### 1 Equivalence principle and geodesics

The **Einstein equivalence principle** (EEP) is inspired by the weak equivalence principle (WEP), which follows from the universal behaviour of gravity. Galileo and Newton observed that the inertial mass  $m_i$  appearing in Newton's second law,

$$F = m_i a$$
,

is equal to the gravitational mass appearing in the gravitational force law,

$$\mathbf{F} = -m_q \nabla \Phi.$$

Hence the WEP concludes that every object that is unaccelerated (i.e. freely falling, or subject only to gravity) moves along the same trajectory, regardless of its mass or composition. As a consequence, the motion of freely falling particles is the same in a gravitational field and a uniformly accelerated frame, in small enough regions of spacetime. The EEP is an extension of the WEP, in which all physical measurements are included, not just the motion of particles. Namely, the EEP states that in small enough regions of sapcetime, the laws of physics reduce to those of special relativity; it is impossible to detect the existence of a gravitational field by means of local experiments. The EEP implies that there is no "absolute acceleration". Instead we define "unaccelerated" as "freely falling in the local gravitational field". Gravity is not a force because it doesn't cause acceleration ("acceleration due to gravity" doesn't make sense). This suggests that gravity can be attributed to the curvature of spacetime.

The EEP can be used to derive some basic quantitative results. First is the **gravitational redshift**. Consider two rockets, a distance z apart, each moving with some constant acceleration a in a certain direction, in a region away from any gravitational fields. The trailing rocket emits a photon with wavelength  $\lambda_0$ , which arrives at the leading rocket after a time  $\Delta t = z/c$  in our frame. In this time the speed of the rockets has increased by  $a\Delta t = az/c$ . Therefore there is a normal Doppler shift due to the difference in speed between the emitter and the receiver of the photon:

$$\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda_0} = \frac{\Delta v}{c} = \frac{az}{c^2}.$$

 $\Delta\lambda$  is positive so the photon is redshifted. According to the EEP, this situation is indistinguishable from the sending of a photon upwards in a uniform downwards gravitational field with magnitude a, i.e.

$$q = -\nabla \Phi = -a$$
.

There is no way for the emitter and receiver to decide whether they are in accelerating rockets or in a gravitational field. Therefore they also observe redshift, which can be expressed in terms of the gravitational potential:

$$\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda_0} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} z = \frac{\Delta \Phi}{c^2}$$

where the final equality follows because  $\Phi$  is linear for a constant gravitational field. Note that in the gravitational field of a planet,  $\Phi = -GM/r$  increases as r increases, so  $\Delta\Phi$  and hence  $\Delta\lambda$  are positive for photons travelling upwards.

Another implication of the EEP is **time dilation in a gravitational field**. Consider the experimental setup above. The period of the light when emitted is  $T_0 = \lambda_0/c$ , and the period when received is  $T_1 = (\lambda_0 + \Delta \lambda)/c$ . Therefore, higher in the gravitational field, time runs faster. In terms of  $\Phi$ ,

$$\frac{T_1}{T_0} = 1 + \frac{\Delta\Phi}{c^2}.$$

Calibration for this effect is crucial for GPS satelites.

These considerations imply the **need for a general metric** because, in Minkowski space, time runs the same everywhere in a certain frame. The metric  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  is a tensor-valued function on spacetime which defines the line element of the spacetime:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}.$$

 $g_{\mu\nu}$  can be taken to be symmetric ( $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$ ) becasue any antisymmetric part would not contribute to  $ds^2$ . A crucial property of the metric is that the line element is geometric and should not depend on the choice of coordinates. This restriction implies the transformation rule for the metric under coordinate transformations. Consider an arbitrary coordinate transformation

$$x^{\mu} \to \tilde{x}^{\mu}(x)$$
.

