

Year 3 — Mathematical Biology and Ecology

Based on lectures by Dr Ozgur Akman and Dr Marc Goodfellow

Notes taken by James Arthur

Autumn Term 2021

These notes are not endorsed by the lecturers, and I have modified them (often significantly) after lectures. They are nowhere near accurate representations of what was actually lectured, and in particular, all errors are almost surely mine (especially the typos!).

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Continuous Models for a single species | 2 |
| 2 | Modelling Spruce Budworm | 6 |
| 2.1 | Scaling and Non-dimensionalisation | 6 |
| 2.2 | Bifurcation Diagram | 8 |
| 2.3 | Bistability | 9 |
| 3 | Harvesting a single natural population | 10 |
| 3.1 | Transcritical Bifurcation | 11 |
| 3.2 | RRT and yield | 11 |
| 3.3 | Constant Yield Harvesting | 13 |
| 4 | Interactive Populations | 14 |
| 4.1 | Steady States | 14 |
| 5 | Discrete Time Systems in 1D | 16 |
| 5.1 | Equilibria, Stability and Cobwebbing | 16 |
| 6 | Reaction Kinetics | 18 |
| 6.1 | Two Binding States - Cooperative Binding | 20 |
| 6.2 | Autocatalysts | 20 |
| 7 | Stage Structured Population Models- PPMs | 22 |
| 7.1 | Asymptotic Dynamics | 23 |
| 7.2 | Issues | 24 |
| 7.2.1 | Controlling $\lambda - \lambda_T$ | 25 |
| 7.2.2 | Determining the range of the population inertia | 25 |
| 7.3 | Sensitivity Analysis | 26 |
| 7.3.1 | Sensitivity Analysis | 26 |
| 7.3.2 | Transfer Function Analysis for PPMs | 27 |
| 7.4 | Transient Dynamics | 28 |
| 7.4.1 | From transient to asymptotic dynamics | 28 |
| 7.4.2 | Bound 1 - Population Amplification and Attenuation | 29 |
| 7.4.3 | Bound 2 | 30 |
| 7.4.4 | Bound 3 | 30 |
| 7.4.5 | Combining Bounds | 30 |
| 8 | Spatially distributed reaction-diffusion kinetics | 31 |
| 8.1 | Background | 31 |
| 8.2 | Fisher Equation | 32 |
| 8.3 | Turing Mechanism | 35 |
| 8.4 | Turing Mechanism: Example | 39 |
| 8.5 | Biological Pattern Formation (Non-examinable) | 41 |

1 Continuous Models for a single species

We are going to model simply how we model population dynamics for a single species. We are going to call $N(t)$ our population size at a certain time. We are going to say that $N(t)$ is continuous. We also say $N \in \mathbb{R}$ and so it's going to be a density measure. We are going to constrain this $N \geq 0, \forall t$. We can measure this and create a model,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N, t, \mu)$$

We call N the variable, then μ the parameter. We let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$.

Let's start off by thinking about an actual population of individuals, we have observed that there is some sort of growth dynamics. We can write a mechanistic model, by including mechanisms that affect the population.

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = +\text{births} + \text{resources} + \text{net migration} - \text{deaths}$$

If the positive things are greater, the population will grow, otherwise if they are smaller they decline, or they stay equal.

Now we make some assumptions, so we can write down a mathematical model.

1. Births and deaths predominate:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \text{births} - \text{deaths}$$

2. Births and deaths are proportional to N :

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N - \beta N \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

where we call α the birthrate and β the deathrate and $\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$.

If we consider, as an aside,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N - \beta N + \gamma \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$$

this adds something like independent migration, where we assume migration is constant, this could be γN if it's proportional to N .

We can solve this equation nicely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt} &= N(\alpha - \beta) \\ \int \frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dt} dt &= \int (\alpha - \beta) dt \\ \ln N &= (\alpha - \beta)t + C \\ N(t) &= Ae^{(\alpha - \beta)t} \end{aligned}$$

Now assume this is an initial value problem, $N_0 = A$ and so,

$$N(t) = N_0 e^{(\alpha - \beta)t}$$

We are also interested in the long term dynamics of these models, the asymptotic dynamics. Hence, we consider $t \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha > \beta \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha < \beta \\ N_0 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \end{cases}$$

We call the case where $\alpha = \beta$ a steady state solution.

You don't usually see just a t in the equation, but we may want to use a forcing term, i.e. periodic migration in Cornwall.

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \alpha N - \beta N + \cos(t)$$

We are not going to consider these non-autonomous systems. Hence we can write,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N, \mu)$$

We have a nice thing to make sure our growth doesn't go exponential. It's the logistic map,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right) \quad r, k > 0 \quad N \geq 0$$

where $f(N) = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$ is called the logistic model. This model has a level of self regulation, so if N is high, then it will decrease later. Firstly, look at $f(N)$,

$$f(N) = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$$

We start by graphing it,




Figure 1: $y = 2x \left(1 - \frac{x}{100}\right)$

Exercise. Solve $\frac{dN}{dt} = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$

The solution to this equation is,

$$N(t) = \frac{N_0 k e^{rt}}{k - N_0 + N_0 e^{rt}}$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can consider it and see that it will depend on N_0 . If $N_0 = 0$, then we get that $N(t) = 0, \forall t$. If we then take $N_0 > 0$, then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} N(t) &= \frac{N_0 k}{\frac{k - N_0}{e^{kt}} + N_0} \\ &= k \end{aligned} \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

lets try and formalise some of these ideas. So consider,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N)$$

where $N \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}, f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then we define steady states as, where $f(N^*) = 0$. These are also referred to as fixed points or equilibrium. When a system in the first place depends on whether the state is attracting.

Definition 1.1 (Attracting). A steady state N^* is attracting if all trajectories that start close to N^* approach it as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We consider $N = N^* + n$, recall the Taylor series is an approximation to a function at a point,

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + \frac{f''(a)(x - a)^2}{2!} + \dots$$

as we consider small values of n we can throw away higher terms. Hence, we can linearise it.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN}{dt} &= f(N) \\ \frac{dN^* + n}{dt} &= f(N^* + n) \\ \frac{dn}{dt} &= f(N^* + n) \\ \frac{dn}{dt} &\approx f(N^*) + f'(N^*)(N^* + n - N^*) + f''(a) \frac{(N^* + n - N^*)^2}{2!} + \dots \\ \frac{dn}{dt} &\approx 0 + f'(N^*)n + \frac{f''(N^*)}{2!}n^2 + \dots \\ \frac{dn}{dt} &\approx f'(N^*)n \end{aligned}$$

and so we can model it by,

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = f'(N^*)n$$

which can be solved as,

$$n(t) \approx n_0 e^{f'(N^*)t}$$

If $f'(N^*) > 0$, then we just have an exponential (unstable), but if $f'(N^*) < 0$ then we have a decaying exponential (stable).

Example. We shall consider,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$$


and so we want $f(N^*) = 0$ and hence we get that $N^* = 0$ and $N^{**} = k$. Then we find $f'(N) = r \left(1 - \frac{2N}{k}\right)$. Hence we can find $f'(0) = r$ and $f'(k) = -r$ and as $r > 0$, $f'(N^*) > 0$ hence N^* is unstable and as $f'(N^{**}) < 0$ then N^{**} is stable.

We are going to recap last lectures, and study,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = N(N - \alpha)(N - \beta)$$

where $N \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta$. We are going to focus on long term dynamics, i.e. $t \rightarrow \infty$. The steady state will give us information about that, once we know what they are and their stability, we know everything. Steady states are going to be where, $\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N) = 0$, then we can see that this is just $N^* = 0$, $N^{**} = \alpha$, $N^{***} = \beta$.

Definition 1.2 (Trajectory). From an initial N_0 , a trajectory is how $N(t)$ evolves.

Figure 2: $y = N(N - \alpha)(N - \beta)$

Then we consider the small perturbations around the steady states and it was a linear ODE that resulted in exponential decay or just an exponential. We don't need to do this though, as we can look at the phase space as it has split up the graph into regions. These regions are $(-\infty, 0]$, $[0, \alpha]$, $[\alpha, \beta]$.

- For $(-\infty, 0]$ the system declines and so we draw arrows going away from 0 to the left.
- Then it's going to move towards α from 0 where it stops at the steady state α .
- From α to β , the particle is going to move from β to α .
- Anything larger than β , it shoots off to infinity.

From this, we can talk about the stability of the stable states. We can call 0 and β unstable and we can say α is a stable fixed point. So now we ask how do we describe $N(t)$ from this information?




Figure 3: Steady States of the system

2 Modelling Spruce Budworm

Spruce Budworm eats spruce trees and populations have been watched and there exists some oscillatory behavior. Let's formulate some sort of model, firstly with a cartoon and then the assumptions to create a mathematical model. We consider $N =$ population density $\in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that;

- bounded growth, the logistic growth model (e.g. limited resources)
- predation (by birds)

and so we can write,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k} \right) - p(N)$$

and now a few assumptions for p ,

- no predation if no prey, $p(0) = 0$
- predator population is not infinite, this means the rate of predation saturates as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- If n is small, $p(N)$ is small.

