# Introduction to probabilistic programming

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd

University of Cambridge

Thanks to
Daniel M Roy (Cambridge)
Roger Grosse (MIT)

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd

## HOW TO WRITE A BAYESIAN MODELING PAPER

- 1. Write down a generative model in an afternoon
- 2. Get 2 grad students to implement inference for a month
- 3. Use technical details of inference to pad half of the paper

## CAN WE DO BETTER?

## Example: Graphical Models

## **Application Papers**

- 1. Write down a graphical model
- 2. Perform inference using general-purpose software
- 3. Apply to some new problem

## Inference papers

- 1. Identify common structures in graphical models (e.g. chains)
- 2. Develop efficient inference method
- 3. Implement in a general-purpose software package

# Modeling and inference have been disentangled

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd 3/

#### **EXPRESSIVITY**

## Not all models are graphical models

What is the largest class of models available?

## **Probabilistic Programs**

- 1. A probabilistic program (PP) is any program that can depend on random choices. Can be written in any language that has a (P)RNG.
- You can specify any (computable) prior by simply writing down a PP that generates samples
- 3. Any PP implicitly defines a distribution over execution traces

TODO: show a program and a histogram of its output

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd 4/11

# PROBABILISTIC PROGRAMS VS PROBABILISTIC PROGRAMMING

## Once we've defined a prior, what do we want to do with it?

The PP defines P(D, N, H), we choose D to be the subset of variables we observe, H the set of variables we're interested in, and N the set of variables that we're not interested in, so we'll integrate them out. We want to get to P(H|D)

## **Probabilistic Programming**

- 1. Usually refers to doing inference when a PP specifies your prior.
- 2

TODO: Show the two possibilities of conditioning in theprevious program

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd 5/1

# CAN WE DEVELOP GENERIC INFERENCE FOR ALL PPS?

Yes - rejection sampling. But can we be more efficient whilst being generic? Yes. MCMC over execution traces.

#### PP VIA MCMC

Following Wingate et alia we represent the unconditioned PP as a parameterless function f

Evaluating f results in random choices which are denoted as

$$x_k = f_{k|x_1,...,x_{k-1}} \sim p_{t_k}(.|\theta_k,x_1,...,x_{k-1}).$$

The density / probability of a particular evaluation is then

$$p(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p_{t_k}(x_k|\theta_k, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

We then perform MCMC over the  $x_k$  i.e. the execution trace.

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd 7/

## MCMC OVER EXECUTION TRACES

- 1. Select a random  $x_k = f_k$  in the execution trace
- 2. Propose a new value  $x'_k \sim K_{t_k}(.|x_k, \theta_k)$
- 3. Run the program to determine all subsequent choices  $(x_l': l > k)$ , reusing current choices where possible
- 4. Propose moving from the state  $(x_1, \ldots, x_K)$  to  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_k', \ldots, x_{K'}')$
- Accept the change with the appropriate reversible jump MCMC acceptance probability, this includes terms like
  - 5.1  $K_{t_k}(x'_k|x_k,\theta_k), K_{t_k}(x_k|x'_k,\theta_k), p_{t_k}(x_k|\theta_k,x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1})$
  - 5.2  $\prod_{i=k}^{K} p_{t_i}(x_i|\theta_i,x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}), \prod_{i=k}^{K'} p_{t_i'}(x_i'|\theta_i',x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1},x_k',\ldots,x_{i-1}')$
  - 5.3 i.e.  $\frac{K_{t_k}(x_k|x_k',\theta_k)\prod_{i=k}^{K'}p_{t_i'}(x_i'|\theta_i',x_1,...,x_{k-1},x_k',...,x_{i-1})}{K_{t_k}(x_k'|x_k,\theta_k)\prod_{i=k}^{K}p_{t_i}(x_i|\theta_i,x_1,...,x_{i-1})}$

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd 8/1

## FURTHER GENERI INFERENCE METHODS

e.g. HMC, parallel tempering, etc. Remember graphical models (fancy algorithms that work in certain model classes)

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd 9/11

## PP TIMELINE

Infer.net?

## **EXAMPLE: MIXTURE OF GAUSSIANS**

#### Generative model

$$egin{array}{lll} (\mu_i)_{i=1...k} &\sim_{ ext{iid}} &\mathcal{N}(0,1) \ (\pi_i)_{i=1...k} &\sim & ext{Dir}(lpha) \ &\Theta &:= & \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i \delta_{\mu_i} \ ( heta_i)_{i=1...n} &\sim_{ ext{iid}} &\Theta \ (x_i)_{i=1...n} &\sim_{ ext{iid}} &\mathcal{N}( heta_i,1) \end{array}$$

## (Pseudo) MATLAB code

```
mu = randn(k,1);
pi = dirichlet(k, alpha);

for i = 1:n
   theta = mu(mnrnd(1,pi));
   x(i) = theta + randn;
end
```

## **EXAMPLE: INFINITE MIXTURE OF GAUSSIANS**

## Change to generative model

$$\Theta := \sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i \delta_{\mu_i} o \Theta \sim \mathrm{DP}(lpha, \mathcal{N}(0, 1))$$

## (Pseudo) MATLAB code - stick breaking construction

```
sticks = []; atoms = [];
for i = 1:n
  p = rand;
  while p > sum(sticks)
    sticks(end+1) = (1-sum(sticks)) * betarnd(1, alpha);
    atoms(end+1) = randn;
  end
    theta(i) = atoms(find(cumsum(sticks)>=p, 1, 'first'));
end
x = theta' + randn(n, 1);
```

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd

#### STOCHASTIC MEMOISATION

1. The stick breaking construction can be applied to any base measure

- Church provides the function DPmem that takes any base measure sampling function and returns a function that samples from a sample from the corresponding Dirichlet process
- This allows easy specification of many nonparametric models e.g. HDP based models

David Duvenaud and James Lloyd