1987 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction

Prepared by:

Linda K. Holt Manager of Operations Research

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

June 1988

PUBLICATION#:15,604-60 pgs.-250 cps.07-20-88 Approved by State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

This report is a statistical description of the 2,848 individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1987. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was a 12 percent increase in the number of commitments during the year, from 2,533 in 1986 to 2,848 in 1987. The 2,848 commitments in 1987 represent the highest level of commitments in DOC history.
- There were 1,250 commitments to Cedar Junction (21 percent higher than 1986), 701 commitments to Concord (6 percent higher than 1986), and 897 commitments to Framingham (7 percent higher than 1986).
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was a 15 percent increase in male commitments and a 7 percent increase in female commitments from 1986 to 1987.
- The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was eight years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year. Sentence lengths for new court commitments were similar in 1986 and 1987.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 52 percent of all male commitments and 10 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 90 percent of all female commitments and 48 percent of all male commitments. From 1986 to 1987 there were increases in commitments for drug offenses (up 42 percent), property offenses (up 12 percent), person offenses (up 7 percent), and sex offenses (up 1 percent). There were decreases in commitments for other offenses (down 6 percent).
- The median age at commitment was 27 years.
- Fifty-eight percent of the commitment population were white (including white Hispanics); 62 percent were single; 10 percent had been in the military; 43 percent came from the Boston SMSA; most had limited work experience concentrated in the areas of manual labor and services; the median educational level was twelfth grade; and, 41 percent had a self-reported history of drug use.
- Fifty-seven percent were serving their first adult incarceration. The median age at first court appearance was eighteen.

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Page Numbe
Abatanat	
Abstract	i
Table of Contents	li
Introduction	1
Trends in Court Commitments, 1977 to 1987	2
Figure 1. Number of Commitments to DOC, 1977 to 1987	3
Figure 2. Number of Commitments to DOC by Committing	•
Institution, 1977 to 1987	3
Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Offenders Committed to the DOC, 1983 to 1987	7
to the DOC, 1983 to 1987	
A Description of 1987 Commitments	10
Nature of Present Offense	17
Month of Commitment	18
Court From Which Committed	19
County of Court From Which Committed	20
Jail Credits	21
Minimum Sentence	22
Maximum Sentence	23
Sentence Type	24
Present Offense: General Categories	25
Present Offense: Person Offenses	26
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	27
Present Offense: Property Offenses	28
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	29
Present Offense: Other Offenses	30

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Page Number
Nature of Present Offense (Cont.)	· · · · · · · ·
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility Date	31
Age at Incarceration	32
Personal Background Characteristics	33
Sex	34
Citizenship Indicator	34
Race	. 35
Ethnic Indicator	35
Marital Status	36
Military Discharge	36
Prior Address: Selected Cities and Towns	. 37
Prior Address: County	38
Prior Address: SMSA	39
Occupation	40
Last Grade Completed	41
Known Drug Use	42
Criminal History	43
Total Number of Court Appearances	44
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	45
Number of Charges for Property Offenses	46
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	47
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	48
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	49
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	50

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>	Page Number
Criminal History	
Juvenile Commitment Indicator	51
Number of House of Correction Incarcerations	51
Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	52
Number of Prior Adult Incarcerations	52
Number of Juvenile Paroles	53
Number of Juvenile Parole Violations	53
Number of Adult Paroles	54
Number of Adult Parole Violations	54
Total Number of Paroles	55
Total Number of Parole Violations	55
Age at First Court Appearance	56
Age at First Court Appearance for Alcohol Offense	57
Age at First Court Appearance for Drug Offense	58
Glossary	59

Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1987. The report contains information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of individuals committed from the courts. Trends in court commitments over the last five to ten years are discussed as well. The information on which this report is based was submitted to the Research Division from the three committing institutions and the Board of Probation.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year (forthwith and from and after sentences). If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1987 that individual is counted as multiple court commitments. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1987 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information with helpful in reading the tables in this report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a very different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The top number in each cell represents the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The bottom number in each cell appears in parentheses and represents the percentage of the number of individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents).

The author would like to acknowledge Ramon Raagas for his assistance in preparing the graphics that appear in this report.

Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. It is particularly large in some of the personal background characteristics variables (e.g. occupation and drug use). In the narrative, these cases are excluded when discussing percentages.

Trends in Court Commitments, 1977 to 1987

There were 2,848 commitments during 1987, a 12 percent increase from the previous year. Figure 1 (page 3) shows the number of commitments for the period 1977 to 1987. The number of commitments during 1987 was higher than at any time in the history of the DOC. The increase in commitments from 1986 to 1987 was the largest in the last five years, and represents the ninth straight year in which commitments increased.

Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. Figure 2 (page 3) shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1977 to 1987. The number of commitments during 1987 was higher than any of the preceeding eleven years for Cedar Junction and Framingham. Concord commitments peaked in 1982 at 726 commitments.

From 1986 to 1987 increases in commitment levels varied at the three committing institutions. Cedar Junction commitments increased from 1033 in 1986 to 1250 in 1987, an increase of 217 commitments or 21 percent. Concord commitments increased from 662 in 1986 to 701 in 1987, an increase of 39 commitments or 6 percent. Framingham increased from 838 commitments in 1986 to 897 in 1987, an increase of 59 commitments or 7 percent. Overall, male

FIGURE 1 NUMBER OF COMMITMENTS TO DOC 1977 to 1987





FIGURE 2 NUMBER OF COMMITMENTS TO DOC BY COMMITTING INSTITUTION 1977 to 1987



commitments increased by 15 percent and female commitments increased by 7 percent.

Table 1 shows selected characteristics of offenders committed to the DOC over the last five years, 1983 to 1987. These characteristics are shown separately for each committing institution and for total commitments.

In terms of primary offense, the commitment population has changed over the past five years. Commitments for drug offenses increased by 388 percent (N=578), commitments for sex offenses increased by 66 percent (N=106), commitments for property offenses increased by 18 percent (N=99), commitments for other offenses increased by 12 percent (N=40), and commitments for person offenses decreased by one percent (N=10). Thus, in 1983, 50 percent of DOC commitments were for violent offenses (i.e. person and sex offenses) and 50 percent were for non-violent offenses (i.e. property, drug, and "other" offenses). In 1987, 39 percent of the commitments were for violent offenses and 61 percent were for non-violent offenses.

Commitment for drug offenses showed the greatest increase over the period. Drug commitments increased at all three committing institutions. Drug commitments were up by 552 percent (N=320) at Cedar Junction, by 321 percent (N=135) at Concord, and by 251 percent (N=123) at Framingham.

