Introduction to Currency Crises

The Harvard Business School case study "Currency Crises," authored by Brian P. Irwin and Professor Robert E. Kennedy, conducts a thorough analysis of the currency crises that have affected various nations throughout the latter decades of the twentieth century. This paper takes a dual approach, as it provides an in-depth look at how these crises have disturbed the global economy since the late 20th century and is organized into two main sections. The paper also explains more about each situation and analyzes each crisis by methodically breaking down each one to assess its root causes, precipitating, responses, and economic impacts. The first section offers a chronological review of six major currency crises, and it outlines the economic and political scenarios which led to these upheavals. For instance, the collapse of the Bretton Woods Agreement is linked to the United States' ongoing current account deficits – which ultimately undermined confidence in the dollar-gold parity. The second section shifts to a more analytical approach, as the paper presents detailed profiles of the economic situations of five unidentified countries, labeled Country A through Country E. These profiles include comprehensive data on each country's GDP, balance of payments, exchange rates, and other vital financial metrics; and using this data I will aim to pin down some of the factors and economic vulnerabilities that contribute to the occurrence (or not) of a currency crisis. The paper's first section starts with a historical overview that provides context for the recurring nature and increasing frequency of currency crises globally. It covers a broad range of historical incidents and delivers a chronological overview of the six major currency crises. Also, it details the economic and political contexts that precipitated these events. Each currency crisis case is explored in detail, discussing the economic precursors for each crisis, such as unsustainable fiscal policies, vulnerabilities in currency peg systems, and sudden shifts in investor confidence leading to rapid capital flight. These events are examined not just as isolated economic disturbances but as interconnected occurrences that highlight the complexities of global financial interdependencies. The paper details six historical events, beginning with the Bretton Woods Agreement, which was established in 1944 [1]. This agreement was a pivotal moment in the reorganization of the global financial system following World War II. The system fixed the value of participating countries' currencies to the U.S. dollar, which in turn was pegged to gold at $35 per ounce [1]. However, by the late 1960s, the U.S. faced large current account deficits, causing central banks to exchange dollar holdings for gold, depleting U.S. gold reserves. In 1971, President Richard Nixon suspended gold convertibility, effectively ending the Bretton Woods system [1]. This marked the beginning of a new era of floating exchange rates and frequent currency crises. Following the collapse of Bretton Woods, the Smithsonian Agreement of 1971 (the second historical crisis in the paper) attempted to re-establish the gold standard with a devalued dollar-to-gold rate and wider fluctuation bands [1]. Despite these efforts, speculative pressure persisted, and by 1973, major currencies began to float freely, signaling the failure of fixed exchange rate systems to withstand economic pressures and speculative attacks [1]. The next crisis discussed in the paper is the 1982 Mexican debt crisis, and I think that this was an insightful example the vulnerabilities of fixed exchange rate systems in developing economies. This crisis was fueled by excessive monetary expansion that in turn led to high inflation and necessitated severe devaluations of the peso and suspension of international loan payments by the Mexican government. Also, major capital outflows took place as foreign investors lost confidence. The European Monetary System (EMS) was established in 1979 to limit exchange rate fluctuations among European Community members [1]. The European Monetary System crisis of 1992-1993 was precipitated by differing economic conditions and the downstream effects of the reunification of Germany. The EMS faced speculative attacks that forced the UK and Italy to exit and catalyzed a critical reassessment of the system. The next historical crisis discussed was the 1994 Mexican peso crisis, which I think further underscores the fragility of fixed exchange rate regimes. Despite significant economic reforms, Mexico faced a series of political shocks, including the assassination of key political figures, leading to capital outflows and a sharp devaluation of the peso [1]. The crisis necessitated high interest rates to combat inflation, resulting in a severe contraction of domestic demand and a significant decline in GDP [1]. The final historical crisis that is documented in the paper is the 1997 Asian financial crisis was another landmark event, affecting countries like Thailand, Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia, and the Philippines. Rapid economic growth in the early 1990s attracted substantial capital inflows but rising current account deficits and currency appreciation led to speculative attacks [1]. The Thai baht's devaluation triggered panic selling of other regional currencies, causing widespread economic turmoil [1]. The crisis caused extensive economic distress across several Asian nations and revealed the dangers of excessive reliance on short-term capital inflows and the danger of inadequate financial regulation [1]. I learned a lot from reading about these historical crises, and their precipitating factors. I also learned a lot from the thorough analysis of each event – which provided the economic precursors of each crisis, for instance unsustainable fiscal policies, weaknesses in currency peg systems, and the often-abrupt shifts in investor confidence that can lead to the quick exit of capital. I learned a great deal from this paper as it not only elaborates on the mechanisms and impacts of currency crises, but it also offers a framework for understanding the complex dynamics of global trade and international finance.

