

1 Discussion

In this thesis I explored how natural and altered environmental conditions shape the ecology of riparian plant communities. In this final chapter I aim to summarise the contribution of my thesis to the greater body of riparian plant ecology and river restoration research, outline outstanding questions raised by my work, and present some possible avenues for future work.

1.1 Summary of findings

In Chapter 2, I asked the following research questions: (1) does wood density increase with increasing frequency and magnitude of flood disturbance? (2) does wood density increase with increasing unpredictability of water availability in the riparian zone? (3) does dispersion of wood density peak at intermediate levels of hydrological disturbance? I found evidence for an affirmative answer to all three questions. Community mean wood density was strongly correlated with metrics of frequency and magnitude of flood disturbance, as well as variability of water availability in the riparian zone, and dispersion of wood dispersion indeed peaked at intermediate levels of hydrological disturbance and variability.

In Chapter 3, I asked: (1) Is functional trait diversity related to the frequency and magnitude of flooding disturbance? (2) Is functional trait diversity related to variability in seasonal water availability within the riparian zone? I found strong associations between functional trait diversity and metrics describing frequency and magnitude of flooding disturbance and variability in seasonal water availability within the riparian zone.

In Chapter 4, I investigated relationships between environmental variables and species richness, functional trait diversity, and exotic abundance, with a focus on the role of environmental heterogeneity and modification of river flows and landscapes by human activity. I asked: (1a) do species richness and functional diversity increase and abundance of exotic species decrease monotonically with in-

creasing hydrological heterogeneity? (1b) do species richness, functional diversity and abundance of exotic species show unimodal relationships with hydrological heterogeneity? (2) do species richness and functional diversity decrease and abundance of exotic species increase along gradients of increasing flow modification and catchment land-use intensity? With respect to (1a), patterns of species richness and exotic abundance were opposite to expectation, and findings were inconclusive for functional trait diversity. Our findings were also inconclusive with respect to (1b), with limited evidence that functional diversity is unimodally related to hydrological heterogeneity. Relationships between species richness and exotic abundance with metrics of flow modification opposed our expectation under (2), and while production land use was associated with higher exotic abundance, no effect of land use on species richness was found. I found weak evidence supporting flow modification as a control on functional diversity, and no evidence for an effect of catchment land-use intensity.

In Chapter 5, I tested for interactive effects between eCO₂ and waterlogging on gas exchange, biomass accumulation and allocation, and functional traits in riparian tree seedlings. I found no interactive effects on *Acacia floribunda* or *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, but strong interactive effects on *Casuarina cunninghamiana*.

1.2 Biogeographic context

The riparian plant communities described here were located primarily along coastally drained rivers in partly constrained valley settings, spanning the temperate southeast and subtropical eastern Australia. Figure 1.1 shows a map of the field sites surveyed in Chapters 2-4. Although no systematic review has summarised ecological knowledge of Australian riparian plant communities, more research attention appears to have been focused on semi-arid, inland-draining systems such as the Murray Darling Basin, or larger tropical rivers, than on these smaller coastal sys-

tems.

Much of the canonical riparian plant ecology literature focuses on alluvial river systems in Europe and North America Corenblit et al. (2007); Naiman and De-camps (1997); Naiman et al. (2005); Nilsson et al. (1989); Tabacchi et al. (1998). Flow regimes in south-eastern Australia diverge considerably from this canon: the seasonal regularity which characterises nival European and North American rivers is often absent. In Australia, substantial year-by-year variability is evident and Australian rivers are known for having some of the highest coefficients of flow variability in the world Peel et al. (2004); Rustomji et al. (2009). South-eastern Australian riparian plants exhibit characteristic species-level responses to seasonality, although there is no general coordination of growth and reproductive phenologies as in the Northern Hemisphere Ford et al. (1979). As such, Australian riparian plant communities are likely to be adapted to different environmental controls. In common with North American systems, however, the signature of rapid landscape modification has been etched deeply into fluvial landscapes. Many rivers have undergone irreversible transitions in physical and ecological condition following European settlement Brierley et al. (1999); Fleischner (1994); Knopf et al. (1988); Wasson (1994), and the mid-20th century saw the rise of extensive flow impoundment schemes in both continents Graf (2006); Lloyd et al. (2004).