Commitments for sex offenses increased by 66 percent (N=106) over the period, from 161 in 1983 to 267 in 1987. All institutions experienced increases in commitments for sex offenses: Cedar Junction increased by 56 percent (N=60), Concord increased by 88 percent (N=42), and Framingham increased by 67 percent (N=4).

Commitments for property offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 35 percent (N=44) and at Framingham by 38 percent (N=87). Commitments for property offenses decreased at Concord by 18 percent (N=32).

Commitments for offenses in the "other" offense category increased by 12 percent (N=40) over the past five years. This growth was concentrated among the Framingham group where many women were committed for prostitution, O.U.I., disturbing the peace, or other offenses in this category. Commitments to Framingham in this category increased by 15 percent (N=41) over the period.

The number of offenders committed for offenses against the person declined from 855 in 1983 to 845 in 1987. This represents a decline of one percent (N=10), and was the only offense category where commitments declined over the period. Commitments for person offenses increased at Cedar Junction by 17 percent (N=72), and at Framingham by 11 percent (N=8) and decreased at Concord by 26 percent (N=90) during the past five years.

Patterns in sentence length over the past five years is considered separately for each committing institution. With the exception of lifers, there have been increases in all categories of sentence length at Cedar Junction during that period. There were decreases in all sentence length categories at Concord with the exception of the 5 to 9 year category. In 1983, 29% of all Concord commitments received sentences of 5 to 9 years. By 1987, 39% of all Concord commitments received sentences of that length. Women committed to Framingham can be serving either a county, reformatory, or prison sentence. Over the past five years the number of women committed with prison sentences increased 179 percent (N=43), the number of women committed with county sentences increased 44 percent (N=235), and the number of women committed with reformatory sentences decreased by 19 percent (N=15). Overall, in 1983, 84 percent of Framingham commitments were serving county sentences and 16 percent were serving state prison or reformatory sentences and in 1987, 85 percent were serving county sentences and 15 percent were serving state sentences.

The age distribution of individuals committed to the Department shifted

upward over this six year period. In 1983, 72 percent of the commitments were under 30 and 28 percent were 30 years or older. In contrast, by 1987, 61 percent were under 30 and 39 percent were 30 years or older. This shift in age distribution occurred at all three committing institutions.

Table 1.

Selected Characteristics of Offenders

Committed to the DOC,

1983 to 1987

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	c 1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Present Offense					•
Cedar Junction					
Person	428	431	482	465	500
Sex	107	140	158	156	167
Property	127	131	178	155	171
Drug	58	108	153	232	378
Other	35	17	22	25	34
Sub-Total	755	827	993	1033	1250
Concord					
Person	352	329	262	258	262
Sex	48	81	93	99	90
	177	136	140	143	145
Property	42	71	110	141	177
Drug Other	27	26	110	21	27
			617	662	701
Sub-Total	646	643	617	662	701
Framingham		:			
Person	75	82	86	66	83
Sex	6	6	1	10	10
Property	229	270	303	267	316
Drug	49	82	96	138	172
Other	275	292	313	357	316
Sub-Total	634	732	799	838	897
					•
All Institutions					
Person	855	842	830	789	845
Sex	161	227	252	265	267
Property	533	537	621	56 5	632
Drug	149	261	359	511	727
Other	337	335	347	403	377
Total	2035	2202	2409	2533	2848

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteris	tic 1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
Sentence Length					
Cedar Junction - Minimun	n Sentence				
Less than 5 years	219	296	390	412	479
5 to 9 years	329	321	384	400	523
10 to 15 years	120	114	110	135	- 157
16 years or more	30	43	49	45	36
Life	57	53	60	41	55
Total	755	827	993	1033	1250
Concord - Maximum Sente	ence	•			
Less than 5 years	83	95	64	71	79
5 to 9 years	189	183	179	218	272
10 to 15 years	260	253	287	285	258
16 years or more	114	112	87	88	92
Total	646	643	617	662	701
ramingham - Type of Ser	itence			÷	
County	530	655	730	741	765
Reformatory	80	52	46	57	. 65
State Prison	24	25	23	40	.67
Total	634	732	799	838	897

Table 1. (Cont.)

Committing Institution and Offender Characteristic	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
					
Age at Incarceration			· -		
Cedar Junction					
19 or younger	69	46	61	<i>5</i> 7	64
20 to 24	212	248	258	259	315
25 to 29	182	224	231	250	311
30 to 39	197	229	316	320	393
40 and older	95	80	127	146	167
Unknown	0	0	0	1	0
Sub-Total	755	827	993	1033	1250
	•				•
Concord		:			
19 or younger	151	113	91	116	107
20 to 24	253	251	213	220	228
25 to 29	142	147	127	142	162
30 to 39	85	101	139	134	152
40 and older	14	30	46	50	52
Unknown	1	1	1	0	0
Sub-Total	646	643	617	662	701
			V2.	002	,
Framingham					
19 or younger	- 67	50	78	47	52
20 to 24	214	226	237	234	238
25 to 29	168	214	205	242	268
30 to 39	139	166	222	244	268
40 and older	46	76	57	71	71
Unknown	0	ő	ő	0	0
Sub-Total	634	732	799	838	. 89 7
	•			050	057
All Institutions			er e e		
19 or younger	287	209	230	220	223
20 to 24	679	725	708	713	781
25 to 29	492	585	763 563	634	741
30 to 39	421	496	677	698	813
40 and older	155	496 186	230	267	290
Unknown	1)) 		4	267 1	12
Total	2035	2202	2600 I	1 2522	2040
I OFT	2033	2202	2409	2533	2848

A Description of 1987 Court Commitments

This section presents a general description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1987. This discussion includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of the offender. Where appropriate, the three committing institutions are compared.

Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment population, the governing offense, that is the offense for which the person received the longest sentence, is considered.

Court of Commitment. Seventy-two percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Three courts, Suffolk Superior, Middlesex Superior and Worcester Superior, accounted for 46 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 89 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Courts. In contrast, 18 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts. Most commitments to Framingham were from municipal and district courts (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk County and district courts are lower courts of all other counties with the exception of Brookline Municipal Court in Norfolk County).

Jail Credits. Jail credits measure the number of days that the individual was incarcerated while awaiting trial. A large number of jail credits is usually indicative of a serious crime or a low income offender. Seventy-four percent of Cedar Junction commitments had one or more days of jail credits. This contrasts with 58 percent of Concord commitments and 34 percent of Framingham

commitments. The median number of days of jail credits for all commitments was eight.

Minimum Sentence. Only those individuals who receive a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) receive a minimum sentence. Generally, minimum prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (some drug offenses carry short prison sentences, for example). The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years. There were 67 commitments to Framingham who received prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was four years.