We want a sigmoidal form of function so we can encode these assumptions.




Figure 4: Sigmoidal Function, $p(N)$

We will let $p(N) = \frac{BN^2}{A^2 + N^2}$, which will work quite nicely. This is a function seen all over Maths Biology. Hence, we write,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = r_B N \left(1 - \frac{N}{k_B} \right) - \frac{BN^2}{A^2 + N^2}$$

where $r_B, k_B, B, A > 0$ and $N \geq 0$. In mathematical Biology we don't really have any big ideas about what our parameters are, we talk more generally about what our parameters actually mean. This makes it harder to know what's going on with multiple parameters.

2.1 Scaling and Non-dimensionalisation

The goal is to scale variables and time such that we get an equivalent system with fewer parameters, equivalent is in relation to the steady states and their stabilities.

Our strategy is to find constants α and β so that $u = \alpha$ and $\tau = \beta t$ yields a simpler system. The first thing we do is write down a new system, $\frac{du}{d\tau}$.


$$\frac{du}{d\tau} = \frac{dN}{dt} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{du}{dN}$$

and so we can do some algebra (omitted), then we get to a point where we want to choose some values for our placeholders of α and β , given the form of the system, we choose that $\alpha = \frac{1}{A}$ and $\beta = B\alpha = \frac{B}{A}$ and hence the system simplifies to,


$$\frac{du}{d\tau} = ru \left(1 - \frac{u}{q} \right) - \frac{u^2}{1 + u^2}$$

We are now going to look at our non-dimensionalised system by looking at the steady states and look at the stability. Lecture 5

Previously we just plotted the functions. However, this is slightly harder to do, but we write out, $f(u) = g(u) + h(u)$ and then plot them individually



and now we can consider them on the same axis,



Where we can say that there is an equilibrium when $g(u) = 0$ and this is a unstable equilibrium. Now for a $r \neq 0$, then we have the orange curve, then we have that the equilibrium at 0 is still unstable and there is a new steady state which we find to be stable. We have a third value (green), where we have u^{**} , where we have another unstable fixed point. Finally, when we move our function higher again, (blue) and we get a u^{***} that's stable. There is another interesting point, where there is a tangent between the two graphs above this

one $u^{**} = u^{***}$ and hence we have three steady states.

We shall note the geometric approach, we can graph the functions and consider the derivatives around critical points. We can summarise this in a bifurcation diagram.

2.2 Bifurcation Diagram

Imagine we have those snapshots and put them on a diagram. where a filled dot is stable and unfilled is




Figure 5: Bifurcation Diagram for our system

unstable. We can further this by drawing smooth curves along where we have placed the dots and produce said bifurcation diagram.

Hence, let us think about trajectories of the system. The bifurcation diagram has split us into three different areas. From an initial condition, in a region one (before the unstable area) we have an unstable fixed point and an unstable fixed point. Hence, as long as you start with a positive initial condition we converge to u^* . Moreover, Region 2 is even more interesting, in the unstable area.

We can move back to our model. Let B be the population dynamics of predators, and consider $r = \frac{r_B A}{B}$ *Lecture 6* and consider a slow change in B . Consider a decrease in B , hence an increase in r and u . As soon as you




Figure 6: Steady States of the R_1




Figure 7: Steady States of the R_1

reach an unstable steady state, you jump up to the higher state and carries on as usual.

Now we are at a higher level, we have depopulation. So, what happens now as B increases, well then r and u decrease and we follow the branch back down.

This phenomena is called Hysteresis. This occurs when the bifurcation diagram has a sudden jump and then a ‘reason’ for the population to decrease again. We also introduced a potential way that oscillatory behavior can occur.

If we have a stable and an unstable fixed point and as you increase r , you must reach one of them eventually, as the two stable states get closer and closer they must collide, when you get a tangency. Our case is a saddle-node bifurcation.

Definition 2.1 (Saddle-node bifurcation). Consider $\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N, k)$ where k is a parameter and there is a critical value of k , k_c . So that when $k = k_c$, $f'(N^*) = 0$ for an equilibrium N^* .

Then the bifurcation at $k = k_c$ is a saddle-node bifurcation if a stable-unstable pair of equilibrium are either created or destroyed.

Our system is $f = g - h$ and so, $f' = g' - h'$ is our condition for the Budworm equations.

2.3 Bistability

If we consider our bifurcation diagram and when r is in Region 2, we have two steady states, and they coexist. If we draw the trajectories of the system; with stable, unstable, stable, unstable.

Consider some unmodeled factors, so some noise. We may use a stochastic differential equation to model. Then the noise may be enough for it to jump to the other stable steady state and so converge there.




Figure 8: Noise on a trajectory

3 Harvesting a single natural population

We are now going to move into another example and consider different types of bifurcation. We are going to now consider a harvesting, Lecture 7

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right) - h(N)$$

where $h(N)$ is our harvesting rate. Initially we are going to keep it simple and let h be our harvesting rate, $h(N) = hN$. Now look for steady states,

$$rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right) - hN = 0$$

which has solutions, $N^* = 0$ and $r - \frac{rN^{**}}{k} - h = 0$, hence, $N^{**} = k \left(1 - \frac{h}{r}\right)$.

We can consider $f(N) = F(N) - G(N)$ where $F(N) = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$ and $G(N) = hN$ and then plot them.




Figure 9

We can find the stability, firstly consider $f'(N) = r - h - \frac{2r}{k}N$ and see $f'(0) = r - h$ and $f'(N^*) = h - r$. We notice that for a positive steady state at N^{**} we need $h < r$. So assume that $h < r$, then we can see that we have $f'(0) > 0$ and $f'(N^*) < 0$ and so we call the $h < r$ sustainable harvesting.

In actuality, we want some yield. We denote yield, $Y(h) = nN_h$ where $N_h = N^{**}$. Hence we write $y(h)$,

$$y(h) = hk \left(1 - \frac{h}{r}\right)$$

this is a quadratic and so we have a control parameter h . Given it's a parabola we can find a maximum yield by finding the maxima of the parabola. This occurs at $h = \frac{r}{2}$ and the yield is, $\frac{rk}{4}$. We can also find the value of N_h , which is $N_h = \frac{k}{2}$. These are the two values we expect for the logistic curve.

We can vary h and when $h = 0$ we can just see that they intersect at $(0, k)$ and it's not that interesting. Then as h increases it heads towards the $\frac{k}{2}$ and this is a critical value, as we see in Figure 9. Now imagine we increase the slope further then we reach the point where they are tangential. Over the varying of h we can see that the stability changes, after the tangential behavior they meet again for negative N and creates a new saddle point.

3.1 Transcritical Bifurcation

Before, we reached a point where the steady states absorb each other. Here we have places where they meet and then don't absorb each other. Here is the diagram;




Figure 10: Bifurcation Diagram for our system

Consider $\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N, k)$ where k is a parameter. There is a critical value of k , k_c such that when $k = k_c$ then $f'(N^*) = 0$ for some N^* .

Definition 3.1 (Transcritical Bifurcation). Then the bifurcation at k_c is transcritical if as k passes through the stable-unstable pair of equilibria collide and exchange stability.

Whenever $k < k_c$ we have stable node and an unstable node. We go from harvesting state was supporting the population to depletion at $k = k_c$.

We now consider another factor, the time response. We define this as $T_R = \frac{1}{|f'(N^*)|}$. We say that the sign of the derivative denotes the stability of the point but the inverse magnitude is the time it takes the perturbation to return to normal. If we remove something from the population, will it take ages to get back to normal? First consider the non-zero steady state. We saw that $f'(N_h) = h - r$, and we know that $h < r$ and so, $T_R(h) = \frac{1}{r-h}$ and when $h = 0$, $T_r(h = 0) = \frac{1}{r}$.

Definition 3.2 (Relative Recovery Time). We define simply the relative recovery time as,

$$\frac{T_R(h)}{T_R(h = 0)}$$

and the relative recovery time for our system at N_h is simply,

$$RRT = \frac{r}{r - h}$$

We can now start to look at the relation between yield and the relative recovery time and yield.

3.2 RRT and yield

We remember that $y(t) = hk \left(1 - \frac{h}{r}\right)$ and we can then write $h^2 - rh + \frac{rY}{k} = 0$. Hence by the quadratic formula, $h = \frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4Y}{rK}}\right)$ and now as we know our maximum yield is simply just $\frac{rk}{4}$, then we can say,

$h = \frac{r}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{Y}{Y_{max}}} \right)$. Hence, now we go to recovery time.

$$T_R(h) = \frac{1}{r - h} = \frac{1}{r - \frac{r}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{Y}{Y_{max}}} \right)}$$

and now the relative recovery time.

$$RRT(Y) = \frac{2}{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{Y}{Y_{max}}}}$$

We split our RRT into two branches, L_+ and L_- . We also note that $0 \leq \frac{Y}{Y_{max}} \leq 1$. If $\frac{Y}{Y_{max}} = 1$, then, *Lecture 7* $L_+ = 1$. Hence, we can plot this RRT function.