This body of work therefore contributes fresh perspective to the global literature, from species pools subject to a different evolutionary history and operating under different environmental conditions to the most commonly described riparian ecosystems.




Figure 1.1: Map showing study areas, and geographical distribution of field sites described in Chapters 2 & 3 (lower left) and 4 (lower right) (Google Maps 2015).

1.3 Ecological responses of riparian plant communities to fluvial hydrology

The relationship between environmental heterogeneity and biodiversity has been a key focus of ecologists since the early 1960s MacArthur and MacArthur (1961); Stein et al. (2014). Riparian landscapes provide particularly useful model systems for exploring hypotheses about environmental heterogeneity due to strong control of biotic assemblages by fluvial hydrology. In tandem with disturbance, hydrologically-driven environmental heterogeneity has taken a central role in our conceptualisation of how riparian ecosystems function Naiman et al. (2005); Poff et al. (1997).

Chapters 2, 3 and 4 tested hypotheses derived from this paradigm. Broadly, this work confirms the importance of hydrological heterogeneity and fluvial disturbance in shaping riparian plant assemblages. The specific contribution of these chapters to the riparian literature lies in the mechanistic, functional trait-based approach used. Through the lens of functional traits, I have begun to address questions about how flow regime influences ecological strategies of riparian plants at the community level, and how the functional organisation of communities varies along hydrological gradients.

In Chapter 2, I found that wood density, a functional trait associated with resistance to mechanical disturbance and drought tolerance Chave et al. (2009); Niklas and Spatz (2010), varied strongly in response to patterns of hydrology. Community mean values of wood density increased with the intensity of fluvial disturbance and flow heterogeneity; communities which experienced more variable flow conditions were shifted towards the slow, conservative end of the spectrum of resource-economic ecological strategies Reich (2014). Wood density in turn influences wood decomposition rates Mori et al. (2013) which has implications for ecosystem nutrient cycling and energetic fluxes in riparian ecosystems Harmon

et al. (1986), as well as for the residence time of geomorphically active large woody debris in river systems Cadol and Wohl (2010); Gurnell et al. (2002). I also found a humped relationship between community-weighted variance in wood density and the same combined gradient of disturbance and hydrological heterogeneity, lending evidence to general hypotheses (from outside of the riparian literature) that intermediate levels of disturbance should promote divergence in disturbance-response strategies Grime (2006); Sonnier et al. (2010). Given the substantial cost to plants incurred in setting down dense woody tissue Falster (2006), these findings demonstrate that some of the key trade-offs negotiated by plants in riparian communities are made in response to fluvial disturbance and hydrological heterogeneity.

Plant life forms and qualitatively derived functional groups have been used for some time to describe functional organisation in riparian plant communities Brinson (1993); Stromberg (2013); Stromberg et al. (2010). In Chapters 3 & 4 I derived quantitative, continuous indices of functional diversity from vegetation survey and data for a range of functional traits with the intent of capturing key axes of variation in riparian plant ecological strategy. Using functional traits as descriptors of ecological strategy provides generality across systems Lavorel and Garnier (2002); Suding et al. (2008), and negates any requirement for expert knowledge to assign species to qualitative functional groups. These indices of functional diversity also facilitate the use of quantitative modelling methods Mason et al. (2013), and allow more solid inferences to be made about how individual components of flow regime influence community assembly and ecosystem processes than are possible using taxonomic metrics of diversity Díaz et al. (1998); Tilman et al. (1997).

Patterns of variation in functional dispersion measured in natural landscapes of coastal south-eastern Australia (described in Chapter 3) showed strong positive relationships with metrics describing hydrological heterogeneity. While I did not systematically describe variation in species richness along hydrological gradients,

species richness (but not the number of species used in the functional dispersion analysis) was significantly positively correlated with functional dispersion. I was able to generate a multiple regression model which explained 80 % of the total variation in functional dispersion using three hydrological metrics. Partitioning of variance between this model and optimal models generated using climatic and soil variables, showed that a substantial proportion of variance explained by hydrology was not co-explained by climate or soil, further demonstrating the dominance of fluvial disturbance and flow variability in shaping the functional structure of these plant communities.

