

The Elementary Theory of the Category of Sets

James Baxter Dustin Bryant

August 17, 2024

Abstract

Category theory presents a formulation of mathematical structures in terms of common properties of those structures. A particular formulation of interest is the Elementary Theory of the Category of Sets (ETCS), which is an axiomatization of set theory in category theory terms. This axiomatization provides an unusual view of sets, where the functions between sets are regarded as more important than the elements of the sets. We formalise an axiomatization of ETCS on top of HOL, following the presentation given by Halvorson [1]. We also build some other set theoretic results on top of the axiomatization, including Cantor's diagonalization theorem and mathematical induction. We additionally define a system of quantified predicate logic within the ETCS axiomatization.

Contents

1	Basic types and operators for the category of sets	4
1.1	Tactics for applying typing rules	5
1.1.1	typecheck_cfuncs: Tactic to construct type facts . . .	6
1.1.2	etcs_rule: Tactic to apply rules with ETCS type-checking	6
1.1.3	etcs_subst: Tactic to apply substitutions with ETCS typechecking	6
1.1.4	etcs_erule: Tactic to apply elimination rules with ETCS typechecking	7
1.2	Monomorphisms, Epimorphisms and Isomorphisms	7
2	Cartesian products of sets	14
2.1	Diagonal function	17
2.2	Products of functions	17
2.3	Useful Cartesian product permuting functions	21
2.3.1	Swapping a Cartesian product	21
2.3.2	Permuting a Cartesian product to associate to the right	22
2.3.3	Permuting a Cartesian product to associate to the left	23

2.3.4	Distributing over a Cartesian product from the right .	25
2.3.5	Distributing over a Cartesian product from the left . .	27
2.3.6	Selecting pairs from a pair of pairs	28
3	Terminal objects, constant functions and elements	31
3.1	Set membership and emptiness	31
3.2	Terminal objects (sets with one element)	32
3.3	Injectivity	35
3.4	Surjectivity	38
3.5	Interactions of cartesian products with terminal objects . . .	41
4	Equalizers and Subobjects	45
4.1	Equalizers	45
4.2	Subobjects	49
5	Pullback	51
6	Inverse Image	53
7	Fibered Products	58
8	Truth Values and Characteristic Functions	69
9	Equality Predicate	72
10	Properties of Monomorphisms and Epimorphisms	74
11	Fiber Over an Element and its Connection to the Fibered Product	79
12	Set Subtraction	86
13	Equivalence Classes	92
14	Coequalizers and Epimorphisms	95
14.1	Coequalizers	95
14.2	Regular Epimorphisms	99
14.3	Epi-monic Factorization	104
15	Image of a Function	106
16	<i>distribute-left</i> and <i>distribute-right</i> as Equivalence Relations	115
17	Graphs	128

18 Axiom 7: Coproducts	134
18.1 Coproduct Function Properties	136
18.1.1 Equality Predicate with Coproduct Properties	142
18.2 Bowtie Product	145
18.3 Case Bool	158
18.4 Distribution of Products over Coproducts	160
18.4.1 Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping	160
18.4.2 Inverse Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping	167
18.4.3 Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping 2	168
18.4.4 Inverse Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping 2	170
18.5 Casting between sets	172
18.5.1 Going from a set or its complement to the superset . .	172
18.5.2 Going from a set to a subset or its complement	175
18.6 Coproduct Set Properties	177
19 Axiom of Choice	189
20 Empty Set and Initial Objects	192
21 Exponential Objects, Transposes and Evaluation	198
21.1 Lifting Functions	199
21.2 Inverse Transpose Function (flat)	201
22 Metafunctions and their Inverses (Cnufatems)	206
22.1 Metafunctions	206
22.2 Inverse Metafunctions (Cnufatems)	207
22.3 Metafunction Composition	208
23 Partially Parameterized Functions on Pairs	216
24 Exponential Set Facts	217
25 Natural Number Object	237
26 Zero and Successor	241
27 Predecessor	244
28 Peano's Axioms and Induction	245
29 Function Iteration	247
30 Relation of Nat to Other Sets	254

31 Predicate logic functions	255
31.1 NOT	255
31.2 AND	256
31.3 NOR	258
31.4 OR	260
31.5 XOR	266
31.6 NAND	270
31.7 IFF	275
31.8 IMPLIES	280
31.9 Other Boolean Identities	292
32 Universal Quantification	293
33 Existential Quantification	297
34 Nth Even Number	298
35 Nth Odd Number	299
36 Checking if a Number is Even	301
37 Checking if a Number is Odd	301
38 Natural Number Halving	307
39 Cardinality and Finiteness	316
theory <i>Cfunc</i>	
imports <i>Main HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach</i>	
begin	

1 Basic types and operators for the category of sets

```
typedecl cset
typedecl cfunc
```

We declare *cset* and *cfunc* as types to represent the sets and functions within ETCS, as distinct from HOL sets and functions. The "c" prefix here is intended to stand for "category", and emphasises that these are category-theoretic objects.

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 1 (Sets Is a Category) in Halvorson.

```
axiomatization
  domain :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cset and
  codomain :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cset and
```

```

  comp :: cfunc ⇒ cfunc ⇒ cfunc (infixr ∘c 55) and
  id :: cset ⇒ cfunc (idc)
where
  domain-comp: domain g = codomain f ⇒ domain (g ∘c f) = domain f and
  codomain-comp: domain g = codomain f ⇒ codomain (g ∘c f) = codomain g
and
  comp-associative: domain h = codomain g ⇒ domain g = codomain f ⇒ h ∘c
(g ∘c f) = (h ∘c g) ∘c f and
  id-domain: domain (id X) = X and
  id-codomain: codomain (id X) = X and
  id-right-unit: f ∘c id (domain f) = f and
  id-left-unit: id (codomain f) ∘c f = f

```

We define a neater way of stating types and lift the type axioms into lemmas using it.

```

definition cfunc-type :: cfunc ⇒ cset ⇒ cset ⇒ bool (- : - → - [50, 50, 50]50)
where
  (f : X → Y) ⇔ (domain(f) = X ∧ codomain(f) = Y)

```

```

lemma comp-type:
  f : X → Y ⇒ g : Y → Z ⇒ g ∘c f : X → Z
by (simp add: cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp)

```

```

lemma comp-associative2:
  f : X → Y ⇒ g : Y → Z ⇒ h : Z → W ⇒ h ∘c (g ∘c f) = (h ∘c g) ∘c f
by (simp add: cfunc-type-def comp-associative)

```

```

lemma id-type: id X : X → X
unfolding cfunc-type-def using id-domain id-codomain by auto

```

```

lemma id-right-unit2: f : X → Y ⇒ f ∘c id X = f
unfolding cfunc-type-def using id-right-unit by auto

```

```

lemma id-left-unit2: f : X → Y ⇒ id Y ∘c f = f
unfolding cfunc-type-def using id-left-unit by auto

```

1.1 Tactics for applying typing rules

ETCS lemmas often have assumptions on its ETCS type, which can often be cumbersome to prove. To simplify proofs involving ETCS types, we provide proof methods that apply type rules in a structured way to prove facts about ETCS function types. The type rules state the types of the basic constants and operators of ETCS and are declared as a named set of theorems called *type_rule*.

```

named-theorems type-rule

```

```

declare id-type[type-rule]
declare comp-type[type-rule]

```

ML-file *⟨typecheck.ml⟩*

1.1.1 typecheck_cfuncs: Tactic to construct type facts

method-setup *typecheck-cfuncs* =
 ⟨Scan.option ((Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) |-- Attrib.thms)
 >> typecheck-cfuncs-method⟩
 Check types of cfuncs in current goal and add as assumptions of the current goal

method-setup *typecheck-cfuncs-all* =
 ⟨Scan.option ((Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) |-- Attrib.thms)
 >> typecheck-cfuncs-all-method⟩
 Check types of cfuncs in all subgoals and add as assumptions of the current goal

method-setup *typecheck-cfuncs-prems* =
 ⟨Scan.option ((Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) |-- Attrib.thms)
 >> typecheck-cfuncs-prems-method⟩
 Check types of cfuncs in assumptions of the current goal and add as assumptions of the current goal

1.1.2 etcs_rule: Tactic to apply rules with ETCS typechecking

method-setup *etcs-rule* =
 ⟨Scan.repeats (Scan.unless (Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) At-
 trib.multi-thm)
 -- Scan.option ((Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) |-- Attrib.thms)
 >> ETCS-resolve-method⟩
 apply rule with ETCS type checking

1.1.3 etcs_subst: Tactic to apply substitutions with ETCS typechecking

method-setup *etcs-subst* =
 ⟨Scan.repeats (Scan.unless (Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) At-
 trib.multi-thm)
 -- Scan.option ((Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) |-- Attrib.thms)
 >> ETCS-subst-method⟩
 apply substitution with ETCS type checking

method *etcs-assocl declares type-rule* = (*etcs-subst comp-associative2*) +
method *etcs-assocr declares type-rule* = (*etcs-subst sym[OF comp-associative2]*) +

method-setup *etcs-subst-asm* =
 ⟨Runtime.exn-trace (fn - => Scan.repeats (Scan.unless (Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule
 -- Args.colon)) Attrib.multi-thm)
 -- Scan.option ((Scan.lift (Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon)) |-- Attrib.thms)
 >> ETCS-subst-asm-method⟩
 apply substitution to assumptions of the goal, with ETCS type checking

method *etcs-assocl-asm* **declares** *type-rule* = (*etcs-subst-asm comp-associative2*) +
method *etcs-assocr-asm* **declares** *type-rule* = (*etcs-subst-asm sym*[*OF comp-associative2*]) +

1.1.4 etcs_erule: Tactic to apply elimination rules with ETCS typechecking

method-setup *etcs-erule* =
 ⟨*Scan.repeats* (*Scan.unless* (*Scan.lift* (*Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon*)) *Attrib.multi-thm*)
 -- *Scan.option* ((*Scan.lift* (*Args.\$\$\$ type-rule -- Args.colon*)) | -- *Attrib.thms*)
 >> *ETCS-eresolve-method*⟩
apply erule with ETCS type checking

1.2 Monomorphisms, Epimorphisms and Isomorphisms

definition *monomorphism* :: *cfunc* ⇒ *bool* **where**

monomorphism(*f*) ⇔ (∀ *g h*.
 (codomain(*g*) = domain(*f*) ∧ codomain(*h*) = domain(*f*)) → (*f* ∘_c *g* = *f* ∘_c *h*
 → *g* = *h*))

lemma *monomorphism-def2*:

monomorphism *f* ⇔ (∀ *g h A X Y*. *g* : *A* → *X* ∧ *h* : *A* → *X* ∧ *f* : *X* → *Y*
 → (*f* ∘_c *g* = *f* ∘_c *h* → *g* = *h*))
unfolding *monomorphism-def* **by** (*smt cfunc-type-def domain-comp*)

lemma *monomorphism-def3*:

assumes *f* : *X* → *Y*
shows *monomorphism* *f* ⇔ (∀ *g h A*. *g* : *A* → *X* ∧ *h* : *A* → *X* → (*f* ∘_c *g* =
f ∘_c *h* → *g* = *h*))
unfolding *monomorphism-def2* **using** *assms cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

definition *epimorphism* :: *cfunc* ⇒ *bool* **where**

epimorphism *f* ⇔ (∀ *g h*.
 (domain(*g*) = codomain(*f*) ∧ domain(*h*) = codomain(*f*)) → (*g* ∘_c *f* = *h* ∘_c *f*
 → *g* = *h*))

lemma *epimorphism-def2*:

epimorphism *f* ⇔ (∀ *g h A X Y*. *f* : *X* → *Y* ∧ *g* : *Y* → *A* ∧ *h* : *Y* → *A* →
 (*g* ∘_c *f* = *h* ∘_c *f* → *g* = *h*))
unfolding *epimorphism-def* **by** (*smt cfunc-type-def codomain-comp*)

lemma *epimorphism-def3*:

assumes *f* : *X* → *Y*
shows *epimorphism* *f* ⇔ (∀ *g h A*. *g* : *Y* → *A* ∧ *h* : *Y* → *A* → (*g* ∘_c *f* = *h*
 ∘_c *f* → *g* = *h*))
unfolding *epimorphism-def2* **using** *assms cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

definition *isomorphism* :: *cfunc* ⇒ *bool* **where**

isomorphism(*f*) ⇔ (∃ *g*. domain(*g*) = codomain(*f*) ∧ codomain(*g*) = domain(*f*)
 ∧

$$(g \circ_c f = id(domain(f))) \wedge (f \circ_c g = id(domain(g))))$$

lemma *isomorphism-def2*:

isomorphism(*f*) \longleftrightarrow (\exists *g* *X* *Y*. *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y* \wedge *g* : *Y* \rightarrow *X* \wedge *g* \circ_c *f* = *id* *X* \wedge *f* \circ_c *g* = *id* *Y*)

unfolding *isomorphism-def* *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isomorphism-def3*:

assumes *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*

shows *isomorphism*(*f*) \longleftrightarrow (\exists *g*. *g* : *Y* \rightarrow *X* \wedge *g* \circ_c *f* = *id* *X* \wedge *f* \circ_c *g* = *id* *Y*)

using *assms* **unfolding** *isomorphism-def2* *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

definition *inverse* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* ($^{-1}$ [1000] 999) **where**

inverse(*f*) = (*THE* *g*. *g* : *codomain*(*f*) \rightarrow *domain*(*f*) \wedge *g* \circ_c *f* = *id*(*domain*(*f*)) \wedge *f* \circ_c *g* = *id*(*codomain*(*f*)))

lemma *inverse-def2*:

assumes *isomorphism*(*f*)

shows f^{-1} : *codomain*(*f*) \rightarrow *domain*(*f*) \wedge $f^{-1} \circ_c f$ = *id*(*domain*(*f*)) \wedge *f* \circ_c f^{-1} = *id*(*codomain*(*f*))

proof (*unfold* *inverse-def*, *rule* *theI'*, *auto*)

show \exists *g*. *g* : *codomain* *f* \rightarrow *domain* *f* \wedge *g* \circ_c *f* = *id*_{*c*} (*domain* *f*) \wedge *f* \circ_c *g* = *id*_{*c*} (*codomain* *f*)

using *assms* **unfolding** *isomorphism-def* *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

next

fix *g1* *g2*

assume *g1-f*: *g1* \circ_c *f* = *id*_{*c*} (*domain* *f*) **and** *f-g1*: *f* \circ_c *g1* = *id*_{*c*} (*codomain* *f*)

assume *g2-f*: *g2* \circ_c *f* = *id*_{*c*} (*domain* *f*) **and** *f-g2*: *f* \circ_c *g2* = *id*_{*c*} (*codomain* *f*)

assume *g1* : *codomain* *f* \rightarrow *domain* *f* *g2* : *codomain* *f* \rightarrow *domain* *f*

then have *codomain*(*g1*) = *domain*(*f*) *domain*(*g2*) = *codomain*(*f*)

unfolding *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

then show *g1* = *g2*

by (*metis* *comp-associative* *f-g1* *g2-f* *id-left-unit* *id-right-unit*)

qed

lemma *inverse-type*[*type-rule*]:

assumes *isomorphism*(*f*) *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*

shows f^{-1} : *Y* \rightarrow *X*

using *assms* *inverse-def2* **unfolding** *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *inv-left*:

assumes *isomorphism*(*f*) *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*

shows $f^{-1} \circ_c f$ = *id* *X*

using *assms* *inverse-def2* **unfolding** *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *inv-right*:

assumes *isomorphism*(*f*) *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*

shows *f* \circ_c f^{-1} = *id* *Y*

using *assms* *inverse-def2* **unfolding** *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*


```

lemma inv-iso:
  assumes isomorphism(f)
  shows isomorphism(f-1)
  using assms inverse-def2 unfolding isomorphism-def cfunc-type-def by (rule-tac
x=f in exI, auto)

lemma inv-idempotent:
  assumes isomorphism(f)
  shows (f-1)-1 = f
  by (smt assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative id-left-unit inv-iso inverse-def2)

definition is-isomorphic :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  cset  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\cong$  50) where
  X  $\cong$  Y  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  f. f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\wedge$  isomorphism(f))

lemma id-isomorphism: isomorphism (id X)
  unfolding isomorphism-def
  by (rule-tac x=id X in exI, auto simp add: id-codomain id-domain, metis id-domain
id-right-unit)

lemma isomorphic-is-reflexive: X  $\cong$  X
  unfolding is-isomorphic-def
  by (rule-tac x=id X in exI, auto simp add: id-domain id-codomain id-isomorphism
id-type)

lemma isomorphic-is-symmetric: X  $\cong$  Y  $\longrightarrow$  Y  $\cong$  X
  unfolding is-isomorphic-def isomorphism-def
  by (auto, rule-tac x=g in exI, auto, metis cfunc-type-def)

lemma isomorphism-comp:
  domain f = codomain g  $\implies$  isomorphism f  $\implies$  isomorphism g  $\implies$  isomorphism
(f  $\circ_c$  g)
  unfolding isomorphism-def by (auto, smt codomain-comp comp-associative do-
main-comp id-right-unit)

lemma isomorphism-comp':
  assumes f : Y  $\rightarrow$  Z g : X  $\rightarrow$  Y
  shows isomorphism f  $\implies$  isomorphism g  $\implies$  isomorphism (f  $\circ_c$  g)
  using assms cfunc-type-def isomorphism-comp by auto

lemma isomorphic-is-transitive: (X  $\cong$  Y  $\wedge$  Y  $\cong$  Z)  $\longrightarrow$  X  $\cong$  Z
  unfolding is-isomorphic-def by (auto, metis cfunc-type-def comp-type isomor-
phism-comp)

lemma is-isomorphic-equiv:
  equiv UNIV {(X, Y). X  $\cong$  Y}
  unfolding equiv-def
proof auto
  show refl {(x, y). x  $\cong$  y}

```

```

    unfolding refl-on-def using isomorphic-is-reflexive by auto
next
  show sym  $\{(x, y). x \cong y\}$ 
    unfolding sym-def using isomorphic-is-symmetric by blast
next
  show trans  $\{(x, y). x \cong y\}$ 
    unfolding trans-def using isomorphic-is-transitive by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.7a in Halvorson.

```

lemma comp-monic-imp-monic:
  assumes domain g = codomain f
  shows monomorphism (g  $\circ_c$  f)  $\implies$  monomorphism f
  unfolding monomorphism-def
proof auto
  fix s t
  assume gf-monic:  $\forall s. \forall t.
    \text{codomain } s = \text{domain } (g \circ_c f) \wedge \text{codomain } t = \text{domain } (g \circ_c f) \longrightarrow
    (g \circ_c f) \circ_c s = (g \circ_c f) \circ_c t \longrightarrow s = t$ 
  assume codomain-s:  $\text{codomain } s = \text{domain } f$ 
  assume codomain-t:  $\text{codomain } t = \text{domain } f$ 
  assume f  $\circ_c$  s = f  $\circ_c$  t

  then have (g  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  s = (g  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  t
    by (metis asms codomain-s codomain-t comp-associative)
  then show s = t
    using gf-monic codomain-s codomain-t domain-comp by (simp add: asms)
qed

```

```

lemma comp-monic-imp-monic':
  assumes f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y g : Y  $\rightarrow$  Z
  shows monomorphism (g  $\circ_c$  f)  $\implies$  monomorphism f
  by (metis asms cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic)

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.7b in Halvorson.

```

lemma comp-epi-imp-epi:
  assumes domain g = codomain f
  shows epimorphism (g  $\circ_c$  f)  $\implies$  epimorphism g
  unfolding epimorphism-def
proof auto
  fix s t
  assume gf-epi:  $\forall s. \forall t.
    \text{domain } s = \text{codomain } (g \circ_c f) \wedge \text{domain } t = \text{codomain } (g \circ_c f) \longrightarrow
    s \circ_c g \circ_c f = t \circ_c g \circ_c f \longrightarrow s = t$ 
  assume domain-s:  $\text{domain } s = \text{codomain } g$ 
  assume domain-t:  $\text{domain } t = \text{codomain } g$ 
  assume sf-eg-tf: s  $\circ_c$  g = t  $\circ_c$  g

  from sf-eg-tf have s  $\circ_c$  (g  $\circ_c$  f) = t  $\circ_c$  (g  $\circ_c$  f)

```

```

    by (simp add: assms comp-associative domain-s domain-t)
  then show  $s = t$ 
    using gf-epi codomain-comp domain-s domain-t by (simp add: assms)
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.7c in Halvorson.

```

lemma composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic:
  assumes codomain  $f = \text{domain } g$ 
  shows monomorphism  $f \implies \text{monomorphism } g \implies \text{monomorphism } (g \circ_c f)$ 
  unfolding monomorphism-def
proof auto
  fix  $h\ k$ 
  assume  $f\text{-mono}: \forall s\ t.$ 
     $\text{codomain } s = \text{domain } f \wedge \text{codomain } t = \text{domain } f \longrightarrow f \circ_c s = f \circ_c t \longrightarrow s = t$ 
  assume  $g\text{-mono}: \forall s.\ \forall t.$ 
     $\text{codomain } s = \text{domain } g \wedge \text{codomain } t = \text{domain } g \longrightarrow g \circ_c s = g \circ_c t \longrightarrow s = t$ 
  assume  $\text{codomain-}k: \text{codomain } k = \text{domain } (g \circ_c f)$ 
  assume  $\text{codomain-}h: \text{codomain } h = \text{domain } (g \circ_c f)$ 
  assume  $\text{gfh-eq-gfk}: (g \circ_c f) \circ_c k = (g \circ_c f) \circ_c h$ 

  have  $g \circ_c (f \circ_c h) = (g \circ_c f) \circ_c h$ 
    by (simp add: assms codomain-h comp-associative domain-comp)
  also have  $\dots = (g \circ_c f) \circ_c k$ 
    by (simp add: gfh-eq-gfk)
  also have  $\dots = g \circ_c (f \circ_c k)$ 
    by (simp add: assms codomain-k comp-associative domain-comp)
  then have  $f \circ_c h = f \circ_c k$ 
    using assms calculation cfunc-type-def codomain-h codomain-k comp-type domain-comp  $g\text{-mono}$  by auto
  then show  $k = h$ 
    by (simp add: codomain-h codomain-k domain-comp  $f\text{-mono}$  assms)
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.7d in Halvorson.

```

lemma composition-of-epi-pair-is-epi:
  assumes codomain  $f = \text{domain } g$ 
  shows epimorphism  $f \implies \text{epimorphism } g \implies \text{epimorphism } (g \circ_c f)$ 
  unfolding epimorphism-def
proof auto
  fix  $h\ k$ 
  assume  $f\text{-epi}: \forall s\ h.$ 
     $(\text{domain}(s) = \text{codomain}(f) \wedge \text{domain}(h) = \text{codomain}(f)) \longrightarrow (s \circ_c f = h \circ_c f \longrightarrow s = h)$ 
  assume  $g\text{-epi}: \forall s\ h.$ 
     $(\text{domain}(s) = \text{codomain}(g) \wedge \text{domain}(h) = \text{codomain}(g)) \longrightarrow (s \circ_c g = h \circ_c g \longrightarrow s = h)$ 
  assume  $\text{domain-}k: \text{domain } k = \text{codomain } (g \circ_c f)$ 

```

```

assume domain-h:  $\text{domain } h = \text{codomain } (g \circ_c f)$ 
assume hgf-eq-kgf:  $h \circ_c (g \circ_c f) = k \circ_c (g \circ_c f)$ 

have  $(h \circ_c g) \circ_c f = h \circ_c (g \circ_c f)$ 
  by (simp add: assms codomain-comp comp-associative domain-h)
also have  $\dots = k \circ_c (g \circ_c f)$ 
  by (simp add: hgf-eq-kgf)
also have  $\dots = (k \circ_c g) \circ_c f$ 
  by (simp add: assms codomain-comp comp-associative domain-k)

then have  $h \circ_c g = k \circ_c g$ 
  by (simp add: assms calculation codomain-comp domain-comp domain-h domain-k f-epi)
then show  $h = k$ 
  by (simp add: codomain-comp domain-h domain-k g-epi assms)
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.7e in Halvorson.

```

lemma iso-imp-epi-and-monic:
  isomorphism  $f \implies \text{epimorphism } f \wedge \text{monomorphism } f$ 
unfolding isomorphism-def epimorphism-def monomorphism-def
proof auto
  fix  $g \ s \ t$ 
  assume domain-g:  $\text{domain } g = \text{codomain } f$ 
  assume codomain-g:  $\text{codomain } g = \text{domain } f$ 
  assume gf-id:  $g \circ_c f = \text{id } (\text{domain } f)$ 
  assume fg-id:  $f \circ_c g = \text{id } (\text{codomain } f)$ 
  assume domain-s:  $\text{domain } s = \text{codomain } f$ 
  assume domain-t:  $\text{domain } t = \text{codomain } f$ 
  assume sf-eq-tf:  $s \circ_c f = t \circ_c f$ 

  have  $s = s \circ_c \text{id } (\text{codomain } f)$ 
    by (metis domain-s id-right-unit)
  also have  $\dots = s \circ_c (f \circ_c g)$ 
    by (metis fg-id)
  also have  $\dots = (s \circ_c f) \circ_c g$ 
    by (simp add: codomain-g comp-associative domain-s)
  also have  $\dots = (t \circ_c f) \circ_c g$ 
    by (simp add: sf-eq-tf)
  also have  $\dots = t \circ_c (f \circ_c g)$ 
    by (simp add: codomain-g comp-associative domain-t)
  also have  $\dots = t \circ_c \text{id } (\text{codomain } f)$ 
    by (metis fg-id)
  also have  $\dots = t$ 
    by (metis domain-t id-right-unit)

  then show  $s = t$ 
    using calculation by auto
next

```

```

fix g h k
assume domain-g: domain g = codomain f
assume codomain-g: codomain g = domain f
assume gf-id: g ∘c f = id (domain f)
assume fg-id: f ∘c g = id (codomain f)
assume codomain-k: codomain k = domain f
assume codomain-h: codomain h = domain f
assume fk-eq-fh: f ∘c k = f ∘c h

have h = id(domain(f)) ∘c h
  by (metis codomain-h id-left-unit)
also have ... = (g ∘c f) ∘c h
  using gf-id by auto
also have ... = g ∘c (f ∘c h)
  by (simp add: codomain-h comp-associative domain-g)
also have ... = g ∘c (f ∘c k)
  by (simp add: fk-eq-fh)
also have ... = (g ∘c f) ∘c k
  by (simp add: codomain-k comp-associative domain-g)
also have ... = id(domain(f)) ∘c k
  by (simp add: gf-id)
also have ... = k
  by (metis codomain-k id-left-unit)
then show k = h
  using calculation by auto
qed

lemma isomorphism-sandwich:
  assumes f-type: f : A → B and g-type: g : B → C and h-type: h: C → D
  assumes f-iso: isomorphism f
  assumes h-iso: isomorphism h
  assumes hgf-iso: isomorphism(h ∘c g ∘c f)
  shows isomorphism g
proof -
  have isomorphism(h-1 ∘c (h ∘c g ∘c f) ∘c f-1)
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: f-iso h-iso hgf-iso inv-iso isomorphism-comp')
  then show isomorphism(g)
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 inv-left inv-right)
qed

end
theory Product
  imports Cfunc
begin

```

2 Cartesian products of sets

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 2 (Cartesian Products) in Halvorson.

axiomatization

cart-prod :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* (**infixr** \times_c 65) **and**
left-cart-proj :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **and**
right-cart-proj :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **and**
cfunc-prod :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* ($\langle -, - \rangle$)

where

left-cart-proj-type[*type-rule*]: *left-cart-proj* *X Y* : $X \times_c Y \rightarrow X$ **and**
right-cart-proj-type[*type-rule*]: *right-cart-proj* *X Y* : $X \times_c Y \rightarrow Y$ **and**
cfunc-prod-type[*type-rule*]: *f* : $Z \rightarrow X \Rightarrow g : Z \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y$

and

left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod: *f* : $Z \rightarrow X \Rightarrow g : Z \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow \text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle = f$ **and**
right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod: *f* : $Z \rightarrow X \Rightarrow g : Z \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow \text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle = g$ **and**
cfunc-prod-unique: *f* : $Z \rightarrow X \Rightarrow g : Z \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow h : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y \Rightarrow \text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c h = f \Rightarrow \text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c h = g \Rightarrow h = \langle f, g \rangle$

definition *is-cart-prod* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-cart-prod *W* π_0 π_1 *X Y* \longleftrightarrow
 $(\pi_0 : W \rightarrow X \wedge \pi_1 : W \rightarrow Y \wedge$
 $(\forall f g Z. (f : Z \rightarrow X \wedge g : Z \rightarrow Y) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow W \wedge \pi_0 \circ_c h = f \wedge \pi_1 \circ_c h = g \wedge$
 $(\forall h2. (h2 : Z \rightarrow W \wedge \pi_0 \circ_c h2 = f \wedge \pi_1 \circ_c h2 = g) \longrightarrow h2 = h))))$

abbreviation *is-cart-prod-triple* :: *cset* \times *cfunc* \times *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

is-cart-prod-triple *W* π *X Y* $\equiv \text{is-cart-prod } (\text{fst } W\pi) (\text{fst } (\text{snd } W\pi)) (\text{snd } (\text{snd } W\pi)) X Y$

lemma *canonical-cart-prod-is-cart-prod*:

is-cart-prod ($X \times_c Y$) (*left-cart-proj* *X Y*) (*right-cart-proj* *X Y*) *X Y*

unfolding *is-cart-prod-def*

proof (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

fix *f g Z*

assume *f-type*: *f* : $Z \rightarrow X$

assume *g-type*: *g* : $Z \rightarrow Y$

show $\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y \wedge$

$\text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c h = f \wedge$

$\text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c h = g \wedge$

$(\forall h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y \wedge$

$\text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c h2 = f \wedge \text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c h2 = g \longrightarrow$

$h2 = h)$

using *f-type g-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod cfunc-prod-unique*

by (*rule-tac* $x = \langle f, g \rangle$) **in** *exI*, *simp add: cfunc-prod-type*)

qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.8 in Halvorson.

lemma *cart-prods-isomorphic*:

assumes *W-cart-prod*: *is-cart-prod-triple* (W, π_0, π_1) $X Y$

assumes *W'-cart-prod*: *is-cart-prod-triple* (W', π'_0, π'_1) $X Y$

shows $\exists f. f : W \rightarrow W' \wedge \text{isomorphism } f \wedge \pi'_0 \circ_c f = \pi_0 \wedge \pi'_1 \circ_c f = \pi_1$

proof –

obtain f **where** $f\text{-def}$: $f : W \rightarrow W' \wedge \pi'_0 \circ_c f = \pi_0 \wedge \pi'_1 \circ_c f = \pi_1$

using *W'-cart-prod W-cart-prod unfolding is-cart-prod-def by (metis fstI sndI)*

obtain g **where** $g\text{-def}$: $g : W' \rightarrow W \wedge \pi_0 \circ_c g = \pi'_0 \wedge \pi_1 \circ_c g = \pi'_1$

using *W'-cart-prod W-cart-prod unfolding is-cart-prod-def by (metis fstI sndI)*

have $fg0$: $\pi'_0 \circ_c (f \circ_c g) = \pi'_0$

using *W'-cart-prod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-cart-prod-def by auto*

have $fg1$: $\pi'_1 \circ_c (f \circ_c g) = \pi'_1$

using *W'-cart-prod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-cart-prod-def by auto*

obtain idW' **where** $idW' : W' \rightarrow W' \wedge (\forall h2. (h2 : W' \rightarrow W' \wedge \pi'_0 \circ_c h2 = \pi'_0 \wedge \pi'_1 \circ_c h2 = \pi'_1) \longrightarrow h2 = idW')$

using *W'-cart-prod unfolding is-cart-prod-def by (metis fst-conv snd-conv)*

then have fg : $f \circ_c g = id W'$

proof *auto*

assume $idW'\text{-unique}$: $\forall h2. h2 : W' \rightarrow W' \wedge \pi'_0 \circ_c h2 = \pi'_0 \wedge \pi'_1 \circ_c h2 = \pi'_1 \longrightarrow h2 = idW'$

have 1 : $f \circ_c g = idW'$

using *comp-type f-def fg0 fg1 g-def idW'-unique by blast*

have 2 : $id W' = idW'$

using *W'-cart-prod idW'-unique id-right-unit2 id-type is-cart-prod-def by auto*

from $1\ 2$ **show** $f \circ_c g = id W'$

by *auto*

qed

have $gf0$: $\pi_0 \circ_c (g \circ_c f) = \pi_0$

using *W-cart-prod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-cart-prod-def by auto*

have $gf1$: $\pi_1 \circ_c (g \circ_c f) = \pi_1$

using *W-cart-prod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-cart-prod-def by auto*

obtain idW **where** $idW : W \rightarrow W \wedge (\forall h2. (h2 : W \rightarrow W \wedge \pi_0 \circ_c h2 = \pi_0 \wedge \pi_1 \circ_c h2 = \pi_1) \longrightarrow h2 = idW)$

using *W-cart-prod unfolding is-cart-prod-def by (metis fst-conv snd-conv)*

then have gf : $g \circ_c f = id W$

proof *auto*

assume $idW\text{-unique}$: $\forall h2. h2 : W \rightarrow W \wedge \pi_0 \circ_c h2 = \pi_0 \wedge \pi_1 \circ_c h2 = \pi_1 \longrightarrow h2 = idW$

have 1 : $g \circ_c f = idW$

using *idW-unique cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp f-def gf0 gf1 g-def by (erule-tac x=g \circ_c f in alle, auto)*

have $2: id\ W = id\ W$
using $idW\text{-unique}\ W\text{-cart-prod}\ id\text{-right-unit2}\ id\text{-type}\ is\text{-cart-prod-def}$ **by**
 $(erule\text{-tac}\ x=id\ W\ in\ allE, auto)$
from $1\ 2$ **show** $g \circ_c f = id\ W$
by $auto$
qed

have $f\text{-iso}: isomorphism\ f$
using $f\text{-def}\ fg\ g\text{-def}\ gf\ isomorphism\text{-def3}$ **by** $blast$
from $f\text{-iso}\ f\text{-def}$ **show** $\exists f. f : W \rightarrow W' \wedge isomorphism\ f \wedge \pi'_0 \circ_c f = \pi_0 \wedge \pi'_1 \circ_c f = \pi_1$
by $auto$
qed

lemma $product\text{-commutes}$:

$A \times_c B \cong B \times_c A$
proof –
have $id\text{-}AB: \langle right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ B\ A, left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ B\ A \rangle \circ_c \langle right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ A\ B, left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ A\ B \rangle = id(A \times_c B)$
by $(typecheck\text{-}cfuncs, smt\ (z3)\ cfunc\text{-}prod\text{-}unique\ comp\text{-}associative2\ id\text{-}right\text{-}unit2\ left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}cfunc\text{-}prod\ right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}cfunc\text{-}prod)$
have $id\text{-}BA: \langle right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ A\ B, left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ A\ B \rangle \circ_c \langle right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ B\ A, left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ B\ A \rangle = id(B \times_c A)$
by $(typecheck\text{-}cfuncs, smt\ (z3)\ cfunc\text{-}prod\text{-}unique\ comp\text{-}associative2\ id\text{-}right\text{-}unit2\ left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}cfunc\text{-}prod\ right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}cfunc\text{-}prod)$
show $A \times_c B \cong B \times_c A$
by $(smt\ (verit, ccfv\text{-}threshold)\ canonical\text{-}cart\text{-}prod\text{-}is\text{-}cart\text{-}prod\ cfunc\text{-}prod\text{-}unique\ cfunc\text{-}type\text{-}def\ id\text{-}AB\ id\text{-}BA\ is\text{-}cart\text{-}prod\text{-}def\ is\text{-}isomorphic\text{-}def\ isomorphism\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $cart\text{-}prod\text{-}eq$:

assumes $a : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y\ b : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y$
shows $a = b \iff$
 $(left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c a = left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c b$
 $\wedge right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c a = right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c b)$
by $(metis\ (full\text{-}types)\ assms\ cfunc\text{-}prod\text{-}unique\ comp\text{-}type\ left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}type\ right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}type)$

lemma $cart\text{-}prod\text{-}eqI$:

assumes $a : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y\ b : Z \rightarrow X \times_c Y$
assumes $(left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c a = left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c b$
 $\wedge right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c a = right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c b)$
shows $a = b$
using $assms\ cart\text{-}prod\text{-}eq$ **by** $blast$

lemma $cart\text{-}prod\text{-}eq2$:

assumes $a : Z \rightarrow X\ b : Z \rightarrow Y\ c : Z \rightarrow X\ d : Z \rightarrow Y$
shows $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle c, d \rangle \iff (a = c \wedge b = d)$
by $(metis\ assms\ left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}cfunc\text{-}prod\ right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\text{-}cfunc\text{-}prod)$


```

lemma cart-prod-decomp:
  assumes  $a : A \rightarrow X \times_c Y$ 
  shows  $\exists x y. a = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge x : A \rightarrow X \wedge y : A \rightarrow Y$ 
proof (rule-tac x=left-cart-proj X Y  $\circ_c$  a in exI, rule-tac x=right-cart-proj X Y  $\circ_c$  a in exI, auto)
  show  $a = \langle \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \ \circ_c \ a, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \ \circ_c \ a \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-unique)
  show  $\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \ \circ_c \ a : A \rightarrow X$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \ \circ_c \ a : A \rightarrow Y$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
qed

```

2.1 Diagonal function

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.9 in Halvorson.

definition *diagonal* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
diagonal $X = \langle \text{id } X, \text{id } X \rangle$

```

lemma diagonal-type[type-rule]:
  diagonal  $X : X \rightarrow X \times_c X$ 
  unfolding diagonal-def by (simp add: cfunc-prod-type id-type)

```

```

lemma diag-mono:
  monomorphism(diagonal  $X$ )
proof –
  have  $\text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \ \circ_c \ \text{diagonal } X = \text{id } X$ 
    by (metis diagonal-def id-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
  then show monomorphism(diagonal  $X$ )
    by (metis cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic diagonal-type id-isomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic left-cart-proj-type)
qed

```

2.2 Products of functions

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.10 in Halvorson.

definition *cfunc-cross-prod* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* (**infixr** \times_f 55) **where**
 $f \times_f g = \langle f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) \ (\text{domain } g), g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) \ (\text{domain } g) \rangle$

```

lemma cfunc-cross-prod-def2:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y \ g : V \rightarrow W$ 
  shows  $f \times_f g = \langle f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ V, g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ V \rangle$ 
  using assms cfunc-cross-prod-def cfunc-type-def by auto

```

```

lemma cfunc-cross-prod-type[type-rule]:
   $f : W \rightarrow Y \Longrightarrow g : X \rightarrow Z \Longrightarrow f \times_f g : W \times_c X \rightarrow Y \times_c Z$ 
  unfolding cfunc-cross-prod-def

```

using *cfunc-prod-type cfunc-type-def comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*
by *auto*

lemma *left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod*:

$f : W \rightarrow Y \implies g : X \rightarrow Z \implies \text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c f \times_f g = f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } W \ X$

unfolding *cfunc-cross-prod-def*

using *cfunc-type-def comp-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*
by (*smt (verit)*)

lemma *right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod*:

$f : W \rightarrow Y \implies g : X \rightarrow Z \implies \text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c f \times_f g = g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } W \ X$

unfolding *cfunc-cross-prod-def*

using *cfunc-type-def comp-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*
by (*smt (verit)*)

lemma *cfunc-cross-prod-unique*: $f : W \rightarrow Y \implies g : X \rightarrow Z \implies h : W \times_c X \rightarrow Y \times_c Z \implies$

$\text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c h = f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } W \ X \implies$

$\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c h = g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } W \ X \implies h = f \times_f g$

unfolding *cfunc-cross-prod-def*

using *cfunc-prod-unique cfunc-type-def comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*
by *auto*

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.11 in Halvorson.

lemma *identity-distributes-across-composition*:

assumes *f-type*: $f : A \rightarrow B$ **and** *g-type*: $g : B \rightarrow C$

shows $\text{id } X \times_f (g \circ_c f) = (\text{id } X \times_f g) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f f)$

proof –

from *cfunc-cross-prod-unique*

have *uniqueness*: $\forall h. h : X \times_c A \rightarrow X \times_c C \wedge$

$\text{left-cart-proj } X \ C \circ_c h = \text{id}_c X \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ A \wedge$

$\text{right-cart-proj } X \ C \circ_c h = (g \circ_c f) \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ A \longrightarrow$

$h = \text{id}_c X \times_f (g \circ_c f)$

by (*meson comp-type f-type g-type id-type*)

have *left-eq*: $\text{left-cart-proj } X \ C \circ_c (\text{id}_c X \times_f g) \circ_c (\text{id}_c X \times_f f) = \text{id}_c X \circ_c$

$\text{left-cart-proj } X \ A$

using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod left-cart-proj-type)*

have *right-eq*: $\text{right-cart-proj } X \ C \circ_c (\text{id}_c X \times_f g) \circ_c (\text{id}_c X \times_f f) = (g \circ_c f)$

$\circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ A$

using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod right-cart-proj-type)*

show $\text{id}_c X \times_f g \circ_c f = (\text{id}_c X \times_f g) \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f f$

using *assms left-eq right-eq uniqueness by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)*

qed

lemma *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod*:
assumes *a-type*: $a : A \rightarrow W$ **and** *b-type*: $b : A \rightarrow X$
assumes *f-type*: $f : W \rightarrow Y$ **and** *g-type*: $g : X \rightarrow Z$
shows $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = \langle f \circ_c a, g \circ_c b \rangle$
proof –
from *cfunc-prod-unique* **have** *uniqueness*:
 $\forall h. h : A \rightarrow Y \times_c Z \wedge \text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c h = f \circ_c a \wedge \text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z$
 $\circ_c h = g \circ_c b \longrightarrow$
 $h = \langle f \circ_c a, g \circ_c b \rangle$
using *assms comp-type* **by** *blast*

have $\text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c (f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } W \ X \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod*)
then have *left-eq*: $\text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c (f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = f \circ_c a$
using *a-type b-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** *auto*

have $\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c (f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } W \ X \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod*)
then have *right-eq*: $\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c (f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = g \circ_c b$
using *a-type b-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** *auto*

show $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = \langle f \circ_c a, g \circ_c b \rangle$
using *uniqueness left-eq right-eq assms* **by** (*erule-tac x=f \times_f g \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle in allE*,
meson cfunc-cross-prod-type cfunc-prod-type comp-type uniqueness)

qed

lemma *cfunc-prod-comp*:
assumes *f-type*: $f : X \rightarrow Y$
assumes *a-type*: $a : Y \rightarrow A$ **and** *b-type*: $b : Y \rightarrow B$
shows $\langle a, b \rangle \circ_c f = \langle a \circ_c f, b \circ_c f \rangle$
proof –
have *same-left-proj*: $\text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle \circ_c f = a \circ_c f$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
have *same-right-proj*: $\text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle \circ_c f = b \circ_c f$
using *assms comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*,
auto)
show $\langle a, b \rangle \circ_c f = \langle a \circ_c f, b \circ_c f \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis a-type b-type cfunc-prod-unique f-type same-left-proj*
same-right-proj)

qed

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.12 in Halvorson.

lemma *id-cross-prod*: $\text{id}(X) \times_f \text{id}(Y) = \text{id}(X \times_c Y)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-unique id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*
left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type)

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.14 in Halvorson.

lemma *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-diagonal*:

```

assumes  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ 
shows  $(f \times_f f) \circ_c \text{diagonal}(X) = \text{diagonal}(Y) \circ_c f$ 
unfolding diagonal-def
proof –
  have  $(f \times_f f) \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c X, \text{id}_c X \rangle = \langle f \circ_c \text{id}_c X, f \circ_c \text{id}_c X \rangle$ 
    using assms cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-type by blast
  also have  $\dots = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    using assms cfunc-type-def id-right-unit by auto
  also have  $\dots = \langle \text{id}_c Y \circ_c f, \text{id}_c Y \circ_c f \rangle$ 
    using assms cfunc-type-def id-left-unit by auto
  also have  $\dots = \langle \text{id}_c Y, \text{id}_c Y \rangle \circ_c f$ 
    using assms cfunc-prod-comp id-type by fastforce
  then show  $(f \times_f f) \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c X, \text{id}_c X \rangle = \langle \text{id}_c Y, \text{id}_c Y \rangle \circ_c f$ 
    using calculation by auto
qed

lemma cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod:
  assumes  $a : A \rightarrow X \ b : B \rightarrow Y \ x : X \rightarrow Z \ y : Y \rightarrow W$ 
  shows  $(x \times_f y) \circ_c (a \times_f b) = (x \circ_c a) \times_f (y \circ_c b)$ 
proof –
  have  $(x \times_f y) \circ_c \langle a \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B, b \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \rangle$ 
     $= \langle x \circ_c a \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B, y \circ_c b \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \rangle$ 
  by (meson assms cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-type left-cart-proj-type
right-cart-proj-type)
  then show  $(x \times_f y) \circ_c a \times_f b = (x \circ_c a) \times_f y \circ_c b$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) assms cfunc-cross-prod-def2 comp-associative2
left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type)
qed

lemma cfunc-cross-prod-mono:
  assumes type-assms:  $f : X \rightarrow Y \ g : Z \rightarrow W$ 
  assumes f-mono: monomorphism f and g-mono: monomorphism g
  shows monomorphism  $(f \times_f g)$ 
  using type-assms
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold monomorphism-def3, auto)
  fix  $x \ y \ A$ 
  assume x-type:  $x : A \rightarrow X \times_c Z$ 
  assume y-type:  $y : A \rightarrow X \times_c Z$ 

  obtain  $x1 \ x2$  where x-expand:  $x = \langle x1, x2 \rangle$  and x1-x2-type:  $x1 : A \rightarrow X \ x2 : A \rightarrow Z$ 
    using cfunc-prod-unique comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type x-type
by blast
  obtain  $y1 \ y2$  where y-expand:  $y = \langle y1, y2 \rangle$  and y1-y2-type:  $y1 : A \rightarrow X \ y2 : A \rightarrow Z$ 
    using cfunc-prod-unique comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type y-type
by blast

  assume  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c x = (f \times_f g) \circ_c y$ 

```

```

then have  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = (f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle y1, y2 \rangle$ 
  using x-expand y-expand by blast
then have  $\langle f \circ_c x1, g \circ_c x2 \rangle = \langle f \circ_c y1, g \circ_c y2 \rangle$ 
  using cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod type-assms x1-x2-type y1-y2-type by
auto
then have  $f \circ_c x1 = f \circ_c y1 \wedge g \circ_c x2 = g \circ_c y2$ 
  by (meson cart-prod-eq2 comp-type type-assms x1-x2-type y1-y2-type)
then have  $x1 = y1 \wedge x2 = y2$ 
  using cfunc-type-def f-mono g-mono monomorphism-def type-assms x1-x2-type
y1-y2-type by auto
then have  $\langle x1, x2 \rangle = \langle y1, y2 \rangle$ 
  by blast
then show  $x = y$ 
  by (simp add: x-expand y-expand)
qed

```

2.3 Useful Cartesian product permuting functions

2.3.1 Swapping a Cartesian product

definition *swap* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

swap *X Y* = $\langle \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y, \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \rangle$

lemma *swap-type*[*type-rule*]: *swap* *X Y* : $X \times_c Y \rightarrow Y \times_c X$

unfolding *swap-def* **by** (*simp add: cfunc-prod-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*)

lemma *swap-ap*:

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : A \rightarrow Y$

shows *swap* *X Y* $\circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$

proof –

have *swap* *X Y* $\circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y, \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \rangle \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$

unfolding *swap-def* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $\langle \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle, \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$

by (*meson assms cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-prod-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*)

also have ... = $\langle y, x \rangle$

using *assms left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *swap-cross-prod*:

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : B \rightarrow Y$

shows *swap* *X Y* $\circ_c (x \times_f y) = (y \times_f x) \circ_c \text{swap } A \ B$

proof –

have *swap* *X Y* $\circ_c (x \times_f y) = \text{swap } X \ Y \circ_c \langle x \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B, y \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \rangle$

using *assms unfolding cfunc-cross-prod-def cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $\langle y \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B, x \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \rangle$

by (*meson assms comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type swap-ap*)

also have ... = $(y \times_f x) \circ_c \langle \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B, \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \rangle$

```

using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
also have ... = ( $y \times_f x$ )  $\circ_c$  swap A B
unfolding swap-def by auto
then show ?thesis
using calculation by auto
qed

```

```

lemma swap-idempotent:
  swap Y X  $\circ_c$  swap X Y = id ( $X \times_c Y$ )
by (metis swap-def cfunc-prod-unique id-right-unit2 id-type left-cart-proj-type
  right-cart-proj-type swap-ap)

```

```

lemma swap-mono:
  monomorphism(swap X Y)
by (metis cfunc-type-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphism-def swap-idempotent
  swap-type)

```

2.3.2 Permuting a Cartesian product to associate to the right

definition *associate-right* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

```

associate-right X Y Z =
  ⟨
    left-cart-proj X Y  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj ( $X \times_c Y$ ) Z,
    ⟨
      right-cart-proj X Y  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj ( $X \times_c Y$ ) Z,
      right-cart-proj ( $X \times_c Y$ ) Z
    ⟩
  ⟩

```

lemma *associate-right-type*[*type-rule*]: *associate-right* *X Y Z* : ($X \times_c Y$) \times_c *Z* \rightarrow $X \times_c (Y \times_c Z)$

unfolding *associate-right-def* **by** (*meson* *cfunc-prod-type* *comp-type* *left-cart-proj-type* *right-cart-proj-type*)

lemma *associate-right-ap*:

```

assumes  $x : A \rightarrow X$   $y : A \rightarrow Y$   $z : A \rightarrow Z$ 
shows associate-right X Y Z  $\circ_c$   $\langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle$  =  $\langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$ 

```

proof –

```

have associate-right X Y Z  $\circ_c$   $\langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle$  =  $\langle (\text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \text{ left-cart-proj } (X \times_c Y) Z) \circ_c \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle, \langle \text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \text{ left-cart-proj } (X \times_c Y) Z, \text{right-cart-proj } (X \times_c Y) Z \rangle \circ_c \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle \rangle$ 

```

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis* *assms* *associate-right-def* *cfunc-prod-comp*)

```

also have ... =  $\langle (\text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \text{ left-cart-proj } (X \times_c Y) Z) \circ_c \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle, \langle (\text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \text{ left-cart-proj } (X \times_c Y) Z) \circ_c \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } (X \times_c Y) Z \circ_c \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle \rangle \rangle$ 

```

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis* *assms* *calculation* *cfunc-prod-comp* *cfunc-prod-type* *right-cart-proj-type*)

```

also have ... =  $\langle \text{left-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle, \langle \text{right-cart-proj } X Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle \rangle$ 

```

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* *comp-associative2* *left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)

```

right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
  also have ... = ⟨x, ⟨y, z⟩⟩
    using assms left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

lemma associate-right-crossprod-ap:
  assumes x : A → X y : B → Y z : C → Z
  shows associate-right X Y Z ∘c ((x ×f y) ×f z) = (x ×f (y ×f z)) ∘c asso-
    ciate-right A B C
proof-
  have associate-right X Y Z ∘c ((x ×f y) ×f z) =
    associate-right X Y Z ∘c ⟨⟨x ∘c left-cart-proj A B, y ∘c right-cart-proj A B⟩
    ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C, z ∘c right-cart-proj (A ×c B) C⟩
    using assms by (unfold cfunc-cross-prod-def2, typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-cross-prod-def2,
    auto)
  also have ... = associate-right X Y Z ∘c ⟨⟨x ∘c left-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj
    (A ×c B) C, y ∘c right-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C⟩, z ∘c right-cart-proj
    (A ×c B) C⟩
    using assms cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = ⟨x ∘c left-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C, ⟨y ∘c
    right-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C, z ∘c right-cart-proj (A ×c B) C⟩⟩
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: associate-right-ap)
  also have ... = ⟨x ∘c left-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C, (y ×f z) ∘c
    ⟨right-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C, right-cart-proj (A ×c B) C⟩⟩
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
  also have ... = (x ×f (y ×f z)) ∘c ⟨left-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B)
    C, ⟨right-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) C, right-cart-proj (A ×c B) C⟩⟩
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
  also have ... = (x ×f (y ×f z)) ∘c associate-right A B C
    unfolding associate-right-def by auto
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed

```

2.3.3 Permuting a Cartesian product to associate to the left

definition *associate-left* :: *cset* ⇒ *cset* ⇒ *cset* ⇒ *cfunc* where

```

associate-left X Y Z =
  ⟨
    ⟨
      left-cart-proj X (Y ×c Z),
      left-cart-proj Y Z ∘c right-cart-proj X (Y ×c Z)
    ⟩,
    right-cart-proj Y Z ∘c right-cart-proj X (Y ×c Z)
  ⟩

```

lemma *associate-left-type*[*type-rule*]: *associate-left* X Y Z : $X \times_c (Y \times_c Z) \rightarrow (X \times_c Y) \times_c Z$

unfolding *associate-left-def*
by (*meson cfunc-prod-type comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*)

lemma *associate-left-ap*:
assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : A \rightarrow Y \ z : A \rightarrow Z$
shows $\text{associate-left } X \ Y \ Z \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle$
proof –
have $\text{associate-left } X \ Y \ Z \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \text{left-cart-proj } X \ (Y \times_c Z),$
 $\text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ (Y \times_c Z) \rangle \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle,$
 $\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ (Y \times_c Z) \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle \rangle$
using *assms associate-left-def cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-type-def comp-associative*
comp-type **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
also have $\dots = \langle \langle \text{left-cart-proj } X \ (Y \times_c Z) \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle,$
 $\text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ (Y \times_c Z) \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle \rangle,$
 $\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ (Y \times_c Z) \circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = \langle \langle x, \text{left-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } Y \ Z \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$
using *assms left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs,*
auto)
also have $\dots = \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle$
using *assms left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *right-left*:
 $\text{associate-right } A \ B \ C \circ_c \text{associate-left } A \ B \ C = \text{id } (A \times_c (B \times_c C))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) associate-left-def associate-right-ap*
cfunc-prod-unique comp-type id-right-unit2 left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type)

lemma *left-right*:
 $\text{associate-left } A \ B \ C \circ_c \text{associate-right } A \ B \ C = \text{id } ((A \times_c B) \times_c C)$
by (*smt associate-left-ap associate-right-def cfunc-cross-prod-def cfunc-prod-unique*
comp-type id-cross-prod id-domain id-left-unit2 left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type)

lemma *product-associates*:
 $A \times_c (B \times_c C) \cong (A \times_c B) \times_c C$
by (*metis associate-left-type associate-right-type cfunc-type-def is-isomorphic-def*
isomorphism-def left-right right-left)

lemma *associate-left-crossprod-ap*:
assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : B \rightarrow Y \ z : C \rightarrow Z$
shows $\text{associate-left } X \ Y \ Z \circ_c (x \times_f (y \times_f z)) = ((x \times_f y) \times_f z) \circ_c \text{associate-left}$
 $A \ B \ C$
proof –
have $\text{associate-left } X \ Y \ Z \circ_c (x \times_f (y \times_f z)) =$
 $\text{associate-left } X \ Y \ Z \circ_c \langle x \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } A \ (B \times_c C), \langle y \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } B$
 $C, z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } B \ C \rangle \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \ (B \times_c C) \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*unfold cfunc-cross-prod-def2, typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-cross-prod-def2,*

$auto$)
also have ... = $associate_left\ X\ Y\ Z\ \circ_c\ \langle x\ \circ_c\ left_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C),\ \langle y\ \circ_c\ left_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C),\ z\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle \rangle$
using $assms\ cfunc_prod_comp\ comp_associative2$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, auto)$
also have ... = $\langle \langle x\ \circ_c\ left_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C),\ y\ \circ_c\ left_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle, z\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle$
using $assms$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, simp\ add: associate_left_ap)$
also have ... = $\langle (x \times_f y) \circ_c \langle left_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C),\ left_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle, z\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle$
using $assms$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, simp\ add: cfunc_cross_prod_comp_cfunc_prod)$
also have ... = $((x \times_f y) \times_f z) \circ_c \langle \langle left_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C),\ left_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle, right_cart_proj\ B\ C\ \circ_c\ right_cart_proj\ A\ (B \times_c C) \rangle$
using $assms$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, simp\ add: cfunc_cross_prod_comp_cfunc_prod)$
also have ... = $((x \times_f y) \times_f z) \circ_c\ associate_left\ A\ B\ C$
unfolding $associate_left_def$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **using** $calculation$ **by** $auto$
 qed

2.3.4 Distributing over a Cartesian product from the right

definition $distribute_right_left :: cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**

$distribute_right_left\ X\ Y\ Z =$
 $\langle left_cart_proj\ X\ Y\ \circ_c\ left_cart_proj\ (X \times_c Y)\ Z,\ right_cart_proj\ (X \times_c Y)\ Z \rangle$

lemma $distribute_right_left_type[type_rule]:$

$distribute_right_left\ X\ Y\ Z : (X \times_c Y) \times_c Z \rightarrow X \times_c Z$

unfolding $distribute_right_left_def$

using $cfunc_prod_type\ comp_type\ left_cart_proj_type\ right_cart_proj_type$ **by** $blast$

lemma $distribute_right_left_ap:$

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X\ y : A \rightarrow Y\ z : A \rightarrow Z$

shows $distribute_right_left\ X\ Y\ Z\ \circ_c\ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$

unfolding $distribute_right_left_def$

by $(typecheck_cfuns, smt\ (verit, best)\ assms\ cfunc_prod_comp\ comp_associative2\ left_cart_proj_cfunc_prod\ right_cart_proj_cfunc_prod)$

definition $distribute_right_right :: cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**

$distribute_right_right\ X\ Y\ Z =$
 $\langle right_cart_proj\ X\ Y\ \circ_c\ left_cart_proj\ (X \times_c Y)\ Z,\ right_cart_proj\ (X \times_c Y)\ Z \rangle$

lemma $distribute_right_right_type[type_rule]:$

$distribute_right_right\ X\ Y\ Z : (X \times_c Y) \times_c Z \rightarrow Y \times_c Z$

unfolding $distribute_right_right_def$

using $cfunc_prod_type\ comp_type\ left_cart_proj_type\ right_cart_proj_type$ **by** $blast$

lemma $distribute_right_right_ap:$

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X\ y : A \rightarrow Y\ z : A \rightarrow Z$

shows $distribute_right_right\ X\ Y\ Z\ \circ_c\ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle$

unfolding *distribute-right-right-def*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) assms cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)

definition *distribute-right* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
distribute-right *X Y Z* = \langle *distribute-right-left* *X Y Z*, *distribute-right-right* *X Y Z* \rangle

lemma *distribute-right-type*[*type-rule*]:
distribute-right *X Y Z* : $(X \times_c Y) \times_c Z \rightarrow (X \times_c Z) \times_c (Y \times_c Z)$
unfolding *distribute-right-def*
by (*simp add: cfunc-prod-type distribute-right-left-type distribute-right-right-type*)

lemma *distribute-right-ap*:
assumes *x* : *A* \rightarrow *X* *y* : *A* \rightarrow *Y* *z* : *A* \rightarrow *Z*
shows *distribute-right* *X Y Z* $\circ_c \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle = \langle \langle x, z \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *distribute-right-def*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-prod-comp distribute-right-left-ap distribute-right-right-ap*)

lemma *distribute-right-mono*:
monomorphism (distribute-right X Y Z)
proof (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold monomorphism-def3*, *auto*)
fix *g h A*
assume *g* : *A* \rightarrow $(X \times_c Y) \times_c Z$
then obtain *g1 g2 g3* **where** *g-expand*: *g* = $\langle \langle g1, g2 \rangle, g3 \rangle$
and *g1-g2-g3-types*: *g1* : *A* \rightarrow *X* *g2* : *A* \rightarrow *Y* *g3* : *A* \rightarrow *Z*
using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** *blast*
assume *h* : *A* \rightarrow $(X \times_c Y) \times_c Z$
then obtain *h1 h2 h3* **where** *h-expand*: *h* = $\langle \langle h1, h2 \rangle, h3 \rangle$
and *h1-h2-h3-types*: *h1* : *A* \rightarrow *X* *h2* : *A* \rightarrow *Y* *h3* : *A* \rightarrow *Z*
using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** *blast*

assume *distribute-right* *X Y Z* $\circ_c g =$ *distribute-right* *X Y Z* $\circ_c h$
then have *distribute-right* *X Y Z* $\circ_c \langle \langle g1, g2 \rangle, g3 \rangle =$ *distribute-right* *X Y Z* $\circ_c \langle \langle h1, h2 \rangle, h3 \rangle$
using *g-expand h-expand* **by** *auto*
then have $\langle \langle g1, g3 \rangle, \langle g2, g3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle h1, h3 \rangle, \langle h2, h3 \rangle \rangle$
using *distribute-right-ap g1-g2-g3-types h1-h2-h3-types* **by** *auto*
then have $\langle g1, g3 \rangle = \langle h1, h3 \rangle \wedge \langle g2, g3 \rangle = \langle h2, h3 \rangle$
using *g1-g2-g3-types h1-h2-h3-types cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
then have *g1* = *h1* \wedge *g2* = *h2* \wedge *g3* = *h3*
using *g1-g2-g3-types h1-h2-h3-types cart-prod-eq2* **by** *auto*
then have $\langle \langle g1, g2 \rangle, g3 \rangle = \langle \langle h1, h2 \rangle, h3 \rangle$
by *simp*
then show *g* = *h*
by (*simp add: g-expand h-expand*)
qed

2.3.5 Distributing over a Cartesian product from the left

definition *distribute-left-left* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

distribute-left-left *X Y Z* =
 $\langle \text{left-cart-proj } X (Y \times_c Z), \text{left-cart-proj } Y Z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X (Y \times_c Z) \rangle$

lemma *distribute-left-left-type*[*type-rule*]:

distribute-left-left *X Y Z* : $X \times_c (Y \times_c Z) \rightarrow X \times_c Y$

unfolding *distribute-left-left-def*

using *cfunc-prod-type comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type* **by** *blast*

lemma *distribute-left-left-ap*:

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : A \rightarrow Y \ z : A \rightarrow Z$

shows *distribute-left-left* *X Y Z* $\circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$

using *assms distribute-left-left-def*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) associate-left-ap associate-left-def cart-prod-decomp cart-prod-eq2 cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 distribute-left-left-def right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-type*)

definition *distribute-left-right* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

distribute-left-right *X Y Z* =
 $\langle \text{left-cart-proj } X (Y \times_c Z), \text{right-cart-proj } Y Z \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X (Y \times_c Z) \rangle$

lemma *distribute-left-right-type*[*type-rule*]:

distribute-left-right *X Y Z* : $X \times_c (Y \times_c Z) \rightarrow X \times_c Z$

unfolding *distribute-left-right-def*

using *cfunc-prod-type comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type* **by** *blast*

lemma *distribute-left-right-ap*:

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : A \rightarrow Y \ z : A \rightarrow Z$

shows *distribute-left-right* *X Y Z* $\circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$

using *assms unfolding distribute-left-right-def*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)

definition *distribute-left* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

distribute-left *X Y Z* = $\langle \text{distribute-left-left } X Y Z, \text{distribute-left-right } X Y Z \rangle$

lemma *distribute-left-type*[*type-rule*]:

distribute-left *X Y Z* : $X \times_c (Y \times_c Z) \rightarrow (X \times_c Y) \times_c (X \times_c Z)$

unfolding *distribute-left-def*

by (*simp add: cfunc-prod-type distribute-left-left-type distribute-left-right-type*)

lemma *distribute-left-ap*:

assumes $x : A \rightarrow X \ y : A \rightarrow Y \ z : A \rightarrow Z$

shows *distribute-left* *X Y Z* $\circ_c \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$

using *assms unfolding distribute-left-def*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp distribute-left-left-ap distribute-left-right-ap*)

lemma *distribute-left-mono*:

```

    monomorphism (distribute-left X Y Z)
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold monomorphism-def3, auto)
  fix g h A
  assume g-type: g : A → X ×c (Y ×c Z)
  then obtain g1 g2 g3 where g-expand: g = ⟨g1, ⟨g2, g3⟩⟩
    and g1-g2-g3-types: g1 : A → X g2 : A → Y g3 : A → Z
  using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  assume h-type: h : A → X ×c (Y ×c Z)
  then obtain h1 h2 h3 where h-expand: h = ⟨h1, ⟨h2, h3⟩⟩
    and h1-h2-h3-types: h1 : A → X h2 : A → Y h3 : A → Z
  using cart-prod-decomp by blast

  assume distribute-left X Y Z ∘c g = distribute-left X Y Z ∘c h
  then have distribute-left X Y Z ∘c ⟨g1, ⟨g2, g3⟩⟩ = distribute-left X Y Z ∘c ⟨h1,
    ⟨h2, h3⟩⟩
    using g-expand h-expand by auto
  then have ⟨⟨g1, g2⟩, ⟨g1, g3⟩⟩ = ⟨⟨h1, h2⟩, ⟨h1, h3⟩⟩
    using distribute-left-ap g1-g2-g3-types h1-h2-h3-types by auto
  then have ⟨g1, g2⟩ = ⟨h1, h2⟩ ∧ ⟨g1, g3⟩ = ⟨h1, h3⟩
    using g1-g2-g3-types h1-h2-h3-types cart-prod-eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have g1 = h1 ∧ g2 = h2 ∧ g3 = h3
    using g1-g2-g3-types h1-h2-h3-types cart-prod-eq2 by auto
  then have ⟨g1, ⟨g2, g3⟩⟩ = ⟨h1, ⟨h2, h3⟩⟩
    by simp
  then show g = h
    by (simp add: g-expand h-expand)
qed

```

2.3.6 Selecting pairs from a pair of pairs

definition *outers* :: cset ⇒ cset ⇒ cset ⇒ cset ⇒ cfunc **where**

```

  outers A B C D = ⟨
    left-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) (C ×c D),
    right-cart-proj C D ∘c right-cart-proj (A ×c B) (C ×c D)
  ⟩

```

lemma *outers-type*[type-rule]: *outers* A B C D : (A ×_c B) ×_c (C ×_c D) → (A ×_c D)

unfolding *outers-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *outers-apply*:

```

  assumes a : Z → A b : Z → B c : Z → C d : Z → D
  shows outers A B C D ∘c ⟨⟨a,b⟩, ⟨c,d⟩⟩ = ⟨a,d⟩

```

proof –

```

  have outers A B C D ∘c ⟨⟨a,b⟩, ⟨c,d⟩⟩ = ⟨
    left-cart-proj A B ∘c left-cart-proj (A ×c B) (C ×c D) ∘c ⟨⟨a,b⟩, ⟨c, d⟩⟩,
    right-cart-proj C D ∘c right-cart-proj (A ×c B) (C ×c D) ∘c ⟨⟨a,b⟩, ⟨c, d⟩⟩
  ⟩

```

unfolding *outers-def* **using** *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-prod-comp*)

comp-associative2)
also have ... = $\langle \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \langle c, d \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
also have ... = $\langle a, d \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
then show ?thesis
using *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *inners* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

inners *A B C D* = \langle
 $\text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D),$
 $\text{left-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D)$
 \rangle

lemma *inners-type[type-rule]*: *inners* *A B C D* : $(A \times_c B) \times_c (C \times_c D) \rightarrow (B \times_c C)$

unfolding *inners-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *inners-apply*:

assumes *a* : $Z \rightarrow A$ *b* : $Z \rightarrow B$ *c* : $Z \rightarrow C$ *d* : $Z \rightarrow D$

shows *inners* *A B C D* $\circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle b, c \rangle$

proof –

have *inners* *A B C D* $\circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle$
 $\text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D) \circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle,$
 $\text{left-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D) \circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle$
unfolding *inners-def* **using** *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-prod-comp*)

comp-associative2)

also have ... = $\langle \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle, \text{left-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \langle c, d \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
also have ... = $\langle b, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
then show ?thesis
using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

definition *lefts* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

lefts *A B C D* = \langle
 $\text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D),$
 $\text{left-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D)$
 \rangle

lemma *lefts-type[type-rule]*: *lefts* *A B C D* : $(A \times_c B) \times_c (C \times_c D) \rightarrow (A \times_c C)$

unfolding *lefts-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *lefts-apply*:

assumes *a* : $Z \rightarrow A$ *b* : $Z \rightarrow B$ *c* : $Z \rightarrow C$ *d* : $Z \rightarrow D$

shows *lefts* *A B C D* $\circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle a, c \rangle$

proof –

```

    have lefts A B C D  $\circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D) \circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle, \text{left-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D) \circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle \rangle$ 
    unfolding lefts-def using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
    also have ... =  $\langle \text{left-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle, \text{left-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \langle c, d \rangle \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
    also have ... =  $\langle a, c \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
    then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

```

definition *rights* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**

```

rights A B C D =  $\langle$ 
  right-cart-proj A B  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj (A  $\times_c$  B) (C  $\times_c$  D),
  right-cart-proj C D  $\circ_c$  right-cart-proj (A  $\times_c$  B) (C  $\times_c$  D)
 $\rangle$ 

```

lemma *rights-type*[type-rule]: *rights* A B C D : $(A \times_c B) \times_c (C \times_c D) \rightarrow (B \times_c D)$

unfolding *rights-def* by typecheck-cfuncs

lemma *rights-apply*:

assumes $a : Z \rightarrow A \ b : Z \rightarrow B \ c : Z \rightarrow C \ d : Z \rightarrow D$

shows *rights* A B C D $\circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle b, d \rangle$

proof –

```

    have rights A B C D  $\circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \langle \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D) \circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } (A \times_c B) \ (C \times_c D) \circ_c \langle \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \rangle \rangle$ 

```

unfolding *rights-def* using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)

also have ... = $\langle \text{right-cart-proj } A \ B \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } C \ D \circ_c \langle c, d \rangle \rangle$

using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

also have ... = $\langle b, d \rangle$

using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

then show ?thesis

using calculation by auto

qed

end

theory *Terminal*

imports *Cfunc Product*

begin

3 Terminal objects, constant functions and elements

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 3 (Terminal Object) in Halvorson.

axiomatization

terminal-func :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* (β 100) **and**

one :: *cset*

where

terminal-func-type[*type-rule*]: $\beta_X : X \rightarrow one$ **and**

terminal-func-unique: $h : X \rightarrow one \implies h = \beta_X$ **and**

one-separator: $f : X \rightarrow Y \implies g : X \rightarrow Y \implies (\bigwedge x. x : one \rightarrow X \implies f \circ_c x = g \circ_c x) \implies f = g$

lemma *one-separator-contrapos*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $g : X \rightarrow Y$

shows $f \neq g \implies \exists x. x : one \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ_c x \neq g \circ_c x$

using *assms one-separator* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)

lemma *terminal-func-comp*:

$x : X \rightarrow Y \implies \beta_Y \circ_c x = \beta_X$

by (*simp add: comp-type terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique*)

lemma *terminal-func-comp-elem*:

$x : one \rightarrow X \implies \beta_X \circ_c x = id\ one$

by (*metis id-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique*)

3.1 Set membership and emptiness

The abbreviation below captures Definition 2.1.16 in Halvorson.

abbreviation *member* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \in_c 50) **where**

$x \in_c X \equiv (x : one \rightarrow X)$

definition *nonempty* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

nonempty $X \equiv (\exists x. x \in_c X)$

definition *is-empty* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-empty $X \equiv \neg(\exists x. x \in_c X)$

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.18 in Halvorson.

lemma *element-monomorphism*:

$x \in_c X \implies monomorphism\ x$

unfolding *monomorphism-def*

by (*metis cfunc-type-def domain-comp terminal-func-unique*)

lemma *one-unique-element*:

$\exists! x. x \in_c one$

using *terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique* **by** *blast*

```

lemma prod-with-empty-is-empty1:
  assumes is-empty (A)
  shows is-empty (A  $\times_c$  B)
  by (meson assms comp-type left-cart-proj-type is-empty-def)

```

```

lemma prod-with-empty-is-empty2:
  assumes is-empty (B)
  shows is-empty (A  $\times_c$  B)
  using assms cart-prod-decomp is-empty-def by blast

```

3.2 Terminal objects (sets with one element)

definition *terminal-object* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
terminal-object X \longleftrightarrow (\forall Y. $\exists!$ f. f : Y \rightarrow X)

```

lemma one-terminal-object: terminal-object(one)
  unfolding terminal-object-def using terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique by
blast

```

The lemma below is a generalisation of $?x \in_c ?X \implies \text{monomorphism } ?x$

```

lemma terminal-el-monomorphism:
  assumes x : T  $\rightarrow$  X
  assumes terminal-object T
  shows monomorphism x
  unfolding monomorphism-def
  by (metis assms cfunc-type-def domain-comp terminal-object-def)

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.15 in Halvorson.

```

lemma terminal-objects-isomorphic:
  assumes terminal-object X terminal-object Y
  shows X  $\cong$  Y
  unfolding is-isomorphic-def

```

proof –

```

  obtain f where f-type: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y and f-unique:  $\forall g. g : X \rightarrow Y \longrightarrow f = g$ 
  using assms(2) terminal-object-def by force

```

```

  obtain g where g-type: g : Y  $\rightarrow$  X and g-unique:  $\forall f. f : Y \rightarrow X \longrightarrow g = f$ 
  using assms(1) terminal-object-def by force

```

```

  have g-f-is-id: g  $\circ_c$  f = id X
  using assms(1) comp-type f-type g-type id-type terminal-object-def by blast

```

```

  have f-g-is-id: f  $\circ_c$  g = id Y
  using assms(2) comp-type f-type g-type id-type terminal-object-def by blast

```

```

  have f-isomorphism: isomorphism f
  unfolding isomorphism-def

```



```

using cfunc-type-def f-type g-type g-f-is-id f-g-is-id
by (rule-tac x=g in exI, auto)

show  $\exists f. f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge \text{isomorphism } f$ 
using f-isomorphism f-type by auto
qed

The two lemmas below show the converse to Exercise 2.1.15 in Halvorson.

lemma iso-to1-is-term:
  assumes  $X \cong \text{one}$ 
  shows terminal-object  $X$ 
  unfolding terminal-object-def
proof
  fix  $Y$ 
  obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : x : \text{one} \rightarrow X$  and  $x\text{-unique} : \forall y. y : \text{one} \rightarrow X \longrightarrow x = y$ 
  by (smt assms is-isomorphic-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphic-is-symmetric
    monomorphism-def2 terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique)
  show  $\exists ! f. f : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  proof (rule-tac a=x  $\circ_c \beta_Y$  in ex1I)
    show  $x \circ_c \beta_Y : Y \rightarrow X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  next
  fix  $xa$ 
  assume  $xa\text{-type} : xa : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  show  $xa = x \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $xa \neq x \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
    then obtain  $y$  where  $\text{elems-neq} : xa \circ_c y \neq (x \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c y$  and  $y\text{-type} : y : \text{one} \rightarrow Y$ 
    using one-separator-contrapos comp-type terminal-func-type x-type xa-type
  by blast
    then show False
    by (smt (z3) comp-type elems-neq terminal-func-type x-unique xa-type y-type)

  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma iso-to-term-is-term:
  assumes  $X \cong Y$ 
  assumes terminal-object  $Y$ 
  shows terminal-object  $X$ 
  by (meson assms iso-to1-is-term isomorphic-is-transitive one-terminal-object
    terminal-objects-isomorphic)

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.19 in Halvorson.

```

lemma single-elem-iso-one:
   $(\exists ! x. x \in_c X) \longleftrightarrow X \cong \text{one}$ 

```

```

proof
  assume  $X\text{-iso-one}: X \cong \text{one}$ 
  then have  $\text{one} \cong X$ 
    by (simp add: isomorphic-is-symmetric)
  then obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-type}: f : \text{one} \rightarrow X$  and  $f\text{-iso}: \text{isomorphism } f$ 
    using is-isomorphic-def by blast
  show  $\exists!x. x \in_c X$ 
  proof(auto)
    show  $\exists x. x \in_c X$ 
      by (meson f-type)
  next
    fix  $x\ y$ 
    assume  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$ 
    assume  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y \in_c X$ 
    have  $\beta x\text{-eq-}\beta y: \beta_X \circ_c x = \beta_X \circ_c y$ 
      using one-unique-element by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    have isomorphism  $(\beta_X)$ 
      using  $X\text{-iso-one}$  is-isomorphic-def terminal-func-unique by blast
    then have monomorphism  $(\beta_X)$ 
      by (simp add: iso-imp-epi-and-monic)
    then show  $x = y$ 
      using  $\beta x\text{-eq-}\beta y$  monomorphism-def2 terminal-func-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    qed
  next
    assume  $\exists!x. x \in_c X$ 
    then obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-type}: x : \text{one} \rightarrow X$  and  $x\text{-unique}: \forall y. y : \text{one} \rightarrow X \longrightarrow$ 
 $x = y$ 
      by blast
    have terminal-object  $X$ 
      unfolding terminal-object-def
    proof
      fix  $Y$ 
      show  $\exists!f. f : Y \rightarrow X$ 
      proof (rule-tac a=x  $\circ_c$   $\beta_Y$  in ex1I)
        show  $x \circ_c \beta_Y : Y \rightarrow X$ 
        using comp-type terminal-func-type x-type by blast
      next
        fix  $xa$ 
        assume  $xa\text{-type}: xa : Y \rightarrow X$ 
        show  $xa = x \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
        proof (rule ccontr)
          assume  $xa \neq x \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
          then obtain  $y$  where  $\text{elems-neq}: xa \circ_c y \neq (x \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c y$  and  $y\text{-type}: y :$ 
 $\text{one} \rightarrow Y$ 
            using one-separator-contrapos[where f=xa, where g=x  $\circ_c$   $\beta_Y$ , where
 $X=Y$ , where Y=X]
            using comp-type terminal-func-type x-type xa-type by blast
          have  $\text{elem1}: xa \circ_c y \in_c X$ 

```

```

    using comp-type xa-type y-type by auto
  have elem2:  $(x \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c y \in_c X$ 
    using comp-type terminal-func-type x-type y-type by blast
  show False
    using elem1 elem2 elems-neq x-unique by blast
qed
qed
qed
then show  $X \cong one$ 
  by (simp add: one-terminal-object terminal-objects-isomorphic)
qed

```

3.3 Injectivity

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.24 in Halvorson.

definition *injective* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
injective $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x y. (x \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge y \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y) \longrightarrow x = y)$

lemma *injective-def2*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
shows *injective* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x y. (x \in_c X \wedge y \in_c X \wedge f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y) \longrightarrow x = y)$
using *assms cfunc-type-def injective-def* **by** *force*

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.26 in Halvorson.

lemma *monomorphism-imp-injective*:
monomorphism $f \implies \text{injective } f$
by (*simp add: cfunc-type-def injective-def monomorphism-def*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.27 in Halvorson.

lemma *injective-imp-monomorphism*:
injective $f \implies \text{monomorphism } f$
unfolding *monomorphism-def injective-def*

proof *safe*
fix $g h$
assume $f\text{-inj}: \forall x y. x \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge y \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y \longrightarrow x = y$
assume $cd\text{-}g\text{-eq-}d\text{-}f: \text{codomain } g = \text{domain } f$
assume $cd\text{-}h\text{-eq-}d\text{-}f: \text{codomain } h = \text{domain } f$
assume $fg\text{-eq-}fh: f \circ_c g = f \circ_c h$

obtain $X Y$ **where** $f\text{-type}: f : X \rightarrow Y$
using *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*
obtain A **where** $g\text{-type}: g : A \rightarrow X$ **and** $h\text{-type}: h : A \rightarrow X$
by (*metis cd-g-eq-d-f cd-h-eq-d-f cfunc-type-def domain-comp f-type fg-eq-fh*)

have $\forall x. x \in_c A \longrightarrow g \circ_c x = h \circ_c x$
proof *auto*
fix x

```

assume  $x\text{-in-}A$ :  $x \in_c A$ 

have  $f \circ_c g \circ_c x = f \circ_c h \circ_c x$ 
using  $g\text{-type } h\text{-type } x\text{-in-}A \text{ } f\text{-type comp-associative2 } fg\text{-eq-fh}$  by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuncs}$ ,
 $auto$ )
then show  $g \circ_c x = h \circ_c x$ 
using  $cd\text{-}h\text{-eq-}d\text{-}f \text{ } cfunc\text{-type-}def \text{ } comp\text{-type } f\text{-inj } g\text{-type } h\text{-type } x\text{-in-}A$  by  $pres\text{-}$ 
 $burger$ 
qed
then show  $g = h$ 
using  $g\text{-type } h\text{-type one-separator}$  by  $auto$ 
qed

lemma  $cfunc\text{-cross-prod-inj}$ :
assumes  $type\text{-assms}$ :  $f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ } g : Z \rightarrow W$ 
assumes  $injective \text{ } f \wedge injective \text{ } g$ 
shows  $injective \text{ } (f \times_f g)$ 
by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuncs}$ ,  $metis \text{ } assms \text{ } cfunc\text{-cross-prod-mono } injective\text{-imp-monomorphism}$ 
 $monomorphism\text{-imp-injective}$ )

lemma  $cfunc\text{-cross-prod-mono-converse}$ :
assumes  $type\text{-assms}$ :  $f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ } g : Z \rightarrow W$ 
assumes  $fg\text{-inject}$ :  $injective \text{ } (f \times_f g)$ 
assumes  $nonempty$ :  $nonempty \text{ } X \text{ } nonempty \text{ } Z$ 
shows  $injective \text{ } f \wedge injective \text{ } g$ 
unfolding  $injective\text{-def}$ 
proof ( $auto$ )
fix  $x \text{ } y$ 
assume  $x\text{-type}$ :  $x \in_c domain \text{ } f$ 
assume  $y\text{-type}$ :  $y \in_c domain \text{ } f$ 
assume  $equals$ :  $f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y$ 
have  $fg\text{-type}$ :  $f \times_f g : X \times_c Z \rightarrow Y \times_c W$ 
using  $assms$  by  $typecheck\text{-cfuncs}$ 
have  $x\text{-type2}$ :  $x \in_c X$ 
using  $cfunc\text{-type-}def \text{ } type\text{-assms}(1) \text{ } x\text{-type}$  by  $auto$ 
have  $y\text{-type2}$ :  $y \in_c X$ 
using  $cfunc\text{-type-}def \text{ } type\text{-assms}(1) \text{ } y\text{-type}$  by  $auto$ 
show  $x = y$ 
proof –
obtain  $b$  where  $b\text{-def}$ :  $b \in_c Z$ 
using  $nonempty(2) \text{ } nonempty\text{-def}$  by  $blast$ 

have  $xb\text{-type}$ :  $\langle x, b \rangle \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
by ( $simp \text{ } add$ :  $b\text{-def } cfunc\text{-prod-type } x\text{-type2}$ )
have  $yb\text{-type}$ :  $\langle y, b \rangle \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
by ( $simp \text{ } add$ :  $b\text{-def } cfunc\text{-prod-type } y\text{-type2}$ )
have  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle x, b \rangle = \langle f \circ_c x, g \circ_c b \rangle$ 
using  $b\text{-def } cfunc\text{-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod } type\text{-assms } x\text{-type2}$  by  $blast$ 
also have  $\dots = \langle f \circ_c y, g \circ_c b \rangle$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: equals)
  also have ... =  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle y, b \rangle$ 
    using b-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod type-assms y-type2 by auto
  then have  $\langle x, b \rangle = \langle y, b \rangle$ 
    by (metis calculation cfunc-type-def fg-inject fg-type injective-def xb-type
yb-type)
  then show  $x = y$ 
    using b-def cart-prod-eq2 x-type2 y-type2 by auto
qed
next
fix x y
assume x-type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } g$ 
assume y-type:  $y \in_c \text{domain } g$ 
assume equals:  $g \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$ 
have fg-type:  $f \times_f g : X \times_c Z \rightarrow Y \times_c W$ 
  using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
have x-type2:  $x \in_c Z$ 
  using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) x-type by auto
have y-type2:  $y \in_c Z$ 
  using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) y-type by auto
show  $x = y$ 
proof -
  obtain b where b-def:  $b \in_c X$ 
    using nonempty(1) nonempty-def by blast
  have xb-type:  $\langle b, x \rangle \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
    by (simp add: b-def cfunc-prod-type x-type2)
  have yb-type:  $\langle b, y \rangle \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
    by (simp add: b-def cfunc-prod-type y-type2)
  have  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle b, x \rangle = \langle f \circ_c b, g \circ_c x \rangle$ 
    using b-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod type-assms(1) type-assms(2)
x-type2 by blast
  also have ... =  $\langle f \circ_c b, g \circ_c x \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: equals)
  also have ... =  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle b, y \rangle$ 
    using b-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod equals type-assms(1) type-assms(2)
y-type2 by auto
  then have  $\langle b, x \rangle = \langle b, y \rangle$ 
    by (metis  $\langle (f \times_f g) \circ_c \langle b, x \rangle = \langle f \circ_c b, g \circ_c x \rangle \rangle$  cfunc-type-def fg-inject fg-type
injective-def xb-type yb-type)
  then show  $x = y$ 
    using b-def cart-prod-eq2 x-type2 y-type2 by blast
qed
qed

```

The next lemma shows that unless both domains are nonempty we gain no new information. That is, it will be the case that $f \times g$ is injective, and we cannot infer from this that f or g are injective since $f \times g$ will be injective no matter what.

lemma *the-nonempty-assumption-above-is-always-required:*

```

assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y \ g : Z \rightarrow W$ 
assumes  $\neg(\text{nonempty } X) \vee \neg(\text{nonempty } Z)$ 
shows injective  $(f \times_f g)$ 
unfolding injective-def
proof(cases nonempty(X), auto)
  fix  $x \ y$ 
  assume nonempty:  $\text{nonempty } X$ 
  assume x-type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } (f \times_f g)$ 
  assume  $y \in_c \text{domain } (f \times_f g)$ 
  then have  $\neg(\text{nonempty } Z)$ 
    using nonempty assms(3) by blast
  have fg-type:  $f \times_f g : X \times_c Z \rightarrow Y \times_c W$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: assms(1,2))
  then have  $x \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
    using x-type cfunc-type-def by auto
  then have  $\exists z. z \in_c Z$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then have False
    using assms(3) nonempty nonempty-def by blast
  then show  $x=y$ 
    by auto
next
  fix  $x \ y$ 
  assume X-is-empty:  $\neg \text{nonempty } X$ 
  assume x-type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } (f \times_f g)$ 
  assume  $y \in_c \text{domain}(f \times_f g)$ 
  have fg-type:  $f \times_f g : X \times_c Z \rightarrow Y \times_c W$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: assms(1,2))
  then have  $x \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
    using x-type cfunc-type-def by auto
  then have  $\exists z. z \in_c X$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then have False
    using assms(3) X-is-empty nonempty-def by blast
  then show  $x=y$ 
    by auto
qed

```

3.4 Surjectivity

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.28 in Halvorson.

definition *surjective* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
surjective $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall y. y \in_c \text{codomain } f \longrightarrow (\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge f \circ_c x = y))$

lemma *surjective-def2*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
shows *surjective* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall y. y \in_c Y \longrightarrow (\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge f \circ_c x = y))$
using *assms* **unfolding** *surjective-def cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.30 in Halvorson.

```

lemma surjective-is-epimorphism:
  surjective  $f \implies$  epimorphism  $f$ 
  unfolding surjective-def epimorphism-def
proof (cases nonempty (codomain  $f$ ), auto)
  fix  $g\ h$ 
  assume f-surj:  $\forall y. y \in_c \text{codomain } f \longrightarrow (\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge f \circ_c x = y)$ 
  assume d-g-eq-cd-f:  $\text{domain } g = \text{codomain } f$ 
  assume d-h-eq-cd-f:  $\text{domain } h = \text{codomain } f$ 
  assume gf-eq-hf:  $g \circ_c f = h \circ_c f$ 
  assume nonempty: nonempty (codomain  $f$ )

  obtain  $X\ Y$  where f-type:  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
    using nonempty cfunc-type-def f-surj nonempty-def by auto
  obtain  $A$  where g-type:  $g : Y \rightarrow A$  and h-type:  $h : Y \rightarrow A$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def codomain-comp d-g-eq-cd-f d-h-eq-cd-f f-type gf-eq-hf)
  show  $g = h$ 
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $g \neq h$ 
    then obtain  $y$  where y-in-X:  $y \in_c Y$  and gy-neq-hy:  $g \circ_c y \neq h \circ_c y$ 
      using g-type h-type one-separator by blast
    then obtain  $x$  where  $x \in_c X$  and  $f \circ_c x = y$ 
      using cfunc-type-def f-surj f-type by auto
    then have  $g \circ_c f \neq h \circ_c f$ 
      using comp-associative2 f-type g-type gy-neq-hy h-type by auto
    then show False
      using gf-eq-hf by auto
    qed
  next
    fix  $g\ h$ 
    assume empty:  $\neg \text{nonempty} (\text{codomain } f)$ 
    assume  $\text{domain } g = \text{codomain } f$   $\text{domain } h = \text{codomain } f$ 
    then show  $g \circ_c f = h \circ_c f \implies g = h$ 
      by (metis empty cfunc-type-def codomain-comp nonempty-def one-separator)
    qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.10 in Halvorson.

```

lemma cfunc-cross-prod-surj:
  assumes type-assms:  $f : A \rightarrow C\ g : B \rightarrow D$ 
  assumes f-surj: surjective  $f$  and g-surj: surjective  $g$ 
  shows surjective  $(f \times_f g)$ 
  unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
  fix  $y$ 
  assume y-type:  $y \in_c \text{codomain } (f \times_f g)$ 
  have fg-type:  $f \times_f g : A \times_c B \rightarrow C \times_c D$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  then have  $y \in_c C \times_c D$ 
    using cfunc-type-def y-type by auto
  then have  $\exists\ c\ d. c \in_c C \wedge d \in_c D \wedge y = \langle c, d \rangle$ 

```

```

    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then obtain c d where y-def:  $c \in_c C \wedge d \in_c D \wedge y = \langle c, d \rangle$ 
    by blast
  then have  $\exists a b. a \in_c A \wedge b \in_c B \wedge f \circ_c a = c \wedge g \circ_c b = d$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def f-surj g-surj surjective-def type-assms)
  then obtain a b where ab-def:  $a \in_c A \wedge b \in_c B \wedge f \circ_c a = c \wedge g \circ_c b = d$ 
    by blast
  then obtain x where x-def:  $x = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    by auto
  have x-type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } (f \times_f g)$ 
    using ab-def cfunc-prod-type cfunc-type-def fg-type x-def by auto
  have  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c x = y$ 
    using ab-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod type-assms(1) type-assms(2)
x-def y-def by blast
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } (f \times_f g) \wedge (f \times_f g) \circ_c x = y$ 
    using x-type by blast
qed

lemma cfunc-cross-prod-surj-converse:
  assumes type-assms:  $f : A \rightarrow C \ g : B \rightarrow D$ 
  assumes nonempty:  $\text{nonempty } C \wedge \text{nonempty } D$ 
  assumes surjective  $(f \times_f g)$ 
  shows surjective  $f \wedge \text{surjective } g$ 
  unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
  fix c
  assume c-type[type-rule]:  $c \in_c \text{codomain } f$ 
  then have c-type2:  $c \in_c C$ 
    using cfunc-type-def type-assms(1) by auto
  obtain d where d-type[type-rule]:  $d \in_c D$ 
    using nonempty nonempty-def by blast
  then obtain ab where ab-type[type-rule]:  $ab \in_c A \times_c B$  and ab-def:  $(f \times_f g) \circ_c ab = \langle c, d \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms(4) cfunc-type-def surjective-def2)
  then obtain a b where a-type[type-rule]:  $a \in_c A$  and b-type[type-rule]:  $b \in_c B$ 
    and ab-def2:  $ab = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  have  $a \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge f \circ_c a = c$ 
    using ab-def ab-def2 b-type cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod cfunc-type-def
      comp-type d-type cart-prod-eq2 type-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } f \wedge f \circ_c x = c$ 
    by blast
next
  fix d
  assume d-type[type-rule]:  $d \in_c \text{codomain } g$ 
  then have d-type2:  $d \in_c D$ 
    using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) by auto
  obtain c where c-type[type-rule]:  $c \in_c C$ 
    using nonempty nonempty-def by blast

```



```

    then obtain  $ab$  where  $ab\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: ab \in_c A \times_c B$  and  $ab\text{-def}: (f \times_f g)$ 
 $\circ_c ab = \langle c, d \rangle$ 
    using  $assms$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis  $assms(4)$  cfunc-type-def surjective-def2)
    then obtain  $a$   $b$  where  $a\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: a \in_c A$  and  $b\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: b \in_c B$ 
and  $ab\text{-def2}: ab = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
    then obtain  $a$   $b$  where  $a\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: a \in_c A$  and  $b\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: b \in_c B$ 
and  $ab\text{-def2}: ab = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
    have  $b \in_c \text{domain } g \wedge g \circ_c b = d$ 
    using  $a\text{-type}$   $ab\text{-def}$   $ab\text{-def2}$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod cfunc-type-def
comp-type  $d\text{-type}$  cart-prod-eq2 type-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } g \wedge g \circ_c x = d$ 
    by blast
qed

```

3.5 Interactions of cartesian products with terminal objects

lemma *diag-on-elements*:

```

    assumes  $x \in_c X$ 
    shows  $\text{diagonal } X \circ_c x = \langle x, x \rangle$ 
    using  $assms$  cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-type-def diagonal-def id-left-unit id-type by
auto

```

lemma *one-cross-one-unique-element*:

```

     $\exists! x. x \in_c \text{one} \times_c \text{one}$ 
proof (rule-tac  $a = \text{diagonal one}$  in  $ex1I$ )
    show  $\text{diagonal one} \in_c \text{one} \times_c \text{one}$ 
    by (simp add: cfunc-prod-type diagonal-def id-type)

```

next

```

    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $x\text{-type}: x \in_c \text{one} \times_c \text{one}$ 

```

```

    have left-eq:  $\text{left-cart-proj one one} \circ_c x = \text{id one}$ 
    using  $x\text{-type}$  one-unique-element by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    have right-eq:  $\text{right-cart-proj one one} \circ_c x = \text{id one}$ 
    using  $x\text{-type}$  one-unique-element by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

```

```

    then show  $x = \text{diagonal one}$ 
    unfolding diagonal-def using cfunc-prod-unique id-type left-eq  $x\text{-type}$  by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.20 in Halvorson.

lemma *X-is-cart-prod1*:

```

    is-cart-prod  $X$  ( $\text{id } X$ ) ( $\beta_X$ )  $X$  one
    unfolding is-cart-prod-def
proof auto
    show  $\text{id}_c X : X \rightarrow X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
next

```

```

    show  $\beta_X : X \rightarrow \text{one}$ 
      by typecheck-cfuncs
  next
    fix f g Y
    assume f-type:  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and g-type:  $g : Y \rightarrow \text{one}$ 
    then show  $\exists h. h : Y \rightarrow X \wedge$ 
       $id_c X \circ_c h = f \wedge \beta_X \circ_c h = g \wedge (\forall h2. h2 : Y \rightarrow X \wedge id_c X \circ_c h2 = f$ 
 $\wedge \beta_X \circ_c h2 = g \longrightarrow h2 = h)$ 
      proof (rule-tac x=f in exI, auto)
        show  $id X \circ_c f = f$ 
          using cfunc-type-def f-type id-left-unit by auto
        show  $\beta_X \circ_c f = g$ 
          by (metis comp-type f-type g-type terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique)
        show  $\bigwedge h2. h2 : Y \rightarrow X \Longrightarrow h2 = id_c X \circ_c h2$ 
          using cfunc-type-def id-left-unit by auto
      qed
    qed

  lemma X-is-cart-prod2:
    is-cart-prod X ( $\beta_X$ ) (id X) one X
    unfolding is-cart-prod-def
  proof auto
    show  $id_c X : X \rightarrow X$ 
      by typecheck-cfuncs
  next
    show  $\beta_X : X \rightarrow \text{one}$ 
      by typecheck-cfuncs
  next
    fix f g Z
    assume f-type:  $f : Z \rightarrow \text{one}$  and g-type:  $g : Z \rightarrow X$ 
    then show  $\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow X \wedge$ 
       $\beta_X \circ_c h = f \wedge id_c X \circ_c h = g \wedge (\forall h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow X \wedge \beta_X \circ_c h2 = f \wedge$ 
 $id_c X \circ_c h2 = g \longrightarrow h2 = h)$ 
      proof (rule-tac x=g in exI, auto)
        show  $id_c X \circ_c g = g$ 
          using cfunc-type-def g-type id-left-unit by auto
        show  $\beta_X \circ_c g = f$ 
          by (metis comp-type f-type g-type terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique)
        show  $\bigwedge h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow X \Longrightarrow h2 = id_c X \circ_c h2$ 
          using cfunc-type-def id-left-unit by auto
      qed
    qed

  lemma A-x-one-iso-A:
     $X \times_c \text{one} \cong X$ 
    by (metis X-is-cart-prod1 canonical-cart-prod-is-cart-prod cart-prods-isomorphic
fst-conv is-isomorphic-def snd-conv)

  lemma one-x-A-iso-A:

```

$one \times_c X \cong X$
by (*meson A-x-one-iso-A isomorphic-is-transitive product-commutes*)

The following four lemmas provide some concrete examples of the above isomorphisms

lemma *left-cart-proj-one-left-inverse*:

$\langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one = id\ (X \times_c one)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-prod-unique id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique*)

lemma *left-cart-proj-one-right-inverse*:

$left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle = id\ X$
using *left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)

lemma *right-cart-proj-one-left-inverse*:

$\langle \beta_X, id\ X \rangle \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ one\ X = id\ (one \times_c X)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cart-prod-decomp cfunc-prod-comp id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique*)

lemma *right-cart-proj-one-right-inverse*:

$right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ one\ X \circ_c \langle \beta_X, id\ X \rangle = id\ X$
using *right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)

lemma *cfunc-cross-prod-right-terminal-decomp*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y\ x : one \rightarrow Z$
shows $f \times_f x = \langle f, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-def cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-type-def comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.21 in Halvorson.

lemma *cart-prod-elem-eq*:

assumes $a \in_c X \times_c Y\ b \in_c X \times_c Y$
shows $a = b \iff$
 $(left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c a = left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c b$
 $\wedge right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c a = right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y \circ_c b)$
by (*metis (full-types) assms cfunc-prod-unique comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*)

The lemma below corresponds to Note 2.1.22 in Halvorson.

lemma *element-pair-eq*:

assumes $x \in_c X\ x' \in_c X\ y \in_c Y\ y' \in_c Y$
shows $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x', y' \rangle \iff x = x' \wedge y = y'$
by (*metis assms left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.23 in Halvorson.

lemma *nonempty-right-imp-left-proj-epimorphism*:

$nonempty\ Y \implies epimorphism\ (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ Y)$

proof –

assume $nonempty\ Y$

```

then obtain  $y$  where  $y\text{-in-}Y: y : \text{one} \rightarrow Y$ 
  using nonempty-def by blast
then have  $\text{id-eq}: (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \langle \text{id } X, y \circ_c \beta_X \rangle = \text{id } X$ 
  using comp-type id-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod terminal-func-type by blast
then show epimorphism ( $\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y$ )
  unfolding epimorphism-def
proof auto
  fix  $g \ h$ 
  assume  $\text{domain-g}: \text{domain } g = \text{codomain } (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
  assume  $\text{domain-h}: \text{domain } h = \text{codomain } (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
  assume  $g \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y = h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y$ 
  then have  $g \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id } X, y \circ_c \beta_X \rangle = h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y$ 
     $\circ_c \langle \text{id } X, y \circ_c \beta_X \rangle$ 
  using  $y\text{-in-}Y$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def comp-associative
    domain-g domain-h)
  then show  $g = h$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def domain-g domain-h id-eq id-right-unit left-cart-proj-type)
qed
qed

```

The lemma below is the dual of Proposition 2.1.23 in Halvorson.

