**Are the Same Features Always the Most Important?**
Feature Importance (SHAP) Compared, by Combination Method

$\chi^2_{\text{Friedman}}(3) = 173.38$, $p = 2.37\text{e}{-}37$, $\widehat{W}_{\text{Kendall}} = 0.85$, $\text{CI}_{95\%}$ [0.74, 1.00], $n_{\text{pairs}} = 68$

Feature Importance

$p_{\text{Holm-adj.}} = 2.02\text{e}{-}80$
$p_{\text{Holm-adj.}} = 5.47\text{e}{-}19$
$p_{\text{Holm-adj.}} = 1.53\text{e}{-}55$
$p_{\text{Holm-adj.}} = 7.79\text{e}{-}49$
$p_{\text{Holm-adj.}} = 3.48\text{e}{-}19$
$p_{\text{Holm-adj.}} = 1.15\text{e}{-}25$

$\widehat{\mu}_{\text{median}} = 0.09$
$\widehat{\mu}_{\text{median}} = 0.06$
$\widehat{\mu}_{\text{median}} = 0.03$
$\widehat{\mu}_{\text{median}} = 0.14$

Pairwise test: **Durbin–Conover**, Bars shown: **significant**

WE (w=.25)
(n = 68)

WE (w=.50)
(n = 68)

WE (w=.75)
(n = 68)

Stack
(n = 68)

Combination Method

Dataset: channel *All–Text (Unimodal and Multimodal) models excluded