

Ph.D. Qualifying Exam, Real Analysis

Spring 2014, part I

Do all five problems. Write your solution for each problem in a separate blue book.

- 1 Recall that for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, the space $C^\alpha([0, 1])$ is the set of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with

$$\|f\|_{C^\alpha} = \sup |f| + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty,$$

equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^\alpha}$.

- a. Show that the unit ball of $C^\alpha([0, 1])$ has compact closure in $C([0, 1])$.
- b. Show that $C^\alpha([0, 1])$ is of first category in $C([0, 1])$.

- 2 Two short problems.

- a. Show that there exists a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a Lebesgue measurable set $A \subset [0, 1]$ with measure $m(A) = 0$ such that $f(A)$ is measurable but has measure $m(f(A)) > 0$.
- b. Show that if $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous and A is Lebesgue measurable with measure 0 then $f(A)$ is measurable with measure 0.

- 3 Suppose X, Y are Hilbert spaces and $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, the vector space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y .

- a. Show that there is a unique operator $A^* : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\langle A^*y, x \rangle_X = \langle y, Ax \rangle_Y$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Show further that $A^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ with $\|A^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}$.
- b. Show that A has closed range if and only if $A^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ has closed range.

- 4 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be a Schwartz function (i.e. $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $x^j \partial^k f$ is bounded for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Suppose too that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = 1$. Recall the Fourier transform of f , $\hat{f}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixy} f(x) dx$. Show that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |f(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 |\hat{f}(y)|^2 dy \right) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

(Hint: Use Plancherel's theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The main point is to provide a positive lower bound which is independent of f ; if you cannot obtain the constant $\frac{1}{4}$, try to find some lower bound with a positive constant c .)

- 5 Let X be a Banach space over \mathbb{C} and M and N closed subspaces of X . Write $M + N = \{x \in X : \exists m \in M, n \in N, x = m + n\}$.

- a. Show that $M + N$ is closed if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in M + N$ there exist $m \in M, n \in N$ such that $x = m + n$ and $\|m\| + \|n\| \leq C\|x\|$.
- b. Suppose that $\ell_M : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\ell_N : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are continuous linear functionals and $\ell_M|_{M \cap N} = \ell_N|_{M \cap N}$. Show that if $M + N$ is closed, then there exists $\ell \in X^*$ such that $\ell|_M = \ell_M$ and $\ell|_N = \ell_N$.
- c. Give an example of a Banach space X and closed subspaces M, N such that $M \cap N = \{0\}$ but $M + N$ is not closed.

Ph.D. Qualifying Exam, Real Analysis

Spring 2014, part II

Do all five problems. Write your solution for each problem in a separate blue book.

- 1 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space.
 - a. Show that every closed subspace of X is weakly closed.
 - b. Show that if X is infinite dimensional then the weak closure of the unit sphere, $S = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$, is the entire unit ball $B = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.
- 2 Two short problems.
 - a. Suppose f_n is a sequence in $L^2([0, 1])$ with $\|f_n\|_{L^2} \leq 1$ for all n , and $f \in L^2([0, 1])$. Consider the following two statements: (i) $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^2 , (ii) $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$. For each of the implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (i) either give a proof or give a counterexample.
 - b. Show that there are Banach spaces X, Y and operators $A, A_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every n , $\text{Ran } A_n = D$, and $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong operator topology, but D is a proper subspace of $\text{Ran } A$. Can such an example exist if D is closed in Y ?
- 3
 - a. Suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $f \geq 0$, and that $f \neq 0$ as an element of L^1 . Let $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$ be the Fourier transform of f . Show that $\sup |\hat{f}|$ is attained exactly at 0.
 - b. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $f \geq 0$, $\int f(x) dx = 1$, $\int x f(x) dx = 0$, and let $f_1 = f$, $f_k = f_{k-1} * f$, $k \geq 2$. Show that with $g_k(x) = k f_k(kx)$, $g_k \rightarrow \delta_0$ in distributions as $k \rightarrow \infty$. (You may use part (a) even if you have not proved it.)
- 4 Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on it. For $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $y \in \mathbb{T}$ write $A + y = \{x + y \in \mathbb{T} : x \in A\}$. Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ is measurable, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $A + 2^{-n} = A$ (i.e. A is invariant under dyadic translations). Show that either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) = 1$.
- 5 Consider $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the space of Schwartz functions, and its dual $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, the space of tempered distributions.
 - a. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $x^k u = 0$ for some k (i.e. $u(x^k \phi) = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$). Show that u is a finite sum of derivatives of the delta distribution at 0, i.e., there exists $k \geq 1$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $u(\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j \phi^{(j)}(0)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.
 - b. One says that $x_0 \notin \text{supp } u$ if x_0 has a neighborhood U such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } \phi \subset U$, $u(\phi) = 0$. Suppose now that $u(\phi) = 0$ if $0 \notin \text{supp } \phi$, so $\text{supp } u \subset \{0\}$. Show that u is again a finite sum of derivatives of the delta distribution. (Hint: prove that if $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $j \leq k$ then there are $\phi_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 1$, such that $0 \notin \text{supp } \phi_n$, $\phi_n(x) = \phi(x)$ for $|x| \geq 1$ and $\sum_{j \leq k} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\phi_n^{(j)}(x) - \phi^{(j)}(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.)