```

lemma nonempty-left-imp-right-proj-epimorphism:
   $\text{nonempty } X \implies \text{epimorphism } (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
proof -
  assume nonempty  $X$ 
  then obtain  $y$  where  $y\text{-in-}Y: y: \text{one} \rightarrow X$ 
    using nonempty-def by blast
  then have  $\text{id-eq}: (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \langle y \circ_c \beta_Y, \text{id } Y \rangle = \text{id } Y$ 
    using comp-type id-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod terminal-func-type by blast
  then show epimorphism ( $\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y$ )
    unfolding epimorphism-def
  proof auto
    fix  $g \ h$ 
    assume  $\text{domain-g}: \text{domain } g = \text{codomain } (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
    assume  $\text{domain-h}: \text{domain } h = \text{codomain } (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
    assume  $g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y = h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y$ 
    then have  $g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c \langle y \circ_c \beta_Y, \text{id } Y \rangle = h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y$ 
       $\circ_c \langle y \circ_c \beta_Y, \text{id } Y \rangle$ 
    using  $y\text{-in-}Y$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def comp-associative
      domain-g domain-h)
    then show  $g = h$ 
      by (metis cfunc-type-def domain-g domain-h id-eq id-right-unit right-cart-proj-type)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma cart-prod-extract-left:
  assumes  $f : \text{one} \rightarrow X \ g : \text{one} \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \text{id } X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c f$ 
proof -

```

```

    have  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle id\ X \circ_c f, g \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c f \rangle$ 
      using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 id-type
one-unique-element)
    also have  $\dots = \langle id\ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c f$ 
      using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
    then show ?thesis
      using calculation by auto
qed

```

```

lemma cart-prod-extract-right:
  assumes  $f : one \rightarrow X\ g : one \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f \circ_c \beta_Y, id\ Y \rangle \circ_c g$ 
proof -
  have  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f \circ_c \beta_Y \circ_c g, id\ Y \circ_c g \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 id-type
one-unique-element)
  also have  $\dots = \langle f \circ_c \beta_Y, id\ Y \rangle \circ_c g$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

```

```

end
theory Equalizer
  imports Terminal
begin

```

4 Equalizers and Subobjects

4.1 Equalizers

```

definition equalizer :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  equalizer  $E\ m\ f\ g \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ X\ Y. (f : X \rightarrow Y) \wedge (g : X \rightarrow Y) \wedge (m : E \rightarrow X)$ 
     $\wedge (f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m)$ 
     $\wedge (\forall\ h\ F. ((h : F \rightarrow X) \wedge (f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h)) \longrightarrow (\exists! k. (k : F \rightarrow E) \wedge m \circ_c$ 
     $k = h)))$ 

```

```

lemma equalizer-def2:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y\ g : X \rightarrow Y\ m : E \rightarrow X$ 
  shows equalizer  $E\ m\ f\ g \longleftrightarrow ((f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m)$ 
     $\wedge (\forall\ h\ F. ((h : F \rightarrow X) \wedge (f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h)) \longrightarrow (\exists! k. (k : F \rightarrow E) \wedge m \circ_c$ 
     $k = h)))$ 
  using assms unfolding equalizer-def by (auto simp add: cfunc-type-def)

```

```

lemma equalizer-eq:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y\ g : X \rightarrow Y\ m : E \rightarrow X$ 
  assumes equalizer  $E\ m\ f\ g$ 
  shows  $f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m$ 
  using assms equalizer-def2 by auto

```

lemma *similar-equalizers*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ g : X \rightarrow Y \ m : E \rightarrow X$
assumes *equalizer* $E \ m \ f \ g$
assumes $h : F \rightarrow X \ f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h$
shows $\exists! k. k : F \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c k = h$
using *assms equalizer-def2* **by** *auto*

The definition above and the axiomatization below correspond to Axiom 4 (Equalizers) in Halvorson.

axiomatization where

equalizer-exists: $f : X \rightarrow Y \implies g : X \rightarrow Y \implies \exists E \ m. \text{equalizer } E \ m \ f \ g$

lemma *equalizer-exists2*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ g : X \rightarrow Y$
shows $\exists E \ m. m : E \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m \wedge (\forall h \ F. ((h : F \rightarrow X) \wedge (f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h)) \longrightarrow (\exists! k. (k : F \rightarrow E) \wedge m \circ_c k = h))$
proof –
obtain $E \ m$ **where** *equalizer* $E \ m \ f \ g$
using *assms equalizer-exists* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *equalizer-def*
proof (*rule-tac x=E in exI, rule-tac x=m in exI, auto*)
fix $X' \ Y'$
assume *f-type2*: $f : X' \rightarrow Y'$
assume *g-type2*: $g : X' \rightarrow Y'$
assume *m-type*: $m : E \rightarrow X'$
assume *fm-eq-gm*: $f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m$
assume *equalizer-unique*: $\forall h \ F. h : F \rightarrow X' \wedge f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h \longrightarrow (\exists! k. k : F \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c k = h)$

show *m-type2*: $m : E \rightarrow X$

using *assms(2) cfunc-type-def g-type2 m-type* **by** *auto*

show $\bigwedge h \ F. h : F \rightarrow X \implies f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h \implies \exists k. k : F \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c k = h$
by (*metis m-type2 cfunc-type-def equalizer-unique m-type*)

show $\bigwedge F \ k \ y. m \circ_c k : F \rightarrow X \implies f \circ_c m \circ_c k = g \circ_c m \circ_c k \implies k : F \rightarrow E \implies y : F \rightarrow E$

$\implies m \circ_c y = m \circ_c k \implies k = y$

using *comp-type equalizer-unique m-type* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.31 in Halvorson.

lemma *equalizers-isomorphic*:

assumes *equalizer* $E \ m \ f \ g$ *equalizer* $E' \ m' \ f \ g$
shows $\exists k. k : E \rightarrow E' \wedge \text{isomorphism } k \wedge m = m' \circ_c k$
proof –

```

have fm-eq-gm:  $f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m$ 
  using assms(1) equalizer-def by blast
have fm'-eq-gm':  $f \circ_c m' = g \circ_c m'$ 
  using assms(2) equalizer-def by blast

obtain  $X\ Y$  where  $f$ -type:  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g$ -type:  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $m$ -type:  $m : E \rightarrow X$ 
  using assms(1) unfolding equalizer-def by auto

obtain  $k$  where  $k$ -type:  $k : E' \rightarrow E$  and  $mk$ -eq- $m'$ :  $m \circ_c k = m'$ 
  by (metis assms cfunc-type-def equalizer-def)
obtain  $k'$  where  $k'$ -type:  $k' : E \rightarrow E'$  and  $m'k$ -eq- $m$ :  $m' \circ_c k' = m$ 
  by (metis assms cfunc-type-def equalizer-def)

have  $f \circ_c m \circ_c k \circ_c k' = g \circ_c m \circ_c k \circ_c k'$ 
  using comp-associative2  $m$ -type  $fm$ -eq- $gm$   $k'$ -type  $k$ -type  $m'k$ -eq- $m$   $mk$ -eq- $m'$  by
auto

have  $k \circ_c k' : E \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c k \circ_c k' = m$ 
  using comp-associative2 comp-type  $k'$ -type  $k$ -type  $m$ -type  $m'k$ -eq- $m$   $mk$ -eq- $m'$  by
auto
then have  $kk'$ -eq-id:  $k \circ_c k' = id\ E$ 
  using assms(1) equalizer-def id-right-unit2 id-type by blast

have  $k' \circ_c k : E' \rightarrow E' \wedge m' \circ_c k' \circ_c k = m'$ 
  by (smt comp-associative2 comp-type  $k'$ -type  $k$ -type  $m'k$ -eq- $m$   $m$ -type  $mk$ -eq- $m'$ )
then have  $k'k$ -eq-id:  $k' \circ_c k = id\ E'$ 
  using assms(2) equalizer-def id-right-unit2 id-type by blast

show  $\exists k. k : E \rightarrow E' \wedge isomorphism\ k \wedge m = m' \circ_c k$ 
  using cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def  $k'$ -type  $k'k$ -eq-id  $k$ -type  $kk'$ -eq-id  $m'k$ -eq- $m$ 
by (rule-tac  $x=k'$  in exI, auto)
qed

lemma isomorphic-to-equalizer-is-equalizer:
  assumes  $\varphi : E' \rightarrow E$ 
  assumes isomorphism  $\varphi$ 
  assumes equalizer  $E\ m\ f\ g$ 
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assumes  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assumes  $m : E \rightarrow X$ 
  shows equalizer  $E'$  ( $m \circ_c \varphi$ )  $f\ g$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\varphi$ -inv where  $\varphi$ -inv-type[type-rule]:  $\varphi$ -inv :  $E \rightarrow E'$  and  $\varphi$ -inv- $\varphi$ :  $\varphi$ -inv  $\circ_c \varphi = id(E')$  and  $\varphi\varphi$ -inv:  $\varphi \circ_c \varphi$ -inv =  $id(E)$ 
    using assms(1,2) cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def by auto

  have equalizes:  $f \circ_c m \circ_c \varphi = g \circ_c m \circ_c \varphi$ 
    using assms comp-associative2 equalizer-def by force

```

```

have  $\forall h F. h : F \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h \longrightarrow (\exists! k. k : F \rightarrow E' \wedge (m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c k = h)$ 
proof(auto)
  fix  $h F$ 
  assume  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: h : F \rightarrow X$ 
  assume  $h\text{-equalizes}: f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h$ 
  have  $k\text{-exists-uniquely}: \exists! k. k : F \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c k = h$ 
    using assms equalizer-def2 h-equalizes by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then obtain  $k$  where  $k\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: k : F \rightarrow E$  and  $k\text{-def}: m \circ_c k = h$ 
    by blast
  then show  $\exists k. k : F \rightarrow E' \wedge (m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c k = h$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3)  $\varphi\varphi\text{-inv}$   $\varphi\text{-inv-type}$  comp-associative2
comp-type id-right-unit2 k-exists-uniquely)
  next
    fix  $F k y$ 
    assume  $(m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c k : F \rightarrow X$ 
    assume  $f \circ_c (m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c k = g \circ_c (m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c k$ 
    assume  $k\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: k : F \rightarrow E'$ 
    assume  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y : F \rightarrow E'$ 
    assume  $(m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c y = (m \circ_c \varphi) \circ_c k$ 
    then show  $k = y$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(1,2,3) cfunc-type-def
comp-associative comp-type equalizer-def id-left-unit2 isomorphism-def)
    qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (smt (verit, best) assms(1,4,5,6) comp-type equalizer-def equalizes)
  qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.34 in Halvorson.

```

lemma equalizer-is-monomorphism:
  equalizer  $E m f g \implies \text{monomorphism}(m)$ 
  unfolding equalizer-def monomorphism-def
proof auto
  fix  $h1 h2 X Y$ 
  assume  $f\text{-type}: f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assume  $g\text{-type}: g : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assume  $m\text{-type}: m : E \rightarrow X$ 
  assume  $fm\text{-gm}: f \circ_c m = g \circ_c m$ 
  assume uniqueness:  $\forall h F. h : F \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ_c h = g \circ_c h \longrightarrow (\exists! k. k : F \rightarrow E$ 
 $\wedge m \circ_c k = h)$ 
  assume relation-ga:  $\text{codomain } h1 = \text{domain } m$ 
  assume relation-h:  $\text{codomain } h2 = \text{domain } m$ 
  assume m-ga-mh:  $m \circ_c h1 = m \circ_c h2$ 
  have  $f \circ_c m \circ_c h1 = g \circ_c m \circ_c h2$ 
    using cfunc-type-def comp-associative f-type fm-gm g-type m-ga-mh m-type
relation-h by auto
  then obtain  $z$  where  $z: \text{domain}(h1) \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c z = m \circ_c h1 \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j. j: \text{domain}(h1) \rightarrow E \wedge m \circ_c j = m \circ_c h1 \longrightarrow j = z)$ 
    using uniqueness by (erule-tac x=m  $\circ_c$  h1 in allE, erule-tac x=domain(h1))

```



```

in allE,
      smt cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp m-ga-mh
m-type relation-ga)
  then show h1 = h2
  by (metis cfunc-type-def domain-comp m-ga-mh m-type relation-ga relation-h)
qed

```

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.35 in Halvorson.

```

definition regular-monomorphism :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where regular-monomorphism f  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists$  g h. domain(g) = codomain(f)  $\wedge$  domain(h) = codomain(f)  $\wedge$  equalizer
    (domain f) f g h)

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.36 in Halvorson.

```

lemma epi-regmon-is-iso:
  assumes epimorphism(f) regular-monomorphism(f)
  shows isomorphism(f)
proof –
  obtain g h where g-type: domain(g) = codomain(f) and
    h-type: domain(h) = codomain(f) and
    f-equalizer: equalizer (domain f) f g h
  using assms(2) regular-monomorphism-def by auto
  then have g  $\circ_c$  f = h  $\circ_c$  f
  using equalizer-def by blast
  then have g = h
  using assms(1) cfunc-type-def epimorphism-def equalizer-def f-equalizer by auto
  then have g  $\circ_c$  id(codomain(f)) = h  $\circ_c$  id(codomain(f))
  by simp
  then obtain k where k-type: f  $\circ_c$  k = id(codomain(f))  $\wedge$  codomain k = domain
  f
  by (metis cfunc-type-def equalizer-def f-equalizer id-type)
  then have f  $\circ_c$  id(domain(f)) = f  $\circ_c$  (k  $\circ_c$  f)
  by (metis comp-associative domain-comp id-domain id-left-unit id-right-unit)
  then have monomorphism f  $\implies$  k  $\circ_c$  f = id(domain(f))
  by (metis (mono-tags) codomain-comp domain-comp id-codomain id-domain
  k-type monomorphism-def)
  then have k  $\circ_c$  f = id(domain(f))
  using equalizer-is-monomorphism f-equalizer by blast
  then show isomorphism(f)
  by (metis domain-comp id-domain isomorphism-def k-type)
qed

```

4.2 Subobjects

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.32 in Halvorson.

```

definition factors-through :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix factorsthru 90)
  where g factorsthru f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  h. (h: domain(g)  $\rightarrow$  domain(f))  $\wedge$  f  $\circ_c$  h = g)

```

```

lemma factors-through-def2:

```

assumes $g : X \rightarrow Z \ f : Y \rightarrow Z$
shows $g \text{ factorsthru } f \iff (\exists \ h. \ h : X \rightarrow Y \wedge f \circ_c h = g)$
unfolding *factors-through-def* **using** *assms* **by** (*simp add: cfunc-type-def*)

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.1.33 in Halvorson.

lemma *xfactorthru-equalizer-iff-fx-eq-gx*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ g : X \rightarrow Y \ \text{equalizer } E \ m \ f \ g \ x \in_c X$
shows $x \text{ factorsthru } m \iff f \circ_c x = g \circ_c x$
proof *auto*
assume *LHS*: $x \text{ factorsthru } m$
then show $f \circ_c x = g \circ_c x$
using *assms(3) cfunc-type-def comp-associative equalizer-def factors-through-def*
by *auto*
next
assume *RHS*: $f \circ_c x = g \circ_c x$
then show $x \text{ factorsthru } m$
unfolding *cfunc-type-def factors-through-def*
by (*metis RHS assms(1,3,4) cfunc-type-def equalizer-def*)
qed

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.37 in Halvorson.

definition *subobject-of* :: $cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \subseteq_c 50)
where $B \subseteq_c X \iff (snd \ B : fst \ B \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism } (snd \ B))$

lemma *subobject-of-def2*:
 $(B, m) \subseteq_c X = (m : B \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism } m)$
by (*simp add: subobject-of-def*)

definition *relative-subset* :: $cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ ($-\subseteq_-$ [51,50,51]50)
where $B \subseteq_X A \iff$
 $(snd \ B : fst \ B \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism } (snd \ B) \wedge snd \ A : fst \ A \rightarrow X \wedge$
 $\text{monomorphism } (snd \ A)$
 $\wedge (\exists \ k. \ k : fst \ B \rightarrow fst \ A \wedge snd \ A \circ_c k = snd \ B))$

lemma *relative-subset-def2*:
 $(B, m) \subseteq_X (A, n) = (m : B \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism } m \wedge n : A \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism } n$
 $\wedge (\exists \ k. \ k : B \rightarrow A \wedge n \circ_c k = m))$
unfolding *relative-subset-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *subobject-is-relative-subset*: $(B, m) \subseteq_c A \iff (B, m) \subseteq_A (A, id(A))$
unfolding *relative-subset-def2 subobject-of-def2*
using *cfunc-type-def id-isomorphism id-left-unit id-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic*
by *auto*

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.39 in Halvorson.

definition *relative-member* :: $cfunc \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ ($-\in_-$ [51,50,51]50)
where

$x \in_X B \iff (x \in_c X \wedge \text{monomorphism } (\text{snd } B) \wedge \text{snd } B : \text{fst } B \rightarrow X \wedge x \text{ factorsthru } (\text{snd } B))$

lemma *relative-member-def2*:

$x \in_X (B, m) = (x \in_c X \wedge \text{monomorphism } m \wedge m : B \rightarrow X \wedge x \text{ factorsthru } m)$

unfolding *relative-member-def* **by** *auto*

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.40 in Halvorson.

lemma *relative-subobject-member*:

assumes $(A, n) \subseteq_X (B, m) \ x \in_c X$

shows $x \in_X (A, n) \implies x \in_X (B, m)$

using *assms* **unfolding** *relative-member-def2* *relative-subset-def2*

proof *auto*

fix *k*

assume *m-type*: $m : B \rightarrow X$

assume *k-type*: $k : A \rightarrow B$

assume *m-monomorphism*: *monomorphism* *m*

assume *mk-monomorphism*: *monomorphism* $(m \circ_c k)$

assume *n-eq-mk*: $n = m \circ_c k$

assume *factorsthru-mk*: $x \text{ factorsthru } (m \circ_c k)$

obtain *a* **where** *a-assms*: $a \in_c A \wedge (m \circ_c k) \circ_c a = x$

using *assms*(2) *cfunc-type-def* *domain-comp* *factors-through-def* *factorsthru-mk*

k-type *m-type* **by** *auto*

then show $x \text{ factorsthru } m$

unfolding *factors-through-def*

using *cfunc-type-def* *comp-type* *k-type* *m-type* *comp-associative*

by $(\text{rule-tac } x=k \circ_c a \text{ in } \text{exI}, \text{auto})$

qed

5 Pullback

The definition below corresponds to a definition stated between Definition 2.1.42 and Definition 2.1.43 in Halvorson.

definition *is-pullback* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

is-pullback *A B C D ab bd ac cd* \iff

$(ab : A \rightarrow B \wedge bd : B \rightarrow D \wedge ac : A \rightarrow C \wedge cd : C \rightarrow D \wedge bd \circ_c ab = cd \circ_c$

$ac \wedge$

$(\forall Z k h. (k : Z \rightarrow B \wedge h : Z \rightarrow C \wedge bd \circ_c k = cd \circ_c h) \implies$

$(\exists! j. j : Z \rightarrow A \wedge ab \circ_c j = k \wedge ac \circ_c j = h)))$

lemma *pullback-iff-product*:

assumes *terminal-object*(*T*)

assumes *f-type*[*type-rule*]: $f : Y \rightarrow T$

assumes *g-type*[*type-rule*]: $g : X \rightarrow T$

shows $(\text{is-pullback } P Y X T (pY) f (pX) g) = (\text{is-cart-prod } P pX pY X Y)$

proof(*auto*)

```

assume pullback: is-pullback P Y X T pY f pX g
have f-type[type-rule]: f : Y → T
  using is-pullback-def pullback by force
have g-type[type-rule]: g : X → T
  using is-pullback-def pullback by force
show is-cart-prod P pX pY X Y
proof(unfold is-cart-prod-def, auto)
  show pX-type[type-rule]: pX : P → X
    using pullback is-pullback-def by force
  show pY-type[type-rule]: pY : P → Y
    using pullback is-pullback-def by force
  show  $\bigwedge x y Z.$ 
     $x : Z \rightarrow X \implies$ 
     $y : Z \rightarrow Y \implies$ 
     $\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow P \wedge$ 
       $pX \circ_c h = x \wedge pY \circ_c h = y \wedge (\forall h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow P \wedge pX \circ_c h2 = x \wedge pY$ 
 $\circ_c h2 = y \implies h2 = h)$ 
    proof -
      fix x y Z
      assume x-type[type-rule]: x : Z → X
      assume y-type[type-rule]: y : Z → Y
      have  $\bigwedge Z k h. k : Z \rightarrow Y \implies h : Z \rightarrow X \implies f \circ_c k = g \circ_c h \implies \exists j. j : Z$ 
 $\rightarrow P \wedge pY \circ_c j = k \wedge pX \circ_c j = h$ 
      using is-pullback-def pullback by blast
      then have  $\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow P \wedge$ 
         $pX \circ_c h = x \wedge pY \circ_c h = y$ 
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms cfunc-type-def codomain-comp do-
main-comp f-type g-type terminal-object-def x-type y-type)
      then show  $\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow P \wedge$ 
         $pX \circ_c h = x \wedge pY \circ_c h = y \wedge (\forall h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow P \wedge pX \circ_c h2 = x \wedge pY$ 
 $\circ_c h2 = y \implies h2 = h)$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) comp-associative2 is-pullback-def
pullback)
    qed
  qed
next
assume prod: is-cart-prod P pX pY X Y
then show is-pullback P Y X T pY f pX g
proof(unfold is-cart-prod-def is-pullback-def, typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  assume pX-type[type-rule]: pX : P → X
  assume pY-type[type-rule]: pY : P → Y
  show  $f \circ_c pY = g \circ_c pX$ 
    using assms(1) terminal-object-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  show  $\bigwedge Z k h. k : Z \rightarrow Y \implies h : Z \rightarrow X \implies f \circ_c k = g \circ_c h \implies \exists j. j : Z$ 
 $\rightarrow P \wedge pY \circ_c j = k \wedge pX \circ_c j = h$ 
    using is-cart-prod-def prod by blast
  show  $\bigwedge Z j y.$ 
     $pY \circ_c j : Z \rightarrow Y \implies$ 
     $pX \circ_c j : Z \rightarrow X \implies$ 

```

$f \circ_c pY \circ_c j = g \circ_c pX \circ_c j \implies j : Z \rightarrow P \implies y : Z \rightarrow P \implies pY \circ_c y =$
 $pY \circ_c j \implies pX \circ_c y = pX \circ_c j \implies j = y$
using *is-cart-prod-def prod* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

6 Inverse Image

The definition below corresponds to a definition given by a diagram between Definition 2.1.37 and Proposition 2.1.38 in Halvorsen.

definition *inverse-image* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* $(^{-1}\llbracket - \rrbracket)_{-} [101,0,0]100)$
where

$inverse-image\ f\ B\ m = (SOME\ A.\ \exists\ X\ Y\ k.\ f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge m : B \rightarrow Y \wedge$
monomorphism $m \wedge$
 $equalizer\ A\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B))$

lemma *inverse-image-is-equalizer*:

assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y\ f : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m
shows $\exists k.\ equalizer\ (f^{-1}\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B)$

proof –

obtain $A\ k$ **where** $equalizer\ A\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B)$
by (*meson* *assms*(1,2) *comp-type equalizer-exists left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type*)
then have $\exists\ X\ Y\ k.\ f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge m : B \rightarrow Y \wedge$ *monomorphism* $m \wedge$
 $equalizer\ (inverse-image\ f\ B\ m)\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B)$
unfolding *inverse-image-def* **by** (*rule-tac* *someI-ex*, *auto*, *rule-tac* $x=A$ **in** *exI*,
rule-tac $x=X$ **in** *exI*, *rule-tac* $x=Y$ **in** *exI*, *auto* *simp* *add: assms*)
then show $\exists k.\ equalizer\ (inverse-image\ f\ B\ m)\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B)$
using *assms*(2) *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *inverse-image-mapping* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

$inverse-image-mapping\ f\ B\ m = (SOME\ k.\ \exists\ X\ Y.\ f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge m : B \rightarrow Y \wedge$
monomorphism $m \wedge$
 $equalizer\ (inverse-image\ f\ B\ m)\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B))$

lemma *inverse-image-is-equalizer2*:

assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y\ f : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m
shows $equalizer\ (inverse-image\ f\ B\ m)\ (inverse-image-mapping\ f\ B\ m)\ (f \circ_c$
 $left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B)$
proof –
obtain k **where** $equalizer\ (inverse-image\ f\ B\ m)\ k\ (f \circ_c left-cart-proj\ X\ B)\ (m \circ_c right-cart-proj\ X\ B)$
using *assms* *inverse-image-is-equalizer* **by** *blast*

then have $\exists X Y. f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge m : B \rightarrow Y \wedge \text{monomorphism } m \wedge$
 $\text{equalizer } (\text{inverse-image } f B m) (\text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X B) (m \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X B)$
unfolding $\text{inverse-image-mapping-def}$ **using** assms **by** $(\text{rule-tac someI-ex, auto})$
then show $\text{equalizer } (\text{inverse-image } f B m) (\text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X B) (m \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X B)$
using $\text{assms}(2)$ cfunc-type-def **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{inverse-image-mapping-type}[\text{type-rule}]$:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $\text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m : (\text{inverse-image } f B m) \rightarrow X \times_c B$
using assms cfunc-type-def domain-comp equalizer-def $\text{inverse-image-is-equalizer2}$ $\text{left-cart-proj-type}$ **by** auto

lemma $\text{inverse-image-mapping-eq}$:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m$
 $= m \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m$
using assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative equalizer-def $\text{inverse-image-is-equalizer2}$ **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit)})$

lemma $\text{inverse-image-mapping-monomorphism}$:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $\text{monomorphism } (\text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m)$
using assms $\text{equalizer-is-monomorphism}$ $\text{inverse-image-is-equalizer2}$ **by** blast

The lemma below is the dual of Proposition 2.1.38 in Halvorson.

lemma $\text{inverse-image-monomorphism}$:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $\text{monomorphism } (\text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m)$
using assms
proof $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs, unfold monomorphism-def3, auto})$
fix $g h A$
assume $g\text{-type}: g : A \rightarrow (f^{-1}(\downarrow B)\downarrow m)$
assume $h\text{-type}: h : A \rightarrow (f^{-1}(\downarrow B)\downarrow m)$
assume $\text{left-eq}: (\text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c g$
 $= (\text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c h$
then have $f \circ_c (\text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c g$
 $= f \circ_c (\text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c h$
by auto
then have $m \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c g$
 $= m \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c h$
using assms $g\text{-type}$ $h\text{-type}$
by $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-type-def codomain-comp comp-associative domain-comp inverse-image-mapping-eq left-cart-proj-type})$
then have $\text{right-eq}: (\text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c g$
 $= (\text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) \circ_c h$

using *assms g-type h-type monomorphism-def3* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
then have *inverse-image-mapping* $f B m \circ_c g = \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m$
 $\circ_c h$
using *assms g-type h-type cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-eq left-cart-proj-type*
right-cart-proj-type
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, subst cart-prod-eq, auto*)
then show $g = h$
using *assms g-type h-type inverse-image-mapping-monomorphism inverse-image-mapping-type*
monomorphism-def3
by *blast*
qed

definition *inverse-image-subobject-mapping* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc*
 $([-^{-1}(\cdot)]\text{map } [101, 0, 0] 100)$ **where**
 $[f^{-1}(B)]_m \text{map} = \text{left-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m$

lemma *inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def2*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
shows $[f^{-1}(B)]_m \text{map} = \text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m$
using *assms unfolding inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def cfunc-type-def* **by**
auto

lemma *inverse-image-subobject-mapping-type*[*type-rule*]:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $m : B \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m
shows $[f^{-1}(B)]_m \text{map} : f^{-1}(B)_m \rightarrow X$
using *assms* **by** (*unfold inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def2, typecheck-cfuncs*)

lemma *inverse-image-subobject-mapping-mono*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $m : B \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m
shows *monomorphism* $([f^{-1}(B)]_m \text{map})$
using *assms cfunc-type-def inverse-image-monomorphism inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def*
by *fastforce*

lemma *inverse-image-subobject*:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y$ $f : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m
shows $(f^{-1}(B)_m, [f^{-1}(B)]_m \text{map}) \subseteq_c X$
unfolding *subobject-of-def2*
using *assms inverse-image-subobject-mapping-mono inverse-image-subobject-mapping-type*
by *force*

lemma *inverse-image-pullback*:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow Y$ $f : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m
shows *is-pullback* $(f^{-1}(B)_m) B X Y$
 $(\text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) m$
 $(\text{left-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m) f$
unfolding *is-pullback-def* **using** *assms*
proof *auto*
show *right-type*: $\text{right-cart-proj } X B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f B m : f^{-1}(B)_m$
 $\rightarrow B$

```

using assms cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp inverse-image-mapping-type
      right-cart-proj-type by auto
show left-type: left-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m :  $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m) \rightarrow$ 
X
using assms fst-conv inverse-image-subobject subobject-of-def by (typecheck-cfuncs)

show m  $\circ_c$  right-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m =
      f  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m
using assms inverse-image-mapping-eq by auto
next
fix Z k h
assume k-type: k : Z  $\rightarrow$  B and h-type: h : Z  $\rightarrow$  X
assume mk-eq-fh: m  $\circ_c$  k = f  $\circ_c$  h

have equalizer ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)$ ) (inverse-image-mapping f B m) (f  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X
B) (m  $\circ_c$  right-cart-proj X B)
using assms inverse-image-is-equalizer2 by blast
then have  $\forall h F. h$  : F  $\rightarrow$  (X  $\times_c$  B)
       $\wedge$  (f  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X B)  $\circ_c$  h = (m  $\circ_c$  right-cart-proj X B)  $\circ_c$  h  $\longrightarrow$ 
       $(\exists! u. u$  : F  $\rightarrow$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)$ )  $\wedge$  inverse-image-mapping f B m  $\circ_c$  u = h)
unfolding equalizer-def using assms(2) cfunc-type-def domain-comp left-cart-proj-type
by auto
then have  $\langle h, k \rangle$  : Z  $\rightarrow$  X  $\times_c$  B  $\implies$ 
      (f  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X B)  $\circ_c$   $\langle h, k \rangle$  = (m  $\circ_c$  right-cart-proj X B)  $\circ_c$   $\langle h, k \rangle \implies$ 
       $(\exists! u. u$  : Z  $\rightarrow$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)$ )  $\wedge$  inverse-image-mapping f B m  $\circ_c$  u =  $\langle h, k \rangle$ )
by (erule-tac x=⟨h,k⟩ in allE, erule-tac x=Z in allE, auto)
then have  $\exists! u. u$  : Z  $\rightarrow$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)$ )  $\wedge$  inverse-image-mapping f B m  $\circ_c$  u =
 $\langle h, k \rangle$ 
using k-type h-type assms
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod left-cart-proj-type
      mk-eq-fh right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-type)
then show  $\exists j. j$  : Z  $\rightarrow$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)$ )  $\wedge$ 
      (right-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m)  $\circ_c$  j = k  $\wedge$ 
      (left-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m)  $\circ_c$  j = h
proof (insert k-type h-type assms, typecheck-cfuncs, safe, rule-tac x=u in exI,
safe)
fix u
assume u-type: u : Z  $\rightarrow$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)$ )
assume u-eq: inverse-image-mapping f B m  $\circ_c$  u =  $\langle h, k \rangle$ 

show (right-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m)  $\circ_c$  u = k
using assms u-type h-type k-type u-eq
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis (full-types) comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

show (left-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m)  $\circ_c$  u = h
using assms u-type h-type k-type u-eq
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis (full-types) comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
qed
next

```



```

fix  $Z\ j\ y$ 
assume  $j\text{-type}: j : Z \rightarrow (f^{-1}\langle B \rangle)_m$ 
assume  $y\text{-type}: y : Z \rightarrow (f^{-1}\langle B \rangle)_m$ 
assume  $(\text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m) \circ_c y =$ 
 $(\text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m) \circ_c j$ 
then show  $j = y$ 
  using  $\text{assms } j\text{-type } y\text{-type } \text{inverse-image-mapping-type } \text{comp-type}$ 
  by  $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}, \text{ccfu-threshold}) \text{inverse-image-monomorphism } \text{left-cart-proj-type}$ 
 $\text{monomorphism-def3})$ 
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.1.41 in Halvorson.

```

lemma in-inverse-image:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y\ (B, m) \subseteq_c Y\ x \in_c X$ 
  shows  $(x \in_X (f^{-1}\langle B \rangle)_m, \text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m) =$ 
 $(f \circ_c x \in_Y (B, m))$ 
proof
  have  $m\text{-type}: m : B \rightarrow Y\ \text{monomorphism } m$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(2)\ \text{unfolding } \text{subobject-of-def2}\ \text{by } \text{auto}$ 

  assume  $x \in_X (f^{-1}\langle B \rangle)_m, \text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m$ 
  then obtain  $h$  where  $h\text{-type}: h \in_c (f^{-1}\langle B \rangle)_m$ 
    and  $h\text{-def}: (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m) \circ_c h = x$ 
  unfolding  $\text{relative-member-def2}\ \text{factors-through-def}\ \text{by } (\text{auto } \text{simp add: cfunc-type-def})$ 
  then have  $f \circ_c x = f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m \circ_c h$ 
    using  $\text{assms } m\text{-type}\ \text{by } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{simp add: comp-associative2 } h\text{-def})$ 
  then have  $f \circ_c x = (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m) \circ_c$ 
 $h$ 
    using  $\text{assms } m\text{-type } h\text{-type } h\text{-def } \text{comp-associative2}\ \text{by } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{blast})$ 
  then have  $f \circ_c x = (m \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m)$ 
 $\circ_c h$ 
    using  $\text{assms } h\text{-type } m\text{-type}\ \text{by } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{simp add: inverse-image-mapping-eq } m\text{-type})$ 
  then have  $f \circ_c x = m \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m$ 
 $\circ_c h$ 
    using  $\text{assms } m\text{-type } h\text{-type}\ \text{by } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{smt cfunc-type-def comp-associative domain-comp})$ 
  then have  $(f \circ_c x)\ \text{factorsthru } m$ 
    unfolding  $\text{factors-through-def}\ \text{using } \text{assms } h\text{-type } m\text{-type}$ 
    by  $(\text{rule-tac } x=\text{right-cart-proj } X\ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f\ B\ m \circ_c h\ \text{in } \text{exI},$ 
 $\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{auto } \text{simp add: cfunc-type-def})$ 
  then show  $f \circ_c x \in_Y (B, m)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{relative-member-def2}\ \text{using } \text{assms } m\text{-type}\ \text{by } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs},$ 
 $\text{auto})$ 
next
  have  $m\text{-type}: m : B \rightarrow Y\ \text{monomorphism } m$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(2)\ \text{unfolding } \text{subobject-of-def2}\ \text{by } \text{auto}$ 

```

```

assume  $f \circ_c x \in_Y (B, m)$ 
then have  $\exists h. h : \text{domain } (f \circ_c x) \rightarrow \text{domain } m \wedge m \circ_c h = f \circ_c x$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def2 factors-through-def by auto
then obtain  $h$  where  $h\text{-type}: h \in_c B$  and  $h\text{-def}: m \circ_c h = f \circ_c x$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def2 factors-through-def
  using assms cfunc-type-def domain-comp m-type by auto
then have  $\exists j. j \in_c (f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m) \wedge$ 
   $(\text{right-cart-proj } X \ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f \ B \ m) \circ_c j = h \wedge$ 
   $(\text{left-cart-proj } X \ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f \ B \ m) \circ_c j = x$ 
  using inverse-image-pullback assms m-type unfolding is-pullback-def by blast
then have  $x \text{ factorsthru } (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f \ B \ m)$ 
  using m-type assms cfunc-type-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold factors-through-def, auto)
then show  $x \in_X (f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m, \text{left-cart-proj } X \ B \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f \ B \ m)$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def2 using m-type assms
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: inverse-image-monomorphism)
qed

```

7 Fibered Products

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.1.42 in Halvorson.

definition *fibered-product* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* (*-* \times_c *-* [66,50,50,65]65) **where**
 $X \times_{cg} Y = (\text{SOME } E. \exists Z \ m. f : X \rightarrow Z \wedge g : Y \rightarrow Z \wedge$
 $\text{equalizer } E \ m \ (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \ (g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y))$

lemma *fibered-product-equalizer*:

```

assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$ 
shows  $\exists m. \text{equalizer } (X \times_{cg} Y) \ m \ (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \ (g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
proof –
  obtain  $E \ m$  where  $\text{equalizer } E \ m \ (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \ (g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
  using assms equalizer-exists by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have  $\exists x \ Z \ m. f : X \rightarrow Z \wedge g : Y \rightarrow Z \wedge$ 
     $\text{equalizer } x \ m \ (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \ (g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
  using assms by blast
  then have  $\exists Z \ m. f : X \rightarrow Z \wedge g : Y \rightarrow Z \wedge$ 
     $\text{equalizer } (X \times_{cg} Y) \ m \ (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \ (g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
  unfolding fibered-product-def by (rule someI-ex)
  then show  $\exists m. \text{equalizer } (X \times_{cg} Y) \ m \ (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \ (g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$ 
  by auto
qed

```

definition *fibered-product-morphism* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
 $\text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ g \ Y = (\text{SOME } m. \exists Z. f : X \rightarrow Z \wedge g : Y \rightarrow Z \wedge$

$equalizer (X \times_{f \times cg} Y) m (f \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) (g \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y))$

lemma *fibred-product-morphism-equalizer*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$

shows $equalizer (X \times_{f \times cg} Y) (fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y) (f \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) (g \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y)$

proof –

have $\exists x Z. f : X \rightarrow Z \wedge$

$g : Y \rightarrow Z \wedge equalizer (X \times_{f \times cg} Y) x (f \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) (g \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y)$

using *assms fibred-product-equalizer* **by** *blast*

then have $\exists Z. f : X \rightarrow Z \wedge g : Y \rightarrow Z \wedge$

$equalizer (X \times_{f \times cg} Y) (fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y) (f \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) (g \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y)$

unfolding *fibred-product-morphism-def* **by** *(rule someI-ex)*

then show $equalizer (X \times_{f \times cg} Y) (fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y) (f \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) (g \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y)$

by *auto*

qed

lemma *fibred-product-morphism-type[type-rule]*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$

shows $fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y : X \times_{f \times cg} Y \rightarrow X \times_c Y$

using *assms cfunc-type-def domain-comp equalizer-def fibred-product-morphism-equalizer left-cart-proj-type* **by** *auto*

lemma *fibred-product-morphism-monomorphism*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$

shows $monomorphism (fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y)$

using *assms equalizer-is-monomorphism fibred-product-morphism-equalizer* **by** *blast*

definition *fibred-product-left-proj* :: $cset \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**

$fibred\text{-}product\text{-}left\text{-}proj X f g Y = (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) \circ_c (fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y)$

lemma *fibred-product-left-proj-type[type-rule]*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$

shows $fibred\text{-}product\text{-}left\text{-}proj X f g Y : X \times_{f \times cg} Y \rightarrow X$

by *(metis assms comp-type fibred-product-left-proj-def fibred-product-morphism-type left-cart-proj-type)*

definition *fibred-product-right-proj* :: $cset \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$

where

$fibred\text{-}product\text{-}right\text{-}proj X f g Y = (right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj X Y) \circ_c (fibred\text{-}product\text{-}morphism X f g Y)$

lemma *fibred-product-right-proj-type[type-rule]*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$

shows *fibered-product-right-proj* $X f g Y : X \times_{f \times c g} Y \rightarrow Y$
by (*metis* *assms* *comp-type* *fibered-product-right-proj-def* *fibered-product-morphism-type* *right-cart-proj-type*)

lemma *pair-factorsthru-fibered-product-morphism*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z \ x : A \rightarrow X \ y : A \rightarrow Y$
shows $f \circ_c x = g \circ_c y \implies \langle x, y \rangle$ *factorsthru* *fibered-product-morphism* $X f g Y$
unfolding *factors-through-def*
proof –
have *equalizer*: *equalizer* $(X \times_{f \times c g} Y)$ (*fibered-product-morphism* $X f g Y$) $(f \circ_c$
left-cart-proj $X Y)$ $(g \circ_c$ *right-cart-proj* $X Y)$
using *fibered-product-morphism-equalizer* *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

assume $f \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$
then have $(f \circ_c$ *left-cart-proj* $X Y) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (g \circ_c$ *right-cart-proj* $X Y) \circ_c$
 $\langle x, y \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* *comp-associative2* *left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*
right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
then have $\exists! h. h : A \rightarrow X \times_{f \times c g} Y \wedge$ *fibered-product-morphism* $X f g Y \circ_c h =$
 $\langle x, y \rangle$
using *assms* *similar-equalizers* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*)
cfunc-type-def *equalizer* *equalizer-def*)
then show $\exists h. h : \text{domain } \langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \text{domain } (\text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y)$
 \wedge
 $\text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y \circ_c h = \langle x, y \rangle$
by (*metis* *assms*(1,2) *cfunc-type-def* *domain-comp* *fibered-product-morphism-type*)
qed

lemma *fibered-product-is-pullback*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Z \ g : Y \rightarrow Z$
shows *is-pullback* $(X \times_{f \times c g} Y) Y X Z$ (*fibered-product-right-proj* $X f g Y$) g
(fibered-product-left-proj $X f g Y$) f
unfolding *is-pullback-def*
using *assms* *fibered-product-left-proj-type* *fibered-product-right-proj-type*
proof *auto*
show $g \circ_c$ *fibered-product-right-proj* $X f g Y = f \circ_c$ *fibered-product-left-proj* $X f$
 $g Y$
unfolding *fibered-product-right-proj-def* *fibered-product-left-proj-def*
using *assms* *cfunc-type-def* *comp-associative2* *equalizer-def* *fibered-product-morphism-equalizer*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

next

fix $A \ k \ h$
assume *k-type*: $k : A \rightarrow Y$ **and** *h-type*: $h : A \rightarrow X$
assume *k-h-commutes*: $g \circ_c k = f \circ_c h$

have $\langle h, k \rangle$ *factorsthru* *fibered-product-morphism* $X f g Y$
using *assms* *h-type* *k-h-commutes* *k-type* *pair-factorsthru-fibered-product-morphism*
by *auto*
then have $\exists j. j : A \rightarrow X \times_{f \times c g} Y \wedge$ *fibered-product-morphism* $X f g Y \circ_c j =$

```

<h,k>
  by (meson assms cfunc-prod-type factors-through-def2 fibered-product-morphism-type
h-type k-type)
  then show  $\exists j. j : A \rightarrow X \times_{cg} Y \wedge$ 
    fibered-product-right-proj  $X f g Y \circ_c j = k \wedge$  fibered-product-left-proj  $X f$ 
 $g Y \circ_c j = h$ 
    unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def fibered-product-left-proj-def
  proof (auto, rule-tac x=j in exI, auto)
    fix j
    assume j-type:  $j : A \rightarrow X \times_{cg} Y$ 

    show fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j = \langle h,k \rangle \implies$ 
      (right-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c$  fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j = k$ 
    using assms h-type k-type j-type
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

    show fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j = \langle h,k \rangle \implies$ 
      (left-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c$  fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j = h$ 
    using assms h-type k-type j-type
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
  qed
next
  fix A j y
  assume j-type:  $j : A \rightarrow X \times_{cg} Y$  and y-type:  $y : A \rightarrow X \times_{cg} Y$ 
  assume fibered-product-right-proj  $X f g Y \circ_c y =$  fibered-product-right-proj  $X f g$ 
 $Y \circ_c j$ 
  then have right-eq: right-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c$  (fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c$ 
 $y) =$ 
    right-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c$  (fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j$ )
  unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def using assms j-type y-type
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  assume fibered-product-left-proj  $X f g Y \circ_c y =$  fibered-product-left-proj  $X f g Y$ 
 $\circ_c j$ 
  then have left-eq: left-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c$  (fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c y$ )
 $=$ 
    left-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c$  (fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j$ )
  unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def using assms j-type y-type
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)

  have mono: monomorphism (fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y$ )
  using assms fibered-product-morphism-monomorphism by auto

  have fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c y =$  fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y$ 
 $\circ_c j$ 
  using right-eq left-eq cart-prod-eq fibered-product-morphism-type y-type j-type
  assms comp-type
  by (subst cart-prod-eq[where Z=A, where X=X, where Y=Y], auto)
  then show  $j = y$ 
  using mono assms cfunc-type-def fibered-product-morphism-type j-type y-type

```

```

    unfolding monomorphism-def
    by auto
qed

lemma fibered-product-proj-eq:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Z$   $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ 
  shows  $f \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X f g Y = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X f g Y$ 
  using fibered-product-is-pullback assms
  unfolding is-pullback-def by auto

lemma fibered-product-pair-member:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Z$   $g : Y \rightarrow Z$   $x \in_c X$   $y \in_c Y$ 
  shows  $(\langle x, y \rangle \in_X \times_c Y (X \times_c Y, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y)) = (f \circ_c x = g \circ_c y)$ 
proof
  assume  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_X \times_c Y (X \times_c Y, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y)$ 
  then obtain  $h$  where
     $h\text{-type: } h \in_c X \times_c Y$  and  $h\text{-eq: } \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y \circ_c h = \langle x, y \rangle$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def2 factors-through-def
  using assms(3,4) cfunc-prod-type cfunc-type-def by auto

  have left-eq:  $\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X f g Y \circ_c h = x$ 
  unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def
  using assms h-type
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 h-eq left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

  have right-eq:  $\text{fibered-product-right-proj } X f g Y \circ_c h = y$ 
  unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def
  using assms h-type
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 h-eq right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

  have  $f \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X f g Y \circ_c h = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X f g Y \circ_c h$ 
  using assms h-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 fibered-product-proj-eq)
  then show  $f \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$ 
  using left-eq right-eq by auto
next
  assume  $f\text{-g-eq: } f \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$ 
  show  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_X \times_c Y (X \times_c Y, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y)$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def2 factors-through-def
proof auto
  show  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_c X \times_c Y$ 
  using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show monomorphism  $(\text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y)$ 
  using assms(1,2) fibered-product-morphism-monomorphism by auto
  show  $\text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y : X \times_c Y \rightarrow X \times_c Y$ 
  using assms by typecheck-cfuncs

```

```

have j-exists:  $\bigwedge Z k h. k : Z \rightarrow Y \implies h : Z \rightarrow X \implies g \circ_c k = f \circ_c h \implies$ 
  ( $\exists ! j. j : Z \rightarrow X \times_{c g} Y \wedge$ 
    fibered-product-right-proj  $X f g Y \circ_c j = k \wedge$ 
    fibered-product-left-proj  $X f g Y \circ_c j = h$ )
using fibered-product-is-pullback assms unfolding is-pullback-def by auto

obtain j where j-type:  $j \in_c X \times_{c g} Y$  and
  j-projs: fibered-product-right-proj  $X f g Y \circ_c j = y$  fibered-product-left-proj  $X f$ 
 $g Y \circ_c j = x$ 
  using j-exists[where  $Z=one$ , where  $k=y$ , where  $h=x$ ] assms f-g-eq by auto
show  $\exists h. h : \text{domain } \langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \text{domain } (\text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y) \wedge$ 
  fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c h = \langle x, y \rangle$ 
proof (rule-tac  $x=j$  in exI, auto)
  show  $j : \text{domain } \langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \text{domain } (\text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y)$ 
  using assms j-type cfunc-type-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

have left-eq: left-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y \circ_c j = x$ 
  using j-projs assms j-type comp-associative2
  unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

have right-eq: right-cart-proj  $X Y \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f g Y \circ_c j$ 
 $= y$ 
  using j-projs assms j-type comp-associative2
  unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

show fibered-product-morphism  $X f g Y \circ_c j = \langle x, y \rangle$ 
using left-eq right-eq assms j-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-unique)
qed
qed
qed

lemma fibered-product-pair-member2:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$   $g : X \rightarrow E$   $x \in_c X$   $y \in_c X$ 
  assumes  $g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X f f X = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X$ 
 $f f X$ 
  shows  $\forall x y. x \in_c X \longrightarrow y \in_c X \longrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} (X \times_{c f} X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X) \longrightarrow g \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$ 
proof (auto)
  fix  $x y$ 
  assume x-type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X$ 
  assume y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c X$ 
  assume a3:  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} (X \times_{c f} X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
  then obtain h where
    h-type:  $h \in_c X \times_{c f} X$  and h-eq: fibered-product-morphism  $X f f X \circ_c h = \langle x, y \rangle$ 
  by (meson factors-through-def2 relative-member-def2)

have left-eq: fibered-product-left-proj  $X f f X \circ_c h = x$ 
  unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) assms(1) comp-associative2 h-eq h-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod

```

y-type)

have *right-eq*: *fibred-product-right-proj* $X f f X \circ_c h = y$
unfolding *fibred-product-right-proj-def*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis* (*full-types*) *a3 comp-associative2 h-eq h-type relative-member-def2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-type*)

then show $g \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$
using *assms*(1,2,5) *cfunc-type-def comp-associative fibred-product-left-proj-type fibred-product-right-proj-type h-type left-eq right-eq* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *kernel-pair-subset*:

assumes $f: X \rightarrow Y$
shows $(X f \times_{cf} X, \text{fibred-product-morphism } X f f X) \subseteq_c X \times_c X$
using *assms fibred-product-morphism-monomorphism fibred-product-morphism-type subobject-of-def2* **by** *auto*

The three lemmas below correspond to Exercise 2.1.44 in Halvorson.

lemma *kern-pair-proj-iso-TFAE1*:

assumes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* f
shows $(\text{fibred-product-left-proj } X f f X) = (\text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X)$
proof (*cases* $\exists x. x \in_c X f \times_{cf} X$, *auto*)
fix x
assume *x-type*: $x \in_c X f \times_{cf} X$
then have $(f \circ_c (\text{fibred-product-left-proj } X f f X)) \circ_c x = (f \circ_c (\text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X)) \circ_c x$
using *assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative equalizer-def fibred-product-morphism-equalizer*
unfolding *fibred-product-right-proj-def fibred-product-left-proj-def*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*))
then have $f \circ_c (\text{fibred-product-left-proj } X f f X) = f \circ_c (\text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X)$
using *assms fibred-product-is-pullback is-pullback-def* **by** *auto*
then show $(\text{fibred-product-left-proj } X f f X) = (\text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X)$
using *assms cfunc-type-def fibred-product-left-proj-type fibred-product-right-proj-type monomorphism-def* **by** *auto*
next
assume $\forall x. \neg x \in_c X f \times_{cf} X$
then show $\text{fibred-product-left-proj } X f f X = \text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X$
using *assms fibred-product-left-proj-type fibred-product-right-proj-type one-separator*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *kern-pair-proj-iso-TFAE2*:

assumes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ *fibred-product-left-proj* $X f f X = \text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X$
shows *monomorphism* $f \wedge \text{isomorphism } (\text{fibred-product-left-proj } X f f X) \wedge \text{isomorphism } (\text{fibred-product-right-proj } X f f X)$


```

using assms
proof auto
  have injective f
    unfolding injective-def
  proof auto
    fix x y
    assume x-type: x ∈c domain f and y-type: y ∈c domain f
    then have x-type2: x ∈c X and y-type2: y ∈c X
      using assms(1) cfunc-type-def by auto

    have x-y-type: ⟨x,y⟩ : one → X ×c X
      using x-type2 y-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs)
    have fibered-product-type: fibered-product-morphism X f f X : Xf ×c f X → Xf ×c X
      using assms by typecheck-cfuncs

    assume f ∘c x = f ∘c y
    then have factorsthru: ⟨x,y⟩ factorsthru fibered-product-morphism X f f X
      using assms(1) pair-factorsthru-fibered-product-morphism x-type2 y-type2 by
auto
    then obtain xy where xy-assms: xy : one → Xf ×c f X fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c xy = ⟨x,y⟩
      using factors-through-def2 fibered-product-type x-y-type by blast

    have left-proj: fibered-product-left-proj X f f X ∘c xy = x
      unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def using assms xy-assms
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-type2 xy-assms(2) y-type2)
    have right-proj: fibered-product-right-proj X f f X ∘c xy = y
      unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def using assms xy-assms
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-type2 xy-assms(2) y-type2)

    show x = y
      using assms(2) left-proj right-proj by auto
    qed
    then show monomorphism f
      using injective-imp-monomorphism by blast
  next
    have diagonal X factorsthru fibered-product-morphism X f f X
      using assms(1) diagonal-def id-type pair-factorsthru-fibered-product-morphism
    by fastforce
    then obtain xx where xx-assms: xx : X → Xf ×c f X diagonal X = fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c xx
      using assms(1) cfunc-type-def diagonal-type factors-through-def fibered-product-morphism-type
    by fastforce
    have eq1: fibered-product-right-proj X f f X ∘c xx = id X
      by (smt assms(1) comp-associative2 diagonal-def fibered-product-morphism-type fibered-product-right-proj-def id-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-type)

```

```

xx-assms)

have eq2: xx ∘c fibered-product-right-proj X f f X = id (Xf×cf X)
proof (rule one-separator[where X=Xf×cf X, where Y=Xf×cf X])
  show xx ∘c fibered-product-right-proj X f f X : Xf×cf X → Xf×cf X
    using assms(1) comp-type fibered-product-right-proj-type xx-assms by blast
  show idc (Xf×cf X) : Xf×cf X → Xf×cf X
    by (simp add: id-type)
next
fix x
assume x-type: x ∈c Xf×cf X
then obtain a where a-assms: ⟨a,a⟩ = fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c x
a ∈c X
by (smt assms cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-prod-unique comp-type fibered-product-left-proj-def
    fibered-product-morphism-type fibered-product-right-proj-def fibered-product-right-proj-type)

have (xx ∘c fibered-product-right-proj X f f X) ∘c x = xx ∘c right-cart-proj X X
∘c ⟨a,a⟩
  using xx-assms x-type a-assms assms comp-associative2
  unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = xx ∘c a
  using a-assms(2) right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod by auto
also have ... = x
proof -
  have f2: ∀ c. c : one → X ⟶ fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c xx ∘c c
  = diagonal X ∘c c
  proof auto
    fix c
    assume c ∈c X
    then show fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c xx ∘c c = diagonal X ∘c c
      using assms xx-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2
xx-assms(2))
  qed
have f4: xx : X → codomain xx
  using cfunc-type-def xx-assms by presburger
have f5: diagonal X ∘c a = ⟨a,a⟩
  using a-assms diag-on-elements by blast
have f6: codomain (xx ∘c a) = codomain xx
  using f4 by (meson a-assms cfunc-type-def comp-type)
then have f9: x : domain x → codomain xx
  using cfunc-type-def x-type xx-assms by auto
have f10: ∀ c ca. domain (ca ∘c a) = one ∨ ¬ ca : X → c
  by (meson a-assms cfunc-type-def comp-type)
then have domain ⟨a,a⟩ = one
  using diagonal-type f5 by force
then have f11: domain x = one
  using cfunc-type-def x-type by blast
have xx ∘c a ∈c codomain xx

```

```

    using a-assms comp-type f4 by auto
    then show ?thesis
    using f11 f9 f5 f2 a-assms assms(1) cfunc-type-def fibered-product-morphism-monomorphism

      fibered-product-morphism-type monomorphism-def x-type
    by auto
  qed
  also have ... = idc (X  $\times_{cf}$  X)  $\circ_c$  x
    by (metis cfunc-type-def id-left-unit x-type)
  then show (xx  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-right-proj X f f X)  $\circ_c$  x = idc (X  $\times_{cf}$  X)  $\circ_c$ 
x
    using calculation by auto
  qed

  show isomorphism (fibered-product-right-proj X f f X)
    unfolding isomorphism-def
    using assms(1) cfunc-type-def eq1 eq2 fibered-product-right-proj-type xx-assms(1)
    by (rule-tac x=xx in exI, auto)
  qed

lemma kern-pair-proj-iso-TFAE3:
  assumes f: X → Y
  assumes isomorphism (fibered-product-left-proj X f f X) isomorphism (fibered-product-right-proj
X f f X)
  shows fibered-product-left-proj X f f X = fibered-product-right-proj X f f X
proof -
  obtain q0 where
    q0-assms: q0 : X → X  $\times_{cf}$  X
    fibered-product-left-proj X f f X  $\circ_c$  q0 = id X
    q0  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-left-proj X f f X = id (X  $\times_{cf}$  X)
    using assms(1,2) cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)

  obtain q1 where
    q1-assms: q1 : X → X  $\times_{cf}$  X
    fibered-product-right-proj X f f X  $\circ_c$  q1 = id X
    q1  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-right-proj X f f X = id (X  $\times_{cf}$  X)
    using assms(1,3) cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)

  have  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c \text{domain } f \implies q0 \circ_c x = q1 \circ_c x$ 
  proof -
    fix x
    have fxfx: f  $\circ_c$  x = f  $\circ_c$  x
      by simp
    assume x-type: x  $\in_c$  domain f
    have factorsthru:  $\langle x, x \rangle$  factorsthru fibered-product-morphism X f f X
      using assms(1) cfunc-type-def fxfx pair-factorsthru-fibered-product-morphism
x-type by auto
    then obtain xx where xx-assms: xx : one → X  $\times_{cf}$  X  $\langle x, x \rangle$  = fibered-product-morphism
X f f X  $\circ_c$  xx

```

by (*smt* *assms*(1) *cfunc-type-def* *diag-on-elements* *diagonal-type* *domain-comp*
factors-through-def *factorsthru* *fibered-product-morphism-type* *x-type*)

have *projection-prop*: $q0 \circ_c ((\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X) \circ_c xx) =$
 $q1 \circ_c ((\text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ f f } X) \circ_c xx)$

using *q0-assms* *q1-assms* *xx-assms* *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*:
comp-associative2)

then have *fun-fact*: $x = ((\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X) \circ_c q1) \circ_c ((\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X) \circ_c xx)$

by (*smt* *assms*(1) *cfunc-type-def* *comp-associative2* *fibered-product-left-proj-def*
fibered-product-left-proj-type *fibered-product-morphism-type* *fibered-product-right-proj-def*
fibered-product-right-proj-type *id-left-unit2* *left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* *left-cart-proj-type*
q1-assms *right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* *right-cart-proj-type* *x-type* *xx-assms*)

then have $q1 \circ_c ((\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X) \circ_c xx) =$
 $q0 \circ_c ((\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X) \circ_c xx)$

using *q0-assms* *q1-assms* *xx-assms* *assms*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* *cfunc-type-def* *comp-associative2* *fibered-product-left-proj-def*
fibered-product-morphism-type *fibered-product-right-proj-def* *left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*
left-cart-proj-type *projection-prop* *right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod* *right-cart-proj-type*
x-type *xx-assms*(2))

then show $q0 \circ_c x = q1 \circ_c x$

by (*smt* *assms*(1) *cfunc-type-def* *codomain-comp* *comp-associative* *fibered-product-left-proj-type*
fun-fact *id-left-unit2* *q0-assms* *q1-assms* *xx-assms*)

qed

then have $q0 = q1$

by (*metis* *assms*(1) *cfunc-type-def* *one-separator-contrapos* *q0-assms*(1) *q1-assms*(1))

then show $\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X = \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ f f } X$

by (*smt* *assms*(1) *comp-associative2* *fibered-product-left-proj-type* *fibered-product-right-proj-type*
id-left-unit2 *id-right-unit2* *q0-assms* *q1-assms*)

qed

lemma *terminal-fib-prod-iso*:

assumes *terminal-object*(*T*)

assumes *f-type*: $f : Y \rightarrow T$

assumes *g-type*: $g : X \rightarrow T$

shows $(X \times_{g \times_c f} Y) \cong X \times_c Y$

proof –

have $(\text{is-pullback } (X \times_{g \times_c f} Y) \text{ } Y \text{ } X \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ g f } Y) \text{ } f$
 $(\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ g f } Y) \text{ } g)$

using *assms* *pullback-iff-product* *fibered-product-is-pullback* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*,
blast)

then have $(\text{is-cart-prod } (X \times_{g \times_c f} Y) \text{ } (\text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ g f } Y) \text{ } (\text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ g f } Y) \text{ } X \text{ } Y)$

using *assms* **by** (*meson* *one-terminal-object* *pullback-iff-product* *terminal-func-type*)

then show *?thesis*

using *assms* **by** (*metis* *canonical-cart-prod-is-cart-prod* *cart-prods-isomorphic*
fst-conv *is-isomorphic-def* *snd-conv*)

qed

```

end
theory Truth
  imports Equalizer
begin

```

8 Truth Values and Characteristic Functions

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 5 (Truth-Value Object) in Halvorson.

axiomatization

```

  true-func :: cfunc (t) and
  false-func :: cfunc (f) and
  truth-value-set :: cset (Ω)

```

where

```

  true-func-type[type-rule]: t ∈c Ω and
  false-func-type[type-rule]: f ∈c Ω and
  true-false-distinct: t ≠ f and
  true-false-only-truth-values: x ∈c Ω ⇒ x = f ∨ x = t and
  characteristic-function-exists:

```

```

    m : B → X ⇒ monomorphism m ⇒ ∃! χ. is-pullback B one X Ω (βB) t m

```

χ

definition *characteristic-func* :: cfunc ⇒ cfunc **where**

```

  characteristic-func m =
    (THE χ. monomorphism m → is-pullback (domain m) one (codomain m) Ω
    (βdomain m) t m χ)

```

lemma *characteristic-func-is-pullback*:

```

  assumes m : B → X monomorphism m
  shows is-pullback B one X Ω (βB) t m (characteristic-func m)

```

proof –

```

  obtain χ where chi-is-pullback: is-pullback B one X Ω (βB) t m χ
  using assms characteristic-function-exists by blast

```

```

  have monomorphism m → is-pullback (domain m) one (codomain m) Ω (βdomain m)
  t m (characteristic-func m)

```

```

  proof (unfold characteristic-func-def, rule theI', rule-tac a=χ in exII, clarify)

```

```

    show is-pullback (domain m) one (codomain m) Ω (βdomain m) t m χ

```

```

    using assms(1) cfunc-type-def chi-is-pullback by auto

```

```

    show ∧x. monomorphism m → is-pullback (domain m) one (codomain m) Ω
    (βdomain m) t m x ⇒ x = χ

```

```

    using assms cfunc-type-def characteristic-function-exists chi-is-pullback by
  fastforce

```

```

  qed

```

```

  then show is-pullback B one X Ω (βB) t m (characteristic-func m)

```

```

    using assms cfunc-type-def by auto

```

qed

```

lemma characteristic-func-type[type-rule]:
  assumes  $m : B \rightarrow X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  shows characteristic-func  $m : X \rightarrow \Omega$ 
proof –
  have is-pullback  $B$  one  $X$   $\Omega$   $(\beta_B)$   $t$   $m$  (characteristic-func  $m$ )
    using assms by (rule characteristic-func-is-pullback)
  then show characteristic-func  $m : X \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    unfolding is-pullback-def by auto
qed

lemma characteristic-func-eq:
  assumes  $m : B \rightarrow X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  shows characteristic-func  $m \circ_c m = t \circ_c \beta_B$ 
  using assms characteristic-func-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def by auto

lemma monomorphism-equalizes-char-func:
  assumes m-type[type-rule]:  $m : B \rightarrow X$  and m-mono[type-rule]: monomorphism
   $m$ 
  shows equalizer  $B$   $m$  (characteristic-func  $m$ )  $(t \circ_c \beta_X)$ 
  unfolding equalizer-def
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac  $x=X$  in exI, rule-tac  $x=\Omega$  in exI, auto)
  have comm:  $t \circ_c \beta_B = \text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m$ 
    using characteristic-func-eq m-mono m-type by auto
  then have  $\beta_B = \beta_X \circ_c m$ 
    using m-type terminal-func-comp by auto
  then show characteristic-func  $m \circ_c m = (t \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c m$ 
    using comm comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
next
  show  $\bigwedge h. h : F \rightarrow X \implies \text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c h = (t \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c h \implies$ 
 $\exists k. k : F \rightarrow B \wedge m \circ_c k = h$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) cfunc-type-def characteristic-func-is-pullback comp-associative comp-type is-pullback-def m-mono)
next
  show  $\bigwedge F k y. \text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m \circ_c k = (t \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c m \circ_c k \implies k : F \rightarrow B \implies y : F \rightarrow B \implies m \circ_c y = m \circ_c k \implies k = y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt m-mono monomorphism-def2)
qed

lemma characteristic-func-true-relative-member:
  assumes  $m : B \rightarrow X$  monomorphism  $m$   $x \in_c X$ 
  assumes characteristic-func-true: characteristic-func  $m \circ_c x = t$ 
  shows  $x \in_X (B, m)$ 
proof (insert assms, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def, auto)
  have is-pullback  $B$  one  $X$   $\Omega$   $(\beta_B)$   $t$   $m$  (characteristic-func  $m$ )
    by (simp add: assms characteristic-func-is-pullback)
  then have  $\exists j. j : \text{one} \rightarrow B \wedge \beta_B \circ_c j = \text{id one} \wedge m \circ_c j = x$ 
    unfolding is-pullback-def using assms by (metis id-right-unit2 id-type true-func-type)
  then show  $\exists j. j : \text{domain } x \rightarrow \text{domain } m \wedge m \circ_c j = x$ 

```

```

    using assms(1,3) cfunc-type-def by auto
qed

lemma characteristic-func-false-not-relative-member:
  assumes  $m : B \rightarrow X$  monomorphism  $m$   $x \in_c X$ 
  assumes characteristic-func-true: characteristic-func  $m \circ_c x = f$ 
  shows  $\neg (x \in_X (B, m))$ 
proof (insert assms, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def, auto)
  fix  $h$ 
  assume  $x$ -def:  $x = m \circ_c h$ 
  assume  $h : \text{domain } (m \circ_c h) \rightarrow \text{domain } m$ 
  then have  $h$ -type:  $h \in_c B$ 
    using assms(1,3) cfunc-type-def  $x$ -def by auto

  have is-pullback  $B$  one  $X$   $\Omega$   $(\beta_B)$   $t$   $m$  (characteristic-func  $m$ )
    by (simp add: assms characteristic-func-is-pullback)
  then have char-m-true: characteristic-func  $m \circ_c m = t \circ_c \beta_B$ 
    unfolding is-pullback-def by auto

  then have characteristic-func  $m \circ_c m \circ_c h = f$ 
    using  $x$ -def characteristic-func-true by auto
  then have (characteristic-func  $m \circ_c m$ )  $\circ_c h = f$ 
    using assms  $h$ -type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  then have  $(t \circ_c \beta_B) \circ_c h = f$ 
    using char-m-true by auto
  then have  $t = f$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative  $h$ -type id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element
      terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type true-func-type)
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed

lemma rel-mem-char-func-true:
  assumes  $m : B \rightarrow X$  monomorphism  $m$   $x \in_c X$ 
  assumes  $x \in_X (B, m)$ 
  shows characteristic-func  $m \circ_c x = t$ 
  by (meson assms(4) characteristic-func-false-not-relative-member characteristic-
    tic-func-type comp-type relative-member-def2 true-false-only-truth-values)

lemma not-rel-mem-char-func-false:
  assumes  $m : B \rightarrow X$  monomorphism  $m$   $x \in_c X$ 
  assumes  $\neg (x \in_X (B, m))$ 
  shows characteristic-func  $m \circ_c x = f$ 
  by (meson assms characteristic-func-true-relative-member characteristic-func-type
    comp-type true-false-only-truth-values)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.2 in Halvorson.

lemma card  $\{x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega\} = 4$ 
proof -

```

```

have { $x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega$ } = { $\langle t, t \rangle, \langle t, f \rangle, \langle f, t \rangle, \langle f, f \rangle$ }
  by (auto simp add: cfunc-prod-type true-func-type false-func-type,
      smt cfunc-prod-unique comp-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type
true-false-only-truth-values)
  then show  $\text{card } \{x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega\} = 4$ 
    using element-pair-eq false-func-type true-false-distinct true-func-type by auto
qed

```

9 Equality Predicate

definition *eq-pred* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

eq-pred $X = (\text{THE } \chi. \text{is-pullback } X \text{ one } (X \times_c X) \Omega (\beta_X) t (\text{diagonal } X) \chi)$

lemma *eq-pred-pullback*: *is-pullback* $X \text{ one } (X \times_c X) \Omega (\beta_X) t (\text{diagonal } X)$
(eq-pred X)

unfolding *eq-pred-def*

by (*rule the1I2, simp-all add: characteristic-function-exists diag-mono diagonal-type*)

lemma *eq-pred-type*[*type-rule*]:

eq-pred $X : X \times_c X \rightarrow \Omega$

using *eq-pred-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def* **by auto**

lemma *eq-pred-square*: *eq-pred* $X \circ_c \text{diagonal } X = t \circ_c \beta_X$

using *eq-pred-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def* **by auto**

lemma *eq-pred-iff-eq*:

assumes $x : \text{one} \rightarrow X \ y : \text{one} \rightarrow X$

shows $(x = y) = (\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t)$

proof *auto*

assume *x-eq-y*: $x = y$

have $(\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c X, \text{id}_c X \rangle) \circ_c y = (t \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c y$

using *eq-pred-square unfolding diagonal-def* **by auto**

then have $\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle y, y \rangle = (t \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c y$

using *assms diagonal-type id-type*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 diagonal-def id-left-unit2*)

then show $\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle y, y \rangle = t$

using *assms id-type*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type*
terminal-func-unique id-right-unit2)

next

assume $\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t$

then have $\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t \circ_c \text{id one}$

using *id-right-unit2 true-func-type* **by auto**

then obtain j **where** *j-type*: $j : \text{one} \rightarrow X$ **and** $\text{diagonal } X \circ_c j = \langle x, y \rangle$

using *eq-pred-pullback assms unfolding is-pullback-def* **by** (*metis cfunc-prod-type id-type*)

then have $\langle j, j \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$


```

    using diag-on-elements by auto
  then show  $x = y$ 
    using assms element-pair-eq j-type by auto
qed

lemma eq-pred-iff-eq-conv:
  assumes  $x : one \rightarrow X$   $y : one \rightarrow X$ 
  shows  $(x \neq y) = (eq\_pred\ X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = f)$ 
proof(auto)
  assume  $x \neq y$ 
  then show  $eq\_pred\ X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = f$ 
    using assms eq-pred-iff-eq true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs,
    blast)
next
  show  $eq\_pred\ X \circ_c \langle y, y \rangle = f \implies x = y \implies False$ 
    by (metis assms(1) eq-pred-iff-eq true-false-distinct)
qed

lemma eq-pred-iff-eq-conv2:
  assumes  $x : one \rightarrow X$   $y : one \rightarrow X$ 
  shows  $(x \neq y) = (eq\_pred\ X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle \neq t)$ 
  using assms eq-pred-iff-eq by presburger

lemma eq-pred-of-monomorphism:
  assumes  $m\_type[type\_rule]: m : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $m\_mono: monomorphism\ m$ 
  shows  $eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c (m \times_f m) = eq\_pred\ X$ 
proof (rule one-separator[where  $X=X \times_c X$ , where  $Y=\Omega$ ])
  show  $eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c m \times_f m : X \times_c X \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $eq\_pred\ X : X \times_c X \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in_c X \times_c X$ 
  then obtain  $x1\ x2$  where  $x\_def: x = \langle x1, x2 \rangle$  and  $x1\_type[type\_rule]: x1 \in_c X$ 
  and  $x2\_type[type\_rule]: x2 \in_c X$ 
  using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  show  $(eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c m \times_f m) \circ_c x = eq\_pred\ X \circ_c x$ 
proof (unfold x-def, cases  $(eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c m \times_f m) \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = t$ )
  assume LHS:  $(eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c m \times_f m) \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = t$ 
  then have  $eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c (m \times_f m) \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  then have  $eq\_pred\ Y \circ_c \langle m \circ_c x1, m \circ_c x2 \rangle = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
  then have  $m \circ_c x1 = m \circ_c x2$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, simp add: eq-pred-iff-eq)
  then have  $x1 = x2$ 
    using m-mono m-type monomorphism-def3 x1-type x2-type by blast
  then have RHS:  $eq\_pred\ X \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = t$ 

```

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs, insert eq-pred-iff-eq, blast)
  show (eq-pred  $Y \circ_c m \times_f m$ )  $\circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = eq\text{-}pred\ X \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle$ 
    using LHS RHS by auto
next
  assume (eq-pred  $Y \circ_c m \times_f m$ )  $\circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle \neq t$ 
  then have LHS: (eq-pred  $Y \circ_c m \times_f m$ )  $\circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson true-false-only-truth-values)
  then have eq-pred  $Y \circ_c (m \times_f m) \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  then have eq-pred  $Y \circ_c \langle m \circ_c x1, m \circ_c x2 \rangle = f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
  then have  $m \circ_c x1 \neq m \circ_c x2$ 
    using eq-pred-iff-eq-conv by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, blast)
  then have  $x1 \neq x2$ 
    by auto
  then have RHS: eq-pred  $X \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = f$ 
    using eq-pred-iff-eq-conv by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  show (eq-pred  $Y \circ_c m \times_f m$ )  $\circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle = eq\text{-}pred\ X \circ_c \langle x1, x2 \rangle$ 
    using LHS RHS by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *eq-pred-true-extract-right*:
 assumes $x \in_c X$
 shows eq-pred $X \circ_c \langle x \circ_c \beta_X, id\ X \rangle \circ_c x = t$
 using assms cart-prod-extract-right eq-pred-iff-eq by fastforce

lemma *eq-pred-false-extract-right*:
 assumes $x \in_c X$ $y \in_c X$ $x \neq y$
 shows eq-pred $X \circ_c \langle x \circ_c \beta_X, id\ X \rangle \circ_c y = f$
 using assms cart-prod-extract-right eq-pred-iff-eq true-false-only-truth-values by
 (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)

10 Properties of Monomorphisms and Epimorphisms

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.2.3 in Halvorson.

lemma *regmono-is-mono*: *regular-monomorphism*(m) \implies *monomorphism*(m)
 using equalizer-is-monomorphism regular-monomorphism-def by blast

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.4 in Halvorson.

lemma *mono-is-regmono*:
 shows *monomorphism*(m) \implies *regular-monomorphism*(m)
 unfolding *monomorphism-def* *regular-monomorphism-def*
 using *cfunc-type-def* *characteristic-func-type* *monomorphism-def* *domain-comp*
terminal-func-type *true-func-type* *monomorphism-equalizes-char-func*
 by (rule-tac $x = characteristic\text{-}func\ m$ in exI , rule-tac $x = t \circ_c \beta_{codomain(m)}$ in
 exI , auto)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.5 in Halvorson.

lemma *epi-mon-is-iso*:
assumes *epimorphism*(*f*) *monomorphism*(*f*)
shows *isomorphism*(*f*)
using *assms epi-regmon-is-iso mono-is-regmono* **by** *auto*

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.8 in Halvorsen.

lemma *epi-is-surj*:
assumes *p*: $X \rightarrow Y$ *epimorphism*(*p*)
shows *surjective*(*p*)
unfolding *surjective-def*
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume *a1*: $\neg (\forall y. y \in_c \text{codomain } p \longrightarrow (\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } p \wedge p \circ_c x = y))$
have $\exists y. y \in_c Y \wedge \neg (\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge p \circ_c x = y)$
using *a1 assms(1) cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*
then obtain *y0* **where** *y-def*: $y0 \in_c Y \wedge (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow p \circ_c x \neq y0)$
by *auto*
have *mono*: *monomorphism*(*y0*)
using *element-monomorphism y-def* **by** *blast*
obtain *g* **where** *g-def*: $g = \text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle y0 \circ_c \beta_Y, \text{id } Y \rangle$
by *simp*
have *g-right-arg-type*: $\langle y0 \circ_c \beta_Y, \text{id } Y \rangle : Y \rightarrow (Y \times_c Y)$
by (*meson cfunc-prod-type comp-type id-type terminal-func-type y-def*)
then have *g-type[type-rule]*: $g : Y \rightarrow \Omega$
using *comp-type eq-pred-type g-def* **by** *blast*

have *gpx-Eqs-f*: $\forall x. (x \in_c X \longrightarrow g \circ_c p \circ_c x = f)$
proof(*rule ccontr, auto*)
fix *x*
assume *x-type*: $x \in_c X$
assume *bwoc*: $g \circ_c p \circ_c x \neq f$

show *False*
by (*smt assms(1) bwoc cfunc-type-def eq-pred-false-extract-right comp-associative comp-type eq-pred-type g-def g-right-arg-type x-type y-def*)
qed
obtain *h* **where** *h-def*: $h = f \circ_c \beta_Y$ **and** *h-type[type-rule]*: $h : Y \rightarrow \Omega$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
have *hpx-egs-f*: $\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow h \circ_c p \circ_c x = f$
by (*smt assms(1) cfunc-type-def codomain-comp comp-associative false-func-type h-def id-right-unit2 id-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique*)
have *gp-egs-hp*: $g \circ_c p = h \circ_c p$
proof(*rule one-separator[where X=X,where Y=Ω]*)
show $g \circ_c p : X \rightarrow \Omega$
using *assms* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $h \circ_c p : X \rightarrow \Omega$
using *assms* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies (g \circ_c p) \circ_c x = (h \circ_c p) \circ_c x$
using *assms(1) comp-associative2 g-type gpx-Eqs-f h-type hpx-egs-f* **by** *auto*
qed

```

have g-not-h:  $g \neq h$ 
proof -
  have f1:  $\forall c. \beta_{\text{codomain } c} \circ_c c = \beta_{\text{domain } c}$ 
    by (simp add: cfunc-type-def terminal-func-comp)
  have f2:  $\text{domain } \langle y0 \circ_c \beta_{Y, id_c} Y \rangle = Y$ 
    using cfunc-type-def g-right-arg-type by blast
  have f3:  $\text{codomain } \langle y0 \circ_c \beta_{Y, id_c} Y \rangle = Y \times_c Y$ 
    using cfunc-type-def g-right-arg-type by blast
  have f4:  $\text{codomain } y0 = Y$ 
    using cfunc-type-def y-def by presburger
  have  $\forall c. \text{domain } (eq\_pred\ c) = c \times_c c$ 
    using cfunc-type-def eq-pred-type by auto
  then have  $g \circ_c y0 \neq f$ 
    using f4 f3 f2 by (metis (no-types) eq-pred-true-extract-right comp-associative
g-def true-false-distinct y-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using f1 by (metis (no-types) cfunc-type-def comp-associative false-func-type
h-def id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element terminal-func-type y-def)
qed
  then show False
    using gp-eqs-hp assms cfunc-type-def epimorphism-def g-type h-type by auto
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.9 in Halvorson.

```

lemma pullback-of-epi-is-epi1:
assumes f:  $Y \rightarrow Z$  epimorphism f is-pullback A Y X Z q1 f q0 g
shows epimorphism q0
proof -
  have surj-f: surjective f
    using assms(1,2) epi-is-surj by auto
  have surjective (q0)
    unfolding surjective-def
  proof (auto)
    fix y
    assume y-type:  $y \in_c \text{codomain } q0$ 
    then have codomain-gy:  $g \circ_c y \in_c Z$ 
      using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then have z-exists:  $\exists z. z \in_c Y \wedge f \circ_c z = g \circ_c y$ 
      using assms(1) cfunc-type-def surj-f surjective-def by auto
    then obtain z where z-def:  $z \in_c Y \wedge f \circ_c z = g \circ_c y$ 
      by blast
    then have  $\exists! k. k: \text{one} \rightarrow A \wedge q0 \circ_c k = y \wedge q1 \circ_c k = z$ 
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def y-type)
    then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } q0 \wedge q0 \circ_c x = y$ 
      using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def by auto
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using surjective-is-epimorphism by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.9b in Halvorson.

```

lemma pullback-of-epi-is-epi2:
assumes  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  epimorphism  $g$  is-pullback  $A \ Y \ X \ Z \ q1 \ f \ q0 \ g$ 
shows epimorphism  $q1$ 
proof –
  have surj-g: surjective  $g$ 
    using assms(1) assms(2) epi-is-surj by auto
  have surjective ( $q1$ )
    unfolding surjective-def
  proof(auto)
    fix  $y$ 
    assume  $y\text{-type}$ :  $y \in_c \text{codomain } q1$ 
    then have codomain-gy:  $f \circ_c y \in_c Z$ 
      using assms(3) cfunc-type-def comp-type is-pullback-def by auto
    then have z-exists:  $\exists z. z \in_c X \wedge g \circ_c z = f \circ_c y$ 
      using assms(1) cfunc-type-def surj-g surjective-def by auto
    then obtain  $z$  where z-def:  $z \in_c X \wedge g \circ_c z = f \circ_c y$ 
      by blast
    then have  $\exists! k. k: \text{one} \rightarrow A \wedge q0 \circ_c k = z \wedge q1 \circ_c k = y$ 
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def  $y\text{-type}$ )

    then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } q1 \wedge q1 \circ_c x = y$ 
      using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def by auto
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using surjective-is-epimorphism by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.9c in Halvorson.

```

lemma pullback-of-mono-is-mono1:
assumes  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  monomorphism  $f$  is-pullback  $A \ Y \ X \ Z \ q1 \ f \ q0 \ g$ 
shows monomorphism  $q0$ 
proof(unfold monomorphism-def2, auto)
  fix  $u \ v \ Q \ a \ x$ 
  assume  $u\text{-type}$ :  $u : Q \rightarrow a$ 
  assume  $v\text{-type}$ :  $v : Q \rightarrow a$ 
  assume  $q0\text{-type}$ :  $q0 : a \rightarrow x$ 
  assume equals:  $q0 \circ_c u = q0 \circ_c v$ 

  have a-is-A:  $a = A$ 
    using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def q0-type by force
  have x-is-X:  $x = X$ 
    using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def q0-type by fastforce
  have  $u\text{-type2}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $u : Q \rightarrow A$ 
    using a-is-A  $u\text{-type}$  by blast
  have  $v\text{-type2}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $v : Q \rightarrow A$ 
    using a-is-A  $v\text{-type}$  by blast
  have  $q1\text{-type2}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $q0 : A \rightarrow X$ 
    using a-is-A q0-type x-is-X by blast

```

```

have eqn1:  $g \circ_c (q0 \circ_c u) = f \circ_c (q1 \circ_c v)$ 
proof -
  have  $g \circ_c (q0 \circ_c u) = g \circ_c q0 \circ_c v$ 
  by (simp add: equals)
  also have  $\dots = f \circ_c (q1 \circ_c v)$ 
  using assms(3) cfunc-type-def comp-associative is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: calculation)
qed

have eqn2:  $q1 \circ_c u = q1 \circ_c v$ 
proof -
  have  $f1: f \circ_c q1 \circ_c u = g \circ_c q0 \circ_c u$ 
  using assms(3) comp-associative2 is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have  $\dots = g \circ_c q0 \circ_c v$ 
  by (simp add: equals)
  also have  $\dots = f \circ_c q1 \circ_c v$ 
  using eqn1 equals by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) f1 assms(2,3) eqn1 is-pullback-def
monomorphism-def3)
qed

have uniqueness:  $\exists! j. (j : Q \rightarrow A \wedge q1 \circ_c j = q1 \circ_c v \wedge q0 \circ_c j = q0 \circ_c u)$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(3) eqn1 is-pullback-def)
then show  $u = v$ 
using eqn2 equals uniqueness by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.9d in Halvorson.

```

lemma pullback-of-mono-is-mono2:
assumes  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  monomorphism  $g$  is-pullback  $A \ Y \ X \ Z \ q1 \ f \ q0 \ g$ 
shows monomorphism  $q1$ 
proof (unfold monomorphism-def2, auto)
  fix  $u \ v \ Q \ a \ y$ 
  assume u-type:  $u : Q \rightarrow a$ 
  assume v-type:  $v : Q \rightarrow a$ 
  assume q1-type:  $q1 : a \rightarrow y$ 
  assume equals:  $q1 \circ_c u = q1 \circ_c v$ 

  have a-is-A:  $a = A$ 
  using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def q1-type by force
  have y-is-Y:  $y = Y$ 
  using assms(3) cfunc-type-def is-pullback-def q1-type by fastforce
  have u-type2[type-rule]:  $u : Q \rightarrow A$ 
  using a-is-A u-type by blast
  have v-type2[type-rule]:  $v : Q \rightarrow A$ 

```

```

    using a-is-A v-type by blast
  have q1-type2[type-rule]: q1 : A → Y
    using a-is-A q1-type y-is-Y by blast

  have eqn1: f ∘c (q1 ∘c u) = g ∘c (q0 ∘c v)
  proof -
    have f ∘c (q1 ∘c u) = f ∘c q1 ∘c v
      by (simp add: equals)
    also have ... = g ∘c (q0 ∘c v)
      using assms(3) cfunc-type-def comp-associative is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed

  have eqn2: q0 ∘c u = q0 ∘c v
  proof -
    have f1: g ∘c q0 ∘c u = f ∘c q1 ∘c u
      using assms(3) comp-associative2 is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = f ∘c q1 ∘c v
      by (simp add: equals)
    also have ... = g ∘c q0 ∘c v
      using eqn1 equals by fastforce
    then show ?thesis
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) f1 assms(2,3) eqn1 is-pullback-def
monomorphism-def3)
  qed
  have uniqueness: ∃! j. (j : Q → A ∧ q0 ∘c j = q0 ∘c v ∧ q1 ∘c j = q1 ∘c u)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(3) eqn1 is-pullback-def)
  then show u = v
    using eqn2 equals uniqueness by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  qed

```

11 Fiber Over an Element and its Connection to the Fibered Product

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.2.6 in Halvorson.

definition *fiber* :: *cfunc* ⇒ *cfunc* ⇒ *cset* (⁻¹{-} [100,100]100) **where**
 $f^{-1}\{y\} = (f^{-1}\langle one \rangle_y)$

definition *fiber-morphism* :: *cfunc* ⇒ *cfunc* ⇒ *cfunc* **where**
fiber-morphism *f y* = *left-cart-proj* (*domain f*) *one* ∘_c *inverse-image-mapping f one y*

lemma *fiber-morphism-type*[*type-rule*]:
 assumes *f* : *X* → *Y* *y* ∈_c *Y*
 shows *fiber-morphism f y* : *f*⁻¹{*y*} → *X*
 unfolding *fiber-def fiber-morphism-def*

using *assms cfunc-type-def element-monomorphism inverse-image-subobject sub-object-of-def2*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

lemma *fiber-subset:*

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ y \in_c Y$

shows $(f^{-1}\{y\}, \text{fiber-morphism } f \ y) \subseteq_c X$

unfolding *fiber-def fiber-morphism-def*

using *assms cfunc-type-def element-monomorphism inverse-image-subobject inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

lemma *fiber-morphism-monomorphism:*

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ y \in_c Y$

shows *monomorphism* (*fiber-morphism* $f \ y$)

using *assms cfunc-type-def element-monomorphism fiber-morphism-def inverse-image-monomorphism*
by *auto*

lemma *fiber-morphism-eq:*

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ y \in_c Y$

shows $f \circ_c \text{fiber-morphism } f \ y = y \circ_c \beta_{f^{-1}\{y\}}$

proof –

have $f \circ_c \text{fiber-morphism } f \ y = f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) \ \text{one} \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f \ \text{one } y$

unfolding *fiber-morphism-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = y \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \circ_c \text{inverse-image-mapping } f \ \text{one } y$

using *assms cfunc-type-def element-monomorphism inverse-image-mapping-eq*

by *auto*

also have $\dots = y \circ_c \beta_{f^{-1}(\text{one})} y$

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis element-monomorphism terminal-func-unique*)

also have $\dots = y \circ_c \beta_{f^{-1}\{y\}}$

unfolding *fiber-def* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.7 in Halvorsen.

lemma *not-surjective-has-some-empty-preimage:*

assumes $p\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: p: X \rightarrow Y$ **and** $p\text{-not-surj}: \neg \text{surjective } p$

shows $\exists y. y \in_c Y \wedge \text{is-empty}(p^{-1}\{y\})$

proof –

have *nonempty*: *nonempty*(Y)

using *assms cfunc-type-def nonempty-def surjective-def* **by** *auto*

obtain $y0$ **where** $y0\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y0 \in_c Y \ \forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow p \circ_c x \neq y0$

using *assms cfunc-type-def surjective-def* **by** *auto*

have $\neg \text{nonempty}(p^{-1}\{y0\})$

proof (*rule ccontr, auto*)

assume $a1: \text{nonempty}(p^{-1}\{y0\})$


```

obtain  $z$  where  $z\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $z \in_c p^{-1}\{y0\}$ 
  using  $a1$   $nonempty\text{-def}$  by  $blast$ 
have  $fiber\text{-}z\text{-type}$ :  $fiber\text{-morphism } p \ y0 \circ_c z \in_c X$ 
  using  $assms(1)$   $comp\text{-type } fiber\text{-morphism-type } y0\text{-type } z\text{-type}$  by  $auto$ 
have  $contradiction$ :  $p \circ_c fiber\text{-morphism } p \ y0 \circ_c z = y0$ 
  by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}$ ,  $smt(z3)$   $comp\text{-associative2 } fiber\text{-morphism-eq } id\text{-right-unit2}$ 
 $id\text{-type } one\text{-unique-element } terminal\text{-func-comp } terminal\text{-func-type}$ )
have  $p \circ_c (fiber\text{-morphism } p \ y0 \circ_c z) \neq y0$ 
  by ( $simp$   $add$ :  $fiber\text{-}z\text{-type } y0\text{-type}$ )
then show  $False$ 
  using  $contradiction$  by  $blast$ 
qed
then show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $is\text{-empty-def } nonempty\text{-def } y0\text{-type}$  by  $blast$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $fiber\text{-iso-fibered-prod}$ :
  assumes  $f\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assumes  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $y : one \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows  $f^{-1}\{y\} \cong X_{f \times_c y one}$ 
  using  $element\text{-monomorphism } equalizers\text{-isomorphic } f\text{-type } fiber\text{-def } fibered\text{-product-equalizer}$ 
 $inverse\text{-image-is-equalizer } is\text{-isomorphic-def } y\text{-type}$  by  $moura$ 

```

```

lemma  $fib\text{-prod-left-id-iso}$ :
  assumes  $g : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  shows  $(X_{id(X) \times_c g} Y) \cong Y$ 
proof –
  have  $is\text{-pullback}$ :  $is\text{-pullback } (X_{id(X) \times_c g} Y) \ Y \ X \ X \ (fibered\text{-product-right-proj}$ 
 $X \ (id(X)) \ g \ Y) \ g \ (fibered\text{-product-left-proj } X \ (id(X)) \ g \ Y) \ (id(X))$ 
  using  $assms$   $fibered\text{-product-is-pullback}$  by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}$ ,  $blast$ )
  then have  $mono$ :  $monomorphism(fibered\text{-product-right-proj } X \ (id(X)) \ g \ Y)$ 
  using  $assms$  by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}$ ,  $meson$   $id\text{-isomorphism } iso\text{-imp-epi-and-monic}$ 
 $pullback\text{-of-mono-is-mono2}$ )
  have  $epimorphism(fibered\text{-product-right-proj } X \ (id(X)) \ g \ Y)$ 
  by ( $meson$   $id\text{-isomorphism } id\text{-type } is\text{-pullback } iso\text{-imp-epi-and-monic } pullback\text{-of-epi-is-epi2}$ )
  then have  $isomorphism(fibered\text{-product-right-proj } X \ (id(X)) \ g \ Y)$ 
  by ( $simp$   $add$ :  $epi\text{-mon-is-iso } mono$ )
  then show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $assms$   $fibered\text{-product-right-proj-type } id\text{-type } is\text{-isomorphic-def}$  by  $blast$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $fib\text{-prod-right-id-iso}$ :
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows  $(X_{f \times_c id(Y)} Y) \cong X$ 
proof –
  have  $is\text{-pullback}$ :  $is\text{-pullback } (X_{f \times_c id(Y)} Y) \ Y \ X \ Y \ (fibered\text{-product-right-proj}$ 
 $X \ f \ (id(Y)) \ Y) \ (id(Y)) \ (fibered\text{-product-left-proj } X \ f \ (id(Y)) \ Y) \ f$ 
  using  $assms$   $fibered\text{-product-is-pullback}$  by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}$ ,  $blast$ )

```

```

then have mono: monomorphism(fibred-product-left-proj X f (id(Y)) Y)
using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson id-isomorphism is-pullback iso-imp-epi-and-monic
pullback-of-mono-is-mono1)
have epimorphism(fibred-product-left-proj X f (id(Y)) Y)
by (meson id-isomorphism id-type is-pullback iso-imp-epi-and-monic pullback-of-epi-is-epi1)
then have isomorphism(fibred-product-left-proj X f (id(Y)) Y)
by (simp add: epi-mon-is-iso mono)
then show ?thesis
using assms fibred-product-left-proj-type id-type is-isomorphic-def by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to the discussion at the top of page 42 in Halvorson.

lemma *kernel-pair-connection*:

```

assumes f-type[type-rule]: f : X → Y and g-type[type-rule]: g : X → E
assumes g-epi: epimorphism g
assumes h-g-eq-f: h ∘c g = f
assumes g-eq: g ∘c fibred-product-left-proj X f f X = g ∘c fibred-product-right-proj
X f f X
assumes h-type[type-rule]: h : E → Y
shows ∃! b. b : X  $\xrightarrow{f \times_c f}$  X → E  $\times_{c,h}$  E ∧
fibred-product-left-proj E h h E ∘c b = g ∘c fibred-product-left-proj X f f X ∧
fibred-product-right-proj E h h E ∘c b = g ∘c fibred-product-right-proj X f f X
∧
epimorphism b
proof –
have gxg-fpmorph-eq: (h ∘c left-cart-proj E E) ∘c (g ×f g) ∘c fibred-product-morphism
X f f X
= (h ∘c right-cart-proj E E) ∘c (g ×f g) ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f f X
proof –
have (h ∘c left-cart-proj E E) ∘c (g ×f g) ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f f X
= h ∘c (left-cart-proj E E ∘c (g ×f g)) ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f f X
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = h ∘c (g ∘c left-cart-proj X X) ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f
f X
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
also have ... = (h ∘c g) ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f
f X
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
also have ... = f ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f f X
by (simp add: h-g-eq-f)
also have ... = f ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c fibred-product-morphism X f f X
using f-type fibred-product-left-proj-def fibred-product-proj-eq fibred-product-right-proj-def
by auto
also have ... = (h ∘c g) ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c fibred-product-morphism X
f f X
by (simp add: h-g-eq-f)
also have ... = h ∘c (g ∘c right-cart-proj X X) ∘c fibred-product-morphism X
f f X

```

by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
 also have ... = $h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } E \ E \circ_c (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
 also have ... = $(h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } E \ E) \circ_c (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
 then show ?thesis
 using calculation by auto
 qed
 have h-equalizer: equalizer ($E \times_{h \times c h} E$) (fibered-product-morphism $E \ h \ h \ E$) ($h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } E \ E$) ($h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } E \ E$)
 using fibered-product-morphism-equalizer h-type by auto
 then have $\forall j \ F. j : F \rightarrow E \times_c E \wedge (h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } E \ E) \circ_c j = (h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } E \ E) \circ_c j \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists ! k. k : F \rightarrow E \times_{h \times c h} E \wedge \text{fibered-product-morphism } E \ h \ h \ E \circ_c k = j)$
 unfolding equalizer-def using cfunc-type-def fibered-product-morphism-type h-type by (smt (verit))
 then have $(g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X : X \times_{f \times c f} X \rightarrow E \times_c E \wedge (h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } E \ E) \circ_c (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X = (h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } E \ E) \circ_c (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists ! k. k : X \times_{f \times c f} X \rightarrow E \times_{h \times c h} E \wedge \text{fibered-product-morphism } E \ h \ h \ E \circ_c k = (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X)$
 by auto
 then obtain b where b-type[type-rule]: $b : X \times_{f \times c f} X \rightarrow E \times_{h \times c h} E$
 and b-eq: fibered-product-morphism $E \ h \ h \ E \circ_c b = (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \ f \ f \ X$
 by (meson cfunc-cross-prod-type comp-type f-type fibered-product-morphism-type g-type gxg-fpmorph-eq)

 have is-pullback ($X \times_{f \times c f} X$) ($X \times_c X$) ($E \times_{h \times c h} E$) ($E \times_c E$)
 (fibered-product-morphism $X \ f \ f \ X$) ($g \times_f g$) b (fibered-product-morphism $E \ h \ h \ E$)
 proof (insert b-eq, unfold is-pullback-def, typecheck-cfuncs, clarify)
 fix Z k j
 assume k-type[type-rule]: $k : Z \rightarrow X \times_c X$ and h-type[type-rule]: $j : Z \rightarrow E \times_{h \times c h} E$
 assume k-h-eq: $(g \times_f g) \circ_c k = \text{fibered-product-morphism } E \ h \ h \ E \circ_c j$

 have left-k-right-k-eq: $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c k = f \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c k$
 proof –
 have $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c k = h \circ_c g \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c k$
 by (smt (z3) assms(6) comp-associative2 comp-type g-type h-g-eq-f k-type left-cart-proj-type)
 also have ... = $h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } E \ E \circ_c (g \times_f g) \circ_c k$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
 also have ... = $h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } E \ E \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } E \ h \ h \ E \circ_c j$

```

    by (simp add: k-h-eq)
    also have ... = ((h ∘c left-cart-proj E E) ∘c fibered-product-morphism E h h
E) ∘c j
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
    also have ... = ((h ∘c right-cart-proj E E) ∘c fibered-product-morphism E h h
E) ∘c j
    using equalizer-def h-equalizer by auto
    also have ... = h ∘c right-cart-proj E E ∘c fibered-product-morphism E h h E
    ∘c j
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
    also have ... = h ∘c right-cart-proj E E ∘c (g ×f g) ∘c k
    by (simp add: k-h-eq)
    also have ... = h ∘c g ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c k
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
    also have ... = f ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c k
    using assms(6) comp-associative2 comp-type g-type h-g-eq-f k-type right-cart-proj-type
by blast
    then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

have is-pullback (X f×cf X) X X Y
  (fibered-product-right-proj X f f X) f (fibered-product-left-proj X f f X) f
  by (simp add: f-type fibered-product-is-pullback)
then have right-cart-proj X X ∘c k : Z → X ⇒ left-cart-proj X X ∘c k : Z
→ X ⇒ f ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c k = f ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c k ⇒
(∃!j. j : Z → X f×cf X ∧
  fibered-product-right-proj X f f X ∘c j = right-cart-proj X X ∘c k
  ∧ fibered-product-left-proj X f f X ∘c j = left-cart-proj X X ∘c k)
  unfolding is-pullback-def by auto
then obtain z where z-type[type-rule]: z : Z → X f×cf X
  and k-right-eq: fibered-product-right-proj X f f X ∘c z = right-cart-proj X X
    ∘c k
  and k-left-eq: fibered-product-left-proj X f f X ∘c z = left-cart-proj X X ∘c k
  and z-unique: ∧j. j : Z → X f×cf X
    ∧ fibered-product-right-proj X f f X ∘c j = right-cart-proj X X ∘c k
    ∧ fibered-product-left-proj X f f X ∘c j = left-cart-proj X X ∘c k ⇒ z = j
  using left-k-right-k-eq by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

have k-eq: fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c z = k
  using k-right-eq k-left-eq
  unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def fibered-product-left-proj-def
  by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-prod-unique)

show ∃!l. l : Z → X f×cf X ∧ fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c l = k ∧ b
    ∘c l = j
  proof auto
    show ∃l. l : Z → X f×cf X ∧ fibered-product-morphism X f f X ∘c l = k ∧
    b ∘c l = j

```

```

proof (rule-tac x=z in exI, auto simp add: k-eq z-type)
  have fibered-product-morphism E h h E  $\circ_c$  j = (g  $\times_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  k
    by (simp add: k-h-eq)
  also have ... = (g  $\times_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-morphism X f f X  $\circ_c$  z
    by (simp add: k-eq)
  also have ... = fibered-product-morphism E h h E  $\circ_c$  b  $\circ_c$  z
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: b-eq comp-associative2)
  then show b  $\circ_c$  z = j
    using assms(6) calculation cfunc-type-def fibered-product-morphism-monomorphism
    fibered-product-morphism-type h-type monomorphism-def
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  qed
next
  fix j y
  assume j-type[type-rule]: j : Z  $\rightarrow$  X  $_f \times_{cf}$  X and y-type[type-rule]: y : Z  $\rightarrow$  X
   $_f \times_{cf}$  X
  assume fibered-product-morphism X f f X  $\circ_c$  y = fibered-product-morphism X
  f f X  $\circ_c$  j
  then show j = y
    using fibered-product-morphism-monomorphism fibered-product-morphism-type
    monomorphism-def cfunc-type-def f-type
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  qed
qed
then have b-epi: epimorphism b
  using g-epi g-type cfunc-cross-prod-type cfunc-cross-prod-surj pullback-of-epi-is-epi1
  h-type
  by (meson epi-is-surj surjective-is-epimorphism)

  have existence:  $\exists b. b : X \mathrel{f \times_{cf}} X \rightarrow E \mathrel{h \times_{ch}} E \wedge$ 
    fibered-product-left-proj E h h E  $\circ_c$  b = g  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-left-proj X f f X
   $\wedge$ 
    fibered-product-right-proj E h h E  $\circ_c$  b = g  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-right-proj X f f
  X  $\wedge$ 
    epimorphism b
  proof (rule-tac x=b in exI, auto)
    show b : X  $_f \times_{cf}$  X  $\rightarrow$  E  $_h \times_{ch}$  E
      by typecheck-cfuncs
    show fibered-product-left-proj E h h E  $\circ_c$  b = g  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-left-proj X f
  f X
  proof –
    have fibered-product-left-proj E h h E  $\circ_c$  b
      = left-cart-proj E E  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-morphism E h h E  $\circ_c$  b
      unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:
  comp-associative2)
    also have ... = left-cart-proj E E  $\circ_c$  (g  $\times_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-morphism X
  f f X
      by (simp add: b-eq)
    also have ... = g  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X X  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-morphism X f f X

```

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
    also have ... =  $g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X$ 
      unfolding fibered-product-left-proj-def by (typecheck-cfuncs)
    then show ?thesis
      using calculation by auto
  qed
  show fibered-product-right-proj  $E \text{ h h } E \circ_c b = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ f f } X$ 
proof -
  thm b-eq fibered-product-right-proj-def
  have fibered-product-right-proj  $E \text{ h h } E \circ_c b$ 
    = right-cart-proj  $E \text{ E } \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } E \text{ h h } E \circ_c b$ 
    unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:
comp-associative2)
  also have ... = right-cart-proj  $E \text{ E } \circ_c (g \times_f g) \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism}$ 
 $X \text{ f f } X$ 
    by (simp add: b-eq)
  also have ... =  $g \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ X } \circ_c \text{fibered-product-morphism } X \text{ f f } X$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
  also have ... =  $g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ f f } X$ 
    unfolding fibered-product-right-proj-def by (typecheck-cfuncs)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
  qed
  show epimorphism b
    by (simp add: b-epi)
  qed
  show  $\exists ! b. b : X \times_{f \times_c f} X \rightarrow E \times_{h \times_c h} E \wedge$ 
    fibered-product-left-proj  $E \text{ h h } E \circ_c b = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ f f } X$ 
 $\wedge$ 
    fibered-product-right-proj  $E \text{ h h } E \circ_c b = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ f f } X$ 
 $\wedge$ 
    epimorphism b
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis epimorphism-def2 existence g-eq iso-imp-epi-and-monic
kern-pair-proj-iso-TFAE2 monomorphism-def3)
  qed

```

12 Set Subtraction

definition *set-subtraction* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cset$ (**infix** \setminus 60) **where**
 $Y \setminus X = (\text{SOME } E. \exists m'. \text{equalizer } E \text{ } m' (\text{characteristic-func } (\text{snd } X)) (\text{f } \circ_c \beta_Y))$

lemma *set-subtraction-equalizer*:

```

  assumes  $m : X \rightarrow Y$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  shows  $\exists m'. \text{equalizer } (Y \setminus (X, m)) \text{ } m' (\text{characteristic-func } m) (\text{f } \circ_c \beta_Y)$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists E \text{ } m'. \text{equalizer } E \text{ } m' (\text{characteristic-func } m) (\text{f } \circ_c \beta_Y)$ 
    using assms equalizer-exists by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