Riparian plant communities in south-eastern Queensland (described in Chapter 4) showed somewhat different responses to hydrology. Several additional environmental variables were taken into account to quantify the degree of anthropogenic modification both to the surrounding catchment and to the flow regime itself. In this study, I found functional richness and functional divergence (as measured by abundance-standardised functional dispersion) were associated with only a limited subset of metrics describing hydrological heterogeneity, and variance partitioning of models showed that relatively little variation in either functional richness or divergence was explained by hydrology when climate and soil properties were taken into account. Flow modification did explain some variation in metrics of functional diversity, but again, not independently. Contrary to our hypotheses, and to patterns commonly described in Northern hydroecological systems (e.g. Naiman and Decamps (1997)), species richness declined as flow regimes became more heterogeneous. The observation that species richness and metrics of functional diversity showed opposite relationships with the same hydrological variables allowed us to determine that communities living under hydrologically heterogeneous conditions were maintaining functional diversity with a reduced species pool.

Outstanding questions about the role of hydrological heterogeneity in structuring communities

Although hydrology was the dominant control on functional traits and functional trait diversity in both regions analysed here, the relative importance of hydrological heterogeneity per se differed. Some of the major outstanding questions in this thesis are why this might have been so, and which aspects of the findings can be generalised or extrapolated to systems in other regions within Australia and across the globe?

It is possible that Australian plant communities in fact have unique relationships with flow heterogeneity, given Australias title of the planets most hydrologically variable continent Peel et al. (2004); Rustomji et al. (2009). A larger comparative study of factors shaping the functional ecology of riparian plant communities would be an essential step towards finding generalities in flow heterogeneity-diversity relationships, and would also provide further opportunity to investigate discontinuities in trends. Riparian researchers are becoming more interested in functional ecology, and it is possible that we will see global syntheses being made over the next decade. Research in regions underrepresented in the riparian ecology literature, such as the tropics and the developing world, would be of particular value in this endeavour.

Absent an exhaustive global comparative synthesis, comparing the specific findings of Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 reveals a possible explanation for their differences. While functional diversity of communities described in Chapter 2 scaled monotonically with most metrics of flow variability, and was positively associated with species richness, functional diversity of communities in south-east Queensland (Chapter 4) was significantly associated with only a small set of metrics describing flow variability (e.g. interannual variability in baseflow index, constancy of monthly maximum flows) and in those cases, relationships were better

described by quadratic models. As noted previously, species richness showed inverted relationships with these metrics. To properly compare the results of these two chapters, a methodological issue must first be addressed: functional dispersion (FDis), *sensu* Lalibert and Legendre Laliberté and Legendre (2010), was used in Chapter 3, while standardised effect size FDis (FDis.SES), *sensu* Mason et al. (2013), was used in Chapter 4 as a measure of functional divergence. With the exception of a few outliers, FDis was tightly positively correlated with FDis.SES for the south-east Queensland dataset (Pearson's $r = 0.75$). With respect to species richness, this confirms that standardising FDis for abundance was not responsible for inverting the species richness – functional diversity relationship.

In our discussion of Chapter 4, I noted that rhythmicity in temporal patterns of energy and resource availability and environmental heterogeneity may both act as controls on riparian plant diversity. I cited recent work showing that rhythmic seasonal flow activity fosters greater diversity in birds and fishes and greater net primary production in plant communities Jardine et al. (2015), and that energy (and resource) availability may be more important than environmental heterogeneity in determining patterns of diversity Lundholm (2009). Thus differences between the findings of Chapters 3 and 4 could be explained by differences in the influence of these two factors. For this conceptual model to be useful, we need to describe some key components of its structure. Firstly, is it flow rhythmicity *per se* which is important, or simply total energy availability, which happens to be maximised in rhythmic systems? If the former, can flows be both heterogeneous and rhythmic, or are the two factors inherently opposite? For example, can a river with high interannual variability in its baseflow index also experience highly regular summer flood flows? Perhaps cases at extreme ends of each spectrum are less interesting than those at intermediate levels of heterogeneity and energy availability / rhythmicity.

