

High order numerical simulation of the underdamped Langevin diffusion

James Foster

Supervised by Terry Lyons and Harald Oberhauser

University of Oxford

2020

Introduction

The underdamped Langevin diffusion is given by the following SDE:

$$dQ_t = P_t dt, \quad (1)$$

$$dP_t = -\nabla U(Q_t) dt - \nu P_t dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\beta}} dW_t, \quad (2)$$

where $Q, P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ will represent the position and velocity of a particle moving in a potential $U: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ under the influence of a frictional force (with coefficient $\nu > 0$) along with a stochastic force given by a d -dimensional Brownian motion W (and inverse temperature β).

The underdamped Langevin equation can be viewed as an extension of Newton's second law and is a key model in statistical mechanics. ([1] is a detailed textbook that surveys these scientific applications)

Introduction

Recently this equation has been applied to computational statistics as, under mild conditions on the potential (see [2] for more details), the process admits a stationary measure with the following density:

$$\varphi(q, p) \propto \exp\left(-\beta\left(U(q) + \frac{1}{2}\|p\|^2\right)\right).$$

Hence by setting U equal to the log density of a target distribution, it is possible to generate samples by simulating a Langevin diffusion.

In practice, (1) can not be solved exactly and must be approximated.

Introduction

One strategy for discretizing the underdamped Langevin equation is

1. Replace the Brownian motion W by a piecewise linear path \widehat{W} .
2. Along each piece of \widehat{W} we can approximate (1) using the ODE:

$$d\widehat{Q}_t = \widehat{P}_t dt, \quad (3)$$

$$d\widehat{P}_t = f(\widehat{Q}_t) dt - \nu \widehat{P}_t dt + \sigma d\widehat{W}_t, \quad (4)$$

where $f := -\nabla U$ and $\sigma := \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\beta}}$.

3. In each step, discretize (3) and (4) with a suitable ODE solver.

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

To construct the path \widehat{W} , we shall use the below theorem from [3].

Theorem (Brownian motion as a cubic with independent noise)

Consider a standard Brownian motion W over the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Let \widetilde{W} be the (unique) cubic polynomial with a root at 0 such that

$$\int_0^1 u^k d\widetilde{W}_u = \int_0^1 u^k dW_u, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2.$$


Then

$$W = \widetilde{W} + Z,$$

where Z is a centred Gaussian process independent of the cubic \widetilde{W} .

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Therefore, the cubic polynomial \widetilde{W} is an unbiased estimator for W .



The piecewise linear path \widehat{W} will be designed to resemble this cubic.

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Theorem (Pathwise approximation of the cubic polynomial \widetilde{W})

There exists a piecewise linear path \widehat{W} on $[0,1]$ and $a > 0$ such that:

1. $\widehat{W}_0 = 0$.
2. \widehat{W} has three pieces which connect at $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm a)$.
3. $\int_0^1 u^k d\widehat{W}_u = \int_0^1 u^k d\widetilde{W}_u$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Proof.

Note that for any fixed $a \in (0,1)$, the first three integral conditions and \widehat{W}_0 are enough to uniquely determine the piecewise linear path.

Hence, the idea of the proof is to derive a necessary condition for a .

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Proof. (continued)

Using the orthogonality of the Legendre polynomials, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (20u^3 - 30u^2 + 12u - 1) d\widehat{W}_u \\ &= \int_0^1 (20u^3 - 30u^2 + 12u - 1) d\widetilde{W}_u \\ &= \int_0^1 (20u^3 - 30u^2 + 12u - 1)(\widetilde{W}_u)' du \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the above integral can be explicitly computed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (20u^3 - 30u^2 + 12u - 1) d\widehat{W}_u \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(5a^3 + 5a^2 - a - 1)\left(\widehat{W}_1 - \widehat{W}_{\frac{1}{2}(1+a)} - \widehat{W}_{\frac{1}{2}(1-a)}\right). \end{aligned}$$


Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Proof. (continued)

So in order for \widehat{W} to exist, the constant a must satisfy the equation

$$5a^3 + 5a^2 - a - 1 = 0.$$

Therefore by setting $a = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}$, we can construct the desired path. \square



Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

In practice, \widehat{W} can be generated on $[s, t]$ using the random variables

$$W_{s,t} := W_t - W_s,$$

$$H_{s,t} := \frac{1}{h} \int_s^t W_{s,u} - \frac{u-s}{h} W_{s,t} du,$$

$$K_{s,t} := \frac{1}{h^2} \int_s^t \left(W_{s,u} - \frac{u-s}{h} W_{s,t} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} h - (u-s) \right) du,$$

where $h = t - s$.

It is straightforward to prove that $(W_{s,t}, H_{s,t}, K_{s,t})$ are independent and uniquely determine the cubic polynomial \widetilde{W} (see [3] for details).

Furthermore $W_{s,t} \sim N(0, h)$, $H_{s,t} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{12} h)$ and $K_{s,t} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{720} h)$.