```

then have $\exists m'. \text{equalizer } (Y \setminus (X, m)) m' (\text{characteristic-func } (\text{snd } (X, m)))$
 $(f \circ_c \beta_Y)$
by $(\text{unfold set-subtraction-def}, \text{rule-tac someI-ex}, \text{auto})$
then show $\exists m'. \text{equalizer } (Y \setminus (X, m)) m' (\text{characteristic-func } m) (f \circ_c \beta_Y)$
by auto
qed

definition $\text{complement-morphism} :: \text{cfunc} \Rightarrow \text{cfunc} \text{ } (-^c [1000])$ **where**
 $m^c = (\text{SOME } m'. \text{equalizer } (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m)) m' (\text{characteristic-func } m) (f \circ_c \beta_{\text{codomain } m}))$

lemma $\text{complement-morphism-equalizer}$:
assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $\text{equalizer } (Y \setminus (X, m)) m^c (\text{characteristic-func } m) (f \circ_c \beta_Y)$
proof –
have $\exists m'. \text{equalizer } (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m)) m' (\text{characteristic-func } m)$
 $(f \circ_c \beta_{\text{codomain } m})$
by $(\text{simp add: assms cfunc-type-def set-subtraction-equalizer})$
then have $\text{equalizer } (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m)) m^c (\text{characteristic-func } m)$
 $(f \circ_c \beta_{\text{codomain } m})$
by $(\text{unfold complement-morphism-def}, \text{rule-tac someI-ex}, \text{auto})$
then show $\text{equalizer } (Y \setminus (X, m)) m^c (\text{characteristic-func } m) (f \circ_c \beta_Y)$
using $\text{assms unfolding cfunc-type-def}$ **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{complement-morphism-type[type-rule]}$:
assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $m^c : Y \setminus (X, m) \rightarrow Y$
using $\text{assms cfunc-type-def characteristic-func-type complement-morphism-equalizer equalizer-def}$ **by** auto

lemma $\text{complement-morphism-mono}$:
assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $\text{monomorphism } m^c$
using $\text{assms complement-morphism-equalizer equalizer-is-monomorphism}$ **by** blast

lemma $\text{complement-morphism-eq}$:
assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y \text{ monomorphism } m$
shows $\text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m^c = (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c m^c$
using $\text{assms complement-morphism-equalizer unfolding equalizer-def}$ **by** auto

lemma $\text{characteristic-func-true-not-complement-member}$:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow X \text{ monomorphism } m \ x \in_c X$
assumes $\text{characteristic-func-true: characteristic-func } m \circ_c x = t$
shows $\neg x \in_X (X \setminus (B, m), m^c)$
proof
assume $\text{in-complement: } x \in_X (X \setminus (B, m), m^c)$
then obtain $x' \text{ where } x' \text{-type: } x' \in_c X \setminus (B, m) \text{ and } x' \text{-def: } m^c \circ_c x' = x$
using $\text{assms cfunc-type-def complement-morphism-type factors-through-def rel-}$

ative-member-def2
by *auto*
then have *characteristic-func* $m \circ_c m^c = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c m^c$
using *assms complement-morphism-equalizer equalizer-def* **by** *blast*
then have *characteristic-func* $m \circ_c x = f \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c x$
using *assms x'-type complement-morphism-type*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt x'-def* *assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative do-main-comp*)
then have *characteristic-func* $m \circ_c x = f$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type*)
then show *False*
using *characteristic-func-true true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *characteristic-func-false-complement-member*:
assumes $m : B \rightarrow X$ *monomorphism* $m \ x \in_c X$
assumes *characteristic-func-false*: *characteristic-func* $m \circ_c x = f$
shows $x \in_X (X \setminus (B, m), m^c)$
proof –
have *x-equalizes*: *characteristic-func* $m \circ_c x = f \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c x$
by (*metis* *assms*(3) *characteristic-func-false false-func-type id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type*)
have $\bigwedge h \ F. \ h : F \rightarrow X \wedge \text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c h = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c h \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists !k. \ k : F \rightarrow X \setminus (B, m) \wedge m^c \circ_c k = h)$
using *assms complement-morphism-equalizer* **unfolding** *equalizer-def*
by (*smt cfunc-type-def characteristic-func-type*)
then obtain x' **where** *x'-type*: $x' \in_c X \setminus (B, m)$ **and** *x'-def*: $m^c \circ_c x' = x$
by (*metis* *assms*(3) *cfunc-type-def comp-associative false-func-type terminal-func-type x-equalizes*)
then show $x \in_X (X \setminus (B, m), m^c)$
unfolding *relative-member-def factors-through-def*
using *assms complement-morphism-mono complement-morphism-type cfunc-type-def*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *in-complement-not-in-subset*:
assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* $m \ x \in_c Y$
assumes $x \in_Y (Y \setminus (X, m), m^c)$
shows $\neg x \in_Y (X, m)$
using *assms characteristic-func-false-not-relative-member characteristic-func-true-not-complement-member characteristic-func-type comp-type true-false-only-truth-values* **by** *blast*

lemma *not-in-subset-in-complement*:
assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* $m \ x \in_c Y$
assumes $\neg x \in_Y (X, m)$
shows $x \in_Y (Y \setminus (X, m), m^c)$
using *assms characteristic-func-false-complement-member characteristic-func-true-relative-member*

characteristic-func-type comp-type true-false-only-truth-values **by** *blast*

lemma *complement-disjoint*:

assumes $m : X \rightarrow Y$ *monomorphism* m

assumes $x \in_c X$ $x' \in_c Y \setminus (X, m)$

shows $m \circ_c x \neq m^c \circ_c x'$

proof

assume $m \circ_c x = m^c \circ_c x'$

then have $\text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m \circ_c x = \text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m^c \circ_c x'$

by *auto*

then have $(\text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m) \circ_c x = (\text{characteristic-func } m \circ_c m^c) \circ_c x'$

using *assms comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $(t \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c x = ((f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c m^c) \circ_c x'$

using *assms characteristic-func-eq complement-morphism-eq* **by** *auto*

then have $t \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c x = f \circ_c \beta_Y \circ_c m^c \circ_c x'$

using *assms comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type*)

then have $t \circ_c \text{id one} = f \circ_c \text{id one}$

using *assms* **by** (*smt cfunc-type-def comp-associative complement-morphism-type id-type one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type*)

then have $t = f$

using *false-func-type id-right-unit2 true-func-type* **by** *auto*

then show *False*

using *true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *set-subtraction-right-iso*:

assumes $m\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $m : A \rightarrow C$ **and** $m\text{-mono}[type\text{-rule}]$: *monomorphism* m

assumes $i\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $i : B \rightarrow A$ **and** $i\text{-iso}$: *isomorphism* i

shows $C \setminus (A, m) = C \setminus (B, m \circ_c i)$

proof –

have $mi\text{-mono}[type\text{-rule}]$: *monomorphism* $(m \circ_c i)$

using *cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic i-iso i-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic m-mono m-type* **by** *presburger*

obtain χm **where** $\chi m\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $\chi m : C \rightarrow \Omega$ **and** $\chi m\text{-def}$: $\chi m = \text{characteristic-func } m$

using *characteristic-func-type m-mono m-type* **by** *blast*

obtain χmi **where** $\chi mi\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $\chi mi : C \rightarrow \Omega$ **and** $\chi mi\text{-def}$: $\chi mi = \text{characteristic-func } (m \circ_c i)$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*)

have $\bigwedge c. c \in_c C \implies (\chi m \circ_c c = t) = (\chi mi \circ_c c = t)$

proof –

fix c

assume $c\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $c \in_c C$

have $(\chi m \circ_c c = t) = (c \in_C (A, m))$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis* $\chi m\text{-def}$ $m\text{-mono}$ *not-rel-mem-char-func-false rel-mem-char-func-true true-false-distinct*)

```

    also have ... = ( $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge c = m \circ_c a$ )
    using cfunc-type-def factors-through-def m-mono relative-member-def2 by
    (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ( $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge c = m \circ_c i \circ_c b$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-type-def comp-type epi-is-surj i-iso
    iso-imp-epi-and-monic surjective-def)
    also have ... = ( $c \in_C (B, m \circ_c i)$ )
    using cfunc-type-def comp-associative2 composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic
    factors-through-def2 i-iso iso-imp-epi-and-monic m-mono relative-member-def2
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ( $\chi_{mi} \circ_c c = t$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis  $\chi_{mi}$ -def mi-mono not-rel-mem-char-func-false
    rel-mem-char-func-true true-false-distinct)
    then show ( $\chi_m \circ_c c = t$ ) = ( $\chi_{mi} \circ_c c = t$ )
    using calculation by auto
  qed
  then have  $\chi_m = \chi_{mi}$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-type one-separator true-false-only-truth-values)

  then show  $C \setminus (A, m) = C \setminus (B, m \circ_c i)$ 
  using  $\chi_m$ -def  $\chi_{mi}$ -def isomorphic-is-reflexive set-subtraction-def by auto
  qed

lemma set-subtraction-left-iso:
  assumes m-type[type-rule]:  $m : C \rightarrow A$  and m-mono[type-rule]: monomorphism
  m
  assumes i-type[type-rule]:  $i : A \rightarrow B$  and i-iso: isomorphism i
  shows  $A \setminus (C, m) \cong B \setminus (C, i \circ_c m)$ 
proof -
  have im-mono[type-rule]: monomorphism ( $i \circ_c m$ )
  using cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic i-iso i-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic
  m-mono m-type by presburger
  obtain  $\chi_m$  where  $\chi_m$ -type[type-rule]:  $\chi_m : A \rightarrow \Omega$  and  $\chi_m$ -def:  $\chi_m = \text{charac-}$ 
  teristic-func m
  using characteristic-func-type m-mono m-type by blast
  obtain  $\chi_{im}$  where  $\chi_{im}$ -type[type-rule]:  $\chi_{im} : B \rightarrow \Omega$  and  $\chi_{im}$ -def:  $\chi_{im} =$ 
  characteristic-func ( $i \circ_c m$ )
  by (typecheck-cfuncs)
  have  $\chi_{im}$ -pullback: is-pullback C one B  $\Omega$  ( $\beta_C$ ) t ( $i \circ_c m$ )  $\chi_{im}$ 
  using  $\chi_{im}$ -def characteristic-func-is-pullback comp-type i-type im-mono m-type
  by blast
  have is-pullback C one A  $\Omega$  ( $\beta_C$ ) t m ( $\chi_{im} \circ_c i$ )
  proof (unfold is-pullback-def, typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    show t  $\circ_c \beta_C = (\chi_{im} \circ_c i) \circ_c m$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, etcs-assocr, metis  $\chi_{im}$ -def characteristic-func-eq comp-type
    im-mono)
  next
  fix Z k h
  assume k-type[type-rule]:  $k : Z \rightarrow \text{one}$  and h-type[type-rule]:  $h : Z \rightarrow A$ 

```

```

assume  $eq: t \circ_c k = (\chi im \circ_c i) \circ_c h$ 
then obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: j : Z \rightarrow C$  and  $j\text{-def}: i \circ_c h = (i \circ_c$ 
 $m) \circ_c j$ 
using  $\chi im\text{-pullback}$  unfolding  $is\text{-pullback-def}$  by  $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt$ 
 $(verit, ccfv\text{-threshold}) comp\text{-associative2 } k\text{-type})$ 
then show  $\exists j. j : Z \rightarrow C \wedge \beta_C \circ_c j = k \wedge m \circ_c j = h$ 
by  $(rule\text{-tac } x=j \text{ in } exI, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt comp\text{-associative2 } i\text{-iso } iso\text{-imp-epi-and-monic}$ 
 $monomorphism-def2 terminal\text{-func-unique})$ 
next
fix  $Z j y$ 
assume  $j\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: j : Z \rightarrow C$  and  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y : Z \rightarrow C$ 
assume  $t \circ_c \beta_C \circ_c j = (\chi im \circ_c i) \circ_c m \circ_c j \beta_C \circ_c y = \beta_C \circ_c j m \circ_c y = m$ 
 $\circ_c j$ 
then show  $j = y$ 
using  $m\text{-mono } monomorphism-def2$  by  $(typecheck\text{-cfuns-prems}, blast)$ 
qed
then have  $\chi im\text{-i-eq-}\chi m: \chi im \circ_c i = \chi m$ 
using  $\chi m\text{-def } characteristic\text{-func-is-pullback } characteristic\text{-function-exists } m\text{-mono}$ 
 $m\text{-type}$  by  $blast$ 
then have  $\chi im \circ_c (i \circ_c m^c) = f \circ_c \beta_B \circ_c (i \circ_c m^c)$ 
by  $(etcs\text{-assocl}, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt (verit, best) \chi m\text{-def } comp\text{-associative2}$ 
 $complement\text{-morphism-eq } m\text{-mono } terminal\text{-func-comp})$ 
then obtain  $i'$  where  $i'\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: i' : A \setminus (C, m) \rightarrow B \setminus (C, i \circ_c m)$  and
 $i'\text{-def}: i \circ_c m^c = (i \circ_c m)^c \circ_c i'$ 
using  $complement\text{-morphism-equalizer}[\text{where } m=i \circ_c m, \text{ where } X=C, \text{ where}$ 
 $Y=B]$  unfolding  $equalizer\text{-def}$ 
by  $(-, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt \chi im\text{-def } cfunc\text{-type-def } comp\text{-associative2 } im\text{-mono})$ 

have  $\chi m \circ_c (i^{-1} \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c) = f \circ_c \beta_A \circ_c (i^{-1} \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c)$ 
proof  $-$ 
have  $\chi m \circ_c (i^{-1} \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c) = \chi im \circ_c (i \circ_c i^{-1}) \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c$ 
by  $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, simp \text{ add: } \chi im\text{-i-eq-}\chi m \text{ cfunc-type-def } comp\text{-associative}$ 
 $i\text{-iso})$ 
also have  $\dots = \chi im \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c$ 
using  $i\text{-iso } id\text{-left-unit2 } inv\text{-right}$  by  $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, auto)$ 
also have  $\dots = f \circ_c \beta_B \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c$ 
by  $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, simp \text{ add: } \chi im\text{-def } comp\text{-associative2 } complement\text{-morphism-eq}$ 
 $im\text{-mono})$ 
also have  $\dots = f \circ_c \beta_A \circ_c (i^{-1} \circ_c (i \circ_c m)^c)$ 
by  $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, metis \text{ } i\text{-iso } terminal\text{-func-unique})$ 
then show  $?thesis$  using  $calculation$  by  $auto$ 
qed
then obtain  $i'\text{-inv}$  where  $i'\text{-inv-type}[type\text{-rule}]: i'\text{-inv} : B \setminus (C, i \circ_c m) \rightarrow A \setminus$ 
 $(C, m)$ 
and  $i'\text{-inv-def}: (i \circ_c m)^c = (i \circ_c m^c) \circ_c i'\text{-inv}$ 
using  $complement\text{-morphism-equalizer}[\text{where } m=m, \text{ where } X=C, \text{ where}$ 
 $Y=A]$  unfolding  $equalizer\text{-def}$ 
by  $(-, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt (z3) \chi m\text{-def } cfunc\text{-type-def } comp\text{-associative2 } i\text{-iso}$ 
 $id\text{-left-unit2 } inv\text{-right } m\text{-mono})$ 

```

```

have isomorphism  $i'$ 
proof (etcs-subst isomorphism-def3, rule-tac  $x=i'$ -inv in exI, typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
  have  $i \circ_c m^c = (i \circ_c m^c) \circ_c i'$ -inv  $\circ_c i'$ 
    using  $i'$ -inv-def by (etcs-subst  $i'$ -def, etcs-assocl, auto)
  then show  $i'$ -inv  $\circ_c i' = id_c (A \setminus (C, m))$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt (verit, best) cfunc-type-def complement-morphism-mono
composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic i-iso id-right-unit2 id-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic
m-mono monomorphism-def3)
  next
    have  $(i \circ_c m)^c = (i \circ_c m)^c \circ_c i' \circ_c i'$ -inv
      using  $i'$ -def by (etcs-subst  $i'$ -inv-def, etcs-assocl, auto)
    then show  $i' \circ_c i'$ -inv =  $id_c (B \setminus (C, i \circ_c m))$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, metis complement-morphism-mono id-right-unit2
id-type im-mono monomorphism-def3)
    qed
  then show  $A \setminus (C, m) \cong B \setminus (C, i \circ_c m)$ 
    using  $i'$ -type is-isomorphic-def by blast
  qed

end
theory Equivalence
  imports Truth
begin

```

13 Equivalence Classes

definition *reflexive-on* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$$reflexive-on \ X \ R = (R \subseteq_c X \times_c X \wedge \\ (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow (\langle x, x \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R)))$$

definition *symmetric-on* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$$symmetric-on \ X \ R = (R \subseteq_c X \times_c X \wedge \\ (\forall x \ y. x \in_c X \wedge y \in_c X \longrightarrow \\ (\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R \longrightarrow \langle y, x \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R)))$$

definition *transitive-on* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$$transitive-on \ X \ R = (R \subseteq_c X \times_c X \wedge \\ (\forall x \ y \ z. x \in_c X \wedge y \in_c X \wedge z \in_c X \longrightarrow \\ (\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R \wedge \langle y, z \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R \longrightarrow \langle x, z \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R)))$$

definition *equiv-rel-on* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$$equiv-rel-on \ X \ R \longleftrightarrow (reflexive-on \ X \ R \wedge symmetric-on \ X \ R \wedge transitive-on \ X \ R)$$

definition *const-on-rel* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$$const-on-rel \ X \ R \ f = (\forall x \ y. x \in_c X \longrightarrow y \in_c X \longrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R \longrightarrow f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y)$$

lemma *reflexive-def2*:
assumes *reflexive-Y*: *reflexive-on* X (Y , m)
assumes *x-type*: $x \in_c X$
shows $\exists y. y \in_c Y \wedge m \circ_c y = \langle x, x \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *reflexive-on-def* *relative-member-def* *factors-through-def2*
proof –
assume *a1*: $(Y, m) \subseteq_c X \times_c X \wedge (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow \langle x, x \rangle \in_c X \times_c X \wedge$
monomorphism (*snd* (Y, m)) \wedge *snd* (Y, m) : *fst* (Y, m) $\rightarrow X \times_c X \wedge \langle x, x \rangle$
factorsthru *snd* (Y, m))
have *xx-type*: $\langle x, x \rangle \in_c X \times_c X$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: x-type*)
have $\langle x, x \rangle$ *factorsthru* m
using *a1 x-type* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *a1 xx-type cfunc-type-def factors-through-def subobject-of-def2* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *symmetric-def2*:
assumes *symmetric-Y*: *symmetric-on* X (Y , m)
assumes *x-type*: $x \in_c X$
assumes *y-type*: $y \in_c X$
assumes *relation*: $\exists v. v \in_c Y \wedge m \circ_c v = \langle x, y \rangle$
shows $\exists w. w \in_c Y \wedge m \circ_c w = \langle y, x \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *symmetric-on-def* *relative-member-def* *factors-through-def2*
by (*metis cfunc-prod-type factors-through-def2 fst-conv snd-conv subobject-of-def2*)

lemma *transitive-def2*:
assumes *transitive-Y*: *transitive-on* X (Y , m)
assumes *x-type*: $x \in_c X$
assumes *y-type*: $y \in_c X$
assumes *z-type*: $z \in_c X$
assumes *relation1*: $\exists v. v \in_c Y \wedge m \circ_c v = \langle x, y \rangle$
assumes *relation2*: $\exists w. w \in_c Y \wedge m \circ_c w = \langle y, z \rangle$
shows $\exists u. u \in_c Y \wedge m \circ_c u = \langle x, z \rangle$
using *assms* **unfolding** *transitive-on-def* *relative-member-def* *factors-through-def2*
by (*metis cfunc-prod-type factors-through-def2 fst-conv snd-conv subobject-of-def2*)

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.3.3 in Halvorson.

lemma *kernel-pair-equiv-rel*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
shows *equiv-rel-on* X ($X \times_{f \times_c f} X$, *fibred-product-morphism* $X \times f f X$)
proof (*unfold equiv-rel-on-def*, *auto*)
show *reflexive-on* X ($X \times_{f \times_c f} X$, *fibred-product-morphism* $X \times f f X$)
proof (*unfold reflexive-on-def*, *auto*)
show $(X \times_{f \times_c f} X, \text{fibred-product-morphism } X \times f f X) \subseteq_c X \times_c X$
using *assms* *kernel-pair-subset* **by** *auto*
next
fix x

```

assume  $x\text{-type: } x \in_c X$ 
then show  $\langle x, x \rangle \in_X \times_c X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
by (smt assms comp-type diag-on-elements diagonal-type fibered-product-morphism-monomorphism
      fibered-product-morphism-type pair-factorsthru-fibered-product-morphism
relative-member-def2)
qed

show symmetric-on  $X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
proof (unfold symmetric-on-def, auto)
  show  $(X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X) \subseteq_c X \times_c X$ 
  using assms kernel-pair-subset by auto
next
  fix  $x y$ 
  assume  $x\text{-type: } x \in_c X$  and  $y\text{-type: } y \in_c X$ 
  assume  $xy\text{-in: } \langle x, y \rangle \in_X \times_c X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
  then have  $f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y$ 
  using assms fibered-product-pair-member x-type y-type by blast

  then show  $\langle y, x \rangle \in_X \times_c X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
  using assms fibered-product-pair-member x-type y-type by auto
qed

show transitive-on  $X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
proof (unfold transitive-on-def, auto)
  show  $(X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X) \subseteq_c X \times_c X$ 
  using assms kernel-pair-subset by auto
next
  fix  $x y z$ 
  assume  $x\text{-type: } x \in_c X$  and  $y\text{-type: } y \in_c X$  and  $z\text{-type: } z \in_c X$ 
  assume  $xy\text{-in: } \langle x, y \rangle \in_X \times_c X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
  assume  $yz\text{-in: } \langle y, z \rangle \in_X \times_c X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 

  have  $eqn1: f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y$ 
  using assms fibered-product-pair-member x-type xy-in y-type by blast

  have  $eqn2: f \circ_c y = f \circ_c z$ 
  using assms fibered-product-pair-member y-type yz-in z-type by blast

  show  $\langle x, z \rangle \in_X \times_c X (X \times_c f X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X f f X)$ 
  using assms eqn1 eqn2 fibered-product-pair-member x-type z-type by auto
qed
qed

```

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 6 (Equivalence Classes) in Halvorson.

axiomatization

```

quotient-set ::  $cset \Rightarrow (cset \times cfunc) \Rightarrow cset$  (infix // 50) and
equiv-class ::  $cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc$  and
quotient-func ::  $cfunc \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc$ 

```

where

equiv-class-type[type-rule]: *equiv-rel-on* $X R \implies \text{equiv-class } R : X \rightarrow \text{quotient-set } X R$ **and**

equiv-class-eq: *equiv-rel-on* $X R \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in_c X \times_c X \implies$

$\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} R \iff \text{equiv-class } R \circ_c x = \text{equiv-class } R \circ_c y$ **and**

quotient-func-type[type-rule]:

equiv-rel-on $X R \implies f : X \rightarrow Y \implies (\text{const-on-rel } X R f) \implies$

quotient-func $f R : \text{quotient-set } X R \rightarrow Y$ **and**

quotient-func-eq: *equiv-rel-on* $X R \implies f : X \rightarrow Y \implies (\text{const-on-rel } X R f) \implies$

quotient-func $f R \circ_c \text{equiv-class } R = f$ **and**

quotient-func-unique: *equiv-rel-on* $X R \implies f : X \rightarrow Y \implies (\text{const-on-rel } X R f) \implies$

$h : \text{quotient-set } X R \rightarrow Y \implies h \circ_c \text{equiv-class } R = f \implies h = \text{quotient-func } f R$

Note that ($//$) corresponds to X/R , *equiv-class* corresponds to the canonical quotient mapping q , and *quotient-func* corresponds to \bar{f} in Halvorson's formulation of this axiom.

abbreviation *equiv-class'* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \times *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* ($[-]_-$) **where**

$[x]_R \equiv \text{equiv-class } R \circ_c x$

14 Coequalizers and Epimorphisms

14.1 Coequalizers

The definition below corresponds to a comment after Axiom 6 (Equivalence Classes) in Halvorson.

definition *coequalizer* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

coequalizer $E m f g \iff (\exists X Y. (f : Y \rightarrow X) \wedge (g : Y \rightarrow X) \wedge (m : X \rightarrow E) \wedge (m \circ_c f = m \circ_c g) \wedge (\forall h F. ((h : X \rightarrow F) \wedge (h \circ_c f = h \circ_c g)) \longrightarrow (\exists! k. (k : E \rightarrow F) \wedge k \circ_c m = h)))$

lemma *coequalizer-def2*:

assumes $f : Y \rightarrow X$ $g : Y \rightarrow X$ $m : X \rightarrow E$

shows *coequalizer* $E m f g \iff$

$(m \circ_c f = m \circ_c g)$

$\wedge (\forall h F. ((h : X \rightarrow F) \wedge (h \circ_c f = h \circ_c g)) \longrightarrow (\exists! k. (k : E \rightarrow F) \wedge k \circ_c m = h))$

using *assms* **unfolding** *coequalizer-def cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.3.1 in Halvorson.

lemma *coequalizer-unique*:

assumes *coequalizer* $E m f g$ *coequalizer* $F n f g$

shows $E \cong F$

proof –

obtain k **where** *k-def*: $k : E \rightarrow F \wedge k \circ_c m = n$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def coequalizer-def*)

```

obtain  $k'$  where  $k'$ -def:  $k': F \rightarrow E \wedge k' \circ_c n = m$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def coequalizer-def)
obtain  $k''$  where  $k''$ -def:  $k'': F \rightarrow F \wedge k'' \circ_c n = n$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit) assms(2) cfunc-type-def coequalizer-def)

have  $k''$ -def2:  $k'' = id\ F$ 
  using assms(2) coequalizer-def id-left-unit2  $k''$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
have  $kk'$ -idF:  $k \circ_c k' = id\ F$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit) assms(2) cfunc-type-def coequalizer-def comp-associative
 $k''$ -def  $k''$ -def2  $k'$ -def  $k$ -def)
have  $k'k$ -idE:  $k' \circ_c k = id\ E$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit) assms(1) coequalizer-def comp-associative2
id-left-unit2  $k'$ -def  $k$ -def)

show  $E \cong F$ 
  using cfunc-type-def is-isomorphic-def isomorphism-def  $k'$ -def  $k'k$ -idE  $k$ -def
 $kk'$ -idF by fastforce
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.3.2 in Halvorson.

```

lemma coequalizer-is-epimorphism:
  coequalizer  $E\ m\ f\ g \implies$  epimorphism( $m$ )
  unfolding coequalizer-def epimorphism-def
proof auto
  fix  $k\ h\ X\ Y$ 
  assume  $f$ -type:  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  assume  $g$ -type:  $g : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  assume  $m$ -type:  $m : X \rightarrow E$ 
  assume  $fm$ -gm:  $m \circ_c f = m \circ_c g$ 
  assume uniqueness:  $\forall h\ F. h : X \rightarrow F \wedge h \circ_c f = h \circ_c g \longrightarrow (\exists! k. k : E \rightarrow F$ 
 $\wedge k \circ_c m = h)$ 
  assume relation-k:  $domain\ k = codomain\ m$ 
  assume relation-h:  $domain\ h = codomain\ m$ 
  assume  $m$ -k-mh:  $k \circ_c m = h \circ_c m$ 

  have  $k \circ_c m \circ_c f = h \circ_c m \circ_c g$ 
    using cfunc-type-def comp-associative  $fm$ -gm  $g$ -type  $m$ -k-mh  $m$ -type relation-k
relation-h by auto

  then obtain  $z$  where  $z: E \rightarrow codomain(k) \wedge z \circ_c m = k \circ_c m \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall j. j: E \rightarrow codomain(k) \wedge j \circ_c m = k \circ_c m \longrightarrow j = z$ )
    using uniqueness by (erule-tac  $x=k \circ_c m$  in  $allE$ , erule-tac  $x=codomain(k)$  in
 $allE$ ,
    smt cfunc-type-def codomain-comp comp-associative domain-comp  $f$ -type  $g$ -type
 $m$ -k-mh  $m$ -type relation-k relation-h)

  then show  $k = h$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def codomain-comp  $m$ -k-mh  $m$ -type relation-k relation-h)
qed

```



```

lemma canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer:
  assumes equiv-rel-on  $X$   $(R, m)$ 
  shows coequalizer (quotient-set  $X$   $(R, m)$ ) (equiv-class  $(R, m)$ )
    (left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m$ ) (right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m$ )
  unfolding coequalizer-def
proof(rule-tac  $x=X$  in  $exI$ , rule-tac  $x= R$  in  $exI, auto$ )
  have  $m$ -type:  $m: R \rightarrow X \times_c X$ 
    using assms equiv-rel-on-def subobject-of-def2 transitive-on-def by blast
  show left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m : R \rightarrow X$ 
    using  $m$ -type by typecheck-cfuncs
  show right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m : R \rightarrow X$ 
    using  $m$ -type by typecheck-cfuncs
  show equiv-class  $(R, m) : X \rightarrow$  quotient-set  $X$   $(R, m)$ 
    by (simp add: assms equiv-class-type)
  show equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$  left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m =$  equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$ 
    right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m$ 
  proof(rule one-separator[where  $X=R$ , where  $Y =$  quotient-set  $X$   $(R, m)$ ])
    show equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$  left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m : R \rightarrow$  quotient-set  $X$   $(R,$ 
       $m)$ 
      using  $m$ -type assms by typecheck-cfuncs
    show equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$  right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m : R \rightarrow$  quotient-set  $X$   $(R,$ 
       $m)$ 
      using  $m$ -type assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x$ -type:  $x \in_c R$ 
  then have  $m$ - $x$ -type:  $m \circ_c x \in_c X \times_c X$ 
    using  $m$ -type by typecheck-cfuncs
  then obtain  $a$   $b$  where  $a$ -type:  $a \in_c X$  and  $b$ -type:  $b \in_c X$  and  $m$ - $x$ -eq:  $m \circ_c$ 
     $x = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then have  $ab$ -in $R$ -rel $XX$ :  $\langle a, b \rangle \in_X \times_c X(R, m)$ 
    using assms cfunc-type-def equiv-rel-on-def factors-through-def m-x-type re-
    flexive-on-def relative-member-def2 x-type by auto
  then have equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c a =$  equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c b$ 
    using equiv-class-eq assms relative-member-def by blast
  then have equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$  left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle =$  equiv-class  $(R,$ 
     $m) \circ_c$  right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    using  $a$ -type  $b$ -type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod by auto
  then have equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$  left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m \circ_c x =$  equiv-class  $(R,$ 
     $m) \circ_c$  right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m \circ_c x$ 
    by (simp add:  $m$ - $x$ -eq)
  then show (equiv-class  $(R, m) \circ_c$  left-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m) \circ_c x =$  (equiv-class
     $(R, m) \circ_c$  right-cart-proj  $X$   $X \circ_c m) \circ_c x$ 
    using  $x$ -type  $m$ -type assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative
     $m$ - $x$ -eq)
  qed
next

```

```

fix  $h$   $F$ 
assume  $h$ -type:  $h : X \rightarrow F$ 
assume  $h$ -proj1-eqs- $h$ -proj2:  $h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c m = h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c m$ 

have  $m$ -type:  $m : R \rightarrow X \times_c X$ 
using assms equiv-rel-on-def reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by blast
have  $\text{const-on-rel } X \ (R, m) \ h$ 
proof (unfold const-on-rel-def, auto)
  fix  $x \ y$ 
  assume  $x$ -type:  $x \in_c X$  and  $y$ -type:  $y \in_c X$ 
  assume  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} (R, m)$ 
  then obtain  $xy$  where  $xy$ -type:  $xy \in_c R$  and  $m$ - $h$ -eq:  $m \circ_c xy = \langle x, y \rangle$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def2 factors-through-def using cfunc-type-def by
auto

  have  $h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c m \circ_c xy = h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c m \circ_c xy$ 
  using  $h$ -type  $m$ -type  $xy$ -type by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 comp-type h-proj1-eqs-h-proj2)
  then have  $h \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = h \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$ 
  using  $m$ - $h$ -eq by auto
  then show  $h \circ_c x = h \circ_c y$ 
  using left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-type y-type by auto
qed
then show  $\exists k. k : \text{quotient-set } X \ (R, m) \rightarrow F \wedge k \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m) = h$ 
using assms h-type quotient-func-type quotient-func-eq
by (rule-tac x=quotient-func h (R, m) in exI, auto)
next
fix  $F \ k \ y$ 
assume  $k$ -type:  $k : \text{quotient-set } X \ (R, m) \rightarrow F$ 
assume  $y$ -type:  $y : \text{quotient-set } X \ (R, m) \rightarrow F$ 
assume  $k$ -equiv-class-type:  $k \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m) : X \rightarrow F$ 
assume  $k$ -equiv-class-eq:  $(k \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m)) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c m =$ 
 $(k \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m)) \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ X \circ_c m$ 
assume  $y$ - $k$ -eq:  $y \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m) = k \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m)$ 

have  $m$ -type:  $m : R \rightarrow X \times_c X$ 
using assms equiv-rel-on-def reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by blast

have  $y$ -eq:  $y = \text{quotient-func } (y \circ_c \text{equiv-class } (R, m)) \ (R, m)$ 
using assms y-type k-equiv-class-type y-k-eq
proof (rule-tac quotient-func-unique[where X=X, where Y=F], simp-all, unfold const-on-rel-def, auto)
  fix  $a \ b$ 
  assume  $a$ -type:  $a \in_c X$  and  $b$ -type:  $b \in_c X$ 
  assume  $ab$ -in- $R$ :  $\langle a, b \rangle \in_{X \times_c X} (R, m)$ 
  then obtain  $h$  where  $h$ -type:  $h \in_c R$  and  $m$ - $h$ -eq:  $m \circ_c h = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
  unfolding relative-member-def factors-through-def using cfunc-type-def by
auto

```

```

have (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c m ∘c h =
  (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c m ∘c h
using k-type m-type h-type assms
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 comp-type k-equiv-class-eq)
then have (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c ⟨a, b⟩ =
  (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c ⟨a, b⟩
by (simp add: m-h-eq)
then show (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c a = (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c b
using a-type b-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod by auto
qed

have k-eq: k = quotient-func (y ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) (R, m)
using assms k-type k-equiv-class-type y-k-eq
proof (rule-tac quotient-func-unique[where X=X, where Y=F], simp-all, unfold const-on-rel-def, auto)
  fix a b
  assume a-type: a ∈c X and b-type: b ∈c X
  assume ab-in-R: ⟨a, b⟩ ∈X ×c X (R, m)
  then obtain h where h-type: h ∈c R and m-h-eq: m ∘c h = ⟨a, b⟩
  unfolding relative-member-def factors-through-def using cfunc-type-def by auto
auto

have (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c m ∘c h =
  (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c m ∘c h
using k-type m-type h-type assms
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 comp-type k-equiv-class-eq)
then have (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c left-cart-proj X X ∘c ⟨a, b⟩ =
  (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c right-cart-proj X X ∘c ⟨a, b⟩
by (simp add: m-h-eq)
then show (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c a = (k ∘c equiv-class (R, m)) ∘c b
using a-type b-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod by auto
qed
show k = y
using y-eq k-eq by auto
qed

lemma canonical-quot-map-is-epi:
  assumes equiv-rel-on X (R, m)
  shows epimorphism((equiv-class (R, m)))
by (meson assms canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer coequalizer-is-epimorphism)

```

14.2 Regular Epimorphisms

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.3.4 in Halvorson.

definition *regular-epimorphism* :: cfunc ⇒ bool **where**
regular-epimorphism f = (∃ g h. coequalizer (codomain f) f g h)

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.3.5 in Halvorson.

```

lemma reg-epi-and-mono-is-iso:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  regular-epimorphism f monomorphism f
  shows isomorphism f
proof –
  obtain  $g\ h$  where  $gh\text{-def}$ : coequalizer (codomain f) f g h
    using assms(2) regular-epimorphism-def by auto
  obtain  $W$  where  $W\text{-def}$ :  $(g : W \rightarrow X) \wedge (h : W \rightarrow X) \wedge (\text{coequalizer } Y\ f\ g\ h)$ 
    using assms(1) cfunc-type-def coequalizer-def gh-def by fastforce
  have  $fg\text{-eqs-fh}$ :  $f \circ_c g = f \circ_c h$ 
    using coequalizer-def gh-def by blast
  then have  $id(X) \circ_c g = id(X) \circ_c h$ 
    using  $W\text{-def}$  assms(1,3) monomorphism-def2 by blast
  then obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-def}$ :  $j : Y \rightarrow X \wedge j \circ_c f = id(X)$ 
    using assms(1) W-def coequalizer-def2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  have  $id(Y) \circ_c f = f \circ_c id(X)$ 
    using assms(1) id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 by auto
  also have  $\dots = (f \circ_c j) \circ_c f$ 
    using assms(1) comp-associative2 j-def by fastforce
  then have  $id(Y) = f \circ_c j$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis W-def assms(1) calculation coequalizer-is-epimorphism epimorphism-def3 j-def)
  then show isomorphism f
    using assms(1) cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def j-def by fastforce
qed

```

The two lemmas below correspond to Proposition 2.3.6 in Halvorson.

```

lemma epimorphism-coequalizer-kernel-pair:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  epimorphism f
  shows coequalizer Y f (fibered-product-left-proj X f f X) (fibered-product-right-proj X f f X)
proof (unfold coequalizer-def, rule-tac x=X in exI, rule-tac x=X f $\times_{cf}$  X in exI, auto)
  show fibered-product-left-proj X f f X :  $X\ f \times_{cf} X \rightarrow X$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show fibered-product-right-proj X f f X :  $X\ f \times_{cf} X \rightarrow X$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $f \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X\ f\ f\ X = f \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X\ f\ f\ X$ 
    using fibered-product-is-pullback assms unfolding is-pullback-def by auto
next
  fix  $g\ E$ 
  assume  $g\text{-type}$ :  $g : X \rightarrow E$ 
  assume  $g\text{-eq}$ :  $g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X\ f\ f\ X = g \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X\ f\ f\ X$ 

  obtain  $F$  where  $F\text{-def}$ :  $F = \text{quotient-set } X\ (X\ f \times_{cf} X, \text{fibered-product-morphism } X\ f\ f\ X)$ 

```

```

    by auto
  obtain q where q-def: q = equiv-class (X  $\times_{cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism X
  f f X)
    by auto
  have q-type[type-rule]: q : X  $\rightarrow$  F
    using F-def assms(1) equiv-class-type kernel-pair-equiv-rel q-def by blast

  obtain f-bar where f-bar-def: f-bar = quotient-func f (X  $\times_{cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism
  X f f X)
    by auto
  have f-bar-type[type-rule]: f-bar : F  $\rightarrow$  Y
    using F-def assms(1) const-on-rel-def f-bar-def fibered-product-pair-member
  kernel-pair-equiv-rel quotient-func-type by auto
  have fibr-proj-left-type[type-rule]: fibered-product-left-proj F (f-bar) (f-bar) F : F
  (f-bar) $\times_c$ (f-bar) F  $\rightarrow$  F
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  have fibr-proj-right-type[type-rule]: fibered-product-right-proj F (f-bar) (f-bar) F
  : F (f-bar) $\times_c$ (f-bar) F  $\rightarrow$  F
    by typecheck-cfuncs

```

```

have f-eqs: f-bar  $\circ_c$  q = f
proof -
  have fact1: equiv-rel-on X (X  $\times_{cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism X f f X)
    by (meson assms(1) kernel-pair-equiv-rel)

  have fact2: const-on-rel X (X  $\times_{cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism X f f X) f
    using assms(1) const-on-rel-def fibered-product-pair-member by presburger
  show ?thesis
    using assms(1) f-bar-def fact1 fact2 q-def quotient-func-eq by blast
qed

```

```

have  $\exists!$  b. b : X  $\times_{cf}$  X  $\rightarrow$  F (f-bar) $\times_c$ (f-bar) F  $\wedge$ 
  fibered-product-left-proj F (f-bar) (f-bar) F  $\circ_c$  b = q  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-left-proj
  X f f X  $\wedge$ 
  fibered-product-right-proj F (f-bar) (f-bar) F  $\circ_c$  b = q  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-right-proj
  X f f X  $\wedge$ 
  epimorphism b
proof(rule kernel-pair-connection[where Y = Y])
  show f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y

```

```

    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $q : X \rightarrow F$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show epimorphism  $q$ 
    using assms(1) canonical-quot-map-is-epi kernel-pair-equiv-rel q-def by blast
  show  $f\text{-bar} \circ_c q = f$ 
    by (simp add: f-eqs)
  show  $q \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ } f \text{ } X = q \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ } f \text{ } X$ 
    by (metis assms(1) canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer coequalizer-def fibered-product-left-proj-def
    fibered-product-right-proj-def kernel-pair-equiv-rel q-def)
  show  $f\text{-bar} : F \rightarrow Y$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
qed

```

```

  then obtain  $b$  where  $b\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $b : X \text{ } f \times_c f \text{ } X \rightarrow F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \times_c (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F$ 
and
  left-b-eqs:  $\text{fibered-product-left-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F \circ_c b = q \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ } f \text{ } X$  and
  right-b-eqs:  $\text{fibered-product-right-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F \circ_c b = q \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ } f \text{ } X$  and
  epi-b: epimorphism  $b$ 
  by auto

```

```

  have  $\text{fibered-product-left-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F = \text{fibered-product-right-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\text{fibered-product-left-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F) \circ_c b = q \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } X \text{ } f \text{ } X$ 
    by (simp add: left-b-eqs)
    also have  $\dots = q \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } X \text{ } f \text{ } X$ 
    using assms(1) canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer coequalizer-def fibered-product-left-proj-def
    fibered-product-right-proj-def kernel-pair-equiv-rel q-def by fastforce
    also have  $\dots = \text{fibered-product-right-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F \circ_c b$ 
    by (simp add: right-b-eqs)
    then have  $\text{fibered-product-left-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F \circ_c b = \text{fibered-product-right-proj } F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F \circ_c b$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)
    then show ?thesis
    using b-type epi-b epimorphism-def2 fibr-proj-left-type fibr-proj-right-type by
  blast
qed

```

```

  then obtain  $b$  where  $b\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $b : X \text{ } f \times_c f \text{ } X \rightarrow F \text{ } (f\text{-bar}) \times_c (f\text{-bar}) \text{ } F$ 

```

and
left-b-eqs: *fibred-product-left-proj* F ($f\text{-bar}$) ($f\text{-bar}$) $F \circ_c b = q \circ_c \text{fibred-product-left-proj}$
 $X \text{ } f \text{ } f \text{ } X$ **and**
right-b-eqs: *fibred-product-right-proj* F ($f\text{-bar}$) ($f\text{-bar}$) $F \circ_c b = q \circ_c \text{fibred-product-right-proj}$
 $X \text{ } f \text{ } f \text{ } X$ **and**
epi-b: *epimorphism* b
using $b\text{-type}$ *epi-b* *left-b-eqs* *right-b-eqs* **by** *blast*

have *fibred-product-left-proj* F ($f\text{-bar}$) ($f\text{-bar}$) $F = \text{fibred-product-right-proj}$ F
 $(f\text{-bar}) (f\text{-bar}) F$
proof –
have (*fibred-product-left-proj* F ($f\text{-bar}$) ($f\text{-bar}$) F) $\circ_c b = q \circ_c \text{fibred-product-left-proj}$
 $X \text{ } f \text{ } f \text{ } X$
by (*simp add: left-b-eqs*)
also have $\dots = q \circ_c \text{fibred-product-right-proj}$ $X \text{ } f \text{ } f \text{ } X$
using *assms(1)* *canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer* *coequalizer-def* *fibred-product-left-proj-def*
fibred-product-right-proj-def *kernel-pair-equiv-rel* $q\text{-def}$ **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = \text{fibred-product-right-proj}$ F ($f\text{-bar}$) ($f\text{-bar}$) $F \circ_c b$
by (*simp add: right-b-eqs*)
then have *fibred-product-left-proj* F ($f\text{-bar}$) ($f\text{-bar}$) $F \circ_c b = \text{fibred-product-right-proj}$
 $F (f\text{-bar}) (f\text{-bar}) F \circ_c b$
by (*simp add: calculation*)
then show *?thesis*
using $b\text{-type}$ *epi-b* *epimorphism-def2* *fibr-proj-left-type* *fibr-proj-right-type* **by**
blast
qed

then have *mono-fbar*: *monomorphism*($f\text{-bar}$)
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: kern-pair-proj-iso-TFAE2*)

have *epimorphism*($f\text{-bar}$)
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis assms(2)* *cfunc-type-def* *comp-epi-imp-epi* $f\text{-eqs}$
 $q\text{-type}$)

then have *isomorphism*($f\text{-bar}$)
by (*simp add: epi-mon-is-iso mono-fbar*)

obtain $f\text{-bar-inv}$ **where** $f\text{-bar-inv-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $f\text{-bar-inv}$: $Y \rightarrow F$ **and**
 $f\text{-bar-inv-eq1}$: $f\text{-bar-inv} \circ_c f\text{-bar} = id(F)$ **and**
 $f\text{-bar-inv-eq2}$: $f\text{-bar} \circ_c f\text{-bar-inv} = id(Y)$
using $\langle isomorphism\ f\text{-bar} \rangle$ *cfunc-type-def* *isomorphism-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*,
force)

obtain $g\text{-bar}$ **where** $g\text{-bar-def}$: $g\text{-bar} = \text{quotient-func}$ g ($X \text{ } f \times_c f \text{ } X$, *fibred-product-morphism*
 $X \text{ } f \text{ } f \text{ } X$)

```

    by auto
  have const-on-rel X (X  $\times_{f \times cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism X f f X) g
    unfolding const-on-rel-def
    by (meson assms(1) fibered-product-pair-member2 g-eq g-type)
  then have g-bar-type[type-rule]: g-bar : F  $\rightarrow$  E
    using F-def assms(1) g-bar-def g-type kernel-pair-equiv-rel quotient-func-type
  by blast
  obtain k where k-def: k = g-bar  $\circ_c$  f-bar-inv and k-type[type-rule]: k : Y  $\rightarrow$  E
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  then show  $\exists k. k : Y \rightarrow E \wedge k \circ_c f = g$ 
    by (smt (z3)  $\langle$ const-on-rel X (X  $\times_{f \times cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism X f f X)
    g $\rangle$  assms(1) comp-associative2 f-bar-inv-eq1 f-bar-inv-type f-bar-type f-eqs g-bar-def
    g-bar-type g-type id-left-unit2 kernel-pair-equiv-rel q-def q-type quotient-func-eq)
  next
    show  $\bigwedge F k y.$ 
      k  $\circ_c$  f : X  $\rightarrow$  F  $\implies$ 
      (k  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-left-proj X f f X = (k  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  fibered-product-right-proj
      X f f X  $\implies$ 
      k : Y  $\rightarrow$  F  $\implies$  y : Y  $\rightarrow$  F  $\implies$  y  $\circ_c$  f = k  $\circ_c$  f  $\implies$  k = y
      using assms epimorphism-def2 by blast
  qed

```

lemma *epimorphisms-are-regular*:

```

  assumes f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y epimorphism f
  shows regular-epimorphism f
    by (meson assms(2) cfunc-type-def epimorphism-coequalizer-kernel-pair regular-epimorphism-def)

```

14.3 Epi-monic Factorization

lemma *epi-monic-factorization*:

```

  assumes f-type[type-rule]: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y
  shows  $\exists g m E. g : X \rightarrow E \wedge m : E \rightarrow Y$ 
     $\wedge$  coequalizer E g (fibered-product-left-proj X f f X) (fibered-product-right-proj X
    f f X)
     $\wedge$  monomorphism m  $\wedge$  f = m  $\circ_c$  g
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall x. x : E \rightarrow Y \implies f = x \circ_c g \implies x = m$ )
  proof -
    obtain q where q-def: q = equiv-class (X  $\times_{f \times cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism X
    f f X)
    by auto
    obtain E where E-def: E = quotient-set X (X  $\times_{f \times cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism
    X f f X)
    by auto
    obtain m where m-def: m = quotient-func f (X  $\times_{f \times cf}$  X, fibered-product-morphism
    X f f X)
    by auto
    show  $\exists g m E. g : X \rightarrow E \wedge m : E \rightarrow Y$ 
       $\wedge$  coequalizer E g (fibered-product-left-proj X f f X) (fibered-product-right-proj X

```



```

f f X)
  ∧ monomorphism m ∧ f = m ∘c g
  ∧ (∀ x. x : E → Y → f = x ∘c g → x = m)
proof (rule-tac x=q in exI, rule-tac x=m in exI, rule-tac x=E in exI, auto)
  show q-type[type-rule]: q : X → E
  unfolding q-def E-def using kernel-pair-equiv-rel by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

  have f-const: const-on-rel X (X f×cf X, fibered-product-morphism X f f X) f
  unfolding const-on-rel-def using assms fibered-product-pair-member by auto
  then show m-type[type-rule]: m : E → Y
  unfolding m-def E-def using kernel-pair-equiv-rel by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

  show q-coequalizer: coequalizer E q (fibered-product-left-proj X f f X) (fibered-product-right-proj
X f f X)
  unfolding q-def fibered-product-left-proj-def fibered-product-right-proj-def E-def
  using canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer f-type kernel-pair-equiv-rel by
auto
  then have q-epi: epimorphism q
  using coequalizer-is-epimorphism by auto

  show m-mono: monomorphism m
  proof –
  thm kernel-pair-connection[where E=E,where X=X, where h=m, where
f=f, where g=q, where Y=Y]
  have q-eq: q ∘c fibered-product-left-proj X f f X = q ∘c fibered-product-right-proj
X f f X
  using canonical-quotient-map-is-coequalizer coequalizer-def f-type fibered-product-left-proj-def
fibered-product-right-proj-def kernel-pair-equiv-rel q-def by fastforce
  then have ∃!b. b : X f×cf X → E m×cm E ∧
  fibered-product-left-proj E m m E ∘c b = q ∘c fibered-product-left-proj X f f
X ∧
  fibered-product-right-proj E m m E ∘c b = q ∘c fibered-product-right-proj X f
f X ∧
  epimorphism b
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac kernel-pair-connection[where Y=Y],
  simp-all add: q-epi, metis f-const kernel-pair-equiv-rel m-def q-def quo-
tient-func-eq)
  then obtain b where b-type[type-rule]: b : X f×cf X → E m×cm E and
  b-left-eq: fibered-product-left-proj E m m E ∘c b = q ∘c fibered-product-left-proj
X f f X and
  b-right-eq: fibered-product-right-proj E m m E ∘c b = q ∘c fibered-product-right-proj
X f f X and
  b-epi: epimorphism b
  by auto

  have fibered-product-left-proj E m m E ∘c b = fibered-product-right-proj E m
m E ∘c b
  using b-left-eq b-right-eq q-eq by force
  then have fibered-product-left-proj E m m E = fibered-product-right-proj E m

```

```

m E
  using b-epi cfunc-type-def epimorphism-def by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems,
auto)
  then show monomorphism m
    using kern-pair-proj-iso-TFAE2 m-type by auto
  qed

show f-eq-m-q: f = m ∘c q
  using f-const f-type kernel-pair-equiv-rel m-def q-def quotient-func-eq by fast-
force

show  $\bigwedge x. x : E \rightarrow Y \implies f = x \circ_c q \implies x = m$ 
proof -
  fix x
  assume x-type[type-rule]: x : E → Y
  assume f-eq-x-q: f = x ∘c q
  have x ∘c q = m ∘c q
    using f-eq-m-q f-eq-x-q by auto
  then show x = m
    using epimorphism-def2 m-type q-epi q-type x-type by blast
  qed
qed
qed

lemma epi-monic-factorization2:
  assumes f-type[type-rule]: f : X → Y
  shows  $\exists g m E. g : X \rightarrow E \wedge m : E \rightarrow Y$ 
     $\wedge$  epimorphism g  $\wedge$  monomorphism m  $\wedge$  f = m ∘c g
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall x. x : E \rightarrow Y \longrightarrow f = x \circ_c g \longrightarrow x = m$ )
  using epi-monic-factorization coequalizer-is-epimorphism by (meson f-type)

```

15 Image of a Function

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.3.7 in Halvorson.

```

definition image-of :: cfunc ⇒ cset ⇒ cfunc ⇒ cset (-(|)- [101,0,0]100) where
  image-of f A n = (SOME fA.  $\exists g m.$ 
    g : A → fA  $\wedge$ 
    m : fA → codomain f  $\wedge$ 
    coequalizer fA g (fibered-product-left-proj A (f ∘c n) (f ∘c n) A) (fibered-product-right-proj
    A (f ∘c n) (f ∘c n) A)  $\wedge$ 
    monomorphism m  $\wedge$  f ∘c n = m ∘c g  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x. x : fA \rightarrow \text{codomain } f \longrightarrow f \circ_c n$ 
    = x ∘c g  $\longrightarrow x = m$ ))

```

```

lemma image-of-def2:
  assumes f : X → Y n : A → X
  shows  $\exists g m.$ 
    g : A → f(|A|)n  $\wedge$ 
    m : f(|A|)n → Y  $\wedge$ 

```

$coequalizer (f \downarrow A)_n g (fibered-product-left-proj A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) (fibered-product-right-proj A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) \wedge$
 $monomorphism m \wedge f \circ_c n = m \circ_c g \wedge (\forall x. x : f \downarrow A)_n \rightarrow Y \longrightarrow f \circ_c n = x$
 $\circ_c g \longrightarrow x = m)$
proof –
have $\exists g m.$
 $g : A \rightarrow f \downarrow A)_n \wedge$
 $m : f \downarrow A)_n \rightarrow codomain f \wedge$
 $coequalizer (f \downarrow A)_n g (fibered-product-left-proj A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) (fibered-product-right-proj A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) \wedge$
 $monomorphism m \wedge f \circ_c n = m \circ_c g \wedge (\forall x. x : f \downarrow A)_n \rightarrow codomain f \longrightarrow f$
 $\circ_c n = x \circ_c g \longrightarrow x = m)$
using *assms cfunc-type-def comp-type epi-monic-factorization* **where** $f = f \circ_c n$,
where $X = A$, **where** $Y = codomain f$]
by (*unfold image-of-def, rule-tac someI-ex, auto*)
then show *?thesis*
using *assms(1) cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *image-restriction-mapping* :: $cfunc \Rightarrow cset \times cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc$ ($\cdot \downarrow \cdot [101, 0] 100$)
where

$image-restriction-mapping f An = (SOME g. \exists m. g : fst An \rightarrow f \downarrow fst An)_{snd An}$
 $\wedge m : f \downarrow fst An)_{snd An} \rightarrow codomain f \wedge$
 $coequalizer (f \downarrow fst An)_{snd An} g (fibered-product-left-proj (fst An) (f \circ_c snd An) (f \circ_c snd An) (fst An)) (fibered-product-right-proj (fst An) (f \circ_c snd An) (f \circ_c snd An) (fst An)) \wedge$
 $monomorphism m \wedge f \circ_c snd An = m \circ_c g \wedge (\forall x. x : f \downarrow fst An)_{snd An} \rightarrow$
 $codomain f \longrightarrow f \circ_c snd An = x \circ_c g \longrightarrow x = m))$

lemma *image-restriction-mapping-def2*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $\exists m. f \downarrow (A, n) : A \rightarrow f \downarrow A)_n \wedge m : f \downarrow A)_n \rightarrow Y \wedge$
 $coequalizer (f \downarrow A)_n (f \downarrow (A, n)) (fibered-product-left-proj A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) (fibered-product-right-proj A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) \wedge$
 $monomorphism m \wedge f \circ_c n = m \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, n)) \wedge (\forall x. x : f \downarrow A)_n \rightarrow Y \longrightarrow f \circ_c$
 $n = x \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, n)) \longrightarrow x = m)$

proof –

have *codom-f*: $codomain f = Y$
using *assms(1) cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*
have $\exists m. f \downarrow (A, n) : fst (A, n) \rightarrow f \downarrow fst (A, n))_{snd (A, n)} \wedge m : f \downarrow fst (A, n))_{snd (A, n)} \rightarrow codomain f \wedge$
 $coequalizer (f \downarrow fst (A, n))_{snd (A, n)} (f \downarrow (A, n)) (fibered-product-left-proj (fst (A, n)) (f \circ_c snd (A, n)) (f \circ_c snd (A, n)) (fst (A, n))) (fibered-product-right-proj (fst (A, n)) (f \circ_c snd (A, n)) (f \circ_c snd (A, n)) (fst (A, n))) \wedge$
 $monomorphism m \wedge f \circ_c snd (A, n) = m \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, n)) \wedge (\forall x. x : f \downarrow fst (A, n))_{snd (A, n)} \rightarrow codomain f \longrightarrow f \circ_c snd (A, n) = x \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, n)) \longrightarrow x = m)$
unfolding *image-restriction-mapping-def* **by** (*rule someI-ex, insert assms image-of-def2 codom-f, auto*)

then show *?thesis*
using *codom-f* **by** *simp*
qed

definition *image-subobject-mapping* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* ($[-\langle _ \rangle -]$ map
 $[101, 0, 0]100$) **where**
 $[f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map} = (\text{THE } m. f\downarrow_{(A, n)} : A \rightarrow f\langle A \rangle_n \wedge m : f\langle A \rangle_n \rightarrow \text{codomain } f \wedge$
 $\text{coequalizer } (f\langle A \rangle_n) (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) (\text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A)$
 $(\text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) \wedge$
 $\text{monomorphism } m \wedge f \circ_c n = m \circ_c (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) \wedge (\forall x. x : (f\langle A \rangle_n) \rightarrow \text{codomain}$
 $f \longrightarrow f \circ_c n = x \circ_c (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) \longrightarrow x = m))$

lemma *image-subobject-mapping-def2*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $f\downarrow_{(A, n)} : A \rightarrow f\langle A \rangle_n \wedge [f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map} : f\langle A \rangle_n \rightarrow Y \wedge$
 $\text{coequalizer } (f\langle A \rangle_n) (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) (\text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A)$
 $(\text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) \wedge$
 $\text{monomorphism } ([f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map}) \wedge f \circ_c n = [f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map} \circ_c (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) \wedge (\forall x. x :$
 $f\langle A \rangle_n \rightarrow Y \longrightarrow f \circ_c n = x \circ_c (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) \longrightarrow x = [f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map})$

proof –

have *codom-f*: $\text{codomain } f = Y$
using *assms(1)* *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*
have $f\downarrow_{(A, n)} : A \rightarrow f\langle A \rangle_n \wedge ([f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map}) : f\langle A \rangle_n \rightarrow \text{codomain } f \wedge$
 $\text{coequalizer } (f\langle A \rangle_n) (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) (\text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A)$
 $(\text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A) \wedge$
 $\text{monomorphism } ([f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map}) \wedge f \circ_c n = ([f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map}) \circ_c (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) \wedge$
 $(\forall x. x : (f\langle A \rangle_n) \rightarrow \text{codomain } f \longrightarrow f \circ_c n = x \circ_c (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) \longrightarrow x = ([f\langle A \rangle_n]\text{map}))$
unfolding *image-subobject-mapping-def*
by (*rule theI'*, *insert assms codom-f image-restriction-mapping-def2*, *blast*)
then show *?thesis*
using *codom-f* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *image-rest-map-type[type-rule]*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $f\downarrow_{(A, n)} : A \rightarrow f\langle A \rangle_n$
using *assms image-restriction-mapping-def2* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-rest-map-coequalizer*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $\text{coequalizer } (f\langle A \rangle_n) (f\downarrow_{(A, n)}) (\text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c$
 $n) A) (\text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c n) (f \circ_c n) A)$
using *assms image-restriction-mapping-def2* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-rest-map-epi*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y \ n : A \rightarrow X$
shows *epimorphism* $(f\downarrow_{(A, n)})$

using *assms image-rest-map-coequalizer coequalizer-is-epimorphism* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-subobj-map-type*[*type-rule*]:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $[f \downarrow A]_n \text{map} : f \downarrow A \rightarrow Y$
using *assms image-subobject-mapping-def2* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-subobj-map-mono*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $n : A \rightarrow X$
shows *monomorphism* $([f \downarrow A]_n \text{map})$
using *assms image-subobject-mapping-def2* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-subobj-comp-image-rest*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $[f \downarrow A]_n \text{map} \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, n)) = f \circ_c n$
using *assms image-subobject-mapping-def2* **by** *auto*

lemma *image-subobj-map-unique*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $n : A \rightarrow X$
shows $x : f \downarrow A \rightarrow Y \implies f \circ_c n = x \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, n)) \implies x = [f \downarrow A]_n \text{map}$
using *assms image-subobject-mapping-def2* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-self*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ **and** *monomorphism* f
assumes $a : A \rightarrow X$ **and** *monomorphism* a
shows $f \downarrow A \cong A$

proof –
have *monomorphism* $(f \circ_c a)$
using *assms cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic* **by** *auto*
then have *monomorphism* $([f \downarrow A]_a \text{map} \circ_c (f \downarrow (A, a)))$
using *assms image-subobj-comp-image-rest* **by** *auto*
then have *monomorphism* $(f \downarrow (A, a))$
by (*meson* *assms comp-monic-imp-monic'* *image-rest-map-type image-subobj-map-type*)
then have *isomorphism* $(f \downarrow (A, a))$
using *assms epi-mon-is-iso image-rest-map-epi* **by** *blast*
then have $A \cong f \downarrow A$
using *assms unfolding is-isomorphic-def* **by** (*rule-tac* $x=f \downarrow (A, a)$ **in** *exI*,
typecheck-cfuncs)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: isomorphic-is-symmetric*)
qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.3.8 in Halvorson.

lemma *image-smallest-subobject*:
assumes *f-type*[*type-rule*]: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ **and** *a-type*[*type-rule*]: $a : A \rightarrow X$
shows $(B, n) \subseteq_c Y \implies f \text{ factorsthru } n \implies (f \downarrow A, [f \downarrow A]_a \text{map}) \subseteq_Y (B, n)$

proof –
assume $(B, n) \subseteq_c Y$
then have *n-type*[*type-rule*]: $n : B \rightarrow Y$ **and** *n-mono*: *monomorphism* n

```

    unfolding subobject-of-def2 by auto
  assume f factorsthru n
  then obtain g where g-type[type-rule]:  $g : X \rightarrow B$  and f-eq-ng:  $n \circ_c g = f$ 
    using factors-through-def2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

  have fa-type[type-rule]:  $f \circ_c a : A \rightarrow Y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs)

  obtain p0 where p0-def[simp]:  $p0 = \text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c a) (f \circ_c a) A$ 
    by auto
  obtain p1 where p1-def[simp]:  $p1 = \text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c a) (f \circ_c a)$ 
A
    by auto
  obtain E where E-def[simp]:  $E = A \times_{f \circ_c a} f \circ_c a A$ 
    by auto

  have fa-coequalizes:  $(f \circ_c a) \circ_c p0 = (f \circ_c a) \circ_c p1$ 
    using fa-type fibered-product-proj-eq by auto
  have ga-coequalizes:  $(g \circ_c a) \circ_c p0 = (g \circ_c a) \circ_c p1$ 
  proof -
    from fa-coequalizes have  $n \circ_c ((g \circ_c a) \circ_c p0) = n \circ_c ((g \circ_c a) \circ_c p1)$ 
      by (auto, typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: f-eq-ng comp-associative2)
    then show  $(g \circ_c a) \circ_c p0 = (g \circ_c a) \circ_c p1$ 
      using n-mono unfolding monomorphism-def2 by (auto, typecheck-cfuncs-prems,
meson)
  qed

  have  $\forall h F. h : A \rightarrow F \wedge h \circ_c p0 = h \circ_c p1 \longrightarrow (\exists ! k. k : f(A)_a \rightarrow F \wedge k \circ_c$ 
 $f|_{(A, a)} = h)$ 
    using image-rest-map-coequalizer[where n=a] unfolding coequalizer-def
    by (simp, typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: cfunc-type-def)
  then obtain k where k-type[type-rule]:  $k : f(A)_a \rightarrow B$  and k-e-eq-g:  $k \circ_c f|_{(A, a)}$ 
 $= g \circ_c a$ 
    using ga-coequalizes by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

  then have  $n \circ_c k = [f(A)_a]_{\text{map}}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 f-eq-ng g-type image-rest-map-type
image-subobj-map-unique k-e-eq-g)
  then show  $(f(A)_a, [f(A)_a]_{\text{map}}) \subseteq_Y (B, n)$ 
    unfolding relative-subset-def2 using n-mono image-subobj-map-mono
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto, rule-tac x=k in exI, typecheck-cfuncs)
  qed

lemma images-iso:
  assumes f-type[type-rule]:  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assumes m-type[type-rule]:  $m : Z \rightarrow X$  and n-type[type-rule]:  $n : A \rightarrow Z$ 
  shows  $(f \circ_c m)(A)_n \cong f(A)_m \circ_c n$ 
  proof -
    have f-m-image-coequalizer:

```

```

    coequalizer ((f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n) ((f ∘c m)↓(A, n))
      (fibered-product-left-proj A (f ∘c m ∘c n) (f ∘c m ∘c n) A)
      (fibered-product-right-proj A (f ∘c m ∘c n) (f ∘c m ∘c n) A)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 image-restriction-mapping-def2)

have f-image-coequalizer:
  coequalizer (f(↓A)↓m ∘c n) (f↓(A, m ∘c n))
    (fibered-product-left-proj A (f ∘c m ∘c n) (f ∘c m ∘c n) A)
    (fibered-product-right-proj A (f ∘c m ∘c n) (f ∘c m ∘c n) A)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 image-restriction-mapping-def2)

from f-m-image-coequalizer f-image-coequalizer
show (f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n ≅ f(↓A)↓m ∘c n
  by (meson coequalizer-unique)
qed

lemma image-subset-conv:
  assumes f-type[type-rule]: f : X → Y
  assumes m-type[type-rule]: m : Z → X and n-type[type-rule]: n : A → Z
  shows ∃ i. ((f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n, i) ⊆c B ⇒ ∃ j. (f(↓A)↓m ∘c n, j) ⊆c B
proof -
  assume ∃ i. ((f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n, i) ⊆c B
  then obtain i where
    i-type[type-rule]: i : (f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n → B and
    i-mono: monomorphism i
  unfolding subobject-of-def by force

  have (f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n ≅ f(↓A)↓m ∘c n
    using f-type images-iso m-type n-type by blast
  then obtain k where
    k-type[type-rule]: k : f(↓A)↓m ∘c n → (f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n and
    k-mono: monomorphism k
  by (meson is-isomorphic-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphic-is-symmetric)
  then show ∃ j. (f(↓A)↓m ∘c n, j) ⊆c B
    unfolding subobject-of-def using composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic i-mono
    by (rule-tac x=i ∘c k in exI, typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def)
qed

lemma image-rel-subset-conv:
  assumes f-type[type-rule]: f : X → Y
  assumes m-type[type-rule]: m : Z → X and n-type[type-rule]: n : A → Z
  assumes rel-sub1: ((f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n, [(f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n]map) ⊆Y (B, b)
  shows (f(↓A)↓m ∘c n, [f(↓A)↓m ∘c n]map) ⊆Y (B, b)
  using rel-sub1 image-subobj-map-mono
  unfolding relative-subset-def2
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  fix k
  assume k-type[type-rule]: k : (f ∘c m)(↓A)↓n → B
  assume b-type[type-rule]: b : B → Y

```

assume $b\text{-mono}$: *monomorphism* b
assume $b\text{-k-eq-map}$: $b \circ_c k = [(f \circ_c m)(\downarrow A)_n]\text{map}$

have $f\text{-m-image-coequalizer}$:
 $\text{coequalizer } ((f \circ_c m)(\downarrow A)_n) ((f \circ_c m)\upharpoonright_{(A, n)})$
 $(\text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A)$
 $(\text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2 image-restriction-mapping-def2*)
then have $f\text{-m-image-coequalises}$:
 $(f \circ_c m)\upharpoonright_{(A, n)} \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A$
 $= (f \circ_c m)\upharpoonright_{(A, n)} \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems*, *unfold coequalizer-def2*, *auto*)

have $f\text{-image-coequalizer}$:
 $\text{coequalizer } (f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n) (f\upharpoonright_{(A, m \circ_c n)})$
 $(\text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A)$
 $(\text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2 image-restriction-mapping-def2*)
then have $\bigwedge h F. h : A \rightarrow F \implies$
 $h \circ_c \text{fibered-product-left-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A =$
 $h \circ_c \text{fibered-product-right-proj } A (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) (f \circ_c m \circ_c n) A \implies$
 $(\exists !k. k : f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n \rightarrow F \wedge k \circ_c f\upharpoonright_{(A, m \circ_c n)} = h)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems*, *unfold coequalizer-def2*, *auto*)
then have $\exists !k. k : f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n \rightarrow (f \circ_c m)(\downarrow A)_n \wedge k \circ_c f\upharpoonright_{(A, m \circ_c n)} = (f \circ_c m)\upharpoonright_{(A, n)}$
using $f\text{-m-image-coequalises}$ **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *presburger*)
then obtain k' **where**
 $k'\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: k' : f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n \rightarrow (f \circ_c m)(\downarrow A)_n$ **and**
 $k'\text{-eq}: k' \circ_c f\upharpoonright_{(A, m \circ_c n)} = (f \circ_c m)\upharpoonright_{(A, n)}$
by *auto*

have $k'\text{-maps-eq}: [f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n]\text{map} = [(f \circ_c m)(\downarrow A)_n]\text{map} \circ_c k'$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) comp-associative2 image-subobject-mapping-def2*)
 $k'\text{-eq}$
have $k\text{-mono}$: *monomorphism* k
by (*metis b-k-eq-map cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic k-type rel-sub1 relative-subset-def2*)
have $k'\text{-mono}$: *monomorphism* k'
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic comp-type f-type image-subobject-mapping-def2 k'-maps-eq k'-type m-type n-type*)

show $\exists k. k : f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n \rightarrow B \wedge b \circ_c k = [f(\downarrow A)_m \circ_c n]\text{map}$
by (*rule-tac x=k \circ_c k' in exI*, *typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: b-k-eq-map comp-associative2 k'-maps-eq*)
qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.3.9 in Halvorson.

lemma *subset-inv-image-iff-image-subset*:

assumes $(A, a) \subseteq_c X (B, m) \subseteq_c Y$

assumes $[type-rule]$: $f : X \rightarrow Y$

shows $((A, a) \subseteq_X (f^{-1}(B)_m, [f^{-1}(B)_m]map)) = ((f(A)_a, [f(A)_a]map) \subseteq_Y (B, m))$

proof *auto*

have *b-mono*: *monomorphism*(*m*)

using *assms*(2) *subobject-of-def2* **by** *blast*

have *b-type* $[type-rule]$: $m : B \rightarrow Y$

using *assms*(2) *subobject-of-def2* **by** *blast*

obtain *m'* **where** *m'-def*: $m' = [f^{-1}(B)_m]map$

by *blast*

then have *m'-type* $[type-rule]$: $m' : f^{-1}(B)_m \rightarrow X$

using *assms*(3) *b-mono* *inverse-image-subobject-mapping-type m'-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *force*)

assume $(A, a) \subseteq_X (f^{-1}(B)_m, [f^{-1}(B)_m]map)$

then have *a-type* $[type-rule]$: $a : A \rightarrow X$ **and**

a-mono: *monomorphism* *a* **and**

k-exists: $\exists k. k : A \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)_m \wedge [f^{-1}(B)_m]map \circ_c k = a$

unfolding *relative-subset-def2* **by** *auto*

then obtain *k* **where** *k-type* $[type-rule]$: $k : A \rightarrow f^{-1}(B)_m$ **and** *k-a-eq*: $[f^{-1}(B)_m]map \circ_c k = a$

by *auto*

obtain *d* **where** *d-def*: $d = m' \circ_c k$

by *simp*

obtain *j* **where** *j-def*: $j = [f(A)_d]map$

by *simp*

then have *j-type* $[type-rule]$: $j : f(A)_d \rightarrow Y$

using *assms*(3) *comp-type d-def m'-type image-subobj-map-type k-type* **by** *presburger*

obtain *e* **where** *e-def*: $e = f \upharpoonright_{(A, d)}$

by *simp*

then have *e-type* $[type-rule]$: $e : A \rightarrow f(A)_d$

using *assms*(3) *comp-type d-def image-rest-map-type k-type m'-type* **by** *blast*

have *je-equals*: $j \circ_c e = f \circ_c m' \circ_c k$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: d-def e-def image-subobj-comp-image-rest j-def*)

have $(f \circ_c m' \circ_c k)$ *factorsthru* *m*

proof (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold factors-through-def2*)

obtain *middle-arrow* **where** *middle-arrow-def*:

middle-arrow = $(right-cart-proj X B) \circ_c (inverse-image-mapping f B m)$

by *simp*

then have *middle-arrow-type*[*type-rule*]: $middle_arrow : f^{-1}(\downarrow B)_m \rightarrow B$
unfolding *middle-arrow-def* **using** *b-mono* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*)

show $\exists h. h : A \rightarrow B \wedge m \circ_c h = f \circ_c m' \circ_c k$
by (*rule-tac* $x=middle_arrow \circ_c k$ **in** *exI*, *typecheck-cfuncs*,
simp add: b-mono cfunc-type-def comp-associative2 inverse-image-mapping-eq
inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def m'-def middle-arrow-def)
qed

then have $((f \circ_c m' \circ_c k)(\downarrow A)_{id_c A}, [(f \circ_c m' \circ_c k)(\downarrow A)_{id_c A}]map) \subseteq_Y (B, m)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *meson assms(2) image-smallest-subobject*)
then have $((f \circ_c a)(\downarrow A)_{id_c A}, [(f \circ_c a)(\downarrow A)_{id_c A}]map) \subseteq_Y (B, m)$
by (*simp add: k-a-eq m'-def*)
then show $(f(\downarrow A)_a, [f(\downarrow A)_a]map) \subseteq_Y (B, m)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis id-right-unit2 id-type image-rel-subset-conv*)

next
have *m-mono*: *monomorphism*(*m*)
using *assms(2) subobject-of-def2* **by** *blast*
have *m-type*[*type-rule*]: $m : B \rightarrow Y$
using *assms(2) subobject-of-def2* **by** *blast*

assume $(f(\downarrow A)_a, [f(\downarrow A)_a]map) \subseteq_Y (B, m)$
then obtain *s* **where**
s-type[*type-rule*]: $s : f(\downarrow A)_a \rightarrow B$ **and**
m-s-eq-subobj-map: $m \circ_c s = [f(\downarrow A)_a]map$
unfolding *relative-subset-def2* **by** *auto*

have *a-mono*: *monomorphism* *a*
using *assms(1) subobject-of-def2* **by** *auto*

have *pullback-map1-type*[*type-rule*]: $s \circ_c f \upharpoonright_{(A, a)} : A \rightarrow B$
using *assms(1) subobject-of-def2* **by** (*auto*, *typecheck-cfuncs*)
have *pullback-map2-type*[*type-rule*]: $a : A \rightarrow X$
using *assms(1) subobject-of-def2* **by** *auto*
have *pullback-maps-commute*: $m \circ_c s \circ_c f \upharpoonright_{(A, a)} = f \circ_c a$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 image-subobj-comp-image-rest*
m-s-eq-subobj-map)

have $\bigwedge Z k h. k : Z \rightarrow B \implies h : Z \rightarrow X \implies m \circ_c k = f \circ_c h \implies$
 $(\exists ! j. j : Z \rightarrow f^{-1}(\downarrow B)_m \wedge$
 $(right_cart_proj\ X\ B \circ_c inverse_image_mapping\ f\ B\ m) \circ_c j = k \wedge$
 $(left_cart_proj\ X\ B \circ_c inverse_image_mapping\ f\ B\ m) \circ_c j = h)$
using *inverse-image-pullback assms(3) m-mono m-type unfolding is-pullback-def*
by *simp*

then obtain *k* **where** *k-type*[*type-rule*]: $k : A \rightarrow f^{-1}(\downarrow B)_m$ **and**
k-right-eq: $(right_cart_proj\ X\ B \circ_c inverse_image_mapping\ f\ B\ m) \circ_c k = s \circ_c$
 $f \upharpoonright_{(A, a)}$ **and**
k-left-eq: $(left_cart_proj\ X\ B \circ_c inverse_image_mapping\ f\ B\ m) \circ_c k = a$
using *pullback-map1-type pullback-map2-type pullback-maps-commute* **by** *blast*

```

have monomorphism ((left-cart-proj X B  $\circ_c$  inverse-image-mapping f B m)  $\circ_c$  k)
 $\implies$  monomorphism k
  using comp-monic-imp-monic' m-mono by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
then have monomorphism k
  by (simp add: a-mono k-left-eq)
then show (A, a)  $\subseteq_X$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m, [f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)]map)$ )
  unfolding relative-subset-def2
  using assms a-mono m-mono inverse-image-subobject-mapping-mono
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  assume monomorphism k
  then show  $\exists k. k : A \rightarrow f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m) \wedge [f^{-1}(\llbracket B \rrbracket_m)]map \circ_c k = a$ 
    using assms(3) inverse-image-subobject-mapping-def2 k-left-eq k-type
    by (rule-tac x=k in exI, force)
qed
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.3.10 in Halvorson.

```

lemma in-inv-image-of-image:
  assumes (A,m)  $\subseteq_c$  X
  assumes[type-rule]: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y
  shows (A,m)  $\subseteq_X$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m) \rrbracket_{[f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m)]map}, [f^{-1}(\llbracket f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m) \rrbracket_{[f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m)]map})]map)$ )
proof -
  have m-type[type-rule]: m : A  $\rightarrow$  X
    using assms(1) unfolding subobject-of-def2 by auto
  have m-mono: monomorphism m
    using assms(1) unfolding subobject-of-def2 by auto

  have ((f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m), [f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m)]map)  $\subseteq_Y$  (f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m), [f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m)]map))
    unfolding relative-subset-def2
    using m-mono image-subobj-map-mono id-right-unit2 id-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
    blast)
  then show (A,m)  $\subseteq_X$  ( $f^{-1}(\llbracket f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m) \rrbracket_{[f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m)]map}, [f^{-1}(\llbracket f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m) \rrbracket_{[f(\llbracket A \rrbracket_m)]map})]map)$ )
    by (meson assms relative-subset-def2 subobject-of-def2 subset-inv-image-iff-image-subset)
qed

```

16 *distribute-left* and *distribute-right* as Equivalence Relations

```

lemma left-pair-subset:
  assumes m : Y  $\rightarrow$  X  $\times_c$  X monomorphism m
  shows (Y  $\times_c$  Z, distribute-right X X Z  $\circ_c$  (m  $\times_f$  id_c Z))  $\subseteq_c$  (X  $\times_c$  Z)  $\times_c$  (X  $\times_c$  Z)
  unfolding subobject-of-def2 using assms
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold monomorphism-def3, auto)
  fix g h A
  assume g-type: g : A  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\times_c$  Z
  assume h-type: h : A  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\times_c$  Z

```

assume (*distribute-right* $X X Z \circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z)$) $\circ_c g = (\textit{distribute-right } X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c h$
then have *distribute-right* $X X Z \circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c g = \textit{distribute-right } X X Z \circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c h$
using *assms g-type h-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
then have $(m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c g = (m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c h$
using *assms g-type h-type distribute-right-mono distribute-right-type monomorphism-def2*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
then show $g = h$
proof –
have *monomorphism* $(m \times_f id_c Z)$
using *assms cfunc-cross-prod-mono id-isomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
then show $(m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c g = (m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c h \implies g = h$
using *assms g-type h-type unfolding monomorphism-def2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
qed
qed

lemma *right-pair-subset*:

assumes $m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$ *monomorphism* m
shows $(Z \times_c Y, \textit{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)) \subseteq_c (Z \times_c X) \times_c (Z \times_c X)$
unfolding *subobject-of-def2* **using** *assms*
proof (*typecheck-cfuncs, unfold monomorphism-def3, auto*)
fix $g h A$
assume *g-type*: $g : A \rightarrow Z \times_c Y$
assume *h-type*: $h : A \rightarrow Z \times_c X$
assume $(\textit{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)) \circ_c g = (\textit{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)) \circ_c h$
then have $\textit{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c g = \textit{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c h$
using *assms g-type h-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
then have $(id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c g = (id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c h$
using *assms g-type h-type distribute-left-mono distribute-left-type monomorphism-def2*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
then show $g = h$
proof –
have *monomorphism* $(id_c Z \times_f m)$
using *assms cfunc-cross-prod-mono id-isomorphism id-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic*
by *blast*
then show $(id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c g = (id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c h \implies g = h$
using *assms g-type h-type unfolding monomorphism-def2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
qed
qed

```

lemma left-pair-reflexive:
  assumes reflexive-on  $X$  ( $Y$ ,  $m$ )
  shows reflexive-on  $(X \times_c Z)$  ( $Y \times_c Z$ , distribute-right  $X X Z \circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z)$ )
proof (unfold reflexive-on-def, auto)
  have  $m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X \wedge$  monomorphism  $m$ 
    using assms unfolding reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
  then show  $(Y \times_c Z, distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \subseteq_c (X \times_c Z) \times_c$ 
 $X \times_c Z$ 
    by (simp add: left-pair-subset)
next
  fix  $xz$ 
  have  $m\text{-type}: m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$ 
    using assms unfolding reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
  assume  $xz\text{-type}: xz \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
  then obtain  $x z$  where  $x\text{-type}: x \in_c X$  and  $z\text{-type}: z \in_c Z$  and  $xz\text{-def}: xz = \langle x,$ 
 $z \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then show  $\langle xz, xz \rangle \in_c (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z$  ( $Y \times_c Z$ , distribute-right  $X X Z \circ_c m$ 
 $\times_f id_c Z$ )
    using  $m\text{-type}$ 
  proof (auto, typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2, auto)
    have monomorphism  $m$ 
      using assms unfolding reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
    then show monomorphism  $(distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z)$ 
      using cfunc-cross-prod-mono cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic
      distribute-right-mono id-isomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic  $m\text{-type}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs,
      auto)
    next
      have  $xxxz\text{-type}: \langle \langle x, z \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle \in_c (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z$ 
        using  $xz\text{-type}$  cfunc-prod-type  $xz\text{-def}$  by blast
      obtain  $y$  where  $y\text{-def}: y \in_c Y$   $m \circ_c y = \langle x, x \rangle$ 
        using assms reflexive-def2  $x\text{-type}$  by blast
      have  $mid\text{-type}: m \times_f id_c Z : Y \times_c Z \rightarrow (X \times_c X) \times_c Z$ 
        by (simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-type id-type  $m\text{-type}$ )
      have  $dist\text{-mid-type}: distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z : Y \times_c Z \rightarrow (X \times_c$ 
 $Z) \times_c X \times_c Z$ 
        using comp-type distribute-right-type  $mid\text{-type}$  by force

      have  $yz\text{-type}: \langle y, z \rangle \in_c Y \times_c Z$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\langle z \in_c Z \rangle y\text{-def}$ )
      have  $(distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle = distribute-right X X$ 
 $Z \circ_c (m \times_f id(Z)) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle$ 
        using comp-associative2  $mid\text{-type}$   $yz\text{-type}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have  $\dots = distribute-right X X Z \circ_c \langle m \circ_c y, id(Z) \circ_c z \rangle$ 
        using  $z\text{-type}$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod  $m\text{-type}$   $y\text{-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs,
      auto)
      also have  $distxxx: \dots = distribute-right X X Z \circ_c \langle \langle x, x \rangle, z \rangle$ 
        using  $z\text{-type}$  id-left-unit2  $y\text{-def}$  by auto
      also have  $\dots = \langle \langle x, z \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$ 

```

```

    by (meson z-type distribute-right-ap x-type)
  then have  $\exists h. \langle \langle x, z \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle = (\text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z \circ_c m \times_f \text{id}_c Z) \circ_c h$ 
    by (metis calculation)
  then show  $\langle \langle x, z \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle \text{ factorsthru } (\text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z \circ_c m \times_f \text{id}_c Z)$ 
    using xxz-type z-type distribute-right-ap x-type dist-mid-type calculation
  factors-through-def2 yz-type by auto
qed
qed

lemma right-pair-reflexive:
  assumes reflexive-on  $X$  ( $Y$ ,  $m$ )
  shows reflexive-on  $(Z \times_c X)$  ( $Z \times_c Y$ ,  $\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m)$ )
proof (unfold reflexive-on-def, auto)
  have  $m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X \wedge \text{monomorphism } m$ 
    using assms unfolding reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
  then show  $(Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m)) \subseteq_c (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X$ 
    by (simp add: right-pair-subset)
  next
  fix  $zx$ 
  have  $m\text{-type}: m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$ 
    using assms unfolding reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
  assume  $zx\text{-type}: zx \in_c Z \times_c X$ 
  then obtain  $z \ x$  where  $x\text{-type}: x \in_c X$  and  $z\text{-type}: z \in_c Z$  and  $zx\text{-def}: zx = \langle z, x \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then show  $\langle zx, zx \rangle \in_{(Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X} (Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m))$ 
    using m-type
  proof (auto, typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2, auto)
    have monomorphism m
      using assms unfolding reflexive-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
    then show monomorphism  $(\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m))$ 
      using cfunc-cross-prod-mono cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic distribute-left-mono id-isomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic m-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  next
  have  $zxzx\text{-type}: \langle \langle z, x \rangle, \langle z, x \rangle \rangle \in_c (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X$ 
    using zx-type cfunc-prod-type zx-def by blast
  obtain  $y$  where  $y\text{-def}: y \in_c Y$   $m \circ_c y = \langle x, x \rangle$ 
    using assms reflexive-def2 x-type by blast
    have  $mid\text{-type}: (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m) : Z \times_c Y \rightarrow Z \times_c (X \times_c X)$ 
      by (simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-type id-type m-type)
    have  $dist\text{-mid-type}: \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m) : Z \times_c Y \rightarrow (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X$ 
      using comp-type distribute-left-type mid-type by force
    have  $yz\text{-type}: \langle z, y \rangle \in_c Z \times_c Y$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\langle z \in_c Z \rangle y\text{-def}$ )
    have  $(\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m)) \circ_c \langle z, y \rangle = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X$ 

```

```

 $\circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c \langle z, y \rangle$ 
  using comp-associative2 mid-type yz-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = distribute-left Z X X  $\circ_c \langle id_c Z \circ_c z, m \circ_c y \rangle$ 
  using z-type cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod m-type y-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
  also have distxxz: ... = distribute-left Z X X  $\circ_c \langle z, \langle x, x \rangle \rangle$ 
  using z-type id-left-unit2 y-def by auto
  also have ... =  $\langle \langle z, x \rangle, \langle z, x \rangle \rangle$ 
  by (meson z-type distribute-left-ap x-type)
  then have  $\exists h. \langle \langle z, x \rangle, \langle z, x \rangle \rangle = (distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)) \circ_c h$ 
  by (metis calculation)
  then show  $\langle \langle z, x \rangle, \langle z, x \rangle \rangle$  factorsthru (distribute-left Z X X  $\circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)$ )
  using z-type distribute-left-ap x-type calculation dist-mid-type factors-through-def2
yz-type zxxz-type by auto
qed
qed

lemma left-pair-symmetric:
  assumes symmetric-on X (Y, m)
  shows symmetric-on (X  $\times_c$  Z) (Y  $\times_c$  Z, distribute-right X X Z  $\circ_c (m \times_f id_c$ 
Z))
proof (unfold symmetric-on-def, auto)
  have m : Y  $\rightarrow$  X  $\times_c$  X monomorphism m
  using assms subobject-of-def2 symmetric-on-def by auto
  then show (Y  $\times_c$  Z, distribute-right X X Z  $\circ_c m \times_f id_c Z$ )  $\subseteq_c$  (X  $\times_c$  Z)  $\times_c$ 
X  $\times_c$  Z
  by (simp add: left-pair-subset)
next
  have m-def[type-rule]: m : Y  $\rightarrow$  X  $\times_c$  X monomorphism m
  using assms subobject-of-def2 symmetric-on-def by auto
  fix s t
  assume s-type[type-rule]: s  $\in_c$  X  $\times_c$  Z
  assume t-type[type-rule]: t  $\in_c$  X  $\times_c$  Z
  assume st-relation:  $\langle s, t \rangle \in (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z$  (Y  $\times_c$  Z, distribute-right X X Z
 $\circ_c m \times_f id_c Z$ )

  obtain sx sz where s-def[type-rule]: sx  $\in_c$  X sz  $\in_c$  Z s =  $\langle sx, sz \rangle$ 
  using cart-prod-decomp s-type by blast
  obtain tx tz where t-def[type-rule]: tx  $\in_c$  X tz  $\in_c$  Z t =  $\langle tx, tz \rangle$ 
  using cart-prod-decomp t-type by blast

  show  $\langle t, s \rangle \in (X \times_c Z) \times_c (X \times_c Z)$  (Y  $\times_c$  Z, distribute-right X X Z  $\circ_c (m \times_f$ 
 $id_c Z)$ )
  using s-def t-def m-def
proof (simp, typecheck-cfuncs, auto, unfold relative-member-def2, auto)
  show monomorphism (distribute-right X X Z  $\circ_c m \times_f id_c Z$ )
  using relative-member-def2 st-relation by blast

  have  $\langle \langle sx, sz \rangle, \langle tx, tz \rangle \rangle$  factorsthru (distribute-right X X Z  $\circ_c m \times_f id_c Z$ )

```

```

    using st-relation s-def t-def unfolding relative-member-def2 by auto
  then obtain yz where yz-type[type-rule]: yz ∈c Y ×c Z
  and yz-def: (distribute-right X X Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z)) ∘c yz = ⟨⟨sx,sz⟩, ⟨tx,tz⟩⟩
    using s-def t-def m-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold factors-through-def2,
auto)
  then obtain y z where
    y-type[type-rule]: y ∈c Y and z-type[type-rule]: z ∈c Z and yz-pair: yz = ⟨y,
z⟩
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then obtain my1 my2 where my-types[type-rule]: my1 ∈c X my2 ∈c X and
my-def: m ∘c y = ⟨my1,my2⟩
  by (metis cart-prod-decomp cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp m-def(1))
  then obtain y' where y'-type[type-rule]: y' ∈c Y and y'-def: m ∘c y' =
⟨my2,my1⟩
  using assms symmetric-def2 y-type by blast

  have (distribute-right X X Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z)) ∘c yz = ⟨⟨my1,z⟩, ⟨my2,z⟩⟩
  proof -
    have (distribute-right X X Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z)) ∘c yz = distribute-right X X
Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z) ∘c ⟨y, z⟩
    unfolding yz-pair by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = distribute-right X X Z ∘c ⟨m ∘c y, idc Z ∘c z⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
    also have ... = distribute-right X X Z ∘c ⟨⟨my1,my2⟩, z⟩
    unfolding my-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2)
    also have ... = ⟨⟨my1,z⟩, ⟨my2,z⟩⟩
    using distribute-right-ap by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
  qed
  then have ⟨⟨sx,sz⟩,⟨tx,tz⟩⟩ = ⟨⟨my1,z⟩,⟨my2,z⟩⟩
  using yz-def by auto
  then have ⟨sx,sz⟩ = ⟨my1,z⟩ ∧ ⟨tx,tz⟩ = ⟨my2,z⟩
  using element-pair-eq by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have eqs: sx = my1 ∧ sz = z ∧ tx = my2 ∧ tz = z
  using element-pair-eq by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

  have (distribute-right X X Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z)) ∘c ⟨y',z⟩ = ⟨⟨tx,tz⟩, ⟨sx,sz⟩⟩
  proof -
    have (distribute-right X X Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z)) ∘c ⟨y',z⟩ = distribute-right X
X Z ∘c (m ×f idc Z) ∘c ⟨y',z⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = distribute-right X X Z ∘c ⟨m ∘c y', idc Z ∘c z⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
    also have ... = distribute-right X X Z ∘c ⟨⟨my2,my1⟩, z⟩
    unfolding y'-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2)
    also have ... = ⟨⟨my2,z⟩, ⟨my1,z⟩⟩
    using distribute-right-ap by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ⟨⟨tx,tz⟩, ⟨sx,sz⟩⟩

```



```

    using eqs by auto
    then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
  qed
  then show  $\langle \langle tx, tz \rangle, \langle sx, sz \rangle \rangle$  factorsthru (distribute-right  $X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold factors-through-def2, rule-tac  $x = \langle y', z \rangle$  in exI,
    typecheck-cfuncs)
  qed
qed

lemma right-pair-symmetric:
  assumes symmetric-on  $X (Y, m)$ 
  shows symmetric-on  $(Z \times_c X) (Z \times_c Y, distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m))$ 
proof (unfold symmetric-on-def, auto)
  have  $m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
    using assms subobject-of-def2 symmetric-on-def by auto
  then show  $(Z \times_c Y, distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)) \subseteq_c (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X$ 
    by (simp add: right-pair-subset)
next
  have  $m\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}] : m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
    using assms subobject-of-def2 symmetric-on-def by auto

  fix  $s t$ 
  assume  $s\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : s \in_c Z \times_c X$ 
  assume  $t\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : t \in_c Z \times_c X$ 
  assume  $st\text{-relation} : \langle s, t \rangle \in (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X (Z \times_c Y, distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m))$ 

  obtain  $xs zs$  where  $s\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}] : xs \in_c Z zs \in_c X s = \langle xs, zs \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp  $s\text{-type}$  by blast
  obtain  $xt zt$  where  $t\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}] : xt \in_c Z zt \in_c X t = \langle xt, zt \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp  $t\text{-type}$  by blast

  show  $\langle t, s \rangle \in (Z \times_c X) \times_c (Z \times_c X) (Z \times_c Y, distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m))$ 
    using  $s\text{-def}$   $t\text{-def}$   $m\text{-def}$ 
  proof (simp, typecheck-cfuncs, auto, unfold relative-member-def2, auto)
    show monomorphism  $(distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m))$ 
      using relative-member-def2  $st\text{-relation}$  by blast

    have  $\langle \langle xs, zs \rangle, \langle xt, zt \rangle \rangle$  factorsthru  $(distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m))$ 
      using  $st\text{-relation}$   $s\text{-def}$   $t\text{-def}$  unfolding relative-member-def2 by auto
    then obtain  $zy$  where  $zy\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : zy \in_c Z \times_c Y$ 
      and  $zy\text{-def} : (distribute-left Z X X \circ_c (id_c Z \times_f m)) \circ_c zy = \langle \langle xs, zs \rangle, \langle xt, zt \rangle \rangle$ 
      using  $s\text{-def}$   $t\text{-def}$   $m\text{-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold factors-through-def2, auto)
    then obtain  $y z$  where

```

y -type[type-rule]: $y \in_c Y$ and z -type[type-rule]: $z \in_c Z$ and yz -pair: $zy = \langle z, y \rangle$
 using *cart-prod-decomp* by *blast*
 then obtain $my1\ my2$ where my -types[type-rule]: $my1 \in_c X\ my2 \in_c X$ and
 my -def: $m \circ_c y = \langle my2, my1 \rangle$
 by (*metis cart-prod-decomp cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp m-def*(1))
 then obtain y' where y' -type[type-rule]: $y' \in_c Y$ and y' -def: $m \circ_c y' = \langle my1, my2 \rangle$
 using *assms symmetric-def2 y-type* by *blast*

 have ($distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m) \circ_c zy = \langle \langle z, my2 \rangle, \langle z, my1 \rangle \rangle$)
 proof –
 have ($distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m) \circ_c zy = distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X$
 $\circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m) \circ_c zy$
 unfolding yz -pair by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
 also have $\dots = distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c \langle id_c\ Z \circ_c z, m \circ_c y \rangle$
 by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod yz-pair*)
 also have $\dots = distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c \langle z, \langle my2, my1 \rangle \rangle$
 unfolding my -def by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2*)
 also have $\dots = \langle \langle z, my2 \rangle, \langle z, my1 \rangle \rangle$
 using *distribute-left-ap* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
 then show ?thesis
 using *calculation* by *auto*
 qed
 then have $\langle \langle xs, zs \rangle, \langle xt, zt \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle z, my2 \rangle, \langle z, my1 \rangle \rangle$
 using zy -def by *auto*
 then have $\langle xs, zs \rangle = \langle z, my2 \rangle \wedge \langle xt, zt \rangle = \langle z, my1 \rangle$
 using *element-pair-eq* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
 then have $eqs: xs = z \wedge zs = my2 \wedge xt = z \wedge zt = my1$
 using *element-pair-eq* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

 have ($distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m) \circ_c \langle z, y' \rangle = \langle \langle xt, zt \rangle, \langle xs, zs \rangle \rangle$)
 proof –
 have ($distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m) \circ_c \langle z, y' \rangle = distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X$
 $X \circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m) \circ_c \langle z, y' \rangle$
 by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
 also have $\dots = distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c \langle id_c\ Z \circ_c z, m \circ_c y' \rangle$
 by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod*)
 also have $\dots = distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c \langle z, \langle my1, my2 \rangle \rangle$
 unfolding y' -def by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2*)
 also have $\dots = \langle \langle z, my1 \rangle, \langle z, my2 \rangle \rangle$
 using *distribute-left-ap* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
 also have $\dots = \langle \langle xt, zt \rangle, \langle xs, zs \rangle \rangle$
 using *eqs* by *auto*
 then show ?thesis
 using *calculation* by *auto*
 qed
 then show $\langle \langle xt, zt \rangle, \langle xs, zs \rangle \rangle$ factorsthru ($distribute\text{-}left\ Z\ X\ X \circ_c (id_c\ Z \times_f m)$)
 by (*typecheck-cfuncs, unfold factors-through-def2, rule-tac x = \langle z, y' \rangle* in *exI*,

```

typecheck-cfuncs)
qed
qed

lemma left-pair-transitive:
  assumes transitive-on  $X$  ( $Y$ ,  $m$ )
  shows transitive-on  $(X \times_c Z)$  ( $Y \times_c Z$ , distribute-right  $X$   $X$   $Z$   $\circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z)$ )
proof (unfold transitive-on-def, auto)
  have  $m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  using assms subobject-of-def2 transitive-on-def by auto
  then show  $(Y \times_c Z, distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \subseteq_c (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z$ 
  by (simp add: left-pair-subset)
next
  have  $m\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}] : m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  using assms subobject-of-def2 transitive-on-def by auto

  fix  $s\ t\ u$ 
  assume  $s\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : s \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
  assume  $t\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : t \in_c X \times_c Z$ 
  assume  $u\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : u \in_c X \times_c Z$ 

  assume  $st\text{-relation} : \langle s, t \rangle \in (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z (Y \times_c Z, distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z)$ 
  then obtain  $h$  where  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : h \in_c Y \times_c Z$  and  $h\text{-def} : (distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c h = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  then obtain  $hy\ hz$  where  $h\text{-part-types}[type\text{-rule}] : hy \in_c Y\ hz \in_c Z$  and  $h\text{-decomp} : h = \langle hy, hz \rangle$ 
  using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then obtain  $mhy1\ mhy2$  where  $mhy\text{-types}[type\text{-rule}] : mhy1 \in_c X\ mhy2 \in_c X$ 
  and  $mhy\text{-decomp} : m \circ_c hy = \langle mhy1, mhy2 \rangle$ 
  using cart-prod-decomp by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

  have  $\langle s, t \rangle = \langle \langle mhy1, hz \rangle, \langle mhy2, hz \rangle \rangle$ 
proof -
  have  $\langle s, t \rangle = (distribute-right X X Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c \langle hy, hz \rangle$ 
  using  $h\text{-decomp}\ h\text{-def}$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = distribute-right X X Z \circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c \langle hy, hz \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = distribute-right X X Z \circ_c \langle m \circ_c hy, hz \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2)
  also have  $\dots = \langle \langle mhy1, hz \rangle, \langle mhy2, hz \rangle \rangle$ 
  unfolding  $mhy\text{-decomp}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: distribute-right-ap)
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
qed
then have  $s\text{-def} : s = \langle mhy1, hz \rangle$  and  $t\text{-def} : t = \langle mhy2, hz \rangle$ 

```

using *cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*, *presburger*)

assume *tu-relation*: $\langle t, u \rangle \in (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z (Y \times_c Z, \text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z$
 $\circ_c m \times_f id_c Z)$

then obtain *g* **where** *g-type*[*type-rule*]: $g \in_c Y \times_c Z$ **and** *g-def*: (*distribute-right*
 $X \ X \ Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c g = \langle t, u \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2*, *auto*)

then obtain *gy gz* **where** *g-part-types*[*type-rule*]: $gy \in_c Y \ gz \in_c Z$ **and** *g-decomp*:
 $g = \langle gy, gz \rangle$

using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** *blast*

then obtain *mgy1 mgy2* **where** *mgy-types*[*type-rule*]: $mgy1 \in_c X \ mgy2 \in_c X$
and *mgy-decomp*: $m \circ_c gy = \langle mgy1, mgy2 \rangle$

using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

have $\langle t, u \rangle = \langle \langle mgy1, gz \rangle, \langle mgy2, gz \rangle \rangle$

proof –

have $\langle t, u \rangle = (\text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z \circ_c m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c \langle gy, gz \rangle$
using *g-decomp g-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z \circ_c (m \times_f id_c Z) \circ_c \langle gy, gz \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: comp-associative2*)

also have $\dots = \text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z \circ_c \langle m \circ_c gy, gz \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2*)

also have $\dots = \langle \langle mgy1, gz \rangle, \langle mgy2, gz \rangle \rangle$
unfolding *mgy-decomp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: distribute-right-ap*)

then show *?thesis*

using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

then have *t-def2*: $t = \langle mgy1, gz \rangle$ **and** *u-def*: $u = \langle mgy2, gz \rangle$
using *cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*, *presburger*)

have *mhy2-eq-mgy1*: $mhy2 = mgy1$
using *t-def2 t-def cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*auto*, *typecheck-cfuncs*)

have *gy-eq-gz*: $hz = gz$
using *t-def2 t-def cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*auto*, *typecheck-cfuncs*)

have *mhy-in-Y*: $\langle mhy1, mhy2 \rangle \in_X \times_c X (Y, m)$
using *m-def h-part-types mhy-decomp*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2*, *auto*)

have *mgy-in-Y*: $\langle mgy2, mgy2 \rangle \in_X \times_c X (Y, m)$
using *m-def g-part-types mgy-decomp mhy2-eq-mgy1*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2*, *auto*)

have $\langle mhy1, mgy2 \rangle \in_X \times_c X (Y, m)$
using *assms mhy-in-Y mgy-in-Y mgy-types mhy2-eq-mgy1* **unfolding** *transi-*
tive-on-def
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

then obtain *y* **where** *y-type*[*type-rule*]: $y \in_c Y$ **and** *y-def*: $m \circ_c y = \langle mhy1,$
 $mgy2 \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2*, *auto*)

```

show  $\langle s, u \rangle \in (X \times_c Z) \times_c X \times_c Z (Y \times_c Z, \text{distribute-right } X X Z \circ_c (m \times_f$ 
 $\text{id}_c Z))$ 
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  show monomorphism (distribute-right  $X X Z \circ_c m \times_f \text{id}_c Z$ )
  using relative-member-def2 st-relation by blast

show  $\exists h. h \in_c Y \times_c Z \wedge (\text{distribute-right } X X Z \circ_c m \times_f \text{id}_c Z) \circ_c h = \langle s, u \rangle$ 
unfolding s-def u-def gy-eq-gz
proof (rule-tac  $x = \langle y, gz \rangle$  in exI, auto, typecheck-cfuncs)
  have (distribute-right  $X X Z \circ_c m \times_f \text{id}_c Z$ )  $\circ_c \langle y, gz \rangle = \text{distribute-right } X$ 
 $X Z \circ_c (m \times_f \text{id}_c Z) \circ_c \langle y, gz \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = \text{distribute-right } X X Z \circ_c \langle m \circ_c y, gz \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2)
  also have  $\dots = \langle \langle mhy1, gz \rangle, \langle mgy2, gz \rangle \rangle$ 
  unfolding y-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: distribute-right-ap)
  then show (distribute-right  $X X Z \circ_c m \times_f \text{id}_c Z$ )  $\circ_c \langle y, gz \rangle = \langle \langle mhy1, gz \rangle, \langle mgy2, gz \rangle \rangle$ 
  using calculation by auto
qed
qed
qed

lemma right-pair-transitive:
  assumes transitive-on  $X (Y, m)$ 
  shows transitive-on  $(Z \times_c X) (Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c (\text{id}_c Z \times_f m))$ 
proof (unfold transitive-on-def, auto)
  have  $m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  using assms subobject-of-def2 transitive-on-def by auto
  then show  $(Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z X X \circ_c \text{id}_c Z \times_f m) \subseteq_c (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z$ 
 $\times_c X$ 
  by (simp add: right-pair-subset)
next
  have  $m\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}]: m : Y \rightarrow X \times_c X$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  using assms subobject-of-def2 transitive-on-def by auto

  fix  $s t u$ 
  assume  $s\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: s \in_c Z \times_c X$ 
  assume  $t\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: t \in_c Z \times_c X$ 
  assume  $u\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: u \in_c Z \times_c X$ 
  assume st-relation:  $\langle s, t \rangle \in (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X (Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z X X$ 
 $\circ_c \text{id}_c Z \times_f m)$ 
  then obtain  $h$  where  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: h \in_c Z \times_c Y$  and  $h\text{-def}: (\text{distribute-left}$ 
 $Z X X \circ_c \text{id}_c Z \times_f m) \circ_c h = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  then obtain  $hy hz$  where  $h\text{-part-types}[type\text{-rule}]: hy \in_c Y hz \in_c Z$  and  $h\text{-decomp}:$ 
 $h = \langle hz, hy \rangle$ 
  using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then obtain  $mhy1 mhy2$  where  $mhy\text{-types}[type\text{-rule}]: mhy1 \in_c X mhy2 \in_c X$ 

```

and *mhy-decomp*: $m \circ_c hy = \langle mhy1, mhy2 \rangle$
using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

have $\langle s, t \rangle = \langle \langle hz, mhy1 \rangle, \langle hz, mhy2 \rangle \rangle$
proof –
have $\langle s, t \rangle = (\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \ \times_f \ m) \circ_c \langle hz, hy \rangle$
using *h-decomp* *h-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \ (\text{id}_c \ Z \ \times_f \ m) \circ_c \langle hz, hy \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto* *simp* *add*: *comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \ \langle hz, m \circ_c hy \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2*)
also have $\dots = \langle \langle hz, mhy1 \rangle, \langle hz, mhy2 \rangle \rangle$
unfolding *mhy-decomp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *distribute-left-ap*)
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed

then have *s-def*: $s = \langle hz, mhy1 \rangle$ **and** *t-def*: $t = \langle hz, mhy2 \rangle$
using *cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*, *presburger*)

assume *tu-relation*: $\langle t, u \rangle \in (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X (Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \ \times_f \ m)$
then obtain *g* **where** *g-type*[*type-rule*]: $g \in_c Z \times_c Y$ **and** *g-def*: $(\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \ \times_f \ m) \circ_c g = \langle t, u \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold relative-member-def2* *factors-through-def2*, *auto*)
then obtain *gy* *gz* **where** *g-part-types*[*type-rule*]: $gy \in_c Y \ gz \in_c Z$ **and** *g-decomp*: $g = \langle gz, gy \rangle$
using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** *blast*
then obtain *mgy1* *mgy2* **where** *mgy-types*[*type-rule*]: $mgy1 \in_c X \ mgy2 \in_c X$
and *mgy-decomp*: $m \circ_c gy = \langle mgy2, mgy1 \rangle$
using *cart-prod-decomp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

have $\langle t, u \rangle = \langle \langle gz, mgy2 \rangle, \langle gz, mgy1 \rangle \rangle$
proof –
have $\langle t, u \rangle = (\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \ \times_f \ m) \circ_c \langle gz, gy \rangle$
using *g-decomp* *g-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \ (\text{id}_c \ Z \ \times_f \ m) \circ_c \langle gz, gy \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto* *simp* *add*: *comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \ \circ_c \ \langle gz, m \circ_c gy \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2*)
also have $\dots = \langle \langle gz, mgy2 \rangle, \langle gz, mgy1 \rangle \rangle$
unfolding *mgy-decomp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *distribute-left-ap*)
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed

then have *t-def2*: $t = \langle gz, mgy2 \rangle$ **and** *u-def*: $u = \langle gz, mgy1 \rangle$
using *cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*, *presburger*)
have *mhy2-eq-mgy2*: $mhy2 = mgy2$
using *t-def2* *t-def* *cart-prod-eq2* **by** (*auto*, *typecheck-cfuncs*)
have *gy-eq-gz*: $hz = gz$

```

    using t-def2 t-def cart-prod-eq2 by (auto, typecheck-cfuncs)
  have mhy-in-Y:  $\langle mhy1, mhy2 \rangle \in_X \times_c X (Y, m)$ 
    using m-def h-part-types mhy-decomp
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  have mgy-in-Y:  $\langle mhy2, mgy1 \rangle \in_X \times_c X (Y, m)$ 
    using m-def g-part-types mgy-decomp mhy2-eq-mgy2
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  have  $\langle mhy1, mgy1 \rangle \in_X \times_c X (Y, m)$ 
    using assms mhy-in-Y mgy-in-Y mgy-types mhy2-eq-mgy2 unfolding transi-
    tive-on-def
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then obtain y where y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c Y$  and y-def:  $m \circ_c y = \langle mhy1, mgy1 \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  show  $\langle s, u \rangle \in (Z \times_c X) \times_c Z \times_c X (Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \times_f \ m)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
  proof (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold relative-member-def2 factors-through-def2, auto)
    show monomorphism  $(\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \times_f \ m)$ 
      using relative-member-def2 st-relation by blast
    show  $\exists h. h \in_c Z \times_c Y \wedge (\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \times_f \ m) \circ_c h = \langle s, u \rangle$ 
      unfolding s-def u-def gy-eq-gz
    proof (rule-tac x= $\langle gz, y \rangle$  in exI, auto, typecheck-cfuncs)
      have  $(\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ Z \times_f \ m)) \circ_c \langle gz, y \rangle = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X$ 
 $X \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ Z \times_f \ m) \circ_c \langle gz, y \rangle$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2)
      also have  $\dots = \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c \langle gz, m \circ_c y \rangle$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2)
      also have  $\dots = \langle \langle gz, mhy1 \rangle, \langle gz, mgy1 \rangle \rangle$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: distribute-left-ap y-def)
      then show  $(\text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ Z \times_f \ m) \circ_c \langle gz, y \rangle = \langle \langle gz, mhy1 \rangle, \langle gz, mgy1 \rangle \rangle$ 
        using calculation by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma left-pair-equiv-rel:

```

  assumes equiv-rel-on X (Y, m)
  shows equiv-rel-on  $(X \times_c Z) (Y \times_c Z, \text{distribute-right } X \ X \ Z \circ_c (m \times_f \text{id } Z))$ 
  using assms left-pair-reflexive left-pair-symmetric left-pair-transitive
  by (unfold equiv-rel-on-def, auto)

```

lemma right-pair-equiv-rel:

```

  assumes equiv-rel-on X (Y, m)
  shows equiv-rel-on  $(Z \times_c X) (Z \times_c Y, \text{distribute-left } Z \ X \ X \circ_c (\text{id } Z \times_f \ m))$ 
  using assms right-pair-reflexive right-pair-symmetric right-pair-transitive
  by (unfold equiv-rel-on-def, auto)

```

17 Graphs

definition *functional-on* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \times *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

functional-on *X Y R* = (*R* \subseteq_c *X* \times_c *Y* \wedge
 $(\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow (\exists! y. y \in_c Y \wedge$
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c Y} R)))$)

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.3.12 in Halvorson.

definition *graph* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cset* **where**

graph *f* = (*SOME* *E*. $\exists m. \text{equalizer } E m (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) (\text{codomain } f)) (\text{right-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) (\text{codomain } f)))$)

lemma *graph-equalizer*:

$\exists m. \text{equalizer } (\text{graph } f) m (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) (\text{codomain } f)) (\text{right-cart-proj } (\text{domain } f) (\text{codomain } f))$
by (*unfold graph-def*, *typecheck-cfuncs*, *rule-tac someI-ex*, *simp add: cfunc-type-def equalizer-exists*)

lemma *graph-equalizer2*:

assumes *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*
shows $\exists m. \text{equalizer } (\text{graph } f) m (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X Y) (\text{right-cart-proj } X Y)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-type-def graph-equalizer*)

definition *graph-morph* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

graph-morph *f* = (*SOME* *m*. *equalizer* (*graph* *f*) *m* (*f* \circ_c *left-cart-proj* (*domain* *f*) (*codomain* *f*)) (*right-cart-proj* (*domain* *f*) (*codomain* *f*)))

lemma *graph-equalizer3*:

equalizer (*graph* *f*) (*graph-morph* *f*) (*f* \circ_c *left-cart-proj* (*domain* *f*) (*codomain* *f*)) (*right-cart-proj* (*domain* *f*) (*codomain* *f*))
using *graph-equalizer* **by** (*unfold graph-morph-def*, *typecheck-cfuncs*, *rule-tac someI-ex*, *blast*)

lemma *graph-equalizer4*:

assumes *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*
shows *equalizer* (*graph* *f*) (*graph-morph* *f*) (*f* \circ_c *left-cart-proj* *X Y*) (*right-cart-proj* *X Y*)
using *assms* *cfunc-type-def graph-equalizer3* **by** *auto*

lemma *graph-subobject*:

assumes *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*
shows (*graph* *f*, *graph-morph* *f*) \subseteq_c (*X* \times_c *Y*)
by (*metis assms cfunc-type-def equalizer-def equalizer-is-monomorphism graph-equalizer3 right-cart-proj-type subobject-of-def2*)

lemma *graph-morph-type*[*type-rule*]:

assumes *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*
shows *graph-morph*(*f*) : *graph* *f* \rightarrow *X* \times_c *Y*
using *graph-subobject subobject-of-def2 assms* **by** *auto*

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.3.13 in Halvorson.

