

# Errata for the Fourth Printing

Nancy Lynch

**Note: Page and line numbers below are in the fourth printing.**

p. xvi:

Chapter 23 title should be “Modeling V: Partially Synchronous System Models”.

p. 35, line 8:

”are left as an exercise (Exercise 3.6)”.

p. 48:

After Exercise 3.5, add a new exercise:

“Prove that the total time complexity of the HS algorithm is at most  $3n$  if  $n$  is a power of 2, and  $5n$  otherwise.”

p. 61, line 19:

”time is  $O(\text{diam})$ , the number of messages is  $O(\text{diam}|E|)$ , and the number of bits is  $O(n|E|b)$ .”

p. 111, line 3:

“it must be that  $i_k$  does not send a message...”

p. 154:

After Exercise 6.5, add a new exercise:

“If the FloodSet algorithm is run for only  $f$  rounds instead of  $f + 1$ , then what is the largest number of different decisions that can be reached by nonfaulty processes?”

p. 155:

After Exercise 6.13, add a new exercise:

“If the EIGStop algorithm is run for only  $f$  rounds instead of  $f + 1$ , then what is the largest number of different decisions that can be reached by nonfaulty processes?”

p. 234:

After Exercise 8.16, add a new exercise:

“Consider a channel  $D$ , which is similar to channel  $C$  on p. 204, except that it allows internal message duplication.

More specifically, in addition to the **send** and **receive** actions,  $D$  has two internal actions, **duplicate** and **discard**. When a **send**( $m$ ) occurs, the message  $m$  is added to the end of the queue along with a Boolean tag. Tags for successive messages that are sent alternate,  $1, 0, 1, 0, \dots$ . A **duplicate** causes an arbitrary message in the queue to be duplicated in place, along with its tag. The channel also keeps track of the tag of the last message delivered. A **receive** delivers the first message on the queue, as before, but only if the tag is unequal to that of the last message delivered. A **discard** discards the first message on the queue, provided the tag is the same as that of the last message delivered.

- (a) Give formal code for automaton  $D$ , in the same style as the other code in this chapter.
  - (b) Prove carefully that  $D$  implements  $C$ , in the sense of inclusion of sets of traces. Use a simulation relation.”
- p. 286:  
The precondition for `set-flagi` should use `=` instead of `:=`.
- p. 330:  
After Exercise 10.23, add a new exercise: :  
“Prove that the Bakery algorithm guarantees bounded bypass.”
- p. 425, line 6:  
“returned by the second of these two sets of reads (which...”
- p. 425, line 7:  
“by the first of the two sets of reads”  
  
What follows now is a collection of changes that would have to be made all together, for consistency. This change would simplify some arguments. The new observation is that we can omit Condition 1 in the statement of Lemma 16. It is implied by Condition 2, because  $\beta$  is a sequence.
- p. 434, line -1:  
Replace “four” by “three”.
- p. 435, line 1:  
Replace “four” by “three”.
- p. 435, Lemma 13.16:  
Remove the first condition and renumber the others.  
  
After the Lemma, remove the first sentence, explaining Condition 1, and renumber the mentions of Conditions 2, 3, and 4 in the rest of this paragraph as 1, 2, and 3.  
  
Modify the proof to begin with:  
  
“We begin by claiming that for any operation  $\pi$  in  $\Pi$ , there are only finitely many operations  $\phi$  such that  $\phi < \pi$ . This is because, if there were infinitely many such operations  $\phi$ , then by Condition 1, all of their invocation events would have to precede the response event of  $\beta$ . (The fact that  $\beta$  contains no incomplete operations implies that such a response event does in fact appear in  $\beta$ .) But  $\beta$  is a sequence, so no element can have infinitely many predecessors, a contradiction.  
  
Now we describe how to insert a serialization ....”
- p. 435, line -6:  
Instead of “Condition 1 implies”, say “The claim at the beginning of this proof implies”.
- p. 436, line 13:  
Condition 1
- p. 436, line 18:  
Condition 2

- p. 436, line 19:  
Condition 3
- p. 439, line 14:  
Replace “four” with “three”.
- p. 439:  
Remove the entire proof numbered 1, which spans from line 16 to line -7 on the page. Renumber the remaining three parts as 1, 2 and 3 instead of 2, 3 and 4.
- p. 443, line -3:  
Replace “four” with “three”.
- p. 443, line -2 and -1:  
“Conditions 2 and 3 are immediate, so all we must show is Condition 1. For this, the following...”
- p. 444, line -15 and -14:  
Omit the tiny paragraph “Using Claims 13.24 and...an exercise”
- p. 453:  
Omit Exercise 13.27.
- p. 444, line 13:  
Replace “For Condition 2,” with “To show Condition 1,”
- p. 580, line 1:  
Replace “four” with “three”.  
This is the end of coordinated set of changes.
- p. 700, lines -1 and -2:  
Four instances of  $\alpha$  should be  $\alpha'$ .
- p. 731:  
After Exercise 22.13, add a new exercise: :  
“Prove that the **Probe** protocol is not message bounded. Do this by showing, for each  $k$ , how to produce a complete execution  $\alpha$  that has no  $k$ -extensions.”
- p. 736, line -12:  
Replace “lower” with “lower(C)”.