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Theorem (Pathwise approximation of the Brownian motion W)

Let \widehat{W} be the piecewise linear path on $[s, t]$ with three pieces which connect the points (s, W_s) , $(s + ah, b)$, $(t - ah, c)$, (t, W_t) given by

$$a = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}},$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(b + c) = W_s + \frac{1}{2}W_{s,t} + \frac{1}{1-a}H_{s,t},$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(b - c) = \frac{6}{1-a}K_{s,t} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2a)W_{s,t}.$$

Then \widehat{W} matches the increment $W_{s,t}$, the time areas $(H_{s,t}, K_{s,t})$ and


$$\int_s^t (u - s)^2 \widehat{W}_{s,u} du = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^t (u - s)^2 W_{s,u} du \mid W_{s,t}, H_{s,t}, K_{s,t} \right].$$

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Proof.

The result is a direct consequence of the previous two theorems. \square

When approximating the underdamped Langevin diffusion on $[0, T]$, we shall generate \widehat{W} on the uniform grid Δ_N with mesh size $h = \frac{T}{N}$.



Approximation of underdamped Langevin diffusion by ODEs

Recall that the underdamped Langevin equation is the SDE given by

$$dQ_t = P_t dt, \quad (5)$$

$$dP_t = f(Q_t) dt - \nu P_t dt + \sigma dW_t. \quad (6)$$

Provided that f is twice differentiable, we have the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q_t \\ P_t \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_s \\ P_s \end{pmatrix} + (\cdots)h + (\cdots)W_{s,t} + (\cdots)\int_s^t W_{s,u} du + (\cdots)h^2 + (\cdots)h^3 \\ &\quad + (\cdots)\int_s^t \int_s^u W_{s,v} dv du + (\cdots)\int_s^t \int_s^u \int_s^v W_{s,r} dr dv du \\ &\quad + (\cdots)\int_s^t \int_s^u \int_s^v (r-s) dW_r dv du + O(h^4), \end{aligned}$$

where (\cdots) are terms involving the vector fields and their derivatives.

Approximation of underdamped Langevin diffusion by ODEs

Similarly, $(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{P})$ will also have this expansion but with \widehat{W} integrals.

By the previous theorem, these integrals are approximated optimally using \widehat{W} (in an $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ sense) and should give the local error estimate

Conjecture (Local error estimate for the approximation on $[s, t]$)

Suppose that f and its first two derivatives are Lipschitz continuous and let $(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{P})$ be defined from time s with the initial value (Q_s, P_s) . Then provided P and $f(Q)$ satisfy a global $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ bound, there exist universal constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ (independent of P_s and Q_s) such that

$$\left\| (Q, P)_t - (\widehat{Q}, \widehat{P})_t \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \leq C_1 h^{\frac{7}{2}}, \quad (7)$$

$$\left\| \mathbb{E}_s \left[(Q, P)_t - (\widehat{Q}, \widehat{P})_t \right] \right\| \leq C_2 h^4, \quad (8)$$

for sufficiently small $h = t - s$.

Approximation of underdamped Langevin diffusion by ODEs

Remark

The required global L^2 bound on P and $f(Q)$ is satisfied if $f = -\nabla U$ where U is a strongly convex potential.

Directly applying the exponential contractivity of the underdamped Langevin diffusion [2, 4] yields the following global error estimate:

Conjecture (Third order strong convergence rate for the ODE)

Let $\alpha > 0$ be an exponential contraction rate for the diffusion (Q, P) started from $(Q_0, P_0) \sim \pi$, with π denoting the invariant distribution. Then there exists constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for $k \geq 0$, we have


$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} Q_{kh} \\ P_{kh} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q}_{kh} \\ \hat{P}_{kh} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 \leq C_1 e^{-\alpha kh} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} Q_0 \\ P_0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{Q}_0 \\ \hat{P}_0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 + C_2 h^6,$$

provided the step size h is sufficiently small.

Approximation of underdamped Langevin diffusion by ODEs

Question

Are there cheaper ODE methods that can achieve this convergence?



Yes - simply by setting $a = 0$. That is, \widehat{W} would have vertical pieces!

Approximation of underdamped Langevin diffusion by ODEs

Definition (A “shifted” ODE method for the Langevin diffusion)

Given $(\tilde{Q}_s, \tilde{P}_s)$, we can define an approximation $(\tilde{Q}_t, \tilde{P}_t)$ at time t by

$$\tilde{Q}_t := \bar{Q}_1,$$

$$\tilde{P}_t := \bar{P}_1 - \sigma(H_{s,t} - 6K_{s,t}),$$

where $\{(\bar{Q}_u, \bar{P}_u)\}_{u \in [0,1]}$ is the unique solution to the following ODE:

$$\frac{d\bar{Q}}{du} = \bar{P}_u h,$$

$$\frac{d\bar{P}}{du} = f(\bar{Q}_u) h - \nu \bar{P}_u h + \sigma(W_{s,t} - 12K_{s,t}),$$

with initial conditions

$$\bar{Q}_0 := \tilde{Q}_s,$$

$$\bar{P}_0 := \tilde{P}_s + \sigma(H_{s,t} + 6K_{s,t}).$$

Approximation of underdamped Langevin diffusion by ODEs

Remark

By setting $K_{s,t} = 0$, it is straightforward to show that this numerical scheme reduces to the high order log-ODE method discussed in [3].

