1982 Yearly Statistical Report Of The Furlough Program

Prepared by:

Patricia Tobin Program Manager I

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

July, 1983

PUBLICATION: #13334

\$4.50 CO

Approved by Daniel Carter, State Purchasing Agent

ABSTRACT

The furlough program has been in operation by the Massachusetts Department of Correction since November 6, 1972. A total of 81,822 furloughs have been granted between the inception of the program and December 31, 1982. During that period, 585 of those furloughs resulted in escapes (a resident failing to return to his/her correctional facility within two hours of his/her appointed time of return, thus yielding an overall escape rate of 0.7 percent. The present report contains a statistical description of the number, distribution and outcomes of furloughs for the year 1982 as well as a trend analysis of furloughs since the inception of the program in 1972. From January 1, 1982 to December 31, 1982, a total of 6,307 furloughs were granted. The number of individuals furloughed in 1982 was 1,198. Two-tenths of one percent (0.2 percent) of the furloughs granted during 1982 resulted in escape, while one percent (1.0 percent) of the individuals furloughed were declared on escape during that period. Since the inception of the furlough program a steady decline has occurred in the actual number of furloughs granted, the number of individuals furloughed, and the percentage of the released population participating in the furlough program prior to release. In addition, the escape rate showed a parallel decline during this period suggesting that greater selectivity may have been exercised in the granting of furloughs thereby

reducing the likelihood of escape.

Table of Contents

Title			Page	Number
I	Abstract			i
II.	Introduction	마스 보고 있는 것이 보고 그들은 현실에 되었다. 그렇게 보고 있다는 것이 되었다. 그렇게 되었다. 		1
TII.	Section I	Statistical Fact Sheet 1982		2
	A. Table I -	Furlough Statistics for 1982 by Security Level		5
	B. Table II -	Inmates Furloughed in Proportion to the Average Monthly Institutional Population		7
IV.	Section II -	Furlough Statistics Since Inception of Program		8
	A. Table III -	Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1982		10
	B. Table IV -	Releases by Furlough Participation 1973-1982		11
	C. Table V -	Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution: 1973-1977		13
	D. Table VI -	Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution: 1978-1982		14
	E. Table VII -	Percentage of Average Monthly Population Furloughed by Security Level 1974-1982		15
v.	Footnotes			17
VI.	Appendix		Not the	18

Introduction

=

This report presents a statistical description of furloughs granted by the Massachusetts Department of Correction for the year 1982. Contained in this report are trends on the numbers of furloughs and furlough outcomes from the inception of the program in 1972 until the end of the year 1982.

The report is divided into two sections. The first section gives an overview of pertinent furlough statistics for the year 1982. Section II presents a brief overview of the Massachusetts furlough program and provides comparisons of furlough characteristics over the past ten years. Included in the Appendix is information on escape rates by inmate social characteristics, offense variables, criminal history variables and furlough variables.

The data for the tables in this report were derived from the computerized data base developed by the Correction and Parole Management Information System (CAPMIS) and were produced on the Massachusetts State College Computer Network (MSCCN).

Section I

Statistical Fact Sheet on the Massachusetts Furlough Program, 1982

Statistical Fact Sheet on the Massachusetts Department of Correction Furlough Program - 1982

1982 Statistics

From January 1, 1982 to December 31, 1982, a total of 6,307 furloughs were granted. The number of individuals furloughed in 1982 was 1,198. The average number of furloughs per furloughed resident was 5.3 with the actual number of furloughs ranging between 1 to 35. The median number of furloughs per inmate was 3.5 furloughs indicating that 50 percent of the furloughed population had 3 furloughs or less and 50 percent had more than 3 furloughs in 1982.

Escape Rate by Furlough - 1982

Department of Correction policy defines a furlough escape as failure to return to a correctional facility within two hours of the designated time of return. The Massachusetts Department of Correction, as a matter of policy, recognizes two statuses of escape: those which result in voluntary returns within 24 hours of the designated reporting time and those which involve involuntary returns or failure to return after 24 hours. Out of the 6,307 furloughs granted in 1982, 12 (0.2 percent) resulted in official escapes. There were three individuals who were on escape status from their furloughs and returned voluntarily within 24 hours from their return time. These three individuals represent 25 percent of the 12 persons who were declared on escape status.

Escape Rate by Inmate Furloughed in 1982

Of the 1,198 immates furloughed in 1982, 12 were declared on escape status. The escape rate for furloughed immates was 1.0 percent. The average number of "successful" furloughs was 5.2, slightly less than the average number of total furloughs taken by immates.

Late Returns for 1982

For 1982, there were 137 furloughs which were classified as late returns. These 137 cases involved 118 individuals. These 118 individuals all returned voluntarily within two hours of their designated time of return. Sixteen individuals were reported to have multiple late returns from furloughs during 1982.

Furloughs by Security Level - 1982

During 1982, 229 furloughs were from maximum or medium security institutions, representing 4 percent of the furloughs granted during 1982. There were 1,895 furloughs granted from minimum security (representing 30 percent) and 4,133 furloughs from pre-release (representing 66 percent of the furloughs granted during 1982). Table I presents information on furloughs by security level for 1982 along with the corresponding escape rates.