Figure 11: RRT for different values of $\frac{Y}{Y_{max}}$

This relates to as you go further and further towards the $(0,0)$ steady state you go off to infinity.

3.3 Constant Yield Harvesting

We use the same model as before, but now we let $f(N) = N_0$. Then we can find the steady states and we get a quadratic which we can then solve and get our steady states $N = \frac{1}{2} \left(k \pm \sqrt{k^2 - \frac{4kY_0}{r}} \right) = \frac{k}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4Y_0}{kr}} \right)$ and now again we consider $f(N) = g(N) - Y_0$.




Figure 12

When $Y_0 = 0$, we get two steady states, one saddle point at 0 and a stable node at N^* . When we have a tangential line, then $f'(N) = 0$ at that point and we have a bifurcation there. We notice here, again, we have a saddle node bifurcation.

Now we consider the time response, we can see that $f'(N) = r - \frac{2rN}{k}$ and so,

$$T_R(N_+) = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{1 - \frac{Y_0}{Y_{max}}}}$$

$$RRT = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{Y_0}{Y_{max}}}}$$

and then we get an asymptote and so RRT goes to infinity. However there are several problems to what we are going here, as we head towards the tangential line, there may be a point where it jumps from one point to another. At other points, it can't jump as it's further apart.

4 Interactive Populations

We are now going to jump to 2D,

Example. Let's model the density of $N(t)$ which is our population of prey and $P(t)$ be the population of predators.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN}{dt} &= rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right) - NPR(N) \\ \frac{dP}{dt} &= sN \left(1 - \frac{P}{hN}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We know need to choose $R(N)$, so we want a curve where we start at $(0,0)$ and asymptotes for large N . We let it be a $R(N) = \frac{k}{N+D}$, hence our system is:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN}{dt} &= rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\right) - \frac{kNP}{N+D} \\ \frac{dP}{dt} &= sN \left(1 - \frac{P}{hN}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We can now consider the steady states of $\frac{dN}{dt}$ and so we can seek to non-dimensionalise it.

We will let $u = \frac{N}{K}$, $v = \frac{P}{hk}$ and $\tau = rt$. Then we substitute in,

Lecture 8

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{d\tau} &= \frac{dN}{dt} \frac{du}{dN} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \\ &= u - u^2 - \frac{uKkuhK}{K^2ru + KrD} \\ &= u - u^2 - \frac{hK}{r} \frac{uv}{u + \frac{D}{k}} \\ &= u - u^2 - \frac{auv}{u + d}\end{aligned}$$

that's the first equation dealt with, so we consider the other.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dv}{d\tau} &= \frac{dP}{dt} \frac{dv}{dP} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \\ &= \frac{1}{hKr} \left[sP - \frac{sP}{hN} \right] \\ &= \frac{s}{r} v \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right) \\ &= bv \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We are now going to consider the steady states of this system, we can't solve it as nicely using a graph. Our system is now going to live in \mathbb{R}^2 . The part of the plane where both of them live is called the phase space. We will find trajectories that are tangents to these vector fields.

4.1 Steady States

The definition is still the same,

Definition 4.1 (Steady State). A point \mathbf{u}^* is a steady state if $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.

What if only one component is zero? These are called the nullclines.

Definition 4.2 (Nullclines). The u_n nucline contains points (u_1, u_2) such that $f_n(u_1, u_2) = 0$.

Let's consider our system, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u, v) &= u(1 - u) - \frac{auv}{u + d} \\ f_2(u, v) &= bv \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and we find the nuclines are,

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{u(1 - u)(u + d)}{au} = 0 \\ u &= v \quad \text{or } v = 0 \end{aligned}$$




Figure 13

We can now find the direction of the vector field using some quick calculation

5 Discrete Time Systems in 1D

Consider,

$$N_{t+1} = f(N_t)$$

and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can say that N_t is the population size at time step t , and we now have an evolution function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Starting at N_0 , we can generate a trajectory by just recursively applying our map.

$$N_0 \xrightarrow{f} N_1 \xrightarrow{f} N_2 \xrightarrow{f} \dots$$

Here is an example, $f(N) = rN$ where $r > 0$ is just our birth rate. We get that $N_t = r^t N_0$. So there are three things that can happen,

1. $N_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $r < 1$ (extinction)
2. $N_t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $r > 1$ (survival)
3. $N_t \rightarrow N_0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $r = 1$ (survival)

We will be considering maps with a single maximum in $(0, \infty)$, so something like an inverted quadratic. Again we will loop at the logistic map,

$$f(N) = rN \left(1 - \frac{N}{k}\right)$$

5.1 Equilibria, Stability and Cobwebbing

Recall that for a continuous time system, $N(t) = N_*$ if $\forall t \geq 0$ if $f(N_*) = 0$. For discrete systems,

$$N_t = N_*$$

$$\implies N_{t+1} = N_t = N_* \forall t \geq 0$$

and so we just want $f(N_*) = N_*$. We call N_* as fixed point for the function f .

We can consider cobwebbing to find these Equilibria, we take a initial condition, then draw up to f , then project to $f(N) = N$, then project down again to N_1 . We then repeat this.

If we can converge on a fixed point we can think of it as stable, but some fixed points can't be converged upon and so they are unstable. Again, we see that $f'(N_*)$ defined the dynamics. There are four possibilities.

1. $0 \leq f'(N_*) < 1$, we converge onto a fixed point wherever we start from. So $N_t \rightarrow N_0$ and so N_0 is stable.
2. $f'(N_*) > 1$ and so our cobweb just diverges to positive or negative infinity. It diverges monotonically in each direction.
3. $-1 < f'(N_*)$, here we get a spiral inwards. Here N_* is oscillatory stable. This a non-monotonic convergence.
4. $f'(N_*) < -1$, here we get an unstable spiral. It diverges to infinity. We say N_* is oscillatory unstable.

To see this formally, we write $N_t = N_* + n_t$ and take some sort of Taylor expansion. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_* + n_t &= f(N_* + n_t) \\ &= f(N_*) + f'(n_t)n_t + \text{hot.} \\ &= f'(N_*)n_t \end{aligned}$$

Ignoring the higher order terms we can write,

$$n_{t+1} = f'(N_*)n_t$$


and so now we can consider the asymptotic dynamics and we see that all we need to consider is $f'(N_*)$. Hence we see,

1. If $|f'(N_*)| < 1$, then $n_t \rightarrow 0$ and $N_t \rightarrow N_*$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence N_* is a stable fixed point
2. If $|f'(N_*)| > 1$ and so $n_t \rightarrow \infty$ and $N_t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence N_* is an unstable fixed point.
3. If $|f'(N_*)| = 1$, there is a change in stability, called a bifurcation.

If we are interested in the types of bifurcation and the characteristics of each bifurcation, we will consider solutions of different periods. For the bifurcation of the logistic map at $r = 3$, we consider period 2 solutions. If we consider these maps, we can find a different type of bifurcation called a period-doubling bifurcation. For each bifurcation we see period 2^n solutions. This is where the ‘classic’ bifurcations come from. This is what we call a period-doubling cascade. An interesting thing is when $r = 3.57$, we get 2^n -period solutions for all n , but they are all unstable. This is deterministic chaos.

6 Reaction Kinetics

We will consider the Michaelis-Menten Scheme, we will consider an enzyme, E , that binds to a substrate S in order to catalyse S into a product P .



We want to know that happens as we increase time. So we want to create systems of differential equations. We use the Law of Mass Action, this states that the rate of a reaction is proportional to the concentration of the reactants. Our reaction scheme has m reactions, $\{R_1, \dots, R_m\}$ and n reactants $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. We denote the concentration of $x_i = [X_i]$. We then define,

1. Chemical species vector, $x = (x_1 \dots x_n)^T$
2. Reaction vector, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{v}_1(\mathbf{x}) \dots \mathbf{v}_m(\mathbf{x}))^T$ where $\mathbf{v}_j(\mathbf{x})$ is the rate of R_j .
3. Stoichiometry Matrix, $N = (N_{ij})$ where N_{ij} is the number of molecules of the X_i produced/consumed in R_j .