Fifty-six individuals received life sentences during 1987, including one woman. The 56 life sentences included 29 for first-degree murder, 24 for second-degree murder, one for armed robbery and two for aggravated rape.

Maximum Sentence. All individuals committed to the Department have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence. Generally, maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was eight years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum sentence length of less than two and one-half years. Males receiving county sentences are committed to houses of correction. In 1987 there were 32 females committed to houses of correction. All other females receiving county sentences were committed to Framingham. Of the 897 commitments to Framingham, 67 (8 percent) received prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 65 (7 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 765 (85 percent) received county sentences, including 77 committed for non-payment of a fine.

Type of Sentence. Most individuals committed to the Department received simple sentences (35 percent) or concurrent sentences (34 percent). Less frequently received are aggregate, forthwith or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (16 percent); split sentences are commonly found among Concord (23 percent) and Framingham (22 percent) commitments; and nine percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varies by committing institution. Fifty-three percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 50 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses. In contrast, ten percent of the commitments to Framingham were for violent offenses. Most women were committed for property offenses (35 percent) or offenses in the "other" category (35 percent).

Offenses against the person accounted for 30 percent of all commitments to the Department and ranged from 40 percent to Cedar Junction, 37 percent to Concord, and 9 percent to Framingham. The most common offenses against the person were armed robbery (N=295), armed assault (N=169), and unarmed robbery (N=127). There were 136 commitments for homicides in 1987, including 29 for first degree murder, 25 for second degree murder and 82 for manslaughter.

Sex offenses accounted for nine percent of all commitments to the DOC including 13 percent to Cedar Junction and Concord, and one percent to Framingham. There were 215 commitments for rape during 1987 and 48 commitments for sexual assaults. Of the rapes and sexual assaults, 122 involved adult victims and 141 involved minors. Additionally, there were four commitments for other sex offenses during the year.

Property offenses accounted for 22 percent of all commitments to the Department, and ranged from 14 percent to Cedar Junction, 21 percent to

Concord, and 35 percent to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments; larceny was the most common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 26 percent of all commitments during 1987 ranging from 30 percent to Cedar Junction, 25 percent to Concord, and 19 percent to Framingham. The most common drug offenses were violation of the Controlled Substance Act with a Class B substance (N=338) or Class A substance (N=186). The Class B substance category includes amphetamines, cocaine, barbiturates, and other substances. The Class A substance category includes heroin.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 3 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 4 percent of Concord commitments and 35 percent of Framingham commitments or 13 percent of all commitments to the DOC. The most common offenses in this category were operating under the influence (N=100), prostitution (N=99), disturbing the peace (N=45), weapons offenses (N=39), and motor vehicle offenses (N=37).

Time Until Parole Eligibility. An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. Over half of all offenders will be released at their original parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2 to 3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was from 5 to 7 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was 3 months or less.

Individuals can have no parole eligibility for a number of reasons. Some mandatory sentences have no possible release to parole and some sentences are too short for parole eligibility to be established, i.e., less than sixty days. In a small number of cases individuals can be beyond their original parole eligibility at the time of commitment to the DOC if they were in jail for a sufficient time awaiting sentencing.

Age At Incarceration. The median age of offenders at incarceration was 27 years. Their ages ranged from 16 years to 77 years. The median age of Cedar Junction commitments was 28 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 25 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 27 years.

Personal Background Characteristics

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. Most of this information is self-reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

<u>Sex.</u> All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males, all Framingham commitments are females. During 1987, 31 percent of the commitments were females and 69 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Fifty-eight percent of the 1987 commitment group were white (including white Hispanics), 32 percent were black (including black Hispanics), and nine percent were identified as Hispanic (with no other race specified). There were also 8 Native Americans and 14 Asians committed to the DOC. Eighteen percent of the commitment group were Hispanic. This includes white Hispanics, black Hispanics and Hispanics whose race was otherwise not identified.

<u>Citizenship.</u> Ninety-five percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and five percent were citizens of other countries. Sixty-one percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 21 percent were born in other states, 11 percent were born in U.S. territories, and 2 percent were U.S. citizens born in other countries.

Marital Status. Most of the commitment group were single (62 percent). Eighteen percent were married at the time of their commitment, 11 percent divorced, seven percent separated, one percent widowed, and one percent commonlaw.

Military History. Ten percent of the commitment group had a history of military service and 90 percent had no military service. Seventy-one percent of the known veterans were honorably discharged from military service.

<u>Prior Address.</u> Almost all commitments (94 percent) were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Three communities accounted for more than 100 commitments each: Boston (N=683), Worcester (N=281), and Springfield (N=168).

Occupation. Most of the individuals committed to the Department had previous work experience. This work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (44 percent) and services (30 percent).

<u>Education</u>. The median educational level for this population was 12th grade. Fifty-one percent of the commitment group had completed at least high school, including 12 percent with some college education.

<u>Drug Use.</u> Forty-one percent of the commitments reported a history of prior drug use; including eight percent reporting a history of heroin use. Drug use history was generally based on offender self-reports.

But the second of the second

Criminal History

Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from reports prepared by the Board of Probation and records submitted by District Courts. These reports identify the number of court appearances and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information. In

this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations and paroles will be discussed.

<u>Prior Court Appearances.</u> The median number of prior court appearances was seven. Sixty-five percent had court appearances for person offenses, 72 percent for property offenses, 16 percent for sex offenses, 44 percent for narcotics offenses, 22 percent for alcohol offenses, and 6 percent for escape offenses.

Prior Incarcerations. Eleven percent had a history of one or more commitments to a juvenile authority; 37 percent had a history of incarceration in a county facility; and 14 percent had a history of incarceration in a state or federal facility. Fifty-seven percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult and forty-three percent had been previously incarcerated as an adult.

Parole History. The number of paroles and parole violations that an individual experienced prior to this commitment are shown on pages 53 to 55. Nine percent of the commitment group experienced paroles as an adult or juvenile in the past. Fifty-one percent of those with a history of paroles also had one or more parole violations.

Age at Entry Into Criminal Justice System. The age of the individual at first court appearance, first court appearance for a drug related offense, and first court appearance for an alcohol related offense are shown on pages 56 to 58. The median age at the time of first court appearance was 18 years of age.