Table I

Furlough Statistics for the Year 1982 by Security Level

		*···
Maximum and Medium		
Walpole	34 and a first training 34 and a second	0.0%
Concord	- 28	0.0%
Framingham	31	0.0%
Norfolk	83 19	0.0%
SECC THE RESERVE TO THE SECOND	45	0.0%
Gardner	8	0.0%
TOTAL	229	0.0%
I. <u>Minimum</u>		
Plymouth	403	0.5%
Warwick	210	0.0%
Medfield	245	0.0%
Bay State	672 ()	0.0%
NCC	365	0.8%
TOTAL	1895	0.3%
II. <u>Pre-Release</u>		
Boston State	528	0.0%
Shirley	553	0.5%
Brooke House	197	1.0%
Coolidge I	438	0.5%
THP	275	0.0%
Norfolk Pre-Release	180	0.0%
577 House	251	0.0%
Coolidge II	232	0.0%
Drug Rehabilitation	47	0.0%
South Middlesex	295	0.0%
Lancaster	532	0.0%
Park Drive	34 <u>1</u> 314	0.0% 0.0%
Hillside	21€	V.U*
TOTAL	4183	0.2%
RAND TOTAL	6307	0.2%

Proportion of Releases Participating in Furlough Program - 1982

From January 1, 1982 to December 31, 1982, the Department of Correction released 1,440 residents to the community. Of that total, 438 had received one or more furloughs before release (30 percent). The remaining 70 percent of the individuals released had not participated in the furlough program during their incarceration. The percent of immates furloughed before release to the community declined from 41 percent in 1981 to 30 percent in 1982. This resulted in a 34 percent decrease in furlough participation of the released population from 1981 to 1982. The proportion of individuals who received furloughs before release during 1982 varied according to the security level of the releasing facility as follows: 61% of those released from pre-release facilities had furloughs; 37% of those released from minimum security facilities had furloughs before released from medium or maximum security institutions had furloughs before release.

Inmates Furloughed Per Average Monthly Population - 1982

Out of an average monthly population of 4,085, an average of 359 individuals per month received a furlough in 1982. The actual numbers of immates furloughed ranged from a low of 298 in August to a high of 500 in December. This results on the average of 8.8 percent of the population being furloughed a month. The overwhelming majority of the population (73 percent) was housed in maximum or medium security institutions during an average month in 1982, and one half of one percent of the monthly maximum/medium security population received a furlough during 1982. Twenty-four percent of the minimum security population and 38 percent of the pre-release population received furloughs during a typical month in 1982. Table II presents the information on the number of individuals furloughed in proportion to the average population for 1981.

Table II

Inmates Furloughed in Proportion to the Average Monthly Institutional Population

Institution	Average Monthly Population	Average Number of Inmates Furloughed Per Month	Percent of Population Furloughed
I. Maximum and Medium			_ _
	706	2	0.3%
Walpole	706 590	2	0.3%
Concord	191	2 2	1.0%
Framingham		6	0.6%
Norfolk	950	3	1.2%
SECC	255	0	0.0%
Gardner	301		0.05
TOTAL	2993	15	0.5%
II. Minimum			
NCC	182	24	13.2%
Bay State C.C.	116	39	33.6%
Plymouth	111	28	25.2%
Warwick	64	14	21.9%
Medfield	30	16	53.3%
TOTAL	503	121	24.0%
III. Pre-Release			
Boston State	58	27	46.6%
Shirley	178	40	22.5%
MHHI	88	55	62.5%
Norfolk	36	12	33.3%
Drug Rehab.	8		0.0%
South Middlesex	57	20	35.1%
Lancaster	95	37	38.9%
Park Drive	46	20	43.5%
Hillside	23	12	52.2%
TOTAL	589	223	37.9%
GRAND TOTAL	4085	359	8.8%

Section II

Furlough Statistics Since Inception of Program

Overview

The furlough program for residents of Massachusetts correctional facilities has been operating since November 6, 1972. Between that date and December 31, 1982, a total of 81,822 furloughs have been granted. In 585 cases, individuals have failed to return to their respective correctional facility within two hours of their prescribed time of return. Thus, since the inception of the program there has been an overall escape rate of 0.7 percent.

Table III presents a summary of furlough statistics for the years 1972 to 1982. Included in the table is information on the number of furloughs, the number of individuals furloughed, the number of escapes and corresponding escape rates. As Table III shows, the escape rates by furlough generally have decreased from 1973 to 1982 ranging from a high of 1.9 percent to a low of 0.2 percent. Similarly, the escape rates by individual have also decreased during this period from a high of 7.6 percent to a low of 1.0 percent.

Since the inception of the furlough program, the number of individuals furloughed per year has decreased. From 1973 to 1982, the number of individuals furloughed decreased from 1,868 to 1,198 (a decline of 36 percent) with a slight increase occurring between 1980 and 1981. The escape rate by individual furloughed followed a similar declining pattern over the 10 year period except for the slight increase in the rate of escapes in 1981. The 1981 rate (2.7 percent) increased almost to the level of the 1978 rate (3.0 percent). While these trends were occurring, the percentages of individuals being released who had not participated in the furlough program prior to their release was increasing. Table IV presents the data for the percentage of released offenders who participated in the furlough program prior to their release. From 1973 to 1982, the number of releases increased

Table III

Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1982

			Number	Escape	
Year	Number of Furloughs	Individuals Furloughed	of Escapes	Rate By Individual	Escape Rate by Furlough
19723	1182	793	8 *	1.0	0.7
1973	7195	1868	134	7.2	1.9
1974	8322	1668	127	7.6	1.5
1975	8680	1482	88	5.9	1.0
1976	7985	1305	40	3.1	0.5
1977	8199	1355	42	3.1	0.5
1978	9062	1248	38	3.0	0.4
1979	8040	1232	38	3.1	0.5
1980	8654	1193	24	2.0	0.3
1981	8196	1248	34	2.7	0.4
1982	6307	1198	12	1.0	0.2
TOTAL	81822	7387 ⁴	585	7.2	0.7

-11-

Number of Percentage Furloughed Releasing Releases Before Release Year. 966 69% 1973 911 74% 1974 926 59% 1975 51% 1029 1976 1193 50% 1977 49% 1133 1978 1124 42% 1979 1015 40% 1980 1118 41% 1981 1440 30% 1982

by 49 percent as shown in Table IV. However, during this time the percentage of individuals released who had participated in the furlough program decreased from 69 percent to 30 percent.