We require that

$$ds^2|_x = d\tilde{s}^2|_{\tilde{x}(x)}$$

where

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} d\tilde{x}^\mu d\tilde{x}^\nu.$$

The coordinate transformation gives

$$dx^{\mu} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\nu}} d\tilde{x}^{\nu},$$

which together with the previous equations gives

$$g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}d\tilde{x}^{\alpha}d\tilde{x}^{\beta} = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}d\tilde{x}^{\alpha}d\tilde{x}^{\beta}.$$

Therefore the metric trasforms as

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}.$$

Provided that this condition is satisfied, the metric specifies a genuine spacetime geometry that is not dependent on a specific coordinate system. Although the Minkowski metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is its own inverse, this is not the case in general. The inverse metric is defined by

$$g^{\mu\nu}g_{\nu\rho} = \delta^{\mu}_{\rho}.$$

Its transformation rule is

$$\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}},$$

which can be checked by comptuing  $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{g}_{\beta\gamma}$ .

The **geodesic equation** describes the motion of unaccelerated particles in a general spacetime. In flat space, the path  $x^{\mu}(\tau)$  of a freely falling particle is given by

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} = 0.$$

This straight line path maximizes the proper time. We promote this to a general principle that holds even in curved spacetime: a freely falling particle follows the path between two events that maximizes its proper time. For a timelike path  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ , the infinitesimal proper time is

$$d\tau^2 = -ds^2 = -g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\lambda}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\lambda}d\lambda^2$$

and the total proper time between two events A and B is

$$\tau = \int_{\lambda_A}^{\lambda_B} \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\lambda}} d\lambda.$$

The actual trajectory maximizes this integral. The details of this straightforward variational calculation are omitted. The result is:

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{d\tau} = 0$$

where

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\sigma} \left( \frac{\partial g_{\nu\sigma}}{\partial x^{\rho}} + \frac{\partial g_{\sigma\rho}}{\partial x^{\nu}} - \frac{\partial g_{\nu\rho}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \right).$$

In the **Newton limit**, the metric has the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$ .  $h_{\mu\nu}$  can depend on the space coordinates  $x^i$  but not time  $x^0$ . We also assume that velocities are small:

$$\left| \frac{dx^i}{d\tau} \right| \ll 1.$$

With these assumptions the geodesic equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{00} \left(\frac{dt}{d\tau}\right)^2 = 0$$

with

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{00} = -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\sigma} \frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^{\sigma}}.$$

When  $\mu = 0$  this equation gives

$$\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2} = 0$$

and when  $\mu = i$ 

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^2 \frac{d^2x^i}{d\tau^2} = -\Gamma_{00}^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^i}.$$

In vector form this is

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla h_{00},$$

which motivates the identification  $h_{00} = -2\Phi$  or  $g_{00} = -1 - 2\Phi$ . Here is a suggestion that the metric in general relativity replaces the gravitational potential in Newtonian gavity, just as the geodesic equation replaces Newton's second law. What remains is to find the replacement for Poisson's equation,  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$ , which gives  $\Phi$  in terms of the local mass density.

## 2 Principle of general covariance

According to the EEP, a **local inertial system** can always be found at a certain point in spacetime. Let p be an event. Then a local inertial system is a coordinate system in which

$$g_{\mu\nu}|_p = \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

and

$$\partial_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu}|_{p}=0.$$

Therefore, near p, the Christoffel symbols, which are expressed in terms of the derivatives of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , vanish, and the geodesic equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} = 0$$

in accordance with the equivalence principle.

The **principle of general covariance** is the statement that the form of physical laws cannot depend on the coordinates we use to express them. That is, the general laws of nature are to be expressed by equations that are covariant under any coordinate transformation Furthermore, we require that the laws of physics reduce to those of special relativity in a local inertial system.

