

## Complexity, 2006-2007

James Lawson

- 1.(a)
  - i.  $L$  is NP if there is a nondeterministic Turing machine that decides  $L$  in polynomial time.
  - ii.  $L$  is NP-complete if it is in NP, and is NP-hard: if  $L' \in \text{NP}$  then  $L' \leq L$ .
  - iii. Assume  $L$  is NP-complete. Assume for contradiction that  $L$  is in P. Then take any language  $L'$  in NP. So  $L' \leq L$ . But given that  $L$  is in P, we deduce that  $L$  is in P by the downward closure property of reduction. In other words, we have shown that  $\text{P} \subseteq \text{NP}$ . We already know that  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{P}$ , so  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$  giving a contradiction.
- (b)
  - i. TRI is given sets  $B, G, H$ , each with  $n$  elements and triples  $T \subseteq B \times G \times H$ , is there a subset  $T' \subseteq T$  such that  $T'$  has  $n$  elements and no two triples in  $T'$  have an element in common.
  - ii. Consider a reduction  $\text{TRI} \leq \text{SUBSETSUM}$ .  
Take  $B, G, H$  of size  $n$  and  $T$  of size  $m$ .  
For each  $t_i \in T$ , associate string, of  $3n$  0/1s for a  $(m+1)$ -ary numbers.  
 $f(B, G, H, T) = (W, K)$  where  $K = \sum_{i=0}^{3n-1} (1+m)^i$  and  $W = \{w_{t_i} \mid t_i \in T\}$ .
    - $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume  $(B, G, H, T)$  has a matching, then the sum will have  $3n$  1s because there are no common elements so each  $n$ -bit grouping has unique operands in the summation.
    - $(\Leftarrow)$  Assume there is a subset sum in  $f(B, G, H, T)$ .  
There is some  $w_{t_1} + w_{t_2} + \dots + w_{t_k} = K$ .  $K$  is a series of  $3n$  1s. Each group of  $n$  bits, is a series of 1s. Since each element has a unique  $n$ -string each bit is covered by exactly one element. So  $k = n$  and each  $w_{t_i}$  corresponds to a different tuple, and the tuples sum to  $K$ .