Perhaps a better way of conceptualising environmental control over diversity

might be as a three dimensional relationship, where heterogeneity and energy availability (or flow rhythmicity) are incompletely orthogonal to each other. The curves presented in this thesis would then be two dimensional slices of a three dimensional volume. A challenge for future research in this field is therefore to explicitly include energy availability or flow rhythmicity in hypotheses about flow responses of plant communities, and to attempt to characterise how communities respond to both factors simultaneously.

1.4 Responses of riparian plant communities to anthropogenic environmental change

My interest in the functional ecology of riparian plant communities was initially motivated by the need for new approaches and perspectives towards conserving, rehabilitating and managing riparian landscapes in south-eastern Australia. The 20th century has seen unprecedented change in riverine ecosystems and these changes are likely to intensify over the current century Hennessy et al. (2008); Nilsson and Berggren (2000). Compared with Europe and North America, applied river rehabilitation in Australia is somewhat hampered by a lack of basic ecological knowledge ?. Thus one of the main aims of this thesis was to inform management with new information about riparian ecology in both natural and modified landscapes.

How might riparian plant communities respond to climate change?

Climate change is predicted to have global impacts on ecosystems in the 21st century IPCC et al. (2014). Riparian ecosystems are likely to be particularly vulnerable due to their high exposure and sensitivity to changes in climate, in combination with pressures associated with extraction of provisioning ecosystem

services by humans Capon et al. (2013). Elevated concentrations of carbon dioxide (eCO_2) represent the most direct and obvious change to the atmosphere. The potential influence of eCO_2 on plants and plant communities has been the topic of intensive research over the last two decades. To date, however, the implications for conservation management under high CO_2 regime are highly species and system specific, and are likely to be contingent on a slew of other environmental factors Norby and Zak (2011); Poorter et al. (2011, 2003); Reich et al. (2014). Basic research on the ecological effects of eCO_2 is needed for individual systems, and each piece of experimental work contributes to the greater outlook.

Of the three anthropogenic alterations investigated in this thesis, I suggest that elevated atmospheric CO_2 (eCO_2) may have the smallest effect. I showed in Chapter 5 that eCO_2 significantly stimulated growth in only one of three riparian tree seedlings, and this effect was completely negated by inundation. Inundation itself had strong effects on gas exchange, growth and functional traits in all three species. Differential responses between species to combined waterlogging and eCO_2 may have flow-on effects to demographics, competition, and ultimately, community composition.

Chapter 5 contributes to what is currently a very small set of publications investigating the potential for interactive effects between future atmospheric concentrations of CO_2 and inundation or waterlogging events on terrestrial plants Arenque et al. (2014); Megonigal et al. (2005); Shimono et al. (2012). I included an analysis of functional trait responses, as well as including a recovery phase in the experiment, neither of which have been previously attempted to our knowledge. Fruitful avenues for future work include study of more species, serial waterlogging treatments to better understand how eCO_2 influences on waterlogging recovery, analysis of leaf nutrient concentrations to determine the role of nutrient limitation in suppressing growth stimulation by eCO_2 Reich et al. (2014), and mesocosm experiments to investigate the implications of eCO_2 waterlogging interactions for

competition.

Greater hydrological variability and intensity of extreme weather events characterise models of high CO₂ climates Hennessy et al. (2008); Stocker et al. (2013). Our research demonstrates that riparian plant communities vary substantially in their taxonomic and functional composition over gradients of hydrological heterogeneity. As discussed in Chapters 2 and 3 Lawson et al. (2015a,b), the changing climate is likely to enhance dominance of variability-tolerant ecological strategies associated with traits such as high wood density, and push communities towards more dispersed functional structures. In species-rich communities of south-east Queensland, increased flow heterogeneity may have important consequences for taxonomic diversity, functional redundancy and ecosystem resilience. Greater exotic abundance was associated with more heterogeneous systems in south-eastern Queensland. Despite the lack of association between flow modification and exotic abundance in this study, it remains possible that climate-related increases in hydrological heterogeneity may also result in invasion by exotic species.

