

6 Convergence concepts. Asymptotics of OLS.

6.1 Convergence concepts

Definition 6.1.1: Convergence in probability

A sequence of random scalars $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges in probability to z iff $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|z_n - z| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$, or equivalently $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|z_n - z| < \varepsilon) = 1$. Written as $z_n \xrightarrow{p} z$ or $z_n - z = o_p(1)$ or $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$.

This definition is extended to a sequence of random vectors or random matrices by requiring element-by-element convergence in probability. That is, a sequence of K -dimensional vectors \mathbf{z}_n converges in probability to a K -dimensional vector \mathbf{z} if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|z_{nk} - z_k| > \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

where z_{nk} is the k -th element of \mathbf{z}_n and z_k the k -th element of \mathbf{z} .

Exercise 6.1.1. Let X_n be an IID sequence of continuous random variables having a uniform distribution over support

$$R_{X_n} = \left[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$$

with pdf

$$f_{X_n}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } x \in \left[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \left[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \end{cases}$$

Find the probability limit (if it exists) of the sequence X_n .

Solution:-

Intuitively as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the probability density becomes concentrated around $x = 0$; it seems reasonable to conjecture $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X = 0$. To show this formally, for any $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - 0| > \varepsilon) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [1 - P(-\varepsilon \leq X_n \leq \varepsilon)] \\ &= 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} f_{X_n}(x) dx \\ &= 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\max(-\varepsilon, -1/n)}^{\min(\varepsilon, 1/n)} \frac{n}{2} dx \quad (f(x) \text{ has no density outside } [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]) \\ &= 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \frac{n}{2} dx \quad (\text{when } n \text{ becomes large, } \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon) \\ &= 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.1.2: Convergence in distribution

A sequence of random scalars $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges in distribution to z iff, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{z_n}(z) = F_z(z)$ at all points where F_z is continuous. Written as $z_n \xrightarrow{d} z$ or $z_n - z = O_p(1)$ or as " z is the limiting distribution of z_n ".

Convergence in distribution is also known as weak convergence or the convergence in law.

Theorem 6.1.1. $z_n \xrightarrow{d} z$ iff $\mathbb{E}f(z_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}f(z)$ for all bounded, continuous functions f .

Claim 6.1.1. Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution but not vice versa. The reverse only holds when the limit in distribution is a constant.

Example ($z_n \xrightarrow{d} z \not\Rightarrow z_n \xrightarrow{p} z$). Let $z \sim N(0, 1)$. Let $z_n = -z$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; hence $z_n \sim N(0, 1)$. z_n has the same distribution function as z for all n so, trivially, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x)$ for all x . Therefore, $z_n \xrightarrow{d} z$. But $P(|z_n - z| > \varepsilon) = P(|2z| > \varepsilon) = P(|z| > \varepsilon/2) \neq 0$. So z_n does not tend to z in probability.

The extension to a sequence of random vectors is immediate: $\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z}$ if the joint c.d.f. F_n of the random vector \mathbf{z}_n converges to the joint c.d.f. F of \mathbf{z} at every continuity point of F . However, element-by-element convergence does not necessarily imply convergence for the vector sequence (unlike with convergence in probability). Intuitively this is because different c.d.f.'s can have the same marginals.

A common way to establish the connection between scalar convergence in distribution and vector convergence in distribution is for every linear combination of z_{nk} to converge to the linear combination of z_n . Formally:

Definition 6.1.3: Cramer-Wold device

$\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z}$ if and only if $\lambda' \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \lambda' \mathbf{z}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\lambda' \lambda = 1$.

Note:-**Big O Little o notation**

- Roughly speaking, a function is $o(z)$ iff it's of lower asymptotic order than z .
- $f(n) = o(g(n))$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0$.
- If $\{f(n)\}$ is a sequence of random variables, then $f(n) = o_p(g(n))$ iff $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0$.
- We write $X_n - X = o_p(n^{-\gamma})$ iff $n^\gamma(X_n - X) \xrightarrow{p} 0$.
- Roughly speaking, a function is $O(z)$ iff it's of the same asymptotic order as z .
- $f(n) = O(g(n))$ iff $|f(n)/g(n)| < K$ for all $n > N$ and some positive integer N and some constant $K > 0$.
- If $\{f(n)\}$ is a sequence of random variables, then $f(n) = O_p(g(n))$ iff $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0$.

