# 5 Finite sample tests of linear hypotheses.

### 5.1 Linear hypotheses

The t-test is appropriate when the null hypothesis is a real valued restriction. However, more generally there may be multiple restrictions on the coefficient vector  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . Suppose we have p > 1 restrictions, we can express a linear hypothesis about  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  in the form  $\boldsymbol{R}_{p \times k} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k \times 1} = \boldsymbol{q}_{p \times 1}$ .

**Example** (Nerlove's returns to scale). Nerlove studied the regression of the total cost of electricity production on demand  $(Q_i)$  and factor prices (capital, labour and fuel):

$$\log TC_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \log Q_i + \beta_3 \log p_{C_i} + \beta_4 \log p_{L_i} + \beta_5 \log p_{F_i} + \varepsilon_i$$

Economic theory suggests that  $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{r}$  where r is the degree of returns to scale. To test constant returns we can use  $H_0: \beta_2 = 1$ , which is trivially linear in components of  $\beta$ . Alternatively we can write

$$R\beta = q$$

with R = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0) and q = 1.

Further the total cost must be homogenous of degree 1 with respect to factor prices (doubling cost of all inputs doubles total cost). To test this we can consider  $H_0: \beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$ . If we were to reject this it would suggest model misspecification.

To test these hypotheses simultaneously consider:

$$R\beta = q$$
 with  $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

To test  $H_0$ :  $R\beta = q$  vs.  $H_1$ :  $R\beta \neq q$  we compute the vector  $R\hat{\beta} = q$  and reject the null if this vector is "too large" depending on the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}$  under  $H_0$ .

#### Definition 5.1.1: Wald statistic

When restrictions are a linear function of coefficients  $\beta$ , we can write the Wald statistic as

$$W = (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(R\hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

i.e. a weighted Euclidean measure of the length of the vector  $R\hat{\beta} - q$ .

#### Note:-

As the Wald statistic is symmetric in the argument  $R\hat{\beta} - q$  it treats positive and negative alternatives symmetrically. Thus the inherent alternative is always two-sided.

The Wald statistic is not-invariant to a non-linear transformation/reparametrisation of the hypothesis. For example, asking whether  $\beta_1 = 1$  is the same as asking whether  $\log \beta_1 = 0$ ; but the Wald statistic for  $\beta_1 = 1$  is not the same as the Wald statistic for  $\log \beta_1 = 0$ . This is because there is in general no neat relationship between the standard errors of  $\beta_1$  and  $\log \beta_1$ , so it needs to be approximated.

Assuming normal regression:

$$\hat{\beta}|X \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$$

$$R\hat{\beta}|X \sim N(R\beta, \sigma^2R(X'X)^{-1}R')$$

$$R\hat{\beta} - q|X \sim N(R\beta - q, \sigma^2R(X'X)^{-1}R')$$

$$\stackrel{H_0}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2R(X'X)^{-1}R')$$

We can thus standardise:

$$(\sigma^{2}R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R\hat{\beta} - q)|X \stackrel{H_{0}}{\sim} N(0, I_{P})$$

$$(R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\sigma^{2}R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)|X \stackrel{H_{0}}{\sim} \chi^{2}(p)$$
(5.1)

However, the true variance  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, we thus replace it with the estimated  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  to obtain the Wald statistic:

$$W = (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\hat{\sigma}^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$
$$= \frac{(R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2}$$

Note that this distribution is not  $\chi^2(p)$  since  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is itself a random variable. We must consider the joint distribution of  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  to make progress.

## 5.2 The joint distribution of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and $\hat{\beta}$

Recall the definition of the variance estimator:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{n-k}$$

To express this in terms of the population  $\varepsilon$ 's examine the following, where we denote the residual maker matrix by  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$ :

$$(n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}$$

$$= (\mathbf{M_Xy})'\mathbf{M_Xy}$$

$$= (\mathbf{M_X}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))'\mathbf{M_X}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{M_X'}\mathbf{M_X}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \qquad (\text{since } \mathbf{M_XX} = \mathbf{0})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{M_X}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \qquad (\text{since } \mathbf{M_X'}\mathbf{M_X} = \mathbf{M_XM_X} = \mathbf{M_X})$$

Since  $\mathbf{M_X}$  is symmetric, it is positive definite when all eigenvalues are positive. Since it is also idempotent,  $\mathbf{M_X^2} = \mathbf{M_X}$ , all eigenvalues are either zero or one, meaning  $\mathbf{M_X}$  is positive semi-definite.<sup>1</sup>

**Lemma 5.2.1** (Spectral decomposition). For every  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix, the eigenvalues are real and the eigenvectors can be chosen real and orthonormal. Thus a real symmetric matrix **A** can be decomposed as

$$A = Q\Lambda Q'$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}$  is an orthogonal matrix whose columns are the real, orthonormal eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is a diagonal matrix whose entries are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Alternatively since  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}' = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}$ , note that  $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}^2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}})'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{v}\|^2$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}$  is  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{H}'$  where  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  is diagonal with the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}$  along the diagonal. Since  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}}$  is idempotent with rank n-k, it has n-keigenvalues equalling 1 and k eigenvalues equalling 0, so:

