Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012

State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms
Montana 1	At Large	1	2,320
Minnesota	7	2	2,255
Nebraska	3	3	2,253
South Dakota 1	At Large	4	2,150
Kansas	1	5	2,129
North Dakota <sup>1</sup>	At Large	6	2,124
Texas	13	7	1,915
	13	8	,
Arkansas	-		1,893
lowa	4	9	1,885
Oklahoma	3	10	1,671
Texas	11	11	1,652
Missouri	6	12	1,616
Texas	19	13	1,553
Colorado	4	14	1,524
Kentucky	1	15	1,462
Mississippi	2	16	1,350
Wisconsin	3	17	1,327
Minnesota	1	18	1,283
lowa	i l	19	1,254
	2	20	1,187
lowa		20	1,167
Illinois	15	21	1,180
Colorado	3	22	1,177
Missouri	4	23	1,173
	2	24	1,173
Kentucky			I *
Idaho	2	25	1,145
Missouri	8	26	1,133
Texas	23	27	1,117
Oklahoma	2	28	1,109
Wyoming <sup>1</sup>	At Large	29	1,095
Oregon	2	30	1,029
Vanage.		21	000
Kansas	2	31	993
Washington	4	32	977
Texas	4	33	953
Texas	27	34	952
California	21	35	950
Texas	10	36	947
California	3	37	893
Illinois	18	38	870
Kentucky	6	39	860
Wisconsin	7	40	833
WISCOTISIT	( )	40	833
Louisiana	5	41	817
Texas	25	42	794
Arkansas	4	43	792
Texas	17	44	789
Missouri	3	45	788
Wisconsin	2	46	777
Ohio	5	47	773
	5	48	743
Virginia			_
Mississippi	1	49	742
California	1	50	737
Virginia	9	51	728
Tennessee	7	52	703
Texas	28	53	697
Vormont 1			
Vermont <sup>1</sup>	At Large	54	695
Indiana	3	55	692
Kansas	4	56	690
Kentucky	4	57	683
New Mexico	2	58	679
Ohio	4	59	679
Wisconsin	6	60	676
	40	61	674
		61	6/4
Illinois	16		
IllinoisIndiana	8 21	62 63	670 670

See footnote(s) at end of table.

Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012 (continued)

State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms
Utah	1 15 3 1 1 34 16 17 5	64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 72	666 665 660 658 657 624 621 620 619
Pennsylvania  Wisconsin New York Tennessee Michigan California Virginia Tennessee California Ohio Mississippi	8 23 8 4 2 6 6 23 7	74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83	616 595 593 587 575 574 563 562 560 557
Texas Arizona New York Indiana Ohio Florida Oklahoma California New York Pennsylvania	1 1 19 6 6 17 4 22 21	84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93	555 554 553 552 552 546 546 544 544 537
Oregon Indiana Tennessee New Mexico California Michigan Kentucky California Utah Michigan	4 4 4 3 10 7 5 24 2	94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102	526 518 515 511 507 504 501 492 484 478
Texas California Georgia Texas Maryland Illinois New York California Michigan Pennsylvania	5 5 8 8 1 13 22 4 6 5	104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112	478 471 469 461 457 455 452 451 450 448
Alabama Missouri Illinois North Carolina Alabama Michigan Georgia Hawaii California Minnesota	2 7 12 5 4 10 2 2 9	114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122	445 445 442 430 429 429 426 425 409
Ohio	15 12 3	124 125 126	405 401 400

See footnote(s) at end of table.

Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012 (continued)

State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms
Indiana	2	127	394
Tennessee	1	128	394
New York	27	129	392
North Carolina	7	130	374
Florida	3	131	372
Louisiana	3	132	370
Louisiana	4	133	369
Ohio	8	134	364
South Carolina	6	135	363
	2		
West Virginia	2	136	359
North Carolina	11	137	356
Oregon	5	138	356
Georgia	9	139	340
Pennsylvania	3	140	337
Minnesota	6	141	336
Alabama	5	142	332
Minnesota	2	143	332
North Carolina	1	144	328
Alabama	7	145	325
Maine	2	146	325
IVIGITIO	2	140	323
Michigan	3	147	314
North Carolina	6	148	313
Pennsylvania	11	149	312
California	20	150	309
South Carolina	5	151	307
Indiana	9	152	303
Washington	3	153	295
West Virginia	1	154	295
Pennsylvania	16	155	293
Alabama	3	156	292
Alabama	ŭ	100	232
Georgia	10	157	290
Oregon	1	158	289
Michigan	2	159	286
Ohio	2	160	282
		. 7 7	
South Carolina	3	161	282
Colorado	2	162	280
Texas	31	163	280
Tennessee	3	164	275
Tennessee	2	165	273
Texas	36	166	273
North Carolina	3	167	267
Ohio	12	168	267
North Carolina	8	169	263
Texas	6	170	261
Arkansas	2	171	259
	3	172	253
Utah			
California	26	173	252
Arizona	4	174	249
Missouri	5	175	245
Ohio	16	176	242
Arizono	2	477	0.40
Arizona	3	177	240
Washington	8	178	240
West Virginia	3	179	239
California	50	180	238
North Carolina	2	181	238
Connecticut	2	182	236
Wisconsin	5		
_ 111 1 1 .		183	236
Pennsylvania	4	184	234
South Carolina	7	185	230
New York	24	186	229
Novada		407	200
Nevada	2	187	226 222
		100	222
Mississippi	4   1	188 189	217

See footnote(s) at end of table.

Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012 (continued)

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text.]				
State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms	
Virginia	4	190	214	
Texas	12	191	213	
North Carolina	10	192	211	
Texas New Jersey	14 2	193 194	211 210	
Florida	11	195	207	
Virginia	7	196	206	
Georgia	14	197	204	
New Hampshire	2	198	201	
Maine	1	199	200	
Pennsylvania	18	200	198	
Virginia	10	201	198	
Wisconsin	1	202	198	
Texas	26	203	196	
Pennsylvania	15	204	189	
Michigan	5	205	186	
Utah	4	206	185	
Colorado Ohio	5   14	207 208	184 182	
Connecticut	5	209	181	
		200		
South Carolina	.2	210	181	
Illinois	14	211	180	
North Carolina Massachusetts	13 1	212 213	175 172	
Indiana	5	213	172	
Pennsylvania	12	215	170	
Florida	2	216	167	
Georgia	3	217	163	
Delaware <sup>1</sup>	At Large	218	161	
Pennsylvania	<b>'</b>	219	159	
Michigan	8	220	158	
New Jersey	7	221	158	
Alabama	1	222	154	
Florida	1	223	150	
Maryland	8	224	142	
Oklahoma Maryland	1 6	225 226	142 140	
California	36	227	139	
Oklahoma	5	228	137	
Arizona	2	229	134	
		200	400	
Massachusetts	2	230	133	
Virginia Washington	1 2	231 232	133 132	
Alabama	6	233	130	
Oregon	3	234	130	
Texas	22	235	125	
Louisiana	6	236	119	
New Hampshire	1	237	119	
Pennsylvania Florida	6   15	238 239	118 116	
Fiorida	13	239	110	
Washington	6	240	115	
Ohio	10	241	114	
New Jersey	4	242	113	
Florida	10	243	111	
New Jersey	5	244	111	
California	8 1	245 246	107 107	
Georgia Pennsylvania	17	240	107	
Maryland	5	248	102	
New Mexico	1	249	100	
Ohio	1	250	100	
Connecticut	1   18	251 252	97 97	
Florida	16	252	97	

See footnote(s) at end of table.

Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012 (continued)

State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms
Rhode Island	2	253	97
Florida	8	254	94
Nevada	4	255	94
Massachusetts	3	256	93
New York	18	257	92
			90
Florida	6	258	
New York	1	259	89
Massachusetts	9	260	87
New Jersey	3	261	87
South Carolina	4	262	87
California	51	263	86
Illinois	2	264	86
New York	20	265	86
Tennessee	5	266	83
California	19	267	81
Louisiana	1	268	80
Pennsylvania	8	269	79
Texas	35	270	79
Florida	26	271	77
North Carolina	9	272	77
California	7	273	71
Florida	5	274	69
Indiana	ĭ	275	69
Florida	12	276	67
Georgia	11	277	67
Florida	25	278	65
North Carolina	4	279	65
Connecticut	3	280	63
Nebraska	2	281	63
California	18	282	61
Massachusetts	4	283	61
California	42	284	59
Ohio	13	285	59
Washington	10	286	58
Florida	9	287	57
California	49	288	56
Maryland	7	289	54
Florida	20	290	53
Arizona	5	291	51
Florida	16	292	51
Virginia	2	293	51
Arizona	6	294	49
Kansas	3	295	48
Texas	3	296	48
Rhode Island	1	297	47
Alaska <sup>1</sup>	At Large	298	46
		299	40
Michigan	12		
Minnesota	3	300	40
Ohio	9	301	38
Arizona	8	302	37
California	15	303	36
Connecticut	4	304	35
Florida	21	305	35
New Jersey	11	306	35
North Carolina	12	307	35
Colorado	7	308	34
Florida	4	309	34
Louisiana	2	310	33
California	25	311	32
California	35	312	32
South Carolina	ا ہ	242	20
South Carolina	1	313   314	32 30
Colifornia			
California	41 7	314	30

See footnote(s) at end of table.

Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012 (continued)

State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms
Florida Michigan New York Colorado New Jersey Texas New Jersey California Texas California	19 11 25 6 1 30 12 11 16	316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325	29 29 29 28 28 27 26 25 25
Virginia Hawaii Tennessee Massachusetts Texas Georgia Florida Georgia Missouri Washington	3 1 9 6 7 7 23 13 2 9	326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335	23 21 20 19 19 18 17 17
Arizona Texas Arizona Minnesota New Jersey Texas Texas Washington California Georgia	7 20 9 4 6 24 32 7 33 6	336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345	16 16 15 15 15 15 15 15
Georgia Kentucky Maryland California Florida Florida Maryland Florida Maryland Illinois	4 3 3 52 14 27 2 22 22 4	346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355	13 13 13 12 12 12 12 11 11
Texas California California Illinois Illinois Illinois Massachusetts Nevada New York Pennsylvania	33 28 48 3 10 11 8 3 3	356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365	10 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
Texas California California Illinois Indiana Massachusetts Ohio Texas California	9 31 43 45 1 7 5 11 2 6	366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375	9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
California	39 40 47	376 377 378	7 7 7

See footnote(s) at end of table.

Table 15. Farms by Legal Status for Tax Purposes – Partnerships Ranking of Congressional Districts: 2012 (continued)

State	Congressional district	Rank	Farms
New York	2	379	7
California	27	380	6
California	32	381	6
California	37	382	6
Georgia	5	383	6
New York	17	384	6
Texas	18	385	6
California	46	386	5
Illinois	5	387	5
Michigan	13	388	5
California	44	389	4
Florida	24	390	4
Missouri	1	391	4
Wisconsin	4	392	4
California	17	393	3
Florida	13	394	3
Illinois	7	395	3
Michigan	14	396	3
New Jersey	9	397	3
	4		3
New York	4	398	3
New York	12	399	3
New York	26	400	3
Virginia	8	401	3
California	12	402	2
California	13	403	2
California	30	404	2
Illinois	8	405	2
Minnesota	5	406	2
New York	9	407	2
New York	16	408	2
Pennsylvania	2	409	2
Texas	29	410	2
California	29	411	1
California	53	412	1
Colorado	1	413	1
Massachusetts	7	414	i 1
Michigan	9	415	i 1
Nevada	1	416	1
New Jersey	10	417	
Ohio	3	418	i
Pennsylvania	14	419	1
Virginia	11	420	1
v II gii II a	II	420	ı

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State totals only are presented for the "At Large" states.