Abstract

1 Introduction

2 Fields and Properties

In subsequent sections, I will implement algorithms for both dynamic and growth arrays. In this section, I define the **fields** and **properties** these data structures are assumed to have for those algorithms. **Fields** are variables associated with an object that may be read from or written to. **Properties** are trivial, constant-time methods that do not change state.

If L is a dynamic array, then it is assumed to have the following fields:

- L.Buf The **buffer**, or raw array, that L stores its items in.
- L.Size The number of items in L.

As a dynamic array, L is also given the following properties. := denotes a definition, as opposed to = which checks equivalence. Functions that return boolean values are suffixed with ?.

```
ightharpoonup \operatorname{Returns} the capacity of L L.Cap := L.Buf.Len 
ightharpoonup \operatorname{Returns} whether L is full L.Full? := L.Size = L.Cap
```

If L is a growth array, then it is assumed to have the following fields:

- L. Head The head of L. It returns the buffer we are currently adding items to.
- L.Tail The tail of L. It returns a dynamic array of buffers that have already been filled. This can be thought of as a two-dimensional array.
- L.Size The number of items in L.
- L.Cap The **capacity** of L. It returns the maximum number of items L can hold without resizing.

As a growth array, L is also given the following properties:

```
L.Empty? := L.Size = 0
\triangleright Returns whether L is full L.Full? := L.Size = L.Cap
```

 \triangleright Returns whether L is empty

ightharpoonupReturns the capacity of HeadL.Hcap := L.Head.Len

 \triangleright Returns the size of Head

 \triangleright **Rationale:** Cap - Hcap is the total capacity of the buffers in Tail.

 \triangleright Then, Size - (Cap - Hcap) is the number of items that were added

 \triangleright after depleting the buffers in Tail.

L.Hsize := L.Size - (L.Cap - L.Hcap)

3 Common Operations

In this section, I implement common operations for dynamic and growth arrays, and analyze their time complexity. I also analyze space complexity of operations that allocate memory.

In order to highlight the benefit of growth arrays, I must define a new notation for time complexity. The reason for this is, for certain operations, growth arrays are only better than dynamic arrays by a constant factor. For example, dynamic arrays might allocate roughly 2n memory for appending n items, while growth arrays would allocate roughly n memory. Even though growth arrays are clearly better in this regard, the big-O space complexity for both data structures would be the same, O(n).

My goal is to be able to compare the coefficients of the highest-order terms in both expressions. For example, I would like to take the ratio $\frac{2n}{n}$, see that it is 2, and conclude that dynamic arrays allocate roughly twice as much as growth arrays for large n.

To do this, I define an alternative to big-O, which I will call "big-P notation". I define P(f(n)) to be the class of functions g such that $g(n) \to f(n)$ for large n. Formally,

$$g \in P(f(n)) \leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 1$$

Like $O,\ P$ can be distributed and "un-distributed" over arithmetic operations. I define

$$\begin{split} P(f(n)) + P(g(n)) &:= P(f(n) + g(n)) \\ P(f(n)) - P(g(n)) &:= P(f(n) - g(n)) \\ P(f(n)) \cdot P(g(n)) &:= P(f(n) \cdot g(n)) \\ \frac{P(f(n))}{P(g(n))} &:= P\left(\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}\right) \end{split}$$

Notice that while O(2n) = O(n), $P(2n) \neq P(n)$. However, P still retains some nice properties of O. In particular, it eliminates lower-order terms from consideration: $P(n) = P(n + \log_2 n)$, for example. This is stated formally in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. If
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0$$
, then $P(f(n) + g(n)) = P(f(n))$.

To prove this, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Each function f(n) has a unique class of functions under P. That is, suppose $f \in P(g(n))$ and $f \in P(h(n))$. Then P(g(n)) = P(h(n)).

Proof. By definition, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{h(n)} = 1$. Flipping the first equation and multiplying, we receive

$$\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}\right) \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{h(n)}\right) = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{h(n)} = 1$$

$$g \in P(h(n))$$

Now, consider any $g' \in P(g(n))$. Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g'(n)}{g(n)} = 1$$

$$\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g'(n)}{g(n)}\right) \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{h(n)}\right) = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g'(n)}{h(n)} = 1$$

it follows that $g' \in P(h(n))$, and thus $P(g(n)) \subseteq P(h(n))$. By a similar argument, it can be shown $P(h(n)) \subseteq P(g(n))$. Thus it must be true that P(g(n)) = P(h(n)).

Now 3.1 can be proved as follows:

Proof.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n) + g(n)}{f(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{f(n)} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}$$

$$= 1 + 0$$

$$= 1$$

Then $f(n) + g(n) \in P(f(n))$. Since it is also true that $f(n) + g(n) \in P(f(n) + g(n))$, it follows from 3.2 that P(f(n)) = P(f(n) + g(n)).

The following mathematical definitions will be used while analyzing time and space complexity:

• P(f(n)) - This is an alternative to big-O notation that I will name "big-P notation". It is similar to big-O, but it preserves the coefficient of the fastest-growing term in f(n). For example, O(2n) = O(n), but $P(2n) \neq P(n)$. This makes it possible to compare two time complexities, if their ratio tends to a constant for large n.

