

# 1 Introduction

---

Riparian ecosystems are biophysically complex and highly diverse taxonomically, structurally and functionally (Naiman et al., 1993; Nilsson and Svedmark, 2002; Poff, 2002). They provide a disproportionately high quantity of ecosystem goods and services relative to the fraction of the landscape that they occupy (Capon et al., 2013) and play a critical role in maintaining regional biodiversity (Naiman et al., 1993). Riparian landscapes have been heavily modified by humans; in many parts of the world this modification has taken place rapidly and has resulted in significant habitat degradation and biodiversity loss (Arthington et al., 2010). In particular, catchment-scale clearing of vegetation, impoundment and flow regulation have altered the hydrology of river systems globally (Nilsson and Berggren, 2000). As demand for water increases with growing human populations, river systems are likely to become increasingly modified. Changing climatic conditions over the next century are also expected to cause shifts in hydrological patterns. Predictions are regionally specific but typically include changes to total discharge, flow seasonality and flow variability (Stocker et al., 2013). In regions with projected increases in climatic variability, changes to the prevalence, intensity and timing of extreme flooding or drought events can be expected (Hennessy et al., 2008). This combination of flow regulation and alterations to baseline discharges may well produce dramatically altered future flow regimes, with significant consequences for the diversity and functional composition of riparian vegetation communities (Poff and Zimmerman, 2010).

Due to their central role in maintaining diversity and ecosystem functioning at the landscape scale (Naiman et al., 1993), riparian ecosystems are the target of substantial management effort (Goodwid et al., 1997). Vegetation assemblages receive particular attention in riparian management as they set the coarse physical structure of biotic communities and play an important role in generating and maintaining the characteristic geomorphology of river systems (Corenblit et al., 2007; Richardson et al., 2007). Conservation planning in fluvial landscapes therefore requires context-specific understanding of environmental controls on plant community assembly.

## 1.1 The importance of flow regime to riparian plant communities

Flow regime is thought to be a dominant abiotic control on the composition and structure of riparian plant communities (Poff et al., 1997). Stream flows affect plant communities directly by causing flooding disturbance and driving variation in nutrient and moisture availability (Naiman and Decamps, 1997), as well as by interaction with sediment and geomorphic processes (Corenblit et al., 2007). The

inherently heterogeneous nature of fluvial interaction with vegetated landforms results in structurally complex, patchy landscapes containing strong energy and resource gradients (Naiman et al., 2005). Spatial and temporal heterogeneity in the magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, rates of change and predictability of flow discharge (Kennard et al., 2010; Poff et al., 1997) translates to heterogeneous influence of stream flows on riparian patches (Naiman et al., 2008; Poff et al., 1997). Niche-oriented models of riparian ecology hold that it is this environmental heterogeneity which supports the high degree of biodiversity observed in riparian ecosystems (Bornette et al., 2008; Palmer and Poff, 1997).

Given the profound influence of hydrology on riparian vegetation communities, determining specific flow-ecology relationships has long been a goal in riparian research (Auble and Friedman, 1994; Lytle and Poff, 2004). To date, this research has been largely driven by work on impacts of dams on vegetation (Goodwid et al., 1997; Nilsson and Svedmark, 2002). The resulting insight into the comparative hydroecology of *Populus* and *Salix spp.* and invasive *Tamarix spp.* in North American river systems (Mahoney and Rood, 1998; Shafroth et al., 2002) has led to significant advances in design of environmental flows to support indigenous vegetation assemblages (Shafroth et al., 2010). This approach is effective in western North America, especially where systems are dominated by relatively few species. However, approaches centered on deterministic species-specific flow response models are less practical in more diverse or less understood systems. Baseline knowledge of flow-ecology relationships in Australian systems is relatively small but has undergone substantial growth recently, especially for dryland environments (Capon, 2003, 2005, 2007; Capon and Brock, 2006), with respect to plant invasions and the influence of flow regulation (Arthington et al., 2012; Catford et al., 2012a; Catford and Downes, 2010; Catford et al., 2011, 2014; Greet et al., 2011a; Maheshwari et al., 1995; Siebentritt et al., 2004; Stokes, 2008), and in relation to the influence of flow variability and timing on vegetation (Greet et al., 2012, 2011b; Jardine et al., 2015).