NATURE OF PRESENT OFFENSE

MONTH OF COMMITMENT	CEDAR JCT	concord	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
January	104	66	61	231
	(8)	(9)	(7)	(8)
February	124	60	69	253
	(10)	(9)	(8)	(9)
March	137	68	83	288
	(11)	(10)	(9)	(10)
April	135	65	101	301
	(11)	(9)	(11)	(11)
May	87	54	82	223
	(7)	(8)	(9)	(8)
June	120	72	85	277
	(10)	(10)	(9)	(10)
July	77	57 (8)	54 (6)	188
August	65	29	66	16 0
	(5)	(4)	(7)	(6)
September	100	52	81	233
	(8)	(7)	(9)	(8)
October	121	81	83	285
	(10)	(12)	(9)	(10)
November	93	56	69	218
	(7)	(8)	(8)	(8)
December	87 (7)	41 (6)	63 (7)	191 (7)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

		•		
COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable	23	7 (1)	4 (0)	34 (1)
Berkshire	21 (2)	6 (1)	1 (0)	28 (1)
Bristol	78 (6)	36 (5)	16 (2)	130 (5)
Essex	107 (9)	35 (5)	12	154 (5)
Franklin	15 (1)	5 (1)	0 (0)	20 (1)
Hampden	156 (12)	21 (3)	20 (2)	197 (7)
Hampshire	22 (2)	7 (1)	(0)	30 (1)
Middlesex	235 (19)	121 (17)	26 (3)	382 (13)
Nantucket	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
Norfolk	52 (4)	17 (2)	2 (0)	71 (2)
Plymouth	51 (4)	24 (3)	2 (0)	77
Suffolk	365 (29)	167 (24)	49 (5)	581 (20)
Worcester	125 (10)	178 (25)	30	333 (12)
Municipal Courts	(0)	23	106 (12)	129 (5)
District Courts	(0)	53 (8)	628 (70)	681 (24)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

COUNTY OF COURT FROM				
WHICH COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable	23 (2)	7 (1)	15 (2)	45 (2)
Berkshire	21 (2)	6 (1)	(0)	30 (1)
Bristol	78 (6)	46 (7)	77 (9)	201 (7)
Dukes	0 (0)	1 (0)	2 (0)	(0)
Essex	107 (9)	45 (6)	74 (8)	226 (8)
Franklin	15 (1)	5 (1)	1 (0)	(21) ··· (
Hampden	156 (12)	21 (3)	93 (10)	270 (9)
Hampshire	22 (2)	7 (1)	7 (1)	36 (1)
Middlesex	235 (19)	136 (19)	194 (22)	565 (20)
Nantucket	0 (0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)
Norfolk	52 (4)	18	37 (4)	107 (4)
Plymouth	51 (4)	26 (4)	31 (3)	108
Suffolk	365 (29)	193 (28)	160 (18)	718 (25)
Worcester	125 (10)	189 (27)	203 (23)	517 (18)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

JAIL CREDITS		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		328 (26)	292 (42)	591 (66)	1211 (43)
1 to 10		79 (6)	73 (10)	111	263 (9)
11 to 50		115 (9)	94 (13)	129 (14)	338 (12)
51 to 100		130 (10)	74 (11)	21 (2)	225 (8)
101 to 150		131 (10)	76 (11)	15 (2)	222 (8)
151 to 200	elem Telling Turk	136 (11)	44 (6)	10 (1)	190 (7)
Over 200		331 (26)	48 (7)	20 (2)	399 (14)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

MINIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
l Year	2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)
2 Years	25 (2)	0 (0)	(0)	25
3 Years	294 (24)	0 (0)	27 (3)	321 (11)
4 Years	158 (13)	(0)	10	168 (6)
5 Years	176 (14)	0 (0)	7 (1)	183 (6)
6 Years	132 (11)	0 (0)	(1)	142 (5)
7 Years	78 (6)	(0)	(0)	81 (3)
8 Years	54 (4)	0 (0)	5 (1)	59 (2)
9 Years	83 (7)	(0)	(0)	87 (3)
10 Years	70 (6)	(0)	(0)	70 (2) 52
ll to 12 Years	52 (4)	(0)	(0)	(2) 35
13 to 15 Years	35 (3)	(0)	(0)	(1) 20
16 to 19 Years	20 (2)	(0)	(0)	(1) 10
20 to 24 Years	10 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0) 6
25 Years or More	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) 56
Life	55 (4)	(0)	1 (0) 830	(2) 1531
Indeterminate	(0)	701 (100)	(93)	(54)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

MAXIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Fine	0 (0)	0 (0)	77 (9)	77
Less Than 1 Month	0 (0)	0 (0)	52 (6)	52 (2)
Less Than l Year	0 (0)	0 (0)	419 (47)	419 (15)
l Year	0 (0)	8 (1)	169 (19)	177
2 Years	0 (0)	69 (10)	60	129 (5)
3 Years	9 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)
4 Years	4 (0)	(0)	(0)	7 (0)
5 Years	289 (23)	256 (37)	50 (6)	595 (21)
6 Years	66 (5)	12 (2)	(0)	81 (3)
7 Years	148 (12)	3 (0)	13	164 (6)
8 Years	42 (3)	(0)	(0)	(2)
9 Years	41 (3)	(0)	(0)	45 (2)
10 Years	311 (25)	206 (29)	32 (4)	549 (19)
11 to 12 Years	80 (6)	19 (3)	(0)	100
13 to 15 Years	95 (8)	33 (5)	(0)	132 (5)
16 to 19 Years	9 (1)	10	(0)	20 (1)
20 to 24 Years	76 (6)	81 (12)	(1)	164
25 Years or More	25 (2)	(0)	(0)	26 (1)
Life	55 (4)	(0)	(0)	56 (2)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

AR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL
10 275 303 988 33) (39) (34) (35)
157 208 293 958 37) (30) (33) (34)
22 25 13 60 2) (4) (1) (2)
$\begin{pmatrix} 174 & 0 & 1 & 175 \\ 14) & (0) & (0) & (6) \end{pmatrix}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
28 31 13 72 2) (4) (1) (3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
125 160 197 482 10) (23) (22) (17)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
250 701 897 28 4 8
33 0 0 0 3 3) (0) (0) (28 31 13 7 2) (4) (1) (1 2 0 0 (125 160 197 48 10) (23) (22) (1 0 0 77 7 0) (0) (9)