#### Tensors

The **covariant derivative** is a derivative operator for tensors that returns a tensor. We need that the derivative of a tensor is also a tensor for general covariance. For scalar fields  $\Phi(x)$ , the normal partial derivative  $\partial_{\mu}$  is fine.  $\partial_{\mu}\Phi$  is a tensor because, after a coordinate transformation  $x^{\mu} \to \tilde{x}^{\mu}(x)$ ,

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\mu}\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\Phi = \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}\partial_{\mu}\Phi,$$

which is the correct transformation rule for a one-form. However, consider a vector field  $V^{\mu}(x)$ . In this case  $\partial_{\mu}V^{\nu}$  is not a tensor. Under a coordinate transformation  $x^{\mu} \to \tilde{x}^{\mu}(x)$ ,

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha}\tilde{V}^{\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} V^{\nu} \right) = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \partial_{\mu} V^{\nu} + \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\nu}} V^{\nu}.$$

This is not the correct tensor transformation rule due to the extra term. We seek a covariant derivative  $D_{\mu}V^{\nu}$  which does transform correctly:

$$\tilde{D}_{\alpha}\tilde{V}^{\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} D_{\mu} V^{\nu}.$$

An unpleasant calculation (so? see Hartle) gives the correct result:

$$D_{\mu}V^{\nu} = \partial_{\mu}V^{\nu} + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\rho}V^{\rho}.$$

For one-forms, the covariant derivative is

$$D_{\mu}A_{\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}A_{\rho}.$$

The general formula is

$$\begin{split} D_{\rho}T^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{n}}{}_{\nu_{1}\cdots\nu_{m}} &= \partial_{\rho}T^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{n}}{}_{\nu_{1}\cdots\nu_{m}} + \Gamma^{\mu_{1}}_{\rho\sigma}T^{\sigma\mu_{2}\cdots\mu_{n}}{}_{\nu_{1}\cdots\nu_{m}} + \cdots + \Gamma^{\mu_{n}}_{\rho\sigma}T^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{n-1}\sigma}{}_{\nu_{1}\cdots\nu_{m}} \\ &- \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\nu_{1}}T^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{n}}{}_{\sigma\nu_{2}\cdots\nu_{m}} - \cdots - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\nu_{m}}T^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{n}}{}_{\nu_{1}\cdots\nu_{m-1}\sigma}. \end{split}$$

The covariant derivative has the normal properties of derivatives, including linearity and the product rule. Furthermore, the covariant derivative of the metric is zero:

$$D_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu} = D_{\rho}g^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

This result is trivial in a local inertial system, and therefore it holds everywhere because it is a tensor equation. The fact that the metric is covariant constant enables indices to be freely raised and lowered within a covariant derivative. For example,

$$D_{\mu}A_{\nu} = D_{\mu}(g_{\nu\alpha}A^{\alpha}) = g_{\nu\alpha}D_{\mu}A^{\alpha},$$

and

$$D_{\mu}V^{\mu} = D_{\mu}(g^{\mu\nu}V_{\nu}) = g^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu}V_{\nu} = D^{\nu}V_{\nu}.$$

The covariant derivative along a curve is defined by

$$\frac{D}{d\lambda} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\lambda} D_{\mu}$$

where  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  is a curve. In particular, if a particle moves along a timelike curve  $x^{\mu}(\tau)$  with velocity  $v^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$ , then

$$\frac{D}{d\tau}v^{\mu} = v^{\nu}D_{\nu}v^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau}\left(\frac{\partial v^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}v^{\rho}\right) = \frac{dv^{\mu}}{d\tau} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}v^{\nu}v^{\rho},$$

which is one side of the geodesic equation. Therefore, in covariant form, the geodesic equation is simply

$$\frac{D}{d\tau}v^{\mu} = 0.$$

From this equation it is clear that the geodesic equation satisfies the principle of general covariance. The equation is entirely tensorial, so it is guaranteed to take the same form in all coordinate systems. Furthermore, in a local inertial system where  $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}$ , it reduces to  $dv^{\mu}/d\tau = 0$ , which is the correct equation from special relativity.

