

# 5 Finite sample tests of linear hypotheses.

## 5.1 Linear hypotheses

The t-test is appropriate when the null hypothesis is a real valued restriction. However, more generally there may be multiple restrictions on the coefficient vector  $\beta$ . Suppose we have  $p > 1$  restrictions, we can express a linear hypothesis about  $\beta$  in the form  $R_{p \times k} \beta_{k \times 1} = q_{p \times 1}$ .

**Example (Nerlove's returns to scale).** Nerlove studied the regression of the total cost of electricity production on demand ( $Q_i$ ) and factor prices (capital, labour and fuel):

$$\log TC_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \log Q_i + \beta_3 \log p_{C_i} + \beta_4 \log p_{L_i} + \beta_5 \log p_{F_i} + \varepsilon_i$$

Economic theory suggests that  $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{r}$  where  $r$  is the degree of returns to scale. To test constant returns we can use  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 1$ , which is trivially linear in components of  $\beta$ . Alternatively we can write

$$R\beta = q$$

with  $R = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $q = 1$ .

Further the total cost must be homogenous of degree 1 with respect to factor prices (doubling cost of all inputs doubles total cost). To test this we can consider  $H_0 : \beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$ . If we were to reject this it would suggest model misspecification.

To test these hypotheses simultaneously consider:

$$R\beta = q \quad \text{with} \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

To test  $H_0: R\beta = q$  vs.  $H_1: R\beta \neq q$  we compute the vector  $R\hat{\beta} = q$  and reject the null if this vector is "too large" depending on the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}$  under  $H_0$ .

### Definition 5.1.1: Wald statistic

When restrictions are a linear function of coefficients  $\beta$ , we can write the Wald statistic as

$$W = (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(R\hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

i.e. a weighted Euclidean measure of the length of the vector  $R\hat{\beta} - q$ .

### Note:-

As the Wald statistic is symmetric in the argument  $R\hat{\beta} - q$  it treats positive and negative alternatives symmetrically. Thus the inherent alternative is always two-sided.

The Wald statistic is not-invariant to a non-linear transformation/reparametrisation of the hypothesis. For example, asking whether  $\beta_1 = 1$  is the same as asking whether  $\log \beta_1 = 0$ ; but the Wald statistic for  $\beta_1 = 1$  is not the same as the Wald statistic for  $\log \beta_1 = 0$ . This is because there is in general no neat relationship between the standard errors of  $\beta_1$  and  $\log \beta_1$ , so it needs to be approximated.

Assuming normal regression:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}|X &\sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}) \\ R\hat{\beta}|X &\sim N(R\beta, \sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R') \\ R\hat{\beta} - q|X &\sim N(R\beta - q, \sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R') \\ &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')\end{aligned}$$

We can thus standardise:

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R\hat{\beta} - q)|X &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} N(0, I_P) \\ (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)|X &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} \chi^2(p)\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

However, the true variance  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, we thus replace it with the estimated  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  to obtain the Wald statistic:

$$\begin{aligned}W &= (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\hat{\sigma}^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q) \\ &= \frac{(R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this distribution is not  $\chi^2(p)$  since  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is itself a random variable. We must consider the joint distribution of  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  to make progress.

## 5.2 The joint distribution of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and $\hat{\beta}$

Recall the definition of the variance estimator:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{n - k}$$

To express this in terms of the population  $\varepsilon$ 's examine the following, where we denote the residual maker matrix by  $\mathbf{M}_X = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$ :

$$\begin{aligned}(n - k)\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} \\ &= (\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{y})'\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{M}_X(\mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon))'\mathbf{M}_X(\mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon) \\ &= \varepsilon'\mathbf{M}_X'\mathbf{M}_X\varepsilon \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}) \\ &= \varepsilon'\mathbf{M}_X\varepsilon \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{M}_X'\mathbf{M}_X = \mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{M}_X = \mathbf{M}_X)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{M}_X$  is symmetric, it is positive definite when all eigenvalues are positive. Since it is also idempotent,  $\mathbf{M}_X^2 = \mathbf{M}_X$ , all eigenvalues are either zero or one, meaning  $\mathbf{M}_X$  is positive semi-definite.<sup>1</sup>

**Lemma 5.2.1 (Spectral decomposition).** For every  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix, the eigenvalues are real and the eigenvectors can be chosen real and orthonormal. Thus a real symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  can be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}'$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}$  is an orthogonal matrix whose columns are the real, orthonormal eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is a diagonal matrix whose entries are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

<sup>1</sup>Alternatively since  $\mathbf{M}_X^2 = \mathbf{M}_X$  and  $\mathbf{M}_X' = \mathbf{M}_X$ , note that  $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_X^2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_X'\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_X)'(\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{v}\|^2$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{M}_X$  is  $\mathbf{M}_X = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{H}'$  where  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{I}_n$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is diagonal with the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{M}_X$  along the diagonal. Since  $\mathbf{M}_X$  is idempotent with rank  $n - k$ , it has  $n - k$  eigenvalues equalling 1 and  $k$  eigenvalues equalling 0, so:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