$$oldsymbol{\Lambda} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

In the normal regression  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \mathbf{I_n}\sigma^2)$ , we want to find the distribution of  $\mathbf{H}'\varepsilon$ . A linear combination of normals is also normal, meaning  $\mathbf{H}'\varepsilon$  is normal with mean  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}'\varepsilon] = \mathbf{H}'\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon] = 0$ and variance  $Var(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{I_n}\sigma^2\mathbf{H} = \sigma^2\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I_n}\sigma^2$ . Thus  $\mathbf{H}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0,\mathbf{I_n}\sigma^2)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{H}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , and partition  $\mathbf{u}_{n \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u_1} \\ (n-k) \times 1 \\ \mathbf{u_2} \\ k \times 1 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $\mathbf{u_1} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I_n} \sigma^2)$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} (n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \varepsilon' \mathbf{M_X} \varepsilon \\ &= \varepsilon' \mathbf{H} \Lambda \mathbf{H}' \varepsilon \\ &= \mathbf{u}' \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ &= [\mathbf{u_1'} \ \mathbf{u_2'}] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u_1} \\ \mathbf{u_2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{u_1'} \mathbf{u_1} \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{u_1'u_1}$  is the sum of n-k squared standard normals, thus it is distributed  $\chi_{n-k}^2$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is independent of  $\hat{\beta}$  it follows that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is independent of  $\hat{\beta}$  as well.

**Theorem 5.2.1.** In normal regression,

$$\frac{(n-k)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-k}^2$$

and is independent of  $\hat{\beta}$ 

**Corollary 5.2.1.** In normal regression satisfying GM1-3, the normalised Wald statistic  $\frac{W}{p}$ , is distributed as F(p, n - k) under the null.

$$\frac{W}{p} = \frac{(R\hat{\beta} - q)'(\sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)/p}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2} \sim \frac{\chi^2(p)/p}{\chi^2(n-k)/(n-k)} \sim F(p, n-k).$$
 Where we have used 5.1 in the numerator, and Theorem 5.2.1 in the denominator.

Where we have used 5.1 in the numerator, and Theorem 5.2.1 in the denominator.

Consider a special case of testing a single restriction, that the j-th coefficient is zero.  $R\beta_j - q = \beta_j$ :

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta}_j | X \overset{H_0}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2(X'X)_{ij}^{-1}) \\ \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(X'X)_{jj}^{-1}}} | X \overset{H_0}{\sim} N(0, 1) \end{split}$$

As before  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, we can substitute in  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ , but the distribution will change:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^{2}(X'X)_{jj}^{-1}}}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}/\sqrt{\sigma^{2}(X'X)_{jj}^{-1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-k)\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}/(n-k)}}$$

$$t|X \stackrel{H_{0}}{\sim} \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^{2}(n-k)/(n-k)}}$$

$$\stackrel{H_{0}}{\sim} t(n-k)$$

Where we are using the fact that the numerator and denominator are independent conditional on X. Note that the square of the t-statistic equals the F-statistic for testing the single restriction.

$$t^{2}(n-k) = \left(\frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^{2}(n-k)/(n-k)}}\right)^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\chi^{2}(1)/1}{\chi^{2}(n-k)/(n-k)}$$
$$= F(1, n-k)$$

It is preferable to use the t-statistic since we can test one-sided alternatives, by squaring it we kill the sign of  $\hat{\beta}_i$ , making it impossible to differentiate between left and right sided alternatives.

## 5.3 The familiar form of the F-statistic

Consider the following test:

$$H_0: R\beta = q \text{ vs. } H_1: R\beta \neq q.$$

**Proposition 5.3.1.** The normalised Wald statistic is equivalent to the following formula for the F-statistic when testing linear restrictions:

$$F = \frac{W}{p} = \frac{(RSS_r - RSS_u)/p}{RSS_u/(n-k)}$$

**Proof.** Let us impose the null hypothesis  $R\beta = q$  when minimising the sum of squared residuals, denote the solution as the restricted least squares estimator  $\tilde{\beta}$ :

$$\min_{\beta} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad R\beta = q$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) + \lambda'(R\beta - q)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = -2X'(Y - X\tilde{\beta}) + R'\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow X'Y - X'X\tilde{\beta} = R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (X'X)^{-1}X'Y - (X'X)^{-1}X'X\tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$

Define the usual (unrestricted) OLS estimate as  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X'X)X'Y$ 

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow R\tilde{\beta} = R\hat{\beta} - R(X'X)^{-1}R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$

Since  $R\tilde{\beta} = q$ :

$$q = R\hat{\beta} - R(X'X)^{-1}R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$
$$R\hat{\beta} - q = R(X'X)^{-1}R'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q) = \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

Now from the corresponding restricted and unrestricted residuals,

$$\begin{split} \hat{\varepsilon} &= Y - X \hat{\beta} \\ \tilde{\varepsilon} &= Y - X \tilde{\beta} = X \hat{\beta} + \hat{\varepsilon} - X \tilde{\beta} = \hat{\varepsilon} + X (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) \end{split}$$

Since  $\hat{\varepsilon}'X = 0^a$ 

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} = (\hat{\varepsilon} + X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}))'(\hat{\varepsilon} + X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})) 
= \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} + \hat{\varepsilon}'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'\hat{\varepsilon} + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) 
= \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})$$

and substituting  $\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}R'\left(R(X'X)^{-1}R'\right)^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$ ,

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}'\tilde{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} = ((X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q))'X'X(X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

$$= (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}R(X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

$$= (R\hat{\beta} - q)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - q)$$

Finally,

$$\frac{W}{p} = \frac{(R\hat{\beta} - q)' \left( R(X'X)^{-1}R' \right)^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - q)/p}{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{(\tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} - \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon})/p}{\frac{\hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}}{n - k}} = \frac{(RSS_r - RSS_u)/p}{RSS_u/(n - k)}$$

<sup>a</sup>I.e.: Unrestricted OLS residuals uncorrelated with regressors, see lecture 2 for an explanation