We can also use the covariant derivative along a curve to define the **covariant acceleration**:

$$a^{\mu} = \frac{D}{d\tau} v^{\mu} = \frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{d\tau}.$$

With this definition, it is explicit that freely falling particles, which follow geodesics, are unaccelerated.

The **covariant form of Maxwell's equations** is found by simply substituting partial derivatives for covariant derivatives. This familiar procedure is justified because first we require general covariance, and second we require that the equations reduce to those of special relativity in a local inertial system, in which  $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}$ . Therefore the original Maxwell's equations

$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -J^{\nu}, \quad \partial_{[\mu}F_{\nu\rho]} = 0$$

become

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -J^{\nu}, \quad D_{[\mu}F_{\nu\rho]} = 0.$$

# 3 Curvature and Einstein's equations

On a differentiable manifold, vectors are intrinsically fixed at a particular point, and the procedure of **parallel transport** is required to compare vectors at different points. Vectors are elements of a tangent space at an event. Therefore it is not trivial to compare the coordinates of vectors at different points. In particular, if  $V^{\mu} \in T_p$  and  $W^{\mu} \in T_q$  have the same components in one basis, then they might have different components in another basis. Let  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  be a curve with  $x^{\mu}(0) = p^{\mu}$  and  $x^{\mu}(\Lambda) = q^{\mu}$ . Extend the vector  $V^{\mu} \in T_p$  to a vector field  $V^{\mu}(x)$  defined at all the points on the curve subject to the requirement that

$$\frac{D}{d\lambda}V^{\mu} = \frac{dV^{\mu}}{d\lambda} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\lambda}V^{\rho} = 0.$$

Now  $V^{\mu}(x(\Lambda)) \in T_q$  and can be compared to  $W^{\mu}$ . For example, their inner product is

$$(q_{\mu\nu}|_q)(V^{\mu}|_{\Lambda})(W^{\mu}).$$

Note that inner products are conserved as vectors are parallel transported:

$$\frac{D}{d\lambda}(g_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu}W^{\nu}) = \frac{D}{d\lambda}(g_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu}V^{\nu}) = 0.$$

This follows from the product rule for the covariant derivative. (?) Therefore orthogonality is preserved. In curved spacetime, parallel transport is dependent upon the chosen curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ .

The path depedence of parallel transport in a parallelogram can be used to derive the **Riemann curvature tensor**. Consider a vector  $V^{\mu}$  at a point  $x_0^{\mu}$ . Use parallel transport on  $V^{\mu}$  along two paths: first,  $x_0^{\mu} \to x_0^{\mu} + a^{\mu} \to x_0^{\mu} + a^{\mu} + b^{\mu}$ , second  $x_0^{\mu} \to x_0^{\mu} + b^{\mu} \to x_0^{\mu} + b^{\mu} + a^{\mu}$ , where both  $a^{\mu}$  and  $b^{\mu}$  are infinitesimal. In general, the deviation of  $V^{\mu}$  in an infinitesimal parallel transport  $x^{\mu} \to x^{\mu} + dx^{\mu}$  is

$$dV^{\mu} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}V^{\nu}dx^{\rho},$$

which can be derived from the equation defining the vector field  $V^{\mu}$  above. Therefore the result after the first path is

$$V_1^{\mu} = V^{\mu}(x_0 + a) - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}(x_0 + a)V^{\nu}(x_0 + a)b^{\rho}$$

where

$$V^{\mu}(x_0 + a) = V^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}V^{\nu}a^{\rho}$$

and

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}(x_0+a) = \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}a^{\sigma}.$$

Here and henceforth all quantities are evaluated at  $x_0$  unless indicated. Therefore

$$V_1^{\mu} = V^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}V^{\nu}(a^{\rho} + b^{\rho}) - \partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}a^{\sigma}V^{\nu}b^{\rho} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}\Gamma^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha}a^{\beta}b^{\rho}.$$

