Algorithms (CSCI-261-03, CSCI-264), Fall 2023/24 Homework 1, due Friday, Sept 15, 2023, 11:59pm

Problem 1

Rank the following functions by order of growth; that is, find an arrangement $g_1(n), g_2(n), \ldots, g_{32}(n)$ of functions satisfying $g_i(n) = O(g_{i+1}(n))$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots 31\}$. Partition your list into equivalence classes such that f(n) and g(n) are in the same class if and only if $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$. You do not have to prove your answers.

Remarks:

- In this class we use $\log n$ to denote the logarithm base 2.
- The Stirling's formula is helpful when dealing with n!. The Stirling's formula is:

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{n})\right)$$

• Use also this fact: for any constants $b_1, b_2 > 0$:

$$\log^{b_1} n = O(n^{b_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad n^{b_2} \neq O(\log^{b_1} n)$$

In words, logarithm of n raised to any power grows slower than any power of n.

Problem 2

We have a computer network with n computers. For each pair of computers we know whether this pair is directly connected by a cable. The cables are bi-directional, that is for a cable connecting computer A to computer B, we can route a packet from A to B, or from B to A.

To protect this network, we would like to monitor the traffic through all the cables. We will designate some of the computers as "trusted" — a software engineer will be assigned to each trusted computer and they will monitor the traffic through all the cables the trusted computer is directly connected to. However, such monitoring is expensive. Therefore, we want to designate as few computers as trusted as possible while still monitoring every single cable in the network.

Professor Smart came up with a brilliant plan: Let's designate as trusted the computer that is directly connected to the largest number of other computers (if there are more such computers, choose one of them). Then pretend to remove this computer and all its cables from the network, getting a smaller network. Repeat this process, designating the next trusted computer, while there are still cables in the network.

For example, if our network has four computers A, B, C, and D, and the pairs of computers directly connected by cables are (A,B), (A,C), (B,C), and (C,D), then Professor Smart's algorithm would designate computer C as trusted, since it is connected to three other computers. After removing C and its cables, we are left with a single cable connecting A and B, so the algorithm would designate one of these computers as trusted — maybe it chooses A. We would have two designated computers, A and C, which is the smallest possible number of computers covering all the cables in this computer network.

- Give a pseudo code for Professor Smart's algorithm. In particular: Specify the variables and/or data structure(s) in which you store the input data. Give names to the main data structures and variables in your pseudo code. Write the pseudo code using indentation, while- and/or for-loops, if-conditions, and other typical pseudo code keywords.
- Estimate the algorithm's running time using asymptotic notation as a function of n and reason your estimate. Aim for a low polynomial estimate (it does not have to be optimal).
- Does the algorithm work? That is, does it always produce a smallest set of computers that cover all the cables? If not, provide a counterexample. That is, (a) draw a computer network on which the algorithm fails, (b) trace the algorithm on your example and show the algorithm's output (the set of computers it chose it is ok to assume that the algorithm made a "bad choice" when having multiple computers to choose from), and (c) highlight an optimal solution (a smallest set of computers that cover all the cables).

Note: This problem is known as the Vertex Cover. We will learn more about it later in the term.

Problem 3

We have p house plants in planters of various sizes s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_p . The plants are getting too big and each plant has to be replanted to a larger planter than the one it currently has. The good news is that we have r extra planters of sizes t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r . Is it possible to replant the plants, one at a time, always putting the plant to a larger currently empty planter? Let n = p + r. Design an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm which decides whether such replanting is possible.

For example, for p = 4 and r = 2 and planters $s_1, \ldots, s_4 = 1, 4, 3, 2$ and $t_1, t_2 = 5, 1$ we can first move the plant in the planter of size 4 to the empty planter of size 5, then move the plant in the planter of size 3 to the now-empty planter of size 4, then move the plant in the planter of size 2 to the now-empty planter of size 3, and finally move the plant in the planter of size 1 to the now-empty planter of size 2. (We will be left with two empty planters of size 1 each.) Thus, for the input, the answer is YES. On the other hand, for input p = 3 and r = 1 and planters $s_1, s_2, s_3 = 2, 2, 2$ and $t_1 = 3$ the answer is NO.

Problem 4

Given are n real numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n . Find a value t that can be obtained by adding two of these numbers and, moreover, the number of pairs of these numbers that add up to t is the largest possible. Formally, find a t such that the size of the set $\{(i,j) \mid i < j, a_i + a_j = t\}$ is maximum among all possible t's. For example, for 2, 7, 3, 1, 5, 6, we have: 2+6=7+1=3+5. This is the largest number of pairs that sum to the same number, and, therefore, t=8. If there are multiple possible t's, find the smallest one. Give an $O(n^2 \log n)$ algorithm for this problem.

Problem 5 (for the honors section)

Five kids want to split a circular necklace with n beads of different sizes. The kids want to cut the necklace into exactly five segments so that each segment weights the same amount. Fortunately, each bead has its weight printed on it. (The beads are glued onto the necklace and when the necklace gets cut, the beads keep their position.) For a sequence of bead weights w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n (given in the order in which the beads appear on the necklace), design an O(n) algorithm that determines whether it is possible to cut the necklace into five segments of equal weight. (An $O(n^2)$ algorithm gets partial credit.)

For example, if the necklace has beads of weights 2, 10, 5, 5, 3, 1, 6, 4, 6, 8, we can cut it into segments [8, 2], [10], [5, 5], [3, 1, 6], and [4, 6], where each segment weights 10. (Since the necklace is circular, the bead of weight 2 neighbors the bead of weight 8 and the necklace can be cut so that these two beads are in the same segment.) Therefore the algorithm would output YES in this case. If the necklace has beads 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, then the algorithm would output NO as it is impossible to cut this necklace into five segments of equal weight.