

# Critique of Interview.me

by TeamSpider

# Content Diagram

- Should combine arrows
- Seems odd to have “Company” in the context diagram, since users can use the site even if they don’t have a company to interview with.
- How many site admins are there?
- Why can only content creators participate in discussions?

# Key Concepts & Features

- Why only “software problems”? Many of the problems you mention are math/CS problems (e.g. “graph problems”).
- Include a lot of implementation details in concepts that should be moved to feature descriptions
- Do test cases check the code output or the code itself?
- What is the difference between a category and a topic?

# Data Model

- Types of users not included (admin, content creator, etc.).
- Why can't coding problems have comments?

# Security

- Should take a more proactive approach in making sure that malicious test cases won't harm user. Maybe have some functions to sanitize test cases or sandbox them?
- Might want to mention why you are using TSL rather than SSL.

# Wireframes

- A little cluttered; might want to use straight lines rather than curvy lines.
- Need “log out” arrows.
- Shouldn’t have 2 “GET interview.me” arrows.
- Don’t need “if authorized” on arrows; it is implied.
- Too much text, e.g. “show solution given by the author of the problem” => “show solution”.