Renaming the repeated indices in the final two terms induces the simplification

$$V_1^{\mu} = V^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}V^{\nu}(a^{\rho} + b^{\rho}) + V^{\nu}a^{\rho}b^{\sigma}\left(-\partial_{\rho}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\sigma} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\rho}\right).$$

 $V_2^{\mu}$  can be found by exchanging a and b. Then their difference is

$$V_2^\mu - V_1^\mu = V^\nu a^\rho b^\sigma \left( \partial_\rho \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\rho} \Gamma^\alpha_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\sigma} \Gamma^\alpha_{\nu\rho} \right) = R^\mu_{\ \nu\rho\sigma} V^\nu a^\rho b^\sigma.$$

 $R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$  is the Riemann curvature tensor. Although  $R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}=0$  in Minkowski space, it is in general nonzero. It contains second derivatives of the metric, which do not vanish in a local inertial system. An alternative definition of the Riemann curvature tensor is by the commutator of covariant derivatives:

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]V^{\rho} = (D_{\mu}D_{\nu} - D_{\nu}D_{\mu})V^{\rho} = R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}V^{\sigma}.$$

Again we see that  $R^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$  reduces to 0 in a local inertial system because partial derivatives commute. In fully covariant form the Riemann curvature tensor is

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = g_{\mu\alpha} R^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}.$$

This can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\rho}} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\rho}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\sigma}} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{\nu\rho}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\sigma}} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\nu\sigma}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\rho}} \right) + g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\sigma} \Gamma^{\beta}_{\nu\rho} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\rho} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\sigma} \right).$$

Some symmetry properties are clear from this expression:

- $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -R_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} = -R_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}$
- $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}$
- $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + R_{\mu\sigma\nu\rho} + R_{\mu\rho\sigma\nu} = 0$
- $D_{\alpha}R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + D_{\nu}R_{\alpha\mu\rho\sigma} + D_{\mu}R_{\nu\alpha\rho\sigma} = 0$

The final equality is called the Bianchi identity and can be derived by considering the tensor in a local inertial system where the covariant derivatives are partial derivatives. Contracting the Riemann curvature tensor gives the Ricci tensor, defined by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\rho}{}_{\mu\rho\nu} = g^{\rho\sigma} R_{\sigma\mu\rho\nu}.$$

The Ricci tensor is symmetric. The Ricci scalar is  $R = R^{\mu}_{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ . Using the Bianchi identity,

$$g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\nu\rho}\left(D_{\mu}R_{\alpha\nu\beta\rho} + D_{\nu}R_{\mu\alpha\beta\rho} + D_{\alpha}R_{\nu\mu\beta\rho}\right) = 0.$$

Using the symmetry properties above to exchange indices gives

$$g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\nu\rho}\left(D_{\mu}R_{\alpha\nu\beta\rho} - D_{\nu}R_{\alpha\mu\beta\rho} - D_{\alpha}R_{\mu\nu\beta\rho}\right) = 0,$$

which reduces to

$$D_{\mu}R - 2D^{\nu} - D^{\nu}R_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

or

$$D^{\mu}\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) = 0.$$

This equation will be used later in the final derivation of Einstein's equations.

In the same way that the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  replaces the Newtonian gravitational potential  $\Phi$ , we seek the **energy-momentum tensor** that replaces the matter density  $\rho$ . The geodesic equation is the analogue of

$$a = -\nabla \Phi$$
.

The energy-momentum tensor will appear in the analogue of

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho.$$

We expect an equation of the form

$$\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu} \sim 4\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is the energy-momentum tensor. We define  $T^{\mu\nu}$  as follows:

- $T^{00}$ : energy density
- $T^{i0}$ : momentum density
- Hence  $T^{\mu 0}$ : four-momentum density
- $T^{0i}$ : energy flux;  $n_i T^{0i} dA$  = energy per unit time through area dA with unit normal  $n^i$
- $T^{ij}$ : internal forces

Note that  $T^{0i}=T^{i0}$  because energy flux is equal to momentum density in special relativity. Therefore  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric and  $T^{\mu\nu}=T^{\nu\mu}$ .