The low percentage of the population furloughed before release in 1982 can be explained, in part, by the increase in the proportion of women in the releasee population that year. In 1981 women made up 24 percent of the released population; by 1982 their numbers had increased to 33 percent of the released population.

Historically a disproportionate number of women have been released without the benefit of furloughs, and this is especially true of women released from Framingham with short-term county sentences. In 1982, two percent of the released women received furloughs prior to release in contrast to 42 percent of the released men. Thus, these two factors—the increase in women among the 1982 releases and the disproportionate number of them that were released without prior furlough experience—help to account in part, for the overall low furlough rate (30 percent) among 1982 releases shown in Table IV.

Table V and VI give furlough statistics by institution from which furloughed for the years 1973 to 1977, and 1978 to 1982 respectively. The number of furloughs granted inmates in maximum and medium security institutions has steadily decreased over the years, while the number of furloughs to residents of pre-release centers has gradually increased since 1975. The number of furloughs to residents in minimum security institutions has fluctuated over the 10 year period as a result of opening and closing of institutions designated as minimum security. In general the number of furloughs to residents at all levels of security dropped precipitously in 1982. The corresponding escape figures indicate that the escape rate also has decreased since the inception of the furlough program, and this is especially apparent in minimum and maximum/medium security institutions. A constantly low overall escape rate has prevailed in pre-release centers from 1976 to the present.

Table V

Comparative Furlough Statistics by Institution for Years 1973 - 1977

	1973	1973 1974			1975		1976		1977	
	Furloughs	Escape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate
Maximum & Medium							Carl Esperan			
Walpole	107	3.7%	310	2.3%	338	1.5%	398	1.5%	166	0.0
Concord	1254	3.5%	923	4.2%	81.2	3.3%	430	0.7%	352	1.4
Framingham	562	1.6%	741	0.9%	805	1.0%	642	0.5%	775	1.0
Norfolk	1811	2.2%	1466	2.2%	1200	1.2%	741	0.7%	560	0.2
SECC	244	5.3%	283	1.48	196	3.1%	165	0.6%	233	1.7
RDC			4	0.0%	2	0.0%	-			-
TOTAL	3978	2.81	3727	2.4%	3353	1.8%	2376	0.8%	2086	0.9
. Minimum										
Plymouth	451	1.1%	41.6	1.0%	500	1.6%	385	\$8.0	413	0.2
Warwick	321	1.2%	209	2.9%	55	1.8%	0	0.0%	252	1.0
Monroe	342	0.6%	306	2.3%	274	1.8%	233	3.4%	223	2.
Medfield	📥 e e e e	-	*	a North		1 1 y	157	0.0%	264	0.
Bay State		·	•		-	-		S. 19 -	168	1.
TOTAL	1114	1.0%	931	1,8%	829	1.7%	775	1.4%	1320	1.
I. Pre-Release										
Boston State	1333	0.6%	2061	0.3%	1804	0.3%	1241	0.1%	1073	0.
Shirley	709	0.8%	494	2.4%	715	1.3%	574	0.9%	295	1.
Charlotte	61	0.0%	86	2.3%	83	0.0%	126	0.8%	103	0.
Roxbury Multi-Service		_	130	0.0%	444	0.0%	80	#0.0	_	_
Brooke House		_	422	0,2%	509	0.0%	578	€0.0	272	0.
Coolidge I		_	471	0.0%	583	0.0%	331	0.0%	269	0.
THP		_			1	0.0%	150	0.0	154	0.
Norfolk Pre-Release		<u> </u>		_	96	0.0%	334	0.0%	309	0.
577 House	_	<u></u>	_		85	0.0%	619	0.0%	786	0.
Coolidge II		_		_	138	0.0%	136	0.7%	144	0.
BOSP/METAC		. ·		_	40	0.0%	203	0.5%	220	0.
Drug Rehab			_			_	14	0.0%	55	0.
South Middlesex		~	_		_	_	44	2.3%	379	0.
Lancaster							404	0.2%	513	0.
Park Drive		_	_	_	_	- 1 - <u>-</u> 1 - 1			221	o.
TOTAL	2103	0.7%	3664	0.6%	4498	0.3%	4834	0.2%	4793	0.
TOTAL	2103		3004		4450	0.36	303.	7		•
RAND TOTAL	7195	1.9%	8322	1.5%	8680	1.0%	7985	0.5%	8199	0.

