#### Project A3 — Approximate Membership Filters (XOR vs Cuckoo vs Quotient)

Approximate membership structures answer "is x probably in the set?" far faster and smaller than exact indexes. Modern designs—XOR filter, Cuckoo filter, and Quotient filter—extend classic Bloom filters with better space/speed trade-offs and, in some cases, deletions and more cache-friendly access. This project implements all three, builds a solid baseline (blocked Bloom), and maps when each design wins.

#### Learning Goals (What your experiments must reveal)

- Implement and validate XOR, Cuckoo, and Quotient filters (and a blocked Bloom baseline).
- Quantify bits per entry (BPE) vs false positive rate (FPR) and lookup/insert/delete throughput.
- Characterize tail latency (p95/p99) and negative-lookup heavy workloads.
- Understand dynamic vs static trade-offs (XOR is static; Cuckoo/Quotient support online inserts/deletes).
- Tie observations to cache/TLB/branch behavior and load factor effects.

#### **Tools You Use**

- **C/C++** (GCC/Clang) with `-O3 -march=native` (or NEON on ARM).
- A fast non-cryptographic hash (e.g., xxHash/Murmur3) with distinct seeds.
- 'perf stat' (or similar) for uarch counters; timing harness & plotting scripts.

**Scope:** In-memory filters. Implement the core data structures **yourself** (no third-party libraries). SIMD is encouraged for bucket probes and small scans.

#### **Scope & Requirements**

- **XOR filter (static):** Build-time construction over a fixed key set; three hash positions; compact fingerprint array (8–16 bits). Must support **build + query**.
- Cuckoo filter (dynamic): Bucketized table (e.g., 2 choices, bucket size k=4), fingerprints per slot, insert, query, delete, bounded evictions, optional small stash. Measure behavior near high load factors.
- **Quotient filter (dynamic):** Single array with quotient/remainder; metadata bits (occupied, continuation, shifted). Support **insert**, **query**, **delete**. Emphasize contiguous, cache-friendly scans.
- Blocked Bloom baseline: Cache-line-blocked bitset; Supports insert + query (no deletes).

All structures use **64-bit keys** and a common API for apples-to-apples comparisons.

## **Experimental Knobs (orthogonal axes)**

- Set size (n): 1M, 5M, 10M (scale up if RAM allows).
- Target FPRs: 5%, 1%, 0.1% (choose fingerprint sizes / table sizes accordingly).
- Workloads:
  - o Read-mostly: 95% queries / 5% inserts (for dynamic filters).
  - o Balanced: 50/50.
  - o Read-only: 100% queries (all).
  - o Negative-lookup share: 0%, 50%, 90%.
- Load factor (dynamic only): Sweep  $0.4 \rightarrow 0.95$  (step 0.05).
- Fingerprint width: 8, 12, 16 bits (Cuckoo/XOR).

Threads: 1, 2, 4, 8, ... to physical cores (pinned)

#### **Required Experiments & Plots**

#### 1. Space vs accuracy:

- For each structure at the three target FPRs (false positive rate), measure bits per entry (including metadata) and achieved FPR on an independent negative set.
- Show theory lines for Bloom (optional) to contextualize.

### 2. Lookup throughput & tails:

- Queries/second vs negative-lookup share  $(0 \rightarrow 90\%)$ .
- Report p50/p95/p99 latency for each structure and FPR.

#### 3. Insert/delete throughput (dynamic):

- Cuckoo & Quotient: ops/s across load factors; record insertion failure rate (cuckoo) and average probe length.
- Cuckoo: bounded evictions, stash hits; Quotient: cluster length histograms.

#### 4. Thread scaling:

• Throughput vs threads for read-mostly and balanced mixes; document concurrency control (per-bucket locks, striped locks, or lock-free lookups) and contention points.

#### Reporting & Deliverables (commit everything to GitHub)

- **Source code** for XOR, Cuckoo, Quotient filters, plus blocked Bloom; uniform CLI and benchmark harness; plotting scripts.
- **Setup/methodology:** CPU/ISA, compiler & flags, OS, governor/SMT, pinning, hash choices/seeds, dataset generation.
- Plots/tables with units and error bars (≥3 runs). Clear labels showing fixed vs varied knobs.
- Analysis connecting BPE/FPR/throughput to structure internals (fingerprints, clusters, bucket size), counters, and load factor.
- **Limitations/anomalies** (e.g., rebuilds for XOR, stash growth, long quotient clusters) with hypotheses and mitigations.

#### **Grading Rubric (Total 180 pts)**

#### Implementation (70)

- (20) Correct XOR filter build & query; verifies target FPR.
- (20) Correct **Cuckoo filter** with insert/delete, bounded evictions, stash.
- (20) Correct **Quotient filter** with insert/delete (metadata handling).
- (10) **Blocked Bloom** baseline and common benchmark harness.

#### **Experiment Design (40)**

(20) Complete sweeps (FPRs, load factor, negative rate, threads, distributions).

- (10) Fair, apples-to-apples parameters and independent test sets.
- (10) Tail-latency and cold/warm methodology.

## 5. Performance Results (40)

- (15) Clear BPE vs FPR and throughput vs negative-rate plots; error bars.
- (15) Insert/delete throughput vs load factor; failure/cluster statistics.
- (10) Thread scaling plots with discussion.

# 6. Reporting Quality (30)

• (30) Clean repo, reproducible scripts, labeled plots, and practical conclusions (when to choose which filter).