PRESENT OFFENSE: GENERAL CATEGORIES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Person	500 (40)	262 (37)	83 (9)	845 (30)
Sex	167 (13)	9 0 (13)	10	26 7 (9)
Property	171 (14)	145 (21)	316 (35)	632 (22)
Drug	378 (30)	177 (25)	172 (19)	727 (26)
Other	34	27 (4)	316 (35)	377 (13)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRESENT OFFENSE: PF	ERSON OFFENSES		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Person Offense			750 (60)	439 (63)	814 (91)	2003
Murder 1			29 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	29 (1)
Murder 2			23 (2)	0 (0)	2 (0)	25 (1)
Manslaughter			64 (5)	7 (1)	(1)	82 (3)
Assault-Intent to Murder			32 (3)	(1)	(0)	43 (2)
Armed Robbery			171 (14)	114 (16)	10	295 (10)
Unarmed Robbery			65 (5)	55 (8)	7 (1)	127 (4)
Armed Assault			89 (7)	54 (8)	26 (3)	169 (6)
Unarmed Assault			5 (0)	14 (2)	24 (3)	43 (2)
Extortion			(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Kidnapping			(0)	5 (1)	(0)	10
Civil Rights	•		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Conspiracy			6 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Other Person			9 (1)	(0)	(0)	10
	то	TAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRESENT OFFENSE: SEX OFFENSE	<u>s</u> ,	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Mon-Cau acc		1083	03.7	· ·	
Non-Sex Offense		(87)	611 (87)	887 (99)	2581 (91)
Rape		35 (3)	15 (2)	(0)	50
Aggravated Rape		41 (3)	9 (1)	(0)	50
Assault w.i. Rape		(1)	10	(0)	22
Rape of Minor		68 (5)	43 (6)	(0)	115
Assault w.i. Rape Minor		(1)	12 (2)	(0)	. 26 (l)
Other Sex Offense		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRESENT OFFENSE: PROPERTY OFFENSES	CEDAR	JCT CONCOR	D FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Property Offense	1079 (86)	556 (79)	581 (65)	2216 (78)
Arson	11 (1)	13 (2)	3 (0)	27
Burglary-Armed	13 (1)	14 (2)	0 (0)	27 (1)
Burglary	109 (9)	60 (9)	24 (3)	193
Burglary Tools	2 (0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	4 (0)
Stealing	0 (0)	(0)	5 7 (6)	58 (2)
Larceny-Person	(0)	7 (1)	16 (2)	27 (1)
Larceny	11 (1)	12 (2)	144 (16)	167 (6)
Vehicle Theft	9 (1)	12	15 (2)	36 (1)
orgery-Uttering	5 (0)	6 (1)	28 (3)	39 (1)
raud	2 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	4 (0)
tolen Goods	(0)	13 (2)	25 (3)	41 (1)
roperty Injuries	(0)	5 (1)	2 (0)	9 (0)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRESENT OFFENSE: DRUG OFFENSES	CEDAR J	CT CONCORI) FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Drug Offense	872 (70)	524 (75)	725 (81)	2121 (74)
Presence of Narcotics	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)
Possession of Syringe	1 (0)	0 (0)	23 (3)	24 (1)
Controlled Substance- No Class Specified	57 (5)	83 (12)	28 (3)	168 (6)
Controlled Substance- Class A	97	35 (5)	5 4 (6)	186 (7)
Controlled Substance- Class B	221 (18)	58 (8)	59 (7)	338
Controlled Substance- Class C	(0)	(0)	(0)	3 (0)
Controlled Substance- Class D	(0)	(0)	(0)	5 (0)
TOTA	L 1250	701	897	2848

PRESENT OFFENSE: OTHER OFFENSES		CEDAR JÇT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Non-Other Offense		1216 (97)	674 (96)	581 (65)	2471 (87)
Escapes		13	3 (0)	0 (0)	16 (1)
Weapons		18	16 (2)	5 (1)	39 (1)
Disturbing the Peace		0 (0)	1 (0)	44 (5)	45 (2)
Prostitution	: :	0 (0)	0 (0)	99 (11)	99
Motor Vehicle Offense		(0)	(0)	36 (4)	37
Contempt of Court		(0)	(0)	4 (0)	5 (0 ₀)
Violating Court Order		0 (0)	(0)	2 (0)	3
Operating Under Influence		(0)	(0)	99 (11)	100 (4)
Deriving Support from Prostitute		(0)	0 (0)	(0)	2 (0)
Trespass		(0)	(0)	15 (2)	15 (1)
Other		(0)	(1)	12 (1)	16 (1)
TOTA	L ·	1250	701	897	2848

TIME UNTIL ORIGINA	AL PAROLE	CEDAR JCT	CANGAR-		
ELIGIBILITY DATE		OBDAR JUI	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No P.E. Date		1 (0)	3 (0)	260 (29)	264 (9)
D		7	7.4		
Past P.E. Date		(1)	14 (2)	5 (1)	26 (1)
1-3 Months		8 (1)	144 (21)	376 (42)	528 (19)
4-6 Months		18	128 (18)	127 (14)	273 (10)
7-9 Months		36 (3)	55 (8)	29 (3)	120 (4)
10-12 Months		115 (9)	185 (26)	36 (4)	336 (12)
13-18 Months		106 (8)	90 (13)	23 (3)	219 (8)
19-24 Months		206 (16)	67 (10)	20 (2)	293 (10)
2-3 Years		242 (19)	9 (1)	9 (1)	260 (9)
3-5 Years		241 (19)	5 (1)	8 (1)	254 (9)
5-10 Years		186 (15)	1 (0)	3 (0)	190 (7)
10 Years or More		55 (4)	0 (0)	(0)	56 (2)
Life		29 (2)	(0)	0 (0)	29 (1)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

		•	•		
AGE AT INCARCERATION		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
16	· ·	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
17		3 (0)	18	4 (0)	25 (1)
18		22 (2)	39 (6)	23 (3)	84 (3)
19		39 (3)	49 (7)	25 (3)	113
20		50 (4)	50 (7)	23 (3)	123 (4)
21		67 (5)	41 (6)	31 (3)	139 (5)
22		63 (5)	46 (7)	53 (6)	162 (6)
23		76 (6)	42 (6)	56 (6)	174 (6)
24		59 (5)	49 (7)	75 (8)	183 (6)
25		65 (5)	41 (6)	59 (7)	165 (6)
26 to 29		246 (20)	121 (17)	209 (23)	576 (20)
30 to 39		393 (31)	152 (22)	268 (30)	813 (29)
40 to 49		130 (10)	33 (5)	56 (6)	219 (8)
50 to 59		33 (3)	12 (2)	11 (1)	56 (2)
60 or Older		(0)	7 (1)	(0)	15 (1)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PERSONAL BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

SEX		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Male		1250 (100)	701 (100)	0 (0)	1951 (69)
Female		(0)	(0)	897 (100)	897 (31)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

CITIZENSHIP INDICATOR		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
U.S. Citizen - Born in Massachusetts		742 (59)	402 (57)	59 2 (66)	1736 (61)
U.S. Citizen - Born in Other State		238 (19)	155 (22)	207 (23)	600 (21)
U.S. Citizen - Born in U.S. Territory		147 (12)	90	71 (8)	308
U.S. Citizen - Born in Other Country		27 (2)	16 (2)	11 (1)	54
Non U.S. Citizen	.	9 6 (8)	38	16 (2)	15 0 (5)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