```

lemma graphs-are-functional:
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows functional-on  $X\ Y$  (graph  $f$ , graph-morph  $f$ )
proof(unfold functional-on-def, auto)
  show graph-subobj: (graph  $f$ , graph-morph  $f$ )  $\subseteq_c (X \times_c Y)$ 
    by (simp add: assms graph-subobject)
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies \exists y. y \in_c Y \wedge \langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c Y} (\text{graph } f, \text{graph-morph } f)$ 
  proof –
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$ 
    obtain  $y$  where  $y\text{-def}: y = f \circ_c x$ 
    by simp
    then have  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y \in_c Y$ 
    using assms comp-type x-type y-def by blast

  have  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c Y} (\text{graph } f, \text{graph-morph } f)$ 
  proof(unfold relative-member-def, auto)
    show  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_c X \times_c Y$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
    show monomorphism (graph-morph  $f$ )
    using graph-subobj subobject-of-def2 by blast
    show graph-morph  $f : \text{graph } f \rightarrow X \times_c Y$ 
    using graph-subobj subobject-of-def2 by blast
    show  $\langle x, y \rangle$  factorsthr graph-morph  $f$ 
    proof(subst xfactorthru-equalizer-iff-fx-eq-gx[where  $E = \text{graph } f$ , where  $m$ 
    = graph-morph  $f$ ,
    where  $f = (f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y)$ ,
    where  $g = \text{right-cart-proj } X\ Y$ , where  $X = X \times_c Y$ , where  $Y = Y$ ,
    where  $x = \langle x, y \rangle$ ])
    show  $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y : X \times_c Y \rightarrow Y$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
    show  $\text{right-cart-proj } X\ Y : X \times_c Y \rightarrow Y$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
    show equalizer (graph  $f$ ) (graph-morph  $f$ ) ( $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y$ ) (right-cart-proj
     $X\ Y$ )
    by (simp add: assms graph-equalizer4)
    show  $\langle x, y \rangle \in_c X \times_c Y$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
    show  $(f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{right-cart-proj } X\ Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$ 
    using assms
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod
    right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod y-def)
    qed
  qed
  then show  $\exists y. y \in_c Y \wedge \langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c Y} (\text{graph } f, \text{graph-morph } f)$ 
  using  $y\text{-type}$  by blast
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge x\ y\ ya.$ 

```

```

 $x \in_c X \implies$ 
 $y \in_c Y \implies$ 
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in_X \times_c Y \text{ (graph } f, \text{ graph-morph } f) \implies$ 
 $ya \in_c Y \implies$ 
 $\langle x, ya \rangle \in_X \times_c Y \text{ (graph } f, \text{ graph-morph } f)$ 
 $\implies y = ya$ 
using assms
by (smt (z3) comp-associative2 equalizer-def factors-through-def2 graph-equalizer4
left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod left-cart-proj-type relative-member-def2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
qed

```

lemma *functional-on-isomorphism:*

```

assumes functional-on  $X\ Y\ (R, m)$ 
shows isomorphism(left-cart-proj  $X\ Y\ \circ_c m$ )
proof –
  have m-mono: monomorphism(m)
    using assms functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by blast
  have pi0-m-type[type-rule]: left-cart-proj  $X\ Y\ \circ_c m : R \rightarrow X$ 
    using assms functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  have surj: surjective(left-cart-proj  $X\ Y\ \circ_c m$ )
  proof(unfold surjective-def, auto)
    fix x
    assume  $x \in_c \text{codomain } (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m)$ 
    then have [type-rule]:  $x \in_c X$ 
      using cfunc-type-def pi0-m-type by force
    then have  $\exists! y. (y \in_c Y \wedge \langle x, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c Y} (R, m))$ 
      using assms functional-on-def by force
    then show  $\exists z. z \in_c \text{domain } (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m) \wedge (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m) \circ_c z = x$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) cfunc-type-def comp-associative factors-through-def2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod relative-member-def2)
    qed
  have inj: injective(left-cart-proj  $X\ Y\ \circ_c m$ )
  proof(unfold injective-def, auto)
    fix r1 r2
    assume  $r1 \in_c \text{domain } (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m)$  then have r1-type[type-rule]:
 $r1 \in_c R$ 
      by (metis cfunc-type-def pi0-m-type)
    assume  $r2 \in_c \text{domain } (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m)$  then have r2-type[type-rule]:
 $r2 \in_c R$ 
      by (metis cfunc-type-def pi0-m-type)
    assume  $(\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m) \circ_c r1 = (\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m) \circ_c r2$ 
    then have eq:  $\text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m \circ_c r1 = \text{left-cart-proj } X\ Y\ \circ_c m \circ_c r2$ 
    using assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative functional-on-def subobject-of-def2
by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    have mx-type[type-rule]:  $m \circ_c r1 \in_c X \times_c Y$ 
      using assms functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    then obtain x1 and y1 where m1r1-eqs:  $m \circ_c r1 = \langle x1, y1 \rangle \wedge x1 \in_c X \wedge y1 \in_c Y$ 

```

```

    using cart-prod-decomp by presburger
  have my-type[type-rule]:  $m \circ_c r2 \in_c X \times_c Y$ 
    using assms functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then obtain  $x2$  and  $y2$  where  $m2r2\text{-eqs}: m \circ_c r2 = \langle x2, y2 \rangle \wedge x2 \in_c X \wedge y2 \in_c Y$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by presburger
  have x-equal:  $x1 = x2$ 
    using eq left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod m1r1-eqs m2r2-eqs by force
  have functional:  $\exists! y. (y \in_c Y \wedge \langle x1, y \rangle \in_{X \times_c Y} (R, m))$ 
    using assms functional-on-def m1r1-eqs by force
  then have y-equal:  $y1 = y2$ 
    by (metis prod.sel factors-through-def2 m1r1-eqs m2r2-eqs mx-type my-type
    r1-type r2-type relative-member-def x-equal)
  then show  $r1 = r2$ 
    by (metis functional cfunc-type-def m1r1-eqs m2r2-eqs monomorphism-def
    r1-type r2-type relative-member-def2 x-equal)
qed
show isomorphism(left-cart-proj  $X$   $Y$   $\circ_c$   $m$ )
  by (metis epi-mon-is-iso inj injective-imp-monomorphism surj surjective-is-epimorphism)
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.3.14 in Halvorson.

```

lemma functional-relations-are-graphs:
  assumes functional-on  $X$   $Y$   $(R, m)$ 
  shows  $\exists! f. f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge$ 
    ( $\exists i. i : R \rightarrow \text{graph}(f) \wedge \text{isomorphism}(i) \wedge m = \text{graph-morph}(f) \circ_c i$ )
proof auto
  have m-type[type-rule]:  $m : R \rightarrow X \times_c Y$ 
    using assms unfolding functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
  have m-mono[type-rule]: monomorphism( $m$ )
    using assms functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by blast
  have isomorphism[type-rule]: isomorphism(left-cart-proj  $X$   $Y$   $\circ_c$   $m$ )
    using assms functional-on-isomorphism by force

  obtain  $h$  where  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: h : X \rightarrow R$  and  $h\text{-def}: h = (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y$ 
 $\circ_c m)^{-1}$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-def}: f = (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c m \circ_c h$ 
    by auto
  then have  $f\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
    by (metis assms comp-type f-def functional-on-def h-type right-cart-proj-type
    subobject-of-def2)

  have eq:  $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c m = \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c m$ 
    unfolding f-def h-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 id-right-unit2
    inv-left isomorphism)

  show  $\exists f. f : X \rightarrow Y \wedge (\exists i. i : R \rightarrow \text{graph } f \wedge \text{isomorphism } i \wedge m = \text{graph-morph}$ 
 $f \circ_c i)$ 

```

```

proof (rule-tac x=f in exI, auto, typecheck-cfuncs)
  have graph-equalizer: equalizer (graph f) (graph-morph f) (f ∘c left-cart-proj X
Y) (right-cart-proj X Y)
    by (simp add: f-type graph-equalizer4)
  then have ∀ h F. h : F → X ×c Y ∧ (f ∘c left-cart-proj X Y) ∘c h =
right-cart-proj X Y ∘c h →
    (∃! k. k : F → graph f ∧ graph-morph f ∘c k = h)
  unfolding equalizer-def using cfunc-type-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then obtain i where i-type[type-rule]: i : R → graph f and i-eq: graph-morph
f ∘c i = m
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 eq left-cart-proj-type)
  have surjective i
proof (etcs-subst surjective-def2, auto)
    fix y'
    assume y'-type[type-rule]: y' ∈c graph f

    define x where x = left-cart-proj X Y ∘c graph-morph(f) ∘c y'
    then have x-type[type-rule]: x ∈c X
      unfolding x-def by typecheck-cfuncs

    obtain y where y-type[type-rule]: y ∈c Y and x-y-in-R: ⟨x,y⟩ ∈X ×c Y (R,
m)
      and y-unique: ∀ z. (z ∈c Y ∧ ⟨x,z⟩ ∈X ×c Y (R, m)) → z = y
      by (metis assms functional-on-def x-type)

    obtain x' where x'-type[type-rule]: x' ∈c R and x'-eq: m ∘c x' = ⟨x, y⟩
      using x-y-in-R unfolding relative-member-def2 by (−, etcs-subst-asm
factors-through-def2, auto)

    have graph-morph(f) ∘c i ∘c x' = graph-morph(f) ∘c y'
    proof (typecheck-cfuncs, rule cart-prod-eqI, auto)
      show left: left-cart-proj X Y ∘c graph-morph f ∘c i ∘c x' = left-cart-proj X
Y ∘c graph-morph f ∘c y'
        proof −
          have left-cart-proj X Y ∘c graph-morph(f) ∘c i ∘c x' = left-cart-proj X Y
∘c m ∘c x'
            by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 i-eq)
          also have ... = x
            unfolding x'-eq using left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
blast)
          also have ... = left-cart-proj X Y ∘c graph-morph f ∘c y'
            unfolding x-def by auto
          then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
        qed

      show right-cart-proj X Y ∘c graph-morph f ∘c i ∘c x' = right-cart-proj X Y
∘c graph-morph f ∘c y'
        proof −
          have right-cart-proj X Y ∘c graph-morph f ∘c i ∘c x' = f ∘c left-cart-proj

```

```

 $X \ Y \circ_c \text{graph-morph } f \circ_c i \circ_c x'$ 
  by (etcs-assocl, typecheck-cfuncs, metis graph-equalizer equalizer-eq)
  also have ... =  $f \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c \text{graph-morph } f \circ_c y'$ 
  by (subst left, simp)
  also have ... =  $\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c \text{graph-morph } f \circ_c y'$ 
  by (etcs-assocl, typecheck-cfuncs, metis graph-equalizer equalizer-eq)
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
qed
then have  $i \circ_c x' = y'$ 
  using equalizer-is-monomorphism graph-equalizer monomorphism-def2 by
(typecheck-cfuncs-prems, blast)
  then show  $\exists x'. x' \in_c R \wedge i \circ_c x' = y'$ 
  by (rule-tac  $x=x'$  in exI, simp add:  $x'$ -type)
qed
then have isomorphism  $i$ 
  by (metis comp-monic-imp-monic' epi-mon-is-iso f-type graph-morph-type i-eq
i-type m-mono surjective-is-epimorphism)
  then show  $\exists i. i : R \rightarrow \text{graph } f \wedge \text{isomorphism } i \wedge m = \text{graph-morph } f \circ_c i$ 
  by (rule-tac  $x=i$  in exI, simp add: i-type i-eq)
qed
next
fix  $f1 \ f2 \ i1 \ i2$ 
assume  $f1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: f1 : X \rightarrow Y$ 
assume  $f2\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: f2 : X \rightarrow Y$ 
assume  $i1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: i1 : R \rightarrow \text{graph } f1$ 
assume  $i2\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: i2 : R \rightarrow \text{graph } f2$ 
assume  $i1\text{-iso}: \text{isomorphism } i1$ 
assume  $i2\text{-iso}: \text{isomorphism } i2$ 
assume  $eq1: m = \text{graph-morph } f2 \circ_c i2$ 
assume  $eq2: \text{graph-morph } f1 \circ_c i1 = \text{graph-morph } f2 \circ_c i2$ 

have  $m\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: m : R \rightarrow X \times_c Y$ 
  using assms unfolding functional-on-def subobject-of-def2 by auto
have isomorphism[type-rule]: isomorphism( $\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c m$ )
  using assms functional-on-isomorphism by force
obtain  $h$  where  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: h : X \rightarrow R$  and  $h\text{-def}: h = (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y$ 
 $\circ_c m)^{-1}$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
have  $f1 \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c m = f2 \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c m$ 
proof -
  have  $f1 \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c m = (f1 \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \text{graph-morph}$ 
 $f1 \circ_c i1$ 
  using comp-associative2 eq1 eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  also have ... =  $(\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \text{graph-morph } f1 \circ_c i1$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 equalizer-def graph-equalizer4)
  also have ... =  $(\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \text{graph-morph } f2 \circ_c i2$ 
  by (simp add: eq2)
  also have ... =  $(f2 \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \text{graph-morph } f2 \circ_c i2$ 

```

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 equalizer-eq graph-equalizer4)
  also have ... = f2 ∘c left-cart-proj X Y ∘c m
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis comp-associative2 eq1)
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
then show f1 = f2
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative h-def h-type id-right-unit2
inverse-def2 isomorphism)
qed

end
theory Coproduct
  imports Equivalence
begin

```

18 Axiom 7: Coproducts

hide-const *case-bool*

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 7 (Coproducts) in Halvorson.

axiomatization

```

coprod :: cset ⇒ cset ⇒ cset (infixr  $\coprod$  65) and
left-coproj :: cset ⇒ cset ⇒ cfunc and
right-coproj :: cset ⇒ cset ⇒ cfunc and
cfunc-coprod :: cfunc ⇒ cfunc ⇒ cfunc (infixr  $\coprod$  65)

```

where

```

left-proj-type[type-rule]: left-coproj X Y : X → X  $\coprod$  Y and
right-proj-type[type-rule]: right-coproj X Y : Y → X  $\coprod$  Y and
cfunc-coprod-type[type-rule]: f : X → Z ⇒ g : Y → Z ⇒ f  $\coprod$  g : X  $\coprod$  Y → Z
and
left-coproj-cfunc-coprod: f : X → Z ⇒ g : Y → Z ⇒ f  $\coprod$  g ∘c (left-coproj X Y) = f and
right-coproj-cfunc-coprod: f : X → Z ⇒ g : Y → Z ⇒ f  $\coprod$  g ∘c (right-coproj X Y) = g and
cfunc-coprod-unique: f : X → Z ⇒ g : Y → Z ⇒ h : X  $\coprod$  Y → Z ⇒
  h ∘c left-coproj X Y = f ⇒ h ∘c right-coproj X Y = g ⇒ h = f  $\coprod$  g

```

definition *is-coprod* :: cset ⇒ cfunc ⇒ cfunc ⇒ cset ⇒ cset ⇒ bool **where**

```

is-coprod W i0 i1 X Y ⇔
  (i0 : X → W ∧ i1 : Y → W ∧
  (∀ f g Z. (f : X → Z ∧ g : Y → Z) →
    (∃ h. h : W → Z ∧ h ∘c i0 = f ∧ h ∘c i1 = g ∧
      (∀ h2. (h2 : W → Z ∧ h2 ∘c i0 = f ∧ h2 ∘c i1 = g) → h2 = h))))

```

abbreviation *is-coprod-triple* :: cset × cfunc × cfunc ⇒ cset ⇒ cset ⇒ bool

where

```

is-coprod-triple Wi X Y ≡ is-coprod (fst Wi) (fst (snd Wi)) (snd (snd Wi)) X Y

```

```

lemma canonical-coprod-is-coprod:
  is-coprod ( $X \amalg Y$ ) (left-coproj  $X Y$ ) (right-coproj  $X Y$ )  $X Y$ 
  unfolding is-coprod-def
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  fix  $f g Z$ 
  assume  $f\text{-type}: f : X \rightarrow Z$ 
  assume  $g\text{-type}: g : Y \rightarrow Z$ 
  show  $\exists h. h : X \amalg Y \rightarrow Z \wedge$ 
     $h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X Y = f \wedge$ 
     $h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y = g \wedge (\forall h2. h2 : X \amalg Y \rightarrow Z \wedge h2 \circ_c \text{left-coproj}$ 
 $X Y = f \wedge h2 \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y = g \longrightarrow h2 = h)$ 
  using cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-coprod-unique f-type g-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
right-coproj-cfunc-coprod
  by(rule-tac x=fIIg in exI, auto)
qed

```

The lemma below is dual to Proposition 2.1.8 in Halvorson.

```

lemma coprods-isomorphic:
  assumes  $W\text{-coprod}: \text{is-coprod-triple } (W, i_0, i_1) X Y$ 
  assumes  $W'\text{-coprod}: \text{is-coprod-triple } (W', i'_0, i'_1) X Y$ 
  shows  $\exists g. g : W \rightarrow W' \wedge \text{isomorphism } g \wedge g \circ_c i_0 = i'_0 \wedge g \circ_c i_1 = i'_1$ 
proof –
  obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-def}: f : W' \rightarrow W \wedge f \circ_c i'_0 = i_0 \wedge f \circ_c i'_1 = i_1$ 
    using  $W\text{-coprod } W'\text{-coprod}$  unfolding is-coprod-def
    by (metis split-pairs)

  obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-def}: g : W \rightarrow W' \wedge g \circ_c i_0 = i'_0 \wedge g \circ_c i_1 = i'_1$ 
    using  $W\text{-coprod } W'\text{-coprod}$  unfolding is-coprod-def
    by (metis split-pairs)

  have  $fg0: (f \circ_c g) \circ_c i_0 = i_0$ 
    by (metis W-coprod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-coprod-def split-pairs)
  have  $fg1: (f \circ_c g) \circ_c i_1 = i_1$ 
    by (metis W-coprod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-coprod-def split-pairs)

  obtain  $idW$  where  $idW : W \rightarrow W \wedge (\forall h2. (h2 : W \rightarrow W \wedge h2 \circ_c i_0 = i_0$ 
 $\wedge h2 \circ_c i_1 = i_1) \longrightarrow h2 = idW)$ 
    by (smt (verit, best) W-coprod is-coprod-def prod.sel)
  then have  $fg: f \circ_c g = id W$ 
  proof auto
    assume  $idW\text{-unique}: \forall h2. h2 : W \rightarrow W \wedge h2 \circ_c i_0 = i_0 \wedge h2 \circ_c i_1 = i_1 \longrightarrow$ 
 $h2 = idW$ 
    have  $1: f \circ_c g = idW$ 
      using comp-type f-def fg0 fg1 g-def idW-unique by blast
    have  $2: id W = idW$ 
      using  $W\text{-coprod } idW\text{-unique } id\text{-left-unit2 } id\text{-type } is\text{-coprod-def}$  by auto
    from  $1\ 2$  show  $f \circ_c g = id W$ 
      by auto
qed

```

```

have gf0: (g ∘c f) ∘c i'₀ = i'₀
  using W'-coprod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-coprod-def by auto
have gf1: (g ∘c f) ∘c i'₁ = i'₁
  using W'-coprod comp-associative2 f-def g-def is-coprod-def by auto

obtain idW' where idW': W' → W' ∧ (∀ h2. (h2 : W' → W' ∧ h2 ∘c i'₀ = i'₀
  ∧ h2 ∘c i'₁ = i'₁) → h2 = idW')
  by (smt (verit, best) W'-coprod is-coprod-def prod.sel)
then have gf: g ∘c f = id W'
proof auto
  assume idW'-unique: ∀ h2. h2 : W' → W' ∧ h2 ∘c i'₀ = i'₀ ∧ h2 ∘c i'₁ = i'₁
  → h2 = idW'
  have 1: g ∘c f = idW'
    using comp-type f-def g-def gf0 gf1 idW'-unique by blast
  have 2: id W' = idW'
    using W'-coprod idW'-unique id-left-unit2 id-type is-coprod-def by auto
  from 1 2 show g ∘c f = id W'
    by auto
qed

have g-iso: isomorphism g
  using f-def fg g-def gf isomorphism-def3 by blast
from g-iso g-def show ∃ g. g : W → W' ∧ isomorphism g ∧ g ∘c i₀ = i'₀ ∧ g
  ∘c i₁ = i'₁
  by blast
qed

```

18.1 Coproduct Function Properities

```

lemma cfunc-coprod-comp:
  assumes a : Y → Z b : X → Y c : W → Y
  shows (a ∘c b) ∐ (a ∘c c) = a ∘c (b ∐ c)
proof -
  have ((a ∘c b) ∐ (a ∘c c)) ∘c (left-coproj X W) = a ∘c (b ∐ c) ∘c (left-coproj X W)
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then have left-coproj-eq: ((a ∘c b) ∐ (a ∘c c)) ∘c (left-coproj X W) = (a ∘c (b
  ∐ c)) ∘c (left-coproj X W)
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  have ((a ∘c b) ∐ (a ∘c c)) ∘c (right-coproj X W) = a ∘c (b ∐ c) ∘c (right-coproj
  X W)
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then have right-coproj-eq: ((a ∘c b) ∐ (a ∘c c)) ∘c (right-coproj X W) = (a ∘c
  (b ∐ c)) ∘c (right-coproj X W)
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)

show (a ∘c b) ∐ (a ∘c c) = a ∘c (b ∐ c)
  using assms left-coproj-eq right-coproj-eq

```


by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-unique left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
qed

lemma *id-coprod*:

$id(A \amalg B) = (left-coproj\ A\ B) \amalg (right-coproj\ A\ B)$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-coprod-unique id-left-unit2)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.4.1 in Halvorson.

lemma *coproducts-disjoint*:

$x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies (left-coproj\ X\ Y) \circ_c x \neq (right-coproj\ X\ Y) \circ_c y$

proof (rule ccontr, auto)

assume $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$

assume $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y \in_c Y$

assume *BWOC*: $((left-coproj\ X\ Y) \circ_c x = (right-coproj\ X\ Y) \circ_c y)$

obtain g where $g\text{-def}$: g factorsthru t and $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: g: X \rightarrow \Omega$

by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-type factors-through-def2 terminal-func-type)

then have $fact1: t = g \circ_c x$

by (metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative factors-through-def id-right-unit2
id-type

terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique true-func-type x-type)

obtain h where $h\text{-def}$: h factorsthru f and $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: h: Y \rightarrow \Omega$

by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-type factors-through-def2 one-terminal-object
terminal-object-def)

then have $gUh\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: g \amalg h: X \amalg Y \rightarrow \Omega$ and

$gUh\text{-def}: (g \amalg h) \circ_c (left-coproj\ X\ Y) = g \wedge (g \amalg h) \circ_c (right-coproj\ X\ Y) = h$

using *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod* by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)

then have $fact2: f = ((g \amalg h) \circ_c (right-coproj\ X\ Y)) \circ_c y$

by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-associative2 factors-through-def2
 $gUh\text{-def}\ h\text{-def}\ id\text{-right-unit2}\ terminal\text{-func-comp-elem}\ terminal\text{-func-unique}$)

also have $\dots = ((g \amalg h) \circ_c (left-coproj\ X\ Y)) \circ_c x$

by (smt *BWOC comp-associative2 gUh-type left-proj-type right-proj-type x-type*
y-type)

also have $\dots = t$

by (simp add: $fact1\ gUh\text{-def}$)

then show *False*

using *calculation true-false-distinct* by *auto*

qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.4.2 in Halvorson.

lemma *left-coproj-are-monomorphisms*:

monomorphism(left-coproj\ X\ Y)

proof (cases $\exists x. x \in_c X$)

assume *X-nonempty*: $\exists x. x \in_c X$

then obtain x where $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$

by *auto*

then have $(id\ X \amalg (x \circ_c \beta\ Y)) \circ_c left-coproj\ X\ Y = id\ X$

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then show monomorphism (left-coproj X Y)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis (mono-tags) cfunc-coprod-type comp-monic-imp-monic'
      comp-type id-isomorphism id-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic terminal-func-type
      x-type)
  next
    show  $\nexists x. x \in_c X \implies \text{monomorphism (left-coproj X Y)}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def injective-def injective-imp-monomorphism)
  qed

```

```

lemma right-coproj-are-monomorphisms:
  monomorphism(right-coproj X Y)
proof (cases  $\exists y. y \in_c Y$ )
  assume Y-nonempty:  $\exists y. y \in_c Y$ 
  then obtain y where y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c Y$ 
    by auto
  have  $((y \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg id Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj X Y} = id Y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then show monomorphism (right-coproj X Y)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis (mono-tags) cfunc-coprod-type comp-monic-imp-monic'
      comp-type id-isomorphism id-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic terminal-func-type
      y-type)
  next
    show  $\nexists y. y \in_c Y \implies \text{monomorphism (right-coproj X Y)}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def injective-def injective-imp-monomorphism)
  qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.4.3 in Halvorson.

```

lemma coprojs-jointly-surj:
  assumes  $z \in_c X \amalg Y$ 
  shows  $(\exists x. (x \in_c X \wedge z = (\text{left-coproj X Y}) \circ_c x))$ 
     $\vee (\exists y. (y \in_c Y \wedge z = (\text{right-coproj X Y}) \circ_c y))$ 
proof (rule ccontr, auto)
  assume not-in-left-image:  $\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow z \neq \text{left-coproj X Y} \circ_c x$ 
  assume not-in-right-image:  $\forall y. y \in_c Y \longrightarrow z \neq \text{right-coproj X Y} \circ_c y$ 

  obtain h where h-def:  $h = f \circ_c \beta_X \amalg Y$  and h-type[type-rule]:  $h : X \amalg Y \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs

  have fact1:  $(eq\_pred (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \amalg Y, id (X \amalg Y) \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj X Y} = h \circ_c \text{left-coproj X Y}$ 
  proof (rule one-separator[where X=X, where Y =  $\Omega$ ])
    show  $(eq\_pred (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \amalg Y, id_c (X \amalg Y) \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj X Y} : X \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $h \circ_c \text{left-coproj X Y} : X \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies ((eq\_pred (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \amalg Y, id_c (X \amalg Y) \rangle)$ 

```

$\circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c x =$
 $(h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c x$

proof –
fix x
assume $x\text{-type}: x \in_c X$
have $((eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X$
 $Y) \circ_c x =$
 $eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } X \ Y$
 $\circ_c x)$
using $x\text{-type}$ **by** $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, metis\ assms\ cfunc\text{-type-def}\ comp\text{-associative})$
also have $\dots = f$
using $x\text{-type}$ **by** $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, simp\ add: assms\ eq\text{-pred-false-extract-right}$
 $not\text{-in-left-image})$
also have $\dots = h \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x)$
using $x\text{-type}$ **by** $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt\ comp\text{-associative2}\ h\text{-def}$
 $id\text{-right-unit2}\ id\text{-type}\ terminal\text{-func-comp}\ terminal\text{-func-type}\ terminal\text{-func-unique})$
also have $\dots = (h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c x$
using $x\text{-type}\ cfunc\text{-type-def}\ comp\text{-associative}\ comp\text{-type}\ false\text{-func-type}$
 $h\text{-def}\ terminal\text{-func-type}$ **by** $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, force)$
then show $((eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj}$
 $X \ Y) \circ_c x = (h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c x$
by $(simp\ add: calculation)$
qed
qed

have $fact2: (eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj}$
 $X \ Y = h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y$
proof $(rule\ one\ separator[where\ X = Y, where\ Y = \Omega])$
show $(eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y$
 $: Y \rightarrow \Omega$
by $(meson\ assms\ cfunc\text{-prod-type}\ comp\text{-type}\ eq\text{-pred-type}\ id\text{-type}\ right\text{-proj-type}$
 $terminal\text{-func-type})$
show $h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y : Y \rightarrow \Omega$
using $cfunc\text{-type-def}\ codomain\text{-comp}\ domain\text{-comp}\ false\text{-func-type}\ h\text{-def}$
 $right\text{-proj-type}\ terminal\text{-func-type}$ **by** $presburger$
show $\bigwedge x. x \in_c Y \implies$
 $((eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X$
 $Y) \circ_c x =$
 $(h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c x$

proof –
fix x
assume $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c Y$
have $((eq\text{-pred } (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X$
 $Y) \circ_c x = f$
by $(typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt\ (verit)\ assms\ cfunc\text{-type-def}\ eq\text{-pred-false-extract-right}$
 $comp\text{-associative}\ comp\text{-type}\ not\text{-in-right-image})$
also have $\dots = (h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c x$
by $(etcs\ assoc, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, metis\ cfunc\text{-type-def}\ comp\text{-associative}\ h\text{-def})$

```

id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem terminal-func-type)
  then show ((eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle$ )  $\circ_c$  right-coproj
X Y)  $\circ_c$  x = (h  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Y)  $\circ_c$  x
  by (simp add: calculation)
qed
qed
have indicator-is-false: eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id (X \coprod Y) \rangle$  = h
proof(rule one-separator[where X = X  $\coprod$  Y, where Y =  $\Omega$ ])
  show h : X  $\coprod$  Y  $\rightarrow \Omega$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
  show eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle$  : X  $\coprod$  Y  $\rightarrow \Omega$ 
  using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  then show  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \coprod Y \implies (eq-pred (X \coprod Y) \circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id_c (X \coprod Y) \rangle) \circ_c x = h \circ_c x$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-coprod-comp fact1 fact2 id-coprod id-right-unit2
left-proj-type right-proj-type)
qed

have hz-gives-false: h  $\circ_c$  z = f
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 h-def id-right-unit2
id-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type terminal-func-unique)
  then have indicator-z-gives-false: (eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id (X \coprod Y) \rangle$ )  $\circ_c$  z = f
  using assms indicator-is-false by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have indicator-z-gives-true: (eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle z \circ_c \beta_X \coprod Y, id (X \coprod Y) \rangle$ )  $\circ_c$  z = t
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, del-insts) comp-associative2
eq-pred-true-extract-right)
  then show False
  using indicator-z-gives-false true-false-distinct by auto
qed

lemma maps-into-1u1:
  assumes x-type:  $x \in_c (one \coprod one)$ 
  shows ( $x = \text{left-coproj } one \ one$ )  $\vee$  ( $x = \text{right-coproj } one \ one$ )
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis coprojs-jointly-surj terminal-func-unique)

lemma coprod-preserves-left-epi:
  assumes f:  $X \rightarrow Z$  g:  $Y \rightarrow Z$ 
  assumes surjective(f)
  shows surjective(f  $\coprod$  g)
  unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
  fix z
  assume y-type[type-rule]:  $z \in_c \text{codomain } (f \coprod g)$ 
  then obtain x where x-def:  $x \in_c X \wedge f \circ_c x = z$ 
  using assms cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-type-def cfunc-type-def surjective-def by
auto

```

```

have (f  $\amalg$  g)  $\circ_c$  (left-coproj X Y  $\circ_c$  x) = z
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt assms comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod x-def)
then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain}(f \amalg g) \wedge f \amalg g \circ_c x = z$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms(1,2) cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp
left-proj-type x-def)
qed

```

lemma *coprod-preserves-right-epi*:

```

assumes f: X  $\rightarrow$  Z g: Y  $\rightarrow$  Z
assumes surjective(g)
shows surjective(f  $\amalg$  g)
unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
fix z
assume y-type: z  $\in_c$  codomain (f  $\amalg$  g)
have fug-type: (f  $\amalg$  g) : (X  $\amalg$  Y)  $\rightarrow$  Z
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: assms)
then have y-type2: z  $\in_c$  Z
using cfunc-type-def y-type by auto
then have  $\exists y. y \in_c Y \wedge g \circ_c y = z$ 
using assms(2,3) cfunc-type-def surjective-def by auto
then obtain y where y-def: y  $\in_c$  Y  $\wedge$  g  $\circ_c$  y = z
by blast
have coproj-x-type: right-coproj X Y  $\circ_c$  y  $\in_c$  X  $\amalg$  Y
using comp-type right-proj-type y-def by blast
have (f  $\amalg$  g)  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj X Y  $\circ_c$  y) = z
using assms(1) assms(2) cfunc-type-def comp-associative fug-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod
right-proj-type y-def by auto
then show  $\exists y. y \in_c \text{domain}(f \amalg g) \wedge f \amalg g \circ_c y = z$ 
using cfunc-type-def coproj-x-type fug-type by auto
qed

```

lemma *coprod-eq*:

```

assumes a : X  $\amalg$  Y  $\rightarrow$  Z b : X  $\amalg$  Y  $\rightarrow$  Z
shows a = b  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(a  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Y = b  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Y
 $\wedge$  a  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Y = b  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Y)
by (smt assms cfunc-coprod-unique cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp
left-proj-type right-proj-type)

```

lemma *coprod-eqI*:

```

assumes a : X  $\amalg$  Y  $\rightarrow$  Z b : X  $\amalg$  Y  $\rightarrow$  Z
assumes (a  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Y = b  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Y
 $\wedge$  a  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Y = b  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Y)
shows a = b
using assms coprod-eq by blast

```

lemma *coprod-eq2*:

```

assumes a : X  $\rightarrow$  Z b : Y  $\rightarrow$  Z c : X  $\rightarrow$  Z d : Y  $\rightarrow$  Z

```

shows $(a \amalg b) = (c \amalg d) \longleftrightarrow (a = c \wedge b = d)$
by (*metis* *assms* *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* *right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)

lemma *coprod-decomp*:
assumes $a : X \amalg Y \rightarrow A$
shows $\exists x y. a = (x \amalg y) \wedge x : X \rightarrow A \wedge y : Y \rightarrow A$
proof (*rule-tac* $x=a \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y$ **in** *exI*, *rule-tac* $x=a \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y$ **in** *exI*, *auto*)
show $a = (a \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y) \amalg (a \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y)$
using *assms* *cfunc-coprod-unique* *cfunc-type-def* *codomain-comp* *domain-comp* *left-proj-type* *right-proj-type* **by** *auto*
show $a \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y : X \rightarrow A$
by (*meson* *assms* *comp-type* *left-proj-type*)
show $a \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y : Y \rightarrow A$
by (*meson* *assms* *comp-type* *right-proj-type*)
qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.4.4 in Halvorson.

lemma *truth-value-set-iso-1u1*:
isomorphism(*tIIf*)
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*, *best*) *CollectI* *epi-mon-is-iso* *injective-def2* *injective-imp-monomorphism* *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* *left-proj-type* *maps-into-1u1* *right-coproj-cfunc-coprod* *right-proj-type* *surjective-def2* *surjective-is-epimorphism* *true-false-distinct* *true-false-only-truth-values*)

18.1.1 Equality Predicate with Coproduct Properties

lemma *eq-pred-left-coproj*:
assumes $u\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : u \in_c X \amalg Y$ **and** $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : x \in_c X$
shows $eq\text{-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \rangle = ((eq\text{-pred } X \circ_c \langle id \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y)) \circ_c u$
proof (*cases* $eq\text{-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \rangle = t$, *auto*)
assume $eq\text{-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \rangle = t$
then have $u\text{-is-left-coproj} : u = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$
using *eq-pred-iff-eq* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems*, *presburger*)

show $t = (eq\text{-pred } X \circ_c \langle id \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c u$
proof –
have $((eq\text{-pred } X \circ_c \langle id \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y)) \circ_c u$
 $= ((eq\text{-pred } X \circ_c \langle id \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$
using *u-is-left-coproj* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (eq\text{-pred } X \circ_c \langle id \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c x$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add: comp-associative2* *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)
also have $\dots = eq\text{-pred } X \circ_c \langle x, x \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis* *cart-prod-extract-left* *cfunc-type-def* *comp-associative*)
also have $\dots = t$
using *eq-pred-iff-eq* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add: calculation*)

```

qed
next
  assume eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle u, \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \rangle \neq t$ 
  then have eq-pred-false: eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle u, \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \rangle = f$ 
    using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have u-not-left-coproj-x:  $u \neq \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$ 
    using eq-pred-iff-eq-conv by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, presburger)
  show eq-pred (X  $\coprod$  Y)  $\circ_c \langle u, \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \rangle = (\text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c u$ 
    proof (insert eq-pred-false, cases  $\exists g. g : \text{one} \rightarrow X \wedge u = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ , auto)
      fix g
      assume g-type[type-rule]:  $g \in_c X$ 
      assume u-right-coproj:  $u = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
      then have x-not-g:  $x \neq g$ 
        using u-not-left-coproj-x by auto
      show f = (eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
        proof -
          have (eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
            = (eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c g$ 
            using comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
          also have ... = eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle g, x \rangle$ 
            by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cart-prod-extract-left comp-associative2)
          also have ... = f
            using eq-pred-iff-eq-conv x-not-g by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
          then show ?thesis
            by (simp add: calculation)
        qed
      qed
    next
      assume  $\forall g. g \in_c X \longrightarrow u \neq \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
      then obtain g where g-type[type-rule]:  $g \in_c Y$  and u-right-coproj:  $u = \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
        by (meson coprojs-jointly-surj u-type)

      show f = (eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c u$ 
        proof -
          have (eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c u$ 
            = (eq-pred X  $\circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, x \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
            using u-right-coproj by auto
          also have ... = (f  $\circ_c \beta_Y$ )  $\circ_c g$ 
            by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
          also have ... = f
            by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 id-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique)
          then show ?thesis
            using calculation by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

lemma *eq-pred-right-coproj*:

assumes *u-type*[*type-rule*]: $u \in_c X \amalg Y$ **and** *y-type*[*type-rule*]: $y \in_c Y$

shows $\text{eq-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y \rangle = ((f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle)) \circ_c u$

proof (*cases eq-pred* $(X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y \rangle = \text{t}$, *auto*)

assume $\text{eq-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y \rangle = \text{t}$

then have *u-is-right-coproj*: $u = \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y$

using *eq-pred-iff-eq* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems*, *presburger*)

show $\text{t} = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c u$

proof –

have $(f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c u$
 $= (f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y$

using *u-is-right-coproj* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c y$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)

also have $\dots = \text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle y, y \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt cart-prod-extract-left comp-associative2*)

also have $\dots = \text{t}$

using *eq-pred-iff-eq y-type* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

next

assume $\text{eq-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y \rangle \neq \text{t}$

then have *eq-pred-false*: $\text{eq-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y \rangle = \text{f}$

using *true-false-only-truth-values* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

then have *u-not-right-coproj-y*: $u \neq \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y$

using *eq-pred-iff-eq-conv* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems*, *presburger*)

show $\text{eq-pred } (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle u, \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y \rangle = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c u$

proof (*insert eq-pred-false*, *cases* $\exists g. g : \text{one} \rightarrow Y \wedge u = \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c g$, *auto*)

fix *g*

assume *g-type*[*type-rule*]: $g \in_c Y$

assume *u-right-coproj*: $u = \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c g$

then have *y-not-g*: $y \neq g$

using *u-not-right-coproj-y* **by** *auto*

show $\text{f} = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c g$

proof –

have $(f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c g$
 $= (\text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c g$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)

also have $\dots = \text{eq-pred } Y \circ_c \langle g, y \rangle$

using *cart-prod-extract-left comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

also have $\dots = \text{f}$

using *eq-pred-iff-eq-conv y-not-g y-type g-type* **by** *blast*


```

    then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
  qed
next
  assume  $\forall g. g \in_c Y \longrightarrow u \neq \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
  then obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $g \in_c X$  and  $u\text{-left-coproj}$ :  $u = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
  by (meson coprojs-jointly-surj u-type)
  show  $f = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (eq\text{-pred } Y \circ_c \langle id_c \ Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c u$ 
  proof -
    have  $(f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (eq\text{-pred } Y \circ_c \langle id_c \ Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c u$ 
      =  $(f \circ_c \beta_X) \amalg (eq\text{-pred } Y \circ_c \langle id_c \ Y, y \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c g$ 
    using u-left-coproj by auto
    also have  $\dots = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c g$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    also have  $\dots = f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 id-type
terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique)
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
  qed
qed
qed

```

18.2 Bowtie Product

definition $cfunc\text{-bowtie-prod} :: cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc$ (**infixr** \bowtie_f 55) **where**
 $f \bowtie_f g = ((\text{left-coproj } (\text{codomain } f) (\text{codomain } g)) \circ_c f) \amalg ((\text{right-coproj } (\text{codomain } f) (\text{codomain } g)) \circ_c g)$

lemma $cfunc\text{-bowtie-prod-def2}$:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $g : V \rightarrow W$
shows $f \bowtie_f g = (\text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f) \amalg (\text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g)$
using *assms cfunc-bowtie-prod-def cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

lemma $cfunc\text{-bowtie-prod-type}[type\text{-rule}]$:
 $f : X \rightarrow Y \Longrightarrow g : V \rightarrow W \Longrightarrow f \bowtie_f g : X \amalg V \rightarrow Y \amalg W$
unfolding $cfunc\text{-bowtie-prod-def}$
using $cfunc\text{-coprod-type}$ $cfunc\text{-type-def}$ $comp\text{-type}$ $left\text{-proj-type}$ $right\text{-proj-type}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $left\text{-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod}$:
 $f : X \rightarrow Y \Longrightarrow g : V \rightarrow W \Longrightarrow (f \bowtie_f g) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ V = \text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f$
unfolding $cfunc\text{-bowtie-prod-def2}$
by (meson $comp\text{-type}$ $left\text{-coproj-cfunc-coprod}$ $left\text{-proj-type}$ $right\text{-proj-type}$)

lemma $right\text{-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod}$:
 $f : X \rightarrow Y \Longrightarrow g : V \rightarrow W \Longrightarrow (f \bowtie_f g) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ V = \text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g$

$W \circ_c g$
unfolding *cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2*
by (*meson comp-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type left-proj-type*)
lemma *cfunc-bowtie-prod-unique*: $f : X \rightarrow Y \implies g : V \rightarrow W \implies h : X \coprod V \rightarrow Y \coprod W \implies$
 $h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ V = \text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f \implies$
 $h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ V = \text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g \implies h = f \bowtie_f g$
unfolding *cfunc-bowtie-prod-def*
using *cfunc-coprod-unique cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp left-proj-type right-proj-type* **by** *auto*

The lemma below is dual to Proposition 2.1.11 in Halvorson.

lemma *identity-distributes-across-composition-dual*:
assumes *f-type*: $f : A \rightarrow B$ **and** *g-type*: $g : B \rightarrow C$
shows $(g \circ_c f) \bowtie_f \text{id } X = (g \bowtie_f \text{id } X) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f \text{id } X)$
proof –
from *cfunc-bowtie-prod-unique*
have *uniqueness*: $\forall h. h : A \coprod X \rightarrow C \coprod X \wedge$
 $h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } A \ X = \text{left-coproj } C \ X \circ_c (g \circ_c f) \wedge$
 $h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } A \ X = \text{right-coproj } C \ X \circ_c \text{id}(X) \longrightarrow$
 $h = (g \circ_c f) \bowtie_f \text{id}_c X$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-bowtie-prod-unique*)
have *left-eq*: $((g \bowtie_f \text{id}_c X) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f \text{id}_c X)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } A \ X = \text{left-coproj } C \ X \circ_c (g \circ_c f)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod left-proj-type assms*)
have *right-eq*: $((g \bowtie_f \text{id}_c X) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f \text{id}_c X)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } A \ X = \text{right-coproj } C \ X \circ_c \text{id } X$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod right-proj-type assms*)
show *?thesis*
using *assms left-eq right-eq uniqueness* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
qed

lemma *coproduct-of-beta*:
 $\beta_X \amalg \beta_Y = \beta_{X \amalg Y}$
by (*metis (full-types) cfunc-coprod-unique left-proj-type right-proj-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type*)

lemma *cfunc-bowtieprod-comp-cfunc-coprod*:
assumes *a-type*: $a : Y \rightarrow Z$ **and** *b-type*: $b : W \rightarrow Z$
assumes *f-type*: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ **and** *g-type*: $g : V \rightarrow W$
shows $(a \amalg b) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f g) = (a \circ_c f) \amalg (b \circ_c g)$
proof –
from *cfunc-bowtie-prod-unique* **have** *uniqueness*:
 $\forall h. h : X \coprod V \rightarrow Z \wedge h \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ V = a \circ_c f \wedge h \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ V = b \circ_c g$

```

V = b ∘c g →
  h = (a ∘c f) ∐ (b ∘c g)
  using assms comp-type by (metis (full-types) cfunc-coprod-unique)

have left-eq: (a ∐ b ∘c f ∋f g) ∘c left-coproj X V = (a ∘c f)
proof -
  have (a ∐ b ∘c f ∋f g) ∘c left-coproj X V = (a ∐ b) ∘c (f ∋f g) ∘c left-coproj
X V
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (a ∐ b) ∘c left-coproj Y W ∘c f
  using f-type g-type left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod by auto
  also have ... = ((a ∐ b) ∘c left-coproj Y W) ∘c f
  using a-type assms(2) cfunc-type-def comp-associative f-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
  also have ... = (a ∘c f)
  using a-type b-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by presburger
  then show (a ∐ b ∘c f ∋f g) ∘c left-coproj X V = (a ∘c f)
  by (simp add: calculation)
qed

have right-eq: (a ∐ b ∘c f ∋f g) ∘c right-coproj X V = (b ∘c g)
proof -
  have (a ∐ b ∘c f ∋f g) ∘c right-coproj X V = (a ∐ b) ∘c (f ∋f g) ∘c right-coproj
X V
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (a ∐ b) ∘c right-coproj Y W ∘c g
  using f-type g-type right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod by auto
  also have ... = ((a ∐ b) ∘c right-coproj Y W) ∘c g
  using a-type assms(2) cfunc-type-def comp-associative g-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
  also have ... = (b ∘c g)
  using a-type b-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by auto
  then show (a ∐ b ∘c f ∋f g) ∘c right-coproj X V = (b ∘c g)
  by (simp add: calculation)
qed

show (a ∐ b) ∘c (f ∋f g) = (a ∘c f) ∐ (b ∘c g)
  using uniqueness left-eq right-eq assms
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, erule-tac x=(a ∐ b) ∘c (f ∋f g) in allE, auto)
qed

lemma id-bowtie-prod: id(X) ∋f id(Y) = id(X ∐ Y)
  by (metis cfunc-bowtie-prod-def id-codomain id-coprod id-right-unit2 left-proj-type
right-proj-type)

lemma cfunc-bowtie-prod-comp-cfunc-bowtie-prod:
  assumes f : X → Y g : V → W x : Y → S y : W → T
  shows (x ∋f y) ∘c (f ∋f g) = (x ∘c f) ∋f (y ∘c g)
proof -

```

have $(x \bowtie_f y) \circ_c ((\text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f) \amalg (\text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g))$
 $= ((x \bowtie_f y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f) \amalg ((x \bowtie_f y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *cfunc-coprod-comp*)
also have $\dots = (((x \bowtie_f y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } Y \ W) \circ_c f) \amalg (((x \bowtie_f y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } Y \ W) \circ_c g)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = ((\text{left-coproj } S \ T \circ_c x) \circ_c f) \amalg ((\text{right-coproj } S \ T \circ_c y) \circ_c g)$
using *assms*(3) *assms*(4) *left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod* *right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod*
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{left-coproj } S \ T \circ_c x \circ_c f) \amalg (\text{right-coproj } S \ T \circ_c y \circ_c g)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = (x \circ_c f) \bowtie_f (y \circ_c g)$
using *assms* *cfunc-bowtie-prod-def* *cfunc-type-def* *codomain-comp* **by** *auto*
then show $(x \bowtie_f y) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f g) = (x \circ_c f) \bowtie_f (y \circ_c g)$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *calculation* *cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *cfunc-bowtieprod-epi*:

assumes *type-assms*: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ $g : V \rightarrow W$
assumes *f-epi*: *epimorphism* f **and** *g-epi*: *epimorphism* g
shows *epimorphism* $(f \bowtie_f g)$
using *type-assms*

proof (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold* *epimorphism-def3*, *auto*)

fix $x \ y \ A$
assume *x-type*: $x : Y \amalg W \rightarrow A$
assume *y-type*: $y : Y \amalg W \rightarrow A$
assume *eqs*: $x \circ_c f \bowtie_f g = y \circ_c f \bowtie_f g$

obtain $x1 \ x2$ **where** *x-expand*: $x = x1 \amalg x2$ **and** *x1-x2-type*: $x1 : Y \rightarrow A$ $x2 : W \rightarrow A$

using *coprod-decomp* *x-type* **by** *blast*

obtain $y1 \ y2$ **where** *y-expand*: $y = y1 \amalg y2$ **and** *y1-y2-type*: $y1 : Y \rightarrow A$ $y2 : W \rightarrow A$

using *coprod-decomp* *y-type* **by** *blast*

have $(x1 = y1) \wedge (x2 = y2)$

proof(*auto*)

have $x1 \circ_c f = ((x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } Y \ W) \circ_c f$

using *x1-x2-type* *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f$

using *assms* *comp-associative2* *x-expand* *x-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

also have $\dots = (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f g) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ V$

using *left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod* *type-assms* **by** *force*

also have $\dots = (y1 \amalg y2) \circ_c (f \bowtie_f g) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ V$

using *assms* *cfunc-type-def* *comp-associative* *eqs* *x-expand* *x-type* *y-expand*

y-type **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

also have $\dots = (y1 \amalg y2) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f$

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod*)

also have $\dots = ((y1 \amalg y2) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } Y \ W) \circ_c f$

```

    using assms comp-associative2 y-expand y-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have ... = y1  $\circ_c$  f
    using y1-y2-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by auto
  then show x1 = y1
    using calculation epimorphism-def3 f-epi type-assms(1) x1-x2-type(1) y1-y2-type(1)
  by fastforce
  next
    have x2  $\circ_c$  g = ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj Y W)  $\circ_c$  g
      using x1-x2-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by auto
    also have ... = (x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g
      using assms comp-associative2 x-expand x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = (x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X V
      using right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by force
    also have ... = (y1  $\amalg$  y2)  $\circ_c$  (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X V
      using assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative eqs x-expand x-type y-expand
      y-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = (y1  $\amalg$  y2)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g
      using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod)
    also have ... = ((y1  $\amalg$  y2)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj Y W)  $\circ_c$  g
      using assms comp-associative2 y-expand y-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have ... = y2  $\circ_c$  g
      using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod y1-y2-type(1) y1-y2-type(2) by auto
    then show x2 = y2
      using calculation epimorphism-def3 g-epi type-assms(2) x1-x2-type(2) y1-y2-type(2)
    by fastforce
  qed
  then show x = y
    by (simp add: x-expand y-expand)
  qed

```

lemma *cfunc-bowtieprod-inj*:

```

  assumes type-assms: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y g : V  $\rightarrow$  W
  assumes f-epi: injective f and g-epi: injective g
  shows injective (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
  unfolding injective-def
  proof(auto)
    fix z1 z2
    assume x-type: z1  $\in_c$  domain (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
    assume y-type: z2  $\in_c$  domain (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
    assume eqs: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  z1 = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  z2

    have f-bowtie-g-type: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g) : X  $\amalg$  V  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\amalg$  W
      by (simp add: cfunc-bowtie-prod-type type-assms(1) type-assms(2))

    have x-type2: z1  $\in_c$  X  $\amalg$  V
      using cfunc-type-def f-bowtie-g-type x-type by auto
    have y-type2: z2  $\in_c$  X  $\amalg$  V
      using cfunc-type-def f-bowtie-g-type y-type by auto
  
```

```

have z1-decomp: (∃ x1. (x1 ∈c X ∧ z1 = left-coproj X V ∘c x1))
  ∨ (∃ y1. (y1 ∈c V ∧ z1 = right-coproj X V ∘c y1))
by (simp add: coprojs-jointly-surj x-type2)

have z2-decomp: (∃ x2. (x2 ∈c X ∧ z2 = left-coproj X V ∘c x2))
  ∨ (∃ y2. (y2 ∈c V ∧ z2 = right-coproj X V ∘c y2))
by (simp add: coprojs-jointly-surj y-type2)

show z1 = z2
proof(cases ∃ x1. x1 ∈c X ∧ z1 = left-coproj X V ∘c x1)
  assume case1: ∃ x1. x1 ∈c X ∧ z1 = left-coproj X V ∘c x1
  obtain x1 where x1-def: x1 ∈c X ∧ z1 = left-coproj X V ∘c x1
    using case1 by blast
  show z1 = z2
proof(cases ∃ x2. x2 ∈c X ∧ z2 = left-coproj X V ∘c x2)
  assume caseA: ∃ x2. x2 ∈c X ∧ z2 = left-coproj X V ∘c x2
  show z1 = z2
proof –
  obtain x2 where x2-def: x2 ∈c X ∧ z2 = left-coproj X V ∘c x2
    using caseA by blast
  have x1 = x2
  proof –
    have left-coproj Y W ∘c f ∘c x1 = (left-coproj Y W ∘c f) ∘c x1
      using cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-proj-type type-assms(1) x1-def
  by auto
  also have ... =
    (((left-coproj Y W ∘c f) ∏ (right-coproj Y W ∘c g)) ∘c left-coproj X
V) ∘c x1
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by
auto
  also have ... = ((left-coproj Y W ∘c f) ∏ (right-coproj Y W ∘c g)) ∘c
left-coproj X V ∘c x1
    using comp-associative2 type-assms x1-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
  also have ... = (f ∐f g) ∘c z1
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms x1-def by auto
  also have ... = (f ∐f g) ∘c z2
    by (meson eqs)
  also have ... = ((left-coproj Y W ∘c f) ∏ (right-coproj Y W ∘c g)) ∘c
left-coproj X V ∘c x2
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms(1) type-assms(2) x2-def by auto
  also have ... = (((left-coproj Y W) ∘c f) ∏ (right-coproj Y W ∘c g)) ∘c
left-coproj X V) ∘c x2
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2 type-assms(1) type-assms(2)
x2-def)
  also have ... = (left-coproj Y W ∘c f) ∘c x2
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by
auto
  also have ... = left-coproj Y W ∘c f ∘c x2
    by (metis comp-associative2 left-proj-type type-assms(1) x2-def)

```

```

    then have  $f \circ_c x1 = f \circ_c x2$ 
      using calculation cfunc-type-def left-coproj-are-monomorphisms
    left-proj-type monomorphism-def type-assms(1) x1-def x2-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then show  $x1 = x2$ 
      by (metis cfunc-type-def f-epi injective-def type-assms(1) x1-def x2-def)
    qed
    then show  $z1 = z2$ 
      by (simp add: x1-def x2-def)
    qed
  next
    assume caseB:  $\nexists x2. x2 \in_c X \wedge z2 = \text{left-coproj } X \ V \circ_c x2$ 
    then obtain y2 where y2-def:  $(y2 \in_c V \wedge z2 = \text{right-coproj } X \ V \circ_c y2)$ 
      using z2-decomp by blast
    have  $\text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f \circ_c x1 = (\text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f) \circ_c x1$ 
      using cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-proj-type type-assms(1) x1-def
    by auto
    also have ... =
      (((left-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c f$ )  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c g$ ))  $\circ_c$  left-coproj  $X \ V$ )
     $\circ_c x1$ 
      using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms(1)
    type-assms(2) by auto
    also have ... = ((left-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c f$ )  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c g$ ))  $\circ_c$  left-coproj
     $X \ V \circ_c x1$ 
      using comp-associative2 type-assms(1,2) x1-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, fast-
    force)
    also have ... =  $(f \bowtie_f g) \circ_c z1$ 
      using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms x1-def by auto
    also have ... =  $(f \bowtie_f g) \circ_c z2$ 
      by (meson eqs)
    also have ... = ((left-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c f$ )  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c g$ ))  $\circ_c$ 
    right-coproj  $X \ V \circ_c y2$ 
      using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms y2-def by auto
    also have ... = (((left-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c f$ )  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c g$ ))  $\circ_c$ 
    right-coproj  $X \ V$ )  $\circ_c y2$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2 type-assms y2-def)
    also have ... = (right-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c g$ )  $\circ_c y2$ 
      using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have ... = right-coproj  $Y \ W \circ_c g \circ_c y2$ 
      using comp-associative2 type-assms(2) y2-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then have False
      using calculation comp-type coproducts-disjoint type-assms x1-def y2-def by
    auto
    then show  $z1 = z2$ 
      by simp
    qed
  next
    assume case2:  $\nexists x1. x1 \in_c X \wedge z1 = \text{left-coproj } X \ V \circ_c x1$ 
    then obtain y1 where y1-def:  $y1 \in_c V \wedge z1 = \text{right-coproj } X \ V \circ_c y1$ 
      using z1-decomp by blast

```

```

show z1 = z2
proof(cases  $\exists x2. x2 \in_c X \wedge z2 = \text{left-coproj } X \ V \circ_c x2$ )
  assume caseA:  $\exists x2. x2 \in_c X \wedge z2 = \text{left-coproj } X \ V \circ_c x2$ 
  show z1 = z2
  proof -
    obtain x2 where x2-def:  $x2 \in_c X \wedge z2 = \text{left-coproj } X \ V \circ_c x2$ 
    using caseA by blast
    have  $\text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f \circ_c x2 = (\text{left-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c f) \circ_c x2$ 
    using comp-associative2 type-assms(1) x2-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... =
      (((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X V)
 $\circ_c x2$ 
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by
    auto
    also have ... = ((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$ 
    left-coproj X V  $\circ_c x2$ 
    using comp-associative2 type-assms x2-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c z2$ 
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms x2-def by auto
    also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c z1$ 
    by (simp add: eqs)
    also have ... = ((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$ 
    right-coproj X V  $\circ_c y1$ 
    using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms y1-def by auto
    also have ... = (((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$ 
    right-coproj X V)  $\circ_c y1$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2 type-assms y1-def)
    also have ... = (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g)  $\circ_c y1$ 
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have ... = right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g  $\circ_c y1$ 
    using comp-associative2 type-assms(2) y1-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then have False
    using calculation comp-type coproducts-disjoint type-assms x2-def y1-def
  by auto
  then show z1 = z2
  by simp
qed
next
assume caseB:  $\nexists x2. x2 \in_c X \wedge z2 = \text{left-coproj } X \ V \circ_c x2$ 
then obtain y2 where y2-def:  $(y2 \in_c V \wedge z2 = \text{right-coproj } X \ V \circ_c y2)$ 
using z2-decomp by blast
have y1 = y2
proof -
  have  $\text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g \circ_c y1 = (\text{right-coproj } Y \ W \circ_c g) \circ_c y1$ 
  using comp-associative2 type-assms(2) y1-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... =
    (((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X
    V)  $\circ_c y1$ 
  using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)

```



```

      also have ... = ((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$ 
right-coproj X V  $\circ_c$  y1
    using comp-associative2 type-assms y1-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  z1
      using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms y1-def by auto
    also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  z2
      by (meson eqs)
    also have ... = ((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$ 
right-coproj X V  $\circ_c$  y2
      using cfunc-bowtie-prod-def2 type-assms y2-def by auto
    also have ... = (((left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g))  $\circ_c$ 
right-coproj X V)  $\circ_c$  y2
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2 type-assms y2-def)
    also have ... = (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g)  $\circ_c$  y2
      using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type-assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have ... = right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g  $\circ_c$  y2
      using comp-associative2 type-assms(2) y2-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then have g  $\circ_c$  y1 = g  $\circ_c$  y2
      using calculation cfunc-type-def right-coproj-are-monomorphisms
      right-proj-type monomorphism-def type-assms(2) y1-def y2-def by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then show y1 = y2
      by (metis cfunc-type-def g-epi injective-def type-assms(2) y1-def y2-def)
  qed
  then show z1 = z2
    by (simp add: y1-def y2-def)
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *cfunc-bowtieprod-inj-converse*:

```

  assumes type-assms: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y g : Z  $\rightarrow$  W
  assumes inj-f-bowtie-g: injective (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
  shows injective f  $\wedge$  injective g
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix x y
  assume x-type: x  $\in_c$  domain f
  assume y-type: y  $\in_c$  domain f
  assume eqs: f  $\circ_c$  x = f  $\circ_c$  y

```

```

  have x-type2: x  $\in_c$  X
    using cfunc-type-def type-assms(1) x-type by auto
  have y-type2: y  $\in_c$  X
    using cfunc-type-def type-assms(1) y-type by auto
  have fg-bowtie-type: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g) : X  $\amalg$  Z  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\amalg$  W
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  have lift: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  y
  proof -

```

```

have (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = ((f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z)  $\circ_c$  x
  using x-type2 comp-associative2 fg-bowtie-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = (left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  x
  using left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by auto
also have ... = left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f  $\circ_c$  x
  using x-type2 comp-associative2 type-assms(1) by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f  $\circ_c$  y
  by (simp add: eqs)
also have ... = (left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  y
  using y-type2 comp-associative2 type-assms(1) by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = ((f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z)  $\circ_c$  y
  using left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms(1) type-assms(2) by auto
also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  y
  using y-type2 comp-associative2 fg-bowtie-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
then have monomorphism (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
  using inj-f-bowtie-g injective-imp-monomorphism by auto
then have left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  y
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def fg-bowtie-type inj-f-bowtie-g injective-def lift x-type2 y-type2)
then show x = y
  using x-type2 y-type2 cfunc-type-def left-coproj-are-monomorphisms left-proj-type monomorphism-def by auto
next
fix x y
assume x-type: x  $\in_c$  domain g
assume y-type: y  $\in_c$  domain g
assume eqs: g  $\circ_c$  x = g  $\circ_c$  y

have x-type2: x  $\in_c$  Z
  using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) x-type by auto
have y-type2: y  $\in_c$  Z
  using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) y-type by auto
have fg-bowtie-type: f  $\bowtie_f$  g : X  $\coprod$  Z  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\coprod$  W
  using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
have lift: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  y
proof -
  have (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = ((f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z)  $\circ_c$  x
    using x-type2 comp-associative2 fg-bowtie-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g)  $\circ_c$  x
    using right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by auto
  also have ... = right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g  $\circ_c$  x
    using x-type2 comp-associative2 type-assms(2) by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g  $\circ_c$  y
    by (simp add: eqs)
  also have ... = (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g)  $\circ_c$  y
    using y-type2 comp-associative2 type-assms(2) by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = ((f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z)  $\circ_c$  y

```

```

    using right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms(1) type-assms(2) by auto
    also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  y
    using y-type2 comp-associative2 fg-bowtie-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
then have monomorphism (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
    using inj-f-bowtie-g injective-imp-monomorphism by auto
then have right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  y
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def fg-bowtie-type inj-f-bowtie-g injective-def lift x-type2 y-type2)
then show x = y
    using x-type2 y-type2 cfunc-type-def right-coproj-are-monomorphisms right-proj-type monomorphism-def by auto
qed

lemma cfunc-bowtieprod-iso:
  assumes type-assms: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y g : V  $\rightarrow$  W
  assumes f-iso: isomorphism f and g-iso: isomorphism g
  shows isomorphism (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson cfunc-bowtieprod-epi cfunc-bowtieprod-inj epi-mon-is-iso f-iso g-iso injective-imp-monomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic monomorphism-imp-injective singletonI assms)

lemma cfunc-bowtieprod-surj-converse:
  assumes type-assms: f : X  $\rightarrow$  Y g : Z  $\rightarrow$  W
  assumes inj-f-bowtie-g: surjective (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)
  shows surjective f  $\wedge$  surjective g
  unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
  fix y
  assume y-type: y  $\in_c$  codomain f
  then have y-type2: y  $\in_c$  Y
    using cfunc-type-def type-assms(1) by auto
  then have coproj-y-type: left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  y  $\in_c$  Y  $\coprod$  W
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  have fg-type: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g) : X  $\coprod$  Z  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\coprod$  W
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  obtain xz where xz-def: xz  $\in_c$  X  $\coprod$  Z  $\wedge$  (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  xz = left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$ 
    y
  using fg-type y-type2 cfunc-type-def inj-f-bowtie-g surjective-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have xz-form: ( $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz)  $\vee$ 
    ( $\exists$  z. z  $\in_c$  Z  $\wedge$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  z = xz)
    using coprojs-jointly-surj xz-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  show  $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  domain f  $\wedge$  f  $\circ_c$  x = y
  proof(cases  $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz)
    assume  $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz
    then obtain x where x-def: x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz
    by blast

```

```

have f ∘c x = y
proof -
  have left-coproj Y W ∘c y = (f ⋈f g) ∘c xz
    by (simp add: xz-def)
  also have ... = (f ⋈f g) ∘c left-coproj X Z ∘c x
    by (simp add: x-def)
  also have ... = ((f ⋈f g) ∘c left-coproj X Z) ∘c x
    using comp-associative2 fg-type x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (left-coproj Y W ∘c f) ∘c x
    using left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by auto
  also have ... = left-coproj Y W ∘c f ∘c x
    using comp-associative2 type-assms(1) x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show f ∘c x = y
    using type-assms(1) x-def y-type2
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis calculation cfunc-type-def left-coproj-are-monomorphisms
left-proj-type monomorphism-def x-def)
qed
then show ?thesis
  using cfunc-type-def type-assms(1) x-def by auto
next
assume  $\nexists x. x \in_c X \wedge \text{left-coproj } X Z \circ_c x = xz$ 
then obtain z where z-def:  $z \in_c Z \wedge \text{right-coproj } X Z \circ_c z = xz$ 
  using xz-form by blast
have False
proof -
  have left-coproj Y W ∘c y = (f ⋈f g) ∘c xz
    by (simp add: xz-def)
  also have ... = (f ⋈f g) ∘c right-coproj X Z ∘c z
    by (simp add: z-def)
  also have ... = ((f ⋈f g) ∘c right-coproj X Z) ∘c z
    using comp-associative2 fg-type z-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (right-coproj Y W ∘c g) ∘c z
    using right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by auto
  also have ... = right-coproj Y W ∘c g ∘c z
    using comp-associative2 type-assms(2) z-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show False
    using calculation comp-type coproducts-disjoint type-assms(2) y-type2 z-def
by auto
qed
then show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
next
fix y
assume y-type:  $y \in_c \text{codomain } g$ 
then have y-type2:  $y \in_c W$ 
  using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) by auto
then have coproj-y-type:  $(\text{right-coproj } Y W) \circ_c y \in_c (Y \coprod W)$ 
  using cfunc-type-def comp-type right-proj-type type-assms(2) by auto

```

```

have fg-type: (f  $\bowtie_f$  g) : X  $\coprod$  Z  $\rightarrow$  Y  $\coprod$  W
  by (simp add: cfunc-bowtie-prod-type type-assms)
obtain xz where xz-def: xz  $\in_c$  X  $\coprod$  Z  $\wedge$  (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  xz = right-coproj Y W
 $\circ_c$  y
  using fg-type y-type2 cfunc-type-def inj-f-bowtie-g surjective-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
  then have xz-form: ( $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz)  $\vee$ 
    ( $\exists$  z. z  $\in_c$  Z  $\wedge$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  z = xz)
    using coprojs-jointly-surj xz-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
show  $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  domain g  $\wedge$  g  $\circ_c$  x = y
proof (cases  $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz)
  assume  $\exists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz
  then obtain x where x-def: x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz
    by blast
  have False
proof -
  have right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  y = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  xz
    by (simp add: xz-def)
  also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x
    by (simp add: x-def)
  also have ... = ((f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj X Z)  $\circ_c$  x
    using comp-associative2 fg-type x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f)  $\circ_c$  x
    using left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by auto
  also have ... = left-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  f  $\circ_c$  x
    using comp-associative2 type-assms(1) x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show False
    by (metis calculation comp-type coproducts-disjoint type-assms(1) x-def
y-type2)
qed
then show ?thesis
  by simp
next
assume  $\nexists$  x. x  $\in_c$  X  $\wedge$  left-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  x = xz
then obtain z where z-def: z  $\in_c$  Z  $\wedge$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  z = xz
  using xz-form by blast
have g  $\circ_c$  z = y
proof -
  have right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  y = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  xz
    by (simp add: xz-def)
  also have ... = (f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z  $\circ_c$  z
    by (simp add: z-def)
  also have ... = ((f  $\bowtie_f$  g)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X Z)  $\circ_c$  z
    using comp-associative2 fg-type z-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g)  $\circ_c$  z
    using right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod type-assms by auto
  also have ... = right-coproj Y W  $\circ_c$  g  $\circ_c$  z
    using comp-associative2 type-assms(2) z-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show ?thesis

```

```

    by (metis calculation cfunc-type-def codomain-comp monomorphism-def
        right-coproj-are-monomorphisms right-proj-type type-assms(2) y-type2
z-def)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using cfunc-type-def type-assms(2) z-def by auto
  qed
qed

```

18.3 Case Bool

definition *case-bool* :: *cfunc* **where**

```

case-bool = (THE f. f :  $\Omega \rightarrow (one \coprod one) \wedge$ 
  ( $t \amalg f$ )  $\circ_c f = id \Omega \wedge f \circ_c (t \amalg f) = id (one \coprod one)$ )

```

lemma *case-bool-def2*:

```

case-bool :  $\Omega \rightarrow (one \coprod one) \wedge$ 
  ( $t \amalg f$ )  $\circ_c case-bool = id \Omega \wedge case-bool \circ_c (t \amalg f) = id (one \coprod one)$ 

```

proof (*unfold case-bool-def, rule theI', auto*)

```

  show  $\exists x. x : \Omega \rightarrow one \coprod one \wedge t \amalg f \circ_c x = id_c \Omega \wedge x \circ_c t \amalg f = id_c (one \coprod one)$ 

```

using *truth-value-set-iso-1u1* **unfolding** *isomorphism-def*

by (*auto, rule-tac x=g in exI, typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def*)

next

fix *x y*

```

  assume x-type[type-rule]:  $x : \Omega \rightarrow one \coprod one$  and y-type[type-rule]:  $y : \Omega \rightarrow one \coprod one$ 

```

```

  assume x-left-inv:  $t \amalg f \circ_c x = id_c \Omega$ 

```

```

  assume  $x \circ_c t \amalg f = id_c (one \coprod one)$  y  $\circ_c t \amalg f = id_c (one \coprod one)$ 

```

```

  then have  $x \circ_c t \amalg f = y \circ_c t \amalg f$ 

```

by *auto*

```

  then have  $x \circ_c t \amalg f \circ_c x = y \circ_c t \amalg f \circ_c x$ 

```

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2*)

```

  then show  $x = y$ 

```

using *id-right-unit2 x-left-inv* by (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems, auto*)

qed

lemma *case-bool-type[type-rule]*:

```

case-bool :  $\Omega \rightarrow one \coprod one$ 

```

using *case-bool-def2* by *auto*

lemma *case-bool-true-coprod-false*:

```

case-bool  $\circ_c (t \amalg f) = id (one \coprod one)$ 

```

using *case-bool-def2* by *auto*

lemma *true-coprod-false-case-bool*:

```

( $t \amalg f$ )  $\circ_c case-bool = id \Omega$ 

```

using *case-bool-def2* by *auto*

lemma *case-bool-iso:*
isomorphism case-bool
using *case-bool-def2* **unfolding** *isomorphism-def*
by (*rule-tac* $x=t \amalg f$ **in** *exI*, *typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: cfunc-type-def*)

lemma *case-bool-true-and-false:*
 $(\text{case-bool } \circ_c t = \text{left-coproj one one}) \wedge (\text{case-bool } \circ_c f = \text{right-coproj one one})$
proof –
have $(\text{left-coproj one one}) \amalg (\text{right-coproj one one}) = \text{id}(\text{one} \amalg \text{one})$
by (*simp add: id-coprod*)
also have $\dots = \text{case-bool } \circ_c (t \amalg f)$
by (*simp add: case-bool-def2*)
also have $\dots = (\text{case-bool } \circ_c t) \amalg (\text{case-bool } \circ_c f)$
using *case-bool-def2 cfunc-coprod-comp false-func-type true-func-type* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation coprod-eq2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
qed

lemma *case-bool-true:*
 $\text{case-bool } \circ_c t = \text{left-coproj one one}$
by (*simp add: case-bool-true-and-false*)

lemma *case-bool-false:*
 $\text{case-bool } \circ_c f = \text{right-coproj one one}$
by (*simp add: case-bool-true-and-false*)

lemma *coprod-case-bool-true:*
assumes $x1 \in_c X$
assumes $x2 \in_c X$
shows $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c \text{case-bool}) \circ_c t = x1$
proof –
have $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c \text{case-bool}) \circ_c t = (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c \text{case-bool } \circ_c t$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$
using *assms case-bool-true* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = x1$
using *assms left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** *force*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: calculation*)
qed

lemma *coprod-case-bool-false:*
assumes $x1 \in_c X$
assumes $x2 \in_c X$
shows $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c \text{case-bool}) \circ_c f = x2$
proof –
have $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c \text{case-bool}) \circ_c f = (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c \text{case-bool } \circ_c f$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$

```

    using assms case-bool-false by presburger
  also have ... = x2
    using assms right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by force
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed

```

18.4 Distribution of Products over Coproducts

18.4.1 Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping

definition *dist-prod-coprod* :: $cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**
 $dist-prod-coprod\ A\ B\ C = (id\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C)$

lemma *dist-prod-coprod-type*[*type-rule*]:
 $dist-prod-coprod\ A\ B\ C : (A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C) \rightarrow A \times_c (B \amalg C)$
unfolding *dist-prod-coprod-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *dist-prod-coprod-left-ap*:
assumes $a \in_c A\ b \in_c B$
shows $dist-prod-coprod\ A\ B\ C \circ_c left-coproj\ (A \times_c B)\ (A \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle = \langle a, left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b \rangle$
unfolding *dist-prod-coprod-def* **using** *assms*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)

lemma *dist-prod-coprod-right-ap*:
assumes $a \in_c A\ c \in_c C$
shows $dist-prod-coprod\ A\ B\ C \circ_c right-coproj\ (A \times_c B)\ (A \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle = \langle a, right-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c c \rangle$
unfolding *dist-prod-coprod-def* **using** *assms*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)

lemma *dist-prod-coprod-mono*:
monomorphism (*dist-prod-coprod* *A B C*)

proof –

obtain φ **where** $\varphi\text{-def}$: $\varphi = (id\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C)$ **and**

$\varphi\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$: $\varphi : (A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C) \rightarrow A \times_c (B \amalg C)$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

have *injective*: *injective*(φ)

unfolding *injective-def*

proof(*auto*)

fix $x\ y$

assume $x\text{-type}$: $x \in_c domain\ \varphi$

assume $y\text{-type}$: $y \in_c domain\ \varphi$

assume *equal*: $\varphi \circ_c x = \varphi \circ_c y$


```

have x-type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c (A \times_c B) \coprod (A \times_c C)$ 
  using cfunc-type-def  $\varphi$ -type x-type by auto
then have x-form:  $(\exists x'. x' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge x = (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c x')$ 
   $\vee (\exists x'. x' \in_c A \times_c C \wedge x = (\text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c x')$ 
  by (simp add: coprojs-jointly-surj)
have y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c (A \times_c B) \coprod (A \times_c C)$ 
  using cfunc-type-def  $\varphi$ -type y-type by auto
then have y-form:  $(\exists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c y')$ 
   $\vee (\exists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c C \wedge y = (\text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c y')$ 
  by (simp add: coprojs-jointly-surj)

show  $x = y$ 
proof(cases  $(\exists x'. x' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge x = (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c x')$ 
 $x')$ 
  assume  $\exists x'. x' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge x = (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c x'$ 
  then obtain  $x'$  where  $x'$ -def[type-rule]:  $x' \in_c A \times_c B$   $x = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c x'$ 
    by blast
  then have ab-exists:  $\exists a b. a \in_c A \wedge b \in_c B \wedge x' = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then obtain  $a b$  where ab-def[type-rule]:  $a \in_c A$   $b \in_c B$   $x' = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
    by blast
  show  $x = y$ 
  proof(cases  $\exists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c y'$ 
 $y')$ 
    assume  $\exists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c y'$ 
    then obtain  $y'$  where  $y'$ -def:  $y' \in_c A \times_c B$   $y = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$ 
      by blast
    then have ab-exists:  $\exists a' b'. a' \in_c A \wedge b' \in_c B \wedge y' = \langle a', b' \rangle$ 
      using cart-prod-decomp by blast
    then obtain  $a' b'$  where  $a'b'$ -def[type-rule]:  $a' \in_c A$   $b' \in_c B$   $y' = \langle a', b' \rangle$ 
      by blast
    have equal-pair:  $\langle a, \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b \rangle = \langle a', \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b' \rangle$ 
    proof -
      have  $\langle a, \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b \rangle = \langle \text{id } A \circ_c a, \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b \rangle$ 
        using ab-def id-left-unit2 by force
      also have  $\dots = (\text{id } A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$ 
        by (smt ab-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-type left-proj-type)
      also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$ 
        unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c x$ 
        using ab-def comp-associative2  $x'$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
        by (simp add: local.equal)

```

also have ... = $(\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a', b' \rangle$
using $a'b'$ -def comp-associative2 φ -type y' -def **by** (typecheck-cfuncs,
blast)
also have ... = $(\text{id } A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a', b' \rangle$
unfolding φ -def **using** left-coproj-cfunc-coprod **by** (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
also have ... = $\langle \text{id } A \circ_c a', \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b' \rangle$
using $a'b'$ -def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod **by** (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
also have ... = $\langle a', \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b' \rangle$
using $a'b'$ -def id-left-unit2 **by** force
then show $\langle a, \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b \rangle = \langle a', \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b' \rangle$
by (simp add: calculation)
qed
then have a -equal: $a = a' \wedge \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b = \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b'$
using $a'b'$ -def ab-def cart-prod-eq2 equal-pair **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
then have b -equal: $b = b'$
using $a'b'$ -def a -equal ab-def left-coproj-are-monomorphisms left-proj-type
monomorphism-def3 **by** blast
then show $x = y$
by (simp add: $a'b'$ -def a -equal ab-def x' -def y' -def)
next
assume $\nexists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$
then obtain y' **where** y' -def: $y' \in_c A \times_c C \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A$
 $\times_c C) \circ_c y'$
using y -form **by** blast
then obtain $a' c'$ **where** $a'c'$ -def: $a' \in_c A \wedge c' \in_c C \wedge y = \langle a', c' \rangle$
by (meson cart-prod-decomp)
have equal-pair: $\langle a, (\text{left-coproj } B C) \circ_c b \rangle = \langle a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$
proof –
have $\langle a, \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b \rangle = \langle \text{id } A \circ_c a, \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b \rangle$
using ab-def id-left-unit2 **by** force
also have ... = $(\text{id } A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
by (smt ab-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-type left-proj-type)
also have ... = $(\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
unfolding φ -def **using** left-coproj-cfunc-coprod **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = $\varphi \circ_c x$
using ab-def comp-associative2 φ -type x' -def **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
also have ... = $\varphi \circ_c y$
by (simp add: local.equal)
also have ... = $(\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a', c' \rangle$
using $a'c'$ -def comp-associative2 y' -def **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
also have ... = $(\text{id } A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a', c' \rangle$
unfolding φ -def **using** right-coproj-cfunc-coprod **by** (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
also have ... = $\langle \text{id } A \circ_c a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$
using $a'c'$ -def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = $\langle a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$
using $a'c'$ -def id-left-unit2 **by** force

```

    then show  $\langle a, \text{left-coproj } B \ C \circ_c b \rangle = \langle a', \text{right-coproj } B \ C \circ_c c' \rangle$ 
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  then have impossible:  $\text{left-coproj } B \ C \circ_c b = \text{right-coproj } B \ C \circ_c c'$ 
    using a'c'-def ab-def element-pair-eq equal-pair by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then show  $x = y$ 
    using a'c'-def ab-def coproducts-disjoint by blast
  qed
next
  assume  $\nexists x'. x' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c x'$ 
  then obtain  $x'$  where x'-def:  $x' \in_c A \times_c C \wedge x = \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c x'$ 
    using x-form by blast
  then have ac-exists:  $\exists a \ c. a \in_c A \wedge c \in_c C \wedge x' = \langle a, c \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then obtain  $a \ c$  where ac-def:  $a \in_c A \wedge c \in_c C \wedge x' = \langle a, c \rangle$ 
    by blast
  show  $x = y$ 
  proof (cases  $\exists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$ )
    assume  $\exists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$ 
    then obtain  $y'$  where y'-def:  $y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$ 
      by blast
    then obtain  $a' \ b'$  where a'b'-def:  $a' \in_c A \wedge b' \in_c B \wedge y' = \langle a', b' \rangle$ 
      using cart-prod-decomp y'-def by blast
    have equal-pair:  $\langle a, \text{right-coproj } B \ C \circ_c c \rangle = \langle a', \text{left-coproj } B \ C \circ_c b' \rangle$ 
    proof -
      have  $\langle a, \text{right-coproj } B \ C \circ_c c \rangle = \langle \text{id}(A) \circ_c a, \text{right-coproj } B \ C \circ_c c \rangle$ 
        using ac-def id-left-unit2 by force
      also have  $\dots = (\text{id } A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B \ C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
        by (smt ac-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-type right-proj-type)
      also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
        unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c x$ 
        using ac-def comp-associative2  $\varphi$ -type x'-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
        by (simp add: local.equal)
      also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a', b' \rangle$ 
        using a'b'-def comp-associative2  $\varphi$ -type y'-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
      also have  $\dots = (\text{id } A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B \ C) \circ_c \langle a', b' \rangle$ 
        unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have  $\dots = \langle \text{id } A \circ_c a', \text{left-coproj } B \ C \circ_c b' \rangle$ 
        using a'b'-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have  $\dots = \langle a', \text{left-coproj } B \ C \circ_c b' \rangle$ 
        using a'b'-def id-left-unit2 by force
    then show  $\langle a, \text{right-coproj } B \ C \circ_c c \rangle = \langle a', \text{left-coproj } B \ C \circ_c b' \rangle$ 
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed

```

```

then have impossible: right-coproj B C  $\circ_c$  c = left-coproj B C  $\circ_c$  b'
  using a'b'-def ac-def cart-prod-eq2 equal-pair by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
then show x = y
  using a'b'-def ac-def coproducts-disjoint by force
next
  assume  $\nexists y'. y' \in_c A \times_c B \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$ 
  then obtain y' where y'-def:  $y' \in_c (A \times_c C) \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c$ 
B)  $(A \times_c C) \circ_c y'$ 
    using y-form by blast
  then obtain a' c' where a'c'-def:  $a' \in_c A \ c' \in_c C \ y' = \langle a', c' \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  have equal-pair:  $\langle a, \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c \rangle = \langle a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $\langle a, \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c \rangle = \langle \text{id } A \circ_c a, \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c \rangle$ 
      using ac-def id-left-unit2 by force
    also have ... =  $(\text{id } A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
      by (smt ac-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-type right-proj-type)
    also have ... =  $(\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
      unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
    also have ... =  $\varphi \circ_c x$ 
      using ac-def comp-associative2  $\varphi$ -type x'-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
fastforce)
    also have ... =  $\varphi \circ_c y$ 
      by (simp add: local.equal)
    also have ... =  $(\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a', c' \rangle$ 
      using a'c'-def comp-associative2  $\varphi$ -type y'-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
blast)
    also have ... =  $(\text{id } A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a', c' \rangle$ 
      unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
    also have ... =  $\langle \text{id } A \circ_c a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$ 
      using a'c'-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... =  $\langle a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$ 
      using a'c'-def id-left-unit2 by force
    then show  $\langle a, \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c \rangle = \langle a', \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c' \rangle$ 
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
then have a-equal:  $a = a' \wedge \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c = \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c'$ 
  using a'c'-def ac-def element-pair-eq equal-pair by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
then have c-equal:  $c = c'$ 
  using a'c'-def a-equal ac-def right-coproj-are-monomorphisms right-proj-type
monomorphism-def3 by blast
then show x = y
  by (simp add: a'c'-def a-equal ac-def x'-def y'-def)
qed
qed
qed
then show monomorphism (dist-prod-coprod A B C)

```

using φ -def dist-prod-coproduct-def injective-imp-monomorphism by fastforce
 qed

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-epi*:
epimorphism (dist-prod-coproduct A B C)
proof –
 obtain φ where φ -def: $\varphi = (id\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C)$ and
 φ -type[type-rule]: $\varphi : (A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C) \rightarrow A \times_c (B \amalg C)$
 by typecheck-cfuncs
 have surjective: surjective($(id\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C)$)
 C))
 unfolding surjective-def
 proof(auto)
 fix y
 assume y -type: $y \in_c codomain\ ((id_c\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id_c\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C))$
 then have y -type2: $y \in_c A \times_c (B \amalg C)$
 using φ -def φ -type cfunc-type-def by auto
 then obtain a where a -def: $\exists\ bc. a \in_c A \wedge bc \in_c B \amalg C \wedge y = \langle a, bc \rangle$
 by (meson cart-prod-decomp)
 then obtain bc where bc -def: $bc \in_c (B \amalg C) \wedge y = \langle a, bc \rangle$
 by blast
 have bc -form: $(\exists\ b. b \in_c B \wedge bc = left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b) \vee (\exists\ c. c \in_c C \wedge bc = right-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c c)$
 by (simp add: bc -def coprojs-jointly-surj)
 have domain-is: $(A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C) = domain\ ((id_c\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id_c\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C))$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def)
 show $\exists x. x \in_c domain\ ((id_c\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id_c\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C)) \wedge$
 $(id_c\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id_c\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C) \circ_c x = y$
 proof(cases $\exists\ b. b \in_c B \wedge bc = left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b$)
 assume case1: $\exists\ b. b \in_c B \wedge bc = left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b$
 then obtain b where b -def: $b \in_c B \wedge bc = left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b$
 by blast
 then have ab -type: $\langle a, b \rangle \in_c (A \times_c B)$
 using a -def b -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
 obtain x where x -def: $x = left-coproj\ (A \times_c B)\ (A \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
 by simp
 have x -type: $x \in_c domain\ ((id_c\ A \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \amalg (id_c\ A \times_f right-coproj\ B\ C))$
 using ab -type cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp domain-is left-proj-type
 x -def by auto
 have y -def2: $y = \langle a, left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b \rangle$
 by (simp add: b -def bc -def)
 have $y = (id(A) \times_f left-coproj\ B\ C) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
 using a -def b -def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 y -def2 by
 (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