Analysis of Countries

The report presents the economic and financial situation for five different countries, and these are illustrative of the different economic contexts and challenges that a country may face. However, of the five countries described, I think that one stands out from the rest as being on the verge of a crisis. I came to this conclusion based on the data that the paper presented about the economic profiles of the five countries (A to E). I then used the information to analyze their GDP, balance of payments, exchange rates, and other financial metrics (with the goal of identifying vulnerabilities). Each country is also examined on aspects such as its economic policies, financial health, political stability, and external economic influences before, during, and after experiencing a currency crisis. The paper explains that this section uses real data to simulate potential scenarios and outcomes, effectively illustrating how different variables can influence the severity and recovery from a crisis [1]. The first country described is Country A, and the paper describes their situation as an industrialized nation with persistent trade deficits and high interest rates, faces recessionary pressures. Its recent adoption of a cooperative currency arrangement adds complexity to its economic management [1]. The second country described is Country B, and the paper describes their situation as a developing country that recently implemented economic reforms, has experienced rapid GDP growth but faces speculative attacks due to regional currency devaluations. The government's proposal to remove investment barriers and eliminate exchange controls aims to attract capital inflows but may expose the economy to increased volatility [1]. The third country described is Country C, and the paper describes their situation as a small developing nation with a diversified economy, has maintained a stable nominal exchange rate and rising capital inflows despite a growing trade deficit. The country's economic reforms and stable political environment make it an attractive destination for investment [1]. The fourth country described is Country D, and the paper describes their situation as an export-oriented nation with strong GDP growth and a trade deficit, has recently increased its external debt to finance growth. The government's gradual easing of FDI regulations indicates a shift towards greater economic liberalization [1]. The fifth and final country described is Country E, and the paper describes their situation as a country undergoing a major economic transition, has implemented tough stabilization measures and attracted growing foreign investment. Despite a deteriorating trade balance, the country's increasing foreign reserves and recent OECD membership suggest a positive outlook [1]. From the countries described in the paper, I think that the country that is on the verge of a crisis is Country B, and I think they are on the verge of crisis for multiple reasons. The first is that they have recently suffered a speculative attack, indicating that it's already viewed as vulnerable by the market [1]. Also, the rapid GDP growth (nearly 40% over five years [1]) may not be sustainable, especially if it has been fueled by volatile capital inflows rather than stable investments. The next point is related to Country B’s fixed currency regime that appears to be under pressure. As, the fixed currency system could come under more pressure if there are further shocks or changes in investor confidence, particularly since the economy seems to have been significantly affected by regional instability. The next reason is due to the country's economic contraction and high unemployment projections [1]. I think that it is highly plausible that the economic contraction and forecasts of high unemployment (up to 20%) for Country B [1] could seriously exacerbate capital outflows. This type of situation would result in a further reduction of foreign exchange reserves and add even greater pressure to the currency peg. However, I would also note that I think the likelihood of a currency crisis for Country E also appears somewhat high (although not as high as Country B). This is because of three primary reasons. The first being the country’s persistent current account deficits, as the worsening trade balance and chronic current account deficits indicate that the country is spending more on imports than it is earning from exports. Second, is their dependence on foreign investment, as the reliance on foreign investment to cover the current account deficits can be risky, especially if global financial conditions tighten or foreign investment sentiment shifts negatively. Lastly, is a reason related to currency management risks – as Country E utilizes a crawling peg system [1]. And the crawling peg system may face pressures if inflation rates diverge significantly from major trading partners, or if the economic fundamentals deteriorate. In summary, while Country E shows considerable risk due to its reliance on foreign investments to cover its widening deficits, and issues with their currency management system. However, I think that Country B is the primary country which has the highest immediate risk of a currency crisis – due to the recent speculative attack, the economic contraction, and a dependency on a fixed exchange system.