Further work is required to integrate observations about riparian plant community responses to hydrological heterogeneity with climate change predictions. Functional trait approaches are likely to be particularly useful in the absence of detailed species-level ecological knowledge Catford et al. (2012).

Could environmental flows be a useful tool for river rehabilitation in south-eastern Australia?

Hydrology was confirmed as the master variable controlling riparian plant communities Poff et al. (1997) in both field studies, but flow modification also had a profound influence on species richness in south-eastern Queensland. That homogenised flows were actually associated with increased species richness (and functional diversity, to some extent) runs counter to much of the riparian literature on

flow modification Lytle and Poff (2004); Nilsson and Berggren (2000). As discussed above, increased flow rhythmicity may underlie this unexpected effect. The lack of any association between flow modification and abundance of exotic species also opposes the general body of literature Catford et al. (2011); Greet et al. (2012). Catchment land use and soil properties explained a substantial proportion of variation in exotic abundance, and may be more important drivers of invasion in this region.

Environmental flows - flows released from dams which are engineered to mimic natural flow events - are the focus of increasing research effort, and may be an important tool in rehabilitation of modified systems Arthington et al. (2012). Frameworks for developing and using environmental flows, such as Ecological Limits of Hydrological Alteration (ELoHA) Poff et al. (2010), are predicated on the notion that altered flow regimes are the main cause of degradation in riparian ecosystems. The relationship between flow alteration and degradation is clearly defined in some systems, such as those invaded by *Tamarix* spp. in south-western North America: flood reduction and homogenised flow regimes result in more invasive *Tamarix* spp. and less native *Populus* spp. Shafroth et al. (2010); Stromberg et al. (2007). Our analysis of patterns of functional diversity in natural landscapes (Chapter 3) led us to conclude that managers should include a component of variability in designed flow regimes to simulate natural flow heterogeneity. In south-east Queensland, the situation is more complicated, and the feasibility of using environmental flows for conservation or restoration depends on the desired outcome. Supporting indigenous biodiversity over exotic species, improving geomorphic condition, generating habitat complexity and maintaining or restoring lost ecosystem processes and services are commonly desired outcomes for environmental flows Meitzen et al. (2013); Poff et al. (2010); Richter and Thomas (2007). South-east Queensland communities were particularly sensitive to modification of contingency of monthly minimum flows (year on year variability in monthly minimum flow patterns), suggesting man-

agement efforts aimed solely at maximising taxonomic diversity would do well to increase flow contingency. This approach risks shifting community composition, but may be a reasonable response to offset greater climatic variability under future climates. Our research in south-east Queensland had rather less to say about the potential utility of environmental flows in directing functional diversity and associated ecosystem functionality. Flow modification largely did not have a consistent effect on functional diversity, suggesting that funds and effort may be better spent on local initiatives within catchments, such as improving landholder engagement in rehabilitation projects ?.

1.5 Conclusion

Awareness of the economic, societal and intrinsic value of Australian waterways is increasing, and the field of riparian ecology is now progressing rapidly in Australia. We are finding commonalities with more extensively studied river systems in other parts of the world, and also new patterns and processes which give Australian river systems a unique character. I attempted to answer some basic questions about riparian plant communities in south-eastern Australia using methods from modern plant ecology. In my first two studies I was able to clearly validate my hypotheses, while in the third and fourth studies a more complex and unexpected picture arose. Hopefully, I have set a useful stage for further work on the functional ecology of riparian plant communities, and my basic research informs more applied aspects of river management and rehabilitation.