```

also have ... = ( $\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)$ )  $\circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
also have ... =  $\varphi \circ_c x$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -type  $x$ -def ab-type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } ((\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C) \amalg (\text{id}_c A \times_f$ 
right-coproj } B C)) \wedge
  ( $\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C$ )  $\amalg (\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C) \circ_c x = y$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -def calculation x-type by auto
next
assume  $\nexists b. b \in_c B \wedge bc = \text{left-coproj } B C \circ_c b$ 
then have case2:  $\exists c. c \in_c C \wedge bc = (\text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c)$ 
  using bc-form by blast
then obtain  $c$  where  $c$ -def:  $c \in_c C \wedge bc = \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c$ 
  by blast
then have  $ac$ -type:  $\langle a, c \rangle \in_c (A \times_c C)$ 
  using  $a$ -def  $c$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
obtain  $x$  where  $x$ -def:  $x = \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
  by simp
have  $x$ -type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } ((\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C) \amalg (\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C))$ 
  using  $ac$ -type  $c$ -func-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp domain-is right-proj-type
x-def by auto
have  $y$ -def2:  $y = \langle a, \text{right-coproj } B C \circ_c c \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: c-def bc-def)
have  $y = (\text{id}(A) \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
  using  $a$ -def  $c$ -def  $c$ -func-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 y-def2 by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = ( $\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c B) (A \times_c C)$ )  $\circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... =  $\varphi \circ_c x$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -type  $x$ -def  $ac$ -type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } ((\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C) \amalg (\text{id}_c A \times_f$ 
right-coproj } B C)) \wedge
  ( $\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{left-coproj } B C$ )  $\amalg (\text{id}_c A \times_f \text{right-coproj } B C) \circ_c x = y$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -def calculation x-type by auto
qed
qed
then show epimorphism (dist-prod-coprod A B C)
  by (simp add: dist-prod-coprod-def surjective-is-epimorphism)
qed

```

lemma *dist-prod-coprod-iso*:

isomorphism(dist-prod-coprod A B C)

by (*simp add: dist-prod-coprod-epi dist-prod-coprod-mono epi-mon-is-iso*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.10 in Halvorson.

lemma *prod-distribute-coprod*:

$A \times_c (X \amalg Y) \cong (A \times_c X) \amalg (A \times_c Y)$

using *dist-prod-coprod-iso dist-prod-coprod-type is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric*

by *blast*

18.4.2 Inverse Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping

definition *dist-prod-coprod-inv* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

dist-prod-coprod-inv *A B C* = (*THE* *f*. *f* : $A \times_c (B \amalg C) \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C)$)
 $\wedge f \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = \text{id } ((A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C))$
 $\wedge \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C \circ_c f = \text{id } (A \times_c (B \amalg C))$)

lemma *dist-prod-coprod-inv-def2*:

shows *dist-prod-coprod-inv* *A B C* : $A \times_c (B \amalg C) \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C)$
 $\wedge \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } A B C \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = \text{id } ((A \times_c B) \amalg (A \times_c C))$
 $\wedge \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } A B C = \text{id } (A \times_c (B \amalg C))$
unfolding *dist-prod-coprod-inv-def*

proof (*rule theI'*, *auto*)

show $\exists x. x : A \times_c B \amalg C \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C \wedge$
 $x \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = \text{id}_c ((A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C) \wedge$
 $\text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C \circ_c x = \text{id}_c (A \times_c B \amalg C)$
using *dist-prod-coprod-iso*[**where** *A=A*, **where** *B=B*, **where** *C=C*] **unfolding**
isomorphism-def

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: cfunc-type-def*)
then obtain *inv* **where** *inv-type*: *inv* : $A \times_c B \amalg C \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C$
and
inv-left: *inv* $\circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = \text{id}_c ((A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C)$ **and**
inv-right: $\text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C \circ_c \text{inv} = \text{id}_c (A \times_c B \amalg C)$
by *auto*

fix *x y*

assume *x-type*: *x* : $A \times_c B \amalg C \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C$
assume *y-type*: *y* : $A \times_c B \amalg C \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C$

assume $x \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = \text{id}_c ((A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C)$
and $y \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = \text{id}_c ((A \times_c B) \amalg A \times_c C)$
then have $x \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C = y \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C$
by *auto*
then have $(x \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C) \circ_c \text{inv} = (y \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C) \circ_c \text{inv}$
by *auto*
then have $x \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C \circ_c \text{inv} = y \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } A B C \circ_c \text{inv}$
using *inv-type x-type y-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: comp-associative2*)
then have $x \circ_c \text{id}_c (A \times_c B \amalg C) = y \circ_c \text{id}_c (A \times_c B \amalg C)$
by (*simp add: inv-right*)
then show *x = y*
using *id-right-unit2 x-type y-type* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv-type*[type-rule]:
 $dist-prod-coproduct-inv\ A\ B\ C : A \times_c (B \coprod C) \rightarrow (A \times_c B) \coprod (A \times_c C)$
by (*simp add: dist-prod-coproduct-inv-def2*)

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv-left*:
 $dist-prod-coproduct-inv\ A\ B\ C \circ_c dist-prod-coproduct\ A\ B\ C = id\ ((A \times_c B) \coprod (A \times_c C))$
by (*simp add: dist-prod-coproduct-inv-def2*)

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv-right*:
 $dist-prod-coproduct\ A\ B\ C \circ_c dist-prod-coproduct-inv\ A\ B\ C = id\ (A \times_c (B \coprod C))$
by (*simp add: dist-prod-coproduct-inv-def2*)

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv-iso*:
 $isomorphism(dist-prod-coproduct-inv\ A\ B\ C)$
by (*metis dist-prod-coproduct-inv-right dist-prod-coproduct-inv-type dist-prod-coproduct-iso dist-prod-coproduct-type id-isomorphism id-right-unit2 id-type isomorphism-sandwich*)

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv-left-ap*:
assumes $a \in_c A\ b \in_c B$
shows $dist-prod-coproduct-inv\ A\ B\ C \circ_c \langle a, left-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c b \rangle = left-coproj\ (A \times_c B)\ (A \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, b \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 dist-prod-coproduct-inv-def2 dist-prod-coproduct-left-ap dist-prod-coproduct-type id-left-unit2)*

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv-right-ap*:
assumes $a \in_c A\ c \in_c C$
shows $dist-prod-coproduct-inv\ A\ B\ C \circ_c \langle a, right-coproj\ B\ C \circ_c c \rangle = right-coproj\ (A \times_c B)\ (A \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 dist-prod-coproduct-inv-def2 dist-prod-coproduct-right-ap dist-prod-coproduct-type id-left-unit2)*

18.4.3 Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping 2

definition *dist-prod-coproduct2* $:: cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cset \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**
 $dist-prod-coproduct2\ A\ B\ C = swap\ C\ (A \coprod B) \circ_c dist-prod-coproduct\ C\ A\ B \circ_c (swap\ A\ C \bowtie_f swap\ B\ C)$

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct2-type*[type-rule]:
 $dist-prod-coproduct2\ A\ B\ C : (A \times_c C) \coprod (B \times_c C) \rightarrow (A \coprod B) \times_c C$
unfolding *dist-prod-coproduct2-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct2-left-ap*:
assumes $a \in_c A\ c \in_c C$
shows $dist-prod-coproduct2\ A\ B\ C \circ_c (left-coproj\ (A \times_c C)\ (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle) = \langle left-coproj\ A\ B \circ_c a, c \rangle$
proof –
have $dist-prod-coproduct2\ A\ B\ C \circ_c (left-coproj\ (A \times_c C)\ (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle)$

$$= (\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c (\text{swap } A C \bowtie_f \text{swap } B C))$$

$$\circ_c (\text{left-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle)$$
unfolding *dist-prod-coprod2-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c ((\text{swap } A C \bowtie_f \text{swap } B C) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \text{swap } A C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \text{swap } A C \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c \text{left-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \langle c, a \rangle$
using *assms* **swap-ap** **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \langle c, \text{left-coproj } A B \circ_c a \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: dist-prod-coprod-left-ap*)
also have ... = $\langle \text{left-coproj } A B \circ_c a, c \rangle$
using *assms* **swap-ap** **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dist-prod-coprod2-right-ap*:

assumes $b \in_c B$ $c \in_c C$
shows $\text{dist-prod-coprod2 } A B C \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle = \langle \text{right-coproj } A B \circ_c b, c \rangle$
proof –
have $\text{dist-prod-coprod2 } A B C \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle$

$$= (\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c (\text{swap } A C \bowtie_f \text{swap } B C))$$

$$\circ_c (\text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle)$$
unfolding *dist-prod-coprod2-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c ((\text{swap } A C \bowtie_f \text{swap } B C) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C)) \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \text{swap } B C) \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \text{swap } B C \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod } C A B \circ_c \text{right-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \langle c, b \rangle$
using *assms* **swap-ap** **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have ... = $\text{swap } C (A \amalg B) \circ_c \langle c, \text{right-coproj } A B \circ_c b \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: dist-prod-coprod-right-ap*)
also have ... = $\langle \text{right-coproj } A B \circ_c b, c \rangle$
using *assms* **swap-ap** **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
then show *?thesis*

using calculation by auto
qed

18.4.4 Inverse Distribute Product Over Coproduct Auxillary Mapping 2

definition *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 *A B C* = (*swap C A* \bowtie_f *swap C B*) \circ_c *dist-prod-coproduct-inv*
C A B \circ_c *swap (A \coprod B) C*

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-type*[*type-rule*]:
dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 A B C : (*A \coprod B*) \times_c *C* \rightarrow (*A \times_c C*) \coprod (*B \times_c C*)
unfolding *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-left-ap*:
assumes *a* \in_c *A* *c* \in_c *C*
shows *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 A B C* \circ_c $\langle \text{left-coproj } A \text{ } B \circ_c a, c \rangle$ = *left-coproj (A*
 $\times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle a, c \rangle$
proof –
have *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 A B C* \circ_c $\langle \text{left-coproj } A \text{ } B \circ_c a, c \rangle$
= ((*swap C A* \bowtie_f *swap C B*) \circ_c *dist-prod-coproduct-inv C A B* \circ_c *swap (A \coprod B)*
C) \circ_c $\langle \text{left-coproj } A \text{ } B \circ_c a, c \rangle$
unfolding *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = (*swap C A* \bowtie_f *swap C B*) \circ_c *dist-prod-coproduct-inv C A B* \circ_c *swap*
(*A \coprod B*) *C* \circ_c $\langle \text{left-coproj } A \text{ } B \circ_c a, c \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)
also have ... = (*swap C A* \bowtie_f *swap C B*) \circ_c *dist-prod-coproduct-inv C A B* \circ_c $\langle c,$
left-coproj A B $\circ_c a \rangle$
using *assms* *swap-ap* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have ... = (*swap C A* \bowtie_f *swap C B*) \circ_c *left-coproj (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B)* \circ_c
 $\langle c, a \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: dist-prod-coproduct-inv-left-ap*)
also have ... = ((*swap C A* \bowtie_f *swap C B*) \circ_c *left-coproj (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B)*)
 \circ_c $\langle c, a \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)
also have ... = (*left-coproj (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C)* \circ_c *swap C A*) \circ_c $\langle c, a \rangle$
using *assms* *left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have ... = *left-coproj (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C)* \circ_c *swap C A* \circ_c $\langle c, a \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)
also have ... = *left-coproj (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C)* \circ_c $\langle a, c \rangle$
using *assms* *swap-ap* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
then show ?thesis
using calculation **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-right-ap*:
assumes *b* \in_c *B* *c* \in_c *C*
shows *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 A B C* \circ_c $\langle \text{right-coproj } A \text{ } B \circ_c b, c \rangle$ = *right-coproj*
(*A \times_c C*) (*B \times_c C*) \circ_c $\langle b, c \rangle$

proof –

have $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } A \ B \ C \circ_c \langle \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b, c \rangle$
 $= ((\text{swap } C \ A \bowtie_f \text{ swap } C \ B) \circ_c \text{ dist-prod-coproduct-inv } C \ A \ B \circ_c \text{ swap } (A \coprod B) \ C) \circ_c \langle \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b, c \rangle$
unfolding $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-def}$ **by** auto
also have $\dots = (\text{swap } C \ A \bowtie_f \text{ swap } C \ B) \circ_c \text{ dist-prod-coproduct-inv } C \ A \ B \circ_c \text{ swap } (A \coprod B) \ C \circ_c \langle \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b, c \rangle$
using assms **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{smt comp-associative2})$
also have $\dots = (\text{swap } C \ A \bowtie_f \text{ swap } C \ B) \circ_c \text{ dist-prod-coproduct-inv } C \ A \ B \circ_c \langle c, \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b \rangle$
using assms swap-ap **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{auto})$
also have $\dots = (\text{swap } C \ A \bowtie_f \text{ swap } C \ B) \circ_c \text{ right-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B) \circ_c \langle c, b \rangle$
using assms **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{simp add: dist-prod-coproduct-inv-right-ap})$
also have $\dots = ((\text{swap } C \ A \bowtie_f \text{ swap } C \ B) \circ_c \text{ right-coproj } (C \times_c A) (C \times_c B)) \circ_c \langle c, b \rangle$
using assms **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{auto simp add: comp-associative2})$
also have $\dots = (\text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \text{ swap } C \ B) \circ_c \langle c, b \rangle$
using assms **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{auto simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod})$
also have $\dots = \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \text{ swap } C \ B \circ_c \langle c, b \rangle$
using assms **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{auto simp add: comp-associative2})$
also have $\dots = \text{right-coproj } (A \times_c C) (B \times_c C) \circ_c \langle b, c \rangle$
using assms swap-ap **by** $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{auto})$
then show $?thesis$
using calculation **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-left-coproj}$:

$\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } X \ Y \ H \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id } H) = \text{left-coproj } (X \times_c H) (Y \times_c H)$

by $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{smt } (z3) \text{ one-separator cart-prod-decomp cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-associative2 dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-left-ap id-left-unit2})$

lemma $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-right-coproj}$:

$\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } X \ Y \ H \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id } H) = \text{right-coproj } (X \times_c H) (Y \times_c H)$

by $(\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{smt } (z3) \text{ one-separator cart-prod-decomp cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-associative2 dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-right-ap id-left-unit2})$

lemma $\text{dist-prod-coproduct2-inv2-id}$:

$\text{dist-prod-coproduct2 } A \ B \ C \circ_c \text{ dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } A \ B \ C = \text{id } ((A \coprod B) \times_c C)$

unfolding $\text{dist-prod-coproduct2-def}$ $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-def}$ **by** $(-, \text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{smt } (z3) \text{ cfunc-bowtie-prod-comp-cfunc-bowtie-prod comp-associative2 dist-prod-coproduct-inv-right id-bowtie-prod id-right-unit2 swap-idempotent})$

lemma $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-inv-id}$:

$\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } A \ B \ C \circ_c \text{ dist-prod-coproduct2 } A \ B \ C = \text{id } ((A \times_c C) \coprod (B \times_c C))$

unfolding $\text{dist-prod-coproduct2-def}$ $\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-def}$ **by** $(-, \text{typecheck-cfuncs},$

*smt (z3) cfunc-bowtie-prod-comp-cfunc-bowtie-prod comp-associative2 dist-prod-coprod-inv-left
id-bowtie-prod id-right-unit2 swap-idempotent)*

lemma *dist-prod-coprod2-iso*:

isomorphism(dist-prod-coprod2 A B C)

by (*metis cfunc-type-def dist-prod-coprod2-inv2-id dist-prod-coprod2-type dist-prod-coprod-inv2-inv-id
dist-prod-coprod-inv2-type isomorphism-def*)

18.5 Casting between sets

18.5.1 Going from a set or its complement to the superset

This subsection corresponds to Proposition 2.4.5 in Halvorsen.

definition *into-super* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

into-super m = m \amalg m^c

lemma *into-super-type[type-rule]*:

monomorphism m $\implies m : X \rightarrow Y \implies into-super m : X \amalg (Y \setminus (X, m)) \rightarrow Y$

unfolding *into-super-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *into-super-mono*:

assumes *monomorphism m m : X \rightarrow Y*

shows *monomorphism (into-super m)*

proof (*rule injective-imp-monomorphism, unfold injective-def, auto*)

fix *x y*

assume *x \in_c domain (into-super m)* **then have** *x-type: x \in_c X \amalg (Y \setminus (X, m))*

using *assms cfunc-type-def into-super-type* **by** *auto*

assume *y \in_c domain (into-super m)* **then have** *y-type: y \in_c X \amalg (Y \setminus (X, m))*

using *assms cfunc-type-def into-super-type* **by** *auto*

assume *into-super-eq: into-super m \circ_c x = into-super m \circ_c y*

have *x-cases: ($\exists x'. x' \in_c X \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x'$)*

$\vee (\exists x'. x' \in_c Y \setminus (X, m) \wedge x = \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x')$

by (*simp add: coprojs-jointly-surj x-type*)

have *y-cases: ($\exists y'. y' \in_c X \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c y'$)*

$\vee (\exists y'. y' \in_c Y \setminus (X, m) \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c y')$

by (*simp add: coprojs-jointly-surj y-type*)

show *x = y*

using *x-cases y-cases*

proof *auto*

fix *x' y'*

assume *x'-type: x' \in_c X* **and** *x-def: x = left-coproj X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x'*

assume *y'-type: y' \in_c X* **and** *y-def: y = left-coproj X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c y'*

have *into-super m \circ_c left-coproj X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x' = into-super m \circ_c*

```

left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c y'
  using into-super-eq unfolding x-def y-def by auto
  then have (into-super m ∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))) ∘c x' = (into-super m
∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))) ∘c y'
    using assms x'-type y'-type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have m ∘c x' = m ∘c y'
    using assms unfolding into-super-def
    by (simp add: complement-morphism-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then have x' = y'
    using assms cfunc-type-def monomorphism-def x'-type y'-type by auto
  then show left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c x' = left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c
y'
    by simp
next
fix x' y'
  assume x'-type: x' ∈c X and x-def: x = left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c x'
  assume y'-type: y' ∈c Y \ (X, m) and y-def: y = right-coproj X (Y \ (X,
m)) ∘c y'

  have into-super m ∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c x' = into-super m ∘c
right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c y'
    using into-super-eq unfolding x-def y-def by auto
  then have (into-super m ∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))) ∘c x' = (into-super m
∘c right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))) ∘c y'
    using assms x'-type y'-type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have m ∘c x' = mc ∘c y'
    using assms unfolding into-super-def
  by (simp add: complement-morphism-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then have False
    using assms(1) assms(2) complement-disjoint x'-type y'-type by blast
  then show left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c x' = right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))
∘c y'
    by auto
next
fix x' y'
  assume x'-type: x' ∈c Y \ (X, m) and x-def: x = right-coproj X (Y \ (X,
m)) ∘c x'
  assume y'-type: y' ∈c X and y-def: y = left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c y'

  have into-super m ∘c right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c x' = into-super m ∘c
left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)) ∘c y'
    using into-super-eq unfolding x-def y-def by auto
  then have (into-super m ∘c right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))) ∘c x' = (into-super
m ∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))) ∘c y'
    using assms x'-type y'-type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have mc ∘c x' = m ∘c y'
    using assms unfolding into-super-def
  by (simp add: complement-morphism-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then have False

```

```

    using assms(1) assms(2) complement-disjoint x'-type y'-type by fastforce
  then show right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))  $\circ_c$  x' = left-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))
 $\circ_c$  y'
    by auto
  next
    fix x' y'
    assume x'-type: x'  $\in_c$  Y \ (X, m) and x-def: x = right-coproj X (Y \ (X,
m))  $\circ_c$  x'
    assume y'-type: y'  $\in_c$  Y \ (X, m) and y-def: y = right-coproj X (Y \ (X,
m))  $\circ_c$  y'

    have into-super m  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))  $\circ_c$  x' = into-super m  $\circ_c$ 
right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))  $\circ_c$  y'
    using into-super-eq unfolding x-def y-def by auto
    then have (into-super m  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)))  $\circ_c$  x' = (into-super
m  $\circ_c$  right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m)))  $\circ_c$  y'
    using assms x'-type y'-type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then have mc  $\circ_c$  x' = mc  $\circ_c$  y'
    using assms unfolding into-super-def
    by (simp add: complement-morphism-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then have x' = y'
    using assms complement-morphism-mono complement-morphism-type monomor-
phism-def2 x'-type y'-type by blast
    then show right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))  $\circ_c$  x' = right-coproj X (Y \ (X, m))
 $\circ_c$  y'
    by simp
  qed
qed

```

lemma into-super-epi:

```

  assumes monomorphism m m : X  $\rightarrow$  Y
  shows epimorphism (into-super m)
proof (rule surjective-is-epimorphism, unfold surjective-def, auto)
  fix y
  assume y  $\in_c$  codomain (into-super m)
  then have y-type: y  $\in_c$  Y
    using assms cfunc-type-def into-super-type by auto

  have y-cases: (characteristic-func m  $\circ_c$  y = t)  $\vee$  (characteristic-func m  $\circ_c$  y =
f)
    using y-type assms true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } (\text{into-super } m) \wedge \text{into-super } m \circ_c x = y$ 
proof auto
    assume characteristic-func m  $\circ_c$  y = t
    then have y  $\in_Y$  (X, m)
      by (simp add: assms characteristic-func-true-relative-member y-type)
    then obtain x where x-type: x  $\in_c$  X and x-def: y = m  $\circ_c$  x
      by (unfold relative-member-def2, auto, unfold factors-through-def2, auto)
    then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } (\text{into-super } m) \wedge \text{into-super } m \circ_c x = y$ 

```

unfolding *into-super-def* **using** *assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*
by (*rule-tac* $x=\text{left-coproj } X \ (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x$ **in** *exI, typecheck-cfuncs*,
metis)
next
assume *characteristic-func* $m \circ_c y = f$
then have $\neg y \in_Y (X, m)$
by (*simp add: assms characteristic-func-false-not-relative-member y-type*)
then have $y \in_Y (Y \setminus (X, m), m^c)$
by (*simp add: assms not-in-subset-in-complement y-type*)
then obtain x' **where** x' -*type*: $x' \in_c Y \setminus (X, m)$ **and** x' -*def*: $y = m^c \circ_c x'$
by (*unfold relative-member-def2, auto, unfold factors-through-def2, auto*)
then show $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } (\text{into-super } m) \wedge \text{into-super } m \circ_c x = y$
unfolding *into-super-def* **using** *assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*
by (*rule-tac* $x=\text{right-coproj } X \ (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x'$ **in** *exI, typecheck-cfuncs*,
metis)
qed
qed

lemma *into-super-iso*:
assumes *monomorphism* $m : X \rightarrow Y$
shows *isomorphism* (*into-super* m)
using *assms epi-mon-is-iso into-super-epi into-super-mono* **by** *auto*

18.5.2 Going from a set to a subset or its complement

definition *try-cast* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
 $\text{try-cast } m = (\text{THE } m'. m' : \text{codomain } m \rightarrow \text{domain } m \amalg ((\text{codomain } m) \setminus ((\text{domain } m), m))$
 $\wedge m' \circ_c \text{into-super } m = \text{id } (\text{domain } m \amalg (\text{codomain } m \setminus ((\text{domain } m), m)))$
 $\wedge \text{into-super } m \circ_c m' = \text{id } (\text{codomain } m))$

lemma *try-cast-def2*:
assumes *monomorphism* $m : X \rightarrow Y$
shows $\text{try-cast } m : \text{codomain } m \rightarrow (\text{domain } m) \amalg ((\text{codomain } m) \setminus ((\text{domain } m), m))$
 $\wedge \text{try-cast } m \circ_c \text{into-super } m = \text{id } ((\text{domain } m) \amalg ((\text{codomain } m) \setminus ((\text{domain } m), m)))$
 $\wedge \text{into-super } m \circ_c \text{try-cast } m = \text{id } (\text{codomain } m)$
unfolding *try-cast-def*

proof (*rule theI', auto*)
show $\exists x. x : \text{codomain } m \rightarrow \text{domain } m \amalg (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m)) \wedge$
 $x \circ_c \text{into-super } m = \text{id}_c (\text{domain } m \amalg (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m))) \wedge$
 $\text{into-super } m \circ_c x = \text{id}_c (\text{codomain } m)$
using *assms into-super-iso cfunc-type-def into-super-type* **unfolding** *isomorphism-def* **by** *fastforce*
next
fix $x \ y$
assume x -*type*: $x : \text{codomain } m \rightarrow \text{domain } m \amalg (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m))$
assume y -*type*: $y : \text{codomain } m \rightarrow \text{domain } m \amalg (\text{codomain } m \setminus (\text{domain } m, m))$

```

    assume into-super m ∘c x = idc (codomain m) and into-super m ∘c y = idc
(codomain m)
    then have into-super m ∘c x = into-super m ∘c y
      by auto
    then show x = y
      using into-super-mono unfolding monomorphism-def
      by (metis assms(1) cfunc-type-def into-super-type monomorphism-def x-type
y-type)
qed

```

```

lemma try-cast-type[type-rule]:
  assumes monomorphism m m : X → Y
  shows try-cast m : Y → X  $\coprod$  (Y \ (X,m))
  using assms cfunc-type-def try-cast-def2 by auto

```

```

lemma try-cast-into-super:
  assumes monomorphism m m : X → Y
  shows try-cast m ∘c into-super m = id (X  $\coprod$  (Y \ (X,m)))
  using assms cfunc-type-def try-cast-def2 by auto

```

```

lemma into-super-try-cast:
  assumes monomorphism m m : X → Y
  shows into-super m ∘c try-cast m = id Y
  using assms cfunc-type-def try-cast-def2 by auto

```

```

lemma try-cast-in-X:
  assumes m-type: monomorphism m m : X → Y
  assumes y-in-X: y ∈Y (X, m)
  shows  $\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge \text{try-cast } m \circ_c y = \text{left-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X,m)) \circ_c x$ 
proof -
  have y-type: y ∈c Y
    using y-in-X unfolding relative-member-def2 by auto
  obtain x where x-type: x ∈c X and x-def: y = m ∘c x
    using y-in-X unfolding relative-member-def2 factors-through-def by (auto
simp add: cfunc-type-def)
  then have y = (into-super m ∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X,m))) ∘c x
    unfolding into-super-def using complement-morphism-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
m-type by auto
  then have y = into-super m ∘c left-coproj X (Y \ (X,m)) ∘c x
    using x-type m-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  then have try-cast m ∘c y = (try-cast m ∘c into-super m) ∘c left-coproj X (Y \
(X,m)) ∘c x
    using x-type m-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
  then have try-cast m ∘c y = left-coproj X (Y \ (X,m)) ∘c x
    using m-type x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 try-cast-into-super)
  then show ?thesis
    using x-type by blast
qed

```


lemma *try-cast-not-in-X*:

assumes *m-type*: *monomorphism* $m : X \rightarrow Y$

assumes *y-in-X*: $\neg y \in_Y (X, m)$ **and** *y-type*: $y \in_c Y$

shows $\exists x. x \in_c Y \setminus (X, m) \wedge \text{try-cast } m \circ_c y = \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c$

x

proof –

have *y-in-complement*: $y \in_Y (Y \setminus (X, m), m^c)$

by (*simp add: asms not-in-subset-in-complement*)

then obtain *x* **where** *x-type*: $x \in_c Y \setminus (X, m)$ **and** *x-def*: $y = m^c \circ_c x$

unfolding *relative-member-def2* *factors-through-def* **by** (*auto simp add: cfunc-type-def*)

then have $y = (\text{into-super } m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m))) \circ_c x$

unfolding *into-super-def* **using** *complement-morphism-type m-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*

by *auto*

then have $y = \text{into-super } m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x$

using *x-type m-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)

then have $\text{try-cast } m \circ_c y = (\text{try-cast } m \circ_c \text{into-super } m) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X (Y$

$\setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x$

using *x-type m-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2*)

then have $\text{try-cast } m \circ_c y = \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x$

using *m-type x-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 try-cast-into-super*)

then show *?thesis*

using *x-type* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *try-cast-m-m*:

assumes *m-type*: *monomorphism* $m : X \rightarrow Y$

shows $(\text{try-cast } m) \circ_c m = \text{left-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m))$

by (*smt comp-associative2 complement-morphism-type id-left-unit2 into-super-def*

into-super-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type m-type try-cast-into-super try-cast-type)

lemma *try-cast-m-m'*:

assumes *m-type*: *monomorphism* $m : X \rightarrow Y$

shows $(\text{try-cast } m) \circ_c m^c = \text{right-coproj } X (Y \setminus (X, m))$

by (*smt comp-associative2 complement-morphism-type id-left-unit2 into-super-def*

into-super-type m-type(1) m-type(2) right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type try-cast-into-super try-cast-type)

lemma *try-cast-mono*:

assumes *m-type*: *monomorphism* $m : X \rightarrow Y$

shows *monomorphism*(*try-cast m*)

by (*smt cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic' id-isomorphism into-super-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic try-cast-def2 asms*)

18.6 Coproduct Set Properties

lemma *coproduct-commutes*:

$A \amalg B \cong B \amalg A$

proof –

have *id-AB*: $((\text{right-coproj } A \ B) \amalg (\text{left-coproj } A \ B)) \circ_c ((\text{right-coproj } B \ A) \amalg$

$(\text{left-coproj } B \ A)) = \text{id}(A \coprod B)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* ($z3$) *cfunc-coprod-comp id-coprod left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)
have *id-BA*: $((\text{right-coproj } B \ A) \coprod (\text{left-coproj } B \ A)) \circ_c ((\text{right-coproj } A \ B) \coprod (\text{left-coproj } A \ B)) = \text{id}(B \coprod A)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* ($z3$) *cfunc-coprod-comp id-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)
show $A \coprod B \cong B \coprod A$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfu-threshold*) *cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-type-def id-AB id-BA is-isomorphic-def isomorphism-def left-proj-type right-proj-type*)
qed

lemma *coproduct-associates*:

$A \coprod (B \coprod C) \cong (A \coprod B) \coprod C$
proof –
obtain *q* **where** *q-def*: $q = (\text{left-coproj } (A \coprod B) \ C) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } A \ B)$ **and** *q-type*[*type-rule*]: $q: B \rightarrow (A \coprod B) \coprod C$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
obtain *f* **where** *f-def*: $f = q \coprod (\text{right-coproj } (A \coprod B) \ C)$ **and** *f-type*[*type-rule*]: $(f: (B \coprod C) \rightarrow ((A \coprod B) \coprod C))$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
have *f-prop*: $(f \circ_c \text{left-coproj } B \ C = q) \wedge (f \circ_c \text{right-coproj } B \ C = \text{right-coproj } (A \coprod B) \ C)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: f-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)
then have *f-unique*: $(\exists! f. (f: (B \coprod C) \rightarrow ((A \coprod B) \coprod C)) \wedge (f \circ_c \text{left-coproj } B \ C = q) \wedge (f \circ_c \text{right-coproj } B \ C = \text{right-coproj } (A \coprod B) \ C))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-coprod-unique f-prop f-type*)

obtain *m* **where** *m-def*: $m = (\text{left-coproj } (A \coprod B) \ C) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } A \ B)$ **and** *m-type*[*type-rule*]: $m: A \rightarrow (A \coprod B) \coprod C$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
obtain *g* **where** *g-def*: $g = m \coprod f$ **and** *g-type*[*type-rule*]: $g: A \coprod (B \coprod C) \rightarrow (A \coprod B) \coprod C$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
have *g-prop*: $(g \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C)) = m) \wedge (g \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C)) = f)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: g-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)

have *g-unique*: $\exists! g. ((g: A \coprod (B \coprod C) \rightarrow (A \coprod B) \coprod C) \wedge (g \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C)) = m) \wedge (g \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C)) = f))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-coprod-unique g-prop g-type*)

obtain *p* **where** *p-def*: $p = (\text{right-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C)) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } B \ C)$ **and** *p-type*[*type-rule*]: $p: B \rightarrow A \coprod (B \coprod C)$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
obtain *h* **where** *h-def*: $h = (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C)) \coprod p$ **and** *h-type*[*type-rule*]: $h: (A \coprod B) \rightarrow A \coprod (B \coprod C)$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
have *h-prop1*: $h \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } A \ B) = (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \coprod C))$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *h-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod p-type*)
have *h-prop2*: $h \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } A \ B) = p$
using *h-def left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)
have *h-unique*: $\exists! h. ((h: (A \amalg B) \rightarrow A \amalg (B \amalg C)) \wedge (h \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } A \ B) = (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \amalg C))) \wedge (h \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } A \ B) = p))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-coprod-unique h-prop1 h-prop2 h-type*)

obtain *j* **where** *j-def*: $j = (\text{right-coproj } A \ (B \amalg C)) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } B \ C)$ **and**
j-type[*type-rule*]: $j : C \rightarrow A \amalg (B \amalg C)$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
obtain *k* **where** *k-def*: $k = h \amalg j$ **and** *k-type*[*type-rule*]: $k: (A \amalg B) \amalg C \rightarrow A \amalg (B \amalg C)$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*

have *fact1*: $(k \circ_c g) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \amalg C)) = (\text{left-coproj } A \ (B \amalg C))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *comp-associative2 g-prop h-prop1 h-type j-type k-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type m-def*)
have *fact2*: $(g \circ_c k) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } (A \amalg B) \ C) = (\text{left-coproj } (A \amalg B) \ C)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*) *cfunc-coprod-comp cfunc-coprod-unique comp-associative2 comp-type f-prop g-prop g-type h-def h-type j-def k-def k-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type m-def p-def p-type q-def right-proj-type*)
have *fact3*: $(g \circ_c k) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } (A \amalg B) \ C) = (\text{right-coproj } (A \amalg B) \ C)$
by (*smt comp-associative2 comp-type f-def g-prop g-type h-type j-def k-def k-type q-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type*)
have *fact4*: $(k \circ_c g) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } A \ (B \amalg C)) = (\text{right-coproj } A \ (B \amalg C))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *cfunc-coprod-unique cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type f-prop g-prop h-prop2 h-type j-def k-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type p-def q-def right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type*)
have *fact5*: $(k \circ_c g) = \text{id}(A \amalg (B \amalg C))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-coprod-unique fact1 fact4 id-coprod left-proj-type right-proj-type*)
have *fact6*: $(g \circ_c k) = \text{id}((A \amalg B) \amalg C)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-coprod-unique fact2 fact3 id-coprod left-proj-type right-proj-type*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis cfunc-type-def fact5 fact6 g-type is-isomorphic-def isomorphism-def k-type*)
qed

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.10.

lemma *product-distribute-over-coproduct-left*:
 $A \times_c (X \amalg Y) \cong (A \times_c X) \amalg (A \times_c Y)$
using *dist-prod-coprod-type dist-prod-coprod-iso is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric*
by *blast*

lemma *prod-pres-iso*:
assumes $A \cong C \ B \cong D$
shows $A \times_c B \cong C \times_c D$
proof –

```

obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-def}$ :  $f: A \rightarrow C \wedge \text{isomorphism}(f)$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(1)$  is-isomorphic-def by blast
obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-def}$ :  $g: B \rightarrow D \wedge \text{isomorphism}(g)$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(2)$  is-isomorphic-def by blast
have  $\text{isomorphism}(f \times_f g)$ 
  by (meson cfunc-cross-prod-mono cfunc-cross-prod-surj epi-is-surj epi-mon-is-iso
 $f\text{-def } g\text{-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic surjective-is-epimorphism$ )
  then show  $A \times_c B \cong C \times_c D$ 
  by (meson cfunc-cross-prod-type f-def g-def is-isomorphic-def)
qed

```

lemma *coprod-pres-iso*:

```

  assumes  $A \cong C \ B \cong D$ 
  shows  $A \coprod B \cong C \coprod D$ 

```

proof –

```

obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-def}$ :  $f: A \rightarrow C$  isomorphism( $f$ )
  using  $\text{assms}(1)$  is-isomorphic-def by blast
obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-def}$ :  $g: B \rightarrow D$  isomorphism( $g$ )
  using  $\text{assms}(2)$  is-isomorphic-def by blast

```

```

have  $\text{surj-}f$ : surjective( $f$ )
  using epi-is-surj f-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic by blast
have  $\text{surj-}g$ : surjective( $g$ )
  using epi-is-surj g-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic by blast

```

```

have  $\text{coproj-}f\text{-inject}$ : injective((left-coproj  $C \ D$ )  $\circ_c f$ )
  using cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic f-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic
left-coproj-are-monomorphisms left-proj-type monomorphism-imp-injective by auto

```

```

have  $\text{coproj-}g\text{-inject}$ : injective((right-coproj  $C \ D$ )  $\circ_c g$ )
  using cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic g-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic
right-coproj-are-monomorphisms right-proj-type monomorphism-imp-injective by auto

```

```

obtain  $\varphi$  where  $\varphi\text{-def}$ :  $\varphi = (\text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c f) \coprod (\text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c g)$ 
  by simp
then have  $\varphi\text{-type}$ :  $\varphi: A \coprod B \rightarrow C \coprod D$ 
  using cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp f-def g-def
left-proj-type right-proj-type by auto

```

```

have surjective( $\varphi$ )
  unfolding surjective-def
proof (auto)
  fix  $y$ 
  assume  $y\text{-type}$ :  $y \in_c \text{codomain } \varphi$ 
  then have  $y\text{-type2}$ :  $y \in_c C \coprod D$ 
  using  $\varphi\text{-type cfunc-type-def}$  by auto
  then have  $y\text{-form}$ :  $(\exists c. c \in_c C \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c c)$ 
     $\vee (\exists d. d \in_c D \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c d)$ 
  using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

```

```

show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } \varphi \wedge \varphi \circ_c x = y$ 
proof(cases  $\exists c. c \in_c C \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } C D \circ_c c$ )
  assume  $\exists c. c \in_c C \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } C D \circ_c c$ 
  then obtain  $c$  where  $c\text{-def}: c \in_c C \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } C D \circ_c c$ 
    by blast
  then have  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge f \circ_c a = c$ 
    using cfunc-type-def f-def surj-f surjective-def by auto
  then obtain  $a$  where  $a\text{-def}: a \in_c A \wedge f \circ_c a = c$ 
    by blast
  obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-def}: x = \text{left-coproj } A B \circ_c a$ 
    by blast
  have  $x\text{-type}: x \in_c A \coprod B$ 
    using  $a\text{-def comp-type left-proj-type } x\text{-def}$  by blast
  have  $\varphi \circ_c x = y$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-def } \varphi\text{-type } a\text{-def } c\text{-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type } f\text{-def}$ 
     $g\text{-def left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct left-proj-type right-proj-type } x\text{-def}$  by (smt (verit))
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } \varphi \wedge \varphi \circ_c x = y$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-type cfunc-type-def } x\text{-type}$  by auto
next
  assume  $\nexists c. c \in_c C \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } C D \circ_c c$ 
  then have  $y\text{-def2}: \exists d. d \in_c D \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } C D \circ_c d$ 
    using  $y\text{-form}$  by blast
  then obtain  $d$  where  $d\text{-def}: d \in_c D \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } C D \circ_c d$ 
    by blast
  then have  $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge g \circ_c b = d$ 
    using  $cfunc\text{-type-def } g\text{-def surj-g surjective-def}$  by auto
  then obtain  $b$  where  $b\text{-def}: b \in_c B \wedge g \circ_c b = d$ 
    by blast
  obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-def}: x = \text{right-coproj } A B \circ_c b$ 
    by blast
  have  $x\text{-type}: x \in_c A \coprod B$ 
    using  $b\text{-def comp-type right-proj-type } x\text{-def}$  by blast
  have  $\varphi \circ_c x = y$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-def } \varphi\text{-type } b\text{-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type } d\text{-def } f\text{-def}$ 
     $g\text{-def left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct right-proj-type } x\text{-def}$  by (smt (verit))
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } \varphi \wedge \varphi \circ_c x = y$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-type cfunc-type-def } x\text{-type}$  by auto
qed
qed

have injective( $\varphi$ )
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix  $x y$ 
  assume  $x\text{-type}: x \in_c \text{domain } \varphi$ 
  assume  $y\text{-type}: y \in_c \text{domain } \varphi$ 
  assume  $\text{equals}: \varphi \circ_c x = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
  have  $x\text{-type2}: x \in_c A \coprod B$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-type cfunc-type-def } x\text{-type}$  by auto

```

```

have y-type2:  $y \in_c A \coprod B$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -type cfunc-type-def y-type by auto

have phix-type:  $\varphi \circ_c x \in_c C \coprod D$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -type comp-type x-type2 by blast
have phiy-type:  $\varphi \circ_c y \in_c C \coprod D$ 
  using equals phix-type by auto

have x-form: ( $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ )
   $\vee$  ( $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge x = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b$ )
  using cfunc-type-def coprojs-jointly-surj x-type x-type2 y-type by auto

have y-form: ( $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ )
   $\vee$  ( $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b$ )
  using cfunc-type-def coprojs-jointly-surj x-type x-type2 y-type by auto

show x=y
proof(cases  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ )
  assume  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
  then obtain a where a-def:  $a \in_c A \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
    by blast
  show x = y
  proof(cases  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ )
    assume  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
    then obtain a' where a'-def:  $a' \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a'$ 
      by blast
    then have a = a'
      proof -
        have  $(\text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c f) \circ_c a = \varphi \circ_c x$ 
          using  $\varphi$ -def a-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type f-def g-def
        left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct left-proj-type right-proj-type x-type by (smt (verit))
        also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
          by (meson equals)
        also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } A \ B) \circ_c a'$ 
          using  $\varphi$ -type a'-def comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
        also have  $\dots = (\text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c f) \circ_c a'$ 
          unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using f-def g-def a'-def left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct by
        (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
        then show a = a'
          by (smt a'-def a-def calculation cfunc-type-def coproj-f-inject domain-comp
        f-def injective-def left-proj-type)
      qed
    then show x=y
      by (simp add: a'-def(2) a-def(2))
  next
  assume  $\nexists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
  then have  $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b$ 
    using y-form by blast
  then obtain b' where b'-def:  $b' \in_c B \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b'$ 

```

```

    by blast
  show  $x = y$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c (f \circ_c a) = (\text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c f) \circ_c a$ 
      using  $a\text{-def } cfunc\text{-type-def comp-associative } f\text{-def left-proj-type}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c x$ 
      using  $\varphi\text{-def } a\text{-def } cfunc\text{-type-def comp-associative comp-type } f\text{-def } g\text{-def}$ 
       $\text{left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type right-proj-type } x\text{-type}$  by (smt (verit))
    also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
      by (meson equals)
    also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } A \ B) \circ_c b'$ 
      using  $\varphi\text{-type } b'\text{-def comp-associative2}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c g) \circ_c b'$ 
      unfolding  $\varphi\text{-def}$  using  $f\text{-def } g\text{-def } b'\text{-def right-coproj-cfunc-coprod}$  by
      (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have  $\dots = \text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c (g \circ_c b')$ 
      using  $g\text{-def } b'\text{-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    then show  $x = y$ 
      using  $a\text{-def}(1) \ b'\text{-def}(1) \text{ calculation comp-type coproducts-disjoint}$ 
       $f\text{-def}(1) \ g\text{-def}(1)$  by auto
  qed
qed
next
  assume  $\nexists a. a \in_c A \wedge x = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
  then have  $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge x = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b$ 
    using  $x\text{-form}$  by blast
  then obtain  $b$  where  $b\text{-def}: b \in_c B \wedge x = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b$ 
    by blast
  show  $x = y$ 
  proof (cases  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ )
    assume  $\exists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
    then obtain  $a'$  where  $a'\text{-def}: a' \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a'$ 
      by blast
    show  $x = y$ 
    proof -
      have  $\text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c (g \circ_c b) = (\text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c g) \circ_c b$ 
        using  $b\text{-def } cfunc\text{-type-def comp-associative } g\text{-def right-proj-type}$ 
        by auto
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c x$ 
        by (smt  $\varphi\text{-def } \varphi\text{-type } b\text{-def comp-associative2 comp-type } f\text{-def}(1)$ 
         $g\text{-def}(1) \text{ left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type}$ )
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
        by (meson equals)
      also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{left-coproj } A \ B) \circ_c a'$ 
        using  $\varphi\text{-type } a'\text{-def comp-associative2}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
      also have  $\dots = (\text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c f) \circ_c a'$ 
        unfolding  $\varphi\text{-def}$  using  $f\text{-def } g\text{-def } a'\text{-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod}$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have  $\dots = \text{left-coproj } C \ D \circ_c (f \circ_c a')$ 

```

```

      using f-def a'-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
      then show  $x = y$ 
      by (metis a'-def(1) b-def calculation comp-type coproducts-disjoint
f-def(1) g-def(1))
    qed
  next
    assume  $\nexists a. a \in_c A \wedge y = \text{left-coproj } A \ B \circ_c a$ 
    then have  $\exists b. b \in_c B \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b$ 
    using y-form by blast
    then obtain b' where b'-def:  $b' \in_c B \wedge y = \text{right-coproj } A \ B \circ_c b'$ 
    by blast
    then have  $b = b'$ 
    proof -
      have  $(\text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c g) \circ_c b = \varphi \circ_c x$ 
      by (smt  $\varphi$ -def  $\varphi$ -type b-def comp-associative2 comp-type f-def(1) g-def(1)
left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type)
      also have  $\dots = \varphi \circ_c y$ 
      by (meson equals)
      also have  $\dots = (\varphi \circ_c \text{right-coproj } A \ B) \circ_c b'$ 
      using  $\varphi$ -type b'-def comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
      also have  $\dots = (\text{right-coproj } C \ D \circ_c g) \circ_c b'$ 
      unfolding  $\varphi$ -def using f-def g-def b'-def right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      then show  $b = b'$ 
      by (smt b'-def b-def calculation cfunc-type-def coproj-g-inject domain-comp
g-def injective-def right-proj-type)
    qed
  then show  $x = y$ 
  by (simp add: b'-def(2) b-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

have monomorphism  $\varphi$ 
  using  $\langle \text{injective } \varphi \rangle$  injective-imp-monomorphism by blast
have epimorphism  $\varphi$ 
  by (simp add:  $\langle \text{surjective } \varphi \rangle$  surjective-is-epimorphism)
have isomorphism  $\varphi$ 
  using  $\langle \text{epimorphism } \varphi \rangle \langle \text{monomorphism } \varphi \rangle$  epi-mon-is-iso by blast
then show ?thesis
  using  $\varphi$ -type is-isomorphic-def by blast
qed

```

lemma *product-distribute-over-coproduct-right:*

$$(A \coprod B) \times_c C \cong (A \times_c C) \coprod (B \times_c C)$$

by (meson coprod-pres-iso isomorphic-is-transitive product-commutes product-distribute-over-coproduct-left)

lemma *coproduct-with-self-iso:*

$$X \coprod X \cong X \times_c \Omega$$


```

proof –
  obtain  $\varrho$  where  $\varrho$ -def:  $\varrho = \langle id\ X, t \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \amalg \langle id\ X, f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle$  and  $\varrho$ -type[type-rule]:
 $\varrho : X \amalg X \rightarrow X \times_c \Omega$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
  have  $\varrho$ -inj: injective  $\varrho$ 
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix  $x\ y$ 
  assume  $x \in_c domain\ \varrho$  then have  $x$ -type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X \amalg X$ 
    using  $\varrho$ -type cfunc-type-def by auto
  assume  $y \in_c domain\ \varrho$  then have  $y$ -type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c X \amalg X$ 
    using  $\varrho$ -type cfunc-type-def by auto
  assume equals:  $\varrho \circ_c x = \varrho \circ_c y$ 
  show  $x = y$ 
proof(cases  $\exists\ lx. x = left-coproj\ X\ X \circ_c lx \wedge lx \in_c X$ )
  assume  $\exists\ lx. x = left-coproj\ X\ X \circ_c lx \wedge lx \in_c X$ 
  then obtain  $lx$  where  $lx$ -def:  $x = left-coproj\ X\ X \circ_c lx \wedge lx \in_c X$ 
    by blast
  have  $\varrho x$ :  $\varrho \circ_c x = \langle lx, t \rangle$ 
proof –
    have  $\varrho \circ_c x = (\varrho \circ_c left-coproj\ X\ X) \circ_c lx$ 
      using comp-associative2  $lx$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = \langle id\ X, t \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c lx$ 
      unfolding  $\varrho$ -def using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)
    also have  $\dots = \langle lx, t \rangle$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left  $lx$ -def)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
qed
show  $x = y$ 
proof(cases  $\exists\ ly. y = left-coproj\ X\ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ )
  assume  $\exists\ ly. y = left-coproj\ X\ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ 
  then obtain  $ly$  where  $ly$ -def:  $y = left-coproj\ X\ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ 
    by blast
  have  $\varrho \circ_c y = \langle ly, t \rangle$ 
proof –
    have  $\varrho \circ_c y = (\varrho \circ_c left-coproj\ X\ X) \circ_c ly$ 
      using comp-associative2  $ly$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = \langle id\ X, t \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c ly$ 
      unfolding  $\varrho$ -def using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)
    also have  $\dots = \langle ly, t \rangle$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left  $ly$ -def)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
qed
then show  $x = y$ 
  using  $\varrho x$  cart-prod-eq2 equals  $lx$ -def  $ly$ -def true-func-type by auto

```

```

next
  assume  $\nexists ly. y = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ 
  then obtain  $ry$  where  $ry\text{-def}: y = \text{right-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ry$  and  $ry\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :
 $ry \in_c X$ 
    by (meson  $y\text{-type}$  coprojs-jointly-surj)
  have  $\varrho y: \varrho \circ_c y = \langle ry, f \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $\varrho \circ_c y = (\varrho \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ X) \circ_c ry$ 
    using comp-associative2  $ry\text{-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = \langle id \ X, f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c ry$ 
    unfolding  $\varrho\text{-def}$  using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)
    also have  $\dots = \langle ry, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\varrho x \ \varrho y$  cart-prod-eq2 equals false-func-type  $lx\text{-def}$   $ry\text{-type}$  true-false-distinct
true-func-type by force
  qed
next
  assume  $\nexists lx. x = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c lx \wedge lx \in_c X$ 
  then obtain  $rx$  where  $rx\text{-def}: x = \text{right-coproj } X \ X \circ_c rx \wedge rx \in_c X$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson coprojs-jointly-surj)
  have  $\varrho x: \varrho \circ_c x = \langle rx, f \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $\varrho \circ_c x = (\varrho \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ X) \circ_c rx$ 
    using comp-associative2  $rx\text{-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = \langle id \ X, f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c rx$ 
    unfolding  $\varrho\text{-def}$  using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)
    also have  $\dots = \langle rx, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left  $rx\text{-def}$ )
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
show  $x = y$ 
proof(cases  $\exists ly. y = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ )
  assume  $\exists ly. y = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ 
  then obtain  $ly$  where  $ly\text{-def}: y = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ 
    by blast
  have  $\varrho \circ_c y = \langle ly, t \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $\varrho \circ_c y = (\varrho \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ X) \circ_c ly$ 
    using comp-associative2  $ly\text{-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = \langle id \ X, t \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c ly$ 
    unfolding  $\varrho\text{-def}$  using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)

```

```

    also have ... = ⟨ly, t⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left ly-def)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  then show x = y
  using ϱx cart-prod-eq2 equals false-func-type ly-def rx-def true-false-distinct
  true-func-type by force
  next
  assume  $\nexists ly. y = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ly \wedge ly \in_c X$ 
  then obtain ry where ry-def:  $y = \text{right-coproj } X \ X \circ_c ry \wedge ry \in_c X$ 
  using coprojs-jointly-surj by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  have ϱy:  $\varrho \circ_c y = \langle ry, f \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $\varrho \circ_c y = (\varrho \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ X) \circ_c ry$ 
    using comp-associative2 ry-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have ... =  $\langle \text{id } X, f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c ry$ 
    unfolding ϱ-def using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
    presburger)
    also have ... = ⟨ry, f⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left ry-def)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  show x = y
  using ϱx ϱy cart-prod-eq2 equals false-func-type rx-def ry-def by auto
  qed
  qed
  have surjective ϱ
  unfolding surjective-def
  proof(auto)
    fix y
    assume  $y \in_c \text{codomain } \varrho$  then have y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c X \times_c \Omega$ 
    using ϱ-type cfunc-type-def by fastforce
    then obtain x w where y-def:  $y = \langle x, w \rangle \wedge x \in_c X \wedge w \in_c \Omega$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by fastforce
    show  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } \varrho \wedge \varrho \circ_c x = y$ 
    proof(cases w = t)
      assume w = t
      obtain z where z-def:  $z = \text{left-coproj } X \ X \circ_c x$ 
      by simp
      have  $\varrho \circ_c z = y$ 
      proof -
        have  $\varrho \circ_c z = (\varrho \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ X) \circ_c x$ 
        using comp-associative2 y-def z-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
        also have ... =  $\langle \text{id } X, t \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$ 
        unfolding ϱ-def using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
        presburger)

```

```

    also have ... = y
      using ⟨w = t⟩ cart-prod-extract-left y-def by auto
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
    qed
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis ϱ-type cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp left-proj-type
y-def z-def)
  next
    assume w ≠ t then have w = f
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson true-false-only-truth-values y-def)
    obtain z where z-def: z = right-coproj X X ∘c x
      by simp
    have ϱ ∘c z = y
    proof -
      have ϱ ∘c z = (ϱ ∘c right-coproj X X) ∘c x
        using comp-associative2 y-def z-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
      also have ... = ⟨id X, f ∘c βX⟩ ∘c x
        unfolding ϱ-def using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)
      also have ... = y
        using ⟨w = f⟩ cart-prod-extract-left y-def by auto
      then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: calculation)
    qed
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis ϱ-type cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp right-proj-type
y-def z-def)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis ϱ-inj ϱ-type epi-mon-is-iso injective-imp-monomorphism is-isomorphic-def
surjective-is-epimorphism)
  qed

```

lemma *oneUone-iso-Ω*:

```

  one  $\coprod$  one  $\cong$  Ω
  by (meson truth-value-set-iso-1u1 cfunc-coprod-type false-func-type is-isomorphic-def
true-func-type)

```

The lemma below is dual to Proposition 2.2.2 in Halvorson.

lemma *card {x. x ∈_c Ω \coprod Ω} = 4*

proof –

```

  have f1: (left-coproj Ω Ω) ∘c t ≠ (right-coproj Ω Ω) ∘c t
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: coproducts-disjoint)
  have f2: (left-coproj Ω Ω) ∘c t ≠ (left-coproj Ω Ω) ∘c f
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def left-coproj-are-monomorphisms monomor-
phism-def true-false-distinct)

```

```

have f3: (left-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  t  $\neq$  (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: coproducts-disjoint)
have f4: (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  t  $\neq$  (left-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis (no-types) coproducts-disjoint)
have f5: (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  t  $\neq$  (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def monomorphism-def right-coproj-are-monomorphisms
true-false-distinct)
have f6: (left-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f  $\neq$  (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: coproducts-disjoint)

have {x. x  $\in_c$   $\Omega \amalg \Omega$ } = {(left-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  t, (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  t,
(left-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f, (right-coproj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  f}
  using coprojs-jointly-surj true-false-only-truth-values
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
then show card {x. x  $\in_c$   $\Omega \amalg \Omega$ } = 4
  by (simp add: f1 f2 f3 f4 f5 f6)
qed

end
theory Axiom-Of-Choice
  imports Coproduct
begin

```

19 Axiom of Choice

The two definitions below correspond to Definition 2.7.1 in Halvorson.

definition *section-of* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** *section-of* 90)
where *s section-of f* \longleftrightarrow *s* : *codomain f* \rightarrow *domain f* \wedge *f* \circ_c *s* = *id* (*codomain f*)

definition *split-epimorphism* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *split-epimorphism f* \longleftrightarrow (\exists *s*. *s* : *codomain f* \rightarrow *domain f* \wedge *f* \circ_c *s* = *id* (*codomain f*))

lemma *split-epimorphism-def2*:
assumes *f-type*: *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y*
assumes *f-split-epic*: *split-epimorphism f*
shows \exists *s*. (*f* \circ_c *s* = *id Y*) \wedge (*s*: *Y* \rightarrow *X*)
using *cfunc-type-def f-split-epic f-type split-epimorphism-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *sections-define-splits*:
assumes *s section-of f*
assumes *s* : *Y* \rightarrow *X*
shows *f* : *X* \rightarrow *Y* \wedge *split-epimorphism(f)*
using *assms cfunc-type-def section-of-def split-epimorphism-def* **by** *auto*

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 11 (Axiom of Choice) in Halvorson.

axiomatization

where
axiom-of-choice: $\text{epimorphism } f \longrightarrow (\exists g . g \text{ sectionof } f)$

lemma *epis-give-monos*:
assumes *f-type*: $f : X \rightarrow Y$
assumes *f-epi*: *epimorphism* *f*
shows $\exists g . g : Y \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism } g \wedge f \circ_c g = \text{id } Y$
using *assms*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs-prems*, *metis axiom-of-choice cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic f-epi id-isomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic section-of-def*)

corollary *epis-are-split*:
assumes *f-type*: $f : X \rightarrow Y$
assumes *f-epi*: *epimorphism* *f*
shows *split-epimorphism* *f*
using *epis-give-monos cfunc-type-def f-epi split-epimorphism-def* **by** *blast*

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.6.8 in Halvorson.

lemma *monos-give-epis*:
assumes *f-type*: $f : X \rightarrow Y$
assumes *f-mono*: *monomorphism* *f*
assumes *X-nonempty*: *nonempty* *X*
shows $\exists g . g : Y \rightarrow X \wedge \text{epimorphism } g \wedge g \circ_c f = \text{id } X$
proof –
obtain *g m E* **where** *g-type*[*type-rule*]: $g : X \rightarrow E$ **and** *m-type*[*type-rule*]: $m : E \rightarrow Y$ **and**
g-epi: *epimorphism* *g* **and** *m-mono*[*type-rule*]: *monomorphism* *m* **and** *f-eq*: $f = m \circ_c g$
using *epi-monic-factorization2 f-type* **by** *blast*

have *g-mono*: *monomorphism* *g*
proof (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *unfold monomorphism-def3*, *auto*)
fix *x y A*
assume *x-type*[*type-rule*]: $x : A \rightarrow X$ **and** *y-type*[*type-rule*]: $y : A \rightarrow X$
assume $g \circ_c x = g \circ_c y$
then have $(m \circ_c g) \circ_c x = (m \circ_c g) \circ_c y$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)
then have $f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y$
unfolding *f-eq* **by** *auto*
then show $x = y$
using *f-mono f-type monomorphism-def2 x-type y-type* **by** *blast*
qed

have *g-iso*: *isomorphism* *g*
by (*simp add: epi-mon-is-iso g-epi g-mono*)
then obtain *g-inv* **where** *g-inv-type*[*type-rule*]: $g\text{-inv} : E \rightarrow X$ **and**
g-g-inv: $g \circ_c g\text{-inv} = \text{id } E$ **and** *g-inv-g*: $g\text{-inv} \circ_c g = \text{id } X$
using *cfunc-type-def g-type isomorphism-def* **by** *auto*

```

obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $x \in_c X$ 
using  $X\text{-nonempty nonempty-def}$  by  $blast$ 

show  $\exists g. g: Y \rightarrow X \wedge \text{epimorphism } g \wedge g \circ_c f = id_c X$ 
proof ( $rule\text{-tac } x=(g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)})) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m$  in  $exI, auto$ )
  show  $g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  by  $typecheck\text{-cfuns}$ 

  have  $func\text{-f-elem-eq}$ :  $\bigwedge y. y \in_c X \implies (g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m) \circ_c f \circ_c y = y$ 
  proof –
    fix  $y$ 
    assume  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $y \in_c X$ 

    have  $(g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m) \circ_c f \circ_c y$ 
       $= g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c (\text{try-cast } m \circ_c m) \circ_c g \circ_c y$ 
    unfolding  $f\text{-eq}$  by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt\ comp\text{-associative2}$ )
    also have  $\dots = (g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } E (Y \setminus (E, m))) \circ_c$ 
 $g \circ_c y$ 
    by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}, smt\ comp\text{-associative2 } m\text{-mono try-cast-m-m}$ )
    also have  $\dots = (g\text{-inv } \circ_c g) \circ_c y$ 
    by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}, simp\ add: comp\text{-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod}$ )
    also have  $\dots = y$ 
    by ( $typecheck\text{-cfuns}, simp\ add: g\text{-inv-g id-left-unit2}$ )
    then show  $(g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m) \circ_c f \circ_c y = y$ 
    using  $calculation$  by  $auto$ 
  qed

  show  $\text{epimorphism } (g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m)$ 
  proof ( $rule\ surjective\text{-is-epimorphism}, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, unfold\ surjective\text{-def2}, auto$ )
    fix  $y$ 
    assume  $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $y \in_c X$ 

    show  $\exists xa. xa \in_c Y \wedge (g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m) \circ_c xa = y$ 
    proof ( $rule\text{-tac } x=f \circ_c y$  in  $exI, auto$ )

      show  $f \circ_c y \in_c Y$ 
      using  $f\text{-type}$  by  $typecheck\text{-cfuns}$ 

      show  $(g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m) \circ_c f \circ_c y = y$ 
      by ( $simp\ add: func\text{-f-elem-eq } y\text{-type}$ )
    qed
  qed

  show  $(g\text{-inv } \Pi (x \circ_c \beta_{Y \setminus (E, m)}) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m) \circ_c f = id_c X$ 
  by ( $insert\ comp\text{-associative2 } func\text{-f-elem-eq id-left-unit2 } f\text{-type}, typecheck\text{-cfuns}, rule\ one\text{-separator}, auto$ )

```

qed
qed

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.7.2(i) in Halvorson.

```

lemma split-epis-are-regular:
  assumes f-type[type-rule]:  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assumes split-epimorphism f
  shows regular-epimorphism f
proof –
  obtain s where s-type[type-rule]:  $s : Y \rightarrow X$  and s-splits:  $f \circ_c s = id\ Y$ 
    by (meson assms(2) f-type split-epimorphism-def2)
  then have coequalizer  $Y\ f\ (s \circ_c f)\ (id\ X)$ 
    unfolding coequalizer-def
    by (rule-tac  $x=X$  in exI, rule-tac  $x=X$  in exI, typecheck-cfuncs,
      smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type id-left-unit2
id-right-unit2)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms coequalizer-is-epimorphism epimorphisms-are-regular by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.7.2(ii) in Halvorson.

```

lemma sections-are-regular-monos:
  assumes s-type:  $s : Y \rightarrow X$ 
  assumes s sectionof f
  shows regular-monomorphism s
proof –
  have coequalizer  $Y\ f\ (s \circ_c f)\ (id\ X)$ 
    unfolding coequalizer-def
    by (rule-tac  $x=X$  in exI, rule-tac  $x=X$  in exI, typecheck-cfuncs,
      smt (z3) assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative2 comp-type id-left-unit
id-right-unit2 section-of-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis assms(2) cfunc-type-def comp-monic-imp-monic' id-isomorphism
iso-imp-epi-and-monic mono-is-regmono section-of-def)
qed

```

```

end
theory Initial
  imports Coproduct
begin

```

20 Empty Set and Initial Objects

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 8 (Empty Set) in Halvorson.

```

axiomatization
  initial-func ::  $cset \Rightarrow cfunc$  ( $\alpha$ -100) and
  emptyset ::  $cset$  ( $\emptyset$ )

```


where

initial-func-type[*type-rule*]: *initial-func* $X : \emptyset \rightarrow X$ **and**
initial-func-unique: $h : \emptyset \rightarrow X \implies h = \text{initial-func } X$ **and**
emptyset-is-empty: $\neg(x \in_c \emptyset)$

definition *initial-object* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
initial-object(X) $\longleftrightarrow (\forall Y. \exists! f. f : X \rightarrow Y)$

lemma *emptyset-is-initial*:
initial-object(\emptyset)
using *initial-func-type initial-func-unique initial-object-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *initial-iso-empty*:
assumes *initial-object*(X)
shows $X \cong \emptyset$
by (*metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-type emptyset-is-empty epi-mon-is-iso initial-object-def injective-def injective-imp-monomorphism is-isomorphic-def surjective-def surjective-is-epimorphism*)

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.4.6 in Halvorson.

lemma *coproduct-with-empty*:
shows $X \amalg \emptyset \cong X$
proof –
have *comp1*: $(\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset \circ_c (\text{id } X \amalg \alpha_X)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset = \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset$
proof –
have $(\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset \circ_c (\text{id } X \amalg \alpha_X)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset =$
 $\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset \circ_c (\text{id } X \amalg \alpha_X \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset \circ_c \text{id}(X)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)
also have $\dots = \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-right-unit2*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed
have *comp2*: $(\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset \circ_c (\text{id}(X) \amalg \alpha_X)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ \emptyset = \text{right-coproj } X \ \emptyset$
proof –
have $((\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset) \circ_c (\text{id}(X) \amalg \alpha_X)) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } X \ \emptyset) =$
 $(\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset) \circ_c ((\text{id}(X) \amalg \alpha_X) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } X \ \emptyset))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = (\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset) \circ_c \alpha_X$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis right-coproj-cfunc-coprod*)
also have $\dots = \text{right-coproj } X \ \emptyset$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis initial-func-unique*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed
then have *fact1*: $(\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset) \amalg (\text{right-coproj } X \ \emptyset) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset =$
 $\text{left-coproj } X \ \emptyset$

```

    using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    then have fact2: ((left-coproj X  $\emptyset$ )  $\Pi$  (right-coproj X  $\emptyset$ ))  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj X  $\emptyset$ ) =
right-coproj X  $\emptyset$ 
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    then have concl: (left-coproj X  $\emptyset$ )  $\circ_c$  (id(X)  $\Pi$   $\alpha_X$ ) = ((left-coproj X  $\emptyset$ )  $\Pi$  (right-coproj
X  $\emptyset$ ))
    using cfunc-coprod-unique comp1 comp2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have ... = id(X)  $\Pi$   $\emptyset$ 
    using cfunc-coprod-unique id-left-unit2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then have isomorphism(id(X)  $\Pi$   $\alpha_X$ )
    unfolding isomorphism-def
    by (rule-tac x=left-coproj X  $\emptyset$  in exI, typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def
concl left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then show X  $\Pi$   $\emptyset \cong X$ 
    using cfunc-coprod-type id-type initial-func-type is-isomorphic-def by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.4.7 in Halvorson.

lemma *function-to-empty-is-iso*:

```

    assumes f: X  $\rightarrow$   $\emptyset$ 
    shows isomorphism(f)
    by (metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-type emptyset-is-empty epi-mon-is-iso in-
jective-def injective-imp-monomorphism surjective-def surjective-is-epimorphism)

```

lemma *empty-prod-X*:

```

 $\emptyset \times_c X \cong \emptyset$ 
    using cfunc-type-def function-to-empty-is-iso is-isomorphic-def left-cart-proj-type
by blast

```

lemma *X-prod-empty*:

```

X  $\times_c \emptyset \cong \emptyset$ 
    using cfunc-type-def function-to-empty-is-iso is-isomorphic-def right-cart-proj-type
by blast

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.4.8 in Halvorson.

lemma *no-el-iff-iso-empty*:

```

is-empty X  $\longleftrightarrow$  X  $\cong \emptyset$ 
proof auto
    show X  $\cong \emptyset \implies$  is-empty X
    by (meson is-empty-def comp-type emptyset-is-empty is-isomorphic-def)
next
    assume is-empty X
    obtain f where f-type: f:  $\emptyset \rightarrow$  X
    using initial-func-type by blast

```

```

    have f-inj: injective(f)
    using cfunc-type-def emptyset-is-empty f-type injective-def by auto
    then have f-mono: monomorphism(f)
    using cfunc-type-def f-type injective-imp-monomorphism by blast

```

```

have f-surj: surjective(f)
  using is-empty-def ⟨is-empty X⟩ f-type surjective-def2 by presburger
then have epi-f: epimorphism(f)
  using surjective-is-epimorphism by blast
then have iso-f: isomorphism(f)
  using cfunc-type-def epi-mon-is-iso f-mono f-type by blast
then show  $X \cong \emptyset$ 
  using f-type is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric by blast
qed

```

```

lemma initial-maps-mono:
  assumes initial-object(X)
  assumes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows monomorphism(f)
  by (metis assms cfunc-type-def initial-iso-empty injective-def injective-imp-monomorphism
no-el-iff-iso-empty is-empty-def)

```

```

lemma iso-empty-initial:
  assumes  $X \cong \emptyset$ 
  shows initial-object X
  unfolding initial-object-def
  by (meson assms comp-type is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric isomor-
phic-is-transitive no-el-iff-iso-empty is-empty-def one-separator terminal-func-type)

```

```

lemma function-to-empty-set-is-iso:
  assumes  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ 
  assumes is-empty Y
  shows isomorphism f
  by (metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-type epi-mon-is-iso injective-def injective-imp-monomorphism
is-empty-def surjective-def surjective-is-epimorphism)

```

```

lemma prod-iso-to-empty-right:
  assumes nonempty X
  assumes  $X \times_c Y \cong \emptyset$ 
  shows is-empty Y
  by (metis emptyset-is-empty is-empty-def cfunc-prod-type epi-is-surj is-isomorphic-def
iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphic-is-symmetric nonempty-def surjective-def2 assms)

```

```

lemma prod-iso-to-empty-left:
  assumes nonempty Y
  assumes  $X \times_c Y \cong \emptyset$ 
  shows is-empty X
  by (meson is-empty-def nonempty-def prod-iso-to-empty-right assms)

```

```

lemma empty-subset:  $(\emptyset, \alpha_X) \subseteq_c X$ 
  by (metis cfunc-type-def emptyset-is-empty initial-func-type injective-def injec-
tive-imp-monomorphism subobject-of-def2)

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.2.1 in Halvorson.

```

lemma one-has-two-subsets:
  card ({(X,m). (X,m)  $\subseteq_c$  one}/{((X1,m1),(X2,m2)). X1  $\cong$  X2}) = 2
proof -
  have one-subobject: (one, id one)  $\subseteq_c$  one
    using element-monomorphism id-type subobject-of-def2 by blast
  have empty-subobject: ( $\emptyset$ ,  $\alpha_{one}$ )  $\subseteq_c$  one
    by (simp add: empty-subset)

  have subobject-one-or-empty:  $\bigwedge X m. (X,m) \subseteq_c one \implies X \cong one \vee X \cong \emptyset$ 
proof -
  fix X m
  assume X-m-subobject: (X, m)  $\subseteq_c$  one

  obtain  $\chi$  where  $\chi$ -pullback: is-pullback X one one  $\Omega(\beta_X) \vdash m \chi$ 
    using X-m-subobject characteristic-function-exists subobject-of-def2 by blast
  then have  $\chi$ -true-or-false:  $\chi = t \vee \chi = f$ 
    unfolding is-pullback-def using true-false-only-truth-values by auto

  have true-iso-one:  $\chi = t \implies X \cong one$ 
proof -
  assume  $\chi$ -true:  $\chi = t$ 
  then have  $\exists! x. x \in_c X$ 
    using  $\chi$ -pullback unfolding is-pullback-def
    by (clarsimp, (erule-tac x=one in allE, erule-tac x=id one in allE, erule-tac
x=id one in allE), metis comp-type id-type terminal-func-unique)
  then show  $X \cong one$ 
    using single-elem-iso-one by auto
qed

  have false-iso-one:  $\chi = f \implies X \cong \emptyset$ 
proof -
  assume  $\chi$ -false:  $\chi = f$ 
  have  $\nexists x. x \in_c X$ 
proof auto
  fix x
  assume x-in-X:  $x \in_c X$ 
  have  $t \circ_c \beta_X = f \circ_c m$ 
    using  $\chi$ -false  $\chi$ -pullback is-pullback-def by auto
  then have  $t \circ_c (\beta_X \circ_c x) = f \circ_c (m \circ_c x)$ 
    by (smt X-m-subobject comp-associative2 false-func-type subobject-of-def2
terminal-func-type true-func-type x-in-X)
  then have  $t = f$ 
    by (smt X-m-subobject cfunc-type-def comp-type false-func-type id-right-unit
id-type
subobject-of-def2 terminal-func-unique true-func-type x-in-X)
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed
then show  $X \cong \emptyset$ 

```

```

using is-empty-def  $\langle \nexists x. x \in_c X \rangle$  no-el-iff-iso-empty by blast
qed

show  $X \cong \text{one} \vee X \cong \emptyset$ 
using  $\chi$ -true-or-false false-iso-one true-iso-one by blast
qed

have classes-distinct:  $\{(X, m). X \cong \emptyset\} \neq \{(X, m). X \cong \text{one}\}$ 
by (metis case-prod-eta curry-case-prod emptyset-is-empty id-isomorphism id-type
is-isomorphic-def mem-Collect-eq)

have  $\{(X, m). (X, m) \subseteq_c \text{one}\} // \{((X1, m1), (X2, m2)). X1 \cong X2\} = \{(X, m). X \cong \emptyset\}, \{(X, m). X \cong \text{one}\}$ 
proof
show  $\{(X, m). (X, m) \subseteq_c \text{one}\} // \{((X1, m1), (X2, m2)). X1 \cong X2\} \subseteq$ 
 $\{(X, m). X \cong \emptyset\}, \{(X, m). X \cong \text{one}\}$ 
by (unfold quotient-def, auto, insert isomorphic-is-symmetric isomorphic-is-transitive
subobject-one-or-empty, blast+)
next
show  $\{(X, m). X \cong \emptyset\}, \{(X, m). X \cong \text{one}\} \subseteq \{(X, m). (X, m) \subseteq_c \text{one}\} //$ 
 $\{((X1, m1), X2, m2). X1 \cong X2\}$ 
by (unfold quotient-def, insert empty-subobject one-subobject, auto simp add:
isomorphic-is-symmetric)
qed
then show  $\text{card} (\{(X, m). (X, m) \subseteq_c \text{one}\} // \{((X, m1), (Y, m2)). X \cong Y\})$ 
 $= 2$ 
by (simp add: classes-distinct)
qed

lemma coprod-with-init-obj1:
assumes initial-object  $Y$ 
shows  $X \coprod Y \cong X$ 
by (meson assms coprod-pres-iso coproduct-with-empty initial-iso-empty isomor-
phic-is-reflexive isomorphic-is-transitive)

lemma coprod-with-init-obj2:
assumes initial-object  $X$ 
shows  $X \coprod Y \cong Y$ 
using assms coprod-with-init-obj1 coproduct-commutes isomorphic-is-transitive
by blast

lemma prod-with-term-obj1:
assumes terminal-object( $X$ )
shows  $X \times_c Y \cong Y$ 
by (meson assms isomorphic-is-reflexive isomorphic-is-transitive one-terminal-object
one-x-A-iso-A prod-pres-iso terminal-objects-isomorphic)

lemma prod-with-term-obj2:
assumes terminal-object( $Y$ )

```

```

shows  $X \times_c Y \cong X$ 
by (meson assms isomorphic-is-transitive prod-with-term-obj1 product-commutes)

end
theory Exponential-Objects
  imports Initial
begin

```

21 Exponential Objects, Transposes and Evaluation

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 9 (Exponential Objects) in Halvorsen.

axiomatization

```

exp-set :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  cset  $\Rightarrow$  cset ( $\cdot$  [100,100]100) and
eval-func :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  cset  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc and
transpose-func :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc ( $\cdot^\#$  [100]100)
where
  exp-set-inj:  $X^A = Y^B \implies X = Y \wedge A = B$  and
  eval-func-type[type-rule]: eval-func  $X A : A \times_c X^A \rightarrow X$  and
  transpose-func-type[type-rule]:  $f : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X \implies f^\# : Z \rightarrow X^A$  and
  transpose-func-def:  $f : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X \implies (\text{eval-func } X A) \circ_c (\text{id } A \times_f f^\#) = f$ 
and
  transpose-func-unique:
     $f : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X \implies g : Z \rightarrow X^A \implies (\text{eval-func } X A) \circ_c (\text{id } A \times_f g) = f \implies$ 
     $g = f^\#$ 

```

lemma *eval-func-surj*:

```

assumes nonempty( $A$ )
shows surjective((eval-func  $X A$ ))
unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume x-type:  $x \in_c \text{codomain } (\text{eval-func } X A)$ 
  then have x-type2[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X$ 
    using cfunc-type-def eval-func-type by auto
  obtain  $a$  where a-def[type-rule]:  $a \in_c A$ 
    using assms nonempty-def by auto
  have needed-type:  $\langle a, (x \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \text{ one})^\# \rangle \in_c \text{domain } (\text{eval-func } X A)$ 
    using cfunc-type-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  have  $(\text{eval-func } X A) \circ_c \langle a, (x \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \text{ one})^\# \rangle =$ 
     $(\text{eval-func } X A) \circ_c ((\text{id}(A) \times_f (x \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \text{ one})^\#) \circ_c \langle a, \text{id}(\text{one}) \rangle)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt a-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 x-type2)
  also have  $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } X A) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f (x \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \text{ one})^\#)) \circ_c$ 
     $\langle a, \text{id}(\text{one}) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson a-def comp-associative2 x-type2)
  also have  $\dots = (x \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } A \text{ one}) \circ_c \langle a, \text{id}(\text{one}) \rangle$ 

```

```

    by (metis comp-type right-cart-proj-type transpose-func-def x-type2)
  also have ... =  $x \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } A \text{ one} \circ_c \langle a, \text{id}(\text{one}) \rangle)$ 
    using a-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative x-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... =  $x$ 
    using a-def id-right-unit2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show  $\exists y. y \in_c \text{domain } (\text{eval-func } X \ A) \wedge \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c y = x$ 
    using calculation needed-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to a note above Definition 2.5.1 in Halvorson.

```

lemma exponential-object-identity:
  ( $\text{eval-func } X \ A$ )# =  $\text{id}_c(X^A)$ 
  by (metis cfunc-type-def eval-func-type id-cross-prod id-right-unit id-type transpose-func-unique)

```

```

lemma eval-func-X-empty-injective:
  assumes is-empty Y
  shows injective ( $\text{eval-func } X \ Y$ )
  unfolding injective-def
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-type left-cart-proj-type is-empty-def)

```

21.1 Lifting Functions

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.5.1 in Halvorson.

```

definition exp-func :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cset  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc ( $(-)^{\cdot}_f [100,100]100$ ) where
  exp-func g A = ( $g \circ_c \text{eval-func } (\text{domain } g) \ A$ )#

```

```

lemma exp-func-def2:
  assumes  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows  $\text{exp-func } g \ A = (g \circ_c \text{eval-func } X \ A)^{\cdot}_f$ 
  using assms cfunc-type-def exp-func-def by auto

```

```

lemma exp-func-type[type-rule]:
  assumes  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  shows  $g^{\cdot}_f : X^A \rightarrow Y^A$ 
  using assms by (unfold exp-func-def2, typecheck-cfuncs)

```

```

lemma exp-of-id-is-id-of-exp:
   $\text{id}(X^A) = (\text{id}(X))^{\cdot}_f$ 
  by (metis (no-types) eval-func-type exp-func-def exponential-object-identity id-domain id-left-unit2)

```

The lemma below corresponds to a note below Definition 2.5.1 in Halvorson.

```

lemma exponential-square-diagram:
  assumes  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ 
  shows  $(\text{eval-func } Z \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}_c(A) \times_f g^{\cdot}_f) = g \circ_c (\text{eval-func } Y \ A)$ 

```

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: exp-func-def2 transpose-func-def*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.2 in Halvorson.

lemma *transpose-of-comp*:

assumes *f-type*: $f: A \times_c X \rightarrow Y$ **and** *g-type*: $g: Y \rightarrow Z$

shows $f: A \times_c X \rightarrow Y \wedge g: Y \rightarrow Z \implies (g \circ_c f)^\sharp = g^A_f \circ_c f^\sharp$

proof *auto*

have *left-eq*: $(\text{eval-func } Z \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f (g \circ_c f)^\sharp) = g \circ_c f$

using *comp-type f-type g-type transpose-func-def* **by** *blast*

have *right-eq*: $(\text{eval-func } Z \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f (g^A_f \circ_c f^\sharp)) = g \circ_c f$

proof $-$

have $(\text{eval-func } Z \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f (g^A_f \circ_c f^\sharp)) =$

$(\text{eval-func } Z \ A) \circ_c ((\text{id}_c A \times_f (g^A_f)) \circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f f^\sharp))$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt identity-distributes-across-composition assms*)

also have $\dots = (g \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f f^\sharp)$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2 exp-func-def2 transpose-func-def assms*)

also have $\dots = g \circ_c f$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (verit, best) comp-associative2 transpose-func-def assms*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: calculation*)

qed

show $(g \circ_c f)^\sharp = g^A_f \circ_c f^\sharp$

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis right-eq transpose-func-unique*)

qed

lemma *exponential-object-identity2*:

$\text{id}(X)^A_f = \text{id}_c(X^A)$

by (*metis eval-func-type exp-func-def exponential-object-identity id-domain id-left-unit2*)

The lemma below corresponds to comments below Proposition 2.5.2 and above Definition 2.5.3 in Halvorson.

lemma *eval-of-id-cross-id-sharp1*:

$(\text{eval-func } (A \times_c X) \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f (\text{id}(A \times_c X))^\sharp) = \text{id}(A \times_c X)$

using *id-type transpose-func-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *eval-of-id-cross-id-sharp2*:

assumes $a: Z \rightarrow A$ $x: Z \rightarrow X$

shows $((\text{eval-func } (A \times_c X) \ A) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f (\text{id}(A \times_c X))^\sharp)) \circ_c \langle a, x \rangle = \langle a, x \rangle$

by (*smt assms cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod eval-of-id-cross-id-sharp1 id-cross-prod id-left-unit2 id-type*)

lemma *transpose-factors*:

assumes $f: X \rightarrow Y$

assumes $g: Y \rightarrow Z$

shows $(g \circ_c f)^A_f = (g^A_f) \circ_c (f^A_f)$

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2 comp-type eval-func-type exp-func-def2 transpose-of-comp*)

21.2 Inverse Transpose Function (flat)

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.5.3 in Halvorson.

definition *inv-transpose-func* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* $(\cdot^b [100]100)$ **where**
 $f^b = (THE\ g.\ \exists\ Z\ X\ A.\ domain\ f = Z \wedge codomain\ f = X^A \wedge g = (eval-func\ X\ A) \circ_c (id\ A \times_f f))$

lemma *inv-transpose-func-def2*:

assumes $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$
shows $\exists\ Z\ X\ A.\ domain\ f = Z \wedge codomain\ f = X^A \wedge f^b = (eval-func\ X\ A) \circ_c (id\ A \times_f f)$

unfolding *inv-transpose-func-def*

proof (*rule theI*)

show $\exists\ Z\ Y\ B.\ domain\ f = Z \wedge codomain\ f = Y^B \wedge eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c id_c\ A \times_f f = eval-func\ Y\ B \circ_c id_c\ B \times_f f$

using *assms cfunc-type-def* **by** *blast*

next

fix g

assume $\exists\ Z\ X\ A.\ domain\ f = Z \wedge codomain\ f = X^A \wedge g = eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c id_c\ A \times_f f$

then show $g = eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c id_c\ A \times_f f$

by (*metis assms cfunc-type-def exp-set-inj*)

qed

lemma *inv-transpose-func-def3*:

assumes *f-type*: $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$

shows $f^b = (eval-func\ X\ A) \circ_c (id\ A \times_f f)$

by (*metis cfunc-type-def exp-set-inj f-type inv-transpose-func-def2*)

lemma *flat-type[type-rule]*:

assumes *f-type[type-rule]*: $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$

shows $f^b : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X$

by (*etcs-subst inv-transpose-func-def3, typecheck-cfuncs*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.4 in Halvorson.

lemma *inv-transpose-of-composition*:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y\ g : Y \rightarrow Z^A$

shows $(g \circ_c f)^b = g^b \circ_c (id(A) \times_f f)$

using *assms comp-associative2 identity-distributes-across-composition*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, unfold inv-transpose-func-def3, typecheck-cfuncs*)

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.5 in Halvorson.

lemma *flat-cancels-sharp*:

$f : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X \implies (f^\#)^b = f$

using *inv-transpose-func-def3 transpose-func-def transpose-func-type* **by** *fastforce*

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.6 in Halvorson.

lemma *sharp-cancels-flat*:

$f : Z \rightarrow X^A \implies (f^b)^\# = f$

```

proof –
  assume f-type:  $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$ 
  then have uniqueness:  $\forall g. g : Z \rightarrow X^A \longrightarrow \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f g) =$ 
 $f^\flat \longrightarrow g = (f^\flat)^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-func-unique)
  have eval-func  $X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f f) = f^\flat$ 
    by (metis f-type inv-transpose-func-def3)
  then show  $f^\flat^\sharp = f$ 
    using f-type uniqueness by auto
qed

lemma same-evals-equal:
  assumes  $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$   $g : Z \rightarrow X^A$ 
  shows  $\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f f) = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f g) \implies f = g$ 
    by (metis assms inv-transpose-func-def3 sharp-cancels-flat)

lemma sharp-comp:
  assumes  $f : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X$   $g : W \rightarrow Z$ 
  shows  $f^\sharp \circ_c g = (f \circ_c (id \ A \times_f g))^\sharp$ 
proof (rule same-evals-equal[where Z=W, where X=X, where A=A])
  show  $f^\sharp \circ_c g : W \rightarrow X^A$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $(f \circ_c id_c \ A \times_f g)^\sharp : W \rightarrow X^A$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs

  have eval-func  $X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f (f^\sharp \circ_c g)) = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f f^\sharp) \circ_c$ 
 $(id \ A \times_f g)$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: identity-distributes-across-composition)
  also have  $\dots = f \circ_c (id \ A \times_f g)$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 transpose-func-def)
  also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id_c \ A \times_f (f \circ_c (id_c \ A \times_f g)))^\sharp$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-func-def)
  then show  $\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id \ A \times_f (f^\sharp \circ_c g)) = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (id_c \ A \times_f$ 
 $(f \circ_c (id_c \ A \times_f g)))^\sharp$ 
    using calculation by auto
qed

lemma flat-pres-epi:
  assumes nonempty( $A$ )
  assumes  $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$ 
  assumes epimorphism  $f$ 
  shows epimorphism( $f^\flat$ )
proof –
  have equals:  $f^\flat = (\text{eval-func } X \ A) \circ_c (id(A) \times_f f)$ 
    using assms(2) inv-transpose-func-def3 by auto
  have idA-f-epi: epimorphism(( $id(A) \times_f f$ ))
    using assms(2) assms(3) cfunc-cross-prod-surj epi-is-surj id-isomorphism id-type
iso-imp-epi-and-monic surjective-is-epimorphism by blast
  have eval-epi: epimorphism(( $\text{eval-func } X \ A$ ))

```

```

    by (simp add: assms(1) eval-func-surj surjective-is-epimorphism)
  have codomain ((id(A) ×f f)) = domain ((eval-func X A))
    using assms(2) cfunc-type-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: composition-of-epi-pair-is-epi equals eval-epi idA-f-epi)
qed

```

lemma *transpose-inj-is-inj*:

```

  assumes g: X → Y
  assumes injective g
  shows injective(gAf)
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix x y
  assume x-type[type-rule]: x ∈c domain (gAf)
  assume y-type[type-rule]: y ∈c domain (gAf)
  assume eqs: gAf ∘c x = gAf ∘c y
  have mono-g: monomorphism g
    by (meson CollectI assms(2) injective-imp-monomorphism)
  have x-type'[type-rule]: x ∈c XA
    using assms(1) cfunc-type-def exp-func-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  have y-type'[type-rule]: y ∈c XA
    using cfunc-type-def x-type x-type' y-type by presburger
  have (g ∘c eval-func X A)# ∘c x = (g ∘c eval-func X A)# ∘c y
    unfolding exp-func-def using assms eqs exp-func-def2 by force
  then have g ∘c (eval-func X A ∘c (id(A) ×f x)) = g ∘c (eval-func X A ∘c (id(A)
×f y))
    by (smt (z3) assms(1) comp-type eqs flat-cancels-sharp flat-type inv-transpose-func-def3
sharp-cancels-flat transpose-of-comp x-type' y-type')
  then have eval-func X A ∘c (id(A) ×f x) = eval-func X A ∘c (id(A) ×f y)
    by (metis assms(1) mono-g flat-type inv-transpose-func-def3 monomorphism-def2
x-type' y-type')
  then show x = y
    by (meson same-evals-equal x-type' y-type')
qed

```

lemma *eval-func-X-one-injective*:

```

  injective (eval-func X one)
proof (cases ∃ x. x ∈c X)
  assume ∃ x. x ∈c X
  then obtain x where x-type: x ∈c X
    by auto
  then have eval-func X one ∘c idc one ×f (x ∘c βone ×c one)# = x ∘c βone ×c one
    using comp-type terminal-func-type transpose-func-def by blast

  show injective (eval-func X one)
    unfolding injective-def
  proof auto
    fix a b

```

```

assume a-type:  $a \in_c \text{domain} (\text{eval-func } X \text{ one})$ 
assume b-type:  $b \in_c \text{domain} (\text{eval-func } X \text{ one})$ 
assume evals-equal:  $\text{eval-func } X \text{ one} \circ_c a = \text{eval-func } X \text{ one} \circ_c b$ 

have eval-dom:  $\text{domain}(\text{eval-func } X \text{ one}) = \text{one} \times_c (X^{\text{one}})$ 
using cfunc-type-def eval-func-type by auto

obtain A where a-def:  $A \in_c X^{\text{one}} \wedge a = \langle \text{id one}, A \rangle$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis a-type cart-prod-decomp eval-dom terminal-func-unique)

obtain B where b-def:  $B \in_c X^{\text{one}} \wedge b = \langle \text{id one}, B \rangle$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis b-type cart-prod-decomp eval-dom terminal-func-unique)

have  $A^b \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle = B^b \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
proof –
  have  $A^b \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle = (\text{eval-func } X \text{ one}) \circ_c (\text{id one} \times_f (A^b)^\sharp) \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) a-def comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3 sharp-cancels-flat)
  also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \text{ one} \circ_c a$ 
  using a-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-right-unit2 sharp-cancels-flat
by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \text{ one} \circ_c b$ 
  by (simp add: evals-equal)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } X \text{ one}) \circ_c (\text{id one} \times_f (B^b)^\sharp) \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  using b-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-right-unit2 sharp-cancels-flat
by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have  $\dots = B^b \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit) b-def comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3 sharp-cancels-flat)
  then show  $A^b \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle = B^b \circ_c \langle \text{id one}, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  using calculation by auto
qed
then have  $A^b = B^b$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt swap-def a-def b-def cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 diagonal-def diagonal-type id-right-unit2 id-type left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type swap-idempotent swap-type terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique)
then have  $A = B$ 
by (metis a-def b-def sharp-cancels-flat)
then show  $a = b$ 
by (simp add: a-def b-def)
qed
next
assume  $\nexists x. x \in_c X$ 
then show injective (eval-func  $X \text{ one}$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-type injective-def)
qed

```

In the lemma below, the nonempty assumption is required. Consider, for example, $X = \Omega$ and $A = \emptyset$

```

lemma sharp-pres-mono:
  assumes  $f : A \times_c Z \rightarrow X$ 
  assumes  $\text{monomorphism}(f)$ 
  assumes  $\text{nonempty } A$ 
  shows  $\text{monomorphism}(f^\#)$ 
  unfolding  $\text{monomorphism-def2}$ 
proof(auto)
  fix  $g h U Y x$ 
  assume  $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : g : U \rightarrow Y$ 
  assume  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : h : U \rightarrow Y$ 
  assume  $f\text{-sharp-type}[type\text{-rule}] : f^\# : Y \rightarrow x$ 
  assume  $\text{equals} : f^\# \circ_c g = f^\# \circ_c h$ 

  have  $f\text{-sharp-type2} : f^\# : Z \rightarrow X^A$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{assms}(1)$   $\text{transpose-func-type}$ )
  have  $Y\text{-is-}Z : Y = Z$ 
    using  $\text{cfunc-type-def } f\text{-sharp-type } f\text{-sharp-type2}$  by auto
  have  $x\text{-is-}XA : x = X^A$ 
    using  $\text{cfunc-type-def } f\text{-sharp-type } f\text{-sharp-type2}$  by auto
  have  $g\text{-type2} : g : U \rightarrow Z$ 
    using  $Y\text{-is-}Z$   $g\text{-type}$  by blast
  have  $h\text{-type2} : h : U \rightarrow Z$ 
    using  $Y\text{-is-}Z$   $h\text{-type}$  by blast
  have  $\text{idg-type} : (\text{id}(A) \times_f g) : A \times_c U \rightarrow A \times_c Z$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{cfunc-cross-prod-type } g\text{-type2 } \text{id-type}$ )
  have  $\text{idh-type} : (\text{id}(A) \times_f h) : A \times_c U \rightarrow A \times_c Z$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{cfunc-cross-prod-type } h\text{-type2 } \text{id-type}$ )

  then have  $\text{epic} : \text{epimorphism}(\text{right-cart-proj } A \ U)$ 
    using  $\text{assms}(3)$   $\text{nonempty-left-imp-right-proj-epimorphism}$  by blast

  have  $f\text{Idg-is-}f\text{Idh} : f \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f g) = f \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f h)$ 
  proof -
    have  $f \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f g) = (\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f f^\#)) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f g)$ 
      using  $\text{assms}(1)$   $\text{transpose-func-def}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c ((\text{id}(A) \times_f f^\#) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f g))$ 
      using  $\text{comp-associative2 } f\text{-sharp-type2 } \text{idg-type}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f (f^\# \circ_c g))$ 
      using  $f\text{-sharp-type2 } g\text{-type2 } \text{identity-distributes-across-composition}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f (f^\# \circ_c h))$ 
      by (simp add:  $\text{equals}$ )
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c ((\text{id}(A) \times_f f^\#) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f h))$ 
      using  $f\text{-sharp-type } h\text{-type } \text{identity-distributes-across-composition}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f f^\#)) \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f h)$ 
      by (metis  $Y\text{-is-}Z$   $\text{assms}(1)$   $\text{calculation equals } f\text{-sharp-type2 } g\text{-type } h\text{-type}$ )
    also have  $\dots = f \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f h)$ 
      using  $\text{inv-transpose-func-def3 } \text{inv-transpose-of-composition } \text{transpose-func-def}$ 
    also have  $\dots = f \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f g)$ 
      using  $\text{assms}(1)$   $\text{transpose-func-def}$  by auto
  then show ?thesis

```

```

    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  then have idg-is-idh:  $(id(A) \times_f g) = (id(A) \times_f h)$ 
    using assms fIdg-is-fIdh idg-type idh-type monomorphism-def3 by blast
  then have  $g \circ_c (right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ A\ U) = h \circ_c (right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ A\ U)$ 
    by (smt g-type2 h-type2 id-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod)
  then show  $g = h$ 
    using epic epimorphism-def2 g-type2 h-type2 right-cart-proj-type by blast
  qed

```

22 Metafunctions and their Inverses (Cnufatems)

22.1 Metafunctions

definition *metafunc* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
metafunc $f \equiv (f \circ_c (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ (domain\ f)\ one))^\#$

lemma *metafunc-def2*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
shows *metafunc* $f = (f \circ_c (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one))^\#$
using assms **unfolding** *metafunc-def* *cfunc-type-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *metafunc-type[type-rule]*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
shows *metafunc* $f \in_c Y^X$
using assms **by** (*unfold metafunc-def2, typecheck-cfuncs*)

lemma *eval-lemma*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$
assumes $x \in_c X$
shows *eval-func* $Y\ X \circ_c \langle x, metafunc\ f \rangle = f \circ_c x$

proof –

```

  have eval-func  $Y\ X \circ_c \langle x, metafunc\ f \rangle = eval\text{-}func\ Y\ X \circ_c (id\ X \times_f (f \circ_c (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one))^\#) \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 metafunc-def2)
  also have  $\dots = (eval\text{-}func\ Y\ X \circ_c (id\ X \times_f (f \circ_c (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one))^\#)) \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle$ 
    using assms comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have  $\dots = (f \circ_c (left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one)) \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis transpose-func-def)
  also have  $\dots = f \circ_c x$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
  then show eval-func  $Y\ X \circ_c \langle x, metafunc\ f \rangle = f \circ_c x$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)

```

qed

22.2 Inverse Metafunctions (Cnufatems)

definition $cnufatem :: cfunc \Rightarrow cfunc$ **where**

$cnufatem f = (THE\ g.\ \forall\ Y\ X.\ f : one \rightarrow Y^X \longrightarrow g = eval_func\ Y\ X \circ_c \langle id\ X,\ f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle)$

lemma $cnufatem-def2$:

assumes $f \in_c Y^X$

shows $cnufatem f = eval_func\ Y\ X \circ_c \langle id\ X,\ f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle$

using *assms unfolding cnufatem-def cfunc-type-def*

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) exp-set-inj theI'*)

lemma $cnufatem-type[type-rule]$:

assumes $f \in_c Y^X$

shows $cnufatem f : X \rightarrow Y$

using *assms cnufatem-def2*

by (*auto, typecheck-cfuncs*)

lemma $cnufatem-metafunc$:

assumes $f : X \rightarrow Y$

shows $cnufatem (metafunc f) = f$

proof(*rule one-separator[where X = X, where Y = Y]*)

show $cnufatem (metafunc f) : X \rightarrow Y$

using *assms by typecheck-cfuncs*

show $f : X \rightarrow Y$

using *assms by simp*

show $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \Longrightarrow cnufatem (metafunc f) \circ_c x = f \circ_c x$

proof –

fix x

assume $x-type[type-rule]: x \in_c X$

have $cnufatem (metafunc f) \circ_c x = eval_func\ Y\ X \circ_c \langle id\ X,\ (metafunc f) \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$

using *assms cnufatem-def2 comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)*

also have $\dots = eval_func\ Y\ X \circ_c \langle x,\ (metafunc f) \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cart-prod-extract-left*)

also have $\dots = f \circ_c x$

using *assms eval-lemma by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)*

then show $cnufatem (metafunc f) \circ_c x = f \circ_c x$

by (*simp add: calculation*)

qed

qed

lemma $metafunc-cnufatem$:

assumes $f \in_c Y^X$

shows $metafunc (cnufatem f) = f$

proof (*rule same-evals-equal[where Z = one, where X = Y, where A = X]*)

show $metafunc (cnufatem f) \in_c Y^X$

using *assms by typecheck-cfuncs*

```

show  $f \in_c Y^X$ 
  using assms by simp
show  $\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c (\text{id}_c X \times_f (\text{metafunc } (\text{cnufatem } f))) = \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c$ 
 $\text{id}_c X \times_f f$ 
proof(rule one-separator[where  $X = X \times_c \text{one}$ , where  $Y = Y$ ])
  show  $\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f (\text{metafunc } (\text{cnufatem } f)) : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow Y$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs)
  show  $\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f f : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow Y$ 
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
next
fix  $x1$ 
assume  $x1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $x1 \in_c X \times_c \text{one}$ 
then obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $x \in_c X$  and  $x\text{-def}$ :  $x1 = \langle x, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-decomp one-unique-element)
have  $(\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f \text{metafunc } (\text{cnufatem } f)) \circ_c \langle x, \text{id one} \rangle =$ 
 $\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle x, \text{metafunc } (\text{cnufatem } f) \rangle$ 
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
also have  $\dots = (\text{cnufatem } f) \circ_c x$ 
  using assms eval-lemma by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle \text{id } X, f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c x$ 
  using assms cnufatem-def2 by presburger
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle \text{id } X, f \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms comp-associative2)
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle \text{id } X \circ_c x, f \circ_c (\beta_X \circ_c x) \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cart-prod-extract-left id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2
terminal-func-comp-elem)
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle \text{id } X \circ_c x, f \circ_c \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: terminal-func-comp-elem  $x\text{-type}$ )
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c (\text{id}_c X \times_f f) \circ_c \langle x, \text{id one} \rangle$ 
  using assms cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f f) \circ_c x1$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms comp-associative2  $x\text{-def}$ )
then show  $(\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f \text{metafunc } (\text{cnufatem } f)) \circ_c x1 =$ 
 $(\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f f) \circ_c x1$ 
  using calculation  $x\text{-def}$  by presburger
qed
qed

```

22.3 Metafunction Composition

definition $\text{meta-comp} :: \text{cset} \Rightarrow \text{cset} \Rightarrow \text{cset} \Rightarrow \text{cfunc}$ **where**
 $\text{meta-comp } X Y Z = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap } (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}(Z^Y) \times_f (\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap } (Y^X) X)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{swap } X ((Z^Y) \times_c (Y^X)))^\#$

lemma $\text{meta-comp-type}[type\text{-rule}]$:
 $\text{meta-comp } X Y Z : Z^Y \times_c Y^X \rightarrow Z^X$
unfolding meta-comp-def **by** typecheck-cfuncs

definition *meta-comp2* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* (**infixr** \square 55)
where *meta-comp2* *f g* = (*THE* *h*. \exists *W X Y*. *g* : *W* \rightarrow *Y*^{*X*} \wedge *h* = (*f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } W \rangle$)[#])

lemma *meta-comp2-def2*:
assumes *f*: *W* \rightarrow *Z*^{*Y*}
assumes *g*: *W* \rightarrow *Y*^{*X*}
shows *f* \square *g* = (*f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } W \rangle$)[#]
using *assms* **unfolding** *meta-comp2-def*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *cfunc-type-def exp-set-inj the-equality*)

lemma *meta-comp2-type*[*type-rule*]:
assumes *f*: *W* \rightarrow *Z*^{*Y*}
assumes *g*: *W* \rightarrow *Y*^{*X*}
shows *f* \square *g* : *W* \rightarrow *Z*^{*X*}
proof –
have (*f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } W \rangle$)[#] : *W* \rightarrow *Z*^{*X*}
using *assms* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*
then show *?thesis*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: meta-comp2-def2*)
qed

lemma *meta-comp2-elements-aux*:
assumes *f* \in_c *Z*^{*Y*}
assumes *g* \in_c *Y*^{*X*}
assumes *x* \in_c *X*
shows (*f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$) \circ_c $\langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$ = *eval-func* *Z* *Y* \circ_c $\langle \text{eval-func } Y \text{ } X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, f \rangle$
proof –
have (*f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$) \circ_c $\langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$ = *f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$ \circ_c $\langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have ... = *f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b \circ_c \langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } \text{one} \circ_c \langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp*)
also have ... = *f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b \circ_c \langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis one-unique-element*)
also have ... = *f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle (\text{eval-func } Y \text{ } X) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f g) \circ_c \langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3*)
also have ... = *f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle (\text{eval-func } Y \text{ } X) \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$
using *assms* *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, force*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* *Z* *Y*) \circ_c (*id* *Y* \times_f *f*) \circ_c $\langle (\text{eval-func } Y \text{ } X) \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* *Z* *Y*) \circ_c $\langle (\text{eval-func } Y \text{ } X) \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, f \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
then show (*f*^{*b*} \circ_c $\langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$) \circ_c $\langle x, \text{id}_c \text{ } \text{one} \rangle$ = *eval-func* *Z* *Y* \circ_c

$\langle \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, f \rangle$
 by (simp add: calculation)
 qed

lemma meta-comp2-def3:

assumes $f \in_c Z^Y$
 assumes $g \in_c Y^X$
 shows $f \sqcap g = \text{metafunc } ((\text{cnufatem } f) \circ_c (\text{cnufatem } g))$
 using assms
proof(unfold meta-comp2-def2 cnufatem-def2 metafunc-def meta-comp-def)
 have $f^\flat \circ_c \langle g^\flat, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \rangle = ((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one}$
proof(rule one-separator[**where** $X = X \times_c \text{one}$, **where** $Y = Z$])
 show $f^\flat \circ_c \langle g^\flat, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \rangle : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow Z$
 using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
 show $((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow Z$
 using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
next
 fix $x1$
 assume $x1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x1 \in_c (X \times_c \text{one})$
 then obtain x **where** $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$ **and** $x\text{-def}: x1 = \langle x, \text{id}_c \ \text{one} \rangle$
 by (metis cart-prod-decomp id-type terminal-func-unique)
 then have $(f^\flat \circ_c \langle g^\flat, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \rangle) \circ_c x1 = \text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, f \rangle$
 using assms meta-comp2-elements-aux $x\text{-def}$ **by** blast
 also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$
 using assms **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cart-prod-extract-left)
 also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$
 using assms **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2)
 also have $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c x$
 using assms **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
 also have $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \circ_c x1$
 using assms id-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod $x\text{-def}$ **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
 also have $\dots = (((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one}) \circ_c x1$
 using assms **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2)
 then show $(f^\flat \circ_c \langle g^\flat, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \rangle) \circ_c x1 = (((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one}) \circ_c x1$
 by (simp add: calculation)
 qed
 then show $(f^\flat \circ_c \langle g^\flat, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \rangle)^\# = (((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } (\text{domain } ((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle)) \ \text{one})^\#$

using *assms cfunc-type-def cnufatem-def2 cnufatem-type domain-comp* by force
qed

lemma *meta-comp2-def4*:

assumes $f \in_c Z^Y$

assumes $g \in_c Y^X$

shows $f \square g = \text{meta-comp } X \ Y \ Z \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle$

using *assms*

proof(*unfold meta-comp2-def2 cnufatem-def2 metafunc-def meta-comp-def*)

have $((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one}) =$

$(\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \text{swap } (Z^Y) \ Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f (\text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{swap } (Y^X) \ X)) \circ_c \text{associate-right } (Z^Y) (Y^X) \ X \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X)) \circ_c (\text{id } (X) \times_f \langle f, g \rangle)$

proof(*rule one-separator[where $X = X \times_c \text{one}$, where $Y = Z$]*)

show $((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow Z$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, meson assms*)

show $(\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \text{swap } (Z^Y) \ Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{swap } (Y^X) \ X) \circ_c \text{associate-right } (Z^Y) (Y^X) \ X \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X)) \circ_c \text{id}_c \ X \times_f \langle f, g \rangle : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow Z$

using *assms* by *typecheck-cfuncs*

next

fix *x1*

assume *x1-type*[*type-rule*]: $x1 \in_c X \times_c \text{one}$

then obtain *x* where *x-type*[*type-rule*]: $x \in_c X$ and *x-def*: $x1 = \langle x, \text{id}_c \ \text{one} \rangle$

by (*metis cart-prod-decomp id-type terminal-func-unique*)

have $((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one}) \circ_c x1 =$

$((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ \text{one} \circ_c x1$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative*)

also have $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c x$

using *id-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-def* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, presburger*)

also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative*)

also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative*)

also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms(2) cart-prod-extract-left*)

also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \langle \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle, f \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms cart-prod-extract-left*)

also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \text{swap } (Z^Y) \ Y) \circ_c \langle f, \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis assms comp-associative2 swap-ap*)

also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z \ Y \circ_c \text{swap } (Z^Y) \ Y) \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c (Z^Y) \circ_c f, (\text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \langle x, g \rangle) \rangle$

$Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \langle g, x \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) assms comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 swap-ap*)
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y) \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f (\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X)) \circ_c \langle f, \langle g, x \rangle \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)*
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X)) \circ_c \langle f, \langle g, x \rangle \rangle$
using *assms comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)*
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X)) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X \circ_c \langle \langle f, g \rangle, x \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: associate-right-ap)*
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \langle \langle f, g \rangle, x \rangle$
using *assms comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)*
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X) \circ_c \langle x, \langle f, g \rangle \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: swap-ap)*
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X)) \circ_c \langle x, \langle f, g \rangle \rangle$
using *assms comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)*
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X)) \circ_c ((\text{id}_c X \times_f \langle f, g \rangle) \circ_c x1)$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 id-type x-def)*
also have $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X)) \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f \langle f, g \rangle) \circ_c x1$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, meson assms comp-associative2*)
then show $((\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one}) \circ_c x1 =$
 $((\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X) \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X)) \circ_c \text{id}_c X \times_f \langle f, g \rangle) \circ_c x1$
using *calculation by presburger*
qed
then have $((\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \rangle) \circ_c \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \langle \text{id}_c X, g \circ_c \beta_X \rangle) \circ_c$
 $\text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\# = (\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f (\text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X) X))$
 $\circ_c \text{associate-right} (Z^Y) (Y^X) X \circ_c \text{swap } X (Z^Y \times_c Y^X))^\# \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: sharp-comp)*
then show $(f^\flat \circ_c \langle g^\flat, \text{right-cart-proj } X \text{ one} \rangle)^\# =$
 $(\text{eval-func } Z Y \circ_c \text{swap} (Z^Y) Y \circ_c (\text{id}_c (Z^Y) \times_f \text{eval-func } Y X \circ_c \text{swap} (Y^X)$

```

X) ∘c associate-right (ZY) (YX) X ∘c swap X (ZY ×c YX)# ∘c ⟨f, g⟩
  using assms cfunc-type-def cnufatem-def2 cnufatem-type domain-comp meta-comp2-def2
meta-comp2-def3 metafunc-def by force
qed

lemma meta-comp-on-els:
  assumes f : W → ZY
  assumes g : W → YX
  assumes w ∈c W
  shows (f □ g) ∘c w = (f ∘c w) □ (g ∘c w)
proof –
  have (f □ g) ∘c w = (fb ∘c ⟨gb, right-cart-proj X W⟩)# ∘c w
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: meta-comp2-def2)
  also have ... = (eval-func Z Y ∘c (id Y ×f f) ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f
g), right-cart-proj X W⟩)# ∘c w
    using assms comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3 by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
  also have ... = (eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f g), f ∘c right-cart-proj
X W⟩)# ∘c w
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2)
  also have ... = (eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f (g ∘c w)), (f ∘c
w) ∘c right-cart-proj X one)#
    proof –
      have (eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f g), f ∘c right-cart-proj X
W⟩)# ∘c (id X ×f w) =
        eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f (g ∘c w)), f ∘c right-cart-proj
X W ∘c (id X ×f w)⟩
        proof –
          have eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f g), f ∘c right-cart-proj X
W⟩ ∘c (id X ×f w)
            = eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨(eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f g)) ∘c (id X ×f w), (f
∘c right-cart-proj X W) ∘c (id X ×f w)⟩
            using assms cfunc-prod-comp by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
          also have ... = eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f g) ∘c (id X ×f
w), f ∘c right-cart-proj X W ∘c (id X ×f w)⟩
            using assms comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
          also have ... = eval-func Z Y ∘c ⟨eval-func Y X ∘c (id X ×f (g ∘c w)), f ∘c
right-cart-proj X W ∘c (id X ×f w)⟩
            using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis identity-distributes-across-composition)
            then show ?thesis
          using assms calculation comp-associative2 flat-cancels-sharp by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
        qed
      then show ?thesis
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3

inv-transpose-of-composition right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod transpose-func-unique)
qed

```

also have ... = (eval-func $Z\ Y \circ_c (id_c\ Y \times_f ((f \circ_c w) \circ_c right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one))$)
 $\circ_c \langle eval\text{-}func\ Y\ X \circ_c (id\ X \times_f (g \circ_c w)), id\ (X \times_c one) \rangle^\#$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
also have ... = (eval-func $Z\ Y \circ_c (id_c\ Y \times_f (f \circ_c w)) \circ_c (id\ (Y) \times_f right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one)$)
 $\circ_c \langle eval\text{-}func\ Y\ X \circ_c (id\ X \times_f (g \circ_c w)), id\ (X \times_c one) \rangle^\#$
using *assms* comp-associative2 identity-distributes-across-composition **by** (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
also have ... = $((f \circ_c w)^b \circ_c (id\ (Y) \times_f right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one) \circ_c \langle eval\text{-}func\ Y\ X$
 $\circ_c (id\ X \times_f (g \circ_c w)), id\ (X \times_c one) \rangle^\#)$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3)
also have ... = $((f \circ_c w)^b \circ_c (id\ (Y) \times_f right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one) \circ_c \langle (g \circ_c w)^b, id$
 $(X \times_c one) \rangle^\#)$
using *assms* inv-transpose-func-def3 **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
also have ... = $((f \circ_c w)^b \circ_c \langle (g \circ_c w)^b, right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one \rangle^\#)$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
also have ... = $(f \circ_c w) \sqcap (g \circ_c w)$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: meta-comp2-def2)
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: calculation)
qed

lemma meta-comp2-def5:
assumes $f : W \rightarrow Z^Y$
assumes $g : W \rightarrow Y^X$
shows $f \sqcap g = meta\text{-}comp\ X\ Y\ Z \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle$
proof(rule one-separator[**where** $X = W$, **where** $Y = Z^X$])
show $f \sqcap g : W \rightarrow Z^X$
using *assms* **by** typecheck-cfuncs
show $meta\text{-}comp\ X\ Y\ Z \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle : W \rightarrow Z^X$
using *assms* **by** typecheck-cfuncs
next
fix w
assume $w\text{-}type[type\text{-}rule]: w \in_c W$
have $(meta\text{-}comp\ X\ Y\ Z \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle) \circ_c w = meta\text{-}comp\ X\ Y\ Z \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle \circ_c w$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = $meta\text{-}comp\ X\ Y\ Z \circ_c \langle f \circ_c w, g \circ_c w \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp)
also have ... = $(f \circ_c w) \sqcap (g \circ_c w)$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: meta-comp2-def4)
also have ... = $(f \sqcap g) \circ_c w$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: meta-comp-on-els)
then show $(f \sqcap g) \circ_c w = (meta\text{-}comp\ X\ Y\ Z \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle) \circ_c w$
by (simp add: calculation)
qed

lemma meta-left-identity:
assumes $g \in_c X^X$

shows $g \sqcap \text{metafunc } (id \ X) = g$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-type-def cnufatem-metafunc cnufatem-type id-right-unit meta-comp2-def3 metafunc-cnufatem*)

lemma *meta-right-identity*:
assumes $g \in_c X^X$
shows $\text{metafunc}(id \ X) \sqcap g = g$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cnufatem-metafunc cnufatem-type id-left-unit2 meta-comp2-def3 metafunc-cnufatem*)

lemma *comp-as-metacomp*:
assumes $g : X \rightarrow Y$
assumes $f : Y \rightarrow Z$
shows $f \circ_c g = \text{cnufatem}(\text{metafunc } f \sqcap \text{metafunc } g)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cnufatem-metafunc meta-comp2-def3*)

lemma *metacomp-as-comp*:
assumes $g \in_c Y^X$
assumes $f \in_c Z^Y$
shows $\text{cnufatem } f \circ_c \text{cnufatem } g = \text{cnufatem}(f \sqcap g)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-as-metacomp metafunc-cnufatem*)

lemma *meta-comp-assoc*:
assumes $e : W \rightarrow A^Z$
assumes $f : W \rightarrow Z^Y$
assumes $g : W \rightarrow Y^X$
shows $(e \sqcap f) \sqcap g = e \sqcap (f \sqcap g)$

proof –
have $(e \sqcap f) \sqcap g = (e^b \circ_c \langle f^b, \text{right-cart-proj } Y \ W \rangle)^{\sharp} \sqcap g$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: meta-comp2-def2*)
also have $\dots = ((e^b \circ_c \langle f^b, \text{right-cart-proj } Y \ W \rangle)^{\sharp b} \circ_c \langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle)^{\sharp}$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: meta-comp2-def2*)
also have $\dots = ((e^b \circ_c \langle f^b, \text{right-cart-proj } Y \ W \rangle) \circ_c \langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle)^{\sharp}$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: flat-cancels-sharp*)
also have $\dots = (e^b \circ_c \langle f^b \circ_c \langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle)^{\sharp}$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
also have $\dots = (e^b \circ_c \langle (f^b \circ_c \langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle)^{\sharp b}, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle)^{\sharp}$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: flat-cancels-sharp*)
also have $\dots = e \sqcap (f^b \circ_c \langle g^b, \text{right-cart-proj } X \ W \rangle)^{\sharp}$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: meta-comp2-def2*)
also have $\dots = e \sqcap (f \sqcap g)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: meta-comp2-def2*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: calculation*)
qed

23 Partially Parameterized Functions on Pairs

definition *left-param* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* ($[-, -]$ [100,0]100) **where**
left-param *k p* \equiv (*THE* *f*. $\exists P Q R. k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R \wedge f = k \circ_c \langle p \circ_c \beta_Q, id Q \rangle$)

lemma *left-param-def2*:
assumes $k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R$
shows $k_{[p, -]} \equiv k \circ_c \langle p \circ_c \beta_Q, id Q \rangle$
proof –
have $\exists P Q R. k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R \wedge left-param\ k\ p = k \circ_c \langle p \circ_c \beta_Q, id Q \rangle$
unfolding *left-param-def* **by** (*smt* (*z3*) *cfunc-type-def the1I2 transpose-func-type assms*)
then show $k_{[p, -]} \equiv k \circ_c \langle p \circ_c \beta_Q, id Q \rangle$
by (*smt* (*z3*) *assms cfunc-type-def transpose-func-type*)
qed

lemma *left-param-type*[*type-rule*]:
assumes $k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R$
assumes $p \in_c P$
shows $k_{[p, -]} : Q \rightarrow R$
using *assms* **by** (*unfold left-param-def2, typecheck-cfuncs*)

lemma *left-param-on-el*:
assumes $k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R$
assumes $p \in_c P$
assumes $q \in_c Q$
shows $k_{[p, -]} \circ_c q = k \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle$
proof –
have $k_{[p, -]} \circ_c q = k \circ_c \langle p \circ_c \beta_Q, id Q \rangle \circ_c q$
using *assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-param-def2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, force*)
also have $\dots = k \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle$
using *assms*(2) *assms*(3) *cart-prod-extract-right* **by** *force*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: calculation*)
qed

definition *right-param* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* ($[-, -]$ [100,0]100) **where**
right-param *k q* \equiv (*THE* *f*. $\exists P Q R. k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R \wedge f = k \circ_c \langle id P, q \circ_c \beta_P \rangle$)

lemma *right-param-def2*:
assumes $k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R$
shows $k_{[-, q]} \equiv k \circ_c \langle id P, q \circ_c \beta_P \rangle$
proof –
have $\exists P Q R. k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R \wedge right-param\ k\ q = k \circ_c \langle id P, q \circ_c \beta_P \rangle$
unfolding *right-param-def* **by** (*rule theI', insert assms, auto, metis cfunc-type-def*)


```

exp-set-inj transpose-func-type)
  then show  $k_{[-,q]} \equiv k \circ_c \langle id_c P, q \circ_c \beta_P \rangle$ 
  by (smt (z3) assms cfunc-type-def exp-set-inj transpose-func-type)
qed

```

```

lemma right-param-type[type-rule]:
  assumes  $k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R$ 
  assumes  $q \in_c Q$ 
  shows  $k_{[-,q]} : P \rightarrow R$ 
  using assms by (unfold right-param-def2, typecheck-cfuncs)

```

```

lemma right-param-on-el:
  assumes  $k : P \times_c Q \rightarrow R$ 
  assumes  $p \in_c P$ 
  assumes  $q \in_c Q$ 
  shows  $k_{[-,q]} \circ_c p = k \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle$ 
proof -
  have  $k_{[-,q]} \circ_c p = k \circ_c \langle id P, q \circ_c \beta_P \rangle \circ_c p$ 
  using assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative right-param-def2 by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
  also have  $\dots = k \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle$ 
  using assms(2) assms(3) cart-prod-extract-left by force
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: calculation)
qed

```

24 Exponential Set Facts

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.7 in Halvorson.

```

lemma exp-one:
 $X^{one} \cong X$ 
proof -
  obtain e where e-defn:  $e = eval\_func X one$  and e-type:  $e : one \times_c X^{one} \rightarrow X$ 
  using eval-func-type by auto
  obtain i where i-type:  $i : one \times_c one \rightarrow one$ 
  using terminal-func-type by blast
  obtain i-inv where i-iso:  $i-inv : one \rightarrow one \times_c one \wedge$ 
 $i \circ_c i-inv = id(one) \wedge$ 
 $i-inv \circ_c i = id(one \times_c one)$ 
  by (smt cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod cfunc-cross-prod-comp-diagonal cfunc-cross-prod-def
cfunc-prod-type cfunc-type-def diagonal-def i-type id-cross-prod id-left-unit id-type
left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-unique)
  then have i-inv-type:  $i-inv : one \rightarrow one \times_c one$ 
  by auto

  have inj: injective(e)
  by (simp add: e-defn eval-func-X-one-injective)

```

```

have surj: surjective(e)
  unfolding surjective-def
proof auto
fix y
assume y ∈c codomain e
then have y-type: y ∈c X
  using cfunc-type-def e-type by auto

have witness-type: (idc one ×f (y ∘c i)#) ∘c i-inv ∈c one ×c Xone
  using y-type i-type i-inv-type by typecheck-cfuncs

have square: e ∘c (id(one) ×f (y ∘c i)#) = y ∘c i
  using comp-type e-defn i-type transpose-func-def y-type by blast
then show ∃ x. x ∈c domain e ∧ e ∘c x = y
  unfolding cfunc-type-def using y-type i-type i-inv-type e-type
  by (rule-tac x=(id(one) ×f (y ∘c i)#) ∘c i-inv in exI, typecheck-cfuncs, metis
cfunc-type-def comp-associative i-iso id-right-unit2)
qed

have isomorphism e
  using epi-mon-is-iso inj injective-imp-monomorphism surj surjective-is-epimorphism
by fastforce
then show Xone ≅ X
  using e-type is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric isomorphic-is-transitive
one-x-A-iso-A by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.8 in Halvorson.