RACE		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
White		673 (54)	375 (53)	601 (67)	1649 (58)
Black		511 (41)	191 (27)	207 (23)	909 (32)
Native American		(0)	4 (1)	(0)	8 (0)
Asian		10	4 (1)	0 (0)	14
Hispanic		53 (4)	127 (18)	88 (10)	268 (9)
			·		
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

ETHNIC INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
White Hispanic	g (1)	2 (0)	21 (2)	32 (1)
Black Hispanic	196 (16)	3 (0)	13 (1)	212
Hispanic-No Race Specified	53 (4)	127 (18)	88 (10)	26 8 (9)
Non-Hispanic	992 (79)	569 (81)	775 (86)	2336 (82)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

MARITAL STATUS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Married	292 (23)	127 (18)	95 (11)	514 (18)
Single	728 (58)	479 (68)	562 (63)	1769 (62)
Divorced	152 (12)	49 (7)	115 (13)	316 (11)
Widowed	11 (1)	2 (0)	23	36 (1)
Common Law	4 (0)	14 (2)	5 (1)	23
Separated	63 (5)	30 (4)	97 (11)	190
			••	
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

MILITARY DISCHARGE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Service	1019 (82)	579 (83)	891 (99)	2489 (87)
Honorable	156 (12)	45 (6)	(0)	202 (7)
Dishonorable	4 (0)	3 (0)	0 (0)	7 (0)
Bad Conduct	25 (2)	8 (1)	0 (0)	33 (1)
Medical	6 (0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	8 (0)
Discharge Unknown	18 (1)	15 (2)	0 (0)	33 (1)
Unknown	22	49 (7)	5 (1)	76 (3)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRIOR ADDRESS: SELECTED CITIES AND TOWNS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston	324	189	170	683
	(26)	(27)	(19)	(24)
Brockton	33	21 (3)	12 (1)	66 (2)
Cambridge	21	13	13 (1)	47
Fall River	26	13	28	67
	(2)	(2)	(3)	(2)
Framingham	15	10	12	37
	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Holyoke	24 (2)	3 (0)	15 (2)	42 (1)
Lawrence	37	14	16	67
	(3)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Lowell	46	16	34	96
	(4)	(2)	(4)	(3)
Lynn	26	1 0	25	61
	(2)	(1)	(3)	(2)
New Bedford	25	13	23	61
	(2)	(2)	(3)	(2)
Quincy	9 (1)	3 (0)	5 (1)	17 (1)
Somerville	24 (2)	10	10 (1)	44 (2)
Springfield	88	16	64	168
	(7)	(2)	(7)	(6)
Worcester	56	89	136	281
	(4)	(13)	(15)	(10)
Other Mass.	410	251	290	951
	(33)	(36)	(32)	(33)
Out of State	86	30	44	160
	(7)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRIOR ADDR	ESS: COUNTY	CEDAR JCT	concord	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Worcester		114 (9)	170 (24)	197 (22)	481 (17)
Franklin		11 (1)	8 (1)	(0)	20
Middlesex		202 (16)	112 (16)	142 (16)	456 (16)
Suffolk		356 (28)	207 (30)	188 (21)	751 (26)
Norfolk		42	8 (1)	23 (3)	73 (3)
Bristol		72 (6)	39 (6)	76 (8)	187 (7)
Plymouth		64 (5)	37 (5)	32 (4)	133 (5)
Essex		113 (9)	49 (7)	75 (8)	237 (8)
Hampshire		16	6 (1)	9 (1)	31
Hampden		137 (11)	21 (3)	90 (10)	248 (9)
Berkshire		15 (1)	6 (1)	(0)	25 (1)
Dukes		2 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	4 (0)
Barnstable		18 (1)	7 (1)	14 (2)	39 (1)
Nantucket		(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Out of Stat	e	86 (7)	30 (4)	44 (5)	160 (6)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

PRIOR ADDRESS: SMSA	CEDAF	JCT CONCOR	D FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston	574 (46		333 (37)	1221 (43)
Brockton	42	2 25 3) (4)	19	8 6 (3)
Fall River	27	(14 (2)	28 (3)	69 (2)
Fitchburg-Leominster	11	24	17	52 (2)
Lawrence-Haverhill	57	28 5) (4)	35 (4)	120 (4)
Lowell	56 (4	3 29 1) (4)	39 (4)	124 (4)
New Bedford	31	14 (2)	26 (3)	71
Pittsfield		3 L) (0)	(0)	14
Providence- Pawtucket-Warwick		3 6 1) (1)	9 (1)	23 (1)
Springfield	146 (12		100 (11)	2 70 (9)
Worcester	73	3 100 6) (14)	161 (18)	334 (12)
Other Mass.	131 (10		83 (9)	304 (11)
Out of State	86 (- '		44 (5)	16 0 (6)
TOT	AL 1250	701	897	2848

OCCUPATION		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Professional		8 (I)	7 (1)	12 (1)	27
Semi-Professional		17	5 (1)	33 (4)	55 (2)
Business		67 (5)	31 (4)	13	111
Sales, Clerical		77 (6)	49 (7)	70 (8)	196 (7)
Manual		525 (42)	239 (-34)	43 (5)	807 (28)
Services		283 (23)	164 (23)	114 (13)	561 (20)
Agriculture		8 (1)	9 (1)	0 (0)	(17 (1)
Armed Forces		2 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)
Housekeeper		0 (0)	0 (0)	32 (4)	32
Student		2 (0)	6 (1)	6 (1)	14 (0)
Never Employed		(1)	10	(0)	22 (I)
Unknown		250 (20)	181 (26)	573 (64)	1004 (35)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

LAST GRADE COMPLETED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
3rd or Less	8 (1)	7 (1)	4 (0)	19
4th	8 (1)	(0)	2 (0)	(0)
5th	9 (1)	6 (1)	5 (1)	20 (1)
6th	23 (2)	(0)	13	39 (1)
7th	32 (3)	14 (2)	12	58
8th	66 (5)	28 (4)	35 (4)	129 (5)
9th .	125 (10)	70 (10)	106 (12)	301
10th	137 (11)	82 (12)	114 (13)	333
11th	130 (10)	93 (13)	136 (15)	359 (13)
12th	518 (41)	176 (25)	323 (36)	1017 (36)
Some College	137 (11)	34 (5)	84 (9)	255 (9)
College Graduate	29 (2)	14 (2)	(21	64 (2)
Unknown	28 (2)	173 (25)	42 (5)	243 (9)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