In the normal regression  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_n\sigma^2)$ , we want to find the distribution of  $\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ . A linear combination of normals is also normal, meaning  $\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is normal with mean  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \mathbf{H}'\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = 0$  and variance  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{I}_n\sigma^2\mathbf{H} = \sigma^2\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}_{n-k}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_{n-k})$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , and partition  $\mathbf{u}_{n \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $\mathbf{u}_1 \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_{n-k})$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{M}_X\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ &= \mathbf{u}' \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ &= [\mathbf{u}_1' \quad \mathbf{u}_2'] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{u}_1'\mathbf{u}_1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_1'\mathbf{u}_1$  is the sum of  $n - k$  squared normals with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We can transform each normal into a standard normal with division by  $\sigma$ ; since each normal is squared we divide by  $\sigma^2$ . A sum of  $j$  squared standard normals is distributed  $\chi_j^2$ , thus  $\frac{(n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}$  is distributed  $\chi_{n-k}^2$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is independent of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  it follows that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is independent of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  as well.

**Theorem 5.2.1.** In normal regression,

$$\frac{(n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-k}^2$$

and is independent of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .

**Corollary 5.2.1.** In normal regression satisfying GM1-3, the normalised Wald statistic  $\frac{W}{p}$ , is distributed as  $F(p, n - k)$  under the null.

**Proof.**

$$\frac{W}{p} = \frac{(R\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - q)'(\sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - q)/p}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2} \sim \frac{\chi^2(p)/p}{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)} \sim F(p, n - k).$$

Where we have used 5.1 in the numerator, and Theorem 5.2.1 in the denominator.  $\square$

Consider a special case of testing a single restriction, that the  $j$ -th coefficient is zero. Then  $R\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_j - q = \beta_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_j | X &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}_{jj}) \\ \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}_{jj}}} | X &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} N(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

As before  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, we can substitute in  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ , but the distribution will change:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2(X'X)^{-1}_{jj}}} \\
 &= \frac{\hat{\beta}_j / \sqrt{\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}_{jj}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} / (n-k)}} \\
 t|X &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)}} \\
 &\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} t(n-k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where we are using the fact that the numerator and denominator are independent conditional on  $X$ . Note that the square of the  $t$ -statistic equals the F-statistic for testing the single restriction.

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^2(n-k) &= \left( \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)}} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{\chi^2(1)/1}{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)} \\
 &= F(1, n-k)
 \end{aligned}$$

It is preferable to use the  $t$ -statistic since we can test one-sided alternatives, by squaring it we kill the sign of  $\hat{\beta}_j$ , making it impossible to differentiate between left and right sided alternatives.

### 5.3 The familiar form of the F-statistic

Consider the following test:

$$H_0 : R\beta = q \text{ vs. } H_1 : R\beta \neq q.$$

**Proposition 5.3.1.** The normalised Wald statistic is equivalent to the following formula for the F-statistic when testing linear restrictions:

$$F = \frac{W}{p} = \frac{(RSS_r - RSS_u)/p}{RSS_u/(n-k)}$$

**Proof.** Let us impose the null hypothesis  $R\beta = q$  when minimising the sum of squared residuals, denote the solution as the restricted least squares estimator  $\tilde{\beta}$ :

$$\min_{\beta} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad R\beta = q$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) + \lambda'(R\beta - q)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = -2X'(Y - X\tilde{\beta}) + R'\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow X'Y - X'X\tilde{\beta} = R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (X'X)^{-1}X'Y - (X'X)^{-1}X'X\tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)$$

Define the usual (unrestricted) OLS estimate as  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta} &= (X'X)^{-1}R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\beta} &= \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \\ \Rightarrow R\tilde{\beta} &= R\hat{\beta} - R(X'X)^{-1}R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $R\tilde{\beta} = q$ :

$$\begin{aligned}q &= R\hat{\beta} - R(X'X)^{-1}R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \\ R\hat{\beta} - q &= R(X'X)^{-1}R' \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \\ \Rightarrow (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q) &= \frac{\lambda}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}R' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

Now from the corresponding restricted and unrestricted residuals,

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = Y - X\hat{\beta}$$

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = Y - X\tilde{\beta} = X\hat{\beta} + \hat{\varepsilon} - X\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\varepsilon} + X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})$$

Since  $\hat{\varepsilon}'X = 0$  <sup>a</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} &= (\hat{\varepsilon} + X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}))'(\hat{\varepsilon} + X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})) \\ &= \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} + \hat{\varepsilon}'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'\hat{\varepsilon} + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) \\ &= \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})\end{aligned}$$

and substituting  $\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}R' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} &= ((X'X)^{-1}R' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q))' \cancel{X'X(X'X)^{-1}R' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)} \\ &= (R\hat{\beta} - q)' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} \cancel{R(X'X)^{-1}R' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)} \\ &= (R\hat{\beta} - q)' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\frac{W}{p} = \frac{(R\hat{\beta} - q)' (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)/p}{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{(\tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon})/p}{\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{n-k}} = \frac{(RSS_r - RSS_u)/p}{RSS_u/(n-k)}$$

□

<sup>a</sup>I.e.: Unrestricted OLS residuals uncorrelated with regressors, see lecture 2 for an explanation