We then obtain the equations for $\mathbf{x}(t)$,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = N\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$$

Hence we just decompose our equations,



and we write $[S] = s$, $[E] = e$ and so on. We want to write,

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ e \\ c \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 s e \\ k_{-1} c \\ k_2 c \end{pmatrix}, N = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we can say,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ e \\ c \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 s e \\ k_{-1} c \\ k_2 c \end{pmatrix}$$

and so we want write,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} s &= -k_1 s e + k_{-1} c \\ \frac{d}{dt} e &= -k_1 s e + k_{-1} c + k_2 c \\ \frac{d}{dt} c &= k_1 s e - k_{-1} c - k_2 c \\ \frac{d}{dt} p &= k_2 c \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose suitable initial conditions, say $s(0) = s_0$, $e(0) = e_0$, $c(0) = p(0) = 0$. Now we can deduce that the last equation can just be solved, it doesn't depend on any other equation. Hence we can write,

$$p(t) = k_2 \int_0^t c(s) ds$$

and also we can say that $\dot{e} + \dot{c} = 0$ and the amount of enzyme is conserved. Hence,

$$e(t) + c(t) = k \forall t$$

and hence, $e(0) + c(0) = e_0 = k$ and so $e(t) = e_0 - c(t)$ and we can compute the enzyme concentration from c . Now we can reduce our equations using this,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{s} &= -k_1 e_0 s + (k_1 s + k_{-1} c) \\ \dot{c} &= k_1 e_0 s - (k_1 s + k_{-1} + k_2) c\end{aligned}$$

Now we can now simplify these further by non-dimensionalise them. We let $\tau = k_1 e_0 t$ and then via chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{ds}{d\tau} &= \frac{ds}{dt} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \\ &= \frac{1}{k_1 e_0} \dot{s} \\ &= -s + \left(s + \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1}\right) \frac{c}{e}\end{aligned}$$

and so the second we get that,

$$\frac{dc}{d\tau} = s - \left(s + \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1}\right) \frac{c}{e}$$

and we let $v = \frac{c}{e_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{ds}{d\tau} &= -s + \left(s + \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1}\right) v \\ \frac{dv}{d\tau} &= \frac{s}{e_0} u + \left(\frac{s}{e_0} - \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{e_0 k_1}\right) v\end{aligned}$$

Now we let $u = \frac{s}{e_0}$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{d\tau} &= -u + \left(u + \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1 s_0}\right) v \\ \frac{e_0}{s_0} \frac{dv}{d\tau} &= u - \left(u + \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{s_0 k_1}\right) v\end{aligned}$$

Now let $\varepsilon = \frac{e_0}{s_0}$ and $k = \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1 s_0}$ and $\lambda = \frac{k_2}{k_1 s_0}$ and we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{d\tau} &= -u + (u + k - \lambda) v \\ \varepsilon \frac{dv}{d\tau} &= u - (u + k) v\end{aligned}$$

with initial conditions of $u(0) = 1$ and $v(0) = 0$. In general we have $e_0 \ll s_0$ and so $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Hence, let $\varepsilon = 0$ (the rate of the complex formation is very fast), following $\frac{dv}{d\tau} \approx 0$, the complex is essentially at equilibrium. This is referred to as the **Quasi-Stable State Assumption**, and implies,

$$v = \frac{u}{u + k}$$

and so,

$$\frac{du}{d\tau} = -u + (u + k - \lambda) \frac{u}{u + k} = -\lambda \frac{u}{u + k}$$

and in dimensionalised form,

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{V_{max}s}{s + k_m}$$

where $V_{max} = k_2 e_0$ and $k_m = \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1}$. Hence it follows that,

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{V_{max}s}{s + k_m}$$

this is the Michaelis-Menten equation for product formation. We call the k_m the Michaelis Constant, it is a threshold value.

6.1 Two Binding States - Cooperative Binding

Now we consider there are two binding states for the substrate and the binding of one substrate molecule facilitates the binding of the other. Then we can show that,

$$\frac{dp}{d\tau} = \frac{v_{max}s^2}{s^2 + k_m^2}$$


This is the hill function and for n binding sites,

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{v_{max}s^n}{s^n + k_m^n}$$

The hill functions are used as a template for modelling gene expression.

6.2 Autocatalysts

Consider the following reaction,



where we fix $[A] = a_0$ and the usual setup applies. This scheme is an example of autocatalysis, X and Y are involved in their own production. We convert the scheme to ODEs as before,

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 a_0 x \\ k_2 xy \\ k_3 y \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and now we get the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= k_1 a_0 x - k_2 xy \\ \dot{y} &= k_2 xy - k_3 y \\ \dot{b} &= k_3 y \end{aligned}$$

and again we see that $b(t) = k_3 \int_0^t y(s) ds$. So we now non-dimensionalise this system, define $\tau = k_1 a_0 t$ and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{d\tau} &= \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \\ &= x - \frac{k_2}{k_1 a_0} xy \end{aligned}$$

similarly,

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = \frac{k_2}{k_1 a_0} xy - \frac{k_3}{k_1 a_0} y$$

now let $v = \frac{k_2}{k_1 a_0} x$ and so,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{d\tau} &= x - xv \\ \frac{dv}{d\tau} &= \frac{k_2}{k_1 a_0} \left(\frac{k_2}{k_3} x - v \right)\end{aligned}$$

Now finally, we let $u = \frac{k_2}{k_3} x$ and let $\alpha = \frac{k_3}{k_1 a_0}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{d\tau} &= u(1 - v) \\ \frac{dv}{d\tau} &= \alpha v(u - 1)\end{aligned}$$

This is just the Lotka-Volterra Predator-Prey system. We can write,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{dv} &= \frac{du}{d\tau} \frac{d\tau}{dv} \\ &= \frac{u(1 - v)}{\alpha v(u - 1)} \\ \alpha \frac{u - 1}{u} du &= \frac{1 - v}{v} dv \\ C &= \alpha u + v + \ln(u^\alpha v)\end{aligned}$$

We see that for $C \geq 1 + \alpha$, the solutions are closed curves over (u, v) plane. We get this oscillatory behaviour as both u and v feed into each other.

7 Stage Structured Population Models- PPMs

We start by considering classification by age, here we have one class per year of their life cycle and the discrete time steps are in years. We can represent our population as a population vector

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t) \quad x_2(t) \quad \dots \quad x_n(t))^T$$

where $x_i(t)$ is population at life cycle i . A simple age-based odel is the following,

$$x_1(t+1) = f_1x_1(t) + \dots + f_nx_n(t)$$

$$x_2(t+1) = p_1x_1(t)$$

$$x_3(t+1) = p_2x_2(t)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n(t+1) = p_{n-1}x_{n-1}(t)$$

If wr let f_i be the fecundities in each age class and the p_i 's the survival probabilities of each age class. We note that $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ and usually the first couple of f_i 's are zero ($f_i \geq 0$). We can write the following in vector form,

$$\mathbf{x}(t+1) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \dots & f_n \\ p_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & p_n \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}(t)$$

We denote this middle matrix as L as it's a Leslie matrix. We are now going to generalise this model to be a stage structured model. This has the form,

$$x_1(t+1) = f_1x_1(t) + \dots + f_nx_n(t)$$

$$x_2(t+1) = g_1x_1(t) + p_2x_2(t)$$

$$x_3(t+1) = g_2x_2(t) + p_3x_3(t)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n(t+1) = g_{n-1}x_{n-1}(t) + p_nx_n(t)$$

Again f_i 's are the fecundities of each stage class, the g_i 's are the growth probability and p_i 's as the stasis probability of each stage class. Again $0 \leq p_i, g_i \leq 1$ and $f_i \geq 0$. Now we see,

$$\mathbf{x}(t+1) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \dots & 0 & f_n \\ g_1 & p_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & p_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & g_{n-1} & p_n \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}(t)$$

These are examples of the PPM models, these have the general form:

$$\mathbf{x}(t+1) = A\mathbf{x}(t) \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$

Example. Tulip PPM

Assume three stage classes: Seeds, Bulbs and Flowers. We assume that seeds can become bulbs and bulbs can become flowers. Bulbs can stay as bulbs and flowers as flowers. Finally flowers produce bulbs and seeds. Hence our PPM is,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ 0 & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

7.1 Asymptotic Dynamics

We are really interested in asymptotic dynamics, so we consider $\mathbf{x}(t+1) = A\mathbf{x}(t)$ and we can see that $x(t) = A^t \mathbf{x}_0$. Hence we are really interested in what A^t looks like. So we want the eigenvalue decomposition of A . Assume that A has eigenvalues of $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and eigenvectors, $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ and now we write,

$$P = (\mathbf{w}_1 \quad \mathbf{w}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{w}_n)$$

and so,

$$AP = (A\mathbf{w}_1 \quad A\mathbf{w}_2 \quad \dots \quad A\mathbf{w}_n) = (\mathbf{w}_1 \quad \mathbf{w}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{w}_n) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and so we can write $AP = P\Lambda$ and so $A = P\Lambda P^{-1}$. Using this similarity condition, we want find that,

$$A^n = P\Lambda P^{-1}P\Lambda P^{-1} \dots P\Lambda P^{-1} = P\Lambda^n P^{-1}$$

Now we can expand $\mathbf{x}_0 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{w}_2 \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{w}_n$ and so,

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = P\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $\mathbf{x}(t) = A^t \mathbf{x}_0 = P\Lambda^t \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and

$$\Lambda^t = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n^t \end{pmatrix}$$

and so we can now write that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= (\mathbf{w}_1 \quad \mathbf{w}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{w}_n) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n^t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \alpha_1 \lambda_1^t \mathbf{w}_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2^t \mathbf{w}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \lambda_n^t \mathbf{w}_n \end{aligned}$$

This is the eigenmode expansion of a solution. We can say that as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then there will be a mode that dominates.