More formally, P(f(n)) = P(g(n)) iff

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1$$

.

3.1 Appending

Description

Appending is the most common operation on dynamic arrays¹. Growth arrays improve the performance of appending in two ways: by allocating less memory, and reducing the amount of copying.

Dynamic array implementation

I will first implement appending for dynamic arrays. Let L be a dynamic array. The following definitions are used in the code:

initial capacity The capacity of a dynamic a array when one item is appended to it. I denote this C_f .

(The capacity of any dynamic array with size zero should be zero.)

growth factor The factor by which the current capacity is multiplied to get the new capacity when L is resized. I denote this with G.

Time complexity

Before I analyze the time complexity of [append], I consider a different method for measuring its cost. Suppose I start with an empty list and n elements are appended. How many times is an element stored in an array? I will term the answer to this question the **write cost** of n appends, and denote it W(n).

In the above code, one write is performed after the conditional, so it is apparent that $W(n) \geq n$ after n appends. However, [resize] also does some writing, so in order to find a precise formula for W(n), I need to analyze when [resize] is called. Consider the following lemma:

[lemma] Let L by a dynamic array. As n are appended, the sequence of capacities L takes on is

$$S_C = 0, \ C_f, \ GC_f, \ G^2C_f, \ \dots \ G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil}C_f$$

[proof] The capacity starts out at zero and becomes C_f once one element is appended. From then on, it can only grow by a factor of G via [resize], so if G^iC_f is the current capacity then $G^{i+1}C_f$ must be the next capacity. By induction, the rest of the sequence is

$$\left\{G^iC_f\right\}_{i=1}^k$$

for some k. Since the capacity is only as big as is needs to be, namely greater than or equal to n, k is the smallest integer for which $G^kC_f \geq n$. Using this property, I now derive k.

$$G^{k}C_{f} \ge n$$

$$G^{k} \ge \frac{n}{C_{f}}$$

$$k \ge \log_{G} n - \log_{G} C_{f}$$

$$k \ge \log_{G} n - \log_{G} C_{f} > k - 1$$

$$k = \lceil \log_{G} n - \log_{G} C_{f} \rceil$$

Then the final term in the sequence is $G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil} C_f$, completing the proof.

[make lemma] Now, consider that to grow by G [resize] must be called. So G^kC_f being present in S_C means [resize] was called at size $G^{k-1}C_f$ for $k \geq 1$. Then [resize] was called at the sizes

$$S_R = 0, C_f, GC_f, G^2C_f, \dots G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil - 1}C_f$$

Each time [resize] is called, i items are copied where i is the current size of the dynamic array. Then the total number of items copied for n appends is

$$0 + C_f + GC_f + \dots + G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil - 1} C_f = \left(\frac{G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil} - 1}{G - 1} \right) C_f$$

Finally, appending the writes made for every item in [append], I finally find an explicit formula for W(n).

[ref1]
$$W(n) = n + \left(\frac{G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f$$

[ref2] To make this expression easier to work with, I will write it in big-P notation to approximate it for large n. First I note that $\log_G k \leq \lceil \log_G k \rceil < (\log_G k) + 1$ for any k > 0, so $k \leq G^{\lceil \log_G k \rceil} < Gk$. Then

$$W(n) = n + \left(\frac{G^{\left\lceil \log_G\left(\frac{n}{C_f}\right)\right\rceil} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f$$

$$n + \left(\frac{\frac{n}{C_f} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f \le W(n) < n + \left(\frac{G\frac{n}{C_f} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f$$

$$n + \left(\frac{n - C_f}{G - 1}\right) \le W(n) < n + \left(\frac{Gn - C_f}{G - 1}\right)$$

$$P\left(n + \left(\frac{n - C_f}{G - 1}\right)\right) \le P\left(W(n)\right) < P\left(n + \left(\frac{Gn - C_f}{G - 1}\right)\right)$$

$$P\left(\left(\frac{G}{G - 1}\right)n\right) \le P\left(W(n)\right) < P\left(\left(\frac{2G - 1}{G - 1}\right)n\right)$$

Space complexity

I wish to find the space allocated while appending n items to a dynamic array. I denote this quantity S(n), and define it as the total length of all arrays allocated during n [append] calls. I now derive a formula for S(n).

From [lemma] I know the exact capacities L takes on as n items are appended. Dynamic arrays also have the property that $i \in S_C$ iff an array of length i was allocated. Then the total length of arrays allocated is

$$S(n) = \sum_{i \in S_C} i$$

$$= 0 + C_f + GC_f + G^2C_f + \dots + G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil} C_f$$

$$= \left(\frac{G^{\lceil \log_G n - \log_G C_f \rceil + 1} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f$$

Using the identity in [ref2] again, I bound its big-P complexity:

$$\left(\frac{G\frac{n}{C_f} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f \le S(n) < \left(\frac{G^2 \frac{n}{C_f} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f$$

$$P\left(\left(\frac{G\frac{n}{C_f} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f\right) \le P\left(S(n)\right) < P\left(\left(\frac{G^2 \frac{n}{C_f} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f\right)$$

$$P\left(\left(\frac{G}{G - 1}\right) n\right) \le P\left(S(n)\right) < P\left(\left(\frac{G^2}{G - 1}\right) n\right)$$

Growth array implementation

Time complexity

I again start off by finding the write cost when n are appended. I give the write cost function a slightly different name, W'(n), so I can compare it to W(n) later. I also use G' and C'_f instead of G and C_f for the growth factor and initial capacity, respectively.