Table VI Comparative Furlengh Statistics by Institution for Years 1978 - 1982

100		1978 1979		1980 198				4.0.0			
			Escape		Escapa	1980	Escape	1981	#	1902	
		Furloughs	Rato	Furloughs	Rato	Furloughs	Rate	Furloughs.	Facape Rate	Furloughs	Escape Rate
. M/	nximum & Modium		, , , , ,	×						<u> </u>	Mico
	alpole	70	0.0%	51	0.01	44	0.01	27	0.0	34	0.0%
	oncord	134	2.28	20	0.0%	14	0.01	20	0.0%	28	
	ramingham .	788	0.3%	676	0.91	306	1.3%	197	1.5%	31	0.0%
	orfolk	238	0.0%	223	0.9%	230	0.41	151	0.08	83	0.0%
	ECC	210	1.48	232	0.93	168	0.0%	85	0.01	45	0.0
Gr	ardner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· = .	50	0.01	0	0.0	3	0.0%	43 B	0.0%
T	DTAL	1440	0.6%	1252	0.84	762	0.71	483	0.6%	229	0.0%
I. M	<u>Intmum</u>		100000						0.00	267	0.08
	lymouth	398	0.0%	400	0.2%	539	0.41	431	0.9%	403	
	irwick	234	2.6%	289	2.11	268	0.41	152	0.0	210	0.5%
	onroe	52	7:7%		_		_	2.5		210	0.0
	edfield	257	0.04	232	1.31	287	0.01	366	0.31	245	0.0%
	ny State	845	0.3	732	0.1%	741	0.1	689	0.31	672	0.01
ŊĊ	.c	418	0.7%	646	0.6%	695	0.11	555	0.01	365	0.81
TO	DTAL	2204	0.7%	2299	0.5%	2530	0.21	2193	0.31	1895	0.3%
II. <u>P</u> r	e-Release		ist Till i								0.30
	oston State	1602	0.3%	1279	0.3%	1201	0.0%	1055	0.31	528	0.0%
	drley	352	0.6%	421	0.7%	524	0.48	800	0.5	553	0.51
	arlotte	97	0.0%	109	0.0%	1.30	0.81	15	0.01		
	rooka Ilousa	419	0.0%	283	0.0%	316	0.3%	561	0.43	197	1.0%
	oolldge I	230	0.0%	232	0.9%	311	0.31	420	0.01	438	0.5%
TII		59	0.0%	193	1.0%	148	0.01	385	0.31	275	0.0%
	orfolk Pro-Release	348	0.0	269	0.0%	346	0.0%	226	0.0	180	0.0%
		538	0.0%	277	0.7%	257	0.01	331	0.6%	251	0.0%
	oolldge II Spymetric	136	0.0%	93	0.0%	298	1.3%	300	0.3%	232	0.0%
	ug Rohab	148	0.01	-	·			-			_
	outh Middlesex	18	0.0	1	0.0%	27	0.01	1	0.01	47	0.0%
	ncaster	317	0.6%	367	0.03	627	0.31	393	0.5	295	0.0%
	rk Drive	425	0.78	290	0.7%	407	0.0	515	0.8	532	0.0%
	stern Avenue	460	0.0%	500	0.0%	639	0.0	331	0.0	341	0.0%
	Ilside	169	0.2%	-		-	_=_				-
			-	88	0.0%	51	5.9%	197	2.5	314	0.0
	YPAT,	5410	0.21	4409	0.4	5362	0.31	5520	0.4	4183	0.21
RAMP T	AATO	9062	0.46	8040	0.5%	8654	0.31	9196	0.41	6307	0.21

Finally Table VII presents the average percentage of the population furloughed each month by security levels and the total percentage of the population furloughed monthly from 1974 to 1982.

Percentage of Average Monthly Population Furloughed by Security Level

1974 - 1982⁶

Year	Maximum or Medium Security	Minimum Security	Pre-Release	Total
1974	15.2%	55.2%	79.9%	21.9%
1975	13.8%	59.6%	74.6%	20.8%
1976	7.6%	44.9%	55.9%	14.7%
1977	6.2%	60.2%	54.5%	15.5%
1978	4.5%	54.2%	64.6%	16.8%
1979	4.0%	47.1%	59.6%	15.5%
1980	2.0%	43.7%	66.2%	14.1%
1981	1.3%	37.2%	59.5%	12.6%
1982	0.5%	24.0%	37.9%	8.8%

As Table VII indicates, the percentage of the population in maximum and medium security institutions who received furloughs in a typical month decreased from 15.2 percent in 1974 to only 0.5 percent in 1982. The 1982 percentage of minimum security individuals furloughed monthly is the lowest since the inception of the program and the percentage in pre-release reflects a drop of 21.6 percentage

points from 1981 to 1982. Overall the 1982 figure of 8.8 percent represents the lowest monthly percentage of the population furloughed since the inception of the program and represents a decrease from 1981 of 3.8 percentage points.

The tables that follow in the Appendix contain frequencies and escape rates by characteristics of individuals for each furlough granted during 1982. Offense variables, personal background characteristics, criminal history and furlough experience were originally examined to determine whether characteristics of the individual could be identified that were indicative of escape risk. However, the small number of actual furlough escapes in 1982 (12 escapes out of 6,307 furloughs) rendered significance tests of difference in escape rates meaningless. Nonetheless the tables in the Appendix are informative in terms of the characteristics of immates furloughed and the distribution of escape rates. It is important for the reader to note that the figures in these tables refer to the number of furloughs, not the number of individuals furloughed; hence, the characteristics of individuals receiving more than one furlough during the year figure more heavily in the statistics than the attributes of inmates receiving a single furlough in 1982. This type of analysis does not create any serious statistical bias because the modal number of furloughs per inmate in 1982 was one, and 50 percent of the furloughed population received three furloughs or less.

Footnotes

- 1. The Department of Correction furlough statistics define an "escape from furlough" in accordance with Department Order 467.1 entitled, "Furloughs Rules and Regulations", according to Section 10.4(c): "Failure of the resident to return to the correctional facility after the two hour period set forth in Section 10.4(b) shall be considered an escape regardless of prior notification to the facility by the resident that he would be late. The superintendent or his designee shall notify, forthwith, appropriate law enforcement officials of the escape.
- 2. These figures may differ from prior departmental reports due to multiple counting of individuals furloughed from different institutions.
- 3. The figures for 1972 represent totals for only two months of 1972. Therefore, the number of furloughs and individuals furloughed is much lower than subsequent years.
- 4. The figure for the total number of individuals furloughed since the inception of the program is not the sum of the individual years.