Definition 6.1.4: Continuous mapping theorem (CMT)

Let f be continuous at every point $a \in C$ where $P(z \in C) = 1$. Then

1. If $\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{z}$, then $f(\mathbf{z}_n) \xrightarrow{p} f(\mathbf{z})$
2. If $\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z}$, then $f(\mathbf{z}_n) \xrightarrow{d} f(\mathbf{z})$

Example. The CMT allows f to be discontinuous only if the probability of being at a discontinuity point is zero.

Consider $f(u) = u^{-1}$ is discontinuous at $u = 0$, but if $z_n \xrightarrow{d} z \sim N(0, 1)$ then $P(z = 0) = 0$ so $z_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} z^{-1}$

Corollary 6.1.1 (Slutsky's theorem). If $z_n \xrightarrow{d} z$ and $c_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

1. $z_n + c_n \xrightarrow{d} z + c$
2. $z_n c_n \xrightarrow{d} z c$
3. $\frac{z_n}{c_n} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{z}{c}$ if $c \neq 0$.

The requirement that c_n converges to a constant is important. If it were to converge to a non-degenerate random variable, the theorem would be no longer valid. For example, let $z_n \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ and $c_n = -z_n$. The sum $z_n + c_n = 0$ for all values of n . Moreover, $c_n \xrightarrow{d} c$ where $z \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$, $c \sim \text{Uniform}(-1, 0)$, and z and c are independent.

Note:-

The theorem remains valid if we replace all convergences in distribution with convergences in probability.

Proof. This theorem follows from the fact that if z_n converges in distribution to z and c_n converges in probability to a constant c , then the joint vector (z_n, c_n) converges in distribution to (z, c) .

Next we apply the continuous mapping theorem, recognising the functions $g(z, c)$ such as $g(z, c) = z + c$, $g(z, c) = z c$, and $g(z, c) = z c^{-1}$ are continuous (for the last function to be continuous, c has to be invertible). \square

Definition 6.1.5: Khinchine's law of large numbers

If Y_i are i.i.d. with finite mean $\mathbb{E}Y_i = m < \infty$ then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{p} m$

Lemma 6.1.1 (Markov's inequality). Let ξ be a non-negative random variable and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a positive number. Then for any real number $p > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$P(|\xi| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{E[|\xi|^p]}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

Proof. Let ξ be a non-negative random variable and $\varepsilon > 0$. For any positive integer p :

$$\begin{aligned}
E[|\xi|^p] &= \int_0^\infty x^p f_\xi(x) dx && \text{(expectation definition)} \\
&= \int_0^\varepsilon x^p f_\xi(x) dx + \int_\varepsilon^\infty x^p f_\xi(x) dx && \text{(splitting the integral)} \\
&\geq \int_\varepsilon^\infty \varepsilon^p f_\xi(x) dx && \text{(since } x^p \geq \varepsilon^p \text{ for } x \geq \varepsilon) \\
&= \varepsilon^p P(|\xi| \geq \varepsilon) && \text{(definition of probability)} \\
P(|\xi| \geq \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{E[|\xi|^p]}{\varepsilon^p} && \text{(Markov's inequality)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.1.2 (Chebyshev's inequality). Let η be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}[\eta] = m$ and $\text{Var}(\eta) < \infty$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\eta - \mathbb{E}[\eta]| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\eta)}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

Proof. Using Markov's inequality, for any random variable η with finite expectation $E[\eta]$ and finite non-zero variance $\text{Var}(\eta)$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(|\eta - E[\eta]| \geq \varepsilon) &= P((\eta - E[\eta])^2 \geq \varepsilon^2) && \text{(squaring both sides)} \\
&\leq \frac{E[(\eta - E[\eta])^2]}{\varepsilon^2} && \text{(applying Markov's inequality)} \\
&= \frac{\text{Var}(\eta)}{\varepsilon^2}. && \text{(variance definition)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 6.1.6: Chebyshev's law of large numbers

If Y_i are uncorrelated, and $\mathbb{E}Y_i = m < \infty$, $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \sigma_i^2 < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \rightarrow 0$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - m) \xrightarrow{p} 0$

Proof. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be uncorrelated random variables with $E[Y_i] = m$ and $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \sigma_i^2 < \infty$. Assume that $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - m)$. We want to show that $S_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability. By Chebyshev's inequality, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|S_n - E[S_n]| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_n)}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

Since $E[S_n] = 0$ and the Y_i 's are uncorrelated, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(S_n) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - m)\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i - m) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2. \\
\Rightarrow P(|S_n| \geq \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}{\varepsilon^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \rightarrow 0$, it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|S_n| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $S_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability. \square

