Inspire Create Transform



A note on "Scheduling the South American Qualifiers to the 2018 FIFA World Cup by integer programming"

Jamer José Rebolledo Quiroz Unan Carlos Rivera Agudelo^{‡ I}
Departamento de Ciencias Matemáticas
Escuela de Ciencias

Universidad EAFIT 2020



CONTENTS

- 1. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
- 2. STATE OF THE ART
- 3. MATHEMATICAL MODEL
- 4. COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIMENTS
- 5. ADDITIONAL FEATURES
- 6. REFERENCES

1. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

- In South America, every 4 years, 10 national soccer teams compete for one of the 4.5 spots in the World Cup.
- This process is made via a tournament "all against all", where all teams play twice against each other.
- The configuration of the matches, have to keep some restrictions and also favorably, a symmetry scheme.

1. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

- In 2017, Durán et al. (2017) used integer lineal programming to propose schedules that meet all the requirements.
- They introduced some symmetry schemes to finally adopt the French One.
- In this research, we want to analyze the symmetry schemes proposed in order to compare time convergence and features.
- Also, additional features are proposed based on the observed results.



2. STATE OF THE ART

Durán et al. (2017) proposed the followings symmetry schemes

Scheme	Schedule								
Mirrored scheme	1	2	3	 9	1	2	3	 8	9
French scheme	1	2	3	 9	2	3	4	 9	1
English scheme	1	2	3	 9	9	1	2	 7	8
Inverted scheme	1	2	3	 9	9	8	7	 2	1
Back to back scheme	1	1	2	 5	5	6	6	 9	9

Table 1. Symmetry schemes

2. STATE OF THE ART

Some of the restrictions incompatible with some schemes, for example

- The back-to-back scheme is not compatible with the first double robin constraint: every team faces every other once in the first half and once in the second half.
- The mirrored scheme is incompatible with the balance constraints.



We got a set of teams $I = \{1, ..., n\}$ (in our case n = 10), a set of dates (rounds) $K = \{1, \dots, 2(n-1)\}$ which have a subset K_{odd} which would be the odd rounds. The main decision variable X_{iik} is a binary variable that is equal to 1 if the team i plays against team *j* at home in round *k* and 0 otherwise.

Double round robin constraints:

$$\sum_{k \in K: k \le n-1} (X_{i,j,k} + X_{j,i,k}) = 1 \qquad \forall i \in I, j \in I: i \ne j$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{k \in K: k > n-1} (X_{i,j,k} + X_{j,i,k}) = 1 \qquad \forall \ i \in I, j \in I : i \neq j$$
 (2)

$$\sum_{k \in K} X_{i,j,k} = 1 \qquad \forall j \in I, k \in K$$
 (3)

Compactness. The schedule must also be compact, that is, all teams must play one match in each round.

$$\sum_{i \in I: i \neq i} (X_{i,j,k} + X_{j,i,k}) = 1 \qquad \forall j \in I, k \in K$$
 (4)

Top team constraints. The set of best teams I_s is conformed by Argentina and Brazil.

$$\sum_{i \in I_{k}} (X_{i,j,k} + X_{j,i,k} + X_{i,j,k+1} + X_{j,i,k+1}) \le 1 \qquad \forall i \in I \setminus I_{S}, k \in K : k \le |K|$$
 (5)

Balance constraints. These are included to maintain a balanced distribution among the teams of H-A sequences in double rounds.

$$n/2 - 1 \le \sum_{k \in K_{odd}} y_{i,k} \le n/2 \qquad \forall i \in I$$
 (6)

$$\sum_{j \in I: i \neq j} (X_{i,j,k} + X_{j,i,k+1}) \le 1 + y_{i,k} \qquad \forall i \in I, k \in K_{odd}$$
 (7)

$$y_{i,k} \le \sum_{j \in I: i \ne j} x_{j,i,k+1} \quad \forall i \in I, k \in K_{odd}$$
 (8)

Objective function. They aim at minimizing the total number of away breaks within double across all teams.

$$\sum_{j \in l: i \neq l} (X_{i,j,k} + X_{j,i,k+1}) \le 1 + w_{i,k} \qquad \forall i \in I, k \in K_{odd}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

$$w_{i,k} \le \sum_{j \in I: i \ne j} X_{j,i,k} \qquad \forall i \in I, k \in K_{odd}$$
 (10)

$$w_{i,k} \le \sum_{j \in l: i \ne j} X_{j,i,k+1} \qquad \forall i \in I, k \in K_{odd}$$
(11)

The minimization of the total number of breaks in double rounds is captured by the following objective function:

$$\min \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k \in K_{odd}} w_{i,k} \tag{12}$$

Mirrored scheme.

$$X_{i,j,k} = X_{j,i,k+n-1} \quad \forall i, j \in I : i \neq j, k \in K : 1 \le k$$
 (13)

French scheme.

$$X_{i,j,1} = X_{j,i,2n-2}, \quad X_{i,j,k} = X_{j,i,k+n-2}, \quad \forall i,j \in I : i \neq j, 2 \leq k \leq n-1$$
 (14)

English scheme.

$$X_{i,j,n-1} = X_{j,i,n}, \quad X_{i,j,k} = X_{j,i,k+n}, \qquad \forall i,j \in I : i \neq j, 2 \leq k \leq n-2$$
 (15)

Inverted scheme.

$$X_{i,i,k} = X_{i,i,k+1} \qquad \forall i,j \in I : i \neq j, k \in K_{odd}$$
 (16)



4. COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIMENTS

We did an implementation of the model and run it for different values of n: 10 (original), 20, 30, 50 and 100.

The results are presented in the table ??, UK stands for unknown (we don't get a solution in an hour) and TLE is for Time Limit Exceed (the model will take more than an hour to get a solution).

n	10			20		30	50		
SCHEME	OF	Time (s)							
No symmetry	0	183.08	4	TLE	0	TLE	UK	TLE	
Mirrored	8	TLE	37	TLE	91	TLE	UK	TLE	
French	0	2.96	0	39.99	0	213.24	UK	TLE	
English	0	0.78	0	35.4	0	446.96	UK	TLE	
Inverted	0	114.04	0	241.79	0	1383.02	UK	TLE	
Back to back	0	0.15	0	7.73	0	82.71	0	1585.44	

With n = 100, all the schemes get the Time Limit Exceed and no solution founded.



5. ADDITIONAL FEATURES

We proposed two additional features to add to the model

In half of the matches, every team must play against the best teams once as local once as visitant.

$$\sum_{j \in I_s} \sum_{k \in K} X_{i,j,kp} = 1 \qquad \forall i \in I : i \neq j \quad k \le n - 1$$

$$\tag{17}$$

No more than 2 H-A or A-H consecutive sequences.

$$\sum_{k \le kp \le k+2} y_{i,kp} \le 2 \qquad \forall i \in I \ \forall j \in I : i \ne j \ \forall k \in K_{odd} \ k < |K| - 4$$

$$\sum_{k \le kp \le k+2} y_{i,kp} \ge 1 \qquad \forall i \in I \ \forall j \in I : i \ne j \ \forall k \in K_{odd} \ k < |K| - 4$$
(18)

This features keep the feasibility of the model and don't increase the computational time.



k < kp < k+2

REFERENCES

Durán, G., Guajardo, M., & Sauré, D. (2017). Scheduling the south american qualifiers to the 2018 fifa world cup by integer programming. Elsevier.



Muchas gracias. ¿Preguntas?

Jamer José Rebolledo Quiroz jjrebolleq@eafit.edu.co