 Individuals are furloughed in different years and so by adding the yearly totals for individuals participating in the program, multiple counting occurs.
- 5. The figures in this table were taken from yearly Research Unit reports on A Statistical Description of Releases from the Massachusetts

 Department of Correction; Massachusetts Department of Correction

 Publication Numbers 89, 109, 117, 137, 163, 177, 204, 242, and 259.
- 6. The figures in this table were taken from yearly furlough reports by the Research Unit entitled, A Yearly Statistical Report of the Furlough Program, Massachusetts Department of Correction Publication Numbers 95, 115, 145, 162, 176, 206, 233, and 243.

APPENDIX

Prese Varia	nt Offense bles	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
A.	Commitment Institution			
		4440	(70)	0.30
	Walpole		· .	0.2%
'	Concord	1708 159	(27)	0.1%
1.1.	Framingham	129	á (3)	0.6%
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
в.	Jail Credits			
	None	2054	(33)	0.4%
		761	(12)	0.0%
	1 to 10 Days 11 to 50 Days	761 884	(14)	0.0%
	51 to 100 Days	677	(11)	0.1%
		506	(8)	0.2%
	101 to 150 Days 151 to 200 Days	511	(8)	0.2%
	Over 200 Days	914	(14)	0.0%
	Over 200 bays			
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
3.	Present Offense - General Cated	gories		
	Person	4082	(65)	0.2%
	Sex	650	(10)	0.2%
	Property	863	(14)	0.1%
	Drug	637	(10)	0.0%
	Other	75	(1)	1.3%
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
D.	Present Offense - Person			
,	Not Applicable	2225	(35)	0.1%
	Murder - 1	239	(4)	0.0%
	Murder - 2	812	(13)	0.1%
	Manslaughter	597	(10)	0.3%
	Assault w/intent to Murder	222	(3)	0.5%
	Armed Robbery	1400	(22)	0.2%
	Unarmed Robbery	300	(5)	0.3%
	Armed Assault	335	(5)	0.0%
	Unarmed Assault	45	(1)	0.0%
•	Put in Fear	1	(0)	0.0%
	Mayhem	24	(0)	0.0%
	Extortion	48	(1)	0.0%
	Kidnapping	53	(1)	1.9%
	Conspiracy	.6	(0)	0.0%
	and the second s			
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

	sent Offense	Ni l- o	Percent	Escape Rate
Var:	iables	Number	rercent	Escape Raie
E.	Present Offense - Sex			
		5657	(90)	0.2%
	Not Applicable	440	(7)	0.2%
	Rape	53	(1)	0.0%
	Assault - Rape	152	and the second of the second o	0.0%
	Rape of Minor Assault and Rape of Minor	5	(0)	0.0%
	Assault and Rape of Minor			
7	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
F.	Present Offense - Property			
		E A A A	(86)	0.2%
	Not Applicable	5444	(1)	0.2%
	Arson	86	The second secon	0.0%
	Burglary - Armed	72	(1) (6)	0.3%
	Burglary	393	(0)	0.3%
	Possession of Tools	20	(0)	0.0%
	Larceny from Person	13	and the second of the second o	1.2%
	Larceny	74	(1)	0.0%
	Vehicle Theft	23	(1)	0.0%
	Forgery and Uttering	27		0.0%
	Stolen Goods	106	(2)	0.0%
	Property Injuries	49	(1)	0.0%
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
G.	Present Offense - Drug			
	Not Applicable	5670	(90)	0.2%
	Possession of Heroin	10	(0)	0.0%
	Sale of Heroin	7	(0)	0.0%
	Sale of Narcotics	7	(0)	9.0%
• .	Controlled Substance	202	(3)	0.0%
*	Class - A	231	(4)	2.3%
	Class - B	167	(3)	0.0%
	Class - C	3	(0)	0.0%
	Class - D	10	(0)	0.0%
		6307	(100)	0.2%
٠.	TOTAL	6307	CLUCI	0.20

Present Offense Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
H. Present Offense - Other			
Not Applicable	6232	(99)	0.2%
Escapes	12	(0)	8.3%
Weapons Offenses	42	(1)	0.0%
Gaming	2	(0)	0.0%
Vehicle Offense	2	(0)	0.0%
Contempt of Court	2	(0)	0.0%
Usury	14	(0)	0.0%
Intimidating Witness	1	(:0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
I. <u>Minimum Sentence</u>			
1 Year	7	(0)	0.0%
2 Years	70	(1)	0.0%
3 Years	334	(5)	0.6%
4 Years	295	(5)	0.0%
5 Years	350	(6)	0.0%
6 Years	442	(7)	0.0%
7 Years	366	(6)	0.5%
8 Years	220	(4)	0.5%
9 Years	165	(3)	0.0%
10 Years	336	(5)	0.3%
11 to 12 Years	194	(3)	0.5%
13 to 15 Years	267	(4)	0.4%
16 to 19 Years	193	(3)	\$0.0
20 to 24 Years	81	(1)	1.2%
25 or More Years	62	(1)	0.0%
Life	1129	(18)	0.1%
Indeterminate	1796	(28)	0.1%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