Definition 6.1.7: Univariate Lindeberg-Lévy Central Limit Theorem

If Y_i are i.i.d. random variables with finite mean m and variance σ^2 , then

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - m \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Definition 6.1.8: Multivariate Lindeberg-Lévy Central Limit Theorem

If Y_i are i.i.d. with mean m and variance-covariance Σ , then

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - m \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma).$$

Proof. Set $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{c} = 1$ and define $u_i = \mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{m})$. The u_i are i.i.d. with $E(u_i^2) = \mathbf{c}'\Sigma\mathbf{c} < \infty$. By the univariate CLT,

$$\mathbf{c}'\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mathbf{c}'\Sigma\mathbf{c})$$

Notice that if $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ then $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, \mathbf{c}'\Sigma\mathbf{c})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{c}'\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{m}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{z}.$$

Since this holds for all \mathbf{c} , we can use the Cramer-Wold device:

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{m}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

\square

6.2 OLS in large samples

(OLS0) (y_i, x_i) is an i.i.d. sequence

(OLS1) $E(x_i x_i')$ is finite non-singular

(OLS2) $E(y_i | x_i) = x_i' \beta$

(OLS3) $\text{Var}(y_i | x_i) = \sigma^2$

(OLS4) $E\varepsilon_i^4 < \infty, \quad E\|x_i\|^4 < \infty$

(GM1) $\text{rank } \mathbf{X} = k$

(GM2) $E(\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}'\beta$

(GM3) $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$

Remarks

(OLS0): Equivalent to random sampling, tells us that the pairs (x_i, y_i) are independent across i .

(OLS1): Ensures $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ is invertible, or comparatively in sample $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'$ exists.

(OLS2): Since all other x 's are independent, this is equivalent to conditioning on all x 's

(OLS3): Homoskedasticity and no serial correlation

(OLS4): Implies the existence of $E(\varepsilon_i^2 x_i x_i')$ via Cauchy-Schwartz. This is required to use the CLT.

Lemma 6.2.1 (Expectation inequality). For any random vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\mathbb{E}\|Y\| < \infty$ then

$$\|\mathbb{E}[Y]\| \leq \mathbb{E}\|Y\|$$

Lemma 6.2.2 (Holder's inequality). If $p > 1$ and $q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then for any random $m \times n$ matrices X and Y ,

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X'Y\|) \leq (\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} (\mathbb{E}\|Y\|^q)^{1/q}$$

Corollary 6.2.1 (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality). For any random $m \times n$ matrices X and Y ,

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X'Y\|) \leq (\mathbb{E}\|X\|^2)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}\|Y\|^2)^{1/2}$$

To see that the elements of $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2 x_i x_i')$ are finite:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2 x_i x_i')\| &\leq \mathbb{E}\|\varepsilon_i^2 x_i x_i'\| && \text{(using Lemma 6.2.1)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2 \|x_i\|^2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^4)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}(\|x_i\|^4)^{1/2} && \text{(using Corollary 6.2.1)} \\ &< \infty && \text{(using OLS4)} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.2.1. Under OLS0-4:

1. $\hat{\beta}_{OLS} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$
2. $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2[\mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')]^{-1})$

Proof. 1. We only require OLS0-2 for consistency^a

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{OLS} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'Y \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_i \varepsilon_i$ is i.i.d. by OLS0^b we can use Khinchine's LLN

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' &\xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(x_i x_i') \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(x_i \varepsilon_i) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(x_i \varepsilon_i | x_i)) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{(using OLS2)} \end{aligned}$$

By the Continuous Mapping Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} &\xrightarrow{p} [\mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')]^{-1} \quad \text{(exists due to OLS1)} \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i &\xrightarrow{p} 0 \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta) &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i\end{aligned}$$

Using the CLT:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Var}(x_i \varepsilon_i)) = N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}(x_i x_i'))$$

Where the second equality follows from:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(x_i \varepsilon_i) &= E[x_i \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i' x_i'] - E[x_i \varepsilon_i] E[x_i \varepsilon_i]' \\ &= E[\varepsilon_i^2 x_i x_i'] - E[x_i \varepsilon_i] E[x_i \varepsilon_i]' \quad (\text{since } \varepsilon_i \text{ scalar}) \\ &= E[E(\varepsilon_i^2 x_i x_i' | x_i)] - E[E(x_i \varepsilon_i | x_i)] E[x_i \varepsilon_i]' \quad (\text{first expectation exists by OLS4}) \\ &= E[E(\varepsilon_i^2 | x_i) x_i x_i'] - E[x_i E(\varepsilon_i | x_i)] E[x_i \varepsilon_i]' \\ &= \sigma^2 E[x_i x_i']. \quad (\text{using OLS2})\end{aligned}$$