Theorem 7.1 (Perron-Frobenius Theorem). Assume that the PPM A is primitive, ie. for some $k \geq 1$, $(A^k)_{ij} \geq 0$. Then:

1. A has a single eigenvalue λ_1 of maximum modulus.
2. λ_1 is real and positive
3. Both the right \mathbf{w}_1 and the left eigenvector \mathbf{v}_1 are both positive.

$$A\mathbf{w}_1 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_1^T A = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T$$

4. \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{w}_1 are unique left and right eigenvectors.

Thus, for a primitive A ,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \rightarrow \alpha_1 \lambda_1^t \mathbf{w}_1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

or more formally,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^{-t} \mathbf{x}(t) = \alpha_1 \mathbf{w}_1$$

so now only three things can happen assumptotically,

1. If $\lambda_1 > 1$, then $\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$
2. If $\lambda_1 = 1$, then $\mathbf{x}(t) \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mathbf{w}_1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so it stabilises
3. If $\lambda_1 < 1$, then $\mathbf{x}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so we have extinction

Now we can consider the fixed points, $\mathbf{x}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ for all time. If $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and no eigenvalues are equal to one we see that $|A - I| \neq 0$ so,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (A - I)\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

then we have a unique fixed point. Hence, we have the first condition in the theorem it being unstable, the third it being stable and the second a bifurcation.

What about α_1 ? We know,

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{w}_n$$

for $i \neq j$, then $\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{w}_j = 0$. This can be proved by considering the definitions, multiplying and then manipulating the expressions. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}_0 &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n^T \mathbf{w}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}_0 &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 \\ \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}_0}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1} &= \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

That is the population inertia.

In summary, when A is primitive,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^{-t} \mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}_0}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1} \mathbf{w}_1$$

where,

$$A\mathbf{w}_1 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_1, \quad \mathbf{v}_1^T A = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T$$

and \mathbf{w}_1 is the stable state structure and \mathbf{v}_1 is the reproductive value.

7.2 Issues

We now, for simplicity, drop the subscript 1, so any \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{w} and λ are the first.

1. We now consider some target growth rate λ_T , and we assume that this to be one. So the question is how can be change A to make $\lambda = \lambda_T$.
2. How can be find bounds on $\frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_0}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}}$

7.2.1 Controlling $\lambda - \lambda_T$

If we are interested in an age-structured model, we have a Leslie matrix. What is the characteristic polynomial of the Leslie matrix?

$$\begin{aligned} c_A(s) &= |sI - A| \\ &= s^n - f_1 s^{n-1} - f_2 p_1 s^{n-2} + \cdots + f_n p_1 + p_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and we can show this has only one positive root, λ . As $s \rightarrow \infty$, $c_A(s) \rightarrow \infty$. So, for $s \geq 0$ the graph must only touch the x axis once, Thus for $s \geq 0$:

- $c_A(s) < 0$ if $s > \lambda$
- $c_A(s) > 0$ if $s < \lambda$

It follows that $\lambda > \lambda_T \iff c_A(\lambda_T) < 0$. In particular,

$$\lambda > 1 \iff c_A(1) < 0$$

This is equivalent to $R(A) > 0$, where,

$$R(A) = f_1 + f_2 p_1 + \cdots + f_n p_1 + \cdots + p_{n-1}$$

and so $\lambda > 1 \iff R(A) > 1$, growth, and $\lambda < 1 \iff R(A) < 1$, decline.

7.2.2 Determining the range of the population inertia

We know that,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-t} \mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_0}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}}$$

we define $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_i)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)$, $v_{min} = \min_i v_i$ and $v_{max} = \max_i v_i$. Assume wlog,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i_0} = 1$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_{i_0} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n v_{max} x_{i_0} \\ &= v_{max} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i_0} \\ &= v_{max} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_0 \leq v_{max}$ and we can show $v_{min} \leq \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_0$ and so,

$$\frac{v_{min}}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}_0}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{v_{max}}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}}$$

These bounds are sharp, these are attained for suitable choices of \mathbf{x}_0 . We just take \mathbf{x}_0 to have a one where the maximum or minimum value of \mathbf{v} .

7.3 Sensitivity Analysis

We take A and perturb it to $A + \Delta A$ and so we want to consider $\lambda(A) \mapsto \lambda(A + \Delta A)$. We will firstly consider this through a linear approximation with sensitivity analysis and then transfer function analysis which is exact.

7.3.1 Sensitivity Analysis

We want to map $A \mapsto A + \Delta A$, we then map, each useful quantity from $f(A) \mapsto f(A + \Delta A)$. Now,

$$\lambda(A) = \lambda(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$$

and,

$$\lambda(A + \Delta A) = \lambda(a_{11} + \Delta a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn} + \Delta a_{nn})$$

and as we have done many times before we approximate this with a Taylor expansion.

$$\lambda(A + \Delta A) = \lambda(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a_{ij}}(A) \Delta a_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(2)$$

Thus,

$$\lambda(A + \Delta A) = \lambda(A) + \Delta \lambda + \mathcal{O}(2)$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}(A + \Delta A) &= \mathbf{v}(A) + \Delta \mathbf{v} + \mathcal{O}(2) \\ \mathbf{w}(A + \Delta A) &= \mathbf{w}(A) + \Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) \end{aligned}$$

and now exploit that our equations will satisfy the perturbed eigenvalue eigenvector equations,

$$\begin{aligned} (A + \Delta A)\mathbf{w}(A + \Delta A) &= \lambda(A + \Delta A)\mathbf{w}(A + \Delta A) \\ (A + \Delta A + \mathcal{O}(2))(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2)) &= (\lambda(A) + \Delta \lambda + \mathcal{O}(2))(\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2)) \\ A\mathbf{w} + A\Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) + \Delta A\mathbf{w} + \Delta A\Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) &= \lambda(A)\mathbf{w} + \lambda(A)\Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) + \Delta \lambda \Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) \\ A\mathbf{w} + A\Delta \mathbf{w} + \Delta A\mathbf{w} &= \lambda \mathbf{w} + \lambda \Delta \mathbf{w} + \Delta \lambda \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) \\ \mathbf{v}^T A\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}^T A\Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}^T \Delta A\mathbf{w} &= \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} + \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \Delta \mathbf{w} + \Delta \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) \end{aligned}$$

Now $\mathbf{v}^T A\mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{v}^T A = \lambda \mathbf{v}^T$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} + \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}^T \Delta A\mathbf{w} &= \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} + \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \Delta \mathbf{w} + \Delta \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) \\ \mathbf{v}^T \Delta A\mathbf{w} &= \Delta \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathcal{O}(2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Delta \lambda = \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \Delta A\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} + \mathcal{O}(2)$$

We now assume that ΔA is obtained by perturbing one entry a_{ij} ($a_{ij} \mapsto a_{ij} + \Delta a_{ij}$). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A &= \Delta a_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \ddots \\ & & a_{ij} & \\ & \ddots & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where it is on the i^{th} row and j^{th} column. We now substitute this back in and obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\lambda &= \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \Delta a_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(2) \\ &= \frac{v_i w_j}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \Delta a_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(2) \\ \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\Delta a_{ij}} &= \frac{v_i w_j}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} + \mathcal{O}(1)\end{aligned}$$

Now take the limit as $\Delta a_{ij} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a_{ij}} = \frac{v_i w_j}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}}$$

These are our terms and so we can find the sensitivity matrix,

$$S = \left(\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a_{ij}} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}^T}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}}$$

Summary: We mapped $A \mapsto \Delta A$ and $\lambda(A) \mapsto \lambda(A + \Delta A)$ where $\mathcal{L}(A + \Delta A) = \lambda(A) + \Delta\lambda + \mathcal{O}(2)$ and,

$$\Delta\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a_{ij}}(A) \Delta a_{ij}$$

In particular, if we only have one element being perturbed,

$$\lambda(A + \Delta A) = \lambda(A) + \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a_{ij}}(A) \Delta a_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(2)$$

We want $A + \Delta A$ with an eigenvalue λ_T . Then we can say that,

$$\lambda_T = \lambda + \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a_{ij}} \Delta a_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(2)$$

Then it follows for small perturbations,

$$\Delta a_{ij} \approx \frac{\lambda_T - \lambda}{\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a_{ij}}}$$

this is a linear approximation. We can choose the smallest perturbation after calculating the partial derivatives.