We expect this method to have a third order convergence rate while being about 3x cheaper than the original piecewise linear approach.

That said, each step is less accurate as the driving piecewise linear path no longer optimally approximates the $O(h^{\frac{7}{2}})$ iterated integrals.

Another potential advantage of driving the system by linear paths is that this technique may be applicable to diffusions on manifolds.

Discretization of the underdamped Langevin ODE

Since the ODE driven by \widehat{W} is a third order approximation, it should be discretized using a numerical method that is at least third order.

Due to the structure of (3, 4), we can apply Runge-Kutta-Nyström (RKN) methods, which are explicitly designed for ODEs of the form

$$\begin{aligned}y'' &= F(t, y), \\ y(0) &= y_0, \quad y'(0) = y'_0,\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

In addition, we wish for the RKN method to be symplectic since the underdamped Langevin equation is a stochastic Hamiltonian system.

Fortunately, the RKN method proposed by [5] has these properties.

Discretization of the underdamped Langevin ODE

To propagate the numerical solution over $[s, t]$, we use the variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_u \\ x_u \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu(u-s)} \widehat{Q}_u \\ e^{\nu(u-s)} \widehat{P}_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall u \in [s, t],$$

to represent the system (3, 4) using the following second order ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 y}{du^2} &= \frac{1}{4} \nu^2 y + e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu(u-s)} \left(f(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(u-s)} y) + \sigma \frac{d\widehat{W}}{du} \right), \quad (10) \\ y_s &= \widehat{Q}_s, \quad y'_s = \widehat{P}_s + \frac{1}{2} \nu \widehat{Q}_s. \end{aligned}$$

We can apply RKN methods on the intervals where $\frac{d\widehat{W}}{du}$ is constant.

Discretization of the underdamped Langevin ODE

For the numerical example, we will use the below numerical method.

Definition (A third order three-stage symplectic RKN method)


This method is presented in [5] and defined by the Butcher tableau:

0			
0.630847693	0.164217030		
0.536704894	0.139710559	-0.103005664	
<hr/>			
	0.260311692	0.4039053382	-0.164217030
	0.260311692	1.094142798	-0.354454490

Numerical example: A particle in a double-well potential

For the numerical experiment, we shall consider a scalar double-well potential with the same parameters used in the first example of [6]:


$$U(q) = (q^2 - 1)^2,$$



$$\nu = 1, \quad \beta = 3, \quad (Q_0, P_0) = 0.$$

Numerical example: A particle in a double-well potential

A sample path of the Langevin diffusion obtained by discretizing (3)



Numerical example: A particle in a double-well potential

Let \widehat{Q}_T be the approximate position at time T computed with $h = \frac{T}{N}$.

We examine the strong and weak convergence using the estimators:

$$S_N := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{Q}_T - Q_T^{\text{fine}})^2]}, \quad (11)$$


$$E_N := \left| \mathbb{E}[\widehat{Q}_T^2] - \mathbb{E}[(Q_T^{\text{fine}})^2] \right|, \quad (12)$$

where the expectations are approximated by Monte-Carlo simulation and Q_T^{fine} denotes the numerical solution of (1) obtained at time T using the proposed method with a “fine” step size of $\min\left(\frac{h}{10}, \frac{T}{50000}\right)$.


We will compute both \widehat{Q}_T and Q_T^{fine} using the same Brownian paths.

For the numerical experiment, we shall use a time horizon of $T = 10$.

Numerical example: A particle in a double-well potential



Numerical example: A particle in a double-well potential



Future work

- ▶ Are the methods useful for problems within Bayesian statistics?
- ▶ What are best ODE solvers for discretizing the system (3), (4)?
- ▶ Can these methodologies be improved using variable step sizes?
- ▶ How might the methods be applied to diffusions on manifolds?
- ▶ Are these numerical methods applicable if the noise is Cauchy?

Thank you
for your attention!

References I

- [1] W. T. Coffey, Y. P. Kalmykov and J. T. Waldron, *The Langevin Equation: With Applications to Stochastic Problems in Physics, Chemistry and Electrical Engineering*, World Scientific, 2012.
- [2] X. Cheng, N. S. Chatterji, P. L. Bartlett, and M. I. Jordan, *Underdamped Langevin MCMC: A non-asymptotic analysis*, Proceedings of the 31st Conference On Learning Theory, Volume 75 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 2018.
- [3] J. Foster, T. Lyons and H. Oberhauser, *An optimal polynomial approximation of Brownian motion*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1393–1421, 2020.

References II

- [4] A. Eberle, A. Guillin and R. Zimmer, *Couplings and quantitative contraction rates for Langevin dynamics*, Annals of Probability, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1982-2010, 2019.
- [5] M. A. Demba, N. Senu and F. Ismail, *A symplectic explicit trigonometrically-fitted Runge-Kutta-Nyström method for the numerical solution of periodic problems*, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 7495-7500, 2016.
- [6] N. Vercauteren, *Numerical investigation of solutions of Langevin equations*, Master's thesis, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Switzerland, 2005.