KNOWN DRUG USE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Mention of Drugs	734 (59)	419 (60)	61 (7)	1214 (43)
Non-Specific	96 (8)	45 (6)	223 (25)	364 (13)
Heroin	113	57 (8)	6 (1)	176 (6)
Other Specific	141	86 (12)	(0)	231
Marijuana Only	42 (3)	23 (3)	0 (0)	65 (2)
Unknown	124 (10)	71 (10)	603 (67)	798 (28)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

CRIMINAL HISTORY

TOTAL NUM	BER OF	COURT	APPEARANCES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One .				92 (7)	59 (8)	81 (9)	232 (8)
Two				102 (8)	77 (11)	119 (13)	298 (10)
Three				87 (7)	61 (9)	52 (6)	200 (7)
Four				62 (5)	50 (7)	45 (5)	157 (6)
Five				70 (6)	43 (6)	43 (5)	156 (5)
6-8	·			162 (13)	118 (17)	101 (11)	381 (13)
9-11				154 (12)	70 (10)	77 (9)	301 (11)
12-15				150 (12)	53 (8)	77 (9)	280 (10)
16-20				137 (11)	41	69 (8)	247 (9)
Over 20				183 (15)	36 (5)	130 (14)	349 (12)
Unknown				51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
			TOTAL	1050			
			TOINL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PERSON OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	267	18 0	452	899
	(21)	(26)	(50)	(32)
One	149	91	133	373
	(12)	(13)	(15)	(13)
Two	117	88	57	262
	(9)	(13)	(6)	(9)
Three	128 (10)	61 (9)	46 (5)	235
Four	92 (7)	47 (7)	32 (4)	171
Five	70	40	18	128
	(6)	(6)	(2)	(4)
Six to Eight	161	6 2	36	259
	(13)	(9)	(4)	(9)
Over Eight	215	39	20	274
	(17)	(6)	(2)	(10)
Unknown	51	93	103	247
	(4)	(13)	(11)	(9)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	311	176	234	721
	(25)	(25)	(26)	(25)
One	113	93	99	305
	(9)	(13)	(11)	(11)
Two	87	51	78	216
	(7)	(7)	(9)	(8)
Three	68	45	37	150
	(5)	(6)	(4)	(5)
Four	72	30	38	140
	(6)	(4)	(4)	(5)
Five	39 (3)	31 (4)	21 (2)	91
Six to Eight	106	46	65	217
	(8)	(7)	(7)	(8)
Over Eight	403	136	222	761
	(32)	(19)	(25)	(27)
Unknown	51 (4)	93 (13)	103	2 47 (9)
TOTA	L 1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR SEX OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	_927 (74)	493 (70)	763 (85)	2183 (77)
One	88 (7)	44 (6)	19 (2)	151 (5)
Two	56 (4)	29 (4)	4 (0)	89 (3)
Three	3 7 (3)	15 (2)	2 (0)	54 (2)
Four	2 5 (2)	10 (1)	(0)	36 (1)
Five	20 (2)	(0)	(0)	22 (1)
Six to Eight	18 (1)	10	2 (0)	30 (1)
Over Eight	28 (2)	6 (1)	2 (0)	36 (1)
Unknown	51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
				2242
тот	AL 1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
DRUG OFFENSES		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		473 (38)	288 (41)	37 7 (42)	1138 (40)
One		163 (13)	92 (13)	94 (10)	349 (12)
Two		127	80 (11)	70 (8)	277 (10)
Three		91	39 (6)	64	194
Four		87 (7)	32 (5)	43 (5)	162 (6)
Five		53 (4)	23 (3)	28 (3)	104
Six to Eight		112	35 (5)	59 (7)	206
Over Eight		93 (7)	19	59 (7)	171 (6)
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
	TOTAL	1250	701	89 7	2848

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES

		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		913 (73)	490 (70)	623 (69)	2026 (71)
One		151 (12)	73 (10)	58 (6)	282 (10)
Two		72 (6)	23 (3)	33 (4)	128 (4)
Three		30 (2)	9 (1)	22 (2)	61 (2)
Four		6 (0)	(I)	24 (3)	38
Five		9 (1)	2 (0)	13	24 (1)
Six to Eight		10	(0)	14	25 (1)
Over Eight		8 (1)	2 (0)	7 (1)	17
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
T	OTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES

		CED	AR JCT	C	ONCORD	FRA	MINGHAM	1	COTAL
None	· :	10	93 87)		58 0 83)		59 85)		32 85)
One		. (74 6)	(19 3)	. (23 3)	(16 4)
Two		(22 2)	(5 1)	(6 1)	(33 1)
Three		• (4 (0)	(10)	(5 1)	(10
Four		(5 0)	.(0	(10)	(6 0)
Five		(10)	,	1 0)	(0 0)	(2
Six to Eight		(0	(2 0)	(0 0)	. (2
Unknown			il 4)		93 13)	10 (1)3 [1]	2 (47 9)
то	TAL	125	0	7	01	. 89)7	284	48

JUVENILE COMMITMENT INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1022	534	758	2314
	(82)	(76)	(85)	(81)
One or More	177	74	36	287
	(14)	(11)	(4)	(10)
Unknown	51	93	103	247
	(4)	(13)	(11)	(9)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	672 (54)	409 (58)	545 (61)	1626 (57)
One	225 (18)	105 (15)	118 (13)	448
Two	128 (10)	45 (6)	74 (8)	247 (9)
Three	62 (5)	27 (4)	29 (3)	118 (4)
Four	43 (3)	14 (2)	14 (2)	71 (2)
Five	39 (3)	0 (0)	7 (1)	46 (2)
Six or More	30 (2)	8 (1)	7 (1)	45 (2)
Unknown	51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		966 (77)	577 (82)	699 (78)	2242 (79)
One		160	21 (3)	32 (4)	213
Two		45 (4)	9 (1)	29 (3)	83
Three		17 (1)	(0)	15 (2)	33
Four		7 (1)	0 (0)	9 (1)	16
Five		1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	2 (0)
Six or More		3 (0)	0 (0)	9 (1)	12
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS	· ·			
	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	596	401	490	1487
	(48)	(57)	(55)	(52)
One	232	104	118	454
	(19)	(15)	(13)	(16)
Two	136	48	86	0.50
	(11)	(7)	(10)	2.70 (9)
Three	9.4			
	80 (6)	21 (3)	39 (4)	140
		(3)	\ =/	(5)
Four	57	23	28	108
	(5)	(3)	(3)	(4)
Five	43	2	10	55
	(3)	(0)	(1)	(2)
Six or More	55	9	23	87
	(4)	(1)	(3)	(3)
Unknown	51	0.9	100	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 31 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$	93	103 (11)	247 (9)
		`/	·/	(3)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF JUVENILE PAROLES		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
		1167	598	790	2555
None		(93)	(85)	(88)	(90)
One		19 (2)	9 (1)	3 (0)	31 (1)
Two		6 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	7 (0)
Three		2 (0)	1 0)	(0)	(0)
Four or More		5 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (0).
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103	247 (9)
то	TAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF JUVENILE PAROLE VIOLATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	1167 (93)	598 (85)	790 (88)	2555 (90)
None	19 (2)	8 (1)	3 (0)	30
One	7 (1)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)
Two	2 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Three	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)
Unknown	51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF ADULT PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	1082 (87)	594 (85)	720 (80)	2396 (84)
One	94 (8)	11 (2)	52 (6)	157 (6)
Two	16 (1)	3 (0)	17 (2)	36 (1)
Three	7 (1)	0 (0)	(0)	11
Four or More	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)
Unknown	51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
				2.1
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

