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Have I succeeded?

* Rehash why I wanted to transform RGs from a “it seemed like a good idea at the time” to a thought through intervention.
  + Main motivator was to increase efficacy and raise standard of reporting
  + But I haven’t done any kind of comparison or evaluation. Could be done in future
  + Process did raise some interesting points though.
    - Norman door
    - Intention of guideline developers is for authors to consider nuanced guidance when writing.
    - But existing distribution relied heavily on checklist enforcement by journals. Checklists lack nuance, don’t link to full guidance, often much easier to find than supplementary E&Es. So guidelines were often perceived *as* the checklists, and as an administrative task to rather than help, and as design restrictions rather than description recommendations.