Analysis of Factors Relating to Currency Crises

Currency crises are difficult to predict due to several complex and related factors. While there is not one single factor which precipitates a currency crisis, there are many known factors which can contribute to the probability that a currency crisis will occur (or not occur). Additionally, I think that being aware of these factors, and managing them effectively can help with decreasing the likelihood of a currency crisis occurring. I think that one of the key elements in the paper, in triggering currency crises, is the recurring factor of speculative attacks and the role of investor confidence. The case studies illustrate how sudden shifts in investor sentiment, often exacerbated by political turmoil or economic mismanagement, can lead to massive capital exit and rapid devaluation of currencies. I think the paper also illustrated the challenges of maintaining fixed exchange rate systems in the face of global financial integration and the potential risks of contagion effects – where a crisis in one country quickly spreads to other countries (through the highly interconnected financial markets). I think that the first factor to take into consideration when considering what factors contribute to the occurrence of currency crises is speculative attacks and investor confidence – as currency crises are often triggered by sudden shifts in investor sentiment, political instability, or economic mismanagement. Speculative attacks can lead to massive capital flight and rapid currency devaluations [1]. The second factor to take into consideration is regarding fixed exchange rate systems, as maintaining fixed exchange rates in a globally integrated financial system poses significant risks. Crises often occur when countries cannot defend their currency pegs against speculative pressures [2]. Also, the vulnerability of fixed exchange rate systems to speculative attacks and economic mismanagement necessitates a more flexible approach to exchange rate policies. Countries should maintain adequate foreign exchange reserves and implement sound monetary and fiscal policies to mitigate the risks of currency crises [3]. This is a recurring theme in the paper – the vulnerability of fixed exchange rate systems to speculative attacks when not supported by consistent fiscal and monetary policies. The third factor under consideration is the role of international financial institutions in these situations around the globe. Institutions like the IMF play crucial roles in managing currency crises, as they offer financial support and policy guidance. However, their interventions can also be contentious and may not always prevent economic turmoil. In conclusion, I found that there are several common causes (of currency crises) including fixed exchange rate regimes, persistent current account deficits, speculative attacks, fiscal imbalances, excessive foreign debt, and overreliance on foreign investment capital.

<u>In the Wake of the Mexican Peso Crisis</u>

The Argentine banking crisis of 1995, often referred to as the "Tequila Crisis," was a result of the spillover effects from the Mexican financial crisis of December 1994. This crisis began in Mexico (1994) due to a sudden devaluation of the peso – which led to a loss of investor confidence and massive capital flight. This event is known as the "Tequila Crisis" [4]. Also, the contagion effect played a role as the crisis quickly spread to other emerging markets, particularly in Latin America, due to investors' heightened risk aversion and withdrawal of funds from the region. Following this Mexican devaluation, Argentina experienced significant capital outflows. Investors, worried about the stability of other emerging markets, started withdrawing their investments from Argentina. The Argentine peso, which was pegged to the US dollar under the Convertibility Plan, came under severe pressure. The fixed exchange rate system limited the central bank's ability to respond to the crisis through monetary policy adjustments [4]. Considering this difficult situation, Argentina was able to survive the 1995 crisis, primarily through a combination of stringent government measures, international support, and structural reforms that stabilized the economy and maintained the confidence of international investors [4]. I think that the key factors that helped Argentina navigate through the crisis are the Convertibility Plan, government and central bank actions, international assistance, economic reforms, and improved risk management. Argentina's Convertibility Plan, established in 1991, pegged the Argentine peso to the U.S. dollar at a one-to-one rate [4]. This policy provided a strong anchor against hyperinflation and maintained monetary stability during the crisis [4]. This peg to the dollar helped maintain public confidence in the peso during the regional turmoil, as it assured convertibility of pesos into dollars at a fixed rate. Regarding the actions of the central bank and the government, I think that the supportive actions taken during the crisis helped the country survive in the wake of 1995. For example, the

Argentine Central Bank provided significant liquidity support to the banking sector to ensure that banks remained solvent and could meet the surge in withdrawal demands. Also, the government quickly implemented regulatory measures to stabilize the banking system, including restrictions on withdrawals and increased oversight on banking operations. International support was also a reason that Argentina was able to make it through the crisis, as Argentina received support from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and other international financial institutions [4]. Additionally, external lines of credit were secured from international banks, which provided additional financial resources to help the country manage the crisis [4]. Post-crisis banks improved their risk management practices, which is a beneficial improvement and aimed to mitigate potential future crises [4]. Lastly, the government took emergency measures which stabilized the situation in the short term, but the crisis revealed the country's need for financial system reforms. Because while Argentina did manage to survive the 1995 crisis, the measures taken by the government to achieve stabilization in the short-term – had long-term consequences also [4]. For example, the reliance on external borrowing and the rigidity of the Convertibility Plan eventually contributed to long-term consequences such as the 2001-2002 economic crisis [4]. In conclusion, Argentina's survival of the 1995 crisis was due to multiple factors which mitigated the severity of the crisis and helped to stabilize the economy. These factors included government and central bank intervention, economic policy reforms, and international support. However, despite the measures taken by the Argentine government, underlying economic vulnerabilities persisted and would continue to be a long-term problem for the country. This happened because while the Argentine government was working to stabilize the crisis (this present goal requiring short-term focus), the underlying issues were not effectively addressed. As a result, these unaddressed issues and vulnerabilities remained, and combined with a dependency on external borrowing (along with other factors) the country was highly vulnerable to long-term problems and crises – as evidenced by the severe economic collapse of Argentina in 2001 [4].