NUMBER OF ADULT PAROLE VIOLATIONS		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled		1082 (87)	594 (85)	720 (80)	2396 (84)
None		43 (3)	7 (1)	44 (5)	94
One		61 (5)	5 (1)	25 (3)	91 (3)
Two		11 (1)	2 (0)	5 (1)	18
Three		2 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103	247 (9)
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAROLES		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		106 0 (85)	588 (84)	716 (80)	2364
One		102	14 (2)	55 (* 6)	171 (6)
Two		23 (2)	(1)	18 (2)	45 (2)
Three		6 (0)	(0)	4 (0)	(0)
Four or More		8 (1)	(0)	1 (0)	10
Unknown		51 (4)	9 3 (1 3)	103 (11)	247
	TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

Never Paroled 1060 588 716 2364 (85) (84) (80) (83) None 56 12 47 115 (4) (2) (5) (4) One 64 5 26 95 (5) (1) (3) (3) Two 12 2 5 19 (1) (0) (1) (1) Three 5 1 0 6 (0) (0) (0) (0) Four or More 2 0 0 0 2 (0) (0) (0) Unknown 51 93 103 247	TOTAL 1250 701 897 2848
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL Never Paroled 1060 588 716 2364 (80) (83) None 56 12 47 115 (4) (2) (5) (4) One 64 5 26 95 (5) (1) (3) (3) Two 12 2 5 19 (1) (0) (1) (1) Three 5 1 0 6 (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) Four or More 2 0 0 0 2 (0) (0) (0) Unknown 51 93 103 247	
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL Never Paroled 1060	
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL Never Paroled 1060	2 0 0 2 0 (0)
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL Never Paroled 1060 (85) (84) (80) (83) None 56 (12 47 115 (4) (2) (5) (4) One 64 5 26 95 (5) (1) (3) (3) Two 12 2 5 19	5 1 0 6 (0) (0) (0)
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL Never Paroled 1060	
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL Never Paroled 1060 588 716 2364 (85) (84) (80) (83) None 56 12 47 115	
PAROLE VIOLATIONS CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL 1060 588 716 2364	
TO THE OR	CEDAR JCT CONCORD FRAMINGHAM TOTAL

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
12 or Younger		81 (6)	30 (4)	18 (2)	129 (5)
13		51 (4)	18	24 (3)	93
14		86 (7)	36 (5)	28 (3)	150 (5)
15		84 (7)	38 (5)	50 (6)	172 (6)
16		88 (7)	47 (7)	50 (6)	185 (6)
17		199 (16)	117 (17)	81 (9)	397 (14)
18		104 (8)	60 (9)	63 (7)	22 7 (8)
19		72 (6)	41 (6)	46 (5)	159 (6)
20		57 (5)	31 (4)	45 (5)	133 (5)
21		38 (3)	21 (· 3)	42 (5)	101
22		31 (2)	23 (3)	27 (3)	81
23		31 (2)	14 (2)	34 (4)	79 (3)
24		30 (2)	13 (2)	37 (4)	80 (3)
25		19 (2)	21 (3)	39 (4)	79 (3)
26-29		89 (7)	35 (5)	92 (10)	216 (8)
30-39		95 (8)	46 (7)	82 (9)	223
40 or Older		44 (4)	17 (2)	36 (4)	97 (3)
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	24 7 (9)
T	TAL	1250	701	897	2848

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSE		CEDAR JCT	concord	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable		912 (73)	491 (70)	623 (69)	2026 (71)
14 or Younger	-	2 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
15-17		42 (3)	19 (3)	12 (1)	73 (3)
18-19		59 (5)	26 (4)	19 (2)	104
20-21		39 (3)	19	36 (4)	94
22-25		61 (5)	29 (4)	43 (5)	133 (5)
26-29		46 (4)	11 (2)	17	74 (3)
30-39		32 (3)	7 (1)	31 (3)	70
40 and Older		6 (0)	4 (1)	13 (1)	23
Unknown		51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
TOTA	L.	1250	701	897	2848

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR DRUG OFFENSE	CEDAR JCT .	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	474 (38)	288 (41)	376 (42)	1138
14 or Younger	13	3 (0)	3 (0)	19 (1)
15–17	107	60 (9)	40 (4)	207
18-19	115 (9)	49 (7)	55 (6)	219 (8)
20-21	1 01 (8)	41 (6)	66 (7)	208
22-25	134 (11)	66 (9)	111 (12)	311 (11)
26-29	101	40 (6)	52 (6)	193 (7)
30-39	111 (9)	43 (6)	72 (8)	226 (8)
40 and Older	43 (3)	18 (3)	19 (2)	8 0 (3)
Unknown	51 (4)	93 (13)	103 (11)	247 (9)
TOTAL	1250	701	897	2848

GLOSSARY

OFFENSE

Armed Assault

Includes armed assault with intent to rob, armed assault in dwelling house, assault and battery with dangerous weapon, and assault with dangerous weapon.

Assault-Intent to Murder

Includes assault with intent to murder and attempt to murder.

Assault with Intent to Rape

Includes assault with intent to rape and indecent assault and battery.

Manslaughter

Includes vehicular homicide and manslaughter.

Other Person

Includes mayhem and confining a person for the purpose of stealing.

Prostitution

Includes prostitution and common night-walker.

Rape of Minor

Includes rape of female under sixteen, rape

of child, and statutory rape.

Unarmed Assault

Includes unarmed assault with intent to rob, assault, assault and battery, and other assaults.

SENTENCE TYPE

Aggregate

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served consecutively.

Concurrent

Multiple sentences received for more than one offense. These sentences will be served simultaneously.

Fine

Incarceration resulting from non-payment of a fine for one or more offenses.

Forthwith

A sentence that is to be served effective immediately, regardless of other sentences for which the individual is currently incarcerated.

From and After

Simple

Split

A sentence to be served following release from a previous sentence.

One sentence received for a single offense.

A sentence that is divided between a specified period of incarceration followed by probation supervision.