Present Offense			Parama Bata
Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
J. Maximum Sentence			
		(0)	0.5%
Less Than 1 Year	29		0.0%
l Year	21	(0)	0.0%
2 Years	107	(2)	0.0%
3 Years	17	(0) (0)	0.0%
4 Years	1	(8)	0.2%
5 Years	491		0.0%
6 Years	190	(3) (5)	and the first of the control of the
7 Years	343	(2)	0.0%
8 Years	104		0.0%
9 Years	81		
10 Years	1432	(23)	0.2%
11 to 12 Years	490	(8)	0.4%
13 to 15 Years	437	(7)	0.0%
16 to 19 Years	238	(4)	0.4%
20 to 24 Years	947	(15)	0.1%
25 or More Years	250	(4)	0.8%
Life	1129	(18)	0.1%
	5202	(7.00)	0.26
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Age at Incarceration			
Fifteen	3	(0)	0.0%
Sixteen	15	(0)	0.0%
Seventeen	56	(1)	0.0%
Eighteen	270	(4)	0.0%
Nineteen	332	(5)	0.3%
Twenty	382	(6)	0.0%
Twenty-one	350	(6)	0.9%
Twenty-two	338	(5)	0.6%
Twenty-three	429	(7)	0.0%
Twenty-four	393	(6)	0.0%
Twenty-five	337	(. 5)	0.6%
26 to 30	1158	(18)	0.0%
31 to 40	1569	(25)	0.2%
40 and Older	675	(11)	0.1%
40 dila oraer			
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
TOTAL	0307	اع معاملات	

ersonal Background		Dau	F
ariables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
Race/Ethnicity			
White	4187	(66)	0.1%
Black	1737	(28)	0.4%
Native American	<u> </u>	(0)	0.0%
Asiatic	7 375	(0)	0.0%
Hispanic	3/5	(6)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
		(100)	0.28
. Marital Status			
Married	2116	(34)	0.2%
Single Divorced	2918 690	(46)	0.2%
Divorced Widowed	146	(11) (2)	0.0%
Widowed Common Law	39	(1)	0.0%
Separated	398	(6)	0.0%
Deparaced in the second			0.3
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Military Discharge			
No Service	4040	(64)	0.2%
Honorable	741	(12)	0.0%
Dishonorable	2	(0)	0.0%
Bad Conduct	187	C 31	0.0%
Medical	57	(1)	0.0%
Discharge Unknown	648	(10)	0.2%
Unknown	632	(10)	0.2%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
. Prior Address - Selected To	iwns		
Boston	1836	(29)	0.4%
Brockton	154	(3)	0.0%
Cambridge	175	(3)	0.0%
Fall River	76	(1)	0.0%
Framingham	77	(1)	0.0%
Holyoke	134	(2)	0.0%
Lawrence	79	(1)	1.3%
Lowell	148	(2)	0.0%
Lynn	58	(1)	0.0%
New Bedford	160 87	(3)	0.0%
Quincy Somerville	146	(1)	0.0%
Springfield	355	(6)	0.0% 0.0%
Springileia Worcester	277	(4)	0.0%
Other Massachusetts	2213	(35)	0.1%
Out of State	332	(5)	0.0%
One of state	332		0.00
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

	sonal Background iables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
- <u>-</u> -	Prior Address - County			
	Worcester	572	(9)	0.2%
	Franklin	.13	(0)	0.0%
	Middlesex	1201	(19)	0.1%
	Suffolk	1958	(31)	0.4%
	Norfolk	404	(6)	€0.0
	Bristol	379	(6)	0.0%
	Plymouth	284	(5)	0.4%
-: [Essex	404	(6)	0.2%
	Hampshire	28	(0)	0.0%
	Hampden	611	(10)	0.0%
	Berkshire	69	(1)	0.0%
	Barnstable	52	(1)	0.0%
	Out of State	332	(5)	0.0%
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
F.	Prior Address - SMSA			
	Boston	3443	(55)	0.3%
	Brockton	214	(3)	0.0%
	Fall River	99	(2)	0.0%
	Fitchburg-Leominster	73	(1)	0.0%
	Lawrence-Haverhill	134	(2)	0.7%
	Lowell	208	((3)	0.0%
	New Bedford	203	(3)	0.0%
	Pittsfield	47	(1)	0.0%
	Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick	42	(1)	0.0%
	Springfield	637	(10)	0.0%
	Worcester	347	(6)	0.0%
	Other Massachusetts	528	(8)	0.4%
• .	Carrie ingo carried to			
•	Out of State	332	C 5)	80.0

	onal Background			
Jari	iables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
3.	Occupation		والأراطي فيوافي	
	<u>occupation</u>			
	Professional	76	(1)	0.0%
7 - 1	Semi-Professional	110	(2)	0.0%
	Business		(7.)	0.0%
	Sales, Clerical	503	(8)	0.4%
	Manual	3415	(54)	0.1%
	Services	1179	(19)	0.3%
1.1	Agriculture	23	(0)	0.0%
	Armed Services	50	(1)	0.0%
	Housekeeper	15	(0)	0.0%
	Student	129	(2)	0.0%
	Unemployed	81	(1)	0.0%
i.	Unknown	272	(4)	0.7%
	UIIAIIOWII		√ , ∃ /	V., U
:	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
	TOTAL	0307	(1001	0.20
-	Time at Most Skilled Positi			
I.	Time at most skilled Positi	<u>-0.11</u>		
	Less Than One Month	437	(7)	0.0%
	1-2 Months	391	(6)	0.3%
	3-4 Months	716	(12)	0.0%
	5-6 Months	443	(7)	0.2%
	7-9 Months	313	(5)	0.3%
	10-12 Months	399	(6)	1.0%
	1-2 Years	819	(13)	0.1%
	2-5 Years	1279	(20)	0.0%
	More Than 5 Years	811	(13)	0.2%
	Unknown	699	(11)	0.3%
٠.	UIMIONI			
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
	1017			
I.	Time at Job of Longest Dura	ntion		
	Less Than One Month	392	(6)	0.0%
*	1-2 Months	335	(5)	0.3%
	3-4 Months	699	(11)	0.0%
	5-6 Months	441	(7)	0.2%
	7-9 Months	347	(6)	0.3%
٠.	10-12 Months	387	(6)	1.0%
	1-2 Years	815	(13)	0.1%
	2-5 Years	1378	(22)	0.0%
	More Than 5 Years	874	(14)	0.2%
	Unknown	639	(10)	0.3%
	GIIGIONII		C 207	