The Prediction Difficulty of Currency Crises

The paper primarily suggests several key reasons for currency crises, and while crises are difficult to predict, the paper does focus on both structural vulnerabilities and triggers that can lead to these crises. The paper highlights that while currency crises are challenging to predict, certain economic indicators like persistent current account deficits, reliance on fixed exchange rates, and inadequate fiscal policies can significantly increase the vulnerability to a currency crisis [1]. The paper addresses these precipitating (or vulnerability) factors, such as persistent current account deficits, high levels of foreign debt, fiscal imbalances, external shock, contagion from financial crises elsewhere, loss of investor confidence, speculative attacks, and the inherent vulnerabilities in fixed exchange rate regimes [1]. By combining the theoretical frameworks with empirical data from historical crises, I think the paper provides a great illustration of the interplay that takes place between economic policies and global financial markets. I agree with the paper that many currency crises are preceded by large and persistent current account deficits. This indicates that a country is spending more on imports than it is earning from exports, leading to a reliance on foreign capital to finance the deficit [3]. When foreign capital becomes scarce or suddenly withdraws, it can lead to a currency crisis. Also, regarding foreign debt, the paper highlights that countries that accumulate large amounts of debt denominated in foreign currencies are at increased risk [1]. I think that a crucial trigger for many currency crises is a sudden loss of confidence among investors and creditors. This can be due to political instability, changes in fiscal or monetary policy, or broader economic shocks. Once confidence erodes, capital outflows can lead to a rapid depreciation of the currency. Additionally, speculators may target a currency they perceive as weak or vulnerable, betting against it to profit from a potential devaluation [1]. This speculative pressure can force a central bank to exhaust its foreign exchange reserves in defense of the currency's value, potentially leading to a crisis when these reserves are depleted [1]. Another factor is related to policy failings, as inadequate or inappropriate fiscal and monetary policies can exacerbate the vulnerabilities. For example, keeping interest rates too low can fuel inflation and deter foreign investment, while fiscal imprudence can lead to unsustainable debt levels [1]. There is also the fact that external economic shocks, such as sudden changes in commodity prices, financial crises in key economic partners, or significant shifts in global interest rates, can also precipitate currency crises. This is because these external shocks can alter the economic landscape unexpectedly, and as a result affect balances of payments and investor perceptions [3]. Lastly, contagion from a regional country or an international financial crisis is a noteworthy factor. As seen in the Asian Financial Crisis of 1997 and other regional crises, currency troubles can spread from one country to another (regionally or globally) through the interconnected financial links and loss of confidence spreading among investors.

In conclusion, this paper provides insight into the need for rational economic policies, careful monitoring of economic indicators, methodical risk management associated with fixed exchange rate systems, and the importance of political stability. I also think this paper offers a solid foundation for understanding the complex interplay of factors that contribute to and precipitate currency crises. The paper combined historical information with situational scenarios of countries (which had their own economic profiles), and I was able to learn a lot from this paper’s unique double-sided approach to analyzing currency crises and the plethora of factors which contribute to the complexity of these events.

Citation of Sources

[1] Irwin, B. P., & Kennedy, R. E. (1999). Currency Crises (Harvard Business School Case No. 9-799-088). Harvard Business School Publishing.

[2] Dornbusch, R., Goldfajn, I., & Valdes, R. (1995). Currency Crises and Collapses. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity.

[3] Various Authors (2024, July 15). Global Financial Stability Report. International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/GFSR

[4] Saxton, J. (2003, June). *Argentina's economic crisis: Causes and cures*. Joint Economic Committee, United States Congress. Retrieved from http://www.house.gov/jec/