## 1.2 The intersection between hydroecology and functional ecology

Maintenance of biodiversity and ecosystem functioning may be a more appropriate conservation target than supporting the persistence of specific assemblages (Aerts and Honnay, 2011; Cadotte et al., 2011; Montoya et al., 2012) given the predictions of future climate and other environmental change. Where sites harbour dissimilar species assemblages, comparison becomes challenging. Taxonomic descriptors of communities such as species richness or species diversity are widely used to

compare communities across landscapes, but are unable to provide information about how elements of a community influence ecosystem functioning, provision of ecosystem services, or contribute to system resilience (Díaz et al., 1998, 2007; Tilman et al., 1997). Describing communities in terms of functional traits - any morphological, physiological or phenological feature measurable at the individual level (Violle et al., 2007) - dissolves species distinctions and emphasises ecological strategies: what species do within their community and how they do it (Díaz et al., 1998). This allows dissimilar communities to be compared in terms of how their component species both respond to and have an effect on their environment (Lavorel and Garnier, 2002; Suding et al., 2008). A functional trait oriented approach applied to flow-vegetation interactions facilitates the search for regional generalities in hydrological controls on ecosystem processes and patterns of diversity.

Species are different, but not equally so, and the nature and extent of species differences characterises ecological communities. Data about appropriately selected quantitative functional traits (such as specific leaf area, wood density, seed mass etc.) can form the basis for mechanistic assessments of diversity which describe the range and distribution of ecological strategies in a community and their associated environmental effects (Schleuter and Daufresne, 2010). These indices of functional trait diversity are increasingly being employed in ecosystem assessment and management as a complement to traditional taxonomic metrics of diversity (Lavorel et al., 2013; Tilman et al., 1997).

Hydroecologically derived plant functional groups have been described for some time (Casanova, 2011; Stromberg et al., 2010), but advances in quantitative plant ecology based on functional traits are only beginning to be applied to riparian systems. Notable early contributions to the quantitative riparian functional hydroecology literature include discussion of variation in functional traits according to species origin (i.e. native or exotic), geomorphology and fluvial disturbance (Kyle and Leishman, 2009a,b), and evidence for reduced functional trait diversity in riparian wetlands in response to flow impoundment (Catford et al., 2011). Merritt et al. (2010) outlined a framework for defining riparian vegetation flow response guilds according to functional traits, and functional traits have been discussed as a means by which to predict riparian community responses to climate change (Catford et al., 2012b; Kominoski et al., 2013). Momentum is now building for insights from plant functional ecology to be applied to riparian conservation planning and management.

### 1.3 Anthropogenic impacts on riparian plant communities

Rapid development of catchments has changed fundamental processes which create and maintain biodiversity in riparian ecosystems (Nilsson and Svedmark, 2002),

and as such, riparian management often takes place within this context of catchment modification. Environmental homogenisation of riparian landscapes by flow modification, land-use change and invasion by exotic plants has profound implications for riparian biodiversity (Brierley et al., 1999; Poff et al., 2007; Richardson et al., 2007).

Given the dominance of flow regime in shaping and driving riparian ecosystems, flow modification is likely to have the greatest impact, although anthropogenic stressors are typically not independent from each other. Dams, weirs and diversions affect river systems in populated regions worldwide (Nilsson and Svedmark, 2002), resulting in diminished discharge, reduced flow variability, dampening of flood peaks and changes to seasonality of flows (Graf, 2006; Singer, 2007). Depending on the magnitude of change, biogeomorphic simplification and weedy invasion may occur downstream of dams (Catford et al., 2011; Graf, 2006; Naiman et al., 2008). The impact of these changes on riparian plant communities is likely to be compounded by the deleterious effects of land transformation, primarily habitat fragmentation and loss of catchment-scale alpha and beta diversity (Gerstner et al., 2014; Vitousek et al., 1997). Exotic invasion is closely associated with human activity (Vitousek et al., 1996) and itself represents a significant threat to riparian plant communities (Richardson et al., 2007; Stromberg et al., 2007).

Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide represents a further unexplored variable with the potential to alter future riparian plant communities. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> has risen substantially over the past century and a doubling of pre-industrial levels by 2100 is projected (IPCC et al., 2014). A substantial body of research describes dramatic effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) on plant growth, physiology and community ecology (Curtis, 1996; Poorter and Navas, 2003; Reich et al., 2014). Typical responses to eCO<sub>2</sub> include stimulation of photosynthetic carbon assimilation (Curtis, 1996), reduced stomatal conductance (Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007), greater water use efficiency (Holtum and Winter, 2010; van der Sleen et al., 2014), greater biomass accumulation (Wang et al., 2012), altered biomass allocation (Nie et al., 2013), and changes to functional traits indicative of positions along economic spectra (i.e. slow vs fast growth strategy) (Bader et al., 2010; Poorter and Navas, 2003). The effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> vary between species and are often contingent on other environmental variables such as availability of water and macronutrients (Körner, 2006; Manea and Leishman, 2014; Reich et al., 2014).

Plants growing adjacent to stream channels enjoy the best access to water in the landscape, but the privilege is not free. Along with exposure to flooding disturbance, most riparian plants must at some point endure waterlogging or inundation (Colmer and Voesenek, 2009; Tabacchi et al., 1998). Inundation represents a significant stress to riparian plants, and root zone anoxia is well established as the mechanism driving plant physiological and functional responses to waterlog-

ging, impairing root metabolism and uptake of water and nutrients (Drew, 1997; Piedade et al., 2010; Voesenek and Bailey-Serres, 2015), altering root traits (Steffens et al., 2013) and disrupting mutualisms with soil biota (Dawson et al., 1989; Shimono et al., 2012).

Elevated atmospheric levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  and inundation appear likely to have opposing effects on plant growth, but the possibility that  $\text{eCO}_2$  may mitigate growth reduction under waterlogging warrants investigation of the interactive effects of these two important environmental variables. The limited literature describing the interactive effects of  $\text{eCO}_2$  and waterlogging or inundation on plant growth presents an inconsistent picture, with effects varying widely between species (Arenque et al., 2014; Magonigal et al., 2005; Shimono et al., 2012). Generation of harmful reactive oxygen species has been shown to accompany reaeration after waterlogging (Drew, 1997), and as such recovery from flooding represents a different stress to tolerance of the event itself. To date, no research has described the effects of  $\text{eCO}_2$  on recovery from waterlogging.

## 1.4 Research questions

In this thesis, I set out to identify the fundamental relationships between south-east Australian riparian plant communities and the various environmental controls and stresses which define them. In particular, I was interested in quantifying the influence of hydrology as a driver of riparian vegetation composition and function, and teasing out this influence from the effects of other synoptic environmental factors including climate, soil properties, increased atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration, catchment land-use, and flow regime modification. The overarching goals of this effort were to build on what is currently a relatively small knowledge-base on the ecology of Australian riparian vegetation communities, and further, to determine whether models of vegetation-environment relationships derived from North American and European river systems are relevant to south-eastern Australian riparian plant communities. To this end, I used concepts and tools from modern functional ecology to determine the mechanisms by which communities organise themselves along gradients of these environmental conditions.

In Chapter 2, I asked how wood density, a key plant functional trait integrating the trade-off between rapid growth and tolerance of physical disturbance and drought, varies along gradients of fluvial disturbance intensity and variability in water availability across 15 sites in natural landscapes of south-eastern Australia. Chapter 3 extended this research question, using the same set of sites to investigate relationships between functional diversity and environmental heterogeneity. In Chapter 4, data from sites spanning gradients of flow modification and land-use intensity in south-east Queensland, Australia, were analysed to determine the rela-

tive importance of natural and anthropogenic controls on taxonomic and functional diversity. This chapter is again guided by hypotheses about relationships between environmental variability and diversity. In Chapter 5, I described a manipulative glasshouse experiment designed to assess how elevated atmospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> might affect future responses of riparian trees to waterlogging. Finally, in Chapter 6 (Discussion), I summarise my key findings, assess the contribution of my thesis to the broader literature, and suggest avenues for future research.