7.3.2 Transfer Function Analysis for PPMs

The idea here is $a_{ij} \mapsto a_{ij} + p_{ij}$ such that the perturbed eigenvalue is λ :

$$\Delta A = p_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & p_{ij} & \\ & & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and again we proceed from the perturbed eigenvalue equation.

$$\begin{aligned}(A + \Delta A) \mathbf{w} &= \lambda \mathbf{w} \\ A \mathbf{w} + p_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \mathbf{w} &= \lambda I \mathbf{w} \\ (\lambda I - A) \mathbf{w} &= p_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \mathbf{w}\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $|\lambda I - A| \neq 0$ thus can multiply both sides by $e_j^T(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} e_j^T(\lambda I - A)^{-1}(\lambda I - A)\mathbf{w} &= e_j^T(\lambda I - A)^{-1}p_{ij}\mathbf{e}_i e_j^T \mathbf{w} \\ e_j^T \mathbf{w} &= p_{ij} e_j^T(\lambda I - A)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i (e_j^T \mathbf{w}) \\ 1 &= p_{ij} e_j^T(\lambda I - A)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ p_{ij} &= \frac{1}{e_j^T(\lambda I - A)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i} \\ p_{ij} &= \frac{1}{[(\lambda I - A)^{-T}]_{ij}} \end{aligned}$$

7.4 Transient Dynamics

7.4.1 From transient to asymptotic dynamics

For $\mathbf{x}(t+1) = A\mathbf{x}(t)$ where $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_i(t))$ and we can write,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-t} \mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(0)}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}. \quad (1)$$

We now introduce $N(t) = \|\mathbf{x}(t)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i(t)| = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t) = \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}(t)$. Now we consider 1 and then dot with $\mathbf{1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}^T \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-t} \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{1}^T \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(0)}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-t} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}(t) &= \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(0)}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{w} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-t} N(t) &= \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(0)}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) &= \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(0)}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \lambda^t \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotically, three possibilities. We have exponential growth, stasis and exponential decay. What we want to know now is what happens before the asymptotic behaviour? We want some way to bound the possibilities.




Figure 14: Transient and Asymptotic Dynamics.

7.4.2 Bound 1 - Population Amplification and Attenuation

We will consider maximum and minimum column sums. We know $\mathbf{x}(t) = A^t \mathbf{x}(0)$. Thus $N(t) = \mathbf{q}^t \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{1}^T A^t \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{1}^T M \mathbf{x}(0)$, where $M = A^t$ given $\mathbf{x}(0)$ such that $N(0) = \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}(0) = 1$. How large or small can $N(t)$ become?

Assume that $M = (m_{ij})$ is a non-negative matrix and that $\mathbf{x} = (x_j)$ is a non-negative vector. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}^T M \mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n M_{ij} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij} \right) x_j \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \max_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij} \right) x_j = \max_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = \left(\max_j \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij} \right) \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that,

$$\mathbf{1}^T M \mathbf{x} \leq \bar{c} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}$$

where $\bar{c} = \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij_M}$. Similarly we can show that,

$$\mathbf{1}^T M \mathbf{x} \geq \underline{c} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}$$

where $\underline{c} = \min_j \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij_m}$. Taking these together,

$$\underline{c} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}^T M \mathbf{x} \leq \bar{c} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (*)$$

Take $M = A^t$, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(0)$ in $*$, then,

$$\underline{c} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}(0) \leq \mathbf{1}^T A^t \mathbf{x}(0) \leq \bar{c} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}$$

where \bar{c} is the maximum column sum of A^t , denoted ρ_t , and \underline{c} is the minimum column sum of A^t , denoted, a_t . Thus,

$$a_t \leq N(t) \leq \rho_t \quad t \geq 0.$$

This is bound 1. We call a_t the minimum attenuation and ρ_t the maximum attenuation. These bounds are




Figure 15: Bound 1.

sharp. That is, for a given t' , $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{e}_{j_M}$ (a basis vector), this will give $N(t') = \rho_{t'}$ and $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{e}_{j_m}$ will give $N(t') = a_{t'}$. In simpler terms, they can be attained.

7.4.3 Bound 2

Now we multiply on the left by \mathbf{v}^T and get, (wlog when we write \mathbf{v} and λ we mean \mathbf{v}_1 and λ_1 , the dominant eigenvector.)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(t+1) &= \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}(t) \\ &= \lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(t) \\ &= \sum v_i x_i(t)\end{aligned}$$

and so we can write,

$$v_{\min} N(t) \leq \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}(t) \leq v_{\max} N(t)$$

and so we can form another bound,

$$v_{\min} N(t+1) \leq \lambda v_{\max}^T N(t)$$

and the other way around and so,

$$\frac{v_{\min}}{v_{\max}} \lambda^t \leq N(t) \leq \frac{v_{\max}}{v_{\min}} \lambda^t$$

This is bound 2. This bound isn't sharp, but is a lot easier to calculate.

7.4.4 Bound 3

We now look at $\mathbf{x}(t) = A^t \mathbf{x}(0)$ and we write this as

$$\begin{aligned}N(t+1) &= \mathbf{1} A \mathbf{x}(t) \\ &= (c_1(A) \quad \dots \quad c_n(A)) \mathbf{x}(t)\end{aligned}$$

Now we will get $\underline{c}(A)N(t) \leq N(t+1) \leq \bar{c}(A)N(t)$ and now we iterate and get that,

$$\underline{c}(A)^t N(0) \leq N(t) \leq (\bar{c}(A))^t N(0).$$

This is bound 3. This only contains values that can be found from A .

7.4.5 Combining Bounds

We now consider combining these bounds to create one overall bound. We consider bound 2 and 3. These say,

$$N(t) \geq \frac{v_{\min}}{v_{\max}} \lambda^t, \quad N(t) \geq \underline{c}^t.$$

This gives,

$$N(t) \geq \max \left(\frac{v_{\min}}{v_{\max}} \lambda^t, \underline{c}^t \right)$$

Then bound 1 gives us that $N(t) \geq a_t$, with $N(t) = a_t$ for suitable $\mathbf{x}(0)$. Thus,

$$a_t \geq \max \left(\frac{v_{\min}}{v_{\max}} \lambda^t, \underline{c}^t \right).$$

Similarly, follows from bounds 2 and 3 that,

$$\rho_t \leq \min \left(\frac{v_{\max}}{v_{\min}} \lambda^t, \bar{c}^t \right)$$

Where the red lines are the bounds for a_t and ρ_t . Further, these then give us bounds for any solutions for all the different initial conditions. That is, we can attain the less accurate bounds from just A . Sometimes as A gets complex A^t is very hard to calculate.




Figure 16: Combined Bounds.

8 Spatially distributed reaction-diffusion kinetics

8.1 Background

Consider the concentration $c(\mathbf{x}, t)$. This is the concentration of some chemical species at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at time t .




Figure 17: Model setup

Let $f = f(c, \mathbf{x}, t)$ be the source of the chemical in V . Introduce the flux vector $\mathbf{J} = J_1\mathbf{i} + J_2\mathbf{j} + J_3\mathbf{k}$. We define this as, $\mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}dS$. This is the rate of movement of chemical across dS per unit time. We can write the following conservation equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_V c(\mathbf{x}, t) dV = \iiint_V f dV - \iint_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

We recall the divergence theorem,

$$\iint_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iiint_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} dV$$

Thus,

$$\iiint_V \frac{\partial}{\partial t} c(\mathbf{x}, t) dV = \iiint_V f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} dV$$

This holds for an arbitrary volume V . We can equate the integrands,

$$\frac{\partial c(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + f \quad (2)$$

If transport is via diffusion, then,

$$\mathbf{J} = -D\nabla c$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient. In general $D = D(\mathbf{x}, t)$. This is referred to as Fick's law. In 1D, then $J = -D \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$. We now substitute into 2. We obtain,

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = f + \nabla \cdot (D\nabla c). \quad (3)$$

This is the reaction-diffusion equation. We now go further and assume that $D(\mathbf{x}, t) = D$ is constant. Then 3 simplifies to,

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = f + D\nabla \cdot \nabla c = f + D\nabla^2 c \quad (4)$$

where ∇^2 is just the Laplacian. If $f = 0$, then we obtain the diffusion equation in three dimensions. We consider m reactants, with concentration,

$$u_1(\mathbf{x}, t), \dots, u_m(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

with diffusion constants, D_1, \dots, D_m and source terms $f_1(u_1, \dots, u_m), \dots, f_m(u_1, \dots, u_m)$. We then write the equations as,

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = f_i(u_1, \dots, u_m) + D_i \nabla^2 u_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

We can write this in vector form,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{D} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}$$

where,

$$\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m)^T \quad \mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)^T$$

and,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & D_m \end{pmatrix}$$

First, we consider one reactant, $m = 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This gives arise to,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f(u) + D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}.$$

8.2 Fisher Equation

We will consider the Fisher equation. We consider $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as the concentration of the gene in a population at spatial location \mathbf{x} at time t ,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = ku(1 - u) + D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}.$$

We assume that $k, D > 0$. We can non-dimensionalise this set of equations with $\tau = kt$, $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{k}{D}}$ to give,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = u(1 - u) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} \quad (5)$$

To analyse systems of this kind we consider the spatially homogenous system, we ignore $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}$. It has two steady states, where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = 0$. That is $u = 0$ and $u = 1$,




Figure 18: Stability of stable states

We know that 0 is unstable and 1 is stable, that is any profile between 0 and 1 it will asymptotically go to 1. This isn't very interesting. We now consider the system with diffusion. We seek a solution of 5, as,

$$u(\xi, \tau) = U(\xi - c\tau) \quad c > 0$$




Figure 19: Characteristics of solutions of 5

We introduce the travelling wave coordinate $z = \xi - c\tau$, which now means, $u(\xi, \tau) = U(\xi - c\tau) = U(z)$. Now we can transform this into a system in terms of z . We see by the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} &= -c \frac{dU}{dz} \\ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} &= \frac{d^2 U}{dz^2} \end{aligned}$$

Then substituting this into the PDE (5) we get,

$$\frac{d^2 U}{dz^2} + c \frac{dU}{dz} + u(1 - u) = 0$$

We seek solutions with respect to the boundary conditions,

$$U(-\infty) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad U(\infty) = 0$$

These equate to a solution of the form,




Figure 20: BC of the solution.