```

lemma exp-empty:
  X∅ ≅ one
proof -
  obtain f where f-type: f = αX ∘c (left-cart-proj ∅ one) and fsharp-type[type-rule]:
  f# ∈c X∅
  using transpose-func-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  have uniqueness: ∀ z. z ∈c X∅ → z = f#
proof auto
  fix z
  assume z-type[type-rule]: z ∈c X∅
  obtain j where j-iso: j: ∅ → ∅ ×c one ∧ isomorphism(j)
  using is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric empty-prod-X by presburger
  obtain ψ where psi-type: ψ: ∅ ×c one → ∅ ∧
    j ∘c ψ = id(∅ ×c one) ∧ ψ ∘c j = id(∅)
  using cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def j-iso by fastforce
  then have f-sharp : id(∅) ×f z = id(∅) ×f f#
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-type emptyset-is-empty one-separator)
  then show z = f#
  using fsharp-type same-evals-equal z-type by force
qed
then have (∃! x. x ∈c X∅)

```

```

    by (rule-tac a=f# in ex1I, simp-all add: fsharp-type)
  then show  $X^\emptyset \cong one$ 
    using single-elem-iso-one by auto
qed

lemma one-exp:
   $one^X \cong one$ 
proof -
  have nonempty: nonempty( $one^X$ )
    using nonempty-def right-cart-proj-type transpose-func-type by blast
  obtain e where e-defn:  $e = eval-func\ one\ X$  and e-type:  $e : X \times_c one^X \rightarrow one$ 
    by (simp add: eval-func-type)
  have uniqueness:  $\forall y. (y \in_c one^X \longrightarrow e \circ_c (id(X) \times_f y) : X \times_c one \rightarrow one)$ 
    by (meson cfunc-cross-prod-type comp-type e-type id-type)
  have uniqueness-form:  $\forall y. (y \in_c one^X \longrightarrow e \circ_c (id(X) \times_f y) = \beta_{X \times_c one})$ 
    using terminal-func-unique uniqueness by blast
  then have ex1:  $(\exists! x. x \in_c one^X)$ 
    by (metis e-defn nonempty nonempty-def transpose-func-unique uniqueness)
  show  $one^X \cong one$ 
    using ex1 single-elem-iso-one by auto
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.5.9 in Halvorson.

```

lemma power-rule:
   $(X \times_c Y)^A \cong X^A \times_c Y^A$ 
proof -
  have is-cart-prod  $((X \times_c Y)^A) ((left-cart-proj\ X\ Y)^A_f) (right-cart-proj\ X\ Y^A_f)$ 
     $(X^A) (Y^A)$ 
    unfolding is-cart-prod-def
  proof auto
    show  $(left-cart-proj\ X\ Y)^A_f : (X \times_c Y)^A \rightarrow X^A$ 
      by typecheck-cfuncs
    next
      show  $(right-cart-proj\ X\ Y)^A_f : (X \times_c Y)^A \rightarrow Y^A$ 
        by typecheck-cfuncs
    next
      fix f g Z
      assume f-type[type-rule]:  $f : Z \rightarrow X^A$ 
      assume g-type[type-rule]:  $g : Z \rightarrow Y^A$ 

      show  $\exists h. h : Z \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)^A \wedge$ 
         $left-cart-proj\ X\ Y^A_f \circ_c h = f \wedge$ 
         $right-cart-proj\ X\ Y^A_f \circ_c h = g \wedge$ 
         $(\forall h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)^A \wedge left-cart-proj\ X\ Y^A_f \circ_c h2 = f \wedge$ 
         $right-cart-proj\ X\ Y^A_f \circ_c h2 = g \longrightarrow$ 
         $h2 = h)$ 
      proof (rule-tac x= $\langle f^b, g^b \rangle^\#$  in exI, auto)
        show sharp-prod-fflat-gflat-type:  $\langle f^b, g^b \rangle^\# : Z \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)^A$ 

```

```

    by typecheck-cfuncs
  have  $((\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f}) \circ_c \langle f^b, g^b \rangle^\# = ((\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c \langle f^b, g^b \rangle)^\#$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis transpose-of-comp)
  also have  $\dots = f^\#$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
  also have  $\dots = f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: sharp-cancels-flat)
  then show projection-property1:  $((\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f}) \circ_c \langle f^b, g^b \rangle^\# = f$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)
  show projection-property2:  $((\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f}) \circ_c \langle f^b, g^b \rangle^\# = g$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod sharp-cancels-flat
transpose-of-comp)
  show  $\bigwedge h2. h2 : Z \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)^A \implies$ 
     $f = \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h2 \implies$ 
     $g = \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h2 \implies$ 
     $h2 = \langle (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h2)^b, (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h2)^b \rangle^\#$ 
  proof -
    fix h
    assume h-type[type-rule]:  $h : Z \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)^A$ 
    assume h-property1:  $f = ((\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f}) \circ_c h$ 
    assume h-property2:  $g = ((\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f}) \circ_c h$ 

    have  $f = (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f} \circ_c h^\#$ 
      by (metis h-property1 h-type sharp-cancels-flat)
    also have  $\dots = ((\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c h^b)^\#$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-of-comp)
    have computation1:  $f = ((\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c h^b)^\#$ 
      by (simp add:  $\langle \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h^\# \rangle = (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c h^b)^\#$ )
    calculation
    then have uniqueness1:  $(\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c h^b = f^b$ 
    using h-type f-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: computation1 flat-cancels-sharp)
    have  $g = ((\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)^{A_f}) \circ_c (h^b)^\#$ 
      by (metis h-property2 h-type sharp-cancels-flat)
    have  $\dots = ((\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c h^b)^\#$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis transpose-of-comp)
    have computation2:  $g = ((\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c h^b)^\#$ 
      by (simp add:  $\langle g = \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h^\# \rangle \langle \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h^\# \rangle = (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \circ_c h^b)^\#$ )
    then have uniqueness2:  $(\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y) \circ_c h^b = g^b$ 
    using h-type g-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: computation2 flat-cancels-sharp)
    then have h-flat:  $h^b = \langle f^b, g^b \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-unique uniqueness1 uniqueness2)
    then have h-is-sharp-prod-fflat-gflat:  $h = \langle f^b, g^b \rangle^\#$ 
      by (metis h-type sharp-cancels-flat)
    then show  $h = \langle (\text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h)^\# \rangle, (\text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y^{A_f} \circ_c h)^\# \rangle^\#$ 
      using h-property1 h-property2 by force
  qed

```

qed
qed
then show $(X \times_c Y)^A \cong X^A \times_c Y^A$
using *canonical-cart-prod-is-cart-prod cart-prods-isomorphic fst-conv is-isomorphic-def*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *exponential-coprod-distribution:*

$$Z(X \amalg Y) \cong (Z^X) \times_c (Z^Y)$$

proof –

have *is-cart-prod* $(Z(X \amalg Y)) ((\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } X Y) \times_f (\text{id}(Z(X \amalg Y)))^\#) ((\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } X Y) \times_f (\text{id}(Z(X \amalg Y)))^\#) (Z^X) (Z^Y))$

unfolding *is-cart-prod-def*

proof *auto*

show $(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# : Z(X \amalg Y) \rightarrow Z^X$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# : Z(X \amalg Y) \rightarrow Z^Y$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

next

fix $f g H$

assume $f\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : f : H \rightarrow Z^X$

assume $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : g : H \rightarrow Z^Y$

show $\exists h. h : H \rightarrow Z(X \amalg Y) \wedge$

$$(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# \circ_c h = f$$

\wedge

$$(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# \circ_c h = g$$

\wedge

$$(\forall h2. h2 : H \rightarrow Z(X \amalg Y) \wedge$$

$$(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# \circ_c$$

$$h2 = f \wedge$$

$$(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# \circ_c$$

$$h2 = g \longrightarrow$$

$$h2 = h)$$

proof $(\text{rule-tac } x=(f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X Y H)^\# \text{ in } exI, \text{ auto})$

show $(f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X Y H)^\# : H \rightarrow Z(X \amalg Y)$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

next

have $(\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# \circ_c (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X Y H)^\# =$

$$((\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z(X \amalg Y))) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X Y H)^\#))^\#$$

using *sharp-comp* **by** *(typecheck-cfuncs, blast)*

also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } X Y \times_f (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X Y H)^\#))^\#$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* $Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c (id (X \amalg Y) \times_f (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp) \circ_c (left\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id H)^\sharp$)
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = ($f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c (dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H \circ_c left\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id H)^\sharp$)
using *comp-associative2 transpose-func-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *force*)
also have ... = ($f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c left\text{-}coproj (X \times_c H) (Y \times_c H)^\sharp$)
by (*simp add: dist-prod-coprod-inv2-left-coproj*)
also have ... = f
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod sharp-cancels-flat*)
then show (*eval-func* $Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c left\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)})^\sharp$)
 $\circ_c (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp = f$
by (*simp add: calculation*)
next
have (*eval-func* $Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)})^\sharp \circ_c (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp$) =
 $((eval\text{-}func Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)})) \circ_c (id Y \times_f (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp))^\sharp$
using *sharp-comp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* $Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c (right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp)$)
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* $Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c (id (X \amalg Y) \times_f (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp) \circ_c (right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id H)^\sharp$)
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = ($f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c (dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H \circ_c right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id H)^\sharp$)
using *comp-associative2 transpose-func-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *force*)
also have ... = ($f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c right\text{-}coproj (X \times_c H) (Y \times_c H)^\sharp$)
by (*simp add: dist-prod-coprod-inv2-right-coproj*)
also have ... = g
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod sharp-cancels-flat*)
then show (*eval-func* $Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)})^\sharp$)
 $\circ_c (f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H)^\sharp = g$
by (*simp add: calculation*)
next
fix h
assume $h\text{-type}[type\text{-}rule]: h : H \rightarrow Z^{(X \amalg Y)}$
assume $f\text{-eqs}: f = (eval\text{-}func Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c left\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)}))^\sharp \circ_c h$
assume $g\text{-eqs}: g = (eval\text{-}func Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c right\text{-}coproj X Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)}))^\sharp \circ_c h$
have ($f^\flat \amalg g^\flat \circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv2 X Y H$) = h^\flat

```

proof(rule one-separator[where  $X = (X \amalg Y) \times_c H$ , where  $Y = Z$ ])
  show  $f^b \amalg g^b \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X \ Y \ H : (X \amalg Y) \times_c H \rightarrow Z$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $h^b : (X \amalg Y) \times_c H \rightarrow Z$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\bigwedge xyh. xyh \in_c (X \amalg Y) \times_c H \implies (f^b \amalg g^b \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X \ Y \ H) \circ_c xyh = h^b \circ_c xyh$ 
proof–
  fix  $xyh$ 
  assume  $l\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: xyh \in_c (X \amalg Y) \times_c H$ 
  then obtain  $xy$  and  $z$  where  $xy\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: xy \in_c X \amalg Y$  and
 $z\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: z \in_c H$ 
    and  $xyh\text{-def}: xyh = \langle xy, z \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  show  $(f^b \amalg g^b \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X \ Y \ H) \circ_c xyh = h^b \circ_c xyh$ 
  proof(cases  $\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge xy = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$ )
    assume  $\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge xy = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$ 
    then obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$  and  $xy\text{-def}: xy =$ 
 $\text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$ 
    by blast
    have  $(f^b \amalg g^b \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X \ Y \ H) \circ_c xyh = (f^b \amalg g^b) \circ_c$ 
 $(\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X \ Y \ H \circ_c \langle \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x, z \rangle)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2  $xy\text{-def } xyh\text{-def}$ )
    also have  $\dots = (f^b \amalg g^b) \circ_c ((\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv2 } X \ Y \ H \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id } H)) \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle)$ 
    using dist-prod-coprod-inv2-left-ap dist-prod-coprod-inv2-left-coproj by
(typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
    also have  $\dots = (f^b \amalg g^b) \circ_c (\text{left-coproj } (X \times_c H) (Y \times_c H) \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle)$ 
    using dist-prod-coprod-inv2-left-coproj by presburger
    also have  $\dots = f^b \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    also have  $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c$ 
 $(Z(X \amalg Y)))^\# \circ_c h)^\flat \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle$ 
    using f-eqs by fastforce
    also have  $\dots = (((\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c$ 
 $(Z(X \amalg Y)))^\#) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f h)) \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle$ 
    using inv-transpose-of-composition by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
    also have  $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c$ 
 $(Z(X \amalg Y))) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f h)) \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: flat-cancels-sharp)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f h) \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod
comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x, h \circ_c z \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z (X \amalg Y) \circ_c ((\text{id}(X \amalg Y) \times_f h) \circ_c \langle xy, z \rangle)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2  $xy\text{-def}$ )

```

also have $\dots = h^b \circ_c xyh$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3 xyh-def*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: calculation*)
next
assume $\nexists x. x \in_c X \wedge xy = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x$
then obtain y **where** $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y \in_c Y$ **and** $xy\text{-def}: xy = \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y$
using *coprojs-jointly-surj* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)
have $(f^b \amalg g^b \circ_c \text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } X \ Y \ H) \circ_c xyh = (f^b \amalg g^b) \circ_c (\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } X \ Y \ H \circ_c \langle \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y, z \rangle)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 xy-def xyh-def*)
also have $\dots = (f^b \amalg g^b) \circ_c ((\text{dist-prod-coproduct-inv2 } X \ Y \ H \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id } H)) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle)$
using *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-right-ap dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-right-coproj*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *presburger*)
also have $\dots = (f^b \amalg g^b) \circ_c (\text{right-coproj } (X \times_c H) \ (Y \times_c H) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle)$
using *dist-prod-coproduct-inv2-right-coproj* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = g^b \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct*)
also have $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)}))^\# \circ_c h)^\flat \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle$
using *g-eqs* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = (((\text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)}))^\#) \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f h)) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle$
using *inv-transpose-of-composition* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *presburger*)
also have $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)})) \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f h)) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: flat-cancels-sharp*)
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \times_f h) \circ_c \langle y, z \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \langle \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y, h \circ_c z \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c ((\text{id } (X \amalg Y) \times_f h) \circ_c \langle xy, z \rangle)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 xy-def*)
also have $\dots = h^b \circ_c xyh$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3 xyh-def*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: calculation*)
qed
qed
qed
then show $h = (((\text{eval-func } Z \ (X \amalg Y) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \times_f \text{id}_c$


```

( $Z^{(X \amalg Y)}$ )#  $\circ_c h$ )b  $\amalg$ 
  ((eval-func  $Z^{(X \amalg Y)}$ )  $\circ_c$  right-coproj  $X \ Y \times_f id_c (Z^{(X \amalg Y)})$ )#
 $\circ_c h$ )b  $\circ_c$ 
  dist-prod-coproduct-inv2  $X \ Y \ H$ )#
using f-egs g-egs h-type sharp-cancels-flat by force

qed
qed
then show ?thesis
by (metis canonical-cart-prod-is-cart-prod cart-prods-isomorphic is-isomorphic-def
prod.sel(1,2))
qed

lemma empty-exp-nonempty:
  assumes nonempty  $X$ 
  shows  $\emptyset^X \cong \emptyset$ 
proof –
  obtain  $j$  where j-type[type-rule]:  $j: \emptyset^X \rightarrow one \times_c \emptyset^X$  and j-def: isomorphism( $j$ )
    using is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric one-x-A-iso-A by blast
  obtain  $y$  where y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c X$ 
    using assms nonempty-def by blast
  obtain  $e$  where e-type[type-rule]:  $e: X \times_c \emptyset^X \rightarrow \emptyset$ 
    using eval-func-type by blast
  have iso-type[type-rule]:  $(e \circ_c y \times_f id(\emptyset^X)) \circ_c j: \emptyset^X \rightarrow \emptyset$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\emptyset^X \cong \emptyset$ 
    using function-to-empty-is-iso is-isomorphic-def iso-type by blast
qed

lemma exp-pres-iso-left:
  assumes  $A \cong X$ 
  shows  $A^Y \cong X^Y$ 
proof –
  obtain  $\varphi$  where φ-def:  $\varphi: X \rightarrow A \wedge isomorphism(\varphi)$ 
    using assms is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric by blast
  obtain  $\psi$  where ψ-def:  $\psi: A \rightarrow X \wedge isomorphism(\psi) \wedge (\psi \circ_c \varphi = id(X))$ 
    using φ-def cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def by fastforce
  have idA:  $\varphi \circ_c \psi = id(A)$ 
    by (metis φ-def ψ-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative id-left-unit2 isomor-
phism-def)
  have phi-eval-type:  $(\varphi \circ_c eval-func \ X \ Y)^{\#}: X^Y \rightarrow A^Y$ 
    using φ-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  have psi-eval-type:  $(\psi \circ_c eval-func \ A \ Y)^{\#}: A^Y \rightarrow X^Y$ 
    using ψ-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

  have idXY:  $(\psi \circ_c eval-func \ A \ Y)^{\#} \circ_c (\varphi \circ_c eval-func \ X \ Y)^{\#} = id(X^Y)$ 
proof –
  have  $(\psi \circ_c eval-func \ A \ Y)^{\#} \circ_c (\varphi \circ_c eval-func \ X \ Y)^{\#} =$ 
     $(\psi^Y_f \circ_c (eval-func \ A \ Y)^{\#}) \circ_c (\varphi^Y_f \circ_c (eval-func \ X \ Y)^{\#})$ 
    using φ-def ψ-def exp-func-def2 exponential-object-identity id-right-unit2

```

$\text{phi-eval-type psi-eval-type by auto}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\psi^{Y_f} \circ_c \text{id}(A^Y)) \circ_c (\varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c \text{id}(X^Y))$
 $\text{by (simp add: exponential-object-identity)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \psi^{Y_f} \circ_c (\text{id}(A^Y) \circ_c (\varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c \text{id}(X^Y)))$
 $\text{by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def comp-associative2)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \psi^{Y_f} \circ_c (\text{id}(A^Y) \circ_c \varphi^{Y_f})$
 $\text{using } \varphi\text{-def exp-func-def2 id-right-unit2 phi-eval-type by auto}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \psi^{Y_f} \circ_c \varphi^{Y_f}$
 $\text{using } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def calculation exp-func-def2 by auto}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\psi \circ_c \varphi)^{Y_f}$
 $\text{by (metis } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def transpose-factors)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\text{id } X)^{Y_f}$
 $\text{by (simp add: } \psi\text{-def)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{id}(X^Y)$
 $\text{by (simp add: exponential-object-identity2)}$
 $\text{then show } (\psi \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ Y)^\# \circ_c (\varphi \circ_c \text{eval-func } X \ Y)^\# = \text{id}(X^Y)$
 $\text{by (simp add: calculation)}$
 qed
 $\text{have idAY: } (\varphi \circ_c \text{eval-func } X \ Y)^\# \circ_c (\psi \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ Y)^\# = \text{id}(A^Y)$
 proof -
 $\text{have } (\varphi \circ_c \text{eval-func } X \ Y)^\# \circ_c (\psi \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ Y)^\# =$
 $(\varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c (\text{eval-func } X \ Y)^\#) \circ_c (\psi^{Y_f} \circ_c (\text{eval-func } A \ Y)^\#)$
 $\text{using } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def exp-func-def2 exponential-object-identity id-right-unit2}$
 $\text{phi-eval-type psi-eval-type by auto}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c \text{id}(X^Y)) \circ_c (\psi^{Y_f} \circ_c \text{id}(A^Y))$
 $\text{by (simp add: exponential-object-identity)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c (\text{id}(X^Y) \circ_c (\psi^{Y_f} \circ_c \text{id}(A^Y)))$
 $\text{by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def comp-associative2)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c (\text{id}(X^Y) \circ_c \psi^{Y_f})$
 $\text{using } \psi\text{-def exp-func-def2 id-right-unit2 psi-eval-type by auto}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \varphi^{Y_f} \circ_c \psi^{Y_f}$
 $\text{using } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def calculation exp-func-def2 by auto}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\varphi \circ_c \psi)^{Y_f}$
 $\text{by (metis } \varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def transpose-factors)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\text{id } A)^{Y_f}$
 $\text{by (simp add: idA)}$
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{id}(A^Y)$
 $\text{by (simp add: exponential-object-identity2)}$
 $\text{then show } (\varphi \circ_c \text{eval-func } X \ Y)^\# \circ_c (\psi \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ Y)^\# = \text{id}(A^Y)$
 $\text{by (simp add: calculation)}$
 qed
 $\text{show } A^Y \cong X^Y$
 $\text{by (metis cfunc-type-def comp-epi-imp-epi comp-monic-imp-monic epi-mon-is-iso}$
 $\text{idAY idXY id-isomorphism is-isomorphic-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic phi-eval-type}$
 psi-eval-type)
 qed

lemma *expset-power-tower*:

$(A^B)^C \cong A^{(B \times_c C)}$
proof –
obtain φ **where** $\varphi\text{-def}$: $\varphi = ((\text{eval-func } A \ (B \times_c C)) \circ_c (\text{associate-left } B \ C \ (A^{(B \times_c C)})))$ **and**
 $\varphi\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]$: $\varphi : B \times_c (C \times_c (A^{(B \times_c C)})) \rightarrow A$ **and**
 $\varphi\text{dbsharp-type}[\text{type-rule}]$: $(\varphi^\#)^\# : (A^{(B \times_c C)}) \rightarrow ((A^B)^C)$
using *transpose-func-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

obtain ψ **where** $\psi\text{-def}$: $\psi = (\text{eval-func } A \ B) \circ_c (\text{id}(B) \times_f \text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } B \ C \ ((A^B)^C))$ **and**
 $\psi\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]$: $\psi : (B \times_c C) \times_c ((A^B)^C) \rightarrow A$ **and**
 $\psi\text{sharp-type}[\text{type-rule}]$: $\psi^\# : (A^B)^C \rightarrow (A^{(B \times_c C)})$
using *transpose-func-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

have $\varphi^\# \circ_c \psi^\# = \text{id}((A^B)^C)$
proof(*rule same-evals-equal*[**where** $Z = ((A^B)^C)$, **where** $X = (A^B)$, **where** $A = C$])
show $\varphi^\# \circ_c \psi^\# : A^{BC} \rightarrow A^{BC}$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $\text{id}_c (A^{BC}) : A^{BC} \rightarrow A^{BC}$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c \text{id}_c \ C \times_f \varphi^\# \circ_c \psi^\# = \text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c \text{id}_c \ C \times_f \text{id}_c (A^{BC})$
proof(*rule same-evals-equal*[**where** $Z = C \times_c ((A^B)^C)$, **where** $X = A$, **where** $A = B$])
show $\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c \text{id}_c \ C \times_f \varphi^\# \circ_c \psi^\# : C \times_c A^{BC} \rightarrow A^B$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c \text{id}_c \ C \times_f \text{id}_c (A^{BC}) : C \times_c A^{BC} \rightarrow A^B$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $\text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c \text{id}_c \ B \times_f (\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \varphi^\# \circ_c \psi^\#)) = \text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c \text{id}_c \ B \times_f \text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c \text{id}_c \ C \times_f \text{id}_c (A^{BC})$
proof –
have $\text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c \text{id}_c \ B \times_f (\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \varphi^\# \circ_c \psi^\#)) = \text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c \text{id}_c \ B \times_f (\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \varphi^\#) \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \psi^\#))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis identity-distributes-across-composition*)
also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c \text{id}_c \ B \times_f ((\text{eval-func } (A^B) \ C \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \varphi^\#)) \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \psi^\#))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c \text{id}_c \ B \times_f (\varphi^\# \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \psi^\#))$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: transpose-func-def*)
also have $\dots = \text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c ((\text{id}_c \ B \times_f \varphi^\#) \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ B \times_f (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \psi^\#)))$
using *identity-distributes-across-composition* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have $\dots = (\text{eval-func } A \ B \circ_c ((\text{id}_c \ B \times_f \varphi^\#))) \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ B \times_f (\text{id}_c \ C \times_f \psi^\#))$

```

    using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have ... =  $\varphi \circ_c (id_c B \times_f (id_c C \times_f \psi^\sharp))$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-func-def)
    also have ... =  $((eval-func A (B \times_c C)) \circ_c (associate-left B C (A^{(B \times_c C)})))$ 
 $\circ_c (id_c B \times_f (id_c C \times_f \psi^\sharp))$ 
    by (simp add:  $\varphi$ -def)
    also have ... =  $(eval-func A (B \times_c C)) \circ_c (associate-left B C (A^{(B \times_c C)}))$ 
 $\circ_c (id_c B \times_f (id_c C \times_f \psi^\sharp))$ 
    using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... =  $(eval-func A (B \times_c C)) \circ_c ((id_c B \times_f id_c C) \times_f \psi^\sharp) \circ_c$ 
 $associate-left B C ((A^B)^C)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: associate-left-crossprod-ap)
    also have ... =  $(eval-func A (B \times_c C)) \circ_c ((id_c (B \times_c C)) \times_f \psi^\sharp) \circ_c$ 
 $associate-left B C ((A^B)^C)$ 
    by (simp add: id-cross-prod)
    also have ... =  $\psi \circ_c associate-left B C ((A^B)^C)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 transpose-func-def)
    also have ... =  $((eval-func A B) \circ_c (id(B) \times_f eval-func (A^B) C)) \circ_c$ 
 $((associate-right B C ((A^B)^C)) \circ_c associate-left B C ((A^B)^C))$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\psi$ -def cfunc-type-def comp-associative)
    also have ... =  $((eval-func A B) \circ_c (id(B) \times_f eval-func (A^B) C)) \circ_c id(B$ 
 $\times_c (C \times_c ((A^B)^C)))$ 
    by (simp add: right-left)
    also have ... =  $(eval-func A B) \circ_c (id(B) \times_f eval-func (A^B) C)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson id-right-unit2)
    also have ... =  $eval-func A B \circ_c id_c B \times_f eval-func (A^B) C \circ_c id_c C \times_f$ 
 $id_c (A^{BC})$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-cross-prod id-right-unit2)
    then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
qed
qed
have  $\psi^\sharp \circ_c \varphi^{\sharp\sharp} = id(A^{(B \times_c C)})$ 
proof(rule same-evals-equal[where Z =  $A^{(B \times_c C)}$ , where X = A, where A =
 $(B \times_c C)$ ])
  show  $\psi^\sharp \circ_c \varphi^{\sharp\sharp} : A^{(B \times_c C)} \rightarrow A^{(B \times_c C)}$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $id_c (A^{(B \times_c C)}) : A^{(B \times_c C)} \rightarrow A^{(B \times_c C)}$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $eval-func A (B \times_c C) \circ_c (id_c (B \times_c C) \times_f (\psi^\sharp \circ_c \varphi^{\sharp\sharp})) =$ 
 $eval-func A (B \times_c C) \circ_c id_c (B \times_c C) \times_f id_c (A^{(B \times_c C)})$ 
  proof -
    have  $eval-func A (B \times_c C) \circ_c (id_c (B \times_c C) \times_f (\psi^\sharp \circ_c \varphi^{\sharp\sharp})) =$ 
 $eval-func A (B \times_c C) \circ_c ((id_c (B \times_c C) \times_f (\psi^\sharp)) \circ_c (id_c (B \times_c C) \times_f$ 
 $\varphi^{\sharp\sharp}))$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: identity-distributes-across-composition)
    also have ... =  $(eval-func A (B \times_c C) \circ_c (id_c (B \times_c C) \times_f (\psi^\sharp))) \circ_c (id_c$ 

```

```

(B ×c C) ×f φ#)
  using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have ... = ψ ∘c (idc (B ×c C) ×f φ#)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-func-def)
  also have ... = (eval-func A B) ∘c (id(B) ×f eval-func (AB) C) ∘c (associate-right
B C ((AB)C)) ∘c (idc (B ×c C) ×f φ#)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt ψ-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative domain-comp)
  also have ... = (eval-func A B) ∘c (id(B) ×f eval-func (AB) C) ∘c (associate-right
B C ((AB)C)) ∘c ((idc (B) ×f id(C)) ×f φ#)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-cross-prod)
  also have ... = (eval-func A B) ∘c ((id(B) ×f eval-func (AB) C) ∘c ((idc (B)
×f (id(C) ×f φ#)) ∘c (associate-right B C (A(B ×c C)))))
  using associate-right-crossprod-ap by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (eval-func A B) ∘c ((id(B) ×f eval-func (AB) C) ∘c (idc (B)
×f (id(C) ×f φ#))) ∘c (associate-right B C (A(B ×c C)))
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (eval-func A B) ∘c (id(B) ×f ((eval-func (AB) C) ∘c (id(C)
×f φ#))) ∘c (associate-right B C (A(B ×c C)))
  using identity-distributes-across-composition by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (eval-func A B) ∘c (id(B) ×f φ#) ∘c (associate-right B C
(A(B ×c C)))
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-func-def)
  also have ... = ((eval-func A B) ∘c (id(B) ×f φ#)) ∘c (associate-right B C
(A(B ×c C)))
  using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have ... = φ ∘c (associate-right B C (A(B ×c C)))
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: transpose-func-def)
  also have ... = (eval-func A (B ×c C)) ∘c ((associate-left B C (A(B ×c C)))
∘c (associate-right B C (A(B ×c C))))
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: φ-def comp-associative2)
  also have ... = eval-func A (B ×c C) ∘c id ((B ×c C) ×c (A(B ×c C)))
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-right)
  also have ... = eval-func A (B ×c C) ∘c idc (B ×c C) ×f idc (A(B ×c C))
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-cross-prod)
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
qed
show ?thesis
  by (metis ⟨φ# ∘c ψ# = idc (ABC)⟩ ⟨ψ# ∘c φ# = idc (A(B ×c C))⟩ φdbsharp-type
ψsharp-type cfunc-type-def is-isomorphic-def isomorphism-def)
qed

```

lemma *exp-pres-iso-right*:

assumes $A \cong X$
shows $Y^A \cong YX$

proof –

obtain φ where φ -def: $\varphi: X \rightarrow A \wedge \text{isomorphism}(\varphi)$

```

using assms is-isomorphic-def isomorphic-is-symmetric by blast
obtain  $\psi$  where  $\psi\text{-def}: \psi: A \rightarrow X \wedge \text{isomorphism}(\psi) \wedge (\psi \circ_c \varphi = \text{id}(X))$ 
using  $\varphi\text{-def}$  cfunc-type-def isomorphism-def by fastforce
have  $\text{id}A: \varphi \circ_c \psi = \text{id}(A)$ 
by (metis  $\varphi\text{-def}$   $\psi\text{-def}$  cfunc-type-def comp-associative id-left-unit2 isomorphism-def)
obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-def}: f = (\text{eval-func } Y\ X) \circ_c (\psi \times_f \text{id}(Y^X))$  and  $f\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :
 $f: A \times_c (Y^X) \rightarrow Y$  and  $f\text{sharp-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $f^\sharp: Y^X \rightarrow Y^A$ 
using  $\psi\text{-def}$  transpose-func-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-def}: g = (\text{eval-func } Y\ A) \circ_c (\varphi \times_f \text{id}(Y^A))$  and  $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :
 $g: X \times_c (Y^A) \rightarrow Y$  and  $g\text{sharp-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $g^\sharp: Y^A \rightarrow Y^X$ 
using  $\varphi\text{-def}$  transpose-func-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)

have  $f\text{sharp-gsharp-id}: f^\sharp \circ_c g^\sharp = \text{id}(Y^A)$ 
proof(rule same-vals-equal[where  $Z = Y^A$ , where  $X = Y$ , where  $A = A$ ])
show  $f^\sharp \circ_c g^\sharp: Y^A \rightarrow Y^A$ 
by typecheck-cfuncs
show  $\text{id}YA\text{-type}: \text{id}_c(Y^A): Y^A \rightarrow Y^A$ 
by typecheck-cfuncs
show  $\text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c \text{id}_c A \times_f f^\sharp \circ_c g^\sharp = \text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c \text{id}_c A \times_f \text{id}_c(Y^A)$ 
proof –
have  $\text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c \text{id}_c A \times_f f^\sharp \circ_c g^\sharp = \text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f f^\sharp)$ 
 $\circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f g^\sharp)$ 
using  $f\text{sharp-type}$   $g\text{sharp-type}$  identity-distributes-across-composition by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y\ X \circ_c (\psi \times_f \text{id}(Y^X)) \circ_c (\text{id}_c A \times_f g^\sharp)$ 
using  $\psi\text{-def}$  cfunc-type-def comp-associative f-def f-type gsharp-type transpose-func-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y\ X \circ_c (\psi \times_f g^\sharp)$ 
by (smt  $\psi\text{-def}$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod gsharp-type id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 id-type)
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y\ X \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f g^\sharp) \circ_c (\psi \times_f \text{id}(Y^A))$ 
by (smt  $\psi\text{-def}$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod gsharp-type id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 id-type)
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c (\varphi \times_f \text{id}(Y^A)) \circ_c (\psi \times_f \text{id}(Y^A))$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt  $\varphi\text{-def}$   $\psi\text{-def}$  comp-associative2 flat-cancels-sharp g-def g-type inv-transpose-func-def3)
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c ((\varphi \circ_c \psi) \times_f (\text{id}(Y^A) \circ_c \text{id}(Y^A)))$ 
using  $\varphi\text{-def}$   $\psi\text{-def}$  idYA-type cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c \text{id}(A) \times_f \text{id}(Y^A)$ 
using idA idYA-type id-right-unit2 by auto
then show  $\text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c \text{id}_c A \times_f f^\sharp \circ_c g^\sharp = \text{eval-func } Y\ A \circ_c \text{id}_c A \times_f \text{id}_c(Y^A)$ 
by (simp add: calculation)
qed
qed

```

```

have gsharp-fsharp-id:  $g^\sharp \circ_c f^\sharp = \text{id}(Y^X)$ 
proof(rule same-evals-equal[where  $Z = Y^X$ , where  $X = Y$ , where  $A = X$ ])
  show  $g^\sharp \circ_c f^\sharp : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show idYX-type:  $\text{id}_c(Y^X) : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ X \times_f g^\sharp \circ_c f^\sharp = \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ X \times_f \text{id}_c$ 
    ( $Y^X$ )
  proof –
    have  $\text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ X \times_f g^\sharp \circ_c f^\sharp = \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ X \times_f g^\sharp)$ 
     $\circ_c (\text{id}_c \ X \times_f f^\sharp)$ 
    using fsharp-type gsharp-type identity-distributes-across-composition by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y \ A \circ_c (\varphi \times_f \text{id}_c(Y^A)) \circ_c (\text{id}_c \ X \times_f f^\sharp)$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative fsharp-type g-def g-type trans-}$ 
     $\text{pose-func-def}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y \ A \circ_c (\varphi \times_f f^\sharp)$ 
    by (smt  $\varphi\text{-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod fsharp-type id-left-unit2}$ 
    id-right-unit2 id-type)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y \ A \circ_c (\text{id}(A) \times_f f^\sharp) \circ_c (\varphi \times_f \text{id}_c(Y^X))$ 
    by (smt  $\varphi\text{-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod fsharp-type id-left-unit2}$ 
    id-right-unit2 id-type)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c (\psi \times_f \text{id}_c(Y^X)) \circ_c (\varphi \times_f \text{id}_c(Y^X))$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt  $\varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def comp-associative2 f-def f-type flat-cancels-sharp}$ 
    inv-transpose-func-def3)
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c ((\psi \circ_c \varphi) \times_f (\text{id}(Y^X) \circ_c \text{id}(Y^X)))$ 
    using  $\varphi\text{-def } \psi\text{-def cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod idYX-type}$  by
    auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{id}(X) \times_f \text{id}(Y^X)$ 
    using  $\psi\text{-def idYX-type id-left-unit2}$  by auto
    then show  $\text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ X \times_f g^\sharp \circ_c f^\sharp = \text{eval-func } Y \ X \circ_c \text{id}_c \ X$ 
     $\times_f \text{id}_c(Y^X)$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
qed
show ?thesis
  by (metis cfunc-type-def comp-epi-imp-epi comp-monic-imp-monic epi-mon-is-iso
  fsharp-gsharp-id fsharp-type gsharp-fsharp-id gsharp-type id-isomorphism is-isomorphic-def
  iso-imp-epi-and-monic)
qed

```

lemma *exp-pres-iso*:

assumes $A \cong X \ B \cong Y$

shows $A^B \cong X^Y$

by (*meson assms exp-pres-iso-left exp-pres-iso-right isomorphic-is-transitive*)

lemma *empty-to-nonempty*:

assumes *nonempty X is-empty Y*

shows $Y^X \cong \emptyset$

by (meson assms exp-pres-iso-left isomorphic-is-transitive no-el-iff-iso-empty empty-exp-nonempty)

lemma exp-is-empty:
 assumes is-empty X
 shows $Y^X \cong \text{one}$
 using assms exp-pres-iso-right isomorphic-is-transitive no-el-iff-iso-empty exp-empty
 by blast

lemma nonempty-to-nonempty:
 assumes nonempty X nonempty Y
 shows nonempty(Y^X)
 by (meson assms(2) comp-type nonempty-def terminal-func-type transpose-func-type)

lemma empty-to-nonempty-converse:
 assumes $Y^X \cong \emptyset$
 shows is-empty $Y \wedge$ nonempty X
 by (metis is-empty-def exp-is-empty assms no-el-iff-iso-empty nonempty-def nonempty-to-nonempty single-elem-iso-one)

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.5.11 in Halvorson.

definition powerset :: $cset \Rightarrow cset$ (\mathcal{P} - [101]100) **where**
 $\mathcal{P} X = \Omega^X$

lemma sets-squared:
 $A^\Omega \cong A \times_c A$

proof –

obtain φ **where** φ -def: $\varphi = \langle \text{eval-func } A \ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, \text{id}(A^\Omega) \rangle, \text{eval-func } A \ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, \text{id}(A^\Omega) \rangle \rangle$ **and**
 φ -type[type-rule]: $\varphi : A^\Omega \rightarrow A \times_c A$
by typecheck-cfuncs

have injective φ

proof(unfold injective-def,auto)

fix $f g$

assume $f \in_c \text{domain } \varphi$ **then have** f -type[type-rule]: $f \in_c A^\Omega$

using φ -type cfunc-type-def **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

assume $g \in_c \text{domain } \varphi$ **then have** g -type[type-rule]: $g \in_c A^\Omega$

using φ -type cfunc-type-def **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

assume eqs: $\varphi \circ_c f = \varphi \circ_c g$

show $f = g$

proof(rule one-separator[**where** $X = \text{one}$, **where** $Y = A^\Omega$])

show $f \in_c A^\Omega$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show $g \in_c A^\Omega$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show $\bigwedge id-1. id-1 \in_c \text{one} \implies f \circ_c id-1 = g \circ_c id-1$

proof(rule same-evals-equal[**where** $Z = \text{one}$, **where** $X = A$, **where** $A = \Omega$])

show $\bigwedge id-1. id-1 \in_c \text{one} \implies f \circ_c id-1 \in_c A^\Omega$

by (simp add: comp-type f-type)


```

show  $\bigwedge id-1. id-1 \in_c one \implies g \circ_c id-1 \in_c A^\Omega$ 
  by (simp add: comp-type g-type)
show  $\bigwedge id-1.$ 
 $id-1 \in_c one \implies$ 
 $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c id\_c\ \Omega \times_f f \circ_c id-1 =$ 
 $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c id\_c\ \Omega \times_f g \circ_c id-1$ 
proof –
  fix  $id-1$ 
  assume  $id1-is: id-1 \in_c one$ 
  then have  $id1-eq: id-1 = id(one)$ 
    using id-type one-unique-element by auto

obtain  $a1\ a2$  where  $phi-f-def: \varphi \circ_c f = \langle a1, a2 \rangle \wedge a1 \in_c A \wedge a2 \in_c A$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -type cart-prod-decomp comp-type f-type by blast
have  $equation1: \langle a1, a2 \rangle = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle \rangle$ 
proof –
  have  $\langle a1, a2 \rangle = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \rangle \circ_c f$ 
    using  $\varphi$ -def phi-f-def by auto
  also have  $\dots = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \circ_c f,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \circ_c f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega} \circ_c f, id(A^\Omega) \circ_c f \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega} \circ_c f, id(A^\Omega) \circ_c f \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id1-eq id1-is id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2
terminal-func-unique)
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
have  $equation2: \langle a1, a2 \rangle = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t, g \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle \rangle$ 
proof –
  have  $\langle a1, a2 \rangle = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \rangle \circ_c g$ 
    using  $\varphi$ -def eqs phi-f-def by auto
  also have  $\dots = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \circ_c g,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \circ_c g \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega} \circ_c g, id(A^\Omega) \circ_c g \rangle,$ 
   $eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega} \circ_c g, id(A^\Omega) \circ_c g \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = \langle eval\_func\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t, g \rangle,$ 

```

```

      eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id1-eq id1-is id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2
terminal-func-unique)
    then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
  qed
  have  $\langle eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle, eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle \rangle =$ 
     $\langle eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle t, g \rangle, eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle \rangle$ 
  using equation1 equation2 by auto
  then have equation3:  $(eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle t,$ 
g $\rangle) \wedge$ 
     $(eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle)$ 
  using cart-prod-eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  have  $eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f g$ 
  proof(rule one-separator[where  $X = \Omega \times_c one$ , where  $Y = A$ ])
    show  $eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f : \Omega \times_c one \rightarrow A$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
    show  $eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f g : \Omega \times_c one \rightarrow A$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
    show  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c one \implies$ 
 $(eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f) \circ_c x = (eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f g) \circ_c x$ 
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x-type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c \Omega \times_c one$ 
    then obtain w i where x-def:  $(w \in_c \Omega) \wedge (i \in_c one) \wedge (x = \langle w, i \rangle)$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
    then have i-def:  $i = id(one)$ 
    using id1-eq id1-is one-unique-element by auto
    have w-def:  $(w = f) \vee (w = t)$ 
    by (simp add: true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
    then have x-def2:  $(x = \langle f, i \rangle) \vee (x = \langle t, i \rangle)$ 
    using x-def by auto
    show  $(eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f) \circ_c x = (eval-func A \Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega$ 
 $\times_f g) \circ_c x$ 
  proof(cases (x =  $\langle f, i \rangle$ ), auto)
    assume case1:  $x = \langle f, i \rangle$ 
    have  $(eval-func A \Omega \circ_c (id_c \Omega \times_f f)) \circ_c \langle f, i \rangle = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c$ 
 $((id_c \Omega \times_f f) \circ_c \langle f, i \rangle)$ 
    using case1 comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have  $\dots = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle id_c \Omega \circ_c f, f \circ_c i \rangle$ 
    using cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod i-def id1-eq id1-is by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have  $\dots = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    using f-type false-func-type i-def id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 by
auto
    also have  $\dots = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle f, g \rangle$ 
    using equation3 by blast
    also have  $\dots = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c \langle id_c \Omega \circ_c f, g \circ_c i \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: i-def id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
    also have  $\dots = eval-func A \Omega \circ_c ((id_c \Omega \times_f g) \circ_c \langle f, i \rangle)$ 

```

```

      using cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod i-def id1-eq id1-is by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = (eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c (id_c \Omega \times_f g)$ )  $\circ_c \langle f, i \rangle$ 
      using case1 comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then show (eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f$ )  $\circ_c \langle f, i \rangle$  = (eval-func A
 $\Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f g$ )  $\circ_c \langle f, i \rangle$ 
      by (simp add: calculation)
    next
      assume case2:  $x \neq \langle f, i \rangle$ 
      then have x-eq:  $x = \langle t, i \rangle$ 
        using x-def2 by blast
      have (eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c (id_c \Omega \times_f f)$ )  $\circ_c \langle t, i \rangle$  = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c$ 
(( $id_c \Omega \times_f f$ )  $\circ_c \langle t, i \rangle$ )
        using case2 x-eq comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)
      also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c \langle id_c \Omega \circ_c t, f \circ_c i \rangle$ 
        using cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod i-def id1-eq id1-is by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle$ 
        using f-type i-def id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 true-func-type by auto
      also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c \langle t, g \rangle$ 
        using equation3 by blast
      also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c \langle id_c \Omega \circ_c t, g \circ_c i \rangle$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: i-def id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
      also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c ((id_c \Omega \times_f g) \circ_c \langle t, i \rangle)$ 
        using cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod i-def id1-eq id1-is by
(typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have ... = (eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c (id_c \Omega \times_f g)$ )  $\circ_c \langle t, i \rangle$ 
        using comp-associative2 x-eq x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
      then show (eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f$ )  $\circ_c x$  = (eval-func A  $\Omega$ 
 $\circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f g$ )  $\circ_c x$ 
        by (simp add: calculation x-eq)
      qed
    qed
  qed
  then show eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c id_c \Omega \times_f f \circ_c id-1$  = eval-func A  $\Omega \circ_c id_c$ 
 $\Omega \times_f g \circ_c id-1$ 
    using f-type g-type same-evals-equal by blast
  qed
qed
qed
qed
then have monomorphism( $\varphi$ )
  using injective-imp-monomorphism by auto
have surjective( $\varphi$ )
  unfolding surjective-def
proof(auto)
  fix y
  assume  $y \in_c \text{codomain } \varphi$  then have y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c A \times_c A$ 

```

```

    using  $\varphi$ -type cfunc-type-def by auto
  then obtain  $a1\ a2$  where  $y\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}]: y = \langle a1, a2 \rangle \wedge a1 \in_c A \wedge a2 \in_c$ 
 $A$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  then have  $aua: (a1 \amalg a2): one \amalg one \rightarrow A$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $y\text{-def}$ )

  obtain  $f$  where  $f\text{-def}: f = ((a1 \amalg a2) \circ_c case\text{-bool} \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj}\ \Omega\ one)^\#$ 
and
     $f\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: f \in_c A^\Omega$ 
  by (meson aua case-bool-type comp-type left-cart-proj-type transpose-func-type)
  have  $a1\text{-is}: (eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle) \circ_c f = a1$ 
  proof-
    have  $(eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle) \circ_c f = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c$ 
 $\beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \circ_c f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega} \circ_c f, id(A^\Omega) \circ_c f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def f-type id-left-unit id-right-unit id-type one-unique-element
terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type true-func-type)
    also have  $\dots = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle id(\Omega) \circ_c t, f \circ_c id(one) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
    also have  $\dots = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c (id(\Omega) \times_f f) \circ_c \langle t, id(one) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
    also have  $\dots = (eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c (id(\Omega) \times_f f)) \circ_c \langle t, id(one) \rangle$ 
    using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have  $\dots = ((a1 \amalg a2) \circ_c case\text{-bool} \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj}\ \Omega\ one) \circ_c \langle t, id(one) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis aua f-def flat-cancels-sharp inv-transpose-func-def3)
    also have  $\dots = (a1 \amalg a2) \circ_c case\text{-bool} \circ_c t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt case-bool-type aua comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
    also have  $\dots = (a1 \amalg a2) \circ_c left\text{-coproj}\ one\ one$ 
    by (simp add: case-bool-true)
    also have  $\dots = a1$ 
    using left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct  $y\text{-def}$  by blast
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
  have  $a2\text{-is}: (eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle) \circ_c f = a2$ 
  proof-
    have  $(eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle) \circ_c f = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c$ 
 $\beta_{A^\Omega}, id(A^\Omega) \rangle \circ_c f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f \circ_c \beta_{A^\Omega} \circ_c f, id(A^\Omega) \circ_c f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = eval\text{-func}\ A\ \Omega \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    by (metis cfunc-type-def f-type id-left-unit id-right-unit id-type one-unique-element

```

```

terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type false-func-type)
  also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega$   $\circ_c$   $\langle id(\Omega) \circ_c f, f \circ_c id(one) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
  also have ... = eval-func A  $\Omega$   $\circ_c$   $(id(\Omega) \times_f f) \circ_c \langle f, id(one) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
  also have ... = (eval-func A  $\Omega$   $\circ_c$   $(id(\Omega) \times_f f)$ )  $\circ_c \langle f, id(one) \rangle$ 
    using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have ... = ((a1  $\amalg$  a2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj  $\Omega$  one)  $\circ_c \langle f, id(one) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis aua f-def flat-cancels-sharp inv-transpose-func-def3)
  also have ... = (a1  $\amalg$  a2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  f
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt aua comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
  also have ... = (a1  $\amalg$  a2)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one
    by (simp add: case-bool-false)
  also have ... = a2
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod y-def by blast
  then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed
have  $\varphi \circ_c f = \langle a1, a2 \rangle$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: a1-is a2-is cfunc-prod-comp)
  then show  $\exists x. x \in_c domain \varphi \wedge \varphi \circ_c x = y$ 
    using  $\varphi$ -type cfunc-type-def f-type y-def by auto
qed
then have epimorphism( $\varphi$ )
  by (simp add: surjective-is-epimorphism)
then have isomorphism( $\varphi$ )
  by (simp add:  $\langle$ monomorphism  $\varphi$  $\rangle$  epi-mon-is-iso)
then show ?thesis
  using  $\varphi$ -type is-isomorphic-def by blast
qed

end
theory Nats
  imports Exponential-Objects
begin

```

25 Natural Number Object

The axiomatization below corresponds to Axiom 10 (Natural Number Object) in Halvorson.

```

axiomatization
  natural-numbers :: cset ( $\mathbb{N}_c$ ) and
  zero :: cfunc and
  successor :: cfunc
where
  zero-type[type-rule]: zero  $\in_c \mathbb{N}_c$  and
  successor-type[type-rule]: successor:  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$  and
  natural-number-object-property:
  q : one  $\rightarrow X \implies f: X \rightarrow X \implies$ 

```

$(\exists! u. u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow X \wedge$
 $q = u \circ_c \text{zero} \wedge$
 $f \circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor})$

lemma *beta-N-succ-nEqs-Id1*:
assumes *n-type*[*type-rule*]: $n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$
shows $\beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \circ_c \text{successor} \circ_c n = \text{id one}$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: terminal-func-comp-elem*)

lemma *natural-number-object-property2*:
assumes $q : \text{one} \rightarrow X$ $f: X \rightarrow X$
shows $\exists! u. u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow X \wedge u \circ_c \text{zero} = q \wedge f \circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor}$
using *assms natural-number-object-property*[**where** $q=q$, **where** $f=f$, **where** $X=X$]
by *metis*

lemma *natural-number-object-func-unique*:
assumes *u-type*: $u : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow X$ **and** *v-type*: $v : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow X$ **and** *f-type*: $f: X \rightarrow X$
assumes *zeros-eq*: $u \circ_c \text{zero} = v \circ_c \text{zero}$
assumes *u-successor-eq*: $u \circ_c \text{successor} = f \circ_c u$
assumes *v-successor-eq*: $v \circ_c \text{successor} = f \circ_c v$
shows $u = v$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *comp-type f-type natural-number-object-property2 u-successor-eq v-successor-eq v-type zero-type zeros-eq*)

definition *is-NNO* :: $\text{cset} \Rightarrow \text{cfunc} \Rightarrow \text{cfunc} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-NNO } Y \ z \ s \longleftrightarrow (z : \text{one} \rightarrow Y \wedge s : Y \rightarrow Y \wedge (\forall X \ f \ q. ((q : \text{one} \rightarrow X) \wedge (f : X \rightarrow X)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists! u. u: Y \rightarrow X \wedge$
 $q = u \circ_c z \wedge$
 $f \circ_c u = u \circ_c s)))$

lemma *N-is-a-NNO*:
 $\text{is-NNO } \mathbb{N}_c \ \text{zero} \ \text{successor}$
by (*simp add: is-NNO-def natural-number-object-property successor-type zero-type*)

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.6.5 in Halvorson.

lemma *NNOs-are-iso-N*:
assumes *is-NNO* $N \ z \ s$
shows $N \cong \mathbb{N}_c$
proof –
have *z-type*[*type-rule*]: $(z : \text{one} \rightarrow N)$
using *assms is-NNO-def* **by** *blast*
have *s-type*[*type-rule*]: $(s : N \rightarrow N)$
using *assms is-NNO-def* **by** *blast*
then obtain u **where** *u-type*[*type-rule*]: $u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow N$
and *u-triangle*: $u \circ_c \text{zero} = z$
and *u-square*: $s \circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor}$
using *natural-number-object-property z-type* **by** *blast*

```

obtain  $v$  where  $v\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: v: N \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c$ 
    and  $v\text{-triangle}: v \circ_c z = \text{zero}$ 
    and  $v\text{-square}: \text{successor} \circ_c v = v \circ_c s$ 
    by (metis assms is-NNO-def successor-type zero-type)
then have  $v\text{zeroEqzero}: v \circ_c (u \circ_c \text{zero}) = \text{zero}$ 
    by (simp add: u-triangle v-triangle)
have  $\text{id-facts1}: \text{id}(\mathbf{N}_c): \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c \wedge \text{id}(\mathbf{N}_c) \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{zero} \wedge$ 
    ( $\text{successor} \circ_c \text{id}(\mathbf{N}_c) = \text{id}(\mathbf{N}_c) \circ_c \text{successor}$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
then have  $\text{vu-facts}: v \circ_c u: \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c \wedge (v \circ_c u) \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{zero} \wedge$ 
    ( $\text{successor} \circ_c (v \circ_c u) = (v \circ_c u) \circ_c \text{successor}$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-associative2 s-type u-square v-square
 $v\text{zeroEqzero}$ )
then have  $\text{half-isomorphism}: (v \circ_c u) = \text{id}(\mathbf{N}_c)$ 
    by (metis id-facts1 natural-number-object-property successor-type vu-facts zero-type)
have  $\text{uvzEqz}: u \circ_c (v \circ_c z) = z$ 
    by (simp add: u-triangle v-triangle)
have  $\text{id-facts2}: \text{id}(N): N \rightarrow N \wedge \text{id}(N) \circ_c z = z \wedge s \circ_c \text{id}(N) = \text{id}(N) \circ_c s$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
then have  $\text{uv-facts}: u \circ_c v: N \rightarrow N \wedge$ 
    ( $(u \circ_c v) \circ_c z = z \wedge s \circ_c (u \circ_c v) = (u \circ_c v) \circ_c s$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-associative2 successor-type u-square
 $\text{uvzEqz}$  v-square)
then have  $\text{half-isomorphism2}: (u \circ_c v) = \text{id}(N)$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms id-facts2 is-NNO-def)
then show  $N \cong \mathbf{N}_c$ 
    using cfunc-type-def half-isomorphism is-isomorphic-def isomorphism-def u-type
 $v\text{-type}$  by fastforce
qed

```

The lemma below is the converse to Exercise 2.6.5 in Halvorson.

lemma *Iso-to-N-is-NNO*:

assumes $N \cong \mathbf{N}_c$

shows $\exists z s. \text{is-NNO } N z s$

proof –

obtain i **where** $i\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: i: \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow N$ **and** $i\text{-iso}: \text{isomorphism}(i)$

using *assms* *isomorphic-is-symmetric* *is-isomorphic-def* **by** *blast*

obtain z **where** $z\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: z \in_c N$ **and** $z\text{-def}: z = i \circ_c \text{zero}$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

obtain s **where** $s\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: s: N \rightarrow N$ **and** $s\text{-def}: s = (i \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c i^{-1}$

using *i-iso* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

have *is-NNO* $N z s$

proof (*unfold* *is-NNO-def*, *typecheck-cfuncs*, *clarify*)

fix $X q f$

assume $q\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: q: \text{one} \rightarrow X$

assume $f\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: f: X \rightarrow X$

obtain u **where** $u\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: u: \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow X$ **and** $u\text{-def}: u \circ_c \text{zero} = q \wedge f$

```

 $\circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor}$ 
  using natural-number-object-property2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  obtain v where v-type[type-rule]:  $v : N \rightarrow X$  and v-def:  $v = u \circ_c i^{-1}$ 
  using i-iso by typecheck-cfuncs
  then have bottom-triangle:  $v \circ_c z = q$ 
  unfolding v-def u-def z-def using i-iso
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative id-right-unit2
inv-left u-def)
  have bottom-square:  $v \circ_c s = f \circ_c v$ 
  unfolding v-def u-def s-def using i-iso
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2
inv-left u-def)
  show  $\exists! u. u : N \rightarrow X \wedge q = u \circ_c z \wedge f \circ_c u = u \circ_c s$ 
  proof auto
  show  $\exists u. u : N \rightarrow X \wedge q = u \circ_c z \wedge f \circ_c u = u \circ_c s$ 
  by (rule-tac x=v in exI, auto simp add: bottom-triangle bottom-square v-type)
next
  fix w y
  assume w-type[type-rule]:  $w : N \rightarrow X$ 
  assume y-type[type-rule]:  $y : N \rightarrow X$ 
  assume w-y-z:  $w \circ_c z = y \circ_c z$ 
  assume q-def:  $q = y \circ_c z$ 
  assume f-w:  $f \circ_c w = w \circ_c s$ 
  assume f-y:  $f \circ_c y = y \circ_c s$ 

  have  $w \circ_c i = u$ 
  proof (etcs-rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where f=f])
    show  $(w \circ_c i) \circ_c \text{zero} = u \circ_c \text{zero}$ 
    using q-def u-def w-y-z z-def by (etcs-assocr, argo)
    show  $(w \circ_c i) \circ_c \text{successor} = f \circ_c w \circ_c i$ 
    using i-iso by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-associative2
comp-type f-w id-right-unit2 inv-left inverse-type s-def)
    show  $u \circ_c \text{successor} = f \circ_c u$ 
    by (simp add: u-def)
  qed
  then have w-eq-v:  $w = v$ 
  unfolding v-def using i-iso
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2
inv-right)

  have  $y \circ_c i = u$ 
  proof (etcs-rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where f=f])
    show  $(y \circ_c i) \circ_c \text{zero} = u \circ_c \text{zero}$ 
    using q-def u-def w-y-z z-def by (etcs-assocr, argo)
    show  $(y \circ_c i) \circ_c \text{successor} = f \circ_c y \circ_c i$ 
    using i-iso by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-associative2
comp-type f-y id-right-unit2 inv-left inverse-type s-def)
    show  $u \circ_c \text{successor} = f \circ_c u$ 
    by (simp add: u-def)

```



```

    qed
  then have  $y\text{-eq-}v$ :  $y = v$ 
    unfolding  $v\text{-def}$  using  $i\text{-iso}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2
inv-right)
  show  $w = y$ 
    using  $w\text{-eq-}v$   $y\text{-eq-}v$  by auto
  qed
qed
then show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

```

26 Zero and Successor

```

lemma zero-is-not-successor:
  assumes  $n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$ 
  shows  $zero \neq successor \circ_c n$ 
proof (rule ccontr, auto)
  assume for-contradiction:  $zero = successor \circ_c n$ 
  have  $\exists!u. u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega \wedge u \circ_c zero = t \wedge (f \circ_c \beta_\Omega) \circ_c u = u \circ_c successor$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-property2)
  then obtain  $u$  where  $u\text{-type}: u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$  and
     $u\text{-triangle}: u \circ_c zero = t$  and
     $u\text{-square}: (f \circ_c \beta_\Omega) \circ_c u = u \circ_c successor$ 
    by auto
  have  $t = f$ 
  proof -
    have  $t = u \circ_c zero$ 
      by (simp add:  $u\text{-triangle}$ )
    also have  $\dots = u \circ_c successor \circ_c n$ 
      by (simp add: for-contradiction)
    also have  $\dots = (f \circ_c \beta_\Omega) \circ_c u \circ_c n$ 
      using  $assms$   $u\text{-type}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2
u-square)
    also have  $\dots = f$ 
      using  $assms$   $u\text{-type}$  by (etcs-assocr, typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-right-unit2
terminal-func-comp-elem)
    then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
  qed
qed
then show False
  using true-false-distinct by blast
qed

```

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.6.6 in Halvorson.

```

lemma oneUN-iso-N-isomorphism:
  isomorphism( $zero \amalg successor$ )
proof -

```

```

obtain  $i0$  where  $i0\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $i0: one \rightarrow (one \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$  and  $i0\text{-def}$ :  $i0 =$ 
left-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
obtain  $i1$  where  $i1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $i1: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow (one \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$  and  $i1\text{-def}$ :  $i1 =$ 
right-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
obtain  $g$  where  $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $g: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow (one \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$  and
   $g\text{-triangle}$ :  $g \circ_c zero = i0$  and
   $g\text{-square}$ :  $g \circ_c successor = ((i1 \circ_c zero) \amalg (i1 \circ_c successor)) \circ_c g$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis natural-number-object-property)
then have  $second\text{-diagram3}$ :  $g \circ_c (successor \circ_c zero) = (i1 \circ_c zero)$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) cfunc-coprod-type comp-associative2
comp-type i0-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
then have  $g\text{-s-s-Eqs-i1zU1s-g-s}$ :
   $(g \circ_c successor) \circ_c successor = ((i1 \circ_c zero) \amalg (i1 \circ_c successor)) \circ_c (g \circ_c$ 
successor)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, del-insts) comp-associative2 g-square)
then have  $g\text{-s-s-zEqs-i1zU1s-i1z}$ :  $((g \circ_c successor) \circ_c successor) \circ_c zero =$ 
 $((i1 \circ_c zero) \amalg (i1 \circ_c successor)) \circ_c (i1 \circ_c zero)$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-associative2 g-square sec-
ond-diagram3)
then have  $i1\text{-sEqs-i1zU1s-i1}$ :  $i1 \circ_c successor = ((i1 \circ_c zero) \amalg (i1 \circ_c successor))$ 
 $\circ_c i1$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: i1-def right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
then obtain  $u$  where  $u\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $(u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow (one \coprod \mathbb{N}_c))$  and
   $u\text{-triangle}$ :  $u \circ_c zero = i1 \circ_c zero$  and
   $u\text{-square}$ :  $u \circ_c successor = ((i1 \circ_c zero) \amalg (i1 \circ_c successor)) \circ_c u$ 
  using  $i1\text{-sEqs-i1zU1s-i1}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
then have  $u\text{-Eqs-i1}$ :  $u = i1$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson cfunc-coprod-type comp-type i1-sEqs-i1zU1s-i1
natural-number-object-func-unique successor-type zero-type)
have  $g\text{-s-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $g \circ_c successor: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow (one \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
have  $g\text{-s-triangle}$ :  $(g \circ_c successor) \circ_c zero = i1 \circ_c zero$ 
  using comp-associative2 second-diagram3 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
then have  $u\text{-Eqs-g-s}$ :  $u = g \circ_c successor$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) cfunc-coprod-type comp-type g-s-s-Eqs-i1zU1s-g-s
g-s-triangle i1-sEqs-i1zU1s-i1 natural-number-object-func-unique u-Eqs-i1 zero-type)
then have  $g\text{-sEqs-i1}$ :  $g \circ_c successor = i1$ 
  using  $u\text{-Eqs-i1}$  by blast
have  $eq1$ :  $(zero \amalg successor) \circ_c g = id(\mathbb{N}_c)$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2
g-square g-triangle i0-def i1-def i1-type id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
natural-number-object-func-unique right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
then have  $eq2$ :  $g \circ_c (zero \amalg successor) = id(one \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-coprod-comp g-sEqs-i1 g-triangle i0-def i1-def
id-coprod)
show isomorphism(zero  $\amalg$  successor)
  using cfunc-coprod-type eq1 eq2 g-type isomorphism-def3 successor-type zero-type

```

by *blast*
qed

lemma *zUs-epic*:
 $\text{epimorphism}(\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor})$
 by (*simp add: iso-imp-epi-and-monic oneUN-iso-N-isomorphism*)

lemma *zUs-surj*:
 $\text{surjective}(\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor})$
 by (*simp add: cfunc-type-def epi-is-surj zUs-epic*)

lemma *nonzero-is-succ-aux*:
 assumes $x \in_c (\text{one} \amalg \mathbb{N}_c)$
 shows $(x = (\text{left-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c \text{id one}) \vee$
 $(\exists n. (n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c) \wedge (x = (\text{right-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c n))$
proof *auto*
 assume $\forall n. n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \longrightarrow x \neq \text{right-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c n$
 then show $x = \text{left-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{id one}$
 using *assms coprojs-jointly-surj one-unique-element* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
 qed

lemma *nonzero-is-succ*:
 assumes $k \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$
 assumes $k \neq \text{zero}$
 shows $\exists n. (n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \wedge k = \text{successor} \circ_c n)$
proof –
 have *x-exists*: $\exists x. ((x \in_c \text{one} \amalg \mathbb{N}_c) \wedge (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x = k))$
 using *assms cfunc-type-def surjective-def zUs-surj* by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
 obtain *x* where *x-def*: $((x \in_c \text{one} \amalg \mathbb{N}_c) \wedge (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x = k))$
 using *x-exists* by *blast*
 have *cases*: $(x = (\text{left-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c \text{id one}) \vee$
 $(\exists n. (n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \wedge x = (\text{right-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c n))$
 by (*simp add: nonzero-is-succ-aux x-def*)
 have *not-case-1*: $x \neq (\text{left-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c \text{id one}$
proof (*rule ccontr, auto*)
 assume *bwoc*: $x = \text{left-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{id}_c \text{ one}$
 have *contradiction*: $k = \text{zero}$
 by (*metis bwoc id-right-unit2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type successor-type x-def zero-type*)
 show *False*
 using *contradiction assms(2)* by *force*
 qed
 then obtain *n* where *n-def*: $n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \wedge x = (\text{right-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c n$
 using *cases* by *blast*
 then have $k = \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x$
 using *x-def* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c n$
 by (*simp add: n-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c n$

```

    using cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-type-def comp-associative n-def right-proj-type
    successor-type zero-type by auto
    also have ... = successor  $\circ_c$  n
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod successor-type zero-type by auto
    then show ?thesis
    using calculation n-def by auto
qed

```

27 Predecessor

definition *predecessor* :: cfunc **where**

```

predecessor = (THE f. f :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$ 
 $\wedge f \circ_c (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}) = \text{id} (\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c) \wedge (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}) \circ_c f = \text{id} \mathbb{N}_c$ )

```

lemma *predecessor-def2*:

```

predecessor :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{predecessor} \circ_c (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}) = \text{id} (\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$ 
 $\wedge (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}) \circ_c \text{predecessor} = \text{id} \mathbb{N}_c$ 

```

proof (*unfold predecessor-def, rule theI', auto*)

```

show  $\exists x. x : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c \wedge$ 
 $x \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} = \text{id}_c (\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c) \wedge \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x = \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c$ 
using oneUN-iso-N-isomorphism by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold isomorphism-def
cfunc-type-def, auto)

```

next

```

fix x y
assume x-type[type-rule]:  $x : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$  and y-type[type-rule]:  $y : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow$ 
 $\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$ 
assume x-left-inv:  $\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x = \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c$ 
assume  $x \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} = \text{id}_c (\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$   $y \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} = \text{id}_c$ 
 $(\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$ 
then have  $x \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} = y \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}$ 
by auto
then have  $x \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x = y \circ_c \text{zero} \amalg \text{successor} \circ_c x$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2)
then show  $x = y$ 
using id-right-unit2 x-left-inv x-type y-type by auto
qed

```

lemma *predecessor-type[type-rule]*:

```

predecessor :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$ 
by (simp add: predecessor-def2)

```

lemma *predecessor-left-inv*:

```

 $(\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}) \circ_c \text{predecessor} = \text{id} \mathbb{N}_c$ 
by (simp add: predecessor-def2)

```

lemma *predecessor-right-inv*:

```

predecessor  $\circ_c (\text{zero} \amalg \text{successor}) = \text{id} (\text{one} \coprod \mathbb{N}_c)$ 

```

```

by (simp add: predecessor-def2)

lemma predecessor-successor:
  predecessor  $\circ_c$  successor = right-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
proof -
  have predecessor  $\circ_c$  successor = predecessor  $\circ_c$  (zero  $\amalg$  successor)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj
  one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (predecessor  $\circ_c$  (zero  $\amalg$  successor))  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = right-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 predecessor-def2)
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
qed

lemma predecessor-zero:
  predecessor  $\circ_c$  zero = left-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
proof -
  have predecessor  $\circ_c$  zero = predecessor  $\circ_c$  (zero  $\amalg$  successor)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one
   $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have ... = (predecessor  $\circ_c$  (zero  $\amalg$  successor))  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = left-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 predecessor-def2)
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
qed

```

28 Peano's Axioms and Induction

The lemma below corresponds to Proposition 2.6.7 in Halvorson.

```

lemma Peano's-Axioms:
  injective(successor)  $\wedge$   $\neg$ surjective(successor)
proof -
  have i1-mono: monomorphism(right-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ )
  by (simp add: right-coproj-are-monomorphisms)
  have zUs-iso: isomorphism(zero  $\amalg$  successor)
  using oneUN-iso-N-isomorphism by blast
  have zUsiEqS: (zero  $\amalg$  successor)  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj one  $\mathbb{N}_c$ ) = successor
  using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod successor-type zero-type by auto
  then have succ-mono: monomorphism(successor)
  by (metis cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic
  i1-mono iso-imp-epi-and-monic oneUN-iso-N-isomorphism right-proj-type succes-
  sor-type zero-type)
  obtain u where u-type:  $u: \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$  and u-def:  $u \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{t} \wedge (\text{f} \circ_c \beta_\Omega) \circ_c u$ 
  =  $u \circ_c \text{successor}$ 

```

by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis natural-number-object-property)
 have *s-not-surj*: $\neg(\text{surjective}(\text{successor}))$
 proof (rule ccontr, auto)
 assume *BWOC* : $\text{surjective}(\text{successor})$
 obtain *n* where *n-type*: $n : \text{one} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ and *snEqz*: $\text{successor} \circ_c n = \text{zero}$
 using *BWOC* cfunc-type-def successor-type surjective-def zero-type by auto
 then show *False*
 by (metis zero-is-not-successor)
 qed
 then show $\text{injective } \text{successor} \wedge \neg \text{surjective } \text{successor}$
 using monomorphism-imp-injective succ-mono by blast
 qed

lemma *succ-inject*:

assumes $n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$ $m \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$
 shows $\text{successor} \circ_c n = \text{successor} \circ_c m \implies n = m$
 by (metis Peano's-Axioms assms cfunc-type-def injective-def successor-type)

theorem *nat-induction*:

assumes *p-type*[*type-rule*]: $p : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$ and *n-type*[*type-rule*]: $n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$
 assumes *base-case*: $p \circ_c \text{zero} = t$
 assumes *induction-case*: $\bigwedge n. n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \implies p \circ_c n = t \implies p \circ_c \text{successor} \circ_c n = t$
 shows $p \circ_c n = t$
 proof –
 obtain *p'* *P* where
p'-type[*type-rule*]: $p' : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ and
p'-equalizer: $p \circ_c p' = (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c p'$ and
p'-uni-prop: $\forall h F. ((h : F \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c) \wedge (p \circ_c h = (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c h)) \longrightarrow (\exists! k. (k : F \rightarrow P) \wedge p' \circ_c k = h)$
 using equalizer-exists2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

from *base-case* have $p \circ_c \text{zero} = (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c \text{zero}$
 by (etcs-assocr, etcs-subst terminal-func-comp-elem id-right-unit2, –)
 then obtain *z'* where
z'-type[*type-rule*]: $z' \in_c P$ and
z'-def: $\text{zero} = p' \circ_c z'$
 using *p'-uni-prop* by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis)

have $p \circ_c \text{successor} \circ_c p' = (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c \text{successor} \circ_c p'$

proof (etcs-rule one-separator)

fix *m*

assume *m-type*[*type-rule*]: $m \in_c P$

have $p \circ_c p' \circ_c m = t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \circ_c p' \circ_c m$

by (etcs-assocl, simp add: *p'-equalizer*)

then have $p \circ_c p' \circ_c m = t$

by (–, etcs-subst-asm terminal-func-comp-elem id-right-unit2, simp)

then have $p \circ_c \text{successor} \circ_c p' \circ_c m = t$

```

    using induction-case by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp)
  then show (p ∘c successor ∘c p') ∘c m = ((t ∘c βNc) ∘c successor ∘c p') ∘c m
    by (etcs-assocr, etcs-subst terminal-func-comp-elem id-right-unit2, -)
qed
then obtain s' where
  s'-type[type-rule]: s' : P → P and
  s'-def: p' ∘c s' = successor ∘c p'
  using p'-uni-prop by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis)

obtain u where
  u-type[type-rule]: u : Nc → P and
  u-zero: u ∘c zero = z' and
  u-succ: u ∘c successor = s' ∘c u
  using natural-number-object-property2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis s'-type)

have p'-u-is-id: p' ∘c u = id Nc
proof (etcs-rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where f=successor])
  show (p' ∘c u) ∘c zero = idc Nc ∘c zero
    by (etcs-subst id-left-unit2, etcs-assocr, etcs-subst u-zero z'-def, simp)
  show (p' ∘c u) ∘c successor = successor ∘c p' ∘c u
    by (etcs-assocr, etcs-subst u-succ, etcs-assocl, etcs-subst s'-def, simp)
  show idc Nc ∘c successor = successor ∘c idc Nc
    by (etcs-subst id-right-unit2 id-left-unit2, simp)
qed

have p ∘c p' ∘c u ∘c n = (t ∘c βNc) ∘c p' ∘c u ∘c n
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 p'-equalizer)
then show p ∘c n = t
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2
    p'-type p'-u-is-id terminal-func-comp-elem terminal-func-type u-type)
qed

```

29 Function Iteration

definition *ITER-curried* :: cset ⇒ cfunc **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ITER-curried } U &= (\text{THE } u . u : \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow (U^U)^U \wedge u \circ_c \text{zero} = (\text{metafunc } (\text{id } U) \\
 &\circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } (U^U) \text{ one}))^\# \wedge \\
 &((\text{meta-comp } U \ U \ U) \circ_c (\text{id } (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right} \\
 &(U^U) (U^U) ((U^U)^U)) \circ_c (\text{diagonal}(U^U) \times_f \text{id } ((U^U)^U)))^\# \circ_c u = u \circ_c \\
 &\text{successor})
 \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ITER-curried-def2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ITER-curried } U : \mathbf{N}_c &\rightarrow (U^U)^U \wedge \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c \text{zero} = (\text{metafunc } (\text{id } U) \\
 &\circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } (U^U) \text{ one}))^\# \wedge \\
 &((\text{meta-comp } U \ U \ U) \circ_c (\text{id } (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right} \\
 &(U^U) (U^U) ((U^U)^U)) \circ_c (\text{diagonal}(U^U) \times_f \text{id } ((U^U)^U)))^\# \circ_c \text{ITER-curried} \\
 &U = \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c \text{successor}
 \end{aligned}$$

unfolding *ITER-curried-def*
by(*rule theI'*, *etc*s-*rule natural-number-object-property2*)

lemma *ITER-curried-type*[*type-rule*]:
 $ITER\text{-}curried\ U : \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow (U^U)^U$
by (*simp add: ITER-curried-def2*)

lemma *ITER-curried-zero*:
 $ITER\text{-}curried\ U \circ_c zero = (metafunc\ (id\ U) \circ_c (right\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ (U^U)\ one))^{\#}$
by (*simp add: ITER-curried-def2*)

lemma *ITER-curried-successor*:
 $ITER\text{-}curried\ U \circ_c successor = (meta\text{-}comp\ U\ U\ U \circ_c (id\ (U^U) \times_f eval\text{-}func\ (U^U)\ (U^U))) \circ_c (associate\text{-}right\ (U^U)\ (U^U)\ ((U^U)^U)) \circ_c (diagonal(U^U) \times_f id\ ((U^U)^U))^{\#} \circ_c ITER\text{-}curried\ U$
using *ITER-curried-def2* **by** *simp*

definition *ITER* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
 $ITER\ U = (ITER\text{-}curried\ U)^b$

lemma *ITER-type*[*type-rule*]:
 $ITER\ U : ((U^U) \times_c \mathbf{N}_c) \rightarrow (U^U)$
unfolding *ITER-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *ITER-zero*:
assumes $f : Z \rightarrow (U^U)$
shows $ITER\ U \circ_c \langle f, zero \circ_c \beta_Z \rangle = metafunc\ (id\ U) \circ_c \beta_Z$
proof(*rule one-separator*[**where** $X = Z$, **where** $Y = U^U$])
show $ITER\ U \circ_c \langle f, zero \circ_c \beta_Z \rangle : Z \rightarrow U^U$
using *assms* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $metafunc\ (id_c\ U) \circ_c \beta_Z : Z \rightarrow U^U$
using *assms* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

next
fix z
assume *z-type*[*type-rule*]: $z \in_c Z$
have $(ITER\ U \circ_c \langle f, zero \circ_c \beta_Z \rangle) \circ_c z = ITER\ U \circ_c \langle f, zero \circ_c \beta_Z \rangle \circ_c z$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2*)
also have $\dots = ITER\ U \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, zero \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem*)
also have $\dots = (eval\text{-}func\ (U^U)\ (U^U)) \circ_c (id_c\ (U^U) \times_f ITER\text{-}curried\ U) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, zero \rangle$
using *assms* *ITER-def* *comp-associative2* *inv-transpose-func-def3* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have $\dots = (eval\text{-}func\ (U^U)\ (U^U)) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, ITER\text{-}curried\ U \circ_c zero \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2*)

also have ... = (eval-func (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, (\text{metafunc } (\text{id } U) \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } (U^U) \text{ one}))^\# \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (simp add: ITER-curried-def2)
also have ... = (eval-func (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, ((\text{left-cart-proj } (U) \text{ one})^\# \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } (U^U) \text{ one}))^\# \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 metafunc-def2)
also have ... = (eval-func (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c (\text{id}_c (U^U) \times_f ((\text{left-cart-proj } (U) \text{ one})^\# \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } (U^U) \text{ one}))^\# \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{id}_c \text{ one} \rangle)$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
also have ... = ($\text{left-cart-proj } (U) \text{ one}$) $^\# \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } (U^U) \text{ one}) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{id}_c \text{ one} \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def comp-associative transpose-func-def)
also have ... = ($\text{left-cart-proj } (U) \text{ one}$) $^\#$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-right-unit2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
also have ... = ($\text{metafunc } (\text{id}_c U)$)
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 metafunc-def2)
also have ... = ($\text{metafunc } (\text{id}_c U) \circ_c \beta_Z$) $\circ_c z$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem)
then show ($\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_Z \rangle$) $\circ_c z = (\text{metafunc } (\text{id}_c U) \circ_c \beta_Z) \circ_c z$
using *calculation* **by** auto
qed

lemma *ITER-zero'*:
assumes $f \in_c (U^U)$
shows $\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, \text{zero} \rangle = \text{metafunc } (\text{id } U)$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis ITER-zero *assms* id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element terminal-func-type)

lemma *ITER-succ*:
assumes $f : Z \rightarrow (U^U)$
assumes $n : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$
shows $\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, \text{successor} \circ_c n \rangle = f \sqcap (\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, n \rangle)$
proof(rule one-separator[**where** $X = Z$, **where** $Y = U^U$])
show $\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, \text{successor} \circ_c n \rangle : Z \rightarrow U^U$
using *assms* **by** typecheck-cfuncs
show $f \sqcap \text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, n \rangle : Z \rightarrow U^U$
using *assms* **by** typecheck-cfuncs
next
fix z
assume $z\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : z \in_c Z$
have ($\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, \text{successor} \circ_c n \rangle$) $\circ_c z = \text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, \text{successor} \circ_c n \rangle \circ_c z$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = $\text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{successor} \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
also have ... = (eval-func (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c (\text{id}_c (U^U) \times_f \text{ITER-curried } U) \circ_c \langle f$

$\circ_c z, \text{successor} \circ_c (n \circ_c z)\rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *ITER-def comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c (\text{successor} \circ_c (n \circ_c z)) \rangle$
using *assms* *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *force*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, (\text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis comp-associative2*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, ((\text{meta-comp } U \ U \ U \circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } (U^U) (U^U) ((U^U) U^U)) \circ_c (\text{diagonal}(U^U) \times_f \text{id} ((U^U) U^U)))^\# \circ_c \text{ITER-curried } U) \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle$
using *assms* *ITER-curried-successor* **by** *presburger*
also have ... = (*eval-func* (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f ((\text{meta-comp } U \ U \ U \circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } (U^U) (U^U) ((U^U) U^U)) \circ_c (\text{diagonal}(U^U) \times_f \text{id} ((U^U) U^U)))^\# \circ_c \text{ITER-curried } U) \circ_c (n \circ_c z)) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{id one} \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add*: *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* (U^U) (U^U)) $\circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f ((\text{meta-comp } U \ U \ U \circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } (U^U) (U^U) ((U^U) U^U)) \circ_c (\text{diagonal}(U^U) \times_f \text{id} ((U^U) U^U)))^\# \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod comp-associative2 id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = (*meta-comp* $U \ U \ U \circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } (U^U) (U^U) ((U^U) U^U)) \circ_c (\text{diagonal}(U^U) \times_f \text{id} ((U^U) U^U))) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative transpose-func-def*)
also have ... = (*meta-comp* $U \ U \ U \circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c (\text{associate-right } (U^U) (U^U) ((U^U) U^U))) \circ_c \langle \langle f \circ_c z, f \circ_c z \rangle, \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*etcs-assocr*, *typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod diag-on-elements id-left-unit2*)
also have ... = *meta-comp* $U \ U \ U \circ_c (\text{id} (U^U) \times_f \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U)) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \langle f \circ_c z, \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *associate-right-ap comp-associative2*)
also have ... = *meta-comp* $U \ U \ U \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{ITER-curried } U \circ_c (n \circ_c z) \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2*)
also have ... = *meta-comp* $U \ U \ U \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{eval-func } (U^U) (U^U) \circ_c (\text{id}(U^U) \times_f \text{ITER-curried } U) \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, n \circ_c z \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod*

```

id-left-unit2)
  also have ... = meta-comp U U U  $\circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, \text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f \circ_c z, n \circ_c z \rangle \rangle$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) ITER-def comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3)
  also have ... = meta-comp U U U  $\circ_c \langle f, \text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, n \rangle \rangle \circ_c z$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (meta-comp U U U  $\circ_c \langle f, \text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, n \rangle \rangle \rangle \circ_c z$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (f  $\square$  (ITER U  $\circ_c \langle f, n \rangle \rangle$ )  $\circ_c z$ 
    using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: meta-comp2-def5)
  then show (ITER U  $\circ_c \langle f, \text{successor } \circ_c n \rangle \rangle \circ_c z = (f \square \text{ITER } U \circ_c \langle f, n \rangle \rangle \circ_c z$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed

lemma ITER-one:
  assumes f  $\in_c (U^U)$ 
  shows ITER U  $\circ_c \langle f, \text{successor } \circ_c \text{zero} \rangle = f \square (\text{metafunc } (id \ U))$ 
  using ITER-succ ITER-zero' assms zero-type by presburger

definition iter-comp :: cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc  $\Rightarrow$  cfunc ( $^{\circ}$ [55,0]55) where
  iter-comp g n  $\equiv$  cnufatem (ITER (domain g)  $\circ_c \langle \text{metafunc } g, n \rangle \rangle$ )

lemma iter-comp-def2:
  gon  $\equiv$  cnufatem(ITER (domain g)  $\circ_c \langle \text{metafunc } g, n \rangle \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: iter-comp-def)

lemma iter-comp-type[type-rule]:
  assumes g : X  $\rightarrow$  X
  assumes n  $\in_c \mathbb{N}_c$ 
  shows gon : X  $\rightarrow$  X
  unfolding iter-comp-def2
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ITER-type assms cfunc-type-def cnufatem-type comp-type
    metafunc-type right-param-on-el right-param-type)

lemma iter-comp-def3:
  assumes g : X  $\rightarrow$  X
  assumes n  $\in_c \mathbb{N}_c$ 
  shows gon = cnufatem (ITER X  $\circ_c \langle \text{metafunc } g, n \rangle \rangle$ 
  using assms cfunc-type-def iter-comp-def2 by auto

lemma zero-iters:
  assumes g : X  $\rightarrow$  X
  shows gozero = idc X
proof(rule one-separator[where X=X, where Y=X])
  show gozero : X  $\rightarrow$  X
    using assms by typecheck-cfuncs
  show idc X : X  $\rightarrow$  X
    by typecheck-cfuncs
next
fix x

```

assume $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : x \in_c X$
have $(g^{\circ zero}) \circ_c x = (cnufatem (ITER X \circ_c \langle metafunc g, zero \rangle)) \circ_c x$
using *assms iter-comp-def3* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
also have $\dots = cnufatem (metafunc (id X)) \circ_c x$
by (*simp add: ITER-zero' assms metafunc-type*)
also have $\dots = id_c X \circ_c x$
by (*metis cnufatem-metafunc id-type*)
also have $\dots = x$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2*)
then show $(g^{\circ zero}) \circ_c x = id_c X \circ_c x$
by (*simp add: calculation*)
qed

lemma *succ-itors*:
assumes $g : X \rightarrow X$
assumes $n \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$
shows $g^{\circ (successor \circ_c n)} = g \circ_c (g^{\circ n})$
proof –
have $g^{\circ (successor \circ_c n)} = cnufatem(ITER X \circ_c \langle metafunc g, successor \circ_c n \rangle)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: iter-comp-def3*)
also have $\dots = cnufatem(metafunc g \sqcap ITER X \circ_c \langle metafunc g, n \rangle)$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: ITER-succ*)
also have $\dots = cnufatem(metafunc g \sqcap metafunc (g^{\circ n}))$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis iter-comp-def3 metafunc-cnufatem*)
also have $\dots = g \circ_c (g^{\circ n})$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-as-metacomp*)
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation* **by** *auto*
qed

corollary *one-iter*:
assumes $g : X \rightarrow X$
shows $g^{\circ (successor \circ_c zero)} = g$
using *assms id-right-unit2 succ-itors zero-itors zero-type* **by** *force*

lemma *eval-lemma-for-ITER*:
assumes $f : X \rightarrow X$
assumes $x \in_c X$
assumes $m \in_c \mathbb{N}_c$
shows $(f^{\circ m}) \circ_c x = eval\text{-func } X X \circ_c \langle x, ITER X \circ_c \langle metafunc f, m \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis eval-lemma iter-comp-def3 metafunc-cnufatem*)

lemma *n-accessible-by-succ-iter-aux*:
 $eval\text{-func } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}, ITER \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle (metafunc successor) \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}, id_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle \rangle = id_{\mathbb{N}_c}$
proof(*rule natural-number-object-func-unique*[**where** $X = \mathbb{N}_c$, **where** $f = successor$])
show $eval\text{-func } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}, ITER \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}, id_c \mathbb{N}_c \rangle \rangle : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$

```

    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $id_c \mathbf{N}_c : \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $successor : \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
next
  have (eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle \circ_c zero =$ 
    eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \circ_c zero, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \circ_c zero, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c zero \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have ... = eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor, zero \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem)
  also have ... = eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero, metafunc (id \mathbf{N}_c) \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: ITER-zero')
  also have ... =  $id_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c zero$ 
    using eval-lemma by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then show (eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle \circ_c zero = id_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c zero$ 
    using calculation by auto
  show (eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle \circ_c successor =$ 
    successor  $\circ_c$  eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle$ 
    by proof(rule one-separator[where  $X = \mathbf{N}_c$ , where  $Y = \mathbf{N}_c$ ])
  show (eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle \circ_c successor : \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show successor  $\circ_c$  eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle : \mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
next
  fix m
  assume m-type[type-rule]:  $m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 
  have (successor  $\circ_c$  eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \rangle \rangle \circ_c m =$ 
    successor  $\circ_c$  eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \circ_c m, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \circ_c m, id_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c m \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have ... = successor  $\circ_c$  eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor, m \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem)
  also have ... = successor  $\circ_c$  (successor $\circ m$ )  $\circ_c zero$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: eval-lemma-for-ITER)
  also have ... = (successor $\circ$ successor  $\circ_c m$ )  $\circ_c zero$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 succ-iters)
  also have ... = eval-func  $\mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle zero, ITER \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle metafunc successor, successor \circ_c m \rangle \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: eval-lemma-for-ITER)

```

also have ... = $eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c}\ \circ_c\ (successor\ \circ_c\ m),\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c}\ \circ_c\ (successor\ \circ_c\ m),\ id_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ (successor\ \circ_c\ m) \rangle \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem*)
also have ... = $((eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ id_c\ N_c \rangle \rangle)\ \circ_c\ successor)\ \circ_c\ m$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2*)
then show $((eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ id_c\ N_c \rangle \rangle)\ \circ_c\ successor)\ \circ_c\ m =$
 $(successor\ \circ_c\ eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ id_c\ N_c \rangle \rangle)\ \circ_c\ m$
using *calculation by presburger*
qed
show $id_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ successor = successor\ \circ_c\ id_c\ N_c$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)
qed

lemma *n-accessible-by-succ-iter:*

assumes $n \in_c N_c$
shows $(successor^{\circ n})\ \circ_c\ zero = n$
proof –
have $n = eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c},\ id\ N_c \rangle \rangle\ \circ_c\ n$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 n-accessible-by-succ-iter-aux)*
also have ... = $eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c}\ \circ_c\ n,\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor\ \circ_c\ \beta_{N_c}\ \circ_c\ n,\ id\ N_c\ \circ_c\ n \rangle \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)*
also have ... = $eval_func\ N_c\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle zero,\ ITER\ N_c\ \circ_c\ \langle metafunc\ successor,\ n \rangle \rangle$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem)*
also have ... = $(successor^{\circ n})\ \circ_c\ zero$
using *assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis eval-lemma iter-comp-def3 meta-func-cnufatem)*
then show *?thesis*
using *calculation by auto*
qed