## 1.5 References

- Aerts, R. and Honnay, O. (2011). Forest restoration, biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *BMC Ecology*, 11(1):29.
- Ainsworth, E. A. and Rogers, A. (2007). The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising  $[\text{CO}_2]$ : Mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 30:258–270.
- Arenque, B. C., Grandis, A., Pocius, O., de Souza, A. P., and Buckeridge, M. S. (2014). Responses of *Senna reticulata*, a legume tree from the Amazonian floodplains, to elevated atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration and waterlogging. *Trees*, 28(4):1021–1034.
- Arthington, A., Mackay, S., James, C., Rolls, R., Sternberg, D., Barnes, A., and Capon, S. (2012). Ecological limits of hydrologic alteration: a test of the ELoHA framework in south-east Queensland. Waterlines Report Series No. 75. *National Water Commission, Canberra, Australia*.
- Arthington, A. H., Naiman, R. J., McClain, M. E., and Nilsson, C. (2010). Preserving the biodiversity and ecological services of rivers: new challenges and research opportunities. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):1–16.
- Auble, G. and Friedman, J. (1994). Relating riparian vegetation to present and future streamflows. *Ecological Applications*, 4(3):544–554.
- Bader, M. K. F., Siegwolf, R., and Körner, C. (2010). Sustained enhancement of photosynthesis in mature deciduous forest trees after 8 years of free air  $\text{CO}_2$  enrichment. *Planta*, 232:1115–1125.
- Bornette, G., Tabacchi, E., Hupp, C., Puijalon, S., and Rostan, J. C. (2008). A model of plant strategies in fluvial hydrosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, 53(8):1692–1705.
- Brierley, G. J., Cohen, T., Fryirs, K., and Brooks, a. (1999). Post-european changes to the fluvial geomorphology of Bega catchment, Australia: Implications for river ecology. *Freshwater Biology*, 41(4):839–848.
- Cadotte, M. W., Carscadden, K., and Mirotchnick, N. (2011). Beyond species: functional diversity and the maintenance of ecological processes and services. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(5):1079–1087.
- Capon, S. J. (2003). Plant community responses to wetting and drying in a large arid floodplain. *River Research and Applications*, 19(5-6):509–520.
- Capon, S. J. (2005). Flood variability and spatial variation in plant community composition and structure on a large arid floodplain. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 60(2):283–302.



- Capon, S. J. (2007). Effects of flooding on seedling emergence from the soil seed bank of a large desert floodplain. *Wetlands*, 27(4):904–914.
- Capon, S. J. and Brock, M. A. (2006). Flooding, soil seed bank dynamics and vegetation resilience of a hydrologically variable desert floodplain. *Freshwater Biology*, 51(2):206–223.
- Capon, S. J., Chambers, L. E., Mac Nally, R., Naiman, R. J., Davies, P., Marshall, N., Pittock, J., Reid, M., Capon, T., Douglas, M., Catford, J., Baldwin, D. S., Stewardson, M., Roberts, J., Parsons, M., and Williams, S. E. (2013). Riparian Ecosystems in the 21st Century: Hotspots for Climate Change Adaptation? *Ecosystems*, 16(3):359–381.
- Casanova, M. T. (2011). Using water plant functional groups to investigate environmental water requirements. *Freshwater Biology*, 56(12):2637–2652.
- Catford, J. A., Daehler, C. C., Murphy, H. T., Sheppard, A. W., Hardesty, B. D., Westcott, D. a., Rejmánek, M., Bellingham, P. J., Pergl, J., Horvitz, C. C., and Hulme, P. E. (2012a). The intermediate disturbance hypothesis and plant invasions: Implications for species richness and management. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 14(3):231–241.
- Catford, J. A. and Downes, B. J. (2010). Using multi-scale species distribution data to infer drivers of biological invasion in riparian wetlands. *Diversity and Distributions*, 16(1):20–32.
- Catford, J. A., Downes, B. J., Gippel, C. J., and Vesk, P. A. (2011). Flow regulation reduces native plant cover and facilitates exotic invasion in riparian wetlands. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(2):432–442.
- Catford, J. A., Morris, W. K., Vesk, P. A., Gippel, C. J., and Downes, B. J. (2014). Species and environmental characteristics point to flow regulation and drought as drivers of riparian plant invasion. *Diversity and Distributions*, 20(9):1–13.
- Catford, J. A., Naiman, R. J., Chambers, L. E., Roberts, J., Douglas, M., and Davies, P. (2012b). Predicting novel riparian ecosystems in a changing climate. *Ecosystems*, 16(3):382–400.
- Colmer, T. D. and Voesenek, L. A. C. J. (2009). Flooding tolerance: suites of plant traits in variable environments. *Functional Plant Biology*, 36(1986):665–681.
- Corenblit, D., Tabacchi, E., Steiger, J., and Gurnell, A. M. (2007). Reciprocal interactions and adjustments between fluvial landforms and vegetation dynamics in river corridors: A review of complementary approaches. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 84(1-2):56–86.