We now let $v = u'$, which allows us to now present this as a system of two ODEs,

$$\begin{aligned} v &= u' = f(u, v) \\ v' &= -cv - u(1 - u) = g(u, v) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Steady states and stabilities

The steady states are where $u' = v' = 0$, that then implies for $v = 0$ we have $u(1 - u) = 0$ and so $u = 0$ or $u = 1$. Thus we have two steady states, $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$. To find the stabilities we consider the jacobian at the two steady states,

$$\mathcal{J}(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 + 2u & -c \end{pmatrix}$$

We now consider $\mathcal{J}(0, 0)$. We see,

$$\mathcal{J}(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we get the eigenvalues are,

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 - 4} \right)$$

Now we consider two cases. If $c^2 \geq 4$, then $\lambda_- \leq \lambda_+ < 0$. This is a stable node. If $c^2 < 4$, then $\lambda_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Re}(\lambda_{\pm}) = -c \leq 0$ and so we have a stable focus.




Figure 21: Stability of the origin

We then must have $c^2 \geq 4$ and so $c \geq 2$. This is because $u < 0$ is biologically infeasible. We now have a bound on c . We now consider the other stable state.

$$\mathcal{J}(1, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -c \end{pmatrix}$$




Figure 22: Phase Portrait for the system

where we have eigenvalues of $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 - 4})$. As $\sqrt{c^2 + 4} > c$, we have $\lambda_- < 0 < \lambda_+$ and so we have a saddle node. We can now draw a phase portrait of this system,

We see the red trajectory is the only trajectory with the property we are interested in for the boundary conditions. We can now infer the form of $U(z)$.




Figure 23: Phase Portrait for the system

8.3 Turing Mechanism

We now consider $n = 2$, we write these as u and v . The application is pattern formation. In absence of diffusion, $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = u_0$ for all \mathbf{x}, t . This would produce a uniform pattern. We also assume in the absence of diffusion $v(\mathbf{x}, t) = v_0$. We further assume that steady states are linearly stable. We will focus on deriving conditions such that the introduction of diffusion destabilises this and we get inhomogeneous patterning.

We consider the reaction-diffusion equations for u and v in their normalised form ($\gamma > 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \gamma f(u, v) + \nabla^2 u \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= \gamma g(u, v) + \nabla^2 v \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We consider some domain Ω with boundary $\partial\Omega$ with outward normal \mathbf{n} . Further, we take zero-flux boundary conditions,

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla v = 0.$$

Suppose we have a spatially uniform steady state of 7, (u_0, v_0) . Then, (u_0, v_0) satisfies,

$$f(u_0, v_0) = g(u_0, v_0) = 0.$$

Also note that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u_0 = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla v_0 = 0$. We first linearise these about the steady states (u_0, v_0) in the absence of diffusion, (i.e. when $\nabla^2 u = \nabla^2 v = 0$). Write,

$$u = u_0 + \hat{u}$$

$$v = v_0 + \hat{v}.$$

Substituting into 7, taking a Taylor expansion and considering lowest order terms, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t} &= \gamma(f_u \hat{u} + f_v \hat{v}) \\ \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial t} &= \gamma(g_u \hat{u} + g_v \hat{v}). \end{aligned}$$

In vector form, $\mathbf{w} = (\hat{u} \ \hat{v})^T$, the equations become,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = L\mathbf{w} \quad L = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} f_u & f_v \\ g_u & g_v \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(u_0, v_0)}.$$

Recall that we want the diffusion-free problem to be stable. We want the eigenvalues of L to have negative real part. This is guaranteed if $\text{tr } L < 0$ and $\det L > 0$. Note,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(L) &= \gamma(f_u + g_v) \\ \det L &= \gamma(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain stability if,

$$f_u + g_v < 0 \tag{I}$$

$$f_u g_v - f_v g_u > 0. \tag{II}$$

Let us consider the full equations with diffusion,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \gamma f(u, v) + \nabla^2 u \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= \gamma g(u, v) + \nabla^2 v \end{aligned}$$

We introduce again $u = u_0 + \hat{u}$ and $v = v_0 + \hat{v}$ and we then obtain the linearisation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t} &= \gamma(f_u \hat{u} + f_v \hat{v}) + \nabla^2 \hat{u} \\ \frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial t} &= \gamma(g_u \hat{u} + g_v \hat{v}) + \nabla^2 \hat{v} \end{aligned}$$

In vector form, $\mathbf{w} = (\hat{u} \ \hat{v})^T$, obtaining,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = L\mathbf{w} + D\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

To solve 8 we are going to separate space and time. First, let $\mathbf{w}_k(\mathbf{x}) = (\hat{u}_k(\mathbf{x}) \ \hat{v}_k(\mathbf{x}))^T$ be the solution to the spatial eigenvalue problem,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{w}_k = -k^2 \mathbf{w}_k.$$

Subject to the following boundary conditions $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \hat{u}_k = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \hat{v}_k = 0$.

Example. Consider the 1D domain $\Omega = \{0 \leq x \leq a\}$, then $\partial\Omega = \{0\} \cup \{a\}$. The eigenvalue problem is then,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}_k}{\partial x^2} = -k^2 \mathbf{w}_k$$

which we can write as the shorthand for $w_k = \hat{u}_k$ or \hat{v}_k . The boundary conditions are,

$$\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ at } x = 0 \text{ and } x = a.$$

The general solution is,

$$w_k(x) = A_k \cos(kx) + B_k \sin(kx) \quad A_k, B_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Imposing the boundary conditions, the solution are,

$$w_k(x) = A_k \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right)$$

Thus we have shown,

$$\mathbf{w}_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k_1} \\ A_{k_2} \end{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right)$$

where $A_{k_1}, A_{k_2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

In general we see a solution to (8) in the following form,

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_k c_k e^{\lambda_k t} \mathbf{w}_k(\mathbf{x}) \quad (9)$$

The stability of the solutions in time will be determined by the spatial eigenvalues. If $\lambda_k < 0$ then we get the perturbation dying out, but if $\lambda_k > 0$ then we have a growing solution with the trig function in space with a periodic solution. Hence for instability we want some $\lambda_k > 0$. We are going to substitute this (9) back into (8),

$$\sum_k c_k \lambda_k e^{\lambda_k t} \mathbf{w}_k = \sum_k c_k e^{\lambda_k t} (\gamma A - k^2 D) \mathbf{w}_k$$

where A is just the Jacobean at (u_0, v_0) . But the \mathbf{w}_k 's are linearly independent, and so

$$(\gamma A - k^2 D) \mathbf{w}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{w}_k$$

and so,

$$(\lambda_k I - \gamma A + k^2 D) \mathbf{w}_k = 0$$

We are interested in the temporal eigenvalues λ_k . For non-trivial solutions, we require that,

$$|\lambda_k I - \gamma A + k^2 D| = 0$$

This is equivalent to the following,

$$\lambda_k^2 + (k^2(1+d) - \gamma(f_u + g_v))\lambda_k + h(k^2) = 0$$

where

$$h(k^2) = dk^4 - \gamma(df_u + g_v)k^2 + \gamma(f_u g_v - f_v g_u).$$

We want stable eigenvalues and so we will use (I) and (II) to find new conditions on this problem. From condition ??, $f_u + g_v < 0$. Hence, for $\text{Re}(\lambda_k) > 0$, we must $h(k^2) < 0$. From condition ??, we have that $f_u g_v - f_v g_u > 0$, so for $h(k^2) < 0$, require

$$df_u + g_v > 0 \quad (\text{III})$$

Further we can write $h(k^2)$ as,

$$h(k^2) = d \left[\left(k^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2d} (f_u + g_v) \right) + \frac{\gamma^2}{d} (f_u g_v - f_v g_u) - \frac{\gamma^2}{4d^2} (df_u + g_v)^2 \right].$$

The last two terms are independent of k^2 , hence $h(k^2)$ is minimised when,

$$k^2 = \frac{\gamma}{2d} (f_u + g_v) \quad (10)$$

and $h(k^2)$ has the following minimum value,

$$h_{\min} = \gamma^2 (f_u g_v - f_v g_u) - \frac{\gamma^2}{4d} (df_u + g_v)^2$$

Then $h_{\min} < 0$ and so $h(k^2) < 0$, so for instability we require,

$$(df_u + g_v)^2 < 4d(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \quad (IV)$$

To recap. We have show that conditions required for diffusion driven instability are,

$$f_u + g_v < 0 \quad (I)$$

$$f_u g_v - f_v g_u > 0 \quad (II)$$

$$df_u + g_v > 0 \quad (III)$$

$$(df_u + g_v)^2 > 4d(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \quad (IV)$$

The first two will guarantee that without diffusion it is stable and the last two guarantee it is unstable with diffusion. Note, each partial derivatives are evaluated at (u_0, v_0) .