Using the CMT:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i &\xrightarrow{d} [\mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')]^{-1} N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')) \\ &\sim N(0, [\mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')]^{-1} \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}(x_i x_i') [\mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')]^{-1}) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 [\mathbb{E}(x_i x_i')]^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

□

^aStrictly we only need OLS0,1,2': $\mathbb{E}(x_i \varepsilon_i) = 0$
^b $x_i \varepsilon_i = x_i(y_i - x_i' \beta)$ and we know (y_i, x_i) i.i.d.

Theorem 6.2.2. Under OLS0-4:

1. $\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$
2. $W \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(p)$
3. $t \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

Proof. 1.^a

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n-k} \varepsilon' M_X \varepsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{n-k} \varepsilon' (I - X(X'X)^{-1}X') \varepsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{n-k} \varepsilon' \varepsilon - \frac{1}{n-k} \varepsilon' X(X'X)^{-1}X' \varepsilon \\ &= \frac{n}{n-k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 - \frac{n}{n-k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \varepsilon_i\end{aligned}$$

Using Khinchine's LLN:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2], \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[x_i \varepsilon_i] = 0, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x'_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i), \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[x'_i \varepsilon_i] = 0$$

Using CMT and Slutsky:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{n}{n-k} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2] + \frac{n}{n-k} \times 0 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2] \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$W = \frac{\sqrt{n} (R\hat{\beta} - q)' \left(\sigma^2 R \left(\frac{1}{n} X'X \right)^{-1} R' \right)^{-1} \sqrt{n} (R\hat{\beta} - q)}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2}$$

We have seen that $\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} (R\hat{\beta} - q) &= \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \quad (\text{since } H_0 : R\beta = q) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} RN(0, \sigma^2 [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1}) \\ &= N(0, \sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R') \\ &= (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{1/2} N(0, I_p) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{n} X'X \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[x_i x'_i]$, by the CMT,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sigma^2 R \left(\frac{1}{n} X'X \right)^{-1} R' \right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow W &\xrightarrow{d} \frac{\left((\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{1/2} N(0, I_p) \right)' (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{-1} (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{-1/2} N(0, I_p)}{1} \\ &= (N(0, I_p))' (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{1/2} (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{-1} (\sigma^2 R [\mathbb{E}(x_i x'_i)]^{-1} R')^{1/2} N(0, I_p) \\ &= (N(0, I_p))' I_p N(0, I_p) \\ &= \chi^2(p) \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (X'X)^{-1}_{jj}}} \\ &= \frac{(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta) / \sqrt{\sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}_{jj}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{\xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)}{\sqrt{\xrightarrow{p} 1}} \quad (\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2 \text{ and Theorem 6.2.1-2}) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \quad (\text{by Slutsky}) \end{aligned}$$

□

^aSee Lecture 5 for derivation of the first step

The distribution of the Wald statistic is as expected, recall $W/p|x \sim F(p, n-k)$ under normal regression, and thus we see $W|x \sim pF(p, n-k) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(p)$. Why?

$$\begin{aligned} p \times F &= p \frac{\chi^2(p)/p}{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)} \\ &= \frac{\chi^2(p)}{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)} \\ \frac{\chi^2(n-k)}{n-k} &= \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} Z_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[Z_i^2] = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow pF \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(p) \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic confidence intervals and sets

Since $t \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ we can build asymptotic confidence intervals for β_j . From the critical values of $N(0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &Pr \left(\left| \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta)}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2(\frac{1}{n}X'X)_{jj}^{-1}}} \right| \leq 1.96 \right) \approx 0.95 \\ &\Rightarrow Pr \left(\left| \hat{\beta}_j - \beta \right| \leq 1.96 \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2(X'X)_{jj}^{-1}} \right) \approx 0.95 \quad (\text{cancel n's and rearrange}) \\ &\Rightarrow \left[\hat{\beta}_j - 1.96 \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2(X'X)_{jj}^{-1}}, \hat{\beta}_j + 1.96 \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2(X'X)_{jj}^{-1}} \right] \quad \text{Asymptotic confidence interval} \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the set all all values of β_j that are not rejected by the t-test with asymptotic size 5%. We say that the confidence interval is obtained by inversion of the test. We can similarly invert the Wald test, consider a test of the entire vector $\beta = b$ (i.e. $R = I_p$):

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (\hat{\beta} - b)'(\hat{\sigma}^2(X'X)^{-1})(\hat{\beta} - b) \\ &= \frac{(\hat{\beta} - b)'X'X(\hat{\beta} - b)}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic 95% confidence set for β is the ellipsoid with centre $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \beta : \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \leq \chi_{0.95}^2(k) \right\}$$

6.3 Delta method

Sometimes we need to know confidence intervals or sets for some (possibly nonlinear) function of regression parameters. We can do this with the delta method.