- Curtis, P. S. (1996). A meta-analysis of leaf gas exchange and nitrogen in trees grown under elevated carbon dioxide.
- Dawson, J. O., Kowalski, D. G., and Dart, P. J. (1989). Variation with soil depth, topographic position and host species in the capacity of soils from an Australian locale to nodulate *Casuarina* and *Allocasuarina* seedlings. *Plant and Soil*, 118(1986):1–11.
- Díaz, S., Cabido, M., and Casanoves, F. (1998). Plant functional traits and environmental filters at a regional scale. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 9:113–122.
- Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., de Bello, F., Quetier, F., Grigulis, K., and Robson, M. (2007). Incorporating plant functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(52):20684–20689.
- Drew, M. C. (1997). OXYGEN DEFICIENCY AND ROOT METABOLISM: Injury and Acclimation Under Hypoxia and Anoxia. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, 48:223–250.
- Gerstner, K., Dormann, C. F., Stein, A., Manceur, A. M., and Seppelt, R. (2014). Effects of land use on plant diversity - a global meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 51(6):1690–1700.
- Goodwid, C. N., Hawkins, C. P., and Kershner, J. L. (1997). Riparian Restoration in the Western United States : Overview and Perspective. *Restoration Ecology*, 5(4S):4–14.
- Graf, W. (2006). Downstream hydrologic and geomorphic effects of large dams on American rivers. *Geomorphology*, 79(3-4):336–360.
- Greet, J., Angus Webb, J., and Cousens, R. D. (2011a). The importance of seasonal flow timing for riparian vegetation dynamics: a systematic review using causal criteria analysis. *Freshwater Biology*, 56(7):1231–1247.
- Greet, J., Cousens, R. D., and Webb, J. A. (2012). MORE EXOTIC AND FEWER NATIVE PLANT SPECIES : RIVERINE VEGETATION PATTERNS ASSOCIATED WITH ALTERED SEASONAL FLOW PATTERNS. *River Research and Applications*.
- Greet, J., Webb, J. A., and Downes, B. J. (2011b). Flow variability maintains the structure and composition of in-channel riparian vegetation. *Freshwater Biology*, 56(12):2514–2528.
- Hennessy, K., Fawcett, R., Kirono, D., Mpelasoka, M., Jones, D., Bathols, J., Whetton, P., Stafford Smith, M., Howden, M., Mitchell, C., and Plummer, N. (2008). An assessment of the impact of climate change on the nature and frequency of exceptional climatic events. Australian Government, Bureau of Meteorology. Technical report, Australian Government, Bureau of Meteorology, Canberra, Australia.

- Holtum, J. A. M. and Winter, K. (2010). Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and forest vegetation: More a water issue than a carbon issue? *Functional Plant Biology*, 37:694–702.
- IPCC, Pachauri, R. K., and Meyer, L. (2014). *Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report*. IPCC.
- Jardine, T. D., Bond, N. R., Burford, M. A., Kennard, M. J., Ward, D. P., Bayliss, P., Davies, P. M., Dougals, M. M., Hamilton, S. K., Melack, J. M., Naiman, R. J., Pettit, N. E., Pusey, B. J., Warfe, D., and Bunn, S. E. (2015). Does flood rhythm drive ecosystem responses in tropical riverscapes ? *Ecology*, 96(3):684–692.
- Kennard, M. J., Pusey, B. J., Olden, J. D., Mackay, S. J., Stein, J. L., and Marsh, N. (2010). Classification of natural flow regimes in Australia to support environmental flow management. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):171–193.
- Kominoski, J. S., Shah, J. J. F., Canhoto, C., Fischer, D. G., Giling, D. P., González, E., Griffiths, N. a., Larrañaga, A., LeRoy, C. J., Mineau, M. M., McElarney, Y. R., Shirley, S. M., Swan, C. M., and Tiegs, S. D. (2013). Forecasting functional implications of global changes in riparian plant communities. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, page 130826065431003.
- Körner, C. (2006). Plant CO<sub>2</sub> responses: An issue of definition, time and resource supply. *New Phytologist*, 172:393–411.
- Kyle, G. and Leishman, M. R. (2009a). Functional trait differences between extant exotic, native and extinct native plants in the Hunter River, NSW: a potential tool in riparian rehabilitation. *River Research and Applications*, 903(August 2008):892–903.
- Kyle, G. and Leishman, M. R. (2009b). Plant functional trait variation in relation to riparian geomorphology: the importance of disturbance. *Austral Ecology*, 34(7):793–804.
- Lavorel, S. and Garnier, E. (2002). Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Functional Ecology*, 16:545–556.
- Lavorel, S., Storkey, J., Bardgett, R. D., de Bello, F., Berg, M. P., Le Roux, X., Moretti, M., Mulder, C., Pakeman, R. J., Díaz, S., and Harrington, R. (2013). A novel framework for linking functional diversity of plants with other trophic levels for the quantification of ecosystem services. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 24(5):942–948.
- Lytle, D. A. and Poff, N. L. (2004). Adaptation to natural flow regimes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19(2):94–100.
- Maheshwari, B., Walker, K., and McMahon, T. (1995). Effects of regulation on the flow regime of the River Murray, Australia. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management*, 10(November 1994):15–38.