[That lemma] still holds, since all of the assumptions made there are also true for growth arrays. In particular, although growth arrays resize slightly differently than dynamic arrays, the following claim is still true:

[other lemma] The capacity of a growth array grows by a constant factor G' after the first time it is called.

[proof] I prove that the algorithm ensures this using induction.

For the base case, consider the second time [resize] is called: the current capacity is C_f' , and the next (total) capacity should be $G'C_f'$. Then a buffer of size $(G'-1)C_f'$ should be appended, and that is what the algorithm does.

For $i \geq 2$, given the algorithm behaves correctly the ith time it is called, I wish to show it behaves correctly the (i+1)th time. From the inductive hypothesis, when the algorithm is called the (i+1)th time, the current capacity is $G'^iC'_f$ and the head capacity is $(G'^i-G'^{i-1})C'_f$. The next (total) capacity should be $G'^{i+1}C'_f$, and the next head capacity should be $(G'^{i+1}-G'^i)C'_f$, which is G' times the current head capacity. The algorithm determines the capacity of the next buffer in this manner, proving the inductive step and completing the induction.

Now I take a look at [resize]. Unlike dynamic arrays, the number of writes performed does not equal i if the current size is i. No items are being copied; the only source of writes is appending a buffer to the tail. The goal is to find the number of writes that causes.

Let $W_R(n)$ denote the total number of writes made by [resize]. From [make lemma], I know that [resize] is called at the sizes

$$S_R = 0, C'_f, G'C'_f, G'^2C'_f, \dots G'^{\lceil \log_{G'} n - \log_{G'} C'_f \rceil - 1}C'_f$$

Let k be the number of times the tail is appended to. Since the tail is a dynamic array, the number of writes it makes is W(k). Since [resize] is called $|S_R|$ times and it appends to the tail each time except for the first, $k = |S_R| - 1 = \lceil \log_{G'} n - \log_{G'} C'_f \rceil$. Then the formula for $W_R(n)$ is

$$W_R(n) = k + \left(\frac{G^{\lceil \log_G k - \log_G C_f \rceil} - 1}{G - 1}\right) C_f$$

where C_f G are the capacity of the tail (not the growth array). I finally conclude that

$$W'(n) = n + k + \left(\frac{G^{\lceil \log_G k - \log_G C_f \rceil} - 1}{G - 1}\right)C_f$$

I now approximate this expression with big-P notation. First, I establish an approximation for k using big-O:

$$k = \lceil \log_{G'} n - \log_{G'} C'_f \rceil$$
$$O(k) = O(\log n)$$

Then, it is shown that the k-term disappears when analyzing the big-P complexity of W'(n), leaving just P(n):

$$P(W'(n)) = P(n + W(k))$$

$$= P(n) + P(W(k))$$

$$= P(n) + O(\log n)$$

$$= P(n)$$

Space complexity

The space function for n [append] calls on a growth array is denoted S'(n). Since growth arrays never throw away item buffers, if the current capacity is C then the total length of item buffers is also C. S'(n) is usually greater than C, however; this is because growth arrays not only allocate item buffers, but stores references to them in the tail. Thus the space the tail allocates must also be determined.

I determined in [...] that if n are appended then the tail is appended to k times, where $k = \lceil \log_{G'} n - \log_{G'} C_f' \rceil$. Since the tail is a dynamic array, it allocates S(k) space, where S is the space function of the tail. Through a similar method to [...] it can be shown $O(S(k)) = O(k) = O(\log n)$. Then

$$S'(n) = P(2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil}) = O(n)$$

Time complexity comparison

Space complexity comparison

3.2 Indexing

Description

Indexing is another very common operation on a list. I will call methods that get or set an item at a specified index **get** and **set indexers**, respectively.

3.3 Iterating

Description

Iteration of a list is the process of performing some action on each of its elements.

3.4 Copying to an array

Description

Users often want to take list structures, such as dynamic arrays, and convert them into plain arrays. There are multiple reasons why someone would want to do this after they are done appending to the list:

- Plain arrays hold on to exactly the amount of memory needed to hold their elements. However, dynamic and growth arrays allocate more space than necessary to optimize appending new items.
- The user wants to call a function in third-party code that takes a plain array as an argument.

•

- Plain arrays are contiguous, while growth arrays are fragmented and have worse locality.
- The indexer of growth arrays is several times slower than that of plain arrays, whether the O(1) or $O(\log n)$ implementation is chosen.

4 Other Operations

- 5 Implementations
- 6 Benchmarks
- 7 Closing Remarks