This is obviously a bifurcation. Let us thing about d as a parameter. Then there is a critical value of d , d_c at which instability occurs (When h_{\min}). The derivation for condition IV tells us it is,

$$(d_c f_u + g_v)^2 = 4d_c (f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \quad (11)$$

We can plot this as,




Figure 24: Different values of d with respect to d_c .

We consider the the perturbation from the steady states was,

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_k c_k e^{\lambda_k t} \mathbf{w}_k(\mathbf{x}).$$

This tells is that for all $k_1^2 k^2 < k_2^2$ we have $\text{Re}(\lambda_k) > 0$. For $d < d_c$ we have all the $\text{Re}(\lambda_k) < 0$ and so $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow 0$, but for $d > d_c$ we will have \mathbf{w}_k grow to an inhomogeneous solution.

The bifurcation we see is sometimes called the Turing bifurcation, we call the k 's the wave numbers. There is a critical wave number, k_c , the wave number we get at the bifurcation. This is the first node to lose stability. From 10, we can see this is,

$$k_c^2 = \frac{\gamma}{2d_c} (d_c f_u + g_v).$$

Then 11 then gives us,

$$k_c^2 = \gamma \sqrt{\frac{f_u g_v - f_v g_u}{d_c}}$$

8.4 Turing Mechanism: Example

Consider the following system in \mathbb{R} ($u = u(x, t)$ and $v = v(x, t)$). Then the system is,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \frac{u^2}{v} - bu + u_{xx} \\ v_t &= u^2 - v + du_{xx} \end{aligned}$$

where $b, k > 0$. The reaction terms in this case are the following,

$$f(u, v) = \frac{u^2}{v} - bu \quad g(u, v) = u^2 - v$$

This define the system as an activator-inhibitor system because, an increase of u increases v , that is u is having a positive increasing affect on v . Increasing v decreases the amount of u created. Hence we have an activator coupled with an inhibitor. We can take partial derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} f_u &= \frac{2u}{v} - b & f_v &= -\frac{u^2}{v^2} \\ g_u &= 2u & g_v &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in spatially homogenous steady states $u = u_0$ and $v = v_0$ satisfy,

$$f(u_0, v_0) = g(u_0, v_0) = 0$$

That gives,

$$\frac{u_0^2}{v^2} - bu_0 = 0 \quad u_0^2 = v_0$$

This is pretty easy to solve and we get,

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{b} \quad v_0 = \frac{1}{b^2}.$$

So we have the steady state of $(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{b^2})$. At the steady state,

$$f_u = b \quad g_v = -b^2 \quad g_u = \frac{2}{b} \quad g_v = -1$$

Stability in the presence of diffusion requires,

$$f_u + g_v < 0 \implies b < 1 \quad (\text{I})$$

$$f_u g_v - f_v g_u > 0 \implies b > 0 \quad (\text{II})$$

Therefore, stability in the presence of diffusion happens when $0 < b < 1$. For diffusion-driven instability, requires,

$$df_u + g_v > 0 \implies db > 1 \quad (\text{III})$$

$$(df_u + g_v)^2 > 4d(f_u g_v - f_v g_u) \implies (db - 1)^2 > 4db \quad (\text{IV})$$

To simplify the analysis, we let $x = db$. Then (IV) becomes,

$$(x - 1)^2 > 4x$$

This can be manipulated to find that $(x - 3) > 8$ and further $3 + 2\sqrt{2} < x < 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$. We let $x = db$ and (III) said that $db > 1$, we see that the later half of the above inequality violated that. This $db > 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$. So for diffusion-driven instability we require,

$$0 < b < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d > \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{b}.$$

In the (b, d) parameter plane,




Figure 25: Parameter variation for activator-inhibitor model.

The shaded value shows where diffusion-driven instability can occur. If we think of d as a bifurcation parameter. For each fixed b , if we move d through the curved dotted line a Turing bifurcation will occur. That is, as d increases through,

$$d_c = \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{b}, \quad (*)$$

(u_0, v_0) loses stability in a Turing bifurcation. Now,

$$k_c^2 = \gamma \sqrt{\frac{f_u g_v - f_v g_u}{d_c}} = \sqrt{\frac{b}{d_c}}.$$

So from *,

$$k_c = \sqrt{\frac{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{d_c^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{3 + 2\sqrt{2}}}{d_c} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{d_c}.$$

8.5 Biological Pattern Formation (Non-examinable)

We have been examining,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \gamma f(u, v) + \nabla^2 u \\ v_t &= \gamma g(u, v) + d \nabla^2 v \end{aligned}$$

involving two reactants and two zero-flux conditions,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u = 0 \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla v = 0$$

and then the linearisation,

$$\mathbf{w}_t = L\mathbf{w} + D\nabla^2 \mathbf{w}.$$

This has solutions,

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_k c_k e^{\lambda_k t} \mathbf{w}_k(\mathbf{x})$$

and we have the usual $I - IV$ conditions. So let us now consider $\Omega = \{0 < x < p, 0 < y < q\}$. The spatial eigenvalue problem is,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} = -k^2 \mathbf{w}$$

with boundary conditions,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ at } x = 0, p \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ at } y = 0, q$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = -k^2 w$$

with,

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ at } x = 0, p \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ at } y = 0, q,$$

where $w = \hat{u}, \hat{v}$. We seek separable solutions $w(x, y) = X(x)Y(y)$. These satisfy,

$$X''Y + XY'' + k^2 XY = 0$$

that is,

$$-\frac{X''}{X} = k^2 + \frac{Y''}{Y} = \alpha^2,$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. This then yields,

$$X(x) = A \cos(\alpha x) + B \sin(\alpha x).$$

Now, $\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = X'Y$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = XY'$. The boundary conditions say,

$$X' = 0 \text{ at } x = 0, p \quad Y' = 0 \text{ at } y = 0, q.$$

Imposing boundary conditions,

$$\alpha = \frac{m\pi}{p} \quad \beta = \frac{n\pi}{q} \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

This,

$$w = XY = A \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{p}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi y}{q}\right)$$

where,

$$k^2 = a^2 + b^2 = \pi^2 \left(\frac{m^2}{p^2} + \frac{n^2}{q^2} \right).$$

Our solution is then of the form,

$$w(x, y, t) = \sum_{m,n} c_{mn} e^{\lambda_{mn} t} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{p}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi y}{q}\right)$$

We now recall the stability discussion. Any m, n that satisfy our stability condition will grow in time, these develop into different states that are dependent on the eigenfunctions.

Suppose the only unstable wave numbers are $m = 1, n = 0$. The only unstable mode is then,

$$w(x, y, t) = A e^{\lambda t} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{p}\right) \quad \text{for } \text{Re } \lambda > 0$$

Thus,

$$u(x, y, t) = u_0 + \varepsilon e^{\lambda t} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{p}\right)$$

where we have a steady state and perturbation terms. Similarly for v . Typically a pattern will be laid down where the morphogen u is about its steady state. So we get some halved pattern,




Figure 26: Pattern Formation from $m = 1, n = 0$ unstable.

We can generalise this. If we have some pairing (m, n) corresponds to an unstable solution then,

$$w(x, y, t) = A e^{\lambda t} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{p}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi y}{q}\right) \quad \text{for } \text{Re } \lambda > 0$$

Thus,

$$u(x, y, t) = u_0 + \varepsilon e^{\lambda t} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{p}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi y}{q}\right)$$

and similarly for v . We therefore expect $u > u_0$ in an $(m+1) \times (n+1)$ chessboard pattern. We get spots.

More complex geometries and reaction kinetics lead to more complex patterns. Murray has a detailed discussion. We know that the wave numbers satisfy of our problem (a Helmholtz Problem) satisfy,

$$k^2 = \pi \left(\frac{m^2}{p^2} + \frac{n^2}{q^2} \right).$$

Typically, the chemistry, rather than the geometry will force unstable wave numbers k to satisfy the condition $k_1^2 < k^2 < k_2^2$.

For a tail, length $\gg \gg$ width and so $p \gg \gg q$. Then we might allow only $n = 0$ and $m = M \gg \gg 1$ say, giving a striped tail and if $n = 2$ we might get a spotted tail.