References

- Arenque, B. C., Grandis, A., Pocius, O., de Souza, A. P., and Buckeridge, M. S. (2014). Responses of *Senna reticulata*, a legume tree from the Amazonian floodplains, to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration and waterlogging. *Trees*, 28(4):1021–1034.
- Arthington, A., Mackay, S., James, C., Rolls, R., Sternberg, D., Barnes, A., and Capon, S. (2012). Ecological limits of hydrologic alteration: a test of the ELoHA framework in south-east Queensland. Waterlines Report Series No. 75. *National Water Commission, Canberra, Australia*.
- Brierley, G. J., Cohen, T., Fryirs, K., and Brooks, a. (1999). Post-european changes to the fluvial geomorphology of Bega catchment, Australia: Implications for river ecology. *Freshwater Biology*, 41(4):839–848.
- Brinson, M. M. (1993). A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands. *DTIC Document, WRP-DE-4(August)*:103.
- Cadol, D. and Wohl, E. (2010). Wood retention and transport in tropical, headwater streams, La Selva Biological Station, Costa Rica. *Geomorphology*, 123(1-2):61–73.
- Capon, S. J., Chambers, L. E., Mac Nally, R., Naiman, R. J., Davies, P., Marshall, N., Pittock, J., Reid, M., Capon, T., Douglas, M., Catford, J., Baldwin, D. S., Stewardson, M., Roberts, J., Parsons, M., and Williams, S. E. (2013). Riparian Ecosystems in the 21st Century: Hotspots for Climate Change Adaptation? *Ecosystems*, 16(3):359–381.

- Catford, J. A., Downes, B. J., Gippel, C. J., and Vesk, P. A. (2011). Flow regulation reduces native plant cover and facilitates exotic invasion in riparian wetlands. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(2):432–442.
- Catford, J. A., Naiman, R. J., Chambers, L. E., Roberts, J., Douglas, M., and Davies, P. (2012). Predicting novel riparian ecosystems in a changing climate. *Ecosystems*, 16(3):382–400.
- Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S. L., Swenson, N. G., and Zanne, A. E. (2009). Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. *Ecology Letters*, 12(4):351–366.
- Corenblit, D., Tabacchi, E., Steiger, J., and Gurnell, A. M. (2007). Reciprocal interactions and adjustments between fluvial landforms and vegetation dynamics in river corridors: A review of complementary approaches. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 84(1-2):56–86.
- Díaz, S., Cabido, M., and Casanoves, F. (1998). Plant functional traits and environmental filters at a regional scale. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 9:113–122.
- Falster, D. S. (2006). Sapling strength and safety: the importance of wood density in tropical forests. *The New Phytologist*, 171(2):237–239.
- Fleischner, T. L. (1994). Ecological costs of livestock grazing in Western North America. *Conservation Biology*, 8(3):629–644.
- Ford, H. A., Paton, D. C., and Forde, N. (1979). Birds as pollinators of Australian plants. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, 17(4):509–519.
- Graf, W. (2006). Downstream hydrologic and geomorphic effects of large dams on American rivers. *Geomorphology*, 79(3-4):336–360.
- Greet, J., Cousens, R. D., and Webb, J. A. (2012). MORE EXOTIC AND FEWER NATIVE PLANT SPECIES : RIVERINE VEGETATION PATTERNS ASSOCIATED WITH ALTERED SEASONAL FLOW PATTERNS. *River Research and Applications*.

- Grime, J. P. (2006). Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities: Mechanisms and consequences. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 17:255–260.
- Gurnell, a. M., Pie, H., and Northwest, P. (2002). Large wood and fluvial processes. *Freshwater Biology*, 47(4):601–619.
- Harmon, M. E., Franklin, J. F., Swanson, F. J., Sollins, P., Gregory, S., Lattin, J., Anderson, N., Cline, S., Aumen, N., and Sedell, J. (1986). Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 15:133–302.
- Hennessy, K., Fawcett, R., Kirono, D., Mpelasoka, M., Jones, D., Bathols, J., Whetton, P., Stafford Smith, M., Howden, M., Mitchell, C., and Plummer, N. (2008). An assessment of the impact of climate change on the nature and frequency of exceptional climatic events. Australian Government, Bureau of Meterology. Technical report, Australian Government, Bureau of Meterology, Canberra, Australia.
- IPCC, Pachauri, R. K., and Meyer, L. (2014). *Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report*. IPCC.
- Jardine, T. D., Bond, N. R., Burford, M. A., Kennard, M. J., Ward, D. P., Bayliss, P., Davies, P. M., Dougals, M. M., Hamilton, S. K., Melack, J. M., Naiman, R. J., Pettit, N. E., Pusey, B. J., Warfe, D., and Bunn, S. E. (2015). Does flood rhythm drive ecosystem responses in tropical riverscapes ? *Ecology*, 96(3):684–692.
- Knopf, F., Johnson, R., Rich, T., Samson, F., and Szaro, R. (1988). Conservation of riparian ecosystems in the United States. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 100(2):272–284.
- Laliberté, E. and Legendre, P. (2010). A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity from multiple traits. *Ecology*, 91(1):299–305.
- Lavorel, S. and Garnier, E. (2002). Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Functional Ecology*, 16:545–556.

