tissues (Barbehenn 1992). efficient as that of grasshoppers, which crush leaf porous, and this digestive strategy is apparently as nutrients are extracted when the cell walls become and protein are surprisingly high, suggesting that crushed. However, AD values for carbohydrate

than they do. confirm that caterpillars could consume more food with water or cellulose (Timmins et al. 1988), feeding which occurs when artificial diet is diluted high rates of consumption, and the compensatory. was unchanged. The periods of inactivity even at and the length of meals, but meal frequency caterpillars ate more by increasing bite frequency an electrical technique, and showed that larger microstructure of feeding on tomato leaves using (Reynolds et al. 1986). Bowdan (1988) examined the although growth rates are identical on both diets the difference being due to relative water contents, leaves, compared to 25 per cent on artificial diet, M. sexta larvae is up to 80 per cent on tobacco 1993). The proportion of time spent feeding by rate, gives the overall consumption rate (Slansky This, combined with the instantaneous feeding the proportion of time an insect spends feeding. of meal durations and meal frequencies determines patterns of feeding in caterpillars. The combination from behavioural observations on temporal Evidence for restrained food intake also comes

trom space constraints) to spinning or rolling feeding (leaf miners or gall formers, which suffer increases there is a general trend from concealed esding habit as individuals grow: as body size 1997). Minimizing risk can involve changes in predator assemblages (Reavey 1993; Gaston et al. major effects on feeding ecology, behaviour, and tacular changes in body size with growth, with tionally poor plants. Caterpillars undergo spec-Predation will also increase considerably on nutrimust be strong selection for rapid food intake. and mortality was so high during feeding that there continuous observation of two caterpillar species, great. Bernays (1997) quantified the risk during the risk from predators and parasitoids can be to maximize growth rate while avoiding risk, and as digestive processes. The caterpillar's task is because they depend on ecological factors as well The feeding rhythms of caterpillars vary greatly

> consumption. influence, and are influenced by, the rate of The last three steps are post-ingestive events which midgut epithelium, and construction of tissue. amino acids, absorption of amino acids across the digestion of protein into small peptides and and Kingsolver 1999): consumption of leaves, rapid growth can be divided into four steps (Woods of leaf protein into insect tissue to achieve such ing period of the fifth instar. The transformation of the mass of the caterpillar throughout the feed-1985). The gut and its contents form 39 per cent out the benefit of endothermy (Reynolds et al. similar-sized altricial birds and is achieved with-

> and few of the cells in the ingested tissue are mandibles have cutting but not grinding surfaces) 1999). Most caterpillars feed by leaf-snipping (their turther along the midgut (Woods and Kingsolver undigested protein in leaf fragments will extend proteins differ from those in artificial diets, and emphasize caterpillars eating leaves, because plant constraints on growth. Further studies should suggest post-absorptive rather than absorptive amino acids in the anterior midgut, which would Reynolds (1990) measured rapid uptake of labelled products may be a limiting step. However, the anterior midgut but absorption of breakdown (1999) predicted that protein is digested rapidly in half-maximal rates (Km), Woods and Kingsolver lumen (V_{max}), and the protein concentration giving rates, midgut dimensions, proteolytic activity in the et al. 1985). From measurements of food passage nutrient uptake and the rate of growth (Reynolds to an optimal level which maximizes the rate of tion. Caterpillars, therefore, restrain food intake time, which then determines the rate of consump-1986): AD is optimized at the optimal retention a model of optimal digestion (Sibly and Calow Reynolds (1990) reached the same conclusion using sumption rate gave the highest rate of absorption. the midgut, and found that an intermediate conof proteins and their breakdown products along reactor model to predict the concentration profiles Woods and Kingsolver (1999) used a chemical between fast processing and thorough processing. consumption. Gut passage rates involve a trade-off caterpillar, gut passage rates are equal to rates of In the continuous flow digestive system of a

growth rates of he rate of 2.7 g ng its last instar ; appropriately, acco hornworm al caterpillar is pue spoom '06 caterpillars (for -səgip pur uond Iars

and adult or

3-term changes

by Waldbauer

rt-term aspects,

gestible carbo-

ui Visiosqesə: (

biuft ni szie la

and digestion

well Publishing.

Physiological (

mic Publishers.

diw 872-122 q Desul ni smi

ic acid excretion

иәболіп пэчм

different diets

nitrogen in fifth

urring beyond

a of qu noites

edt fin which the

utrient output

ation of a nutrient,

7% protein