Having defined the energy-momentum tensor we are ready to complete the **derivation of Einstein's equations**. What replaces the  $\nabla^2\Phi$  term on the left side of Poisson's equation? We need a 2-index tensor containing second derivatives of the metric that is symmetric (because  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric) and is conserved  $(D^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}=0)$ . Such a tensor has been identified above. Therefore we propose

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}.$$

The value of  $\kappa$  in terms of familiar constants can be found by taking the Newton limit. We have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$$

and that velocties are small:  $|v^i| \ll 1$ . Furthermore the energy-momentum tensor is that of static dust:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho \delta^{\mu 0} \delta^{\nu 0}$$
.

Now take the trace, giving

$$-R = \kappa T = -\kappa \rho.$$

Therefore

$$R_{00} = \kappa T_{00} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{00} R = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \rho.$$

Note that

$$R_{00} = R^{\mu}{}_{0\mu 0} = R^{i}{}_{0i0} = \partial_{i}\Gamma^{i}_{00}.$$

From earlier investigations of the geodesic equation in the Newton limit, it has been found that

$$\Gamma_{00}^i = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_i h_{00}$$

and that  $h_{00} = -2\Phi$ . Therefore

$$R_{00} = \nabla^2 \Phi.$$

Put this into the equation above to get

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \rho$$

hence  $\kappa = 8\pi G$ . The complete Einstein's equations are

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}.$$

#### 4 Geodesics of the Schwarzschild metric

The Schwarzschild metric is

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}.$$

This was derived as the unique static, spherically symmetric solution to the vacuum Einstein's equations. Any other static, spherically symmetric vacuum solution can be converted to this form by coordinate transformations. Actually, the static assumption is unnecessary.

The Schwarzschild radius is  $r_0 = 2GM$ . The Schwarzschild metric describes the geometry outside a spherically symmetric star with total mass M, as can be shown in the Newton limit (proof?). The metric is only valid outside the radius R of the star. For planets and stars,  $R \gg r_0 = 2GM$ .

First we consider the **timelike geodesics** of massive particles. Because the metric is independent of t and  $\phi$ , there are conserved quantities

$$E = -(1, 0, 0, 0) \cdot u^{\mu} = \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)\dot{t}$$

and

$$L = (0, 0, 0, 1) \cdot u^{\mu} = r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi}.$$

These conserved quantities can also be found from the geodesic equation by computing Christoffel symbols. The conservation of L implies that the orbits lie in a plane (start with  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ , stay

there). Reorient coordinates so that orbits are in the plane  $\theta = \pi/2$ . Then the normalization of the four-velocity gives a final equation:

$$g_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu} = -\left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)\dot{t}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{-1}\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 = -1.$$

Substituting values and simplifying gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{2}E^2$$

where

$$V_{\rm eff}(r) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{GM}{r} + \frac{L^2}{2r^2} - \frac{GML^2}{r^3}.$$

The first three terms are the Newtonian potential in the two-body problem; the final term is a general relativistic correction.

This differential equation admits circular orbits. Solving the equation  $dV_{\text{eff}}/dr = 0$  gives

$$r_{\pm} = \frac{r_N}{2} \pm \frac{r_N}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{12G^2M^2}{L^2}}$$

where  $r_N = L^2/GM$  is the Newtonian solution. Therefore if  $L/GM < \sqrt{12}$ , then there are no circular orbits. Now consider the  $L/GM \gg \sqrt{12}$  regime. Then

$$r_{\pm} = \frac{r_N}{2} \pm \frac{r_N}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{6G^2M^2}{L^2} \right).$$

 $r_{+}=r_{N}$  is the normal stable Newtonian orbit.  $r_{-}=3GM$  is an unstable orbit at the top of the centrifugal barrier.