- Lawson, J., Fryirs, K., and Leishman, M. (2015a). Hydrological conditions explain variation in wood density in riparian plants of south-eastern Australia. *Journal of Ecology*, 103(4):945–956.
- Lawson, J. R., Fryirs, K. a., Lenz, T., and Leishman, M. R. (2015b). Heterogeneous flows foster heterogeneous assemblages: relationships between functional diversity and hydrological heterogeneity in riparian plant communities. *Freshwater Biology*, pages n/a–n/a.
- Lloyd, N., Quinn, G., Thoms, M., Arthington, P. A., Humphries, P., and Walker, K. (2004). Does flow modification cause geomorphological and ecological response in rivers? a literature review from an Australian perspective. *A literature review from an Australian perspective. CRC for Freshwater Ecology*, 57.
- Lundholm, J. T. (2009). Plant species diversity and environmental heterogeneity: spatial scale and competing hypotheses. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 20(3):377–391.
- Lytle, D. A. and Poff, N. L. (2004). Adaptation to natural flow regimes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19(2):94–100.
- MacArthur, R. and MacArthur, J. (1961). On bird species diversity. *Ecological Society of America*, 42(3):594–598.
- Mason, N. W., de Bello, F., Mouillot, D., Pavoine, S., and Dray, S. (2013). A guide for using functional diversity indices to reveal changes in assembly processes along ecological gradients. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 24(5):794–806.
- Megonigal, J., Vann, C., and Wolf, A. (2005). Flooding constraints on tree (*Taxodium distichum*) and herb growth responses to elevated CO₂. *Wetlands*, 25(2):430–438.
- Meitzen, K. M., Doyle, M. W., Thoms, M. C., and Burns, C. E. (2013). Geomorphology within the interdisciplinary science of environmental flows. *Geomorphology*, 200:143–154.

- Mori, S., Itoh, a., Nanami, S., Tan, S., Chong, L., and Yamakura, T. (2013). Effect of wood density and water permeability on wood decomposition rates of 32 Bornean rainforest trees. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, pages 1–8.
- Naiman, R. and Decamps, H. (1997). The ecology of interfaces: riparian zones. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 28:621–658.
- Naiman, R. J., Bechtold, J., Drake, D., J.J.Latterell, OKeefe, T., and Balian, E. (2005). Origins, patterns, and importance of heterogeneity in riparian systems. *Ecosystem Function in Heterogeneous Landscapes*, pages 279–309.
- Niklas, K. J. and Spatz, H.-C. (2010). Worldwide correlations of mechanical properties and green wood density. *American Journal of Botany*, 97(10):1587–94.
- Nilsson, C. and Berggren, K. (2000). Alterations of Riparian Ecosystems Caused by River Regulation. *BioScience*, 50(9):783–792.
- Nilsson, C., Grelsson, G., Johansson, M., and Sperens, U. (1989). Patterns of plant species richness along riverbanks. *Ecology*, 70(1):77–84.
- Norby, R. J. and Zak, D. R. (2011). Ecological lessons from free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 42(1):181–203.
- Peel, M., McMahon, T., and Finlayson, B. (2004). Continental differences in the variability of annual runoff-update and reassessment. *Journal of Hydrology*, 295(1-4):185–197.
- Poff, N., Allan, J., and Bain, M. (1997). The natural flow regime. *BioScience*, 47(11):769–784.
- Poff, N. L., Richter, B. D., Arthington, A. H., Bunn, S. E., Naiman, R. J., Kendy, E., Acreman, M., Apse, C., Bledsoe, B. P., Freeman, M. C., Henriksen, J., Jacobson, R. B., Kennen, J. G., Merritt, D. M., O'Keeffe, J. H., Olden, J. D., Rogers, K., Tharme, R. E., and Warner, A. (2010). The ecological limits of hydrologic alteration