30 Relation of Nat to Other Sets

lemma *oneUN-iso-N:*

one $\coprod N_c \cong N_c$
using *cfunc-coproduct-type is-isomorphic-def oneUN-iso-N-isomorphism successor-type zero-type by blast*

lemma *NUone-iso-N:*

$N_c \coprod one \cong N_c$
using *coproduct-commutes isomorphic-is-transitive oneUN-iso-N by blast*

end

```

theory Pred-Logic
  imports Coproduct
begin

```

31 Predicate logic functions

31.1 NOT

```

definition NOT :: cfunc where
  NOT = (THE  $\chi$ . is-pullback one one  $\Omega$   $\Omega$  ( $\beta_{one}$ ) t f  $\chi$ )

```

```

lemma NOT-is-pullback:
  is-pullback one one  $\Omega$   $\Omega$  ( $\beta_{one}$ ) t f NOT
  unfolding NOT-def
  using characteristic-function-exists false-func-type element-monomorphism
  by (rule-tac the1I2, auto)

```

```

lemma NOT-type[type-rule]:
  NOT :  $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  using NOT-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def by auto

```

```

lemma NOT-false-is-true:
  NOT  $\circ_c$  f = t
  using NOT-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def
  by (metis cfunc-type-def id-right-unit id-type one-unique-element)

```

```

lemma NOT-true-is-false:
  NOT  $\circ_c$  t = f
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume NOT  $\circ_c$  t  $\neq$  f
  then have NOT  $\circ_c$  t = t
    using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have t  $\circ_c$  idc one = NOT  $\circ_c$  t
    using id-right-unit2 true-func-type by auto
  then obtain j where j-type: j  $\in_c$  one and j-id:  $\beta_{one} \circ_c j = id_c$  one and f-j-eq-t:
    f  $\circ_c$  j = t
    using NOT-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have j = idc one
    using id-type one-unique-element by blast
  then have f = t
    using f-j-eq-t false-func-type id-right-unit2 by auto
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed

```

```

lemma NOT-is-true-implies-false:
  assumes p  $\in_c$   $\Omega$ 
  shows NOT  $\circ_c$  p = t  $\implies$  p = f
  using NOT-true-is-false assms true-false-only-truth-values by fastforce

```

lemma *NOT-is-false-implies-true*:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$

shows $NOT \circ_c p = f \implies p = t$

using *NOT-false-is-true* *assms true-false-only-truth-values* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *double-negation*:

$NOT \circ_c NOT = id \ \Omega$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*))

NOT-false-is-true *NOT-true-is-false* *cfunc-type-def comp-associative id-left-unit2*
one-separator

true-false-only-truth-values)

31.2 AND

definition *AND* :: *cfunc* **where**

$AND = (THE \ \chi. \text{is-pullback one one } (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \ \Omega \ (\beta_{one}) \ t \ \langle t, t \rangle \ \chi)$

lemma *AND-is-pullback*:

is-pullback one one $(\Omega \times_c \Omega) \ \Omega \ (\beta_{one}) \ t \ \langle t, t \rangle \ AND$

unfolding *AND-def*

using *element-monomorphism characteristic-function-exists*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *rule-tac the1I2*, *auto*)

lemma *AND-type[type-rule]*:

$AND : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

using *AND-is-pullback* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *AND-true-true-is-true*:

$AND \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = t$

using *AND-is-pullback* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def*

by (*metis cfunc-type-def id-right-unit id-type one-unique-element*)

lemma *AND-false-left-is-false*:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$

shows $AND \circ_c \langle f, p \rangle = f$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume $AND \circ_c \langle f, p \rangle \neq f$

then have $AND \circ_c \langle f, p \rangle = t$

using *assms true-false-only-truth-values* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

then have $t \circ_c id \ one = AND \circ_c \langle f, p \rangle$

using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp* *add: id-right-unit2*)

then obtain j **where** $j\text{-type}: j \in_c one$ **and** $j\text{-id}: \beta_{one} \circ_c j = id_c \ one$ **and**
tt-j-eq-fp: $\langle t, t \rangle \circ_c j = \langle f, p \rangle$

using *AND-is-pullback* *assms* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

then have $j = id_c \ one$

using *id-type one-unique-element* **by** *auto*

then have $\langle t, t \rangle = \langle f, p \rangle$

by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis tt-j-eq-fp id-right-unit2)
 then have $t = f$
 using assms cart-prod-eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
 then show False
 using true-false-distinct by auto
 qed

lemma AND-false-right-is-false:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 shows $AND \circ_c \langle p, f \rangle = f$
 proof(rule ccontr)
 assume $AND \circ_c \langle p, f \rangle \neq f$
 then have $AND \circ_c \langle p, f \rangle = t$
 using assms true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
 then have $t \circ_c id \text{ one} = AND \circ_c \langle p, f \rangle$
 using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-right-unit2)
 then obtain j where $j\text{-type: } j \in_c \text{ one}$ and $j\text{-id: } \beta_{\text{one}} \circ_c j = id_c \text{ one}$ and
 $tt\text{-j-eq-fp: } \langle t, t \rangle \circ_c j = \langle p, f \rangle$
 using AND-is-pullback assms unfolding is-pullback-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
 blast)
 then have $j = id_c \text{ one}$
 using id-type one-unique-element by auto
 then have $\langle t, t \rangle = \langle p, f \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis tt-j-eq-fp id-right-unit2)
 then have $t = f$
 using assms cart-prod-eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
 then show False
 using true-false-distinct by auto
 qed

lemma AND-commutative:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
 shows $AND \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle = AND \circ_c \langle q, p \rangle$
 by (metis AND-false-left-is-false AND-false-right-is-false assms true-false-only-truth-values)

lemma AND-idempotent:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 shows $AND \circ_c \langle p, p \rangle = p$
 using AND-false-right-is-false AND-true-true-is-true assms true-false-only-truth-values
 by blast

lemma AND-associative:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
 assumes $r \in_c \Omega$
 shows $AND \circ_c \langle AND \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle, r \rangle = AND \circ_c \langle p, AND \circ_c \langle q, r \rangle \rangle$
 by (metis AND-commutative AND-false-left-is-false AND-true-true-is-true assms
 true-false-only-truth-values)

lemma *AND-complementary*:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
shows $AND \circ_c \langle p, NOT \circ_c p \rangle = f$
by (*metis AND-false-left-is-false AND-false-right-is-false NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false*
assms true-false-only-truth-values true-func-type)

31.3 NOR

definition *NOR* :: *cfunc* **where**

$NOR = (THE \chi. is_pullback \ one \ one \ (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \ \Omega \ (\beta_{one}) \ t \ \langle f, f \rangle \ \chi)$

lemma *NOR-is-pullback*:

is-pullback *one one* $(\Omega \times_c \Omega) \ \Omega \ (\beta_{one}) \ t \ \langle f, f \rangle \ NOR$
unfolding *NOR-def*
using *characteristic-function-exists element-monomorphism*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac the1I2, simp-all*)

lemma *NOR-type[type-rule]*:

$NOR : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$
using *NOR-is-pullback* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *NOR-false-false-is-true*:

$NOR \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = t$
using *NOR-is-pullback* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def*
by (*auto, metis cfunc-type-def id-right-unit id-type one-unique-element*)

lemma *NOR-left-true-is-false*:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
shows $NOR \circ_c \langle t, p \rangle = f$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $NOR \circ_c \langle t, p \rangle \neq f$
then have $NOR \circ_c \langle t, p \rangle = t$
using *assms true-false-only-truth-values* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)
then have $NOR \circ_c \langle t, p \rangle = t \circ_c id \ one$
using *id-right-unit2 true-func-type* **by** *auto*
then obtain j **where** $j\text{-type}: j \in_c \text{one}$ **and** $j\text{-id}: \beta_{one} \circ_c j = id \ one$ **and** $ff\text{-}j\text{-eq}\text{-}tp:$
 $\langle f, f \rangle \circ_c j = \langle t, p \rangle$
using *NOR-is-pullback assms* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis*)
then have $j = id \ one$
using *id-type one-unique-element* **by** *blast*
then have $\langle f, f \rangle = \langle t, p \rangle$
using *cfunc-prod-comp false-func-type ff-j-eq-tp id-right-unit2 j-type* **by** *auto*
then have $f = t$
using *assms cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type* **by** *auto*
then show *False*
using *true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NOR-right-true-is-false*:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 shows $NOR \circ_c \langle p, t \rangle = f$
proof (rule *ccontr*)
 assume $NOR \circ_c \langle p, t \rangle \neq f$
 then have $NOR \circ_c \langle p, t \rangle = t$
 using *assms true-false-only-truth-values* by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
 then have $NOR \circ_c \langle p, t \rangle = t \circ_c id \text{ one}$
 using *id-right-unit2 true-func-type* by auto
 then obtain j where $j\text{-type}: j \in_c \text{one}$ and $j\text{-id}: \beta_{\text{one}} \circ_c j = id \text{ one}$ and $ff\text{-j-eq-tp}$:
 $\langle f, f \rangle \circ_c j = \langle p, t \rangle$
 using *NOR-is-pullback assms unfolding is-pullback-def* by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis)
 then have $j = id \text{ one}$
 using *id-type one-unique-element* by blast
 then have $\langle f, f \rangle = \langle p, t \rangle$
 using *cfunc-prod-comp false-func-type ff-j-eq-tp id-right-unit2 j-type* by auto
 then have $f = t$
 using *assms cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type* by auto
 then show *False*
 using *true-false-distinct* by auto
qed

lemma *NOR-true-implies-both-false*:

assumes $X\text{-nonempty}: \text{nonempty } X$ and $Y\text{-nonempty}: \text{nonempty } Y$
 assumes $P\text{-}Q\text{-types}[type\text{-rule}]: P : X \rightarrow \Omega \ Q : Y \rightarrow \Omega$
 assumes $NOR\text{-true}: NOR \circ_c (P \times_f Q) = t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y$
 shows $(P = f \circ_c \beta_X) \wedge (Q = f \circ_c \beta_Y)$
proof –
 obtain z where $z\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: z : X \times_c Y \rightarrow \text{one}$ and $P \times_f Q = \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c z$
 using *NOR-is-pullback NOR-true unfolding is-pullback-def*
 by (metis *P-Q-types cfunc-cross-prod-type terminal-func-type*)
 then have $P \times_f Q = \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y$
 using *terminal-func-unique* by auto
 then have $P \times_f Q = \langle f \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y, f \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: *cfunc-prod-comp*)
 then have $P \times_f Q = \langle f \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, metis *left-cart-proj-type right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-comp*)
 then have $\langle P \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y, Q \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \rangle$
 $= \langle f \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y, f \circ_c \beta_Y \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold *cfunc-cross-prod-def2*, auto)
 then have $(P \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y = (f \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y)$
 $\wedge (Q \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y = (f \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c \text{right-cart-proj } X \ Y)$
 using *cart-prod-eq2* by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: *comp-associative2*)
 then have *eqs*: $(P = f \circ_c \beta_X) \wedge (Q = f \circ_c \beta_Y)$
 using *assms epimorphism-def3 nonempty-left-imp-right-proj-epimorphism nonempty-right-imp-left-proj-epimorphism*

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, blast)
  then have  $(P \neq t \circ_c \beta_X) \wedge (Q \neq t \circ_c \beta_Y)$ 
  proof auto
    show  $f \circ_c \beta_X = t \circ_c \beta_X \implies \text{False}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt X-nonempty comp-associative2 nonempty-def
one-separator-contrapos terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct)
    show  $f \circ_c \beta_Y = t \circ_c \beta_Y \implies \text{False}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt Y-nonempty comp-associative2 nonempty-def
one-separator-contrapos terminal-func-comp terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using eqs by linarith
  qed

```

lemma *NOR-true-implies-neither-true*:

```

  assumes X-nonempty: nonempty X and Y-nonempty: nonempty Y
  assumes P-Q-types[type-rule]:  $P : X \rightarrow \Omega \quad Q : Y \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  assumes NOR-true:  $\text{NOR} \circ_c (P \times_f Q) = t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y$ 
  shows  $\neg ((P = t \circ_c \beta_X) \vee (Q = t \circ_c \beta_Y))$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) NOR-true NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false NOT-type
X-nonempty Y-nonempty assms(3,4) comp-associative2 comp-type nonempty-def
terminal-func-type true-false-distinct true-false-only-truth-values NOR-true-implies-both-false)

```

31.4 OR

definition *OR* :: *cfunc* where

```

  OR = (THE  $\chi$ . is-pullback (one  $\coprod$  (one  $\coprod$  one)) one  $(\Omega \times_c \Omega)$   $\Omega$   $(\beta_{(one \coprod (one \coprod one))})$ 
  t  $(\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)$ )  $\chi$ )

```

lemma *pre-OR-type*[type-rule]:

```

 $\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle : one \coprod (one \coprod one) \rightarrow \Omega \times_c \Omega$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs

```

lemma *set-three*:

```

  { $x. x \in_c (one \coprod (one \coprod one))$ } = {
    (left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)) ,
    (right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one),
    (right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj one one))}
  proof(auto)
    show left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\in_c$  one  $\coprod$  one  $\coprod$  one
    by (simp add: left-proj-type)
    show right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one  $\in_c$  one  $\coprod$  one  $\coprod$  one
    by (meson comp-type left-proj-type right-proj-type)
    show right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one  $\in_c$  one  $\coprod$  one  $\coprod$ 
one
    by (meson comp-type right-proj-type)
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \neq \text{left-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \implies$ 
     $x \neq \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one} \implies$ 
     $x \in_c one \coprod one \coprod one \implies$ 

```

$x = \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 coprojs-jointly-surj one-unique-element)
 qed

lemma set-three-card:

$\text{card } \{x. x \in_c (\text{one} \coprod (\text{one} \coprod \text{one}))\} = 3$
proof –
 have f1: $\text{left-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \neq \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def coproducts-disjoint id-right-unit id-type)
 have f2: $\text{left-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \neq \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def coproducts-disjoint id-right-unit id-type)
 have f3: $\text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one} \neq \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def coproducts-disjoint monomorphism-def one-unique-element right-coproj-are-monomorphisms)
 show ?thesis
 by (simp add: f1 f2 f3 set-three)
 qed

lemma pre-OR-injective:

$\text{injective}(\langle t, t \rangle \coprod (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle))$
 unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
 fix x y
 assume $x \in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)$
 then have x-type: $x \in_c (\text{one} \coprod (\text{one} \coprod \text{one}))$
 using cfunc-type-def pre-OR-type by force
 then have x-form: $(\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = (\text{left-coproj one (one} \coprod \text{one))} \circ_c w)) \vee (\exists w. (w \in_c (\text{one} \coprod \text{one}) \wedge x = (\text{right-coproj one (one} \coprod \text{one))} \circ_c w))$
 using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

 assume $y \in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)$
 then have y-type: $y \in_c (\text{one} \coprod (\text{one} \coprod \text{one}))$
 using cfunc-type-def pre-OR-type by force
 then have y-form: $(\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = (\text{left-coproj one (one} \coprod \text{one))} \circ_c w)) \vee (\exists w. (w \in_c (\text{one} \coprod \text{one}) \wedge y = (\text{right-coproj one (one} \coprod \text{one))} \circ_c w))$
 using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

 assume $m x \text{-eqs-} m y: \langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c x = \langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c y$

 have f1: $\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)} = \langle t, t \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
 have f2: $\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one (one} \coprod \text{one)} \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}) = \langle t, f \rangle$
proof –
 have $\langle t, t \rangle \coprod \langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one (one} \coprod \text{one)} \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one})$
 =

```

      (⟨t,t⟩ ∐ ⟨t,f⟩ ∐ ⟨f,t⟩) ∘c right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c left-coproj one one
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = ⟨t,f⟩ ∐ ⟨f,t⟩ ∘c left-coproj one one
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
  also have ... = ⟨t,f⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed
have f3: ⟨t,t⟩ ∐ ⟨t,f⟩ ∐ ⟨f,t⟩ ∘c (right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c right-coproj one
one) = ⟨f,t⟩
proof-
  have ⟨t,t⟩ ∐ ⟨t,f⟩ ∐ ⟨f,t⟩ ∘c (right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c right-coproj one
one) =
    (⟨t,t⟩ ∐ ⟨t,f⟩ ∐ ⟨f,t⟩ ∘c right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c right-coproj one
one)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = ⟨t,f⟩ ∐ ⟨f,t⟩ ∘c right-coproj one one
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
  also have ... = ⟨f,t⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed
show x = y
proof(cases x = left-coproj one (one ∐ one))
  assume case1: x = left-coproj one (one ∐ one)
  then show x = y
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) mx-egs-my element-pair-eq f1 f2 f3 false-func-type
maps-into-1u1 terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type x-form y-form)
  next
    assume not-case1: x ≠ left-coproj one (one ∐ one)
    then have case2-or-3: x = (right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c left-coproj one one) ∨
      x = right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c (right-coproj one one)
    by (metis id-right-unit2 id-type left-proj-type maps-into-1u1 terminal-func-unique
x-form)
    show x = y
    proof(cases x = (right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c left-coproj one one))
      assume case2: x = right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c left-coproj one one
      then show x = y
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cart-prod-eq2 case2 f1 f2 f3 false-func-type
id-right-unit2 left-proj-type maps-into-1u1 mx-egs-my terminal-func-comp termi-
nal-func-comp-elem terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type y-form)
    next
      assume not-case2: x ≠ right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c left-coproj one one
      then have case3: x = right-coproj one (one ∐ one) ∘c (right-coproj one one)
        using case2-or-3 by blast

```

then show $x = y$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *f1 f2 f3 NOR-false-false-is-true NOR-is-pullback case3*
cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 element-pair-eq false-func-type is-pullback-def
left-proj-type maps-into-1u1 mx-eqs-my pre-OR-type terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct
true-func-type y-form)
qed
qed
qed

lemma *OR-is-pullback*:
is-pullback (one \coprod (one \coprod one)) one ($\Omega \times_c \Omega$) Ω ($\beta_{(one \coprod (one \coprod one))}$) t ($\langle t, t \rangle$) Π
($\langle t, f \rangle$) Π ($\langle f, t \rangle$)) *OR*
unfolding *OR-def*
using *element-monomorphism characteristic-function-exists*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *rule-tac the1I2*, *metis injective-imp-monomorphism pre-OR-injective*)

lemma *OR-type[type-rule]*:
 $OR : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$
unfolding *OR-def*
by (*metis OR-def OR-is-pullback is-pullback-def*)

lemma *OR-true-left-is-true*:
assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
shows $OR \circ_c \langle t, p \rangle = t$
proof –
have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \Pi (\langle t, f \rangle \Pi \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle t, p \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *assms comp-associative2 comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*
left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type true-false-only-truth-values)
then show *?thesis*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback*
OR-is-pullback
comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp)
qed

lemma *OR-true-right-is-true*:
assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
shows $OR \circ_c \langle p, t \rangle = t$
proof –
have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \Pi (\langle t, f \rangle \Pi \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle p, t \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*z3*) *assms comp-associative2 comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod*
left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type true-false-only-truth-values)
then show *?thesis*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback*
OR-is-pullback
comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp)
qed

lemma *OR-false-false-is-false*:
 $OR \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = f$

```

proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $OR \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle \neq f$ 
  then have  $OR \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = t$ 
    using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one)$  and  $j\text{-def}: (\langle t, t \rangle \coprod (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    using OR-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-right-unit2 id-type)
  have trichotomy:  $(\langle t, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle) \vee ((\langle t, f \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle) \vee (\langle f, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle))$ 
  proof(cases  $j = \text{left-coproj one } (one \coprod one)$ )
    assume case1:  $j = \text{left-coproj one } (one \coprod one)$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using case1 cfunc-coprod-type j-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    next
      assume not-case1:  $j \neq \text{left-coproj one } (one \coprod one)$ 
      then have case2-or-3:  $j = \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
       $\vee$ 
         $j = \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
      using not-case1 set-three by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases  $j = (\text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one})$ )
        assume case2:  $j = \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
        have  $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
        proof –
          have  $(\langle t, t \rangle \coprod (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = ((\langle t, t \rangle \coprod (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
          by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case2 comp-associative2)
          also have  $\dots = (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
          using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
          also have  $\dots = \langle t, f \rangle$ 
          by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
          then show ?thesis
            using calculation j-def by presburger
        qed
        then show ?thesis
          by blast
      next
        assume not-case2:  $j \neq \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
        then have case3:  $j = \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
        using case2-or-3 by blast
        have  $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
        proof –
          have  $(\langle t, t \rangle \coprod (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = ((\langle t, t \rangle \coprod (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
          by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case3 comp-associative2)
          also have  $\dots = (\langle t, f \rangle \coprod \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
          using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
          also have  $\dots = \langle f, t \rangle$ 

```



```

      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct)
    then show ?thesis
      using calculation j-def by presburger
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by blast
  qed
  then have t = f
    using trichotomy cart-prod-eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by smt
qed

lemma OR-true-implies-one-is-true:
  assumes p ∈c Ω
  assumes q ∈c Ω
  assumes OR ∘c ⟨p,q⟩ = t
  shows (p = t) ∨ (q = t)
  by (metis OR-false-false-is-false assms true-false-only-truth-values)

lemma NOT-NOR-is-OR:
  OR = NOT ∘c NOR
proof(rule one-separator[where X = Ω ×c Ω, where Y = Ω])
  show OR : Ω ×c Ω → Ω
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show NOT ∘c NOR : Ω ×c Ω → Ω
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show ∧x. x ∈c Ω ×c Ω ⇒ OR ∘c x = (NOT ∘c NOR) ∘c x
  proof-
    fix x
    assume x-type[type-rule]: x ∈c Ω ×c Ω
    then obtain p q where p-type[type-rule]: p ∈c Ω and q-type[type-rule]: q ∈c Ω
  Ω and x-def: x = ⟨p,q⟩
    by (meson cart-prod-decomp)
  show OR ∘c x = (NOT ∘c NOR) ∘c x
  proof(cases p = t)
    show p = t ⇒ OR ∘c x = (NOT ∘c NOR) ∘c x
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis NOR-left-true-is-false NOT-false-is-true OR-true-left-is-true
comp-associative2 q-type x-def)
  next
    assume p ≠ t
    then have p = f
      using p-type true-false-only-truth-values by blast
    show OR ∘c x = (NOT ∘c NOR) ∘c x
    proof(cases q = t)
      show q = t ⇒ OR ∘c x = (NOT ∘c NOR) ∘c x
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis NOR-right-true-is-false NOT-false-is-true
OR-true-right-is-true

```

```

      cfunc-type-def comp-associative p-type x-def)
next
  assume  $q \neq t$ 
  then show ?thesis
  by (typecheck-cfuncs,metis NOR-false-false-is-true NOT-is-true-implies-false
OR-false-false-is-false
       $\langle p = f \rangle$  comp-associative2 q-type true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma OR-commutative:
  assumes  $p \in_c \Omega$ 
  assumes  $q \in_c \Omega$ 
  shows  $OR \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle = OR \circ_c \langle q, p \rangle$ 
  by (metis OR-true-left-is-true OR-true-right-is-true assms true-false-only-truth-values)

lemma OR-idempotent:
  assumes  $p \in_c \Omega$ 
  shows  $OR \circ_c \langle p, p \rangle = p$ 
  using OR-false-false-is-false OR-true-left-is-true assms true-false-only-truth-values
  by blast

lemma OR-associative:
  assumes  $p \in_c \Omega$ 
  assumes  $q \in_c \Omega$ 
  assumes  $r \in_c \Omega$ 
  shows  $OR \circ_c \langle OR \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle, r \rangle = OR \circ_c \langle p, OR \circ_c \langle q, r \rangle \rangle$ 
  by (metis OR-commutative OR-false-false-is-false OR-true-right-is-true assms
true-false-only-truth-values)

lemma OR-complementary:
  assumes  $p \in_c \Omega$ 
  shows  $OR \circ_c \langle p, NOT \circ_c p \rangle = t$ 
  by (metis NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false OR-true-left-is-true OR-true-right-is-true
assms false-func-type true-false-only-truth-values)

```

31.5 XOR

definition $XOR :: cfunc$ **where**

$XOR = (THE \chi. is-pullback (one \sqcup one) \text{ one } (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \Omega (\beta_{(one \sqcup one)}) t (\langle t, f \rangle$
 $\Pi \langle f, t \rangle) \chi)$

lemma $pre-XOR-type[type-rule]$:

$\langle t, f \rangle \Pi \langle f, t \rangle : one \sqcup one \rightarrow \Omega \times_c \Omega$
by $typecheck-cfuncs$

lemma $pre-XOR-injective$:

```

    injective( $\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle$ )
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix x y
  assume  $x \in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ 
  then have x-type:  $x \in_c \text{one} \amalg \text{one}$ 
    using cfunc-type-def pre-XOR-type by force
  then have x-form:  $(\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c w)$ 
     $\vee (\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{right-coproj one one } \circ_c w)$ 
    using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

  assume  $y \in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ 
  then have y-type:  $y \in_c \text{one} \amalg \text{one}$ 
    using cfunc-type-def pre-XOR-type by force
  then have y-form:  $(\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c w)$ 
     $\vee (\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one } \circ_c w)$ 
    using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

  assume eqs:  $\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c x = \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c y$ 

  show  $x = y$ 
proof(cases  $\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c w$ )
  assume a1:  $\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c w$ 
  then obtain w where x-def:  $w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c w$ 
    by blast
  then have w-is:  $w = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique x-def)
  have  $\exists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c v$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume a2:  $\nexists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c v$ 
    then obtain v where y-def:  $v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one } \circ_c v$ 
      using y-form by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    then have v-is:  $v = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique y-def)
    then have  $\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one} = \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
      using w-is eqs id-right-unit2 x-def y-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    then have  $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-coprod-unique coprod-eq2 pre-XOR-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then have  $t = f \wedge f = t$ 
      using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by blast
    then show False
      using true-false-distinct by blast
  qed
  then obtain v where y-def:  $v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one } \circ_c v$ 
    by blast
  then have v = id(one)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique)

```

```

then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: w-is x-def y-def)
next
assume  $\nexists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ 
then obtain w where x-def:  $w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ 
  using x-form by force
then have w-is:  $w = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique x-def)
have  $\exists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume a2:  $\nexists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
  then obtain v where y-def:  $v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
    using y-form by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have v = id(one)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique y-def)
  then have  $\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one} = \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj}$ 
    one one
    using w-is eqs id-right-unit2 x-def y-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  then have  $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-coprod-unique coprod-eq2 pre-XOR-type
right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then have  $t = f \wedge f = t$ 
    using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by blast
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by blast
qed
then obtain v where y-def:  $v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
  by blast
then have v = id(one)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique)
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: w-is x-def y-def)
qed
qed

```

lemma XOR-is-pullback:
is-pullback (one \amalg one) one $(\Omega \times_c \Omega) \Omega (\beta_{(\text{one} \amalg \text{one})}) t (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ XOR
unfolding XOR-def
using element-monomorphism characteristic-function-exists
by (typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac the1I2, metis injective-imp-monomorphism pre-XOR-injective)

lemma XOR-type[type-rule]:
 $\text{XOR} : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$
unfolding XOR-def
by (metis XOR-def XOR-is-pullback is-pullback-def)

lemma XOR-only-true-left-is-true:
 $\text{XOR} \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle = t$
proof –

have $\exists j. j \in_c \text{one} \coprod \text{one} \wedge (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, f \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, meson left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type*)
then show ?thesis
by (*smt (verit, best) XOR-is-pullback comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp-elem*)
qed

lemma *XOR-only-true-right-is-true:*
 $\text{XOR} \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle = t$
proof –
have $\exists j. j \in_c \text{one} \coprod \text{one} \wedge (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, t \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, meson right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type*)
then show ?thesis
by (*smt (verit, best) XOR-is-pullback comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp-elem*)
qed

lemma *XOR-false-false-is-false:*
 $\text{XOR} \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = f$
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $\text{XOR} \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle \neq f$
then have $\text{XOR} \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = t$
by (*metis NOR-is-pullback XOR-type comp-type is-pullback-def true-false-only-truth-values*)
then obtain j **where** $j\text{-def}: j \in_c \text{one} \coprod \text{one} \wedge (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, f \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) XOR-is-pullback id-right-unit2 id-type is-pullback-def*)
show *False*
proof(*cases j = left-coproj one one*)
assume $j = \text{left-coproj one one}$
then have $(\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, f \rangle$
using *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, presburger*)
then have $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$
using $j\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
then have $t = f$
using *cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type* **by** *auto*
then show *False*
using *true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*
next
assume $j \neq \text{left-coproj one one}$
then have $j = \text{right-coproj one one}$
by (*meson j-def maps-into-1u1*)
then have $(\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, t \rangle$
using *right-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, presburger*)
then have $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$
using $j\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
then have $t = f$
using *cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type* **by** *auto*
then show *False*
using *true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*

qed
qed

lemma *XOR-true-true-is-false*:

$XOR \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = f$

proof(*rule ccontr*)

assume $XOR \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle \neq f$

then have $XOR \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = t$

by (*metis XOR-type comp-type diag-on-elements diagonal-type true-false-only-truth-values true-func-type*)

then obtain j **where** $j\text{-def}: j \in_c one \coprod one \wedge (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, t \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) XOR-is-pullback id-right-unit2 id-type is-pullback-def*)

show *False*

proof(*cases j = left-coproj one one*)

assume $j = \text{left-coproj } one \ one$

then have $(\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, f \rangle$

using *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, presburger*)

then have $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$

using $j\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

then have $t = f$

using *cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type* **by** *auto*

then show *False*

using *true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*

next

assume $j \neq \text{left-coproj } one \ one$

then have $j = \text{right-coproj } one \ one$

by (*meson j-def maps-into-1u1*)

then have $(\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, t \rangle$

using *right-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, presburger*)

then have $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$

using $j\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

then have $t = f$

using *cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type* **by** *auto*

then show *False*

using *true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

31.6 NAND

definition *NAND* :: *cfunc* **where**

$NAND = (THE \chi. \text{is-pullback } (one \coprod (one \coprod one)) \ one \ (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \ \Omega \ (\beta_{(one \coprod (one \coprod one))})$
 $t \ (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \ \chi)$

lemma *pre-NAND-type*[*type-rule*]:

$\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) : one \coprod (one \coprod one) \rightarrow \Omega \times_c \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

```

lemma pre-NAND-injective:
  injective(⟨f, f⟩  $\amalg$  ⟨t, f⟩  $\amalg$  ⟨f, t⟩)
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix x y
  assume x-type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ 
  then have x-type':  $x \in_c \text{one} \amalg (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})$ 
    using cfunc-type-def pre-NAND-type by force
  then have x-form:  $(\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c w)$ 
     $\vee (\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \amalg \text{one} \wedge x = \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c w)$ 
    using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

  assume y-type:  $y \in_c \text{domain } (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ 
  then have y-type':  $y \in_c \text{one} \amalg (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})$ 
    using cfunc-type-def pre-NAND-type by force
  then have y-form:  $(\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c w)$ 
     $\vee (\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \amalg \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c w)$ 
    using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

  assume mx-eqs-my:  $\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c x = \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c y$ 

  have f1:  $\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  have f2:  $\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}) = \langle t, f \rangle$ 
  proof—
    have  $\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    =
       $(\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
    also have  $\dots = \langle t, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  have f3:  $\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}) = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
  proof—
    have  $\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}) =$ 
     $(\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = \langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
    also have  $\dots = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)

```

```

    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
show x = y
proof(cases x = left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one))
  assume case1: x = left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)
  then show x = y
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) mx-eqs-my element-pair-eq f1 f2 f3 false-func-type
maps-into-1u1 terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type x-form y-form)
  next
    assume not-case1: x  $\neq$  left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)
    then have case2-or-3: x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
       $\vee$ 
        x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one
    by (metis id-right-unit2 id-type left-proj-type maps-into-1u1 terminal-func-unique
x-form)
    show x = y
    proof(cases x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one)
      assume case2: x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
      then show x = y
        by (smt (z3) NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback NOT-true-is-false NOT-type
x-type x-type' cart-prod-eq2 case2 cfunc-type-def characteristic-func-eq characteris-
tic-func-is-pullback characteristic-function-exists comp-associative diag-on-elements
diagonal-type element-monomorphism f1 f2 f3 false-func-type left-proj-type maps-into-1u1
mx-eqs-my terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type x-type y-form)
    next
      assume not-case2: x  $\neq$  right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
      then have case3: x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one
        using case2-or-3 by blast
      then show x = y
        by (smt (z3) NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback NOT-true-is-false NOT-type
x-type x-type' cart-prod-eq2 case3 cfunc-type-def characteristic-func-eq characteris-
tic-func-is-pullback characteristic-function-exists comp-associative diag-on-elements
diagonal-type element-monomorphism f1 f2 f3 false-func-type left-proj-type maps-into-1u1
mx-eqs-my terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type x-type y-form)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma *NAND-is-pullback*:

$$is_pullback \ (one \coprod (one \coprod one)) \ one \ (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \ \Omega \ (\beta_{(one \coprod (one \coprod one))}) \ t \ (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \ NAND$$

unfolding *NAND-def*

using *element-monomorphism characteristic-function-exists*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac the1I2, metis injective-imp-monomorphism pre-NAND-injective*)

lemma *NAND-type[type-rule]*:

$$NAND : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$$

unfolding *NAND-def*

by (metis NAND-def NAND-is-pullback is-pullback-def)

lemma *NAND-left-false-is-true*:
 assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 shows $NAND \circ_c \langle f, p \rangle = t$
proof –
 have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle f, p \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) assms comp-associative2 comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
 left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type true-false-only-truth-values)
 then show ?thesis
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) NAND-is-pullback comp-associative2
 id-right-unit2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp-elem)
qed

lemma *NAND-right-false-is-true*:
 assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 shows $NAND \circ_c \langle p, f \rangle = t$
proof –
 have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle p, f \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) assms comp-associative2 comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
 left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type true-false-only-truth-values)
 then show ?thesis
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) NAND-is-pullback NOT-false-is-true
 NOT-is-pullback comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp)
qed

lemma *NAND-true-true-is-false*:
 $NAND \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = f$
proof(rule ccontr)
 assume $NAND \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle \neq f$
 then have $NAND \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = t$
 using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
 then obtain j where $j\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one)$ and $j\text{-def}: (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle t, t \rangle$
 using NAND-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) NAND-is-pullback id-right-unit2 id-type)
 then have *trichotomy*: $(\langle f, f \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle) \vee (\langle t, f \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle) \vee (\langle f, t \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle)$
proof(cases $j = \text{left-coproj one } (one \coprod one)$)
 assume *case1*: $j = \text{left-coproj one } (one \coprod one)$
 then show ?thesis
 by (metis cfunc-coprod-type cfunc-prod-type false-func-type j-def left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
 true-func-type)
next
 assume *not-case1*: $j \neq \text{left-coproj one } (one \coprod one)$
 then have *case2-or-3*: $j = \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$
 \vee
 $j = \text{right-coproj one } (one \coprod one) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$
 using *not-case1 set-three* by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
 show ?thesis

```

proof (cases j = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one)
  assume case2: j = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
  have  $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = ((\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)}) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case2 comp-associative2)
    also have ... =  $(\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
    also have ... =  $\langle t, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then show ?thesis
    using calculation j-def by presburger
  qed
then show ?thesis
  by blast
next
assume not-case2: j  $\neq$  right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
then have case3: j = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one
using case2-or-3 by blast
have  $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
proof -
  have  $(\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = ((\langle f, f \rangle \amalg (\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one (one } \coprod \text{ one)}) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case3 comp-associative2)
  also have ... =  $(\langle t, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
  using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
  also have ... =  $\langle f, t \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation j-def by presburger
qed
then show ?thesis
by blast
qed
qed
then have t = f
using trichotomy cart-prod-eq2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
then show False
using true-false-distinct by auto
qed

lemma NAND-true-implies-one-is-false:
  assumes p  $\in_c$   $\Omega$ 
  assumes q  $\in_c$   $\Omega$ 
  assumes NAND  $\circ_c$   $\langle p, q \rangle = t$ 
  shows (p = f)  $\vee$  (q = f)
  by (metis (no-types) NAND-true-true-is-false assms true-false-only-truth-values)

```

lemma *NOT-AND-is-NAND*:

NAND = *NOT* \circ_c *AND*

proof(*rule one-separator*[**where** $X = \Omega \times_c \Omega$, **where** $Y = \Omega$])

show *NAND* : $\Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show *NOT* \circ_c *AND* : $\Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $\bigwedge x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega \implies \text{NAND} \circ_c x = (\text{NOT} \circ_c \text{AND}) \circ_c x$

proof–

fix x

assume $x\text{-type}$: $x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega$

then obtain $p\ q$ **where** $x\text{-def}$: $p \in_c \Omega \wedge q \in_c \Omega \wedge x = \langle p, q \rangle$

by (*meson cart-prod-decomp*)

show *NAND* $\circ_c x = (\text{NOT} \circ_c \text{AND}) \circ_c x$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis AND-false-left-is-false AND-false-right-is-false*

AND-true-true-is-true NAND-left-false-is-true NAND-right-false-is-true NAND-true-implies-one-is-false

NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false comp-associative2 true-false-only-truth-values

$x\text{-def } x\text{-type}$)

qed

qed

lemma *NAND-not-idempotent*:

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$

shows *NAND* $\circ_c \langle p, p \rangle = \text{NOT} \circ_c p$

using *NAND-right-false-is-true NAND-true-true-is-false NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false*

assms true-false-only-truth-values **by** *fastforce*

31.7 IFF

definition *IFF* :: *cfunc* **where**

IFF = (*THE* $\chi. \text{is-pullback } (\text{one} \coprod \text{one}) \text{ one } (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \Omega (\beta_{(\text{one} \coprod \text{one})}) \text{ t } (\langle \text{t}, \text{t} \rangle$
 $\coprod \langle \text{f}, \text{f} \rangle) \chi$)

lemma *pre-IFF-type*[*type-rule*]:

$\langle \text{t}, \text{t} \rangle \coprod \langle \text{f}, \text{f} \rangle : \text{one} \coprod \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega \times_c \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *pre-IFF-injective*:

injective($\langle \text{t}, \text{t} \rangle \coprod \langle \text{f}, \text{f} \rangle$)

unfolding *injective-def*

proof(*auto*)

fix $x\ y$

assume $x \in_c \text{domain } (\langle \text{t}, \text{t} \rangle \coprod \langle \text{f}, \text{f} \rangle)$

then have $x\text{-type}$: $x \in_c (\text{one} \coprod \text{one})$

using *cfunc-type-def pre-IFF-type* **by** *force*

then have $x\text{-form}$: $(\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = (\text{left-coproj } \text{one } \text{one}) \circ_c w))$

$\vee (\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = (\text{right-coproj } \text{one } \text{one}) \circ_c w))$

using *coprojs-jointly-surj* **by** *auto*

```

assume  $y \in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle)$ 
then have  $y\text{-type}: y \in_c (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})$ 
  using cfunc-type-def pre-IFF-type by force
then have  $y\text{-form}: (\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = (\text{left-coproj one one}) \circ_c w))$ 
   $\vee (\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = (\text{right-coproj one one}) \circ_c w))$ 
  using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

assume  $\text{eqs}: \langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c x = \langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c y$ 

show  $x = y$ 
proof(cases  $\exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ )
  assume  $a1: \exists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ 
  then obtain  $w$  where  $x\text{-def}: w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ 
  by blast
  then have  $w = \text{id one}$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique x-def)
  have  $\exists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $a2: \nexists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
    then obtain  $v$  where  $y\text{-def}: v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
    using  $y\text{-form}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    then have  $v = \text{id one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique y-def)
    then have  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one} = \langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj}$ 
     $\text{one one}$ 
    using  $\langle v = \text{id}_c \text{one} \rangle \langle w = \text{id}_c \text{one} \rangle$  eqs id-right-unit2 x-def y-def by
    (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    then have  $\langle t, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-coprod-unique coprod-eq2 pre-IFF-type
    right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then have  $t = f$ 
    using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by blast
    then show False
    using true-false-distinct by blast
  qed
then obtain  $v$  where  $y\text{-def}: v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
by blast
then have  $v = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique)
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: \langle w = id_c one \rangle x-def y-def)
next
assume  $\nexists w. w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ 
then obtain  $w$  where  $x\text{-def}: w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c w$ 
using  $x\text{-form}$  by force
then have  $w = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique x-def)
have  $\exists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
proof(rule ccontr)

```

```

assume a2:  $\nexists v. v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{right-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
then obtain  $v$  where  $y\text{-def}$ :  $v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = \text{left-coproj one one} \circ_c v$ 
  using  $y\text{-form}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
then have  $v = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique y-def)
then have  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one} = \langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj}$ 
 $\text{one one}$ 
  using  $\langle v = \text{id}_c \text{one} \rangle \langle w = \text{id}_c \text{one} \rangle \text{eqs id-right-unit2 } x\text{-def } y\text{-def}$  by
(typecheck-cfuncs, force)
then have  $\langle t, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-coprod-unique coprod-eq2 pre-IFF-type
right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
then have  $t = f$ 
  using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by blast
then show False
  using true-false-distinct by blast
qed
then obtain  $v$  where  $y\text{-def}$ :  $v \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = (\text{right-coproj one one}) \circ_c v$ 
  by blast
then have  $v = \text{id}(\text{one})$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique)
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add:  $\langle w = \text{id}_c \text{one} \rangle x\text{-def } y\text{-def}$ )
qed
qed

```

lemma *IFF-is-pullback*:

```

is-pullback ( $\text{one} \amalg \text{one}$ )  $\text{one}$  ( $\Omega \times_c \Omega$ )  $\Omega$  ( $\beta_{(\text{one} \amalg \text{one})}$ )  $t$  ( $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle$ ) IFF
unfolding IFF-def
using element-monomorphism characteristic-function-exists
by (typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac the1I2, metis injective-imp-monomorphism pre-IFF-injective)

```

lemma *IFF-type[type-rule]*:

```

 $\text{IFF} : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 
unfolding IFF-def
by (metis IFF-def IFF-is-pullback is-pullback-def)

```

lemma *IFF-true-true-is-true*:

```

 $\text{IFF} \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = t$ 
proof –
  have  $\exists j. j \in_c (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type true-false-only-truth-values)
  then show ?thesis
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) AND-is-pullback AND-true-true-is-true IFF-is-pullback
comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp)
qed

```

lemma *IFF-false-false-is-true*:

$IFF \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = t$
proof –
 have $\exists j. j \in_c (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, f \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
 left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type true-false-only-truth-values)
 then show ?thesis
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) AND-is-pullback AND-true-true-is-true IFF-is-pullback
 comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp)
qed

lemma *IFF-true-false-is-false:*

$IFF \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle = f$
proof(rule ccontr)
 assume $IFF \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle \neq f$
 then have $IFF \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle = t$
 using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
 then obtain j where $j\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: j \in_c one \coprod one \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, f \rangle$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IFF-is-pullback characteristic-function-exists element-monomorphism is-pullback-def)
 show False
proof(cases $j = \text{left-coproj one one}$)
 assume $j = \text{left-coproj one one}$
 then have $(\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, t \rangle$
 using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
 then have $\langle t, f \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$
 using j-type by argo
 then have $t = f$
 using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by auto
 then show False
 using true-false-distinct by auto
next
 assume $j \neq \text{left-coproj one one}$
 then have $j = \text{right-coproj one one}$
 using j-type maps-into-1u1 by auto
 then have $(\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, f \rangle$
 using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
 then have $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$
 using XOR-false-false-is-false XOR-only-true-left-is-true j-type by argo
 then have $t = f$
 using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by auto
 then show False
 using true-false-distinct by auto
qed
qed

lemma *IFF-false-true-is-false:*

$IFF \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle = f$
proof(rule ccontr)

```

assume  $IFF \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle \neq f$ 
then have  $IFF \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle = t$ 
  using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}] : j \in_c one \coprod one$  and  $j\text{-def} : (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IFF-is-pullback id-right-unit2 is-pullback-def one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-comp-elem terminal-func-unique)
  show False
proof(cases  $j = \text{left-coproj one one}$ )
  assume  $j = \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
  then have  $(\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
    using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
  then have  $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
    using  $j\text{-def}$  by auto
  then have  $t = f$ 
    using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by auto
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
next
  assume  $j \neq \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
  then have  $j = \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
    using  $j\text{-type maps-into-1u1}$  by blast
  then have  $(\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle) \circ_c j = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
  then have  $\langle f, t \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    using XOR-false-false-is-false XOR-only-true-left-is-true j-def by fastforce
  then have  $t = f$ 
    using cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type true-func-type by auto
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed
qed

lemma NOT-IFF-is-XOR:
   $NOT \circ_c IFF = XOR$ 
proof(rule one-separator[where  $X = \Omega \times_c \Omega$ , where  $Y = \Omega$ ])
  show  $NOT \circ_c IFF : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $XOR : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega \implies (NOT \circ_c IFF) \circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
proof –
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x\text{-type} : x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega$ 
  then obtain  $u\ w$  where  $x\text{-def} : u \in_c \Omega \wedge w \in_c \Omega \wedge x = \langle u, w \rangle$ 
    using cart-prod-decomp by blast
  show  $(NOT \circ_c IFF) \circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
proof(cases  $u = t$ )

```

```

show ( $NOT \circ_c IFF$ )  $\circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
proof(cases  $w = t$ )
  show ( $NOT \circ_c IFF$ )  $\circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
  by (metis IFF-false-false-is-true IFF-false-true-is-false IFF-true-false-is-false
IFF-true-true-is-true IFF-type NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false NOT-type XOR-false-false-is-false
XOR-only-true-left-is-true XOR-only-true-right-is-true XOR-true-true-is-false cfunc-type-def
comp-associative true-false-only-truth-values x-def x-type)
next
  assume  $w \neq t$ 
  then have  $w = f$ 
  by (metis true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
  then show ( $NOT \circ_c IFF$ )  $\circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
  by (metis IFF-false-false-is-true IFF-true-false-is-false IFF-type NOT-false-is-true
NOT-true-is-false NOT-type XOR-false-false-is-false XOR-only-true-left-is-true comp-associative2
true-false-only-truth-values x-def x-type)
qed
next
  assume  $u \neq t$ 
  then have  $u = f$ 
  by (metis true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
  show ( $NOT \circ_c IFF$ )  $\circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
  proof(cases  $w = t$ )
    show ( $NOT \circ_c IFF$ )  $\circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
    by (metis IFF-false-false-is-true IFF-false-true-is-false IFF-type NOT-false-is-true
NOT-true-is-false NOT-type XOR-false-false-is-false XOR-only-true-right-is-true  $\langle u = f \rangle$ 
comp-associative2 true-false-only-truth-values x-def x-type)
  next
    assume  $w \neq t$ 
    then have  $w = f$ 
    by (metis true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
    then show ( $NOT \circ_c IFF$ )  $\circ_c x = XOR \circ_c x$ 
    by (metis IFF-false-false-is-true IFF-type NOT-true-is-false NOT-type
XOR-false-false-is-false  $\langle u = f \rangle$  cfunc-type-def comp-associative x-def x-type)
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

31.8 IMPLIES

definition *IMPLIES* :: cfunc **where**

$IMPLIES = (THE \chi. is_pullback (one \amalg (one \amalg one)) one (\Omega \times_c \Omega) \Omega (\beta_{(one \amalg (one \amalg one))})$
 $t ((t, t) \amalg ((f, f) \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \chi)$

lemma *pre-IMPLIES-type[type-rule]*:

$\langle t, t \rangle \amalg ((f, f) \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) : one \amalg (one \amalg one) \rightarrow \Omega \times_c \Omega$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *pre-IMPLIES-injective*:


```

    injective( $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle$ )
  unfolding injective-def
proof(auto)
  fix x y
  assume a1:  $x \in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ 
  then have x-type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c (\text{one} \amalg (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}))$ 
    using cfunc-type-def pre-IMPLIES-type by force
  then have x-form:  $(\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge x = (\text{left-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c w))$ 
     $\vee (\exists w. (w \in_c (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \wedge x = (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c w))$ 
    using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

  assume y  $\in_c \text{domain } (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)$ 
  then have y-type:  $y \in_c (\text{one} \amalg (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}))$ 
    using cfunc-type-def pre-IMPLIES-type by force
  then have y-form:  $(\exists w. (w \in_c \text{one} \wedge y = (\text{left-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c w))$ 
     $\vee (\exists w. (w \in_c (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \wedge y = (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c w))$ 
    using coprojs-jointly-surj by auto

  assume mx-eqs-my:  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c x = \langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c y$ 

  have f1:  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  have f2:  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one}) = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
  proof-
    have  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one})$ 
    =
       $(\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one})$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (\text{one})$ 
      using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
    also have  $\dots = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
  have f3:  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c (\text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one}) = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
  proof-
    have  $\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one}) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one})$ 
    =
       $(\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one} \amalg \text{one})) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one})$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have  $\dots = \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (\text{one})$ 
      using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt)
    also have  $\dots = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then show ?thesis

```

```

    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
show x = y
proof(cases x = left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one))
  assume case1: x = left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)
  then show x = y
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) mx-eqs-my element-pair-eq f1 f2 f3 false-func-type
maps-into-1u1 terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type x-form y-form)
  next
    assume not-case1: x  $\neq$  left-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)
    then have case2-or-3: x = (right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one)  $\vee$ 
      x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj one one)
    by (metis id-right-unit2 id-type left-proj-type maps-into-1u1 terminal-func-unique
x-form)
    show x = y
    proof(cases x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one)
      assume case2: x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
      then show x = y
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) a1 NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback
cart-prod-eq2 cfunc-prod-comp cfunc-type-def characteristic-func-eq characteristic-func-is-pullback
characteristic-function-exists comp-associative element-monomorphism f1 f2 f3 false-func-type
left-proj-type maps-into-1u1 mx-eqs-my terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type
y-form)
    next
      assume not-case2: x  $\neq$  right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
      then have case3: x = right-coproj one (one  $\coprod$  one)  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj one one)
      using case2-or-3 by blast
      then show x = y
        by (smt (z3) NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback a1 cart-prod-eq2 cfunc-type-def
characteristic-func-eq characteristic-func-is-pullback characteristic-function-exists comp-associative
diag-on-elements diagonal-type element-monomorphism f1 f2 f3 false-func-type left-proj-type
maps-into-1u1 mx-eqs-my terminal-func-unique true-false-distinct true-func-type x-type
y-form)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma *IMPLIES-is-pullback*:

```

  is-pullback (one  $\coprod$  (one  $\coprod$  one)) one ( $\Omega \times_c \Omega$ )  $\Omega$  ( $\beta_{(one \coprod (one \coprod one))}$ ) t ( $\langle t, t \rangle \Pi$ 
( $\langle f, f \rangle \Pi \langle f, t \rangle$ )) IMPLIES
  unfolding IMPLIES-def
  using element-monomorphism characteristic-function-exists
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac the1I2, metis injective-imp-monomorphism pre-IMPLIES-injective)

```

lemma *IMPLIES-type[type-rule]*:

```

  IMPLIES :  $\Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  unfolding IMPLIES-def
  by (metis IMPLIES-def IMPLIES-is-pullback is-pullback-def)

```

lemma *IMPLIES-true-true-is-true:*

$IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle = t$

proof –

have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle t, t \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *meson left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IMPLIES-is-pullback NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp*)

qed

lemma *IMPLIES-false-true-is-true:*

$IMPLIES \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle = t$

proof –

have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle f, t \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (z3) comp-associative2 comp-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IMPLIES-is-pullback NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp*)

qed

lemma *IMPLIES-false-false-is-true:*

$IMPLIES \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle = t$

proof –

have $\exists j. j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle f, f \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type left-coproj-cfunc-coprod left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IMPLIES-is-pullback NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback comp-associative2 is-pullback-def terminal-func-comp*)

qed

lemma *IMPLIES-true-false-is-false:*

$IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle = f$

proof(*rule ccontr*)

assume $IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle \neq f$

then have $IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle = t$

using *true-false-only-truth-values* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *blast*)

then obtain *j* **where** *j-def*: $j \in_c one \coprod (one \coprod one) \wedge (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle)) \circ_c j = \langle t, f \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IMPLIES-is-pullback id-right-unit2 is-pullback-def one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-comp-elem terminal-func-unique*)

show *False*

proof(*cases j = left-coproj one (one \coprod one)*)

assume *case1*: $j = left-coproj one (one \coprod one)$

show *False*

proof –

```

have ((t, t)II ((f, f) II (f, t)))  $\circ_c$  j = (t, t)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case1 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
then have (t, t) = (t, f)
  using j-def by presburger
then have t = f
  using IFF-true-false-is-false IFF-true-true-is-true by auto
then show False
  using true-false-distinct by blast
qed
next
assume j  $\neq$  left-coproj one (one II one)
then have case2-or-3: j = right-coproj one (one II one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
 $\vee$ 
      j = right-coproj one (one II one)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one
  by (metis coprojs-jointly-surj id-right-unit2 id-type j-def left-proj-type maps-into-1u1
one-unique-element)
show False
proof (cases j = right-coproj one (one II one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one)
  assume case2: j = right-coproj one (one II one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
  show False
  proof -
    have ((t, t)II ((f, f) II (f, t)))  $\circ_c$  j = (f, f)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) case2 comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type)
    then have (t, t) = (f, f)
    using XOR-false-false-is-false XOR-only-true-left-is-true j-def by auto
    then have t = f
    by (metis XOR-only-true-left-is-true XOR-true-true-is-false (t, t) II (f, f)
II (f, t)  $\circ_c$  j = (f, f) j-def)
    then show False
    using true-false-distinct by blast
  qed
next
assume j  $\neq$  right-coproj one (one II one)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj one one
then have case3: j = right-coproj one (one II one)  $\circ_c$  right-coproj one one
  using case2-or-3 by blast
show False
proof -
  have ((t, t)II ((f, f) II (f, t)))  $\circ_c$  j = (f, t)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) case3 comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
left-proj-type right-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-proj-type)
  then have (t, t) = (f, t)
  by (metis cart-prod-eq2 false-func-type j-def true-func-type)
  then have t = f
  using XOR-only-true-right-is-true XOR-true-true-is-false by auto
  then show False
  using true-false-distinct by blast
qed
qed

```

qed
qed

lemma *IMPLIES-false-is-true-false:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
 assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
 assumes $IMPLIES \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle = f$
 shows $p = t \wedge q = f$
 by (metis *IMPLIES-false-false-is-true IMPLIES-false-true-is-true IMPLIES-true-true-is-true*
assms true-false-only-truth-values)

ETCS analog to $(A \iff B) = (A \implies B) \wedge (B \implies A)$

lemma *iff-is-and-implies-implies-swap:*

$IFF = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle$

proof(rule one-separator[**where** $X = \Omega \times_c \Omega$, **where** $Y = \Omega$])

show $IFF : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show $AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle : \Omega \times_c \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show $\bigwedge x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega \implies IFF \circ_c x = (AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap$
 $\Omega \Omega \rangle) \circ_c x$

proof–

fix x

assume x -type: $x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega$

then obtain $p \ q$ where x -def: $p \in_c \Omega \wedge q \in_c \Omega \wedge x = \langle p, q \rangle$

by (meson cart-prod-decomp)

show $IFF \circ_c x = (AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle) \circ_c x$

proof(cases $p = t$)

assume $p = t$

show ?thesis

proof(cases $q = t$)

assume $q = t$

show ?thesis

proof –

have $(AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle) \circ_c x =$

$AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle \circ_c x$

using comp-associative2 x -type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)

also have $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES \circ_c x, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \circ_c x \rangle$

using cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 x -type by (typecheck-cfuncs,

force)

also have $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle, IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle \rangle$

using $\langle p = t \rangle \langle q = t \rangle$ swap-ap x -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)

also have $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle$

using *IMPLIES-true-true-is-true* by presburger

also have $\dots = t$

by (simp add: *AND-true-true-is-true*)

also have $\dots = IFF \circ_c x$

by (simp add: *IFF-true-true-is-true* $\langle p = t \rangle \langle q = t \rangle$ x -def)

then show ?thesis

```

      by (simp add: calculation)
    qed
  next
    assume  $q \neq t$ 
    then have  $q = f$ 
      by (meson true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof -
      have  $(AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle) \circ_c x =$ 
         $AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle \circ_c x$ 
        using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
      also have  $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES \circ_c x, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \circ_c x \rangle$ 
        using cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
      also have  $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle, IMPLIES \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle \rangle$ 
        using  $\langle p = t \rangle \langle q = f \rangle$  swap-ap x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
      also have  $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle$ 
        using IMPLIES-false-true-is-true IMPLIES-true-false-is-false by pres-
burger
      also have  $\dots = f$ 
        by (simp add: AND-false-left-is-false true-func-type)
      also have  $\dots = IFF \circ_c x$ 
        by (simp add: IFF-true-false-is-false  $\langle p = t \rangle \langle q = f \rangle$  x-def)
      then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: calculation)
    qed
  qed
next
  assume  $p \neq t$ 
  then have  $p = f$ 
    using true-false-only-truth-values x-def by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $q = t$ )
    assume  $q = t$ 
    show ?thesis
    proof -
      have  $(AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle) \circ_c x =$ 
         $AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \rangle \circ_c x$ 
        using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
      also have  $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES \circ_c x, IMPLIES \circ_c swap \Omega \Omega \circ_c x \rangle$ 
        using cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
      also have  $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle IMPLIES \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle, IMPLIES \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle \rangle$ 
        using  $\langle p = f \rangle \langle q = t \rangle$  swap-ap x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
      also have  $\dots = AND \circ_c \langle t, f \rangle$ 
        by (simp add: IMPLIES-false-true-is-true IMPLIES-true-false-is-false)
      also have  $\dots = f$ 
        by (simp add: AND-false-right-is-false true-func-type)
      also have  $\dots = IFF \circ_c x$ 

```

```

      by (simp add: IFF-false-true-is-false ⟨p = f⟩ ⟨q = t⟩ x-def)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
next
  assume q ≠ t
  then have q = f
    by (meson true-false-only-truth-values x-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof -
    have (AND ∘c ⟨IMPLIES, IMPLIES ∘c swap Ω Ω⟩) ∘c x =
      AND ∘c ⟨IMPLIES, IMPLIES ∘c swap Ω Ω⟩ ∘c x
    using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    also have ... = AND ∘c ⟨IMPLIES ∘c x, IMPLIES ∘c swap Ω Ω ∘c x⟩
    using cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
    also have ... = AND ∘c ⟨IMPLIES ∘c ⟨f, f⟩, IMPLIES ∘c ⟨f, f⟩⟩
    using ⟨p = f⟩ ⟨q = f⟩ swap-ap x-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
    also have ... = AND ∘c ⟨t, t⟩
    by (simp add: IMPLIES-false-false-is-true)
    also have ... = t
    by (simp add: AND-true-true-is-true)
    also have ... = IFF ∘c x
    by (simp add: IFF-false-false-is-true ⟨p = f⟩ ⟨q = f⟩ x-def)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma IMPLIES-is-OR-NOT-id:
  IMPLIES = OR ∘c (NOT ×f id(Ω))
proof(rule one-separator[ where X = Ω ×c Ω, where Y = Ω])
  show IMPLIES : Ω ×c Ω → Ω
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show OR ∘c NOT ×f idc Ω : Ω ×c Ω → Ω
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c \Omega \times_c \Omega \implies \text{IMPLIES} \circ_c x = (\text{OR} \circ_c \text{NOT} \times_f \text{id}_c \Omega) \circ_c x$ 
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x-type: x ∈c Ω ×c Ω
    then obtain u v where x-form: u ∈c Ω ∧ v ∈c Ω ∧ x = ⟨u, v⟩
      using cart-prod-decomp by blast
    show IMPLIES ∘c x = (OR ∘c NOT ×f idc Ω) ∘c x
    proof(cases u = t)
      assume u = t
      show ?thesis

```

```

proof(cases  $v = t$ )
  assume  $v = t$ 
  have  $(OR \circ_c NOT \times_f id_c \Omega) \circ_c x = OR \circ_c (NOT \times_f id_c \Omega) \circ_c x$ 
    using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  also have  $\dots = OR \circ_c \langle NOT \circ_c t, id_c \Omega \circ_c t \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\langle u = t \rangle \langle v = t \rangle$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
x-form)
  also have  $\dots = OR \circ_c \langle f, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: NOT-true-is-false id-left-unit2)
  also have  $\dots = t$ 
    by (simp add: OR-true-right-is-true false-func-type)
  also have  $\dots = IMPLIES \circ_c x$ 
    by (simp add: IMPLIES-true-true-is-true  $\langle u = t \rangle \langle v = t \rangle$  x-form)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
next
  assume  $v \neq t$ 
  then have  $v = f$ 
    by (metis true-false-only-truth-values x-form)
  have  $(OR \circ_c NOT \times_f id_c \Omega) \circ_c x = OR \circ_c (NOT \times_f id_c \Omega) \circ_c x$ 
    using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  also have  $\dots = OR \circ_c \langle NOT \circ_c t, id_c \Omega \circ_c f \rangle$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\langle u = t \rangle \langle v = f \rangle$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
x-form)
  also have  $\dots = OR \circ_c \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: NOT-true-is-false id-left-unit2)
  also have  $\dots = f$ 
    by (simp add: OR-false-false-is-false false-func-type)
  also have  $\dots = IMPLIES \circ_c x$ 
    by (simp add: IMPLIES-true-false-is-false  $\langle u = t \rangle \langle v = f \rangle$  x-form)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed
next
  assume  $u \neq t$ 
  then have  $u = f$ 
    by (metis true-false-only-truth-values x-form)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases  $v = t$ )
    assume  $v = t$ 
    have  $(OR \circ_c NOT \times_f id_c \Omega) \circ_c x = OR \circ_c (NOT \times_f id_c \Omega) \circ_c x$ 
      using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    also have  $\dots = OR \circ_c \langle NOT \circ_c f, id_c \Omega \circ_c t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\langle u = f \rangle \langle v = t \rangle$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
x-form)
    also have  $\dots = OR \circ_c \langle t, t \rangle$ 
      using NOT-false-is-true id-left-unit2 true-func-type by smt
    also have  $\dots = t$ 
      by (simp add: OR-true-right-is-true true-func-type)

```



```

    also have ... = IMPLIES  $\circ_c$  x
      by (simp add: IMPLIES-false-true-is-true  $\langle u = f \rangle \langle v = t \rangle$  x-form)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  next
    assume v  $\neq$  t
    then have v = f
      by (metis true-false-only-truth-values x-form)
    have (OR  $\circ_c$  NOT  $\times_f$  idc  $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  x = OR  $\circ_c$  (NOT  $\times_f$  idc  $\Omega$ )  $\circ_c$  x
      using comp-associative2 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    also have ... = OR  $\circ_c$   $\langle$ NOT  $\circ_c$  f, idc  $\Omega$   $\circ_c$  f $\rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add:  $\langle u = f \rangle \langle v = f \rangle$  cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
x-form)
    also have ... = OR  $\circ_c$   $\langle$ t, f $\rangle$ 
      using NOT-false-is-true false-func-type id-left-unit2 by presburger
    also have ... = t
      by (simp add: OR-true-left-is-true false-func-type)
    also have ... = IMPLIES  $\circ_c$  x
      by (simp add: IMPLIES-false-false-is-true  $\langle u = f \rangle \langle v = f \rangle$  x-form)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: calculation)
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma IMPLIES-implies-implies:

```

  assumes P-type[type-rule]: P : X  $\rightarrow$   $\Omega$  and Q-type[type-rule]: Q : Y  $\rightarrow$   $\Omega$ 
  assumes X-nonempty:  $\exists x. x \in_c X$ 
  assumes IMPLIES-true: IMPLIES  $\circ_c$  (P  $\times_f$  Q) = t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_X \times_c Y$ 
  shows (P = t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_X$ )  $\implies$  (Q = t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_Y$ )

```

proof –

```

  obtain z where z-type[type-rule]: z : X  $\times_c$  Y  $\rightarrow$  one  $\coprod$  one  $\coprod$  one
    and z-eq: (P  $\times_f$  Q) = ( $\langle$ t,t $\rangle \coprod \langle$ f,f $\rangle \coprod \langle$ f,t $\rangle$ )  $\circ_c$  z
    using IMPLIES-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def
    by (auto, typecheck-cfuncs, metis IMPLIES-true terminal-func-type)
  assume P-true: P = t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_X$ 

  have left-cart-proj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$   $\circ_c$  (P  $\times_f$  Q) = left-cart-proj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$   $\circ_c$  ( $\langle$ t,t $\rangle \coprod \langle$ f,f $\rangle \coprod \langle$ f,t $\rangle$ )
 $\circ_c$  z
    using z-eq by simp
  then have P  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X Y = (left-cart-proj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$   $\circ_c$  ( $\langle$ t,t $\rangle \coprod \langle$ f,f $\rangle \coprod \langle$ f,t $\rangle$ ))
 $\circ_c$  z
    using Q-type comp-associative2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod by (typecheck-cfuncs,
force)
  then have P  $\circ_c$  left-cart-proj X Y
    = ((left-cart-proj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$   $\circ_c$   $\langle$ t,t $\rangle$ )  $\coprod$  (left-cart-proj  $\Omega$   $\Omega$   $\circ_c$   $\langle$ f,f $\rangle$ )  $\coprod$  (left-cart-proj
 $\Omega$   $\Omega$   $\circ_c$   $\langle$ f,t $\rangle$ ))  $\circ_c$  z
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, simp add: cfunc-coprod-comp)

```

```

then have  $P \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \ Y = (t \amalg f \amalg f) \circ_c z$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)

show  $Q = t \circ_c \beta \ Y$ 
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, rule one-separator[where  $X=Y$ , where  $Y=\Omega$ ], auto)
  fix y
  assume  $y\text{-in-}Y[\text{type-rule}]: y \in_c Y$ 
  obtain x where  $x\text{-in-}X[\text{type-rule}]: x \in_c X$ 
  using  $X\text{-nonempty}$  by blast

  have  $(z \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{left-coproj one } (one \amalg one))$ 
     $\vee (z \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{right-coproj one } (one \amalg one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one})$ 
     $\vee (z \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{right-coproj one } (one \amalg one) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one})$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 coprojs-jointly-surj one-unique-element)
  then show  $Q \circ_c y = (t \circ_c \beta \ Y) \circ_c y$ 
  proof auto
    assume  $z \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{left-coproj one } (one \amalg one)$ 
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one } (one \amalg one)$ 
   $\amalg$   $(one \amalg one)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 z-eq)
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \langle t, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then have  $Q \circ_c y = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt (verit, best) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
    comp-associative2 comp-type id-right-unit2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
    then show  $Q \circ_c y = (t \circ_c \beta \ Y) \circ_c y$ 
    by (smt (verit, best) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem
    terminal-func-type true-func-type y-in-Y)
  next
    assume  $z \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{right-coproj one } (one \amalg one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one } (one \amalg one) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 z-eq)
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt right-coproj-cfunc-coprod comp-associative2)
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then have  $P \circ_c x = f$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt (verit, best) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
    comp-associative2 comp-type id-right-unit2 left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
    also have  $P \circ_c x = t$ 
    using  $P\text{-true}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt (z3) comp-associative2
    id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type x-in-X)
    then have False
    using calculation true-false-distinct by auto
    then show  $Q \circ_c y = (t \circ_c \beta \ Y) \circ_c y$ 
    by simp
  next
    assume  $z \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \text{right-coproj one } (one \amalg one) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 

```

```

    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (\langle t, t \rangle \amalg \langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one}$ 
    (one  $\amalg$  one)  $\circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 z-eq)
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (\langle f, f \rangle \amalg \langle f, t \rangle) \circ_c \text{right-coproj one one}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct comp-associative2)
    then have  $(P \times_f Q) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \langle f, t \rangle$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct)
    then have  $Q \circ_c y = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt (verit, best) cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
    comp-associative2 comp-type id-right-unit2 right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod)
    then show  $Q \circ_c y = (t \circ_c \beta_Y) \circ_c y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 id-type
    one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type)
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *IMPLIES-elim*:

```

  assumes IMPLIES-true: IMPLIES  $\circ_c (P \times_f Q) = t \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c Y}$ 
  assumes P-type[type-rule]:  $P : X \rightarrow \Omega$  and Q-type[type-rule]:  $Q : Y \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  assumes X-nonempty:  $\exists x. x \in_c X$ 
  shows  $(P = t \circ_c \beta_X) \implies ((Q = t \circ_c \beta_Y) \implies R) \implies R$ 
  using IMPLIES-implies-implies assms by blast

```

lemma *IMPLIES-elim''*:

```

  assumes IMPLIES-true: IMPLIES  $\circ_c (P \times_f Q) = t$ 
  assumes P-type[type-rule]:  $P : \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega$  and Q-type[type-rule]:  $Q : \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  shows  $(P = t) \implies ((Q = t) \implies R) \implies R$ 
proof -
  have one-nonempty:  $\exists x. x \in_c \text{one}$ 
  using one-unique-element by blast
  have  $(\text{IMPLIES} \circ_c (P \times_f Q) = t \circ_c \beta_{\text{one} \times_c \text{one}})$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis IMPLIES-true id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element
  terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type)
  then have  $(P = t \circ_c \beta_{\text{one}}) \implies ((Q = t \circ_c \beta_{\text{one}}) \implies R) \implies R$ 
  using one-nonempty by (−, etcs-erule IMPLIES-elim, auto)
  then show  $(P = t) \implies ((Q = t) \implies R) \implies R$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element termi-
  nal-func-type)
qed

```

lemma *IMPLIES-elim'*:

```

  assumes IMPLIES-true: IMPLIES  $\circ_c \langle P, Q \rangle = t$ 
  assumes P-type[type-rule]:  $P : \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega$  and Q-type[type-rule]:  $Q : \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  shows  $(P = t) \implies ((Q = t) \implies R) \implies R$ 
  using IMPLIES-true IMPLIES-true-false-is-false Q-type true-false-only-truth-values
  by force

```

lemma *implies-implies-IMPLIES*:

assumes $P\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: P : one \rightarrow \Omega$ **and** $Q\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: Q : one \rightarrow \Omega$
shows $(P = t \implies Q = t) \implies IMPLIES \circ_c \langle P, Q \rangle = t$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis IMPLIES-false-is-true-false true-false-only-truth-values*)

31.9 Other Boolean Identities

lemma *AND-OR-distributive:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
assumes $r \in_c \Omega$
shows $AND \circ_c \langle p, OR \circ_c \langle q, r \rangle \rangle = OR \circ_c \langle AND \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle, AND \circ_c \langle p, r \rangle \rangle$
by (*metis AND-commutative AND-false-right-is-false AND-true-true-is-true OR-false-false-is-false OR-true-left-is-true OR-true-right-is-true assms true-false-only-truth-values*)

lemma *OR-AND-distributive:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
assumes $r \in_c \Omega$
shows $OR \circ_c \langle p, AND \circ_c \langle q, r \rangle \rangle = AND \circ_c \langle OR \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle, OR \circ_c \langle p, r \rangle \rangle$
by (*smt (z3) AND-commutative AND-false-right-is-false AND-true-true-is-true OR-commutative OR-false-false-is-false OR-true-right-is-true assms true-false-only-truth-values*)

lemma *OR-AND-absorption:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
shows $OR \circ_c \langle p, AND \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle \rangle = p$
by (*metis AND-commutative AND-complementary AND-idempotent NOT-true-is-false OR-false-false-is-false OR-true-left-is-true assms true-false-only-truth-values*)

lemma *AND-OR-absorption:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
shows $AND \circ_c \langle p, OR \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle \rangle = p$
by (*metis AND-commutative AND-complementary AND-idempotent NOT-true-is-false OR-AND-absorption OR-commutative assms true-false-only-truth-values*)

lemma *deMorgan-Law1:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
shows $NOT \circ_c OR \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle = AND \circ_c \langle NOT \circ_c p, NOT \circ_c q \rangle$
by (*metis AND-OR-absorption AND-complementary AND-true-true-is-true NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false OR-AND-absorption OR-commutative OR-idempotent assms false-func-type true-false-only-truth-values*)

lemma *deMorgan-Law2:*

assumes $p \in_c \Omega$
assumes $q \in_c \Omega$
shows $NOT \circ_c AND \circ_c \langle p, q \rangle = OR \circ_c \langle NOT \circ_c p, NOT \circ_c q \rangle$
by (*metis AND-complementary AND-idempotent NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false*)

OR-complementary OR-false-false-is-false OR-idempotent assms true-false-only-truth-values true-func-type)

```

end
theory Quant-Logic
  imports Pred-Logic Exponential-Objects
begin

```

32 Universal Quantification

definition *FORALL* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**
FORALL *X* = (*THE* χ . *is-pullback one one* (Ω^X) Ω (β_{one}) $\mathfrak{t} ((\mathfrak{t} \circ_c \beta_X \times_c one)^\#)$
 $\chi)$

lemma *FORALL-is-pullback*:
is-pullback one one (Ω^X) Ω (β_{one}) $\mathfrak{t} ((\mathfrak{t} \circ_c \beta_X \times_c one)^\#)$ (*FORALL* *X*)
unfolding *FORALL-def*
using *characteristic-function-exists element-monomorphism*
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *rule-tac the1I2*, *auto*)

lemma *FORALL-type*[*type-rule*]:
FORALL *X* : $\Omega^X \rightarrow \Omega$
using *FORALL-is-pullback* **unfolding** *is-pullback-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *all-true-implies-FORALL-true*:
assumes *p-type*: $p : X \rightarrow \Omega$ **and** *all-p-true*: $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies p \circ_c x = \mathfrak{t}$
shows *FORALL* *X* $\circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\# = \mathfrak{t}$

proof –

have $p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one} = \mathfrak{t} \circ_c \beta_X \times_c one$
proof (*rule one-separator*[**where** $X=X \times_c one$, **where** $Y=\Omega$])
show $p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one} : X \times_c one \rightarrow \Omega$
using *p-type* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*
show $\mathfrak{t} \circ_c \beta_X \times_c one : X \times_c one \rightarrow \Omega$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*

next

fix *x*

assume *x-type*: $x \in_c X \times_c one$

have $(p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one}) \circ_c x = p \circ_c (\text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one} \circ_c x)$
using *x-type p-type comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have $\dots = \mathfrak{t}$
using *x-type all-p-true* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have $\dots = \mathfrak{t} \circ_c \beta_X \times_c one \circ_c x$
using *x-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element*)
also have $\dots = (\mathfrak{t} \circ_c \beta_X \times_c one) \circ_c x$
using *x-type comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

```

    then show  $(p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one}) \circ_c x = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one}) \circ_c x$ 
      using calculation by auto
  qed
  then have  $(p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\# = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one})^\#$ 
    by simp
  then have  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\# = t \circ_c \beta_{\text{one}}$ 
    using FORALL-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def by auto
  then show  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\# = t$ 
    using NOT-false-is-true NOT-is-pullback is-pullback-def by auto
  qed

lemma all-true-implies-FORALL-true2:
  assumes  $p\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: p : X \times_c Y \rightarrow \Omega$  and  $\text{all-}p\text{-true}: \bigwedge xy. xy \in_c X \times_c Y \implies p \circ_c xy = t$ 
  shows  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c p^\# = t \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
  proof -
    have  $p = t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y$ 
  proof (rule one-separator[where  $X=X \times_c Y$ , where  $Y=\Omega$ ])
    show  $p : X \times_c Y \rightarrow \Omega$ 
      by typecheck-cfuncs
    show  $t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y : X \times_c Y \rightarrow \Omega$ 
      by typecheck-cfuncs
  next
    fix  $xy$ 
    assume  $xy\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: xy \in_c X \times_c Y$ 
    then have  $p \circ_c xy = t$ 
      using  $\text{all-}p\text{-true}$  by blast
    then have  $p \circ_c xy = t \circ_c (\beta_X \times_c Y \circ_c xy)$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element)
    then show  $p \circ_c xy = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y) \circ_c xy$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
  qed
  then have  $p^\# = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y)^\#$ 
    by blast
  then have  $p^\# = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one} \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f \beta_Y))^\#$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique)
  then have  $p^\# = ((t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one}) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f \beta_Y))^\#$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
  then have  $p^\# = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one})^\# \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: sharp-comp)
  then have  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c p^\# = (\text{FORALL } X \circ_c (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one})^\#) \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
  then have  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c p^\# = (t \circ_c \beta_{\text{one}}) \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
    using FORALL-is-pullback unfolding is-pullback-def by auto
  then show  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c p^\# = t \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
    by (metis id-right-unit2 id-type terminal-func-unique true-func-type)
  qed

lemma all-true-implies-FORALL-true3:

```

assumes $p\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: p : X \times_c one \rightarrow \Omega$ **and** $all\text{-}p\text{-true}: \bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies p \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle = t$
shows $FORALL\ X \circ_c p^\# = t$
proof –
have $FORALL\ X \circ_c p^\# = t \circ_c \beta_{one}$
by (*etcs-rule all-true-implies-FORALL-true2, metis all-p-true cart-prod-decomp id-type one-unique-element*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis id-right-unit2 id-type terminal-func-unique true-func-type*)
qed

lemma *FORALL-true-implies-all-true:*

assumes $p\text{-type}: p : X \rightarrow \Omega$ **and** $FORALL\text{-}p\text{-true}: FORALL\ X \circ_c (p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one)^\# = t$

shows $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies p \circ_c x = t$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

fix x

assume $x\text{-type}: x \in_c X$

assume $p \circ_c x \neq t$

then have $p \circ_c x = f$

using *comp-type p-type true-false-only-truth-values x-type* **by** *blast*

then have $p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle = f$

using *id-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod x-type* **by** *auto*

then have $p\text{-left-proj-false}: p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle = f \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one} \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle$

using $x\text{-type}$ **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-right-unit2 one-unique-element*)

have $t \circ_c id\ one = FORALL\ X \circ_c (p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one)^\#$

using *FORALL-p-true id-right-unit2 true-func-type* **by** *auto*

then obtain j **where**

$j\text{-type}: j \in_c one$ **and**

$j\text{-id}: \beta_{one} \circ_c j = id\ one$ **and**

$t\text{-j-eq-p-left-proj}: (t \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one})^\# \circ_c j = (p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one)^\#$

using *FORALL-is-pullback p-type unfolding is-pullback-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)

then have $j = id\ one$

using *id-type one-unique-element* **by** *blast*

then have $(t \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one})^\# = (p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one)^\#$

using *id-right-unit2 t-j-eq-p-left-proj p-type* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $t \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one} = p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one$

using $p\text{-type}$ **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis flat-cancels-sharp*)

then have $p\text{-left-proj-true}: t \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one} \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle = p \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj\ X\ one \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle$

using $p\text{-type}$ $x\text{-type}$ *comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

have $t \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one} \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle = f \circ_c \beta_{X \times_c one} \circ_c \langle x, id\ one \rangle$

using $p\text{-left-proj-false}$ $p\text{-left-proj-true}$ **by** *auto*

then have $t \circ_c id\ one = f \circ_c id\ one$

by (*metis id-type right-cart-proj-cfunc-prod right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-unique*)

```

x-type)
  then have t = f
    using true-func-type false-func-type id-right-unit2 by auto
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed

lemma FORALL-true-implies-all-true2:
  assumes p-type[type-rule]:  $p : X \times_c Y \rightarrow \Omega$  and FORALL-p-true:  $\text{FORALL } X$ 
 $\circ_c p^\sharp = t \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t$ 
proof -
  have  $p^\sharp = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one})^\sharp \circ_c \beta_Y$ 
    using FORALL-is-pullback FORALL-p-true unfolding is-pullback-def
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis terminal-func-unique)
  then have  $p^\sharp = ((t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c \text{one}) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f \beta_Y))^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: sharp-comp)
  then have  $p^\sharp = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y)^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt (z3) comp-associative2 terminal-func-comp)
  then have  $p = t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis flat-cancels-sharp)
  then have  $\bigwedge x y. x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$ 
    by auto
  then show  $\bigwedge x y. x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t$ 
proof -
  fix x y
  assume xy-types[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X \ y \in_c Y$ 
  assume  $\bigwedge x y. x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$ 
  then have  $p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = (t \circ_c \beta_X \times_c Y) \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$ 
    using xy-types by auto
  then have  $p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t \circ_c (\beta_X \times_c Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
  then show  $p \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element)
qed
qed

lemma FORALL-true-implies-all-true3:
  assumes p-type[type-rule]:  $p : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega$  and FORALL-p-true:  $\text{FORALL } X$ 
 $\circ_c p^\sharp = t$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies p \circ_c \langle x, \text{id one} \rangle = t$ 
  using FORALL-p-true FORALL-true-implies-all-true2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-unique
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)

lemma FORALL-elim:
  assumes FORALL-p-true:  $\text{FORALL } X \circ_c p^\sharp = t$  and p-type[type-rule]:  $p : X \times_c \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega$ 
  assumes x-type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X$ 

```


shows $(p \circ_c \langle x, id \ one \rangle = t \implies P) \implies P$
using *FORALL-p-true FORALL-true-implies-all-true3 p-type x-type* **by** *blast*

lemma *FORALL-elim'*:

assumes *FORALL-p-true: FORALL $X \circ_c p^\# = t$ and $p\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: p : X \times_c one \rightarrow \Omega$*
shows $((\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies p \circ_c \langle x, id \ one \rangle = t) \implies P) \implies P$
using *FORALL-p-true FORALL-true-implies-all-true3 p-type* **by** *auto*

33 Existential Quantification

definition *EXISTS* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cfunc* **where**

EXISTS $X = NOT \circ_c FORALL \ X \circ_c NOT^{X_f}$

lemma *EXISTS-type*[*type-rule*]:

EXISTS $X : \Omega^X \rightarrow \Omega$

unfolding *EXISTS-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *EXISTS-true-implies-exists-true*:

assumes *p-type: $p : X \rightarrow \Omega$ and EXISTS-p-true: $EXISTS \ X \circ_c (p \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj} \ X \ one)^\# = t$*

shows $\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge p \circ_c x = t$

proof –

have $NOT \circ_c FORALL \ X \circ_c NOT^{X_f} \circ_c (p \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj} \ X \ one)^\# = t$

using *p-type EXISTS-p-true cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type*

unfolding *EXISTS-def*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $NOT \circ_c FORALL \ X \circ_c (NOT \circ_c p \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj} \ X \ one)^\# = t$

using *p-type transpose-of-comp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $FORALL \ X \circ_c (NOT \circ_c p \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj} \ X \ one)^\# \neq t$

using *NOT-true-is-false true-false-distinct* **by** *auto*

then have $FORALL \ X \circ_c ((NOT \circ_c p) \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj} \ X \ one)^\# \neq t$

using *p-type comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $\neg (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow (NOT \circ_c p) \circ_c x = t)$

using *NOT-type all-true-implies-FORALL-true comp-type p-type* **by** *blast*

then have $\neg (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow NOT \circ_c (p \circ_c x) = t)$

using *p-type comp-associative2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $\neg (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow p \circ_c x \neq t)$

using *NOT-false-is-true comp-type p-type true-false-only-truth-values* **by** *fast-force*

then show $\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge p \circ_c x = t$

by *blast*

qed

lemma *EXISTS-elim*:

assumes *EXISTS-p-true: $EXISTS \ X \circ_c (p \circ_c left\text{-cart}\text{-proj} \ X \ one)^\# = t$ and $p\text{-type}: p : X \rightarrow \Omega$*

shows $(\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \implies p \circ_c x = t \implies Q) \implies Q$

using *EXISTS-p-true EXISTS-true-implies-exists-true p-type* **by** *auto*

```

lemma exists-true-implies-EXISTS-true:
  assumes p-type:  $p : X \rightarrow \Omega$  and exists-p-true:  $\exists x. x \in_c X \wedge p \circ_c x = t$ 
  shows  $EXISTS X \circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp = t$ 
proof –
  have  $\neg (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow p \circ_c x \neq t)$ 
    using exists-p-true by blast
  then have  $\neg (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow NOT \circ_c (p \circ_c x) = t)$ 
    using NOT-true-is-false true-false-distinct by auto
  then have  $\neg (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow (NOT \circ_c p) \circ_c x = t)$ 
    using p-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis NOT-true-is-false cfunc-type-def comp-associative
exists-p-true true-false-distinct)
  then have  $FORALL X \circ_c ((NOT \circ_c p) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp \neq t$ 
    using FORALL-true-implies-all-true NOT-type comp-type p-type by blast
  then have  $FORALL X \circ_c (NOT \circ_c p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp \neq t$ 
    using NOT-type cfunc-type-def comp-associative left-cart-proj-type p-type by
auto
  then have  $NOT \circ_c FORALL X \circ_c (NOT \circ_c p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp = t$ 
    using assms NOT-is-false-implies-true true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs,
blast)
  then have  $NOT \circ_c FORALL X \circ_c NOT^X_f \circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp = t$ 
    using assms transpose-of-comp by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have  $(NOT \circ_c FORALL X \circ_c NOT^X_f) \circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp = t$ 
    using assms cfunc-type-def comp-associative by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then show  $EXISTS X \circ_c (p \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } X \text{ one})^\sharp = t$ 
    by (simp add: EXISTS-def)
qed

end
theory Nat-Parity
  imports Nats Quant-Logic
begin

```

34 Nth Even Number

```

definition nth-even :: cfunc where
  nth-even = (THE  $u. u : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge$ 
     $u \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{zero} \wedge$ 
     $(\text{successor} \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor}$ )

```

```

lemma nth-even-def2:
  nth-even:  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{nth-even} \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{zero} \wedge (\text{successor} \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c$ 
nth-even = nth-even  $\circ_c \text{successor}$ 
  by (unfold nth-even-def, rule theI', typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-property2,
auto)

```

```

lemma nth-even-type[type-rule]:
  nth-even:  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by (simp add: nth-even-def2)

```

lemma *nth-even-zero*:

nth-even \circ_c *zero* = *zero*

by (*simp add: nth-even-def2*)

lemma *nth-even-successor*:

nth-even \circ_c *successor* = (*successor* \circ_c *successor*) \circ_c *nth-even*

by (*simp add: nth-even-def2*)

lemma *nth-even-successor2*:

nth-even \circ_c *successor* = *successor* \circ_c *successor* \circ_c *nth-even*

using *comp-associative2 nth-even-def2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

35 Nth Odd Number

definition *nth-odd* :: *cfunc* **where**

nth-odd = (*THE* *u*. *u*: $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge$

u \circ_c *zero* = *successor* \circ_c *zero* \wedge

(*successor* \circ_c *successor*) \circ_c *u* = *u* \circ_c *successor*)

lemma *nth-odd-def2*:

nth-odd: $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge$ *nth-odd* \circ_c *zero* = *successor* \circ_c *zero* \wedge (*successor* \circ_c *successor*) \circ_c *nth-odd* = *nth-odd* \circ_c *successor*

by (*unfold nth-odd-def, rule theI', typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-property2, auto*)

lemma *nth-odd-type*[*type-rule*]:

nth-odd: $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$

by (*simp add: nth-odd-def2*)

lemma *nth-odd-zero*:

nth-odd \circ_c *zero* = *successor* \circ_c *zero*

by (*simp add: nth-odd-def2*)

lemma *nth-odd-successor*:

nth-odd \circ_c *successor* = (*successor* \circ_c *successor*) \circ_c *nth-odd*

by (*simp add: nth-odd-def2*)

lemma *nth-odd-successor2*:

nth-odd \circ_c *successor* = *successor* \circ_c *successor* \circ_c *nth-odd*

using *comp-associative2 nth-odd-def2* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

lemma *nth-odd-is-succ-nth-even*:

nth-odd = *successor* \circ_c *nth-even*

proof (*rule natural-number-object-func-unique*[**where** *X*= \mathbb{N}_c , **where** *f*=*successor* \circ_c *successor*])

show *nth-odd* : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show *successor* \circ_c *nth-even* : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$

```

    by typecheck-cfuncs
show successor  $\circ_c$  successor :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
show nth-odd  $\circ_c$  zero = (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\circ_c$  zero
proof -
  have nth-odd  $\circ_c$  zero = successor  $\circ_c$  zero
    by (simp add: nth-odd-zero)
  also have ... = (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\circ_c$  zero
    using comp-associative2 nth-even-def2 successor-type zero-type by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

show nth-odd  $\circ_c$  successor = (successor  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  nth-odd
  by (simp add: nth-odd-successor)

show (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\circ_c$  successor = (successor  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  successor
 $\circ_c$  nth-even
proof -
  have (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\circ_c$  successor = successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even  $\circ_c$  successor
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = successor  $\circ_c$  successor  $\circ_c$  successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even
    by (simp add: nth-even-successor2)
  also have ... = (successor  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed
qed

lemma succ-nth-odd-is-nth-even-succ:
  successor  $\circ_c$  nth-odd = nth-even  $\circ_c$  successor
proof (rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where  $X=\mathbb{N}_c$ , where  $f=\text{successor}$ 
 $\circ_c$  successor])
  show successor  $\circ_c$  nth-odd :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show nth-even  $\circ_c$  successor :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show successor  $\circ_c$  successor :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs

show (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-odd)  $\circ_c$  zero = (nth-even  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  zero
proof -
  have (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-odd)  $\circ_c$  zero = successor  $\circ_c$  successor  $\circ_c$  zero
    using comp-associative2 nth-odd-def2 successor-type zero-type by fastforce
  also have ... = (nth-even  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  zero
    using calculation nth-even-successor2 nth-odd-is-succ-nth-even by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto

```

qed

show $(\text{successor} \circ_c \text{nth-odd}) \circ_c \text{successor} = (\text{successor} \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c \text{successor} \circ_c \text{nth-odd}$
by $(\text{metis cfunc-type-def codomain-comp comp-associative nth-odd-def2 successor-type})$
then show $(\text{nth-even} \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c \text{successor} = (\text{successor} \circ_c \text{successor}) \circ_c \text{nth-even} \circ_c \text{successor}$
using $\text{nth-even-successor2 nth-odd-is-succ-nth-even}$ **by** auto
qed

36 Checking if a Number is Even

definition $\text{is-even} :: \text{cfunc where}$

$\text{is-even} = (\text{THE } u. u : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega \wedge u \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{t} \wedge \text{NOT} \circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor})$

lemma $\text{is-even-def2}:$

$\text{is-even} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega \wedge \text{is-even} \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{t} \wedge \text{NOT} \circ_c \text{is-even} = \text{is-even} \circ_c \text{successor}$

by $(\text{unfold is-even-def, rule theI', typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-property2, auto})$

lemma $\text{is-even-type[type-rule]}:$

$\text{is-even} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by $(\text{simp add: is-even-def2})$

lemma $\text{is-even-zero}:$

$\text{is-even} \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{t}$

by $(\text{simp add: is-even-def2})$

lemma $\text{is-even-successor}:$

$\text{is-even} \circ_c \text{successor} = \text{NOT} \circ_c \text{is-even}$

by $(\text{simp add: is-even-def2})$

37 Checking if a Number is Odd

definition $\text{is-odd} :: \text{cfunc where}$

$\text{is-odd} = (\text{THE } u. u : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega \wedge u \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{f} \wedge \text{NOT} \circ_c u = u \circ_c \text{successor})$

lemma $\text{is-odd-def2}:$

$\text{is-odd} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega \wedge \text{is-odd} \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{f} \wedge \text{NOT} \circ_c \text{is-odd} = \text{is-odd} \circ_c \text{successor}$

by $(\text{unfold is-odd-def, rule theI', typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-property2, auto})$

lemma $\text{is-odd-type[type-rule]}:$

$\text{is-odd} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by $(\text{simp add: is-odd-def2})$

lemma $\text{is-odd-zero}:$

```

is-odd  $\circ_c$  zero = f
by (simp add: is-odd-def2)

lemma is-odd-successor:
  is-odd  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd
  by (simp add: is-odd-def2)

lemma is-even-not-is-odd:
  is-even = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where f=NOT,
where X= $\Omega$ ], auto)
  show is-even  $\circ_c$  zero = (NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd)  $\circ_c$  zero
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis NOT-false-is-true cfunc-type-def comp-associative
is-even-def2 is-odd-def2)

  show is-even  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-even
    by (simp add: is-even-successor)

  show (NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd)  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def comp-associative is-odd-def2)
qed

lemma is-odd-not-is-even:
  is-odd = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-even
proof (typecheck-cfuncs, rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where f=NOT,
where X= $\Omega$ ], auto)
  show is-odd  $\circ_c$  zero = (NOT  $\circ_c$  is-even)  $\circ_c$  zero
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis NOT-true-is-false cfunc-type-def comp-associative
is-even-def2 is-odd-def2)

  show is-odd  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd
    by (simp add: is-odd-successor)

  show (NOT  $\circ_c$  is-even)  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  NOT  $\circ_c$  is-even
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def comp-associative is-even-def2)
qed

lemma not-even-and-odd:
  assumes  $m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 
  shows  $\neg(is-even \circ_c m = t \wedge is-odd \circ_c m = t)$ 
  using assms NOT-true-is-false NOT-type comp-associative2 is-even-not-is-odd
true-false-distinct by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)

lemma even-or-odd:
  assumes  $n \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 
  shows  $(is-even \circ_c n = t) \vee (is-odd \circ_c n = t)$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis NOT-false-is-true NOT-type comp-associative2 is-even-not-is-odd
true-false-only-truth-values assms)

```

lemma *is-even-nth-even-true:*

is-even \circ_c *nth-even* = $t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$

proof (rule *natural-number-object-func-unique*[where $f=id$ Ω , where $X=\Omega$])

show *is-even* \circ_c *nth-even* : $\mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$: $\mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $id_c \Omega$: $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show (*is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*) \circ_c *zero* = ($t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$) \circ_c *zero*

proof –

have (*is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*) \circ_c *zero* = *is-even* \circ_c *nth-even* \circ_c *zero*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2*)

also have ... = t

by (*simp add: is-even-zero nth-even-zero*)

also have ... = ($t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$) \circ_c *zero*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem*)

then **show** ?thesis

using *calculation* by *auto*

qed

show (*is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*) \circ_c *successor* = $id_c \Omega \circ_c$ *is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*

proof –

have (*is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*) \circ_c *successor* = *is-even* \circ_c *nth-even* \circ_c *successor*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: comp-associative2*)

also have ... = *is-even* \circ_c *successor* \circ_c *successor* \circ_c *nth-even*

by (*simp add: nth-even-successor2*)

also have ... = ((*is-even* \circ_c *successor*) \circ_c *successor*) \circ_c *nth-even*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2*)

also have ... = *is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*

using *is-even-def2 is-even-not-is-odd is-odd-def2 is-odd-not-is-even* by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)

also have ... = $id \Omega \circ_c$ *is-even* \circ_c *nth-even*

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: id-left-unit2*)

then **show** ?thesis

using *calculation* by *auto*

qed

show ($t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$) \circ_c *successor* = $id_c \Omega \circ_c t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *smt comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 terminal-func-comp*)

qed

lemma *is-odd-nth-odd-true:*

is-odd \circ_c *nth-odd* = $t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$

proof (rule *natural-number-object-func-unique*[where $f=id$ Ω , where $X=\Omega$])

show *is-odd* \circ_c *nth-odd* : $\mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$: $\mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

```

    by typecheck-cfuncs
show  $id_c \Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs

show  $(is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd) \circ_c zero = (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}) \circ_c zero$ 
proof -
  have  $(is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd) \circ_c zero = is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd \circ_c zero$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = t$ 
    using comp-associative2 is-even-not-is-odd is-even-zero is-odd-def2 nth-odd-def2
  successor-type zero-type by auto
  also have  $\dots = (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}) \circ_c zero$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis comp-associative2 is-even-nth-even-true is-even-type
  is-even-zero nth-even-def2)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

show  $(is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd) \circ_c successor = id_c \Omega \circ_c is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd$ 
proof -
  have  $(is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd) \circ_c successor = is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd \circ_c successor$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = is\_odd \circ_c successor \circ_c successor \circ_c nth\_odd$ 
    by (simp add: nth-odd-successor2)
  also have  $\dots = ((is\_odd \circ_c successor) \circ_c successor) \circ_c nth\_odd$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
  also have  $\dots = is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd$ 
    using is-even-def2 is-even-not-is-odd is-odd-def2 is-odd-not-is-even by (typecheck-cfuncs,
  auto)
  also have  $\dots = id \Omega \circ_c is\_odd \circ_c nth\_odd$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

show  $(t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}) \circ_c successor = id_c \Omega \circ_c t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 terminal-func-comp)
qed

lemma is-odd-nth-even-false:
   $is\_odd \circ_c nth\_even = f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ 
by (smt NOT-true-is-false NOT-type comp-associative2 is-even-def2 is-even-nth-even-true
  is-odd-not-is-even nth-even-def2 terminal-func-type true-func-type)

lemma is-even-nth-odd-false:
   $is\_even \circ_c nth\_odd = f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ 
by (smt NOT-true-is-false NOT-type comp-associative2 is-odd-def2 is-odd-nth-odd-true
  is-even-not-is-odd nth-odd-def2 terminal-func-type true-func-type)

```


lemma *EXISTS-zero-nth-even:*

$(\text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{nth-even} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c)^\#) \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{t}$

proof –

have $(\text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{nth-even} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c)^\#) \circ_c \text{zero}$
 $= \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{nth-even} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c)^\# \circ_c \text{zero}$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)

also have $\dots = \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{nth-even} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c (\text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c \times_f \text{zero}))^\#$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 sharp-comp*)

also have $\dots = \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{nth-even} \times_f \text{zero}))^\#$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2*)

also have $\dots = \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even} \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } \mathbb{N}_c \text{ one}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c \times_c \text{one}} \rangle)^\#$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-cross-prod-def cfunc-type-def right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-unique*)

also have $\dots = \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even} \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } \mathbb{N}_c \text{ one}, (\text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } \mathbb{N}_c \text{ one} \rangle)^\#$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 terminal-func-comp*)

also have $\dots = \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c ((\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c \text{left-cart-proj } \mathbb{N}_c \text{ one})^\#$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2*)

also have $\dots = \text{t}$

proof (*rule exists-true-implies-EXISTS-true*)

show $\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $\exists x. x \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \wedge (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c x = \text{t}$

proof (*typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac x=zero in exI, auto*)

have $(\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c \text{zero}$

$= \text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle \circ_c \text{zero}$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2*)

also have $\dots = \text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even} \circ_c \text{zero}, \text{zero} \rangle$

by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 id-right-unit2 terminal-func-comp-elem*)

also have $\dots = \text{t}$

using *eq-pred-iff-eq nth-even-zero* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, blast*)

then show $(\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \langle \text{nth-even}, \text{zero} \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{t}$

using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

then show *?thesis*

using *calculation* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *not-EXISTS-zero-nth-odd:*

$(\text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{nth-odd} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c)^\#) \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{f}$

proof –

have $(\text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{nth-odd} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c)^\#) \circ_c \text{zero} = \text{EXISTS } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c (\text{eq-pred } \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{nth-odd} \times_f \text{id}_c \mathbb{N}_c)^\# \circ_c \text{zero}$

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c (eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c (nth\text{-}odd \times_f id_c \mathbf{N}_c) \circ_c (id_c \mathbf{N}_c \times_f zero))^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 sharp-comp)
    also have ... = EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c (eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c (nth\text{-}odd \times_f zero))^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-cross-prod id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
    also have ... = EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c (eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj \mathbf{N}_c one, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c \times_c one} \rangle)^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-cross-prod-def cfunc-type-def right-cart-proj-type terminal-func-unique)
    also have ... = EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c (eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj \mathbf{N}_c one, (zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}) \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj \mathbf{N}_c one \rangle)^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 terminal-func-comp)
    also have ... = EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c ((eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj \mathbf{N}_c one)^\sharp$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
    also have ... = f
  proof -
    have  $\nexists x. x \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge (eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c x = t$ 
  proof auto
    fix x
    assume  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-}rule]: x \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 

    assume  $(eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c x = t$ 
    then have  $eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \rangle \circ_c x = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    then have  $eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd \circ_c x, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \circ_c x \rangle = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, auto simp add: cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2)
    then have  $eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd \circ_c x, zero \rangle = t$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, metis cfunc-type-def id-right-unit id-type one-unique-element)
    then have  $nth\text{-}odd \circ_c x = zero$ 
    using eq-pred-iff-eq by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, blast)
    then show False
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 comp-type nth-even-def2 nth-odd-is-succ-nth-even successor-type zero-is-not-successor)
  qed
  then have EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c ((eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj \mathbf{N}_c one)^\sharp \neq t$ 
  using EXISTS-true-implies-exists-true by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then show EXISTS  $\mathbf{N}_c \circ_c ((eq\text{-}pred \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c \langle nth\text{-}odd, zero \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \rangle) \circ_c left\text{-}cart\text{-}proj \mathbf{N}_c one)^\sharp = f$ 
  using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
  qed

```

38 Natural Number Halving

definition *halve-with-parity* :: cfunc **where**

halve-with-parity = (THE *u*. *u*: $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c \wedge$
u \circ_c zero = left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ zero \wedge
(right-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \coprod$ (left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ successor)) \circ_c *u* = *u* \circ_c successor)

lemma *halve-with-parity-def2*:

halve-with-parity : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c \wedge$
halve-with-parity \circ_c zero = left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ zero \wedge
(right-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \coprod$ (left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ successor)) \circ_c *halve-with-parity* =
halve-with-parity \circ_c successor
by (unfold *halve-with-parity-def*, rule *theI'*, typecheck-cfuncs, rule *natural-number-object-property2*,
auto)

lemma *halve-with-parity-type*[*type-rule*]:

halve-with-parity : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$
by (simp add: *halve-with-parity-def2*)

lemma *halve-with-parity-zero*:

halve-with-parity \circ_c zero = left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ zero
by (simp add: *halve-with-parity-def2*)

lemma *halve-with-parity-successor*:

(right-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \coprod$ (left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ successor)) \circ_c *halve-with-parity* =
halve-with-parity \circ_c successor
by (simp add: *halve-with-parity-def2*)

lemma *halve-with-parity-nth-even*:

halve-with-parity \circ_c *nth-even* = left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c$

proof (rule *natural-number-object-func-unique*[**where** $X=\mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$, **where** $f=(\text{left-coproj } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{ successor}) \coprod (\text{right-coproj } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{ successor})$])

show *halve-with-parity* \circ_c *nth-even* : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c$: $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show (left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ successor) \coprod (right-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ successor) : \mathbb{N}_c

$\coprod \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \coprod \mathbb{N}_c$

by typecheck-cfuncs

show (*halve-with-parity* \circ_c *nth-even*) \circ_c zero = left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ zero

proof –

have (*halve-with-parity* \circ_c *nth-even*) \circ_c zero = *halve-with-parity* \circ_c *nth-even* \circ_c zero

by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)

also have ... = *halve-with-parity* \circ_c zero

by (simp add: *nth-even-zero*)

also have ... = left-coproj $\mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c$ zero

by (simp add: *halve-with-parity-zero*)