- Mahoney, J. M. and Rood, S. B. (1998). Streamflow requirements for cottonwood seedling recruitment an integrative model. *Wetlands*, 18(4):634–645.
- Manea, A. and Leishman, M. R. (2014). Competitive interactions between established grasses and woody plant seedlings under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels are mediated by soil water availability. *Oecologia*, 177:499–506.
- Megonigal, J., Vann, C., and Wolf, A. (2005). Flooding constraints on tree (*Taxodium distichum*) and herb growth responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Wetlands*, 25(2):430–438.
- Merritt, D. M., Scott, M. L., Poff, N. L., Auble, G. T., and Lytle, D. a. (2010). Theory, methods and tools for determining environmental flows for riparian vegetation: riparian vegetation-flow response guilds. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):206–225.
- Montoya, D., Rogers, L., and Memmott, J. (2012). Emerging perspectives in the restoration of biodiversity-based ecosystem services. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27(12):666–72.
- Naiman, R. and Decamps, H. (1997). The ecology of interfaces: riparian zones. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 28:621–658.
- Naiman, R., Decamps, H., and Pollock, M. (1993). The role of riparian corridors in maintaining regional biodiversity. *Ecological Applications*, 3(2):209–212.
- Naiman, R. J., Bechtold, J., Drake, D., J.J.Latterell, OKeefe, T., and Balian, E. (2005). Origins, patterns, and importance of heterogeneity in riparian systems. *Ecosystem Function in Heterogeneous Landscapes*, pages 279–309.
- Naiman, R. J., Latterell, J. J., Pettit, N. E., and Olden, J. D. (2008). Flow variability and the biophysical vitality of river systems. *Comptes Rendus - Geoscience*, 340(9-10):629–643.
- Nie, M., Lu, M., Bell, J., Raut, S., and Pendall, E. (2013). Altered root traits due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A meta-analysis. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22:1095–1105.
- Nilsson, C. and Berggren, K. (2000). Alterations of Riparian Ecosystems Caused by River Regulation. *BioScience*, 50(9):783–792.
- Nilsson, C. and Svedmark, M. (2002). Basic principles and ecological consequences of changing water regimes: riparian plant communities. *Environmental Management*, 30(4):468–480.
- Palmer, M. and Poff, N. (1997). The influence of environmental heterogeneity on patterns and processes in streams. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 16(1):169–173.
- Piedade, M. T. F., Ferreira, C. S., Wittmann, A. D. O., Buckeridge, M., and Parolin, P. (2010). Amazonian Floodplain Forests - Springer.