Also allowed are elliptic orbits. Let

$$x = \frac{r_N}{r}$$
.

Then

$$\frac{dx}{d\phi} = \frac{d\tau}{d\phi}\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\dot{\phi}}\left(-\frac{r_N}{r^2}\dot{r}\right) = -\frac{r_N}{L}\dot{r} = -\frac{L}{GM}\dot{r}.$$

Then the differential equation for  $\dot{r}$  becomes, after multiplying both sides by  $2L^2/G^2M^2$ ,

$$\left(\frac{dx}{d\phi}\right)^2 + \frac{L^2}{G^2M^2} - 2x + x^2 = E^2 \frac{L^2}{G^2M^2} + 2\frac{G^2M^2}{L^2}x^3.$$

In the regime  $L \gg GM, r \gg GM$  we can write

$$x(\phi) = x_0(\phi) + \frac{G^2 M^2}{L^2} x_1(\phi)$$

where  $x_0(\phi) = 1 + e \cos(\phi)$  is the Newtonian solution and  $x_1(\phi)$  is the **post-Newtonian correction**. Differentiating this equation with respect to  $\phi$  gives

$$\frac{d^2x}{d\phi^2} - 1 + x = 3\frac{G^2M^2}{L^2}x^2.$$

 $x_0(\phi)$  solves  $d^2x_0/d\phi^2 - 1 + x_0 = 0$  so

$$\frac{d^2x_1}{d\phi^2} + x_1 = 3x_0^2.$$

The solution to this equation is

$$x_1(\phi) = 3 + \frac{3e^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^2\cos(2\phi) + 3e\phi\sin(\phi).$$

The final term is not periodic and leads to perihelion precession.

# 5 Cosmology

The **Copernican principle** states that at a given moment in time the universe looks the same everywhere. This notion is applicable to the universe at very large scales, where localized sources of matter and energy like stars and dust are averaged.

The Copernican principle implies that the geometry of spactime is described by the **Robertson-Walker metric**. Consider coordinates  $t, x^i$  where t is a cosmic standard time, which parameterizes the evolution of the universe. For a given t, the universe is homogeneous and isotropic in the spatial coordinates  $x^i$ . Any path  $x^i$  = constant is a timelike geodesic because the density of matter is at rest in this coordinate system. Therefore the proper time for such a path is given by

$$d\tau^2 = g(x^i)dt^2.$$

 $g(x^i)$  must be constant because of homogeneity. The constant can be taken to be 1 by adjusting t. Also,  $g_{ti} = 0$  otherwise a preferred direction would be identified, defying isotropy. Therefore

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j.$$

Homogeneity and isotropy imply the form of  $g_{ij}$  via a lengthy calculation. The result is

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a(t)^{2} \left( \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right)$$

where  $k \in -1, 0, 1$  and a(t) is called the scale factor. Note that averaged matter is at rest in the coordinates of the RW metric, and the coordinates are called comoving because they move along with matter. Let

$$d\chi = \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}}.$$

The three possible choices of k correspond to three types of universe:

- k = 1:  $r(\chi) = \sin(\chi)$ ,  $d\sigma^2 = a^2 (d\chi^2 + \sin^2(\chi)d\Omega^2)$ . This is the metric of a 3-sphere. The space is periodic and finite. It describes a closed universe.
- k = 0:  $r(\chi) = \chi$ ,  $d\sigma^2 = a^2 \left( d\chi^2 + \chi^2 d\Omega^2 \right)$ . This is the metric of 3d flat space. It describes a flat universe.
- k = -1:  $r(\chi) = \sinh(\chi)$ ,  $d\sigma^2 = a^2 \left( d\chi^2 + \sinh^2(\chi) d\Omega^2 \right)$ . This is the metric of a 3d hyperboloid. It describes an open universe.