ersonal Background	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
			Douge India
Last Grade Completed			
Third or Less	149	(2)	0.7%
Fourth		(1)	0.0%
	69	(1)	0.0%
Fifth Sixth	199	(3)	0.0%
	347	(6)	0.0%
Seventh	699	(11)	0.4%
Eighth	887	(14)	0.4%
Ninth	715	(11)	0.0%
Tenth	715 728	(11)	0.0%
Eleventh	1816		
High School Graduate		(29)	0.1%
Some College	456	(7)	0.2%
College Graduate	158	(2)	0.0%
Unknown	46	(1)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
TOTAL	0307	(100)	0.23
. Known Drug Use			
None	3984	(63)	0.1%
Non-Specific	292	(5)	0.0%
Heroin	1015	(16)	0.6%
Marijuana	497	(8)	0.2%
Other	422	(7)	0.0%
Unknown	97	(2)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

Criminal History Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
A. Total Number of Court Appear	ances		
First Offense	475	(7)	0.0%
Two	464	(7)	0.2%
Three	487	(8)	0.0%
Four	355	(6)	0.0%
Five	369	(6)	0.0%
6 to 8	862	(14)	0.1%
9 to 11	996	(16)	0.1%
12 to 15	710	(11)	0.3%
16 to 20	625	(10)	0.3%
More Than 20	931	(_ 15)	0.5%
Unknown	.33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
B. Number of Charges for Person	Offenses		
None	651	(10)	0.0%
One in the interest of the second	1018	(16)	0.0%
Two	996	(16)	0.3%
Three	772	(12)	0.1%
Four	697	(11)	0.1%
Five	500	(8)	0.0%
6 to 8	891	(14)	0.4%
More Than Eight	749	(12)	0.4%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
C. Number of Charges for Proper	ty Offenses		
None	1714	(27)	0.1%
One	665	(11)	0.0%
Two	538	(9)	0.0%
Three	410	(6)	0.0%
Pour	407	(6)	0.0%
Five	276	(4)	0.4%
6 to 8	730	(12)	0.1%
More than Eight	1534	(24)	0.5%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

minal History iables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
Number of Charges for Sex (Offenses		
None	5248	(83)	0.2%
One One	563	(9)	0.0%
Two	164	(* 3)	0.6%
Three	157	(3)	0.0%
Four	49	(1)	0.0%
Five	23	(0)	4.3%
6 to 8	64	(1)	0.0%
More Than Eight	6	(, 0)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Number of Charges for Drug	Offenses		
None	3936	(62)	0.2%
One	825	(13)	0.2%
Two	365	(6)	0.8%
Three	240	(4)	0.0%
Four	227	(4)	0.0%
Five	154	(2)	0.0%
6 to 8	284	(5)	0.4%
More Than Eight	243	(4)	0.0%
Unknown	.33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Number of Charges for Drun	kenness Offenses		
None	4562	(72)	0.2%
One	896	(14)	0.2%
Two	299	(5)	0.3%
Three	181	(3)	0.0%
Four	77	(1)	0.0%
Five	96	(2)	0.0%
6 to 8	100	(2)	1.0%
More Than Eight	63	(1)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

iminal History riables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
Number of Charges for Escape ()ffenses		
Humber of Charges for Double	<u> </u>		
None	5849	(93)	0.2%
One	315	(5)	0.0%
Two many and a second s	71	(1)	1.4%
Three	12	(0)	0.0%
Four	18	(0)	0.0%
Five	1	(0)	0.0%
6 to 8	8	(0)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Indicator of Juvenile Commitme	<u>∍nt</u>		
27.	5152	(82)	0.2%
No			
Yes	1122	(18)	0.3%
Unknown	.33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Number of Prior County Incarce	rations		
None	4205	(67)	0.2%
One	1057	(17)	0.0%
Two	444	(7)	0.5%
Three	249	(4)	0.0%
Four	162	(3)	0.0%
Five	88	(1)	0.0%
Six or More	69	(1)	4.3%
Unknown	.33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Number of Prior State or Feder	al Incarceration	ons	
None	4874	(77)	0.1%
One	774	(12)	0.5%
Two	282	(5)	0.7%
Three	199	(3)	0.5%
Four	48	(1)	0.0%
Five	65	(1)	0.0%
Six or More	32	(0)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%