- Poff, N., Allan, J., and Bain, M. (1997). The natural flow regime. *BioScience*, 47(11):769–784.
- Poff, N. L. (2002). Ecological response to and management of increased flooding caused by climate change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences*, 360(1796):1497–510.
- Poff, N. L., Olden, J. D., Merritt, D. M., and Pepin, D. M. (2007). Homogenization of regional river dynamics by dams and global biodiversity implications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(14):5732–5737.
- Poff, N. L. and Zimmerman, J. K. H. (2010). Ecological responses to altered flow regimes: a literature review to inform the science and management of environmental flows. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):194–205.
- Poorter, H. and Navas, M. L. (2003). Plant growth and competition at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: on winners, losers and functional groups. *New Phytologist*, 157:175–198.
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., and Lee, T. D. (2014). Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(December):1–5.
- Richardson, D. M., Holmes, P. M., Esler, K. J., Galatowitsch, S. M., Stromberg, J. C., Kirkman, S. P., Pysek, P., and Hobbs, R. J. (2007). Riparian vegetation: degradation, alien plant invasions, and restoration prospects. *Diversity and Distributions*, 13(1):126–139.
- Schleuter, D. and Daufresne, M. (2010). A user’s guide to functional diversity indices. *Ecological Monographs*, 80(3):469–484.
- Shafroth, P., Stromberg, J., and Patten, D. (2002). Riparian vegetation response to altered disturbance and stress regimes. *Ecological Applications*, 12(1):107–123.
- Shafroth, P. B., Wilcox, A. C., Lytle, D. a., Hickey, J. T., Andersen, D. C., Beauchamp, V. B., Hautzinger, A., McMullen, L. E., and Warner, A. (2010). Ecosystem effects of environmental flows: modelling and experimental floods in a dryland river. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1):68–85.
- Shimono, H., Konno, T., Sakai, H., and Sameshima, R. (2012). Interactive Effects of Elevated Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and Waterlogging on Vegetative Growth of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *Plant Production Science*, 15(January):238–245.
- Siebenritt, M. A., Ganf, G. G., and Walker, K. F. (2004). Effects of an enhanced flood on riparian plants of the River Murray, South Australia. *River Research and Applications*, 20(7):765–774.

- Singer, M. (2007). The influence of major dams on hydrology through the drainage network of the Sacramento River basin, California. *River Research and Applications*, 72(October 2006):55–72.
- Steffens, B., Steffen-Heins, A., and Sauter, M. (2013). Reactive oxygen species mediate growth and death in submerged plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 4(June):179.
- Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P. M., and Others (2013). Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change-Abstract for decision-makers. Technical report, Groupe d’experts intergouvernemental sur l’évolution du climat/Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change-IPCC, C/O World Meteorological Organization, 7bis Avenue de la Paix, CP 2300 CH-1211 Geneva 2 (Switzerland).
- Stokes, K. E. (2008). Exotic invasive black willow (*Salix nigra*) in Australia: influence of hydrological regimes on population dynamics. *Plant Ecology*, 197(1):91–105.
- Stromberg, J. C., Lite, S. J., and Dixon, M. D. (2010). Effects of stream flow patterns on riparian vegetation of a semiarid river: implications for a changing climate. *River Research and Applications*, 26(6):712–729.
- Stromberg, J. C., Lite, S. J., Marler, R., Paradzick, C., Shafroth, P. B., Shorrocks, D., White, J. M., and White, M. S. (2007). Altered stream-flow regimes and invasive plant species: the *Tamarix* case. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 16(3):381–393.
- Suding, K. N., Lavorel, S., Chapin, F. S., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Goldberg, D., Hooper, D. U., Jackson, S. T., and Navas, M.-L. (2008). Scaling environmental change through the community-level: a trait-based response-and-effect framework for plants. *Global Change Biology*, 14(5):1125–1140.
- Tabacchi, E., Correll, D., and Hauer, R. (1998). Development, maintenance and role of riparian vegetation in the river landscape. *Freshwater Biology*, 40(3):497–516.
- Tilman, D., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Reich, P., Ritchie, M., and Siemann, E. (1997). The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science*, 277(5330):1300–1302.
- van der Sleen, P., Groenendijk, P., Vlam, M., Anten, N. P. R., Boom, A., Bongers, F., Pons, T. L., Terburg, G., and Zuidema, P. A. (2014). No growth stimulation of tropical trees by 150 years of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization but water-use efficiency increased. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(January):24–28.
- Violle, C., Navas, M.-L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., and Garnier, E. (2007). Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, 116(5):882–892.

- Vitousek, P. M., DAntonio, C. M., Loope, L. L., Westbrooks, R., and D'Antonio, C. M. (1996). Biological Invasions as global environmental change.
- Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. a., Lubchenco, J., and Melillo, J. M. (1997). Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science*, 277(5325):494–499.
- Voesenek, L. A. C. J. and Bailey-Serres, J. (2015). Flood adaptive traits and processes: an overview. *New Phytologist*, 206(1):57–73.
- Wang, D., Heckathorn, S. A., Wang, X., and Philpott, S. M. (2012). A meta-analysis of plant physiological and growth responses to temperature and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Oecologia*, 169(1):1–13.