

Week 5 Tutorial

Hoare Logic

1 Hoare Notation — Warm-up Exercises

These questions are meant to foster the feeling that Hoare notation is a compact way of saying things that you want to say when discussing a program. Each of the following parts consists of a statement in Hoare Logic — each is a simple assertion about a piece of code. In each case you should just say whether the statement is true or false.

All that is wanted for this group of problems is just a true-or-false answer based on your intuitions. Can your intuitions be programmed, though?

(The program variables in this and later questions are typed integer.)

- a) $\{j = a\} \text{ j} := \text{j} + 1 \{a = j + 1\}$
- b) $\{i = j\} \text{ i} := \text{j} + \text{i} \{i > j\}$
- c) $\{j = a + b\} \text{ i} := \text{b}; \text{ j} := \text{a} \{j = 2 * a\}$
- d) $\{i > j\} \text{ j} := \text{i} + 1; \text{ i} := \text{j} + 1 \{i > j\}$
- e) $\{i \neq j\} \text{ if } i > j \text{ then } m := i - j \text{ else } m := j - i \{m > 0\}$
- f) $\{i = 3 * j\} \text{ if } i > j \text{ then } m := i - j \text{ else } m := j - i \{m - 2 * j = 0\}$
- g) $\{x = b\} \text{ while } x > a \text{ do } x := x - 1 \{b = a\}$

2 Hoare Proof Rules I — Assignment Statements

Next up, we will be focusing on the natural deduction rules for precondition strengthening, postcondition weakening and especially the use of the assignment axiom.

- a) Prove $\{i = 5\} \text{ a}:=\text{i}+2 \{(a = 7) \wedge (i = 5)\}$
- b) Prove $\{i = 5\} \text{ a}:=\text{i}+2 \{a = 7\}$
- c) Prove $\{i = 5\} \text{ a}:=\text{i}+2 \{(a = 7) \wedge (i > 0)\}$
- d) Prove $\{(i = 5) \wedge (a = 3)\} \text{ a}:=\text{i}+2 \{a = 7\}$
- e) Prove $\{a = 7\} \text{ i}:=\text{i}+2 \{a = 7\}$
- f) Prove $\{i = a - 1\} \text{ i}:=\text{i}+2 \{i = a + 1\}$
- g) Prove $\{i = 5\} \text{ i}:=\text{i}+2 \{i > 0\}$
- h) Prove $\{True\} \text{ a}:=\text{i}+2 \{a = i + 2\}$

3 Hoare Proof Rules for Control Structures

Remember the way proofs of larger program fragments are meant to be constructed. If your immediate goal is to prove some property of a conditional statement, or a loop or a sequence of statements, then you use the corresponding Hoare Rule to generate appropriate subgoals.

3.1 Sequencing

- a) Prove $\{a > b\} \text{ m}:=1; \text{ n}:=\text{a}-\text{b} \{m * n > 0\}$
- b) Prove $\{s = 2^i\} \text{ i}:=\text{i}+1; \text{ s}:=\text{s}*2 \{s = 2^i\}$

3.2 Conditionals

- c) Prove $\{True\} \text{ if } i < j \text{ then } \text{min}:=i \text{ else } \text{min}:=j \{(min \leq i) \wedge (min \leq j)\}$
- d) Prove $\{i > 0 \wedge j > 0\} \text{ if } i < j \text{ then } \text{min}:=i \text{ else } \text{min}:=j \{min > 0\}$

3.3 Loops

- e) Prove $\{s = 2^i\} \text{ while } i < n \text{ do } \text{i}:=\text{i}+1; \text{ s}:=\text{s}*2 \{s = 2^i\}$

4 Want More?

You can get a bit more practice with the warm-up questions. If they were statements that were intuitively true then you should be able to prove them. Otherwise, beat them into shape and prove the better version.

5 Appendix: Hoare Logic Rules

- Precondition Strengthening:

$$\frac{\{P_w\} S \{Q\} \quad P_s \implies P_w}{\{P_s\} S \{Q\}}$$

- Postcondition Weakening:

$$\frac{\{P\} S \{Q_s\} \quad Q_s \implies Q_w}{\{P\} S \{Q_w\}}$$

- Assignment:

$$\{Q(e)\} \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{e} \{Q(x)\}$$

- Sequence:

$$\frac{\{P\} S_1 \{Q\} \quad \{Q\} S_2 \{R\}}{\{P\} S_1; S_2 \{R\}}$$

- Conditional:

$$\frac{\{P \wedge b\} S_1 \{Q\} \quad \{P \wedge \sim b\} S_2 \{Q\}}{\{P\} \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } S_1 \mathbf{ else } S_2 \{Q\}}$$

- While Loop:

$$\frac{\{P \wedge b\} S \{P\}}{\{P\} \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } S \{P \wedge \sim b\}}$$