- (ELOHA): a new framework for developing regional environmental flow standards. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):147–170.
- Poorter, H., Niklas, K. J., Reich, P. B., Oleksyn, J., Poot, P., and Mommer, L. (2011). Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analysis of interspecific variation and environmental control. *New Phytologist*, 193(1):30–50.
- Poorter, L., Bongers, F., Sterck, F. J., and Woll, H. (2003). Architecture of 53 rain forest tree species differing in adult stature and shade tolerance. 84(3):602–608.
- Reich, P. B. (2014). The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto. *Journal of Ecology*, 102:275–301.
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., and Lee, T. D. (2014). Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO₂ eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(December):1–5.
- Richter, B. D. and Thomas, G. a. (2007). Restoring environmental flows by modifying dam operations. *Ecology and Society*, 12(1).
- Rustomji, P., Bennett, N., and Chiew, F. (2009). Flood variability east of Australias Great Dividing Range. *Journal of Hydrology*, 374(3-4):196–208.
- Shafroth, P. B., Wilcox, A. C., Lytle, D. a., Hickey, J. T., Andersen, D. C., Beauchamp, V. B., Hautzinger, A., McMullen, L. E., and Warner, A. (2010). Ecosystem effects of environmental flows: modelling and experimental floods in a dryland river. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):68–85.
- Shimono, H., Konno, T., Sakai, H., and Sameshima, R. (2012). Interactive Effects of Elevated Atmospheric CO₂ and Waterlogging on Vegetative Growth of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *Plant Production Science*, 15(January):238–245.
- Sonnier, G., Shipley, B., and Navas, M.-L. (2010). Quantifying relationships between traits and explicitly measured gradients of stress and disturbance in early successional plant communities. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 21(6):1014–1024.

- Stein, A., Gerstner, K., and Kreft, H. (2014). Environmental heterogeneity as a universal driver of species richness across taxa, biomes and spatial scales. *Ecology Letters*, 17(7):866–880.
- Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P. M., and Others (2013). Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change-Abstract for decision-makers. Technical report, Groupe d’experts intergouvernemental sur l’évolution du climat/Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change-IPCC, C/O World Meteorological Organization, 7bis Avenue de la Paix, CP 2300 CH-1211 Geneva 2 (Switzerland).
- Stromberg, J. (2013). Root patterns and hydrogeomorphic niches of riparian plants in the American Southwest. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 94:1–9.
- Stromberg, J. C., Lite, S. J., and Dixon, M. D. (2010). Effects of stream flow patterns on riparian vegetation of a semiarid river: implications for a changing climate. *River Research and Applications*, 26(6):712–729.
- Stromberg, J. C., Lite, S. J., Marler, R., Paradzick, C., Shafroth, P. B., Shorrock, D., White, J. M., and White, M. S. (2007). Altered stream-flow regimes and invasive plant species: the Tamarix case. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 16(3):381–393.
- Suding, K. N., Lavorel, S., Chapin, F. S., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Goldberg, D., Hooper, D. U., Jackson, S. T., and Navas, M.-L. (2008). Scaling environmental change through the community-level: a trait-based response-and-effect framework for plants. *Global Change Biology*, 14(5):1125–1140.
- Tabacchi, E., Correll, D., and Hauer, R. (1998). Development, maintenance and role of riparian vegetation in the river landscape. *Freshwater Biology*, 40(3):497–516.
- Tilman, D., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Reich, P., Ritchie, M., and Siemann, E. (1997). The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science*, 277(5330):1300–1302.

- Wasson, R. J. (1994). Living with the past: Uses of history for understanding landscape change and degradation. *Land Degradation & Development*, 5(2):79–87.