## Bayesian analysis of the DTE - at an interim analysis

## September 2022

We have some data  $\mathbf{x} = (x_{c,1}, \dots, x_{c,n}, x_{t,1}, \dots, x_{t,m})$ . We are tasked with finding the most likely values for the parameters  $\lambda_1, \gamma_1, \lambda_2, \gamma_2$  and T if we assume the data come from the following survival functions

$$S_c(t) = \exp\{-(\lambda_2 t)^{\gamma_2}\}$$

$$S_t(t) = \exp\{-(\lambda_2 t)^{\gamma_2}\} \mathbb{1}_{t \leq T} + \exp\{-(\lambda_2 T)^{\gamma_2} - \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} (t^{\gamma_1} - T^{\gamma_1})\} \mathbb{1}_{t > T}$$

We have the relationship

$$f(t) = \frac{d}{dt}[1 - S(t)]$$

Therefore, the above survival probabilities can be manipulated to give the following densities

$$\begin{split} f_c(t) &= \frac{\gamma_2(\lambda_2 t)^{\gamma_2} \mathrm{exp}\{-(\lambda_2 t)^{\gamma_2}\}}{t} \\ f_t(t) &= \frac{\gamma_2(\lambda_2 t)^{\gamma_2} \mathrm{exp}\{-(\lambda_2 t)^{\gamma_2}\}}{t} \mathbb{1}_{t \leq T} + \gamma_1 \lambda_1^{\gamma_1} t^{\gamma_1 - 1} \mathrm{exp}\{-\lambda_1^{\gamma_1} (t^{\gamma_1} - T^{\gamma_1}) - (T\lambda_2)^{\gamma_2}\} \mathbb{1}_{t > T} \end{split}$$


If we let  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$  the hazard ratio is


$$HR = \begin{cases} 1, & t \le T \\ (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^{\gamma_2}, & t > T \end{cases}$$

In consultation with experts, we have elicited the following distributions:

$$T \sim N(6,1)$$
 post-delay HR  $\sim Be(6.6,4.5)$ 

These distributions can be seen below





We can simulate some data according to some underlying parameters (in practice, we would not know these), combine the data with our prior distributions to obtain posterior distributions for T and post-delay HR.

```
set.seed(53)
#Setting up the correct parameters - we would not know this in practice
lambda1 <- 0.04
lambda2 <- 0.08
gamma2 <- 0.8
gamma1 <- 0.8
bigT <- 6
#Sample sizes in each group
n1 <- 300
n2 <- 300
#When is the IA time?
IATime <- 20
#Simulating the control and treatment data - again, we would not normally know the underlying structure
#Control
controldata <- rweibull(n1, gamma2, 1/lambda2)</pre>
#Treatment
CP <- exp(-(lambda2*bigT)^gamma2)[[1]]</pre>
u <- runif(n2)
suppressWarnings(treatmentdata <- ifelse(u>CP, (1/lambda2)*exp(1/gamma2*log(-log(u))), exp((1/gamma1)*l
```


```
combinedData <- data.frame(time = c(controldata, treatmentdata), group = c(rep("Control", n1), rep("Tre
combinedData$event <- combinedData$time<IATime

combinedData$time[combinedData$time>IATime] <- IATime

controlkm <- survfit(Surv(time, event)~group, data = combinedData)


plot(controlkm, col=c("blue", "red"))


legend("topright", legend = c("Control", "Treatment"), col = c("blue", "red"), lty=1)</pre>
```





```
## Compiling data graph
      Resolving undeclared variables
##
##
      Allocating nodes
##
      Initializing
##
      Reading data back into data table
## Compiling model graph
##
      Resolving undeclared variables
      Allocating nodes
##
## Graph information:
      Observed stochastic nodes: 600
##
##
      Unobserved stochastic nodes: 4
##
      Total graph size: 9217
##
```

## ## Initializing model ## ## Iterations = 2001:3000 ## Thinning interval = 1 ## Number of chains = 1 ## Sample size per chain = 1000 ## ## 1. Empirical mean and standard deviation for each variable, ## plus standard error of the mean: ## ## Mean SD Naive SE Time-series SE ## HR 0.6676 0.1109 0.003506 0.008231 bigT 5.6973 0.4624 0.014623 0.024168 ## 2. Quantiles for each variable: ## ## 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% 0.4716 0.5911 0.6626 0.7358 0.9048 ## HR ## bigT 4.4863 5.6139 5.7546 5.9220 6.4992









There is a question of what to do at this interim analysis stage, we could use these posteriors - especially the one for HR - to look at how much more informed we are at this interim analysis stage than we were compared to before the trial. We could also sample future observations from these posterior distributions and then combine these simulated future observations with the observations seen at the interim analysis stage to calculate Bayesian predictive probabilities.