iminal History			
riables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
Total Number of Prior Adul	t Incarcerations	Allea III a sa s	
None	3469	(55)	0.1%
One	1237	(20)	0.2%
Two	598	(10)	0.5%
Three	309	(5)	0.3%
Four	266	(4)	0.0%
Five Six or More	206 189	(3) (3)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	1.6% 0.0%
Unkilowii	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
		(±00)	U.26
Number of Juvenile Paroles			
None	5534	(88)	0.2%
One	383	(6)	0.0%
Two	154	(2)	0.0%
Three	124	(2)	0.0%
More than Three	79	(1)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
		电电子等性 医电流	
Number of Juvenile Parole	Violations		
Never Paroled	5534	(88)	0.2%
None	336	· (5)	0.0%
One	221	(4)	0.0%
Two	107	(2)	0.0%
Three	9	(0)	0.0%
More Than Three	67	(1)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
TOTAL	0307	C100)	0.25
Number of Adult Paroles			
	And the second		
	4941	(78)	0.1%
None	810	(13)	0.5%
None One		and the second of the second o	
None One Two	265	(4)	1.1%
One	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.1% 0.6%
One Two	265	(3) (1)	
One Two Three	265 173	(3) (1)	0.6%
One Two Three More Than Three	265 173 85	(3)	0.6% 0.0%

riminal History	**	D======	Danama Dana
ariables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
Number of Adult Parole Viola	tions		
Never Paroled	4941	(79)	0.1%
None	564	(9)	0.5%
One	. 517	(8)	0.6%
Two	200	(3)	1.0%
Three	21	(0)	0.0%
More Than Three	31	(0)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Total Number of Paroles			
None	4575	(73)	0.1%
One	803	(13)	0.5%
Two	390	(6)	0.8%
Three	194	(3)	0.5%
More Than Three	312	(5)	0.0%
Unknown	33	(0)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
Total Number of Parole Viola	tions		
Never Paroled	4575	(73)	0.1%
None	720	(11)	0.4%
One	507	(8)	0.4%
Two	232	(4)	1.3%
Three	127	(2)	0.0%
More Than Three	113	(2)	0.0%
Unknown	.33	(0)	0.0%
ምርሞልፕ.	6307	(100)	0.2%

	ninal History		D	Boons Date
Vari	ables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
·		<u> </u>		
R.	Age at First Court Appearance			
Λ.	Age at 11152 cours appearance			
	Ten or Younger	276	(4)	0.7%
* *	Eleven	105	(2)	0.0%
4, .	Twelve	205	(3)	0.5%
	Thirteen	429	(7)	0.7%
	Fourteen	441	(7)	0.2%
	Fifteen	475	(8)	0.0%
	Sixteen	560	(9)	0.2%
	Seventeen	903	(14)	0.1%
	Eighteen	594	(9)	0.3%
	Nineteen	399	(6)	0.0%
	Twenty	295	(5)	0.0%
-	Twenty-one	257	(4)	0.0%
	Twenty-two	167	(3)	0.0%
	Twenty-three	244	(4)	0.0%
	Twenty-four	135	(2)	0.0%
	Twenty-five	52	(1)	0.0%
	26 to 30	233	(4)	0.0%
	31 to 40	339	(5)	0.3%
e e	Older than 40	9.8	(2)	0.0%
4.	Unknown	100	(2)	0.0%
100	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
-				
s.	Age at First Court Appearance for	or Drunkennes:	s Offense	
				And the second of the
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Not Applicable	4575	(. 72)	0.2%
	8 to 14	40	(1)	0.0%
	15 to 17	325	(5)	0.0%
	18 to 19	415	(7)	0.2%
i.	20 to 21	275	(4)	0.4%
	22 to 24	336	(5)	0.3%
	25 to 29	205	(3)	0.0%
	30 to 34	36	(1)	0.0%
	35 to 39	18	(0)	0.0%
	40 And Older	50	(1)	0.0%
	Unknown	32	(0)	0.0%
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

	inal History ables	27 (0) 3.7% 334 (5) 0.9% 552 (9) 0.4% 362 (6) 0.0% 334 (5) 0.0% 352 (6) 0.0% 352 (6) 0.0% 191 (3) 0.0% 82 (1) 0.0%		
т.	Age at First Court Appearance	for Drug Offense		
	Not Applicable	3974	(. 63)	0.2%
	8 to 14	. 27	(0)	3.7%
	15 to 17	334	(5)	0.9%
	18 to 19	552	(9)	0.4%
	20 to 21	362	(6)	0.0%
	22 to 24	334	(5)	0.0%
	25 to 29	the second control of		and the second s
, s - 2	30 to 34	191	(3)	0.0%
4	35 to 39	82	(1)	
	40 and Older			and the second of the second o
	Unknown		(0)	
	TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%

Frulough Variables	Number	Percent	Escape Rate
A. Type of Furlough			
Earned	6103	(97)	0.2%
Emergency	61.	(1)	0.0%
Escorted	143	(2)	0.0%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
B. Month Furloughed			
January	601	(10)	0.0%
February	468	(8)	0.0%
March	459	(7)	0.2%
April	532	(8)	0.2%
May	580	(9)	0.5%
June	486	(8)	0.0%
of July	530	(9)	0.2%
August	457	(7)	0.2%
September	453	(7)	0.0%
October	526	(8)	0.6%
November	567	(9)	0.0%
December	648	(10)	0.3%
TOTAL	6307	(100)	0.2%
C. Hours Furloughed			
	393	(6)	0.0%
6 or Less	1655	(26)	0.1%
7 to 12	_1833 477	(8)	0.0%
13 to 18 19 to 24	1488	(24)	0.0%
25 to 30	366	(6)	0.0%
	644	(10)	0.0%
31 to 36	141	(2)	0.7%
37 to 42	852	(14)	0.1%
43 to 48	282	(4)	0.0%
More Than 48	202	(0)	100.0%
Escape or Arrest		C 01	100.02
TOTAL.	6307	(100)	0.2%