Definition 6.3.1: Delta method

Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is a k -dimensional vector where $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \xi$, and suppose $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has continuous first derivatives. Denote by $G(\theta)$ the $r \times k$ matrix of first derivatives evaluated at θ : $G(\theta) \equiv \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta'}$ then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} G(\theta)\xi$$

. In particular, if $\xi \sim N(0, V)$ then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, GVG')$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem, there exists a k -dimensional vector $\bar{\theta}$ between $\hat{\theta}$ and θ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta) &= G(\bar{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \\ &\quad \begin{matrix} r \times k & k \times 1 \end{matrix} \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)) &= G(\bar{\theta})\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\theta}$ is between $\hat{\theta}$ and θ and since $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta$ we know $\bar{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta$. $G(\cdot)$ is assumed continuous, so by CMT:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\bar{\theta}) &\xrightarrow{p} G(\theta) \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)) &= G(\bar{\theta})\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{p} G(\theta)\xi \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 6.3.1. Let $\{\hat{\theta}_n\}$ be a sequence of 2×1 random vectors satisfying $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$ where the asymptotic mean is $\theta_0 = [0, 1]'$ and the asymptotic covariance matrix is I_2 . Denote the two entries of $\hat{\theta}_n$ by $\hat{\theta}_{n,1}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{n,2}$. Derive the asymptotic distribution of the sequence of products $\{\hat{\theta}_{n,1}\hat{\theta}_{n,2}\}$

Solution:-

We can apply the delta method because the function

$$g(\theta) = g(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \theta_1 \theta_2$$

is continuously differentiable. The asymptotic mean of the transformed sequence is

$$g(\theta_0) = \theta_{0,1}\theta_{0,2} = 0 \times 1 = 0$$

The Jacobian of the function is

$$G(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(\theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial g(\theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \theta_2} \end{bmatrix} = [\theta_2, \theta_1]$$

By evaluating at θ_0 we obtain $G(\theta_0) = [1, 0]$.

Therefore the asymptotic covariance matrix is

$$G(\theta_0)VG(\theta_0)' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

And we can write $\sqrt{n}\hat{\theta}_{n,1}\hat{\theta}_{n,2} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

Example (Nerlove's returns to scale).

$$\log TC_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \log Q_i + \beta_3 \log p_{C_i} + \beta_4 \log p_{L_i} + \beta_5 \log p_{F_i} + \varepsilon_i$$

Suppose we want to study the asymptotic confidence region of the normalised regression with coefficients $\alpha = (\beta_3/\beta_2, \beta_4/\beta_2, \beta_5/\beta_2)'$ (i.e. the powers of the Cobb-Douglas production

function). Define

$$g(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_3/\beta_2 \\ \beta_4/\beta_2 \\ \beta_5/\beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G(\beta) = \frac{\partial g(\beta)}{\partial \theta'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\beta_3/\beta_2^2 & 1/\beta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta_4/\beta_2^2 & 0 & 1/\beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta_5/\beta_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 1/\beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus considering the Wald statistic with $H_0 : \hat{\alpha} = \alpha$, i.e.: $R = I_3, q = \alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{(R\hat{\beta} - q)' (\sigma^2 R (X'X)^{-1} R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)' \left(\sigma^2 R \left(\frac{1}{n} X'X \right)^{-1} R' \right)^{-1} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2} \\ &\xrightarrow{d} [N(0, I_3)]' I_3 N(0, I_3) \quad (\text{using theorem 6.2.2}) \\ &= \chi^2(3) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the asymptotic 95% confidence set for α is the ellipsoid

$$\left\{ \alpha : (\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)' \left(G(\hat{\beta}) \hat{\sigma}^2 (X'X)^{-1} G(\hat{\beta})' \right) (\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) \leq \chi_{0.95}^2(3) \right\}$$