			Wid Sogata and James Tsai	
		Ideal Score	Score	Lending Club Instructor Notes
	Total Points	100	70	IIIST dotal Notes
Exception	al Work		0	I think this has good potential for resubmission.
why is this	he purpose of the data set you selected. Describe, data important, and how do you know if you have full knowledge from the data set? How would you he effectiveness of a good prediction algorithm? Be	10	7	This is a good start, but lacks some critical information about what constitues a "good enough" model. Your classifier won't be perfect, so it makes sense to estimate the costs of confusions. For example, what is the cost of a false positive? A false negative? Which one is preferred?
	he meaning and type of data (scale, values, etc.) for oute in the data file.	10	9.5	Good. It would be nice to see your decription of some of the categorical attributes that you retained (like grade/ sub-grade).
and outlier	quality: Explain any missing values, duplicate data, s. Are those mistakes? How do you deal with these? Be specific.	15	14	What could you do about the DTI of 9999%? If it's a mistkae, you should probably throw away all those instances. It seems like you should find outliers like this that are likely transcription errors and throw out the data as needed.
median, va anything n something	appropriate statistics (e.g., range, mode, mean, ariance, counts) for a subset of attributes. Describe neaningful you found from this or if you found potentially interesting. Note: You can also use data sources for comparison. Explain why the statistics paningful.	10	7	Good. These visuals exist, but there is very little explanation of them. Mostly theya re one sentence explanations of the type of plot with no conclusions/speculations.
your opini	he most interesting attributes (at least 5 attributes, on on what is interesting). Important: Interpret the is for each.	15	13	I am giving some credit here for the histograms throughoutthough they are never really related back to this deliverable.
via scatter	lationships between attributes: Look at the attributes plots, correlation, cross tabulation, group-wise etc. as appropriate. Explain any interesting ps.	15	13	~Showing the cross tabulations on a log scale for the counts will likely make the bar charts more interprettable across your large dynamic ranges. ~Loved the boxplots, very informative. ~The number of loans grouped by purpose would work better as a bar chart than a table.
and the cl	d explain interesting relationships between features ass you are trying to predict (i.e., relationships with and the target classification).	10	6.5	~I was not a fan of the table "heat map." Also, correlation compared to a categorical variable is a very odd metric to use, potentially not useful. ~Instead I think looking at only the loans not in good standing to see if they exhibited different groupings would be a much better inidicator.
	other features that could be added to the data or om existing features? Which ones?	5	0	Skipped?