```

then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
qed

show (halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\circ_c$  successor =
  ((left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$ 
halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even
proof -
  have (halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\circ_c$  successor = halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even
 $\circ_c$  successor
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  (successor  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (simp add: nth-even-successor)
  also have ... = ((halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (((right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$ 
halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (simp add: halve-with-parity-def2)
  also have ... = (right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))
 $\circ_c$  (halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))
 $\circ_c$  ((right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)
 $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (simp add: halve-with-parity-def2)
  also have ... = ((right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))
 $\circ_c$  (right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor)))
 $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = ((left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$ 
successor))
 $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
  qed

show left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor =
  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  left-coproj
 $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
qed

lemma halve-with-parity-nth-odd:
  halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-odd = right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
proof (rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where  $X=\mathbb{N}_c \amalg \mathbb{N}_c$ , where  $f=(\text{left-coproj } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{ successor}) \amalg (\text{right-coproj } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{ successor})$ ])
  show halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-odd :  $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \amalg \mathbb{N}_c$ 

```

```

    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show right-coproj Nc Nc : Nc → Nc  $\coprod$  Nc
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) : Nc
 $\coprod$  Nc → Nc  $\coprod$  Nc
    by typecheck-cfuncs

  show (halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd) ∘c zero = right-coproj Nc Nc ∘c zero
  proof -
    have (halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd) ∘c zero = halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd ∘c
    zero
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = halve-with-parity ∘c successor ∘c zero
      by (simp add: nth-odd-def2)
    also have ... = (halve-with-parity ∘c successor) ∘c zero
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = (right-coproj Nc Nc  $\amalg$  (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) ∘c
    halve-with-parity) ∘c zero
      by (simp add: halve-with-parity-def2)
    also have ... = right-coproj Nc Nc  $\amalg$  (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) ∘c
    halve-with-parity ∘c zero
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = right-coproj Nc Nc  $\amalg$  (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) ∘c
    left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c zero
      by (simp add: halve-with-parity-def2)
    also have ... = (right-coproj Nc Nc  $\amalg$  (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) ∘c
    left-coproj Nc Nc) ∘c zero
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = right-coproj Nc Nc ∘c zero
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    then show ?thesis
      using calculation by auto
  qed

  show (halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd) ∘c successor =
    (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor)  $\amalg$  (right-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) ∘c
    halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd
  proof -
    have (halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd) ∘c successor = halve-with-parity ∘c nth-odd
    ∘c successor
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = halve-with-parity ∘c (successor ∘c successor) ∘c nth-odd
      by (simp add: nth-odd-successor)
    also have ... = ((halve-with-parity ∘c successor) ∘c successor) ∘c nth-odd
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
    also have ... = ((right-coproj Nc Nc  $\amalg$  (left-coproj Nc Nc ∘c successor) ∘c
    halve-with-parity)
      ∘c successor) ∘c nth-odd
      by (simp add: halve-with-parity-successor)

```

also have ... = (right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \amalg (left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor)) \circ_c (halve-with-parity \circ_c successor)) \circ_c nth-odd
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = (right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \amalg (left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor)) \circ_c (right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \amalg (left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor)) \circ_c halve-with-parity)) \circ_c nth-odd
by (simp add: halve-with-parity-successor)
also have ... = (right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \amalg (left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor)) \circ_c right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \amalg (left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor)) \circ_c halve-with-parity)) \circ_c nth-odd
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = ((left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor) \amalg (right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor)) \circ_c halve-with-parity \circ_c nth-odd
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
then show ?thesis
using calculation **by** auto
qed

show right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor =
(left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor) \amalg (right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c successor) \circ_c right-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
qed

lemma nth-even-nth-odd-halve-with-parity:
(nth-even \amalg nth-odd) \circ_c halve-with-parity = id \mathbb{N}_c
proof (rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where $X=\mathbb{N}_c$, where $f=\text{successor}$])
show nth-even \amalg nth-odd \circ_c halve-with-parity : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$
by typecheck-cfuncs
show id \mathbb{N}_c : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$
by typecheck-cfuncs
show successor : $\mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$
by typecheck-cfuncs

show (nth-even \amalg nth-odd \circ_c halve-with-parity) \circ_c zero = id \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c zero
proof –
have (nth-even \amalg nth-odd \circ_c halve-with-parity) \circ_c zero = nth-even \amalg nth-odd \circ_c halve-with-parity \circ_c zero
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = nth-even \amalg nth-odd \circ_c left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c zero
by (simp add: halve-with-parity-zero)
also have ... = (nth-even \amalg nth-odd \circ_c left-coproj \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c zero
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
also have ... = nth-even \circ_c zero
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
also have ... = id \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c zero
using id-left-unit2 nth-even-def2 zero-type **by** auto
then show ?thesis

```

    using calculation by auto
qed

show (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor =
  successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
proof -
  have (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor = nth-even  $\amalg$ 
nth-odd  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  successor
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd  $\circ_c$  right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
 $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (simp add: halve-with-parity-successor)
  also have ... = (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd  $\circ_c$  right-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
 $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = nth-odd  $\amalg$  (nth-even  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  also have ... = (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\amalg$  ((successor  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  nth-even)
 $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (simp add: nth-even-successor nth-odd-is-succ-nth-even)
  also have ... = (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\amalg$  (successor  $\circ_c$  successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)
 $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even)  $\amalg$  (successor  $\circ_c$  nth-odd)  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (simp add: nth-odd-is-succ-nth-even)
  also have ... = successor  $\circ_c$  nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2)
  then show ?thesis
  using calculation by auto
qed

show id_c  $\mathbb{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor = successor  $\circ_c$  id_c  $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  using id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2 successor-type by auto
qed

lemma halve-with-parity-nth-even-nth-odd:
  halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd) = id ( $\mathbb{N}_c \amalg \mathbb{N}_c$ )
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-comp halve-with-parity-nth-even halve-with-parity-nth-odd
id-coprod)

lemma even-odd-iso:
  isomorphism (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd)
proof (unfold isomorphism-def, rule-tac x=halve-with-parity in exI, auto)
  show domain halve-with-parity = codomain (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto)
  show codomain halve-with-parity = domain (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto)
  show halve-with-parity  $\circ_c$  nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd = id_c (domain (nth-even  $\amalg$  nth-odd))

```

by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto simp add: halve-with-parity-nth-even-nth-odd)
 show $\text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd} \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity} = \text{id}_c (\text{domain halve-with-parity})$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto simp add: nth-even-nth-odd-halve-with-parity)
 qed

lemma *halve-with-parity-iso*:

isomorphism halve-with-parity

proof (unfold isomorphism-def, rule-tac $x=\text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd}$ in *exI*, auto)
 show $\text{domain} (\text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd}) = \text{codomain halve-with-parity}$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto)
 show $\text{codomain} (\text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd}) = \text{domain halve-with-parity}$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto)
 show $\text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd} \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity} = \text{id}_c (\text{domain halve-with-parity})$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto simp add: nth-even-nth-odd-halve-with-parity)
 show $\text{halve-with-parity} \circ_c \text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd} = \text{id}_c (\text{domain} (\text{nth-even} \amalg \text{nth-odd}))$
 by (typecheck-cfuncs, unfold cfunc-type-def, auto simp add: halve-with-parity-nth-even-nth-odd)
 qed

definition *halve* :: cfunc **where**

$\text{halve} = (\text{id } \mathbb{N}_c \amalg \text{id } \mathbb{N}_c) \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity}$

lemma *halve-type[type-rule]*:

$\text{halve} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$

unfolding *halve-def* **by** *typecheck-cfuncs*

lemma *halve-nth-even*:

$\text{halve} \circ_c \text{nth-even} = \text{id } \mathbb{N}_c$

unfolding *halve-def* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 *halve-with-parity-nth-even*
left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct)

lemma *halve-nth-odd*:

$\text{halve} \circ_c \text{nth-odd} = \text{id } \mathbb{N}_c$

unfolding *halve-def* **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 *halve-with-parity-nth-odd*
right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct)

lemma *is-even-def3*:

$\text{is-even} = ((t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c})) \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity}$

proof (rule natural-number-object-func-unique[**where** $X=\Omega$, **where** $f=\text{NOT}$])

show $\text{is-even} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $(t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity} : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $\text{NOT} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$

by *typecheck-cfuncs*

show $\text{is-even} \circ_c \text{zero} = ((t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c})) \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity} \circ_c \text{zero}$

proof –

have $((t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c})) \circ_c \text{halve-with-parity} \circ_c \text{zero}$

$= (t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \amalg (f \circ_c \beta_{\mathbb{N}_c}) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } \mathbb{N}_c \mathbb{N}_c \circ_c \text{zero}$


```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative halve-with-parity-zero)
    also have ... = (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  zero
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
    also have ... = t
      using comp-associative2 is-even-def2 is-even-nth-even-true nth-even-def2 by
    (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
    also have ... = is-even  $\circ_c$  zero
      by (simp add: is-even-zero)
    then show ?thesis
      using calculation by auto
  qed

show is-even  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-even
  by (simp add: is-even-successor)

show ((t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor =
  NOT  $\circ_c$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
proof -
  have ((t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor
    = (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\circ_c$ 
  successor))  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 halve-with-parity-successor)
  also have ... =
    ((t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  right-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$ )
       $\amalg$ 
    ((t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  left-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))
       $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2)
  also have ... = ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod
  right-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  also have ... = ((NOT  $\circ_c$  t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (NOT  $\circ_c$  f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$ 
  halve-with-parity
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false comp-associative2)
  also have ... = NOT  $\circ_c$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coprod-comp comp-associative2 terminal-func-unique)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
  qed
qed

lemma is-odd-def3:
  is-odd = ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ ))  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
proof (rule natural-number-object-func-unique[where X= $\Omega$ , where f=NOT])
  show is-odd :  $\mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity :  $\mathbf{N}_c \rightarrow \Omega$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  show NOT :  $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ 

```

```

by typecheck-cfuncs

show is-odd  $\circ_c$  zero = ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  zero
proof -
  have ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  zero
    = (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  left-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  zero
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative halve-with-parity-zero)
  also have ... = (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  zero
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct)
  also have ... = f
  using comp-associative2 is-odd-nth-even-false is-odd-type is-odd-zero nth-even-def2
by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  also have ... = is-odd  $\circ_c$  zero
    by (simp add: is-odd-def2)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed

show is-odd  $\circ_c$  successor = NOT  $\circ_c$  is-odd
by (simp add: is-odd-successor)

show ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor =
  NOT  $\circ_c$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
proof -
  have ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity)  $\circ_c$  successor
    = (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  (right-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\amalg$  (left-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\circ_c$ 
    successor))  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 halve-with-parity-successor)
  also have ... =
    ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  right-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$ )
     $\amalg$ 
    ((f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  left-coproj  $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\mathbf{N}_c$   $\circ_c$  successor))
     $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coproduct-comp comp-associative2)
  also have ... = ((t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  successor)  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 left-coproj-cfunc-coproduct
    right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct)
  also have ... = ((NOT  $\circ_c$  f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (NOT  $\circ_c$  t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$   $\circ_c$  successor))  $\circ_c$ 
    halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: NOT-false-is-true NOT-true-is-false comp-associative2)
  also have ... = NOT  $\circ_c$  (f  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\amalg$  (t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbf{N}_c}$ )  $\circ_c$  halve-with-parity
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-coproduct-comp comp-associative2 terminal-func-unique)
  then show ?thesis
    using calculation by auto
qed
qed

lemma nth-even-or-nth-odd:
  assumes  $n \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 

```

```

shows ( $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge nth\text{-even} \circ_c m = n$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge nth\text{-odd} \circ_c m$ 
 $= n$ )
proof –
  have ( $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge halve\text{-with-parity} \circ_c n = left\text{-coproj } \mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c m$ )
     $\vee$  ( $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge halve\text{-with-parity} \circ_c n = right\text{-coproj } \mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c m$ )
    by (rule coprojs-jointly-surj, insert assms, typecheck-cfuncs)
  then show ?thesis
proof auto
  fix m
  assume m-type[type-rule]:  $m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 
  assume halve-with-parity  $\circ_c n = left\text{-coproj } \mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c m$ 
  then have ( $(nth\text{-even} \amalg nth\text{-odd}) \circ_c halve\text{-with-parity} \circ_c n = ((nth\text{-even} \amalg$ 
 $nth\text{-odd}) \circ_c left\text{-coproj } \mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c) \circ_c m$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt assms comp-associative2)
  then have  $n = nth\text{-even} \circ_c m$ 
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 halve-with-parity-nth-even
 $id\text{-left-unit2 } nth\text{-even-}nth\text{-odd-halve-with-parity}$ )
  then show  $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge nth\text{-even} \circ_c m = n$ 
    using m-type by auto
next
  fix m
  assume m-type[type-rule]:  $m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 
  assume halve-with-parity  $\circ_c n = right\text{-coproj } \mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c \circ_c m$ 
  then have ( $(nth\text{-even} \amalg nth\text{-odd}) \circ_c halve\text{-with-parity} \circ_c n = ((nth\text{-even} \amalg$ 
 $nth\text{-odd}) \circ_c right\text{-coproj } \mathbf{N}_c \mathbf{N}_c) \circ_c m$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt assms comp-associative2)
  then have  $n = nth\text{-odd} \circ_c m$ 
  using assms by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 halve-with-parity-nth-odd
 $id\text{-left-unit2 } nth\text{-even-}nth\text{-odd-halve-with-parity}$ )
  then show  $\forall m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \longrightarrow nth\text{-odd} \circ_c m \neq n \implies \exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge nth\text{-even}$ 
 $\circ_c m = n$ 
    using m-type by auto
qed
qed

lemma is-even-exists-nth-even:
  assumes is-even  $\circ_c n = t$  and n-type[type-rule]:  $n \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$ 
  shows  $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge n = nth\text{-even} \circ_c m$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\nexists m. m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c \wedge n = nth\text{-even} \circ_c m$ 
  then obtain m where m-type[type-rule]:  $m \in_c \mathbf{N}_c$  and n-def:  $n = nth\text{-odd} \circ_c$ 
 $m$ 
    using n-type nth-even-or-nth-odd by blast
  then have is-even  $\circ_c nth\text{-odd} \circ_c m = t$ 
    using assms(1) by blast
  then have is-odd  $\circ_c nth\text{-odd} \circ_c m = f$ 
    using NOT-true-is-false NOT-type comp-associative2 is-even-def2 is-odd-not-is-even
 $n\text{-def } n\text{-type}$  by fastforce
  then have  $t \circ_c \beta_{\mathbf{N}_c} \circ_c m = f$ 

```

```

    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 is-odd-nth-odd-true terminal-func-type true-func-type)
  then have t = f
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element)
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed

lemma is-odd-exists-nth-odd:
  assumes is-odd  $\circ_c$  n = t and n-type[type-rule]: n  $\in_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$ 
  shows  $\exists m. m \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \wedge n = \text{nth-odd } \circ_c m$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\nexists m. m \in_c \mathbb{N}_c \wedge n = \text{nth-odd } \circ_c m$ 
  then obtain m where m-type[type-rule]: m  $\in_c$   $\mathbb{N}_c$  and n-def: n = nth-even  $\circ_c$  m
  using n-type nth-even-or-nth-odd by blast
  then have is-odd  $\circ_c$  nth-even  $\circ_c$  m = t
    using assms(1) by blast
  then have is-even  $\circ_c$  nth-even  $\circ_c$  m = f
    using NOT-true-is-false NOT-type comp-associative2 is-even-not-is-odd is-odd-def2 n-def n-type by fastforce
  then have t  $\circ_c$   $\beta_{\mathbb{N}_c} \circ_c$  m = f
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, smt comp-associative2 is-even-nth-even-true terminal-func-type true-func-type)
  then have t = f
    by (typecheck-cfuncs-prems, metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element)
  then show False
    using true-false-distinct by auto
qed

end
theory Cardinality
  imports Exponential-Objects
begin

```

39 Cardinality and Finiteness

The definitions below correspond to Definition 2.6.1 in Halvorson.

```

definition is-finite :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-finite(X)  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall m. (m : X \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism}(m)) \longrightarrow \text{isomorphism}(m))$ 

```

```

definition is-infinite :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-infinite(X)  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists m. (m : X \rightarrow X \wedge \text{monomorphism}(m) \wedge \neg \text{surjective}(m)))$ 

```

```

lemma either-finite-or-infinite:
  is-finite(X)  $\vee$  is-infinite(X)
using epi-mon-is-iso is-finite-def is-infinite-def surjective-is-epimorphism by blast

```

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.6.2 in Halvorson.

definition *is-smaller-than* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \leq_c 50) **where**
 $X \leq_c Y \iff (\exists m. m : X \rightarrow Y \wedge \text{monomorphism}(m))$

The purpose of the following lemma is simply to unify the two notations used in the book.

lemma *subobject-iff-smaller-than*:
 $(X \leq_c Y) = (\exists m. (X, m) \subseteq_c Y)$
using *is-smaller-than-def subobject-of-def2* **by** *auto*

lemma *set-card-transitive*:
assumes $A \leq_c B$
assumes $B \leq_c C$
shows $A \leq_c C$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, metis (full-types) assms cfunc-type-def comp-type composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic is-smaller-than-def*)

lemma *all-emptysets-are-finite*:
assumes *is-empty* X
shows *is-finite*(X)
by (*metis assms epi-mon-is-iso epimorphism-def3 is-finite-def is-empty-def one-separator*)

lemma *emptyset-is-smallest-set*:
 $\emptyset \leq_c X$
using *empty-subset is-smaller-than-def subobject-of-def2* **by** *auto*

lemma *truth-set-is-finite*:
is-finite Ω
unfolding *is-finite-def*
proof(*auto*)
fix m
assume $m\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: m : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$
assume $m\text{-mono}: \text{monomorphism}(m)$
have *surjective*(m)
unfolding *surjective-def*
proof(*auto*)
fix y
assume $y \in_c \text{codomain } m$
then have $y \in_c \Omega$
using *cfunc-type-def m-type* **by** *force*
show $\exists x. x \in_c \text{domain } m \wedge m \circ_c x = y$
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) $\langle y \in_c \Omega \rangle$ cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp injective-def m-mono m-type monomorphism-imp-injective true-false-only-truth-values*)
qed
then show *isomorphism* m
by (*simp add: epi-mon-is-iso m-mono surjective-is-epimorphism*)
qed

lemma *smaller-than-finite-is-finite*:
assumes $X \leq_c Y$ *is-finite* Y

```

shows is-finite X
unfolding is-finite-def
proof(auto)
  fix x
  assume x-type:  $x : X \rightarrow X$ 
  assume x-mono: monomorphism x

  obtain m where m-def:  $m : X \rightarrow Y \wedge$  monomorphism m
    using assms(1) is-smaller-than-def by blast
  obtain  $\varphi$  where  $\varphi$ -def:  $\varphi = \text{into-super } m \circ_c (x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))) \circ_c \text{try-cast}$ 
    m
    by auto

  have  $\varphi$ -type:  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y$ 
    unfolding  $\varphi$ -def
    using x-type m-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)

  have injective( $x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))$ )
    using cfunc-bowtieprod-inj id-isomorphism id-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic monomor-
    phism-imp-injective x-mono x-type by blast
  then have mono1: monomorphism( $x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))$ )
    using injective-imp-monomorphism by auto
  have mono2: monomorphism(try-cast m)
    using m-def try-cast-mono by blast
  have mono3: monomorphism( $(x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m$ )
    using cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic m-def mono1 mono2
    x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have  $\varphi$ -mono: monomorphism( $\varphi$ )
    unfolding  $\varphi$ -def
    using cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic
    into-super-mono m-def mono3 x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  then have isomorphism( $\varphi$ )
    using  $\varphi$ -def  $\varphi$ -type assms(2) is-finite-def by blast
  have iso-x-bowtie-id: isomorphism( $x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))$ )
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt <isomorphism  $\varphi$ >  $\varphi$ -def comp-associative2 id-left-unit2
    into-super-iso into-super-try-cast into-super-type isomorphism-sandwich m-def try-cast-type
    x-type)
  have left-coproj  $X (Y \setminus (X, m)) \circ_c x = (x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X$ 
    ( $Y \setminus (X, m)$ )
    using x-type
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-bowtie-prod)
  have epimorphism( $x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))$ )
    using iso-imp-epi-and-monic iso-x-bowtie-id by blast
  then have surjective( $x \bowtie_f \text{id}(Y \setminus (X, m))$ )
    using epi-is-surj x-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have epimorphism(x)
    using x-type cfunc-bowtieprod-surj-converse id-type surjective-is-epimorphism
    by blast
  then show isomorphism(x)

```

by (*simp add: epi-mon-is-iso x-mono*)
qed

lemma *larger-than-infinite-is-infinite*:
assumes $X \leq_c Y$ *is-infinite*(X)
shows *is-infinite*(Y)
using *assms either-finite-or-infinite epi-is-surj is-finite-def is-infinite-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic smaller-than-finite-is-finite* **by** blast

lemma *iso-pres-finite*:
assumes $X \cong Y$
assumes *is-finite*(X)
shows *is-finite*(Y)
using *assms is-isomorphic-def is-smaller-than-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphic-is-symmetric smaller-than-finite-is-finite* **by** blast

lemma *not-finite-and-infinite*:
 $\neg(\text{is-finite}(X) \wedge \text{is-infinite}(X))$
using *epi-is-surj is-finite-def is-infinite-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic* **by** blast

lemma *iso-pres-infinite*:
assumes $X \cong Y$
assumes *is-infinite*(X)
shows *is-infinite*(Y)
using *assms either-finite-or-infinite not-finite-and-infinite iso-pres-finite isomorphic-is-symmetric* **by** blast

lemma *size-2-sets*:
 $(X \cong \Omega) = (\exists x1. (\exists x2. ((x1 \in_c X) \wedge (x2 \in_c X) \wedge (x1 \neq x2) \wedge (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow (x = x1) \vee (x = x2))))))$

proof

assume $X \cong \Omega$
then obtain φ where $\varphi\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: \varphi : X \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\varphi\text{-iso}$: *isomorphism* φ
using *is-isomorphic-def* **by** blast
obtain $x1\ x2$ where $x1\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: x1 \in_c X$ and $x1\text{-def}$: $\varphi \circ_c x1 = \mathbf{t}$ and
 $x2\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: x2 \in_c X$ and $x2\text{-def}$: $\varphi \circ_c x2 = \mathbf{f}$ and
distinct: $x1 \neq x2$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) $\varphi\text{-iso}$ cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type id-left-unit2 isomorphism-def true-false-distinct*)
then show $\exists x1\ x2. x1 \in_c X \wedge x2 \in_c X \wedge x1 \neq x2 \wedge (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow x = x1 \vee x = x2)$
by (*smt (verit, best) $\varphi\text{-iso}$ $\varphi\text{-type}$ cfunc-type-def comp-associative2 comp-type id-left-unit2 isomorphism-def true-false-only-truth-values*)
next
assume *exactly-two*: $\exists x1\ x2. x1 \in_c X \wedge x2 \in_c X \wedge x1 \neq x2 \wedge (\forall x. x \in_c X \longrightarrow x = x1 \vee x = x2)$
then obtain $x1\ x2$ where $x1\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: x1 \in_c X$ and $x2\text{-type}[\text{type-rule}]: x2 \in_c X$ and *distinct*: $x1 \neq x2$
by *force*

```

have iso-type: ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool) :  $\Omega \rightarrow X$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
have surj: surjective ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, best) exactly-two cfunc-type-def coprod-case-bool-false
    coprod-case-bool-true distinct false-func-type surjective-def true-func-type)
have inj: injective ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool)
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) distinct case-bool-true-and-false
comp-associative2
  coprod-case-bool-false injective-def2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod true-false-only-truth-values)
then have isomorphism ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool)
  by (meson epi-mon-is-iso injective-imp-monomorphism singletonI surj surjec-
tive-is-epimorphism)
then show  $X \cong \Omega$ 
  using is-isomorphic-def iso-type isomorphic-is-symmetric by blast
qed

```

```

lemma size-2plus-sets:
  ( $\Omega \leq_c X$ ) = ( $\exists x1. (\exists x2. ((x1 \in_c X) \wedge (x2 \in_c X) \wedge (x1 \neq x2)))$ )
proof(auto)
  show  $\Omega \leq_c X \implies \exists x1. x1 \in_c X \wedge (\exists x2. x2 \in_c X \wedge x1 \neq x2)$ 
    by (meson comp-type false-func-type is-smaller-than-def monomorphism-def3
true-false-distinct true-func-type)
next
  fix x1 x2
  assume x1-type[type-rule]:  $x1 \in_c X$ 
  assume x2-type[type-rule]:  $x2 \in_c X$ 
  assume distinct:  $x1 \neq x2$ 
  have mono-type: ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool) :  $\Omega \rightarrow X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  have inj: injective ((x1  $\amalg$  x2)  $\circ_c$  case-bool)
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) distinct case-bool-true-and-false
comp-associative2
    coprod-case-bool-false injective-def2 left-coproj-cfunc-coprod true-false-only-truth-values)

  then show  $\Omega \leq_c X$ 
    using injective-imp-monomorphism is-smaller-than-def mono-type by blast
qed

```

```

lemma not-init-not-term:
  ( $\neg(\text{initial-object } X) \wedge \neg(\text{terminal-object } X)$ ) = ( $\exists x1. (\exists x2. ((x1 \in_c X) \wedge (x2 \in_c X) \wedge (x1 \neq x2)))$ )
  by (metis is-empty-def initial-iso-empty iso-empty-initial iso-to1-is-term no-el-iff-iso-empty
single-elem-iso-one terminal-object-def)

```

```

lemma sets-size-3-plus:
  ( $\neg(\text{initial-object } X) \wedge \neg(\text{terminal-object } X) \wedge \neg(X \cong \Omega)$ ) = ( $\exists x1. (\exists x2. \exists x3. ((x1 \in_c X) \wedge (x2 \in_c X) \wedge (x3 \in_c X) \wedge (x1 \neq x2) \wedge (x2 \neq x3) \wedge (x1 \neq x3)))$ )
  by (metis not-init-not-term size-2-sets)

```


The next two lemmas below correspond to Proposition 2.6.3 in Halvorson.

lemma *smaller-than-coproduct1:*

$X \leq_c X \amalg Y$

using *is-smaller-than-def left-coproj-are-monomorphisms left-proj-type* **by** *blast*

lemma *smaller-than-coproduct2:*

$X \leq_c Y \amalg X$

using *is-smaller-than-def right-coproj-are-monomorphisms right-proj-type* **by** *blast*

The next two lemmas below correspond to Proposition 2.6.4 in Halvorson.

lemma *smaller-than-product1:*

assumes *nonempty Y*

shows $X \leq_c X \times_c Y$

unfolding *is-smaller-than-def*

proof –

obtain *y* **where** *y-type: y ∈_c Y*

using *assms nonempty-def* **by** *blast*

have *map-type: ⟨id(X), y ∘_c β_X⟩ : X → X ×_c Y*

using *y-type cfunc-prod-type cfunc-type-def codomain-comp domain-comp id-type terminal-func-type* **by** *auto*

have *mono: monomorphism(⟨id X, y ∘_c β_X⟩)*

using *map-type*

proof (*unfold monomorphism-def3, auto*)

fix *g h A*

assume *g-h-types: g : A → X h : A → X*

assume $\langle id_c X, y \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c g = \langle id_c X, y \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c h$

then have $\langle id_c X \circ_c g, y \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c g \rangle = \langle id_c X \circ_c h, y \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c h \rangle$

using *y-type g-h-types* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 comp-type*)

then have $\langle g, y \circ_c \beta_A \rangle = \langle h, y \circ_c \beta_A \rangle$

using *y-type g-h-types id-left-unit2 terminal-func-comp* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then show $g = h$

using *g-h-types y-type*

by (*metis (full-types) comp-type left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod terminal-func-type*)

qed

show $\exists m. m : X \rightarrow X \times_c Y \wedge \text{monomorphism } m$

using *mono map-type* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *smaller-than-product2:*

assumes *nonempty Y*

shows $X \leq_c Y \times_c X$

unfolding *is-smaller-than-def*

proof –

have $X \leq_c X \times_c Y$
by (*simp add: assms smaller-than-product1*)
then obtain m **where** $m\text{-def}: m : X \rightarrow X \times_c Y \wedge \text{monomorphism } m$
using *is-smaller-than-def* **by** *blast*
obtain i **where** $i : (X \times_c Y) \rightarrow (Y \times_c X) \wedge \text{isomorphism } i$
using *is-isomorphic-def product-commutes* **by** *blast*
then have $i \circ_c m : X \rightarrow (Y \times_c X) \wedge \text{monomorphism}(i \circ_c m)$
using *cfunc-type-def comp-type composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic iso-imp-epi-and-monic*
 $m\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
then show $\exists m. m : X \rightarrow Y \times_c X \wedge \text{monomorphism } m$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *coprod-leg-product:*

assumes $X\text{-not-init}: \neg(\text{initial-object}(X))$
assumes $Y\text{-not-init}: \neg(\text{initial-object}(Y))$
assumes $X\text{-not-term}: \neg(\text{terminal-object}(X))$
assumes $Y\text{-not-term}: \neg(\text{terminal-object}(Y))$
shows $(X \coprod Y) \leq_c (X \times_c Y)$
proof –
obtain $x1\ x2$ **where** $x1x2\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}]: (x1 \in_c X) (x2 \in_c X) (x1 \neq x2)$
using *is-empty-def X-not-init X-not-term iso-empty-initial iso-to1-is-term no-el-iff-iso-empty*
single-elem-iso-one **by** *blast*
obtain $y1\ y2$ **where** $y1y2\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}]: (y1 \in_c Y) (y2 \in_c Y) (y1 \neq y2)$
using *is-empty-def Y-not-init Y-not-term iso-empty-initial iso-to1-is-term no-el-iff-iso-empty*
single-elem-iso-one **by** *blast*
then have $y1\text{-mono}[type\text{-rule}]: \text{monomorphism}(y1)$
using *element-monomorphism* **by** *blast*
obtain m **where** $m\text{-def}: m = \langle id(X), y1 \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \amalg ((\langle x2, y2 \rangle \amalg \langle x1 \circ_c \beta_Y \setminus (one, y1), y1^c \rangle) \circ_c \text{try-cast } y1)$
by *simp*
have $type1: \langle id(X), y1 \circ_c \beta_X \rangle : X \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)$
by (*meson cfunc-prod-type comp-type id-type terminal-func-type y1y2-def*)
have $trycast\text{-}y1\text{-type}: \text{try-cast } y1 : Y \rightarrow one \amalg (Y \setminus (one, y1))$
by (*meson element-monomorphism try-cast-type y1y2-def*)
have $y1'\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y1^c : Y \setminus (one, y1) \rightarrow Y$
using *complement-morphism-type one-terminal-object terminal-el-monomorphism*
 $y1y2\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
have $type4: \langle x1 \circ_c \beta_Y \setminus (one, y1), y1^c \rangle : Y \setminus (one, y1) \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)$
using *cfunc-prod-type comp-type terminal-func-type x1x2-def y1'\text{-type}* **by** *blast*
have $type5: \langle x2, y2 \rangle \in_c (X \times_c Y)$
by (*simp add: cfunc-prod-type x1x2-def y1y2-def*)
then have $type6: \langle x2, y2 \rangle \amalg \langle x1 \circ_c \beta_Y \setminus (one, y1), y1^c \rangle : (one \amalg (Y \setminus (one, y1)))$
 $\rightarrow (X \times_c Y)$
using *cfunc-coprod-type type4* **by** *blast*
then have $type7: ((\langle x2, y2 \rangle \amalg \langle x1 \circ_c \beta_Y \setminus (one, y1), y1^c \rangle) \circ_c \text{try-cast } y1) : Y$
 $\rightarrow (X \times_c Y)$
using *comp-type trycast-y1-type* **by** *blast*
then have $m\text{-type}: m : X \amalg Y \rightarrow (X \times_c Y)$

```

by (simp add: cfunc-coprod-type m-def type1)

have relative:  $\bigwedge y. y \in_c Y \implies (y \in_Y (one, y1)) = (y = y1)$ 
proof(auto)
  fix y
  assume y-type:  $y \in_c Y$ 
  show  $y \in_Y (one, y1) \implies y = y1$ 
  by (metis cfunc-type-def factors-through-def id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element
relative-member-def2)
next
  show  $y1 \in_c Y \implies y1 \in_Y (one, y1)$ 
  by (metis cfunc-type-def factors-through-def id-right-unit2 id-type relative-member-def2
y1-mono)
qed

```

```

have injective(m)
proof(unfold injective-def ,auto)
  fix a b
  assume  $a \in_c \text{domain } m \ b \in_c \text{domain } m$ 
  then have a-type[type-rule]:  $a \in_c X \coprod Y$  and b-type[type-rule]:  $b \in_c X \coprod Y$ 
  using m-type unfolding cfunc-type-def by auto
  assume eqs:  $m \circ_c a = m \circ_c b$ 

```

```

have m-leftproj-l-equals:  $\bigwedge l. l \in_c X \implies m \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c l = \langle l, y1 \rangle$ 
proof-
  fix l
  assume l-type:  $l \in_c X$ 
  have  $m \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c l = (\langle id(X), y1 \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \amalg ((\langle x2, y2 \rangle \amalg \langle x1$ 
 $\circ_c \beta_Y \setminus (one, y1), y1^c) \circ_c \text{try-cast } y1)) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c l$ 
  by (simp add: m-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\langle id(X), y1 \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \amalg ((\langle x2, y2 \rangle \amalg \langle x1 \circ_c \beta_Y \setminus (one, y1),$ 
 $y1^c) \circ_c \text{try-cast } y1) \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c l$ 
  using comp-associative2 l-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have  $\dots = \langle id(X), y1 \circ_c \beta_X \rangle \circ_c l$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: left-coproj-cfunc-coprod)
  also have  $\dots = \langle id(X) \circ_c l, (y1 \circ_c \beta_X) \circ_c l \rangle$ 
  using l-type cfunc-prod-comp by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have  $\dots = \langle l, y1 \circ_c \beta_X \circ_c l \rangle$ 
  using l-type comp-associative2 id-left-unit2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
  also have  $\dots = \langle l, y1 \rangle$ 
  using l-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-right-unit2 id-type one-unique-element)
  then show  $m \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c l = \langle l, y1 \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: calculation)
qed

```

```

have m-rightproj-y1-equals:  $m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y1 = \langle x2, y2 \rangle$ 
proof -
  have  $m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y1 = (m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y) \circ_c y1$ 

```

```

    using comp-associative2 m-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c try-cast y1)
∘c y1
    using m-def right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type1 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c try-cast y1)
∘c y1
    using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c left-coproj
one (Y \ (one,y1)))
    using try-cast-m-m y1-mono y1y2-def(1) by auto
    also have ... = ⟨x2, y2⟩
    using left-coproj-cfunc-coprod type4 type5 by blast
    then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
qed

have m-rightproj-not-y1-equals: ∧ r. r ∈c Y ∧ r ≠ y1 ⇒
∘c k ∧
  ∃ k. k ∈c Y \ (one,y1) ∧ try-cast y1 ∘c r = right-coproj one (Y \ (one,y1))
  m ∘c right-coproj X Y ∘c r = ⟨x1, y1c ∘c k⟩
  proof(auto)
    fix r
    assume r-type: r ∈c Y
    assume r-not-y1: r ≠ y1
    then obtain k where k-def: k ∈c Y \ (one,y1) ∧ try-cast y1 ∘c r =
right-coproj one (Y \ (one,y1)) ∘c k
    using r-type relative try-cast-not-in-X y1-mono y1y2-def(1) by blast
    have m-rightproj-l-equals: m ∘c right-coproj X Y ∘c r = ⟨x1, y1c ∘c k⟩

  proof –
    have m ∘c right-coproj X Y ∘c r = (m ∘c right-coproj X Y) ∘c r
    using r-type comp-associative2 m-type by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c try-cast y1)
∘c r
    using m-def right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type1 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c (try-cast y1
∘c r))
    using r-type comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have ... = ((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c (right-coproj
one (Y \ (one,y1)) ∘c k))
    using k-def by auto
    also have ... = (((⟨x2, y2⟩ Π ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c) ∘c right-coproj
one (Y \ (one,y1)))) ∘c k
    using comp-associative2 k-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    also have ... = ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1), y1c⟩ ∘c k
    using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod type4 type5 by auto
    also have ... = ⟨x1 ∘c βY \ (one,y1) ∘c k, y1c ∘c k⟩
    using cfunc-prod-comp comp-associative2 k-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
auto)

```

```

    also have ... =  $\langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
    by (metis id-right-unit2 id-type k-def one-unique-element terminal-func-comp terminal-func-type x1x2-def(1))
    then show ?thesis using calculation by auto
  qed
  then show  $\exists k. k \in_c Y \setminus (one, y1) \wedge$ 
    try-cast  $y1 \circ_c r = right-coproj\ one\ (Y \setminus (one, y1)) \circ_c k \wedge$ 
     $m \circ_c right-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c r = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
    using k-def by blast
  qed

show a = b
proof(cases  $\exists x. a = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c x \wedge x \in_c X$ )
  assume  $\exists x. a = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c x \wedge x \in_c X$ 
  then obtain x where x-def:  $a = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c x \wedge x \in_c X$ 
  by auto
  then have m-proj-a:  $m \circ_c left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c x = \langle x, y1 \rangle$ 
  using m-leftproj-l-equals by (simp add: x-def)
  show a = b
proof(cases  $\exists c. b = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ )
  assume  $\exists c. b = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
  then obtain c where c-def:  $b = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
  by auto
  then have  $m \circ_c left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c = \langle c, y1 \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: m-leftproj-l-equals)
  then show ?thesis
    using c-def element-pair-eq eqs m-proj-a x-def y1y2-def(1) by auto
next
  assume  $\nexists c. b = left-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
  then obtain c where c-def:  $b = right-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c Y$ 
  using b-type coprojs-jointly-surj by blast
  show a = b
proof(cases  $c = y1$ )
  assume  $c = y1$ 
  have m-rightproj-l-equals:  $m \circ_c right-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c = \langle x2, y2 \rangle$ 
  by (simp add:  $\langle c = y1 \rangle$  m-rightproj-y1-equals)
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\langle c = y1 \rangle$  c-def cart-prod-eq2 eqs m-proj-a x1x2-def(2) x-def
    y1y2-def(2) y1y2-def(3) by auto
next
  assume  $c \neq y1$ 
  then obtain k where k-def:  $m \circ_c right-coproj\ X\ Y \circ_c c = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
  using c-def m-rightproj-not-y1-equals by blast
  then have  $\langle x, y1 \rangle = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
  using c-def eqs m-proj-a x-def by auto
  then have  $(x = x1) \wedge (y1 = y1^c \circ_c k)$ 
  by (smt  $\langle c \neq y1 \rangle$  c-def cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type
  element-pair-eq k-def m-rightproj-not-y1-equals monomorphism-def3 try-cast-m-m')

```

```

try-cast-mono trycast-y1-type x1x2-def(1) x-def y1'-type y1-mono y1y2-def(1))
  then have False
    by (smt ⟨c ≠ y1⟩ c-def comp-type complement-disjoint element-pair-eq
id-right-unit2 id-type k-def m-rightproj-not-y1-equals x-def y1'-type y1-mono y1y2-def(1))
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
next
assume  $\nexists x. a = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c x \wedge x \in_c X$ 
then obtain y where y-def:  $a = \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y \wedge y \in_c Y$ 
  using a-type coprojs-jointly-surj by blast

show a = b
proof(cases y = y1)
  assume y = y1
  then have m-rightproj-y-equals:  $m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c y = \langle x2, y2 \rangle$ 
    using m-rightproj-y1-equals by blast
  then have  $m \circ_c a = \langle x2, y2 \rangle$ 
    using y-def by blast
  show a = b
  proof(cases  $\exists c. b = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ )
    assume  $\exists c. b = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
    then obtain c where c-def:  $b = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
      by blast
    then show a = b
      using cart-prod-eq2 eqs m-leftproj-l-equals m-rightproj-y-equals x1x2-def(2)
y1y2-def y-def by auto
  next
    assume  $\nexists c. b = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
    then obtain c where c-def:  $b = \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c Y$ 
      using b-type coprojs-jointly-surj by blast
    show a = b
    proof(cases c = y)
      assume c = y
      show a = b
        by (simp add: ⟨c = y⟩ c-def y-def)
    next
      assume c ≠ y
      then have c ≠ y1
        by (simp add: ⟨y = y1⟩)
      then obtain k where k-def:  $k \in_c Y \setminus (\text{one}, y1) \wedge \text{try-cast } y1 \circ_c c =$ 
right-coproj one (Y \ (one, y1))  $\circ_c k \wedge$ 
 $m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
        using c-def m-rightproj-not-y1-equals by blast
      then have  $\langle x2, y2 \rangle = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
        using ⟨m  $\circ_c a = \langle x2, y2 \rangle$ ⟩ c-def eqs by auto
      then have False
        using comp-type element-pair-eq k-def x1x2-def y1'-type y1y2-def(2)
by auto

```

```

    then show ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
qed
next
  assume  $y \neq y1$ 
  then obtain  $k$  where  $k$ -def:  $k \in_c Y \setminus (one, y1) \wedge \text{try-cast } y1 \circ_c y =$ 
 $\text{right-coproj one } (Y \setminus (one, y1)) \circ_c k \wedge$ 
 $m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
  using  $m$ -rightproj-not- $y1$ -equals  $y$ -def by blast
  then have  $m \circ_c a = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
  using  $y$ -def by blast
  show  $a = b$ 
  proof(cases  $\exists c. b = \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c Y$ )
    assume  $\exists c. b = \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c Y$ 
    then obtain  $c$  where  $c$ -def:  $b = \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c Y$ 
    by blast
    show  $a = b$ 
    proof(cases  $c = y1$ )
      assume  $c = y1$ 
      show  $a = b$ 
    proof -
      obtain  $cc :: cfunc$  where
         $f1: cc \in_c Y \setminus (one, y1) \wedge \text{try-cast } y1 \circ_c y = \text{right-coproj one } (Y \setminus$ 
 $(one, y1)) \circ_c cc \wedge m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c cc \rangle$ 
      using  $\langle \wedge thesis. (\wedge k. k \in_c Y \setminus (one, y1) \wedge \text{try-cast } y1 \circ_c y =$ 
 $\text{right-coproj one } (Y \setminus (one, y1)) \circ_c k \wedge m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c y = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c$ 
 $k \rangle \implies thesis) \implies thesis \rangle$  by blast
      have  $\langle x2, y2 \rangle = m \circ_c a$ 
      using  $\langle c = y1 \rangle$   $c$ -def eqs  $m$ -rightproj- $y1$ -equals by presburger
      then show ?thesis
      using  $f1$  cart-prod-eq2 comp-type  $x1x2$ -def  $y1'$ -type  $y1y2$ -def(2)  $y$ -def
    by force
  qed
next
  assume  $c \neq y1$ 
  then obtain  $k'$  where  $k'$ -def:  $k' \in_c Y \setminus (one, y1) \wedge \text{try-cast } y1 \circ_c c$ 
 $= \text{right-coproj one } (Y \setminus (one, y1)) \circ_c k' \wedge$ 
 $m \circ_c \text{right-coproj } X Y \circ_c c = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k' \rangle$ 
  using  $c$ -def  $m$ -rightproj-not- $y1$ -equals by blast
  then have  $\langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k' \rangle = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
  using  $c$ -def eqs  $k$ -def  $y$ -def by auto
  then have  $(x1 = x1) \wedge (y1^c \circ_c k' = y1^c \circ_c k)$ 
  using element-pair-eq  $k'$ -def  $k$ -def by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  then have  $k' = k$ 
  by (metis cfunc-type-def complement-morphism-mono  $k'$ -def  $k$ -def
 $monomorphism$ -def  $y1'$ -type  $y1$ -mono)
  then have  $c = y$ 
  by (metis  $c$ -def cfunc-type-def  $k'$ -def  $k$ -def  $monomorphism$ -def

```

```

try-cast-mono trycast-y1-type y1-mono y-def)
  then show  $a = b$ 
    by (simp add: c-def y-def)
  qed
next
  assume  $\nexists c. b = \text{right-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c Y$ 
  then obtain  $c$  where c-def:  $b = \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c \wedge c \in_c X$ 
    using b-type coprojs-jointly-surj by blast
  then have  $m \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c = \langle c, y1 \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: m-leftproj-l-equals)
  then have  $\langle c, y1 \rangle = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle$ 
    using  $\langle m \circ_c a = \langle x1, y1^c \circ_c k \rangle \rangle \langle m \circ_c \text{left-coproj } X \ Y \circ_c c = \langle c, y1 \rangle \rangle$ 
  c-def eqs by auto
  then have  $(c = x1) \wedge (y1 = y1^c \circ_c k)$ 
    using c-def cart-prod-eq2 comp-type k-def x1x2-def(1) y1'-type
  y1y2-def(1) by auto
  then have False
    by (metis cfunc-type-def complement-disjoint id-right-unit id-type k-def
  y1-mono y1y2-def(1))
  then show ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed
then have monomorphism  $m$ 
  using injective-imp-monomorphism by auto
then show ?thesis
  using is-smaller-than-def m-type by blast
qed

lemma prod-leq-exp:
  assumes  $\neg(\text{terminal-object } Y)$ 
  shows  $(X \times_c Y) \leq_c (Y^X)$ 
proof(cases initial-object  $Y$ )
  show initial-object  $Y \implies X \times_c Y \leq_c Y^X$ 
    by (metis X-prod-empty initial-iso-empty initial-maps-mono initial-object-def
  is-smaller-than-def iso-empty-initial isomorphic-is-reflexive isomorphic-is-transitive
  prod-pres-iso)
next
  assume  $\neg \text{initial-object } Y$ 
  then obtain  $y1 \ y2$  where y1-type[type-rule]:  $y1 \in_c Y$  and y2-type[type-rule]:
 $y2 \in_c Y$  and y1-not-y2:  $y1 \neq y2$ 
    using assms not-init-not-term by blast
  show  $(X \times_c Y) \leq_c (Y^X)$ 
  proof(cases  $X \cong \Omega$ )
    assume  $X \cong \Omega$ 
    have  $\Omega \leq_c Y$ 
      using  $\langle \neg \text{initial-object } Y \rangle$  assms not-init-not-term size-2plus-sets by blast

```


then obtain m **where** $m\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: m : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ **and** $m\text{-mono}$:
monomorphism m
using *is-smaller-than-def* **by** *blast*
then have $m\text{-id-type}[type\text{-rule}]: m \times_f id(Y) : \Omega \times_c Y \rightarrow Y \times_c Y$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
have $m\text{-id-mono}$: *monomorphism* $(m \times_f id(Y))$
by *(typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-mono id-isomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic m-mono)*
obtain n **where** $n\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: n : Y \times_c Y \rightarrow Y^\Omega$ **and** $n\text{-mono}$:
monomorphism n
using *is-isomorphic-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphic-is-symmetric sets-squared* **by** *blast*
obtain r **where** $r\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: r : Y^\Omega \rightarrow Y^X$ **and** $r\text{-mono}$: *monomorphism*
 r
by *(meson $\langle X \cong \Omega \rangle$ exp-pres-iso-right is-isomorphic-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic isomorphic-is-symmetric)*
obtain q **where** $q\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: q : X \times_c Y \rightarrow \Omega \times_c Y$ **and** $q\text{-mono}$:
monomorphism q
by *(meson $\langle X \cong \Omega \rangle$ id-isomorphism id-type is-isomorphic-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic prod-pres-iso)*
have $rmq\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: r \circ_c n \circ_c (m \times_f id(Y)) \circ_c q : X \times_c Y \rightarrow Y^X$
by *typecheck-cfuncs*
have *monomorphism* $(r \circ_c n \circ_c (m \times_f id(Y)) \circ_c q)$
by *(typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic m-id-mono n-mono q-mono r-mono)*
then show *?thesis*
by *(meson is-smaller-than-def rmq-type)*
next
assume $\neg X \cong \Omega$
show $X \times_c Y \leq_c Y^X$
proof*(cases initial-object X)*
show *initial-object X* $\implies X \times_c Y \leq_c Y^X$
by *(metis is-empty-def initial-iso-empty initial-maps-mono initial-object-def is-smaller-than-def isomorphic-is-transitive no-el-iff-iso-empty not-init-not-term prod-with-empty-is-empty2 product-commutes terminal-object-def)*
next
assume \neg *initial-object X*
show $X \times_c Y \leq_c Y^X$
proof*(cases terminal-object X)*
assume *terminal-object X*
then have $X \cong one$
by *(simp add: one-terminal-object terminal-objects-isomorphic)*
have $X \times_c Y \cong Y$
by *(simp add: \langle terminal-object X \rangle prod-with-term-obj1)*
then have $X \times_c Y \cong Y^X$
by *(meson $\langle X \cong one \rangle$ exp-pres-iso-right exp-set-inj isomorphic-is-symmetric isomorphic-is-transitive exp-one)*

```

then show ?thesis
using is-isomorphic-def is-smaller-than-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic by blast
next
assume  $\neg$  terminal-object  $X$ 

obtain into where into-def: into = (left-cart-proj  $Y$  one  $\Pi$  (( $y2$   $\Pi$   $y1$ )  $\circ_c$ 
case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred  $Y$   $\circ_c$  (id  $Y \times_f y1$ )))
 $\circ_c$  dist-prod-coproduct-inv  $Y$  one one  $\circ_c$  (id  $Y \times_f$  case-bool)
 $\circ_c$  (id  $Y \times_f$  eq-pred  $X$ )
by simp
then have into-type[type-rule]: into :  $Y \times_c (X \times_c X) \rightarrow Y$ 
by (simp, typecheck-cfuncs)

obtain  $\Theta$  where  $\Theta$ -def:  $\Theta = (into \circ_c$  associate-right  $Y X X \circ_c$  swap  $X (Y$ 
 $\times_c X))^\# \circ_c$  swap  $X Y$ 
by auto

have  $\Theta$ -type[type-rule]:  $\Theta : X \times_c Y \rightarrow Y^X$ 
unfolding  $\Theta$ -def by typecheck-cfuncs

have f0:  $\bigwedge x. \bigwedge y. \bigwedge z. x \in_c X \wedge y \in_c Y \wedge z \in_c X \implies (\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c$ 
 $\langle id X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = into \circ_c \langle y, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$ 
proof(auto)
fix  $x y z$ 
assume  $x$ -type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X$ 
assume  $y$ -type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c Y$ 
assume  $z$ -type[type-rule]:  $z \in_c X$ 
show  $(\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id_c X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = into \circ_c \langle y, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$ 
proof –
have  $(\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id_c X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = (\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id_c X \circ_c z, \beta_X$ 
 $\circ_c z \rangle$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-prod-comp)
also have ... =  $(\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle z, id one \rangle$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis id-left-unit2 one-unique-element)
also have ... =  $(\Theta^b \circ_c (id(X) \times_f \langle x, y \rangle)) \circ_c \langle z, id one \rangle$ 
using inv-transpose-of-composition by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
also have ... =  $\Theta^b \circ_c (id(X) \times_f \langle x, y \rangle) \circ_c \langle z, id one \rangle$ 
using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... =  $\Theta^b \circ_c \langle id(X) \circ_c z, \langle x, y \rangle \circ_c id one \rangle$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
also have ... =  $\Theta^b \circ_c \langle z, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
also have ... =  $((into \circ_c$  associate-right  $Y X X \circ_c$  swap  $X (Y \times_c X))^\#$ 
 $\circ_c$  swap  $X Y)^\# \circ_c \langle z, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$ 
by (simp add:  $\Theta$ -def)
also have ... =  $((into \circ_c$  associate-right  $Y X X \circ_c$  swap  $X (Y \times_c X))^\#$ 
 $\circ_c (id X \times_f$  swap  $X Y)) \circ_c \langle z, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$ 
using inv-transpose-of-composition by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)

```

also have ... = (into \circ_c associate-right $Y X X \circ_c$ swap $X (Y \times_c X)$) \circ_c
 (id $X \times_f$ swap $X Y$) $\circ_c \langle z, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2 inv-transpose-func-def3
 transpose-func-def)
also have ... = (into \circ_c associate-right $Y X X \circ_c$ swap $X (Y \times_c X)$) \circ_c
 $\langle \text{id } X \circ_c z, \text{swap } X Y \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle \rangle$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
also have ... = (into \circ_c associate-right $Y X X \circ_c$ swap $X (Y \times_c X)$) \circ_c
 $\langle z, \langle y, x \rangle \rangle$
using id-left-unit2 swap-ap **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
also have ... = into \circ_c associate-right $Y X X \circ_c$ swap $X (Y \times_c X)$ \circ_c
 $\langle z, \langle y, x \rangle \rangle$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis cfunc-type-def comp-associative)
also have ... = into \circ_c associate-right $Y X X \circ_c \langle \langle y, x \rangle, z \rangle$
using swap-ap **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
also have ... = into $\circ_c \langle y, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$
using associate-right-ap **by** (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
then show ?thesis
using calculation **by** presburger
qed
qed

have f1: $\bigwedge x y. x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies (\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle \text{id } X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x$
 = y
proof –
fix $x y$
assume $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$
assume $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y \in_c Y$
have $(\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle \text{id } X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x = \text{into } \circ_c \langle y, \langle x, x \rangle \rangle$
by (simp add: f0 $x\text{-type } y\text{-type}$)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj $Y \text{ one } \Pi ((y2 \Pi y1) \circ_c \text{case-bool } \circ_c \text{eq-pred}$
 $Y \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f y1)))$
 $\circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } Y \text{ one one } \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f \text{case-bool})$
 $\circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f \text{eq-pred } X) \circ_c \langle y, \langle x, x \rangle \rangle$
using cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type into-def **by** (typecheck-cfuncs,
 fastforce)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj $Y \text{ one } \Pi ((y2 \Pi y1) \circ_c \text{case-bool } \circ_c \text{eq-pred}$
 $Y \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f y1)))$
 $\circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } Y \text{ one one } \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f \text{case-bool})$
 $\circ_c \langle \text{id } Y \circ_c y, \text{eq-pred } X \circ_c \langle x, x \rangle \rangle$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj $Y \text{ one } \Pi ((y2 \Pi y1) \circ_c \text{case-bool } \circ_c \text{eq-pred}$
 $Y \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f y1)))$
 $\circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } Y \text{ one one } \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f \text{case-bool})$
 $\circ_c \langle y, t \rangle$
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis eq-pred-iff-eq id-left-unit2)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj $Y \text{ one } \Pi ((y2 \Pi y1) \circ_c \text{case-bool } \circ_c \text{eq-pred}$
 $Y \circ_c (\text{id } Y \times_f y1)))$
 $\circ_c \text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } Y \text{ one one } \circ_c \langle y, \text{left-coproj one}$

$one\rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: case-bool-true cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod id-left-unit2*)
also have ... = (*left-cart-proj* Y one Π (($y2$ Π $y1$) \circ_c *case-bool* \circ_c *eq-pred* $Y \circ_c (id\ Y \times_f y1)$)))
 \circ_c *dist-prod-coprod-inv* Y one one \circ_c $\langle y, left-coproj\ one$
 $one \circ_c id\ one\rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis id-right-unit2*)
also have ... = (*left-cart-proj* Y one Π (($y2$ Π $y1$) \circ_c *case-bool* \circ_c *eq-pred* $Y \circ_c (id\ Y \times_f y1)$)))
 \circ_c *left-coproj* ($Y \times_c one$) ($Y \times_c one$) \circ_c $\langle y, id\ one\rangle$
using *dist-prod-coprod-inv-left-ap* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *presburger*)
also have ... = ((*left-cart-proj* Y one Π (($y2$ Π $y1$) \circ_c *case-bool* \circ_c *eq-pred* $Y \circ_c (id\ Y \times_f y1)$)))
 \circ_c *left-coproj* ($Y \times_c one$) ($Y \times_c one$)) \circ_c $\langle y, id\ one\rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *meson comp-associative2*)
also have ... = *left-cart-proj* Y one \circ_c $\langle y, id\ one\rangle$
using *left-coproj-cfunc-coprod* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *presburger*)
also have ... = y
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: left-cart-proj-cfunc-prod*)
then show ($\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$) $^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c x = y$
by (*simp add: calculation into-def*)
qed

have $f2: \bigwedge x\ y\ z. x \in_c X \implies y \in_c Y \implies z \in_c X \implies z \neq x \implies y \neq y1$
 $\implies (\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = y1$
proof –
fix $x\ y\ z$
assume $x\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x \in_c X$
assume $y\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: y \in_c Y$
assume $z\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: z \in_c X$
assume $z \neq x$
assume $y \neq y1$
have ($\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle$) $^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = into \circ_c \langle y, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$
by (*simp add: f0 x-type y-type z-type*)
also have ... = (*left-cart-proj* Y one Π (($y2$ Π $y1$) \circ_c *case-bool* \circ_c *eq-pred* $Y \circ_c (id\ Y \times_f y1)$)))
 \circ_c *dist-prod-coprod-inv* Y one one \circ_c ($id\ Y \times_f case\text{-bool}$)
 \circ_c ($id\ Y \times_f eq\text{-pred}\ X$) \circ_c $\langle y, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$
using *cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type into-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *fastforce*)
also have ... = (*left-cart-proj* Y one Π (($y2$ Π $y1$) \circ_c *case-bool* \circ_c *eq-pred* $Y \circ_c (id\ Y \times_f y1)$)))
 \circ_c *dist-prod-coprod-inv* Y one one \circ_c ($id\ Y \times_f case\text{-bool}$)
 \circ_c $\langle id\ Y \circ_c y, eq\text{-pred}\ X \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod*)
also have ... = (*left-cart-proj* Y one Π (($y2$ Π $y1$) \circ_c *case-bool* \circ_c *eq-pred* $Y \circ_c (id\ Y \times_f y1)$)))
 \circ_c *dist-prod-coprod-inv* Y one one \circ_c ($id\ Y \times_f case\text{-bool}$)

```

    ◦c ⟨y, f⟩
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis ⟨z ≠ x⟩ eq-pred-iff-eq-conv id-left-unit2)
      also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one ∏ ((y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred
Y ◦c (id Y ×f y1)))
      ◦c dist-prod-coproduct-inv Y one one ◦c ⟨y, right-coproj
one one⟩
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case-bool-false cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2)
      also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one ∏ ((y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred
Y ◦c (id Y ×f y1)))
      ◦c dist-prod-coproduct-inv Y one one ◦c ⟨y, right-coproj
one one ◦c id one⟩
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-right-unit2)
      also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one ∏ ((y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred
Y ◦c (id Y ×f y1)))
      ◦c right-coproj (Y ×c one) (Y ×c one) ◦c ⟨y, id one⟩
      using dist-prod-coproduct-inv-right-ap by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
      also have ... = ((left-cart-proj Y one ∏ ((y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred
Y ◦c (id Y ×f y1)))
      ◦c right-coproj (Y ×c one) (Y ×c one)) ◦c ⟨y, id one⟩
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2)
      also have ... = ((y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred Y ◦c (id Y ×f y1)) ◦c
⟨y, id one⟩
      using right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
      also have ... = (y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred Y ◦c (id Y ×f y1) ◦c
⟨y, id one⟩
      using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
      also have ... = (y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c eq-pred Y ◦c ⟨y, y1⟩
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
      also have ... = (y2 ∏ y1) ◦c case-bool ◦c f
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis ⟨y ≠ y1⟩ eq-pred-iff-eq-conv)
      also have ... = y1
      using case-bool-false right-coproj-cfunc-coproduct by (typecheck-cfuncs,
presburger)
      then show (Θ ◦c ⟨x, y⟩)b ◦c ⟨id X, βX⟩ ◦c z = y1
      by (simp add: calculation)
    qed

```

```

      have f3: ∧ x z. x ∈c X ⇒ z ∈c X ⇒ z ≠ x ⇒ (Θ ◦c ⟨x, y1⟩)b ◦c ⟨id
X, βX⟩ ◦c z = y2
    proof -
      fix x y z
      assume x-type[type-rule]: x ∈c X
      assume z-type[type-rule]: z ∈c X
      assume z ≠ x

```

```

have ( $\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y1 \rangle^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = into \circ_c \langle y1, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$ )
  by (simp add: f0 x-type y1-type z-type)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  dist-prod-coprod-inv Y one one  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  case-bool)
 $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  eq-pred X)  $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$ )
using cfunc-type-def comp-associative comp-type into-def by (typecheck-cfuncs,
fastforce)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  dist-prod-coprod-inv Y one one  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  case-bool)
 $\circ_c$   $\langle id\ Y \circ_c y1, eq-pred\ X \circ_c \langle x, z \rangle \rangle$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  dist-prod-coprod-inv Y one one  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  case-bool)
 $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, f \rangle$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis  $\langle z \neq x \rangle$  eq-pred-iff-eq-conv id-left-unit2)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  dist-prod-coprod-inv Y one one  $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, right-coproj$ 
one one  $\rangle$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: case-bool-false cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  dist-prod-coprod-inv Y one one  $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, right-coproj$ 
one one  $\circ_c$  id one  $\rangle$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: id-right-unit2)
also have ... = (left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  right-coproj (Y  $\times_c$  one) (Y  $\times_c$  one)  $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, id\ one \rangle$ )
using dist-prod-coprod-inv-right-ap by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
also have ... = ((left-cart-proj Y one  $\Pi$  ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred
Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)))
 $\circ_c$  right-coproj (Y  $\times_c$  one) (Y  $\times_c$  one))  $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, id\ one \rangle$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, meson comp-associative2)
also have ... = ((y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1))  $\circ_c$ 
 $\langle y1, id\ one \rangle$ )
using right-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
also have ... = (y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred Y  $\circ_c$  (id Y  $\times_f$  y1)  $\circ_c$ 
 $\langle y1, id\ one \rangle$ )
using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
also have ... = (y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  eq-pred Y  $\circ_c$   $\langle y1, y1 \rangle$ )
by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod
id-left-unit2 id-right-unit2)
also have ... = (y2  $\Pi$  y1)  $\circ_c$  case-bool  $\circ_c$  t)
by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis eq-pred-iff-eq)
also have ... = y2

```

```

    using case-bool-true left-coproj-cfunc-coprod by (typecheck-cfuncs, pres-
burger)
    then show  $(\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y1 \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = y2$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)
  qed

  have  $\Theta$ -injective: injective( $\Theta$ )
  proof(unfold injective-def, auto)
    fix xy st
    assume xy-type[type-rule]:  $xy \in_c domain\ \Theta$ 
    assume st-type[type-rule]:  $st \in_c domain\ \Theta$ 
    assume equals:  $\Theta \circ_c xy = \Theta \circ_c st$ 
    obtain x y where x-type[type-rule]:  $x \in_c X$  and y-type[type-rule]:  $y \in_c Y$ 
  and xy-def:  $xy = \langle x, y \rangle$ 
    by (metis  $\Theta$ -type cart-prod-decomp cfunc-type-def xy-type)
    obtain s t where s-type[type-rule]:  $s \in_c X$  and t-type[type-rule]:  $t \in_c Y$  and
  st-def:  $st = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
    by (metis  $\Theta$ -type cart-prod-decomp cfunc-type-def st-type)
    have equals2:  $\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle = \Theta \circ_c \langle s, t \rangle$ 
    using equals st-def xy-def by auto
    have  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
    proof(cases  $y = y1$ )
      assume  $y = y1$ 
      show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
      proof(cases  $t = y1$ )
        show  $t = y1 \implies \langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis  $\langle y = y1 \rangle$  equals f1 f3 st-def xy-def y1-not-y2)
      next
        assume  $t \neq y1$ 
        show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
        proof(cases  $s = x$ )
          show  $s = x \implies \langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
          by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis equals2 f1)
        next
          assume  $s \neq x$ 
          obtain z where z-type[type-rule]:  $z \in_c X$  and z-not-x:  $z \neq x$  and
z-not-s:  $z \neq s$ 
          by (metis  $\langle \neg X \cong \Omega \rangle$   $\langle \neg initial-object\ X \rangle$   $\langle \neg terminal-object\ X \rangle$ 
sets-size-3-plus)
          have t-sz:  $(\Theta \circ_c \langle s, t \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = y1$ 
          by (simp add:  $\langle t \neq y1 \rangle$  f2 s-type t-type z-not-s z-type)
          have y-xz:  $(\Theta \circ_c \langle x, y \rangle)^b \circ_c \langle id\ X, \beta_X \rangle \circ_c z = y2$ 
          by (simp add:  $\langle y = y1 \rangle$  f3 x-type z-not-x z-type)
          then have  $y1 = y2$ 
          using equals2 t-sz by auto
          then have False
          using y1-not-y2 by auto
          then show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
          by simp

```

```

      qed
    qed
  next
    assume  $y \neq y1$ 
    show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
    proof(cases  $y = y2$ )
      assume  $y = y2$ 
      show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
      proof(cases  $t = y2, auto$ )
        show  $t = y2 \implies \langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, y2 \rangle$ 
        by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis  $\langle y = y2 \rangle \langle y \neq y1 \rangle$  equals f1 f2 st-def
xy-def)
      next
        assume  $t \neq y2$ 
        show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
        proof(cases  $x = s, auto$ )
          show  $x = s \implies \langle s, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
          by (metis equals2 f1 s-type t-type y-type)
        next
          assume  $x \neq s$ 
          show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
          proof(cases  $t = y1, auto$ )
            show  $t = y1 \implies \langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, y1 \rangle$ 
            by (metis  $\langle \neg X \cong \Omega \rangle \langle \neg \text{initial-object } X \rangle \langle \neg \text{terminal-object } X \rangle \langle y$ 
=  $y2 \rangle \langle y \neq y1 \rangle$  equals f2 f3 s-type sets-size-3-plus st-def x-type xy-def y2-type)
          next
            assume  $t \neq y1$ 
            show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
            by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis  $\langle t \neq y1 \rangle \langle y \neq y1 \rangle$  equals f1 f2 st-def
xy-def)
          qed
        qed
      qed
    next
      assume  $y \neq y2$ 
      show  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
      proof(cases  $s = x, auto$ )
        show  $s = x \implies \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, t \rangle$ 
        by (metis equals2 f1 t-type x-type y-type)
        show  $s \neq x \implies \langle x, y \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle$ 
        by (metis  $\langle y \neq y1 \rangle \langle y \neq y2 \rangle$  equals f1 f2 f3 s-type st-def t-type x-type
xy-def y-type)
      qed
    qed
  then show  $xy = st$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: st-def xy-def)
qed
then show ?thesis

```



```

    using  $\Theta$ -type injective-imp-monomorphism is-smaller-than-def by blast
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma Y-nonempty-then-X-le-XtoY:
  assumes nonempty Y
  shows  $X \leq_c X^Y$ 
proof -
  obtain f where f-def:  $f = (\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ X)^\#$ 
    by blast
  then have f-type:  $f : X \rightarrow X^Y$ 
    by (simp add: right-cart-proj-type transpose-func-type)
  have mono-f: injective(f)
    unfolding injective-def
  proof(auto)
    fix x y
    assume x-type:  $x \in_c \text{domain } f$ 
    assume y-type:  $y \in_c \text{domain } f$ 
    assume equals:  $f \circ_c x = f \circ_c y$ 
    have x-type2 :  $x \in_c X$ 
      using cfunc-type-def f-type x-type by auto
    have y-type2 :  $y \in_c X$ 
      using cfunc-type-def f-type y-type by auto
    have  $x \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ \text{one}) = (\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ X) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f x)$ 
      using right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod x-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    also have  $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } X \ Y) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f f)) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f x)$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: f-def transpose-func-def)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } X \ Y) \circ_c ((\text{id}(Y) \times_f f) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f x))$ 
      using comp-associative2 f-type x-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } X \ Y) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f (f \circ_c x))$ 
      using f-type identity-distributes-across-composition x-type2 by auto
    also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } X \ Y) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f (f \circ_c y))$ 
      by (simp add: equals)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{eval-func } X \ Y) \circ_c ((\text{id}(Y) \times_f f) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f y))$ 
      using f-type identity-distributes-across-composition y-type2 by auto
    also have  $\dots = ((\text{eval-func } X \ Y) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f f)) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f y)$ 
      using comp-associative2 f-type y-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, fastforce)
    also have  $\dots = (\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ X) \circ_c (\text{id}(Y) \times_f y)$ 
      by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: f-def transpose-func-def)
    also have  $\dots = y \circ_c (\text{right-cart-proj } Y \ \text{one})$ 
      using right-cart-proj-cfunc-cross-prod y-type2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
    then show  $x = y$ 
      using assms calculation epimorphism-def3 nonempty-left-imp-right-proj-epimorphism
right-cart-proj-type x-type2 y-type2 by fastforce
  qed
  then show  $X \leq_c X^Y$ 
    using f-type injective-imp-monomorphism is-smaller-than-def by blast

```

qed

```

lemma non-init-non-ter-sets:
  assumes  $\neg(\text{terminal-object } X)$ 
  assumes  $\neg(\text{initial-object } X)$ 
  shows  $\Omega \leq_c X$ 
proof -
  obtain  $x1$  and  $x2$  where  $x1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x1 \in_c X$  and
     $x2\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: x2 \in_c X$  and
     $distinct: x1 \neq x2$ 
    using is-empty-def assms iso-empty-initial iso-to1-is-term no-el-iff-iso-empty
    single-elem-iso-one by blast

  then have  $map\text{-type}: (x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c case\text{-bool} : \Omega \rightarrow X$ 
  by typecheck-cfuncs
  have injective:  $injective((x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c case\text{-bool})$ 
  proof(unfold injective-def, auto)
    fix  $\omega1 \ \omega2$ 
    assume  $\omega1 \in_c domain (x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-bool})$ 
    then have  $\omega1\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: \omega1 \in_c \Omega$ 
    using cfunc-type-def map-type by auto
    assume  $\omega2 \in_c domain (x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-bool})$ 
    then have  $\omega2\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: \omega2 \in_c \Omega$ 
    using cfunc-type-def map-type by auto

    assume equals:  $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-bool}) \circ_c \omega1 = (x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-bool}) \circ_c \omega2$ 
    show  $\omega1 = \omega2$ 
    proof(cases  $\omega1 = t$ , auto)
      assume  $\omega1 = t$ 
      show  $t = \omega2$ 
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume  $t \neq \omega2$ 
        then have  $f = \omega2$ 
        using  $\langle t \neq \omega2 \rangle \text{ true-false-only-truth-values}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
        then have RHS:  $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-bool}) \circ_c \omega2 = x2$ 
        by (meson coprod-case-bool-false  $x1\text{-type } x2\text{-type}$ )
        have  $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-bool}) \circ_c \omega1 = x1$ 
        using  $\langle \omega1 = t \rangle \text{ coprod-case-bool-true } x1\text{-type } x2\text{-type}$  by blast
        then show False
        using RHS distinct equals by force
      qed
    next
      assume  $\omega1 \neq t$ 
      then have  $\omega1 = f$ 

```

```

    using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  have  $\omega 2 = f$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\omega 2 \neq f$ 
    then have  $\omega 2 = t$ 
      using true-false-only-truth-values by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
    then have  $RHS: (x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-}bool) \circ_c \omega 2 = x2$ 
      using  $\langle \omega 1 = f \rangle$  coprod-case-bool-false equals x1-type x2-type by auto
    have  $(x1 \amalg x2 \circ_c case\text{-}bool) \circ_c \omega 1 = x1$ 
      using  $\langle \omega 2 = t \rangle$  coprod-case-bool-true equals x1-type x2-type by presburger
    then show False
      using RHS distinct equals by auto
  qed
  show  $\omega 1 = \omega 2$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle \omega 1 = f \rangle \langle \omega 2 = f \rangle$ )
  qed
  qed
  then have monomorphism $((x1 \amalg x2) \circ_c case\text{-}bool)$ 
    using injective-imp-monomorphism by auto
  then show  $\Omega \leq_c X$ 
    using is-smaller-than-def map-type by blast
  qed

lemma exp-preserves-card1:
  assumes  $A \leq_c B$ 
  assumes nonempty  $X$ 
  shows  $X^A \leq_c X^B$ 
  proof (unfold is-smaller-than-def)

    obtain  $x$  where  $x\text{-type}[type\text{-}rule]: x \in_c X$ 
      using assms(2) unfolding nonempty-def by auto

    obtain  $m$  where  $m\text{-def}[type\text{-}rule]: m : A \rightarrow B$  monomorphism  $m$ 
      using assms(1) unfolding is-smaller-than-def by auto

    show  $\exists m. m : X^A \rightarrow X^B \wedge$  monomorphism  $m$ 
  proof (rule-tac  $x=(((eval\text{-}func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))$ 
     $\circ_c dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m))$ 
     $\circ_c swap\ (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c (try\text{-}cast\ m \times_f id\ (X^A)))^\#$  in  $exI$ , auto)

    show  $((eval\text{-}func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})) \circ_c$ 
 $dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c swap\ (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c$ 
 $try\text{-}cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A))^\# : X^A \rightarrow X^B$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  then show monomorphism
     $((eval\text{-}func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})) \circ_c$ 
 $dist\text{-}prod\text{-}coprod\text{-}inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$ 

```

$$\text{proof } (\text{unfold monomorphism-def3}, \text{auto})$$

$$\text{fix } g \text{ h } Z$$

$$\text{assume } g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: g : Z \rightarrow X^A$$

$$\text{assume } h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: h : Z \rightarrow X^A$$

$$\text{assume } eq: ((\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c \text{swap } (X^A) \ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))$$

$$\circ_c$$

$$\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } (X^A) \ A \ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$$

$$\text{swap } (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m))) (X^A) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m \times_f id_c (X^A))^{\#} \circ_c g$$

$$=$$

$$((\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c \text{swap } (X^A) \ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})) \circ_c$$

$$\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } (X^A) \ A \ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$$

$$\text{swap } (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m))) (X^A) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m \times_f id_c (X^A))^{\#} \circ_c h$$

$$\text{show } g = h$$

$$\text{proof } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{rule-tac same-evals-equal}[\text{where } Z=Z, \text{where } A=A,$$

$$\text{where } X=X], \text{auto})$$

$$\text{show } \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c id_c \ A \times_f g = \text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c id_c \ A \times_f h$$

$$\text{proof } (\text{typecheck-cfuncs}, \text{rule one-separator}[\text{where } X=A \times_c Z, \text{where } Y=X], \text{auto})$$

$$\text{fix } az$$

$$\text{assume } az\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]: az \in_c A \times_c Z$$

$$\text{obtain } a \ z \text{ where } az\text{-types}[type\text{-rule}]: a \in_c A \ z \in_c Z \text{ and } az\text{-def}: az =$$

$$\langle a, z \rangle$$

$$\text{using cart-prod-decomp } az\text{-type} \text{ by } \text{blast}$$

$$\text{have } (\text{eval-func } X \ B) \circ_c (id \ B \times_f (((\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c \text{swap } (X^A) \ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))) \circ_c$$

$$\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } (X^A) \ A \ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$$

$$\text{swap } (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m))) (X^A) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m \times_f id_c (X^A))^{\#} \circ_c g) =$$

$$(\text{eval-func } X \ B) \circ_c (id \ B \times_f (((\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c \text{swap } (X^A) \ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))) \circ_c$$

$$\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } (X^A) \ A \ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$$

$$\text{swap } (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m))) (X^A) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m \times_f id_c (X^A))^{\#} \circ_c h)$$

$$\text{using eq by simp}$$

$$\text{then have } (\text{eval-func } X \ B) \circ_c (id \ B \times_f (((\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c \text{swap } (X^A) \ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))) \circ_c$$

$$\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } (X^A) \ A \ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$$

$$\text{swap } (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m))) (X^A) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m \times_f id_c (X^A))^{\#} \circ_c (id \ B$$

$$\times_f g) =$$

$$(\text{eval-func } X \ B) \circ_c (id \ B \times_f (((\text{eval-func } X \ A \circ_c \text{swap } (X^A) \ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))) \circ_c$$

$$\text{dist-prod-coprod-inv } (X^A) \ A \ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$$

$$\text{swap } (A \amalg (B \setminus (A, m))) (X^A) \circ_c \text{try-cast } m \times_f id_c (X^A))^{\#} \circ_c (id \ B$$

$\times_f h)$
using *identity-distributes-across-composition* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
then have $((eval-func\ X\ B) \circ_c (id\ B \times_f (((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A))$
 $A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}) \circ_c$
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A))^\#))) \circ_c (id$
 $B \times_f g) =$
 $((eval-func\ X\ B) \circ_c (id\ B \times_f (((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A))\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c$
 $\beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}) \circ_c$
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A))^\#))) \circ_c (id$
 $B \times_f h)$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, smt eq inv-transpose-func-def3 inv-transpose-of-composition*)
then have $((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})$
 \circ_c
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A)) \circ_c (id\ B$
 $\times_f g)$
 $= ((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}) \circ_c$
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A)) \circ_c (id\ B$
 $\times_f h)$
using *transpose-func-def* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)
then have $((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})$
 \circ_c
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A)) \circ_c (id\ B$
 $\times_f g)) \circ_c \langle m \circ_c a, z \rangle$
 $= (((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}) \circ_c$
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A)) \circ_c (id\ B$
 $\times_f h)) \circ_c \langle m \circ_c a, z \rangle$
by *auto*
then have $((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})$
 \circ_c
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A)) \circ_c (id\ B$
 $\times_f g) \circ_c \langle m \circ_c a, z \rangle$
 $= ((eval-func\ X\ A \circ_c swap\ (X^A)\ A) \amalg (x \circ_c \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}) \circ_c$
 $dist-prod-coprod-inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m)) \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A \coprod (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A) \circ_c try-cast\ m \times_f id_c\ (X^A)) \circ_c (id\ B$
 $\times_f h) \circ_c \langle m \circ_c a, z \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto simp add: comp-associative2*)

\circ_c
then have $((eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))$
 \circ_c
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ try_cast\ m\ \times_f\ id_c\ (X^A))\ \circ_c\ \langle m\ \circ_c\ a,$
 $g\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
 $= ((eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))\ \circ_c$
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ try_cast\ m\ \times_f\ id_c\ (X^A))\ \circ_c\ \langle m\ \circ_c\ a,$
 $h\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
by $(typecheck_cfuns,\ smt\ cfunc_cross_prod_comp_cfunc_prod\ id_left_unit2\ id_type)$
 \circ_c
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))$
 \circ_c
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ (try_cast\ m\ \times_f\ id_c\ (X^A))\ \circ_c\ \langle m\ \circ_c$
 $a,\ g\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
 $= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))\ \circ_c$
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ (try_cast\ m\ \times_f\ id_c\ (X^A))\ \circ_c\ \langle m\ \circ_c$
 $a,\ h\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
by $(typecheck_cfuns_prems,\ smt\ comp_associative2)$
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))$
 \circ_c
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ \langle try_cast\ m\ \circ_c\ m\ \circ_c\ a,\ g\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
 $= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))\ \circ_c$
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ \langle try_cast\ m\ \circ_c\ m\ \circ_c\ a,\ h\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
using $cfunc_cross_prod_comp_cfunc_prod\ id_left_unit2$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns_prems,$
 $smt)$
 \circ_c
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))$
 \circ_c
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ \langle (try_cast\ m\ \circ_c\ m)\ \circ_c\ a,\ g\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
 $= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))\ \circ_c$
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ \langle (try_cast\ m\ \circ_c\ m)\ \circ_c\ a,\ h\ \circ_c\ z\rangle$
by $(typecheck_cfuns,\ auto\ simp\ add:\ comp_associative2)$
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A\ \times_c\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))}))$
 \circ_c
 $dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c$
 $swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ \langle left_coproj\ A\ (B\ \setminus\ (A,\ m))\ \circ_c\ a,\ g\ \circ_c$
 $z\rangle$

$$= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})\ \circ_c$$

$$dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m))\ \circ_c$$

$$swap\ (A\ \amalg\ (B \setminus (A, m)))\ (X^A)\ \circ_c\ \langle left_coproj\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m))\ \circ_c\ a,\ h\ \circ_c$$

$$z \rangle$$
using $m_def(2)$ **try-cast-m-m** **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, auto)$
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})\ \circ_c$

$$dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m))\ \circ_c\ \langle g\ \circ_c\ z,\ left_coproj\ A\ (B \setminus$$

$$(A, m))\ \circ_c\ a \rangle$$

$$= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})\ \circ_c$$

$$dist_prod_coprod_inv\ (X^A)\ A\ (B \setminus (A, m))\ \circ_c\ \langle h\ \circ_c\ z,\ left_coproj\ A\ (B \setminus$$

$$(A, m))\ \circ_c\ a \rangle$$
using $swap_ap$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, auto)$
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})\ \circ_c$

$$left_coproj\ (X^A \times_c A)\ (X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m)))\ \circ_c\ \langle g\ \circ_c\ z,\ a \rangle$$

$$= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))})\ \circ_c$$

$$left_coproj\ (X^A \times_c A)\ (X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m)))\ \circ_c\ \langle h\ \circ_c\ z, a \rangle$$
using $dist_prod_coprod_inv_left_ap$ **by** $(typecheck_cfuns, auto)$
then have $((eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))\ \circ_c$

$$left_coproj\ (X^A \times_c A)\ (X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m)))\ \circ_c\ \langle g\ \circ_c\ z,\ a \rangle$$

$$= ((eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \amalg\ (x\ \circ_c\ \beta_{X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m))}))\ \circ_c$$

$$left_coproj\ (X^A \times_c A)\ (X^A \times_c (B \setminus (A, m)))\ \circ_c\ \langle h\ \circ_c\ z, a \rangle$$
by $(typecheck_cfuns_prems, auto\ simp\ add: comp_associative2)$
then have $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \circ_c\ \langle g\ \circ_c\ z,\ a \rangle$

$$= (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A)\ \circ_c\ \langle h\ \circ_c\ z, a \rangle$$
by $(typecheck_cfuns_prems, auto\ simp\ add: left_coproj_cfunc_coprod)$
then have $eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A\ \circ_c\ \langle g\ \circ_c\ z,\ a \rangle$

$$= eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ swap\ (X^A)\ A\ \circ_c\ \langle h\ \circ_c\ z, a \rangle$$
by $(typecheck_cfuns_prems, auto\ simp\ add: comp_associative2)$
then have $eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ \langle a,\ g\ \circ_c\ z \rangle = eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ \langle a,\ h\ \circ_c\ z \rangle$
by $(typecheck_cfuns_prems, auto\ simp\ add: swap_ap)$
then have $eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ (id\ A \times_f g)\ \circ_c\ \langle a,\ z \rangle = eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ (id$

$$A \times_f h)\ \circ_c\ \langle a,\ z \rangle$$
by $(typecheck_cfuns, simp\ add: cfunc_cross_prod_comp_cfunc_prod$

$$id_left_unit2)$$
then show $(eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ id_c\ A \times_f g)\ \circ_c\ az = (eval_func\ X\ A\ \circ_c\ id_c$

$$A \times_f h)\ \circ_c\ az$$
unfolding az_def **by** $(typecheck_cfuns_prems, auto\ simp\ add: comp_associative2)$
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma exp-preserves-card2:
  assumes  $A \leq_c B$ 
  shows  $A^X \leq_c B^X$ 
proof (unfold is-smaller-than-def)
  obtain  $m$  where  $m\text{-def}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $m : A \rightarrow B$  monomorphism  $m$ 
    using assms unfolding is-smaller-than-def by auto
  show  $\exists m. m : A^X \rightarrow B^X \wedge \text{monomorphism } m$ 
  proof (rule-tac x=(m  $\circ_c$  eval-func A X) $^\#$  in exI, auto)
    show  $(m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X)^\# : A^X \rightarrow B^X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
    then show monomorphism(( $m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X$ ) $^\#$ )
  proof (unfold monomorphism-def3, auto)
    fix  $g \ h \ Z$ 
    assume  $g\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $g : Z \rightarrow A^X$ 
    assume  $h\text{-type}[type\text{-rule}]$ :  $h : Z \rightarrow A^X$ 

    assume  $eq$ :  $(m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X)^\# \circ_c g = (m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X)^\# \circ_c h$ 
    show  $g = h$ 
    proof (typecheck-cfuncs, rule-tac same-evals-equal[where Z=Z, where A=X,
where  $X=A]$ , auto)
      have  $((\text{eval-func } B \ X) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f (m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X)^\#)) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f$ 
 $g) =$ 
 $((\text{eval-func } B \ X) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f (m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X)^\#)) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f h)$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2 eq inv-transpose-func-def3
inv-transpose-of-composition)
      then have  $(m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X) \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f g) = (m \circ_c \text{eval-func } A \ X)$ 
 $\circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f h)$ 
by (smt comp-type eval-func-type m-def(1) transpose-func-def)
      then have  $m \circ_c (\text{eval-func } A \ X \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f g)) = m \circ_c (\text{eval-func } A \ X$ 
 $\circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f h))$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
      then have  $\text{eval-func } A \ X \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f g) = \text{eval-func } A \ X \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f$ 
 $h)$ 
using  $m\text{-def monomorphism-def3}$  by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
      then show  $(\text{eval-func } A \ X \circ_c (\text{id } X \times_f g)) = (\text{eval-func } A \ X \circ_c (\text{id } X$ 
 $\times_f h))$ 
by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt comp-associative2)
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

lemma exp-preserves-card3:
  assumes  $A \leq_c B$ 
  assumes  $X \leq_c Y$ 
  assumes nonempty( $X$ )
  shows  $X^A \leq_c Y^B$ 
proof –

```



```

have leq1:  $X^A \leq_c X^B$ 
  by (simp add: assms(1,3) exp-preserves-card1)
have leq2:  $X^B \leq_c Y^B$ 
  by (simp add: assms(2) exp-preserves-card2)
show  $X^A \leq_c Y^B$ 
  using leq1 leq2 set-card-transitive by blast
qed

end
theory Countable
  imports Nats Axiom-Of-Choice Nat-Parity Cardinality
begin

```

The definition below corresponds to Definition 2.6.9 in Halvorson.

```

definition epi-countable :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  epi-countable  $X \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. f : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow X \wedge \text{epimorphism } f)$ 

```

```

lemma emptyset-is-not-epi-countable:
   $\neg (\text{epi-countable } \emptyset)$ 
  using comp-type emptyset-is-empty epi-countable-def zero-type by blast

```

The fact that the empty set is not countable according to the definition from Halvorson ($\text{epi-countable } ?X = (\exists f. f : \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow ?X \wedge \text{epimorphism } f)$) motivated the following definition.

```

definition countable :: cset  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  countable  $X \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{monomorphism } f)$ 

```

```

lemma epi-countable-is-countable:
  assumes epi-countable  $X$ 
  shows countable  $X$ 
  using assms countable-def epi-countable-def epis-give-monos by blast

```

```

lemma emptyset-is-countable:
  countable  $\emptyset$ 
  using countable-def empty-subset subobject-of-def2 by blast

```

```

lemma natural-numbers-are-countably-infinite:
  (countable  $\mathbb{N}_c$ )  $\wedge$  (is-infinite  $\mathbb{N}_c$ )
  by (meson CollectI Peano's-Axioms countable-def injective-imp-monomorphism
  is-infinite-def successor-type)

```

```

lemma iso-to- $\mathbb{N}$ -is-countably-infinite:
  assumes  $X \cong \mathbb{N}_c$ 
  shows (countable  $X$ )  $\wedge$  (is-infinite  $X$ )
  by (meson assms countable-def is-isomorphic-def is-smaller-than-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic
  isomorphic-is-symmetric larger-than-infinite-is-infinite natural-numbers-are-countably-infinite)

```

```

lemma smaller-than-countable-is-countable:
  assumes  $X \leq_c Y$  countable  $Y$ 

```

shows *countable X*
by (*smt assms cfunc-type-def comp-type composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic countable-def is-smaller-than-def*)

lemma *iso-pres-countable:*

assumes $X \cong Y$ *countable Y*

shows *countable X*

using *assms is-isomorphic-def is-smaller-than-def iso-imp-epi-and-monic smaller-than-countable-is-countable*
by *blast*

lemma *NuN-is-countable:*

countable($\mathbb{N}_c \amalg \mathbb{N}_c$)

using *countable-def epis-give-monos halve-with-parity-iso halve-with-parity-type iso-imp-epi-and-monic* **by** *smt*

The lemma below corresponds to Exercise 2.6.11 in Halvorson.

lemma *coproduct-of-countables-is-countable:*

assumes *countable X countable Y*

shows *countable($X \amalg Y$)*

unfolding *countable-def*

proof –

obtain x **where** $x\text{-def}$: $x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{monomorphism } x$

using *assms(1) countable-def* **by** *blast*

obtain y **where** $y\text{-def}$: $y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{monomorphism } y$

using *assms(2) countable-def* **by** *blast*

obtain n **where** $n\text{-def}$: $n : \mathbb{N}_c \amalg \mathbb{N}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{monomorphism } n$

using *NuN-is-countable countable-def* **by** *blast*

have $xy\text{-type}$: $x \bowtie_f y : X \amalg Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \amalg \mathbb{N}_c$

using $x\text{-def } y\text{-def}$ **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs, auto*)

then have $nxy\text{-type}$: $n \circ_c (x \bowtie_f y) : X \amalg Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c$

using *comp-type n-def* **by** *blast*

have *injective($x \bowtie_f y$)*

using *cfunc-boutieprod-inj monomorphism-imp-injective x-def y-def* **by** *blast*

then have *monomorphism($x \bowtie_f y$)*

using *injective-imp-monomorphism* **by** *auto*

then have *monomorphism($n \circ_c (x \bowtie_f y)$)*

using *cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic n-def xy-type* **by** *auto*

then show $\exists f. f : X \amalg Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_c \wedge \text{monomorphism } f$

using $nxy\text{-type}$ **by** *blast*

qed

end

theory *Fixed-Points*

imports *Axiom-Of-Choice Pred-Logic Cardinality*

begin

The definitions below correspond to Definition 2.6.12 in Halvorson.

definition *fixed-point* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

fixed-point a $g \longleftrightarrow (\exists A. g : A \rightarrow A \wedge a \in_c A \wedge g \circ_c a = a)$

definition *has-fixed-point* :: *cfunc* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
has-fixed-point *g* $\longleftrightarrow (\exists a. \text{fixed-point } a \text{ } g)$
definition *fixed-point-property* :: *cset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
fixed-point-property *A* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall g. g : A \rightarrow A \longrightarrow \text{has-fixed-point } g)$

lemma *fixed-point-def2*:
assumes *g* : *A* \rightarrow *A* *a* \in_c *A*
shows *fixed-point* *a* *g* = (*g* \circ_c *a* = *a*)
unfolding *fixed-point-def* **using** *assms* **by** *blast*

The lemma below corresponds to Theorem 2.6.13 in Halvorson.

lemma *Lawveres-fixed-point-theorem*:
assumes *p-type*[*type-rule*]: *p* : *X* \rightarrow *A*^{*X*}
assumes *p-surj*: *surjective* *p*
shows *fixed-point-property* *A*
proof(*unfold fixed-point-property-def has-fixed-point-def* ,*auto*)
fix *g*
assume *g-type*[*type-rule*]: *g* : *A* \rightarrow *A*
obtain φ **where** $\varphi\text{-def}$: $\varphi = p^\flat$
by *auto*
then have $\varphi\text{-type}$ [*type-rule*]: $\varphi : X \times_c X \rightarrow A$
by (*simp add: flat-type p-type*)
obtain *f* **where** *f-def*: *f* = *g* \circ_c $\varphi \circ_c \text{diagonal}(X)$
by *auto*
then have *f-type*[*type-rule*]: *f* : *X* \rightarrow *A*
using $\varphi\text{-type}$ *comp-type diagonal-type f-def g-type* **by** *blast*
obtain *x-f* **where** *x-f*: *metafunc* *f* = *p* \circ_c *x-f* \wedge *x-f* \in_c *X*
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis p-surj surjective-def2*)
have $\varphi[-,x-f] = f$
proof(*rule one-separator*[**where** *X* = *X*, **where** *Y* = *A*])
show $\varphi[-,x-f] : X \rightarrow A$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *simp add: x-f*)
show *f* : *X* \rightarrow *A*
by (*simp add: f-type*)
show $\bigwedge x. x \in_c X \Longrightarrow \varphi[-,x-f] \circ_c x = f \circ_c x$
proof –
fix *x*
assume *x-type*[*type-rule*]: *x* \in_c *X*
have $\varphi[-,x-f] \circ_c x = \varphi \circ_c \langle x, x-f \rangle$
using *assms* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *meson right-param-on-el x-f*)
also have ... = ((*eval-func* *A* *X*) \circ_c (*id* *X* \times_f *p*)) $\circ_c \langle x, x-f \rangle$
using *assms* $\varphi\text{-def inv-transpose-func-def3}$ **by** *auto*
also have ... = (*eval-func* *A* *X*) \circ_c (*id* *X* \times_f *p*) $\circ_c \langle x, x-f \rangle$
by (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *metis comp-associative2 x-f*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* *A* *X*) $\circ_c \langle \text{id } X \circ_c x, p \circ_c x-f \rangle$
using *cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod x-f* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *force*)
also have ... = (*eval-func* *A* *X*) $\circ_c \langle x, \text{metafunc } f \rangle$
using *id-left-unit2 x-f* **by** (*typecheck-cfuncs*, *auto*)
also have ... = *f* \circ_c *x*

```

    by (simp add: eval-lemma f-type x-type)
  then show  $\varphi_{[-,x-f]} \circ_c x = f \circ_c x$ 
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed
qed
then have  $\varphi_{[-,x-f]} \circ_c x-f = g \circ_c \varphi \circ_c \text{diagonal}(X) \circ_c x-f$ 
  by (typecheck-cfuncs, smt (z3) cfunc-type-def comp-associative domain-comp
f-def x-f)
then have  $\varphi \circ_c \langle x-f, x-f \rangle = g \circ_c \varphi \circ_c \langle x-f, x-f \rangle$ 
  using diag-on-elements right-param-on-el x-f by (typecheck-cfuncs, auto)
then have fixed-point  $(\varphi \circ_c \langle x-f, x-f \rangle) g$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \varphi_{[-,x-f]} = f \rangle \langle \varphi_{[-,x-f]} \circ_c x-f = g \circ_c \varphi \circ_c \text{diagonal } X \circ_c x-f \rangle$ 
comp-type diag-on-elements f-type fixed-point-def2 g-type x-f)
then show  $\exists a. \text{fixed-point } a g$ 
  using fixed-point-def by auto
qed

```

The theorem below corresponds to Theorem 2.6.14 in Halvorson.

theorem *Cantors-Negative-Theorem:*

```

 $\nexists s. s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P} X \wedge \text{surjective}(s)$ 
proof(rule ccontr, auto)
  fix s
  assume s-type:  $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P} X$ 
  assume s-surj: surjective s
  then have Omega-has-ffp: fixed-point-property  $\Omega$ 
    using Lawveres-fixed-point-theorem powerset-def s-type by auto
  have Omega-doesnt-have-ffp:  $\neg(\text{fixed-point-property } \Omega)$ 
  proof(unfold fixed-point-property-def has-fixed-point-def fixed-point-def, auto)
    have NOT :  $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega \wedge (\forall a. (\forall A. a \in_c A \longrightarrow \text{NOT} : A \rightarrow A \longrightarrow \text{NOT} \circ_c a$ 
 $\neq a) \vee \neg a \in_c \Omega)$ 
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, metis AND-complementary AND-idempotent OR-complementary
OR-idempotent true-false-distinct)
    then show  $\exists g. g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \wedge (\forall a. (\forall A. a \in_c A \longrightarrow g : A \rightarrow A \longrightarrow g \circ_c a \neq$ 
 $a))$ 
      by (metis cfunc-type-def)
    qed
  show False
    using Omega-doesnt-have-ffp Omega-has-ffp by auto
  qed

```

The theorem below corresponds to Exercise 2.6.15 in Halvorson.

theorem *Cantors-Positive-Theorem:*

```

 $\exists m. m : X \rightarrow \Omega^X \wedge \text{injective } m$ 
proof –
  have eq-pred-sharp-type[type-rule]:  $\text{eq-pred } X^\sharp : X \rightarrow \Omega^X$ 
    by typecheck-cfuncs
  have injective(eq-pred  $X^\sharp$ )
    unfolding injective-def
  proof (auto)

```

```

fix x y
assume x ∈c domain (eq-pred X#) then have x-type[type-rule]: x ∈c X
  using cfunc-type-def eq-pred-sharp-type by auto
assume y ∈c domain (eq-pred X#) then have y-type[type-rule]: y ∈c X
  using cfunc-type-def eq-pred-sharp-type by auto
assume eq: eq-pred X# ∘c x = eq-pred X# ∘c y
have eq-pred X ∘c ⟨x, x⟩ = eq-pred X ∘c ⟨x, y⟩
proof -
  have eq-pred X ∘c ⟨x, x⟩ = ((eval-func Ω X) ∘c (id X ×f (eq-pred X#))) ∘c
    ⟨x, x⟩
    using transpose-func-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
  also have ... = (eval-func Ω X) ∘c (id X ×f (eq-pred X#)) ∘c ⟨x, x⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: comp-associative2)
  also have ... = (eval-func Ω X) ∘c ⟨id X ∘c x, (eq-pred X#) ∘c x⟩
    using cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod by (typecheck-cfuncs, force)
  also have ... = (eval-func Ω X) ∘c ⟨id X ∘c x, (eq-pred X#) ∘c y⟩
    by (simp add: eq)
  also have ... = (eval-func Ω X) ∘c (id X ×f (eq-pred X#)) ∘c ⟨x, y⟩
    by (typecheck-cfuncs, simp add: cfunc-cross-prod-comp-cfunc-prod)
  also have ... = ((eval-func Ω X) ∘c (id X ×f (eq-pred X#))) ∘c ⟨x, y⟩
    using comp-associative2 by (typecheck-cfuncs, blast)
  also have ... = eq-pred X ∘c ⟨x, y⟩
    using transpose-func-def by (typecheck-cfuncs, presburger)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: calculation)
qed
then show x = y
  by (metis eq-pred-iff-eq x-type y-type)
qed
then show ∃ m. m : X → ΩX ∧ injective m
  using eq-pred-sharp-type injective-imp-monomorphism by blast
qed

```

The corollary below corresponds to Corollary 2.6.16 in Halvorson.

```

corollary
  X ≤c P X ∧ ¬ (X ≅ P X)
  using Cantors-Negative-Theorem Cantors-Positive-Theorem
  unfolding is-smaller-than-def is-isomorphic-def powerset-def
  by (metis epi-is-surj injective-imp-monomorphism iso-imp-epi-and-monic)

```

corollary *Generalized-Cantors-Positive-Theorem:*

```

assumes ¬(terminal-object Y)
assumes ¬(initial-object Y)
shows X ≤c YX
proof -
  have Ω ≤c Y
    by (simp add: asms non-init-non-ter-sets)
  then have fact: ΩX ≤c YX
    by (simp add: exp-preserves-card2)

```

```

have  $X \leq_c \Omega^X$ 
  by (meson Cantors-Positive-Theorem CollectI injective-imp-monomorphism
is-smaller-than-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using fact set-card-transitive by blast
qed

corollary Generalized-Cantors-Negative-Theorem:
  assumes  $\neg(\text{initial-object } X)$ 
  assumes  $\neg(\text{terminal-object } Y)$ 
  shows  $\nexists s. s : X \rightarrow Y^X \wedge \text{surjective}(s)$ 
proof(rule ccontr, auto)
  fix s
  assume s-type:  $s : X \rightarrow Y^X$ 
  assume s-surj:  $\text{surjective}(s)$ 
  obtain m where m-type:  $m : Y^X \rightarrow X$  and m-mono:  $\text{monomorphism}(m)$ 
    by (meson epis-give-monos s-surj s-type surjective-is-epimorphism)
  have nonempty X
    using is-empty-def assms(1) iso-empty-initial no-el-iff-iso-empty nonempty-def
  by blast

  then have nonempty:  $\text{nonempty}(\Omega^X)$ 
    using nonempty-def nonempty-to-nonempty true-func-type by blast
  show False
proof(cases initial-object Y)
  assume initial-object Y
  then have  $Y^X \cong \emptyset$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle \text{nonempty } X \rangle$  empty-to-nonempty initial-iso-empty no-el-iff-iso-empty)

  then show False
    by (meson is-empty-def assms(1) comp-type iso-empty-initial no-el-iff-iso-empty
s-type)
next
  assume  $\neg \text{initial-object } Y$ 
  then have  $\Omega \leq_c Y$ 
    by (simp add: assms(2) non-init-non-ter-sets)
  then obtain n where n-type:  $n : \Omega^X \rightarrow Y^X$  and n-mono:  $\text{monomorphism}(n)$ 
    by (meson exp-preserves-card2 is-smaller-than-def)
  then have mn-type:  $m \circ_c n : \Omega^X \rightarrow X$ 
    by (meson comp-type m-type)
  have mn-mono:  $\text{monomorphism}(m \circ_c n)$ 
    using cfunc-type-def composition-of-monic-pair-is-monic m-mono m-type
n-mono n-type by presburger
  then have  $\exists g. g : X \rightarrow \Omega^X \wedge \text{epimorphism}(g) \wedge g \circ_c (m \circ_c n) = \text{id}(\Omega^X)$ 
    by (simp add: mn-type monos-give-epis nonempty)
  then show False
    by (metis Cantors-Negative-Theorem epi-is-surj powerset-def)
qed
qed

```

```

end
theory ETCS
  imports Axiom-Of-Choice Nats Quant-Logic Countable Fixed-Points
begin
end

```

References

- [1] H. Halvorson. *The Logic in Philosophy of Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2019.