(7)
2	128	(6)	50	(7)	74	(7)	252	(7)
3	110	(6)	31	(4)	43	(4)	184	(5)
4	98	(5)	42	(6)	33	(3)	173	(5)
5	59	(3)	24	(3)	26	(2)	109	(3)
6 - 8	174	(9)	61	(9)	44	(4)	279	(7)
9 or More	337	(17)	61	(9)	38	(3)	436	(11)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1096	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							Total	
	Ce	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7.	
	Junc	tion							
·	N	7.	N	8	N	7,			
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES									
None	53 5	(27)	140	(20)	192	(17)	867	(23)	
1	165	(8)	48	(7)	87	-(8)	300	(8)	
2	106	(5)	38	(5)	63	(6)	207	(5)	
3	. 83	(4)	23	(3)	40	(4)	146	(4)	
4	57	(3)	33	(5)	37	(3)	127	(3)	
5	50	(3)	20	(3)	26	(2)	96	(3)	
6 - 8	110	(6)	38	(5)	72	(7)	220	(6)	
9 or More	379	(19)	111	(16)	255	(23)	745	(20)	
Unknown-	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR SEX OFFENSES

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							tal
	Çe	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	4	7,
	Junc	tion						
	N	% .	N	T	N	7		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR SEX OFFENSES	•			•				
None	1194	(60)	349	(50)	724	(66)	2267	(60)
1	57	(3)	16	(2)	30	(3)	103	(3)
2	58	(3)	30	(4)	. 8	(1)	96	(3)
3	44	(2)	12	(2)	2	(0)	58	(2)
4	34	(2)	10	(1)	0	_(0)	44	(1)
5	18	(1)	7	(1)	1	(0)	26	(1)
6 - 3	42	(2)	16	(2)	3	(0)	61	(2)
9 or More	38	(2)	11	(2)	4	(0)	53	(1)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR DRUG OFFENSES

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION							tel
	Cedar Junction			cord	Framingham		N	7,
	Ŋ	*	N	%	N	7		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR DRUG OFFENSES						•		
None	470	(24)	222	(31)	242	(22)	934	(25)
1	130	(7)	52	(7)	82	(7)	264	(7)
2	173	(9)	45	(6)	69	(6)	287	(8)
3	123	(6)	30	(4)	48	(4)	201	(5)
4	122	(6)	23	(3)	59	(5)	204	(5)
5	78	(4)	15	(2)	42	(4)	135	(4)
6 - 8	169	(9)	33	(5)	102	(9)	304	(8)
9 or More	220	(11)	31	(4)	128	(12)	379	(10)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						To	Total	
	Ce	dar		cord	Frami	ngham	N	7.	
	Junc	tion			•				
	Ŋ	78	- N	8	Ņ	*			
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES									
None	1181	(59)	366	(52)	598	(54)	2145	(57)	
1	154	(8)	47	(7)	68	(6)	269	(7)	
2	58	(3)	21	(3)	. 33	(3)	112	(3)	
3	41	(2)	9	(1)	21	(2)	71	(2)	
4	17	(1)	3	(0)	21	(2)	41	(1)	
5	14	(1)	3	(0)	12	(1)	29	(1)	
6 - 8	. 13	(1)	1	(0)	18	(2)	32	(1)	
9 or More	7	(0)	1	(0)	1	(0)	9	(0)	
Unknown,	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1085	(29.)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES

	Ce	COMMIT [*]		INSTIT	UTION Frami	ngham	To:	t=1
	Junc							
	Ŋ	₹	N	7	N	7		
NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES								
None	1383	(70)	426	(60)	731	(66)	2540	(67)
1	58	(3)	14	(2)	32	(3)	104	(3)
2	24	(1)	5	(1)	7	(1)	36	(1)
3	13	(1)	2	(0)	0	(0)	15	(0)
4	4	(0)	4	(1)	1	(0)	9	(0)
5	2	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	3	(0)
5 - 3	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRIOR HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						To	tai
	Cedar Junction		Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	*
	N	7,	N	7	N	ጂ		
PRIOR HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS								
None	880	(44)	298	(42)	342	(31)	1520	(40)
1	207	(10)	65	(9)	164	(15)	436	(11)
2	140	(7)	30	(4)	101	(9)	271	(7)
3	96	(5)	18	(3)	36	(3)	150	(4)
4	53	(3)	12	(2-)	29	(3)	94	(2)
5	36	(2)	14	(2)	30	(3)	80	(2)
6 or More	73	(4)	14	(2)	70	(6)	157	(4)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						To	tal
	Çe	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	%
	Junc	tion						
	Ŋ	7.	N	7	N	X		
PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS							·	
None	1304	(66)	432	(61)	736	(67)	2472	(65)
1	123	(6)	15	(2)	29	(3)	167	(4)
2	39	(2)	4	(1)	3	(0)	46	(1)
3	13	(1)	0	(0)	2	(0)	15	(0)
4	2	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)
5	. 1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
6 or More	3	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)	5	(0)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						Total	
	Cedar			cord	Frami	ngham	N	2
	June:	tion	•					
	N	%	N	7,	N	8		
PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS								
None	846	(43)	295	(42)	334	(30)	1475	(39)
1	196	(10)	62	(9)	162	(15)	420	(11)
2	131	(7)	34	(5)	105	(10)	270	(7)
2 3	117	(6)	19	(3)	37	(3)	173	(5)
4	62	(3)	12	(2)	31	(3)	105	(3)
5	45	(2)	11	(2)	27	(2)	83	(2)
6 or More	88	(4)	18	(3)	76	(7)	182	(5)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

NUMBER OF PRIOR PROBATIONS

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION					To	Total	
	Ce	dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	N	7.
	Junc	tion .						
	N	78	N	7	N	7,		
NUMBER OF PRIOR PROBATIONS								
None	641	(32)	187	(27)	150	(14)	978	(26)
1	373	(19)	118	(17)	209	(19)	700	(18)
2	216	(11)	71	(10)	145	(13)	4.32	(11)
3	107	(5)	41	(6)	83	(8)	231	(6)
4	68	(3)	12	(2)	5 7	(5)	137	(4)
5	34	(2)	9	(1)	48	(4)	91	(2)
6 - 3	36	(2)	9	(1)	50	(5)	95	(3)
9 or More	10	(1)	4	(1)	30	(3)	44	(1)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

NUMBER OF PRIOR DEFAULTS

•	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						To	Total	
•	Ce	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	72		
	Junc	tion							
	N	78	N	7	N	7,			
NUMBER OF PRIOR									
DEFAULTS		_							
None	511	(26)	163	(23)	157	(14)	831	(22)	
1	214	(11)	78	(11)	85	(8)	377	(10)	
2	170	(9)	43	(6)	58	(5)	271	(7)	
3	109	(5)	32	(5)	51	(5)	192	(5)	
4	106	(5)	22	(3)	48	(4)	176	(5)	
5	88	(4)	18	(3)	53	(5)	159	(4)	
6 - 8	153	(8)	43	(6)	120	(11)	316	(8)	
9 or More	134	(7)	52	(7)	200	(18)	386	(10)	
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)	
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)	

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE

•	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						To	tai
		dar	Con	cord	Frami	ngham	Ŋ	7.
	Junc	tion						
•	Ŋ	7	N	7	N	7,		
AGE AT FIRST COURT								
APPEARANCE								
19 or Younger	772	(39)	266	(38)	314	(28)	1352	(36)
20 to 24	309	(16)	96	(14)	211	(19)	516	(16)
25 to 29	164	(8)	38	(5)	119	(11)	321	(8)
30 to 34	108	(5)	21	(3)	67	(6)	196	(5)
35 to 39	57	(3)	16	(2)	35	(3)	108	(3)
40 to 44	35	(2)	6	(1)	18	(2)	59	(2)
45 to 49	20	(1)	3	(0)	4	(0)	27	(1)
50 to 54	. 11	(1)	2	(0)	2	(0)	15	(0)
55 to 59	2	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)	5.	(0)
60 and Dider	7	(0)	2	(0)	0	(0)	. 9	(0)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSE

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION Cedar Concord Framingham						Total N %	
	Junction							
•	N	28	N	7,	N	7,		
AGE AT FIRST COURT		•						
APPEARANCE FOR								
ALCOHOL OFFENSE								
Not Applicable	1181	(59)	366	(52)	598	(54)	2145	(57)
19 or Younger	67	(3)	20	(3)	24	(2)	111	(3)
20 to 24.	120	(6)	38	(5)	61	(6)	219	(6)
25 to 29	. 67	(3)	10	(1)	40	(4)	117	(3)
30 to 34	26	(1)	7	(1)	28	(3)	51	(2)
35 to 39	13	(1)	. 6	(1)	14	(1)	33	(1)
40 to 44	- 6	(0)	2	(0)	5	(0)	13	(0)
45 to 49	4	(0)	1	(0)	2	(0)	7	(0)
50 to 54	0	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	1	. (0)
55 to 59	1	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	[29]
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

AGE AT 1ST COURT APPEARANCE FOR DRUG OFFENSE

	COMMITTING INSTITUTION						Total	
	Cedar		Cancard		Framingham		N	7,
	Junc							
	· 1	7	N	Z,	N	7		
AGE AT 1ST COURT					,			
APPEARANCE FOR								
DRUG OFFENSE								
Not Applicable	470	(24)	222	(31)	242	(22)	934	(25)
19 or Younger	270	(14)	74	(10)	81	(7)	425	(11)
20 to 24	282	(14)	83	(12)	171	(15)	535	(14)
25 to 29	191	(10)	34	(5)	140	(13)	365	(10)
30 to 34	135	(7)	19	(3)	69	(6)	223	(6)
35 to 39	68	(3)	14	(2)	36	(3)	118	(3)
40 to 44	29	(1)	2	(0)	20	(2)	51	(1)
45 to 49	18	(1)	1 2	(0)	8	(1)	27	(1)
50 to 54	14	(1)	2	(0)	2	(0)	18	(0-)
55 to 59	4	(0)	0	(0)	2	(0)	6	(0)
60 and Older	4	(0)	0	(0)	1	(0)	5	(0)
Unknown	500	(25)	254	(36)	332	(30)	1086	(29)
Total	1985	(100)	705	(100)	1104	(100)	3794	(100)

GLOSSARY

MINIMUM SENTENCE/ MAXIMUM SENTENCE

In the tables minimum and maximum sentence lengths are truncated to the closest whole year. For example, a sentence of 5 years 1 day is included in the 5 year category.

OFFENSE

Armed Assault

Includes armed assault with intent to rob, armed assault in dwelling house, assault and battery with dangerous weapon, and assault with dangerous weapon.

Assault-Intent to Murder

Includes assault with intent to murder and attempt to murder.

Assault with Intent to Rape

Includes assault with intent to rape and indecent assault and battery.

Other Person

Includes confining a person for the purpose of stealing, and violation of civil rights.

Rape of Minor

Includes rape of female under sixteen, rape of child, and statutory rape.

Unarmed Assault

Includes unarmed assault with intent to rob, assault, assault and battery, and other assaults.

SENTENCE TYPE

Aggregate

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served consecutively. The sentence length reported reflects the total of all sentences.

Concurrent

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served simultaneously.

GLOSSARY (Cont.)

Fine

Incarceration resulting from non-payment of a fine

for one or more offenses.

Forthwith

A sentence that is to be served effective immediately, regardless of other sentences for

which the individual is currently incarcerated.

From and After

A sentence to be served following release from a

previous sentence.

Simple

One sentence received for a single offense.

Split

A sentence that is divided